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## Abstract

Extra-embryonic mesoderm (ExM), the earliest cells that traverse the primitive streak (PS), give rise to the endothelium as well as hematopoietic progenitors in the developing yolk-sac (YS). How a specific subset of ExM becomes committed to a hematopoietic fate remains unclear. Here we demonstrate using an embryonic stem cell (ESC) model that transient expression of the T-box transcription factor Eomesodermin (Eomes) governs hemogenic competency of ExM. Eomes regulates the accessibility of enhancers that SCL normally utilizes to specify primitive erythrocytes and is essential for the normal development of Runx1 ${ }^{+}$hemogenic endothelium. Single-cell-RNA-seq suggests that Eomes loss-of-function profoundly blocks formation of blood progenitors but not specification of Flk- $1^{+}$hematoendothelial progenitors. Our findings place Eomes at the top of the transcriptional hierarchy regulating early blood formation and suggest that hemogenic competence is endowed earlier during embryonic development than previously appreciated.

## Introduction

The process of gastrulation generates the three primary embryonic germ layers, namely mesoderm, ectoderm and definitive endoderm. Beginning at embryonic day 6 (E6.0), in response to local signaling cues, pluripotent epiblast cells on the prospective posterior side of the embryo undergo a process of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition allowing them to delaminate and migrate within the PS ${ }^{1}$. The first cells that traverse the PS include progenitors of the ExM that migrate proximally to generate the developing $\mathrm{YS}^{1-3}$. ExM subsequently differentiates into endothelial cells that form the YS vasculature as well blood progenitors that sustain growth and development of the post-implantation embryo ${ }^{3,4}$.

Hematopoietic progenitors initially form at E7.5 to generate nucleated primitive erythrocytes within the distally located YS blood islands (BI) ${ }^{3}$. A day later a second wave of blood progenitors arise from a subset of endothelial cells present within the developing YS vasculature ${ }^{5}$. This so-called hemogenic endothelium (HE) undergoes an endothelial-to-hematopoietic transition (EHT) whereby cells round up, detach from the endothelial layer and enter the blood stream ${ }^{6-8}$. Hematopoietic progenitors derived from YS HE at E8.25 have restricted erythro-myeloid potential and generate enucleated erythrocytes ${ }^{9}$ and are therefore designated 'definitive'. Subsequently, at E10.5 a third wave of hematopoietic progenitors including definitive hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) arise from HE in vascular beds of the dorsal aorta and vitelline/umbilical arteries ${ }^{7,10}$.

Understanding the transcriptional hierarchy that guides hematopoiesis during embryogenesis is essential for the generation of hematopoietic progenitors from pluripotent stem cell sources in vitro ${ }^{11,}$ ${ }^{12}$. Of particular interest is how HE is specified as this represents a critical early step in the generation of definitive hematopoietic cells including the HSC. Only a few transcriptional regulators that impact hematopoietic output from HE have been identified, including the transcription factors (TF) SCL and Runx1 that play key roles during embryonic hematopoiesis. SCL is required for the specification of the blood fate and the generation of HE and all hematopoietic cells ${ }^{13-17}$. In contrast, Runx1 is nonessential for the generation of HE or primitive erythrocytes/megakaryocytes but is essential for EHT and the production of all definitive hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells ${ }^{18}$.

Here we report that the T-box TF Eomes is transiently expressed in ExM progenitors that generate virtually all YS hematopoietic and endothelial cells. Using an ESC differentiation system, we find that Eomes is essential for the production of primitive erythrocytes and Runx1 ${ }^{+} \mathrm{HE}$. Eomes is expressed prior to both SCL and Runx1 during mesoderm patterning. Single-cell RNA-seq (scRNAseq) comparisons to in vivo hematoendothelial development strongly suggest the block in hematopoietic development in Eomes loss-of-function cultures occurs after the specification of $\mathrm{Flk}-1^{+} / \mathrm{SCL}^{+}$ hematoendothelial progenitors. ATAC-Seq experiments reveal that Eomes governs the accessibility of Runx1 enhancers as well as cis-regulatory regions that SCL normally utilizes to specify primitive erythrocytes. ChIP-seq experiments demonstrate that Eomes occupies Runx1 cis-regulatory regions and coordinates the development of hemogenic competent mesoderm in the context of Activin/Nodal and Tead-Yap signaling. Finally, re-expression of Runx1 in Eomes-null endothelial cultures is sufficient to rescue the block in EHT and definitive blood production. Collectively, these experiments demonstrate that Eomes sits at the top of the transcriptional hierarchy, functioning upstream of Runx1 expression and SCL functional activity, to promote hemogenic competence of the YS mesodermal lineage.

## Results

## Eomes expression transiently marks the proximal epiblast, PS and FIk-1 ${ }^{+}$ExM progenitors that give rise to hematopoietic and endothelial lineages of the YS

The first wave of cells to traverse the PS give rise to ExM that migrates proximally, displacing the overlying extra-embryonic ectoderm (ExE) to form the inner layer of the developing $\mathrm{YS}^{2,3}$. Subsequently these cells generate the BI containing primitive erythrocytes and endothelial cells that give rise to the YS vascular network ${ }^{3}$ (Fig. 1a). The T-box TF factor brachyury (T) is expressed in the PS and nascent mesoderm, including the hemangioblast, a multipotent progenitor that generates hematopoietic and endothelial cells ${ }^{19}$. Flk-1 also marks the hemangioblast ${ }^{19}$ and in vivo fate mapping studies demonstrate that YS hematopoietic and endothelial cells are derived from FIk-1 ${ }^{+} \mathrm{ExM}^{20}$.

Here we observed that Eomes expression is detectable in proximal epiblast/PS cells at early/mid PS stages (E6.5) prior to widespread T expression (Fig. 1b,c). Additionally, at mid-streak (E6.5) stages a population of FIk-1 ${ }^{+}$migratory mesoderm co-expressed Eomes in the extraembryonic region (Extended Data Fig. 1a). To test whether Eomes expressing progenitors contribute to the ExM at later stages we performed short-term lineage tracing experiments using an Eomes ${ }^{\text {GFP/+ }}$ reporter line ${ }^{21}$. At E7.5 Eomes-GFP ${ }^{+}$cells detected within the YS Bls co-expressed Flk-1 (Fig. 1d) and the ExM/hematopoietic marker Runx1 (Fig. 1e). However, this reflects GFP perdurance as endogenous Eomes protein was no longer detectable in Eomes-GFP ${ }^{+}$(Fig. 1f) or Flk- $1^{+}$cells in the YS at this stage (Extended Data Fig. 1b).

To examine contributions made by Eomes-expressing cells to YS vascular and hematopoietic lineages we generated an Eomes ${ }^{i C r e}$ reporter allele (Extended Data Fig. 2a-e) and performed long-
term lineage tracing experiments. Eomes ${ }^{i C r e /+}$ males were mated to females carrying the ROSA26 ${ }^{R}$ allele ${ }^{22}$ and the resulting embryos stained for LacZ expression. At E8.5 and E9.5 virtually all the YS hematopoietic and endothelial cells in Eomes ${ }^{\text {iCre }}$; ROSA26 ${ }^{R}$ embryos were LacZ ${ }^{+}$(Fig. 1g). Thus, we conclude that transient Eomes expression marks ExM progenitors that give rise to the YS hematopoietic and endothelial compartments.

To assess Eomes functional contributions we analyzed E7.5 embryos carrying an epiblast-specific Eomes deletion (Eomes ${ }^{\text {CAN }}$;Sox2.Cre) $)^{23}$ that disrupts delamination of nascent mesoderm ${ }^{23}$. In contrast to wild-type embryos, Eomes ${ }^{\Delta E p i}$ mutant embryos fail to induce expression of Flk-1 and ER71, genes essential for YS hematopoiesis and vasculogenesis ${ }^{24-26}$ (Extended Data Fig. 2f). Thus, Eomes expression in the epiblast is essential for the generation of ExM.

## Eomes is expressed transiently in hematovascular progenitors prior to the onset of SCL and Runx1 expression during hematopoietic differentiation

To circumvent in vivo morphogenetic defects caused by Eomes functional loss, we exploited an in vitro ESC differentiation protocol ${ }^{27,28}$ to promote the formation of YS-like hematopoietic and endothelial progenitors via the staged addition of growth factors to embryoid bodies (EB) under serum free conditions (Fig. 2a-c). Eomes ${ }^{21}$, SCL ${ }^{29}$ and Runx1 (Extended Data Fig. 3a,b) expression were analyzed utilizing ESC reporter lines. Dissociated EBs were stained for Flk-1 and PdgfRa, markers that distinguish hematovascular mesoderm (Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a}{ }^{-}$), primitive/cardiac mesoderm (FIk-
 (Fig. 2d,e). Eomes-GFP was detectable at day 3 prior to expression of Flk-1/PdgfRa. The majority of cells at day 4 , including the Flk- $1^{\text {hi }} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}{ }^{-}$hematovascular mesoderm compartment, express Eomes-GFP (Fig. 2d). 24 hours later Eomes-GFP ${ }^{+}$cells comprise roughly half the Flk- $1^{\text {hi }} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}{ }^{-}$ compartment (Fig. 2d). In contrast, developing CD41 ${ }^{+}$hematopoietic cells are predominantly EomesGFP ${ }^{\text {lo/- }}$ (Fig. 2e).

At day 4 SCL-mCherry is exclusively expressed within the FIk-1 $1^{\mathrm{hi} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}^{-} \text {compartment (Fig. 2d). At }}$ day 5 hematopoietic cells co-express CD41 and SCL-mCherry and downregulate Flk-1 expression (Fig. 2d,e). Runx1-Venus is also expressed in CD41 ${ }^{+}$hematopoietic cells (Fig. 2e). However, in contrast to SCL-mCherry, Runx1-Venus expression is restricted to cells that weakly express FIk-1 within the FIk-1 $1^{\text {hi }} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}^{-}$compartment at day $4 / 5$ (Fig. 2d).

Consistent with above results, differentiation trajectories constructed using scRNAseq data from E6.5 to E8.5 mouse embryos ${ }^{15}$ reveal dynamic Eomes expression in mesoderm/hematoendothelial progenitors that give rise to SCL and Runx1 expressing hematopoietic and endothelial cells (Fig. 2f). Thus, we conclude Eomes is transiently expressed, prior to SCL and Runx1, in hematovascular mesoderm progenitors.

## Eomes functional loss disrupts primitive and definitive hematopoiesis but not endothelial development

Next, we examined the ability of Eomes-null ESC ${ }^{23}$ to generate hematovascular mesoderm (Fig. 3a). Eomes-null EBs contain a detectable but decreased number of Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a}{ }^{-}$cells at day $4 / 5$ and lack FIk- $1^{+} /$PdgfRa $^{+}$progenitors at day 4, reflecting Eomes requirements for cardiac mesoderm specification ${ }^{35,36}$ (Fig. 3b). RNA-Seq experiments performed at day 4 reveal that Eomes-null Flk$1^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a}{ }^{-}$cells express SCL, ER71, Gata2, Lmo2, Tek, Cdh5, Fli1 and CD31 (Fig. 3c), suggesting that Eomes is non-essential for specification of hematovascular mesoderm. In contrast, EHT regulators including Runx1 and Gfi1b, and genes expressed in erythroid cells (Gata1 and Hbb-bh1) were downregulated (Fig. 3c).

To further examine Eomes functional requirements we cultured EBs for three more days in prohematopoietic conditions (Fig. 3a, red). Wild-type cultures robustly form hematopoietic progenitors coexpressing c-Kit, and/or CD41 and CD45, and generate primitive/definitive erythrocyte and myeloid/mixed hematopoietic colonies (Fig. 3d,e). In contrast, Eomes-null cultures lack the ability to form $\mathrm{CD} 41^{+} / \mathrm{CD} 45^{+}$cells and hematopoietic colonies (Fig. 3d,e). These cultures contain CD31 ${ }^{+} / \mathrm{Cdh} 5^{+}$ endothelial cells (Fig. 3f) and express normal levels of endothelial marker genes Cdh5, Flk-1, Flt-1 and Fli-1 (Fig. 3,g). However, SCL, Runx1, PU.1, Gata1 and Hbb-bh1 transcripts at day 6 were markedly downregulated confirming a block in hematopoiesis (Fig. 3g).

To further explore Eomes functional contributions Flk-1 $1^{\text {hi }}$ cells were isolated at day 5 and plated on Matrigel under conditions known to promote HE development ${ }^{37}$ (Fig. 3a, blue). By day 8 wild-type Flk$1^{\text {hi }}$ cells give rise to patches of adherent $\mathrm{Cdh} 5^{+} / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Kit}^{+} \mathrm{HE}$ cells that actively undergo EHT to generate semi-adherent and floating CD41 ${ }^{+} / C D 45^{+}$hematopoietic cells (Fig. 3h,i). Eomes-null Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ cells generate $\mathrm{Cdh}^{+} / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Kit}^{+}$endothelium but these cells fail to efficiently undergo EHT and lack expression of the hematopoietic markers CD41/CD45 (Fig. 3i). Thus, we conclude Eomes is dispensable for the generation of endothelial cells but is essential for both primitive and definitive hematopoietic development.

## Eomes is essential for the generation of Runx1 ${ }^{+}$hemogenic endothelium

Defects observed above in Eomes-null ESCs closely resemble those reported for SCL mutants ${ }^{13}$. Therefore, next we re-generated the Eomes-null allele in ESCs that contain an SCL reporter allele ${ }^{29}$ (Extended Data Fig. 4a-c) to directly test Eomes requirements for SCL expression. At day 5 of differentiation many Eomes-null Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi }} /$ PdgfRa $^{-}$cells are SCL-mCherry ${ }^{+}$(Fig. 4a) and a day later a high proportion of $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Kit}^{+} / \mathrm{Cdh} 5^{+}$cells expressed wild-type levels of SCL-mCherry (Fig. 4b). Thus, the
block in primitive and definitive hematopoiesis observed in Eomes-null cultures cannot be explained simply due to loss of SCL expression.

Runx1 expression is required for EHT and the generation of definitive hematopoietic cells ${ }^{18}$. To assess whether the block in definitive hematopoiesis in Eomes-null cultures reflects the absence of HE, we disrupted Eomes expression in the Runx1-Venus reporter ESCs (Extended Data Fig. 4a-c). At day 5 Eomes-null EBs almost entirely lack Runx1-Venus expression (Fig. 4c). At day 8, Runx1-Venus expression normally marks a CD41 $1^{-/ l o} \mathrm{HE}$ subset within the $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Kit}^{+} / \mathrm{Cdh} 5^{+}$compartment (Fig. 4d, blue gate). Upon EHT, cells upregulate CD41, generating Runx1-Venus ${ }^{+} / C D 41^{+}$hematopoietic cells (Fig. 4d, green gate). Strikingly, Eomes-null EHT cultures lack Runx1-Venus ${ }^{+}$cKit $^{+} / \mathrm{Cdh} 5^{+}$HE (Fig4d, blue gate). Eomes-null Runx1-Venus day 5 EBs and day 8 EHT cultures lack clonogenic progenitors for primitive erythrocyte and definitive erythro-myeloid lineages, respectively (Fig. 4e), as well as Cdh5$/$ CD41 ${ }^{+} /$Runx1-Venus ${ }^{+}$cells (Fig. 4d, orange gate) and budding cells (Fig. 4f). We conclude that the block in definitive hematopoiesis in Eomes-null EHT cultures is associated with the loss of Runx1+ HE.

To test whether Eomes regulates Runx1 expression in a cell autonomous fashion, we performed coculture experiments. Wild-type ESCs were mixed with Runx1-Venus or Eomes-null Runx1-Venus ESCs (Extended Data Fig. 3c). Wild-type:Runx1-Venus co-cultures at day 6 contain CD41 ${ }^{+}$ hematopoietic cells that are Runx1-Venus ${ }^{+}$. In contrast, Runx1-Venus expression in $\mathrm{CD} 41^{+}$cells is barely detectable in Eomes-null Runx1-Venus:wild-type co-cultures. These results strongly suggest that Eomes promotes robust induction of Runx1 expression in a cell-autonomous fashion during hematopoiesis.

## Eomes influences chromatin accessibility at SCL bound enhancers in hematovascular mesoderm

To investigate Eomes-dependent chromatin accessibility we performed ATAC-Seq ${ }^{38}$ analysis of day 4 wild-type and Eomes-null FIk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi/ PdgfRa` }}$ hematovascular mesoderm. We identified changes at 4180 genomic locations corresponding to $\sim 7 \%$ of all accessible sites (Fig. 5a). The majority of sites (>85\%) showing reduced chromatin accessibility in Eomes-null cells were located in distal intergenic regions (Fig. 5a) and are enriched for binding motifs for hematopoietic regulators such as Gata1/2, SCL, Erg, Ets1, Fli1, Runx1, Meis1 and Gfi1b (Fig. 5b). Strikingly, when these peaks were compared to published ChIP-Seq datasets from Flk- $1^{+}$cells generated using a hematopoietic differentiation protocol ${ }^{39}$, we found that the majority of Eomes-dependent sites with reduced accessibility were
enriched for enhancer marks (H3K4Me1 and H3K27Ac) and SCL occupancy (Fig. 5c). Moreover, sites showing reduced accessibility that correlate with SCL occupancy are enriched for genes related to hematopoietic development (Fig. 5d). Of these 231 are associated with downregulated genes in Eomes-null Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi }} /$ PdgfRa ${ }^{-}$cells including Gata1 and Nfe2, which are critical for primitive erythrocyte development and those governing EHT and definitive hematopoiesis, namely Runx1, Gfi1/1b, Ikzf1 and Myb (Fig. 5e). Many of the changes in chromatin accessibility map to previously identified enhancer regions at these loci (Fig. 5f) ${ }^{40,41}$. Consistent with results above there was no noticeable impact on chromatin accessibility at the SCL locus (Fig. 5f). These results demonstrate that Eomes regulates accessibility at SCL-bound cis-regulatory elements.

## Sites co-occupied by Eomes, Tead4 and Smad2/3 are transiently marked by H3K27Ac during early stages of hematopoietic development

To facilitate identification of Eomes target genes we generated ESCs expressing C-terminally V5tagged Eomes (Eo-V5) (Extended Data Fig. 5a-c,f). Intracellular flow cytometry demonstrated that EoV5 expression peaked at day 4 during hematovascular mesoderm development (Extended Data Fig. $5 d$ ). Eo-V5 is expressed broadly in primitive/cardiac mesoderm ( $\mathrm{Flk}-1^{+} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}^{+}$) as well as a subset of developing hematovascular (FIk-1 $1^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a}{ }^{-}$) mesodermal cells (Extended Data Fig. 5e). Homozygous Eo-V5 EB cultures generate Flk-1 ${ }^{+} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}^{+}$primitive/cardiac mesoderm and c$\mathrm{Kit}^{+} / \mathrm{CD} 41^{+}$hematopoietic progenitors, confirming that Eomes-V5 functions normally (Extended Data Fig. 5 g ).

We used two independent Eo-V5 ESC clones (CL A and CL B) for ChIP-Seq analysis. V5-Eomes bound genomic regions common to both clones (Fig. 6a) were highly enriched for the Eomes binding motif $^{42}$ and were predominantly located $>5 \mathrm{~kb}$ from transcriptional start sites (Fig. 6b). 30\% of genes linked to ChIP peaks were found to be mis-regulated in day 4 FIk- $1^{\text {hi }} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}^{-}$Eomes-null cells including transcriptional regulators (Runx1, Mixl1, Klf5, Pbx1, Tbx3, Foxf1, Meis2) and signaling molecules (Dkk1, Gli2, Fzd7, Lefty2) controlling hematovascular development ${ }^{27,43-48}$ (Fig. 6c).

Next, we examined published ChIP-Seq datasets ${ }^{49,50}$ to assess co-occupancy by transcriptional regulators, DNase hypersensitivity sites and local histone marks during hematopoietic differentiation (Fig. 6d). The majority of Eomes occupied sites were marked by H3K27Ac and display DNase hypersensitivity in hemangioblasts $\left(\mathrm{T}^{+} /\right.$Flk-1 $1^{+}$) but not hematopoietic progenitors (CD41 ${ }^{+}$) (Fig. 6d). Strikingly, many are also co-bound by Smad2/3 and the TF Tead4 (Fig. 6d). Overlapping Eomes, Smad2/3 and Tead4 peaks identified 72 sites corresponding to genes associated with hematopoiesis and mesoderm development (Fig. 6e). We observe co-occupancy at genes down-regulated in day 4 Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a-~ E o m e s-n u l l ~ E B s ~(F i g ~ 6 c) ~ i n c l u d i n g ~ t h e ~ p r o m o t e r ~ r e g i o n ~ o f ~ M i x l 1, ~ p r e v i o u s l y ~ s h o w n ~ t o ~}$ regulate the generation of FIk-1+ hematopoietic mesoderm ${ }^{32,42,44,51}$ and putative cis-regulatory elements controlling Dkk1 and Tbx3 expression (Fig. 6h). Eomes, Tead4 and Smad2/3 co-occupy a potential cis-regulatory region 181 kb upstream of the Runx1 TSS locus marked transiently by

H3K27Ac (Fig. 6h). Recent ChIP-seq experiments ${ }^{51}$ confirm Eomes occupancy at this -181 kb region (Extended Data Fig. 6) as well as the Runx1 proximal promoter ( P 2 ) that is active in $\mathrm{HE}^{52}$ and several Runx1 enhancers (+110, +171, +204, -327) known to regulate expression during hematopoiesis ${ }^{40,53}$ (Extended Data Fig. 6). Eomes induction also rescues Runx1 expression in this context ${ }^{51}$ suggesting that Eomes directly regulates Runx1 expression.

Finally, we overlaid our day 4 Eomes ChIP-Seq peaks with the ATAC-Seq peaks with reduced accessibility in day 4 Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi }} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}$ Eomes-null mesoderm as well SCL ChIP-Seq peaks from day 4 wild-type Flk-1 ${ }^{+}$EBs ${ }^{39}$. Very few of the ATAC peaks (33/3629) or SCL ChIP Peaks (19/4393) are bound by Eomes at day 4 (Extended Data Fig. 7a). Interestingly, Eomes ChIP peaks are accessible at early mesodermal ( $\mathrm{T}^{+} /$FIk-1$)$stages of development and become marked by H3K27Ac after the onset of FIk-1 expression ${ }^{49}$ (Extended Data Fig. 7b). By contrast, Eomes-dependent ATAC peaks normally bound by SCL only become accessible in the hemangioblast ( $\mathrm{T}^{+} /$Flk- $1^{+}$) population ${ }^{49}$ (Extended Data Fig. 7b). These results suggest that Eomes-dependent SCL bound cis-regulatory regions become accessible only subsequent to Eomes functional activity at earlier stages in the hematopoietic differentiation pathway.

## Single-cell RNA-Seq reveals the stage at which hematopoietic development is blocked in Eomes loss-of-function cultures

To characterize the stage when hematopoietic development is blocked in Eomes loss-of-function cultures we performed scRNA-seq on Eomes-null and wildtype Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi }} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}^{-}$populations at day 4 and day 5 (Extended Data Fig. 8a). An integrated analysis ${ }^{54}$ was performed that allowed uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP), and the identification of 13 clusters (Extended Data Fig. 8). Cluster identities were determined by comparing marker genes conserved across genotypes (Supplementary Table 1) to those previously used to document discrete cell populations present in E6.5 to E8.5 mouse gastrulation atlas ${ }^{15}$. Additionally, we were able to map the in vitro derived cells onto the mouse gastrulation atlas ${ }^{15}$, allowing us to transfer cell identities and embryonic stages onto our scRNA-seq dataset (Fig. 7a-d).

Cells from day 4 EBs were found mainly in clusters 2-6 (Extended Data Fig. 8b,c) and resembled in vivo mixed mesoderm and hematoendothelial progenitors (Fig. 7a) that express T, Mixl1, ER71 and SCL (Fig. 7b, Extended Data Fig. 8e). By contrast, day 5 cells mainly contributed to clusters 7-13 (Extended Data Fig. 8b,c) that resembled in vivo hematoendothelial progenitors, endothelium, allantois and blood progenitors 1 and 2 (Fig. 7a) and expressed varying levels of Runx1, Gata1, Cdh5, Pecam1 and Spin2c (Fig. 7b, Extended Data Fig. 8e). Day 4 Eomes-null and wild-type cells contributed relatively equally to mesodermal/hematoendothelial progenitor clusters 2-6 (Extended Data Fig. 8c). By contrast, day 5 Eomes-null cells predominately contributed to the hematoendothelial progenitor, endothelial and allantoic clusters (9-13) at the expense of blood progenitor clusters (7 and 8; Extended Data Fig. 8c). The exceptional Eomes-null cells contributing to clusters 7 and 8
expressed hematovascular genes SCL, ER71, Cdh5 and CD31 but had reduced expression of EHT regulators Runx1/Gfi1b (Extended Data Fig. 8e,f). Interestingly, many Eomes-null FIk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi/ } / P d g f R a-~ c e l l s ~}$ display transcriptional profiles similar to endothelial cells and hematoendothelial progenitors from E7.75-E8.5 mouse embryos (Fig. 7d). Mapping cells onto the in vivo hematoendothelial differentiation trajectory of the mouse gastrulation atlas ${ }^{15}$ (cf. Fig. 2f) suggests that the developmental block in Eomes-null cultures reflects the failure of hematoendothelial progenitors to transition into blood progenitors 1/2 (Fig. 7e).

## Runx1 re-expression in Eomes-/- EHT cultures rescues the production of definitive hematopoietic progenitors

Day 5 Eomes-null Flk-1 $1^{\text {hi//PdgfRa` cultures contain endothelial cells (Fig. 7a, e, Extended Data Fig. 8c) }}$ but could not form Runx1 ${ }^{+}$HE (Fig. 4d). Both Runx1-null and Eomes-null ESCs generate a Cdh5 ${ }^{+} / \mathrm{CKit}^{+} / \mathrm{CD} 41^{10}$ population (Extended Data Fig. 9a,b). However, this population was absent from equivalent SCL-null cultures (Extended Data Fig. 9a,b). To further characterize Eomes functional contributions in relation to Runx1 we deleted Eomes in the context of a Runx1 inducible ESC line ${ }^{14,52}$ (iRunx1; Runx1-null with a doxycycline (dox) inducible Runx1b cDNA inserted into the ROSA26 locus) (Extended Data Fig. 4d,e). This strategy enabled us to drive Runx1 expression in Eomes-null EHT cultures and perform rescue experiments.

As expected, day 5 iRunx1 Eomes-null EBs generate a FIk-1 ${ }^{\text {h/ } / P d g f R a l}{ }^{10 /}$ population (Fig. 8,a) but lack primitive erythrocyte progenitors (Fig. 8b), highlighting differences in requirements for Runx1 and Eomes during primitive erythropoiesis. As expected ${ }^{52}$, rescuing EHT and generation of functional hematopoietic progenitors via enforced Runx1 expression is highly dose-dependent (Fig. 8c,d). Titration experiments establish that the addition of $90 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of dox from day 6-8 in iRunx1 Eomes +/+ EHT cultures efficiently rescues generation of definitive erythro-myeloid clonogenic progenitors and Cdh5 ${ }^{+} /$CD41 $1^{+}$and Cdh5 ${ }^{+} /$CD45 ${ }^{+}$cells (Fig. 8c,d and Extended Data Fig. 9c). Strikingly, these conditions also rescue, albeit less efficiently, the generation of Cdh5 ${ }^{+} / C D 41^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cdh} 5^{+} / \mathrm{CD} 45^{+}$cells and restore hematopoietic colony formation in iRunx1 Eomes-null cultures (Fig. 8c,d and Extended Data Fig. $8 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ). These findings demonstrate that Eomes acts upstream of Runx1 expression during definitive hematopoiesis.

## Discussion

Here we show in the absence of Eomes function FIk-1 ${ }^{+}$hematoendothelial progenitors are correctly specified. However, upon further differentiation these progenitors cannot transition into primitive erythrocytes or HE capable of expressing Runx1 and undergoing EHT (Fig. 8e). As judged by scRNAseq analyses these in vitro cells closely resemble those formed in vivo when hematopoiesis predominates in the murine YS (E7.5-E8.5). These results highlight the validity of using ESC
differentiation cultures as a model of YS hematopoiesis and have allowed us to uncover an essential role for Eomes in this process.

Our ATAC-Seq analysis of Eomes-mutant hematoendothelial progenitors suggests that the block in primitive erythrocyte development occurs because SCL can no longer access the enhancer network through which it normally specifies this lineage. SCL itself is not responsible for governing accessibility of key enhancers that guide this process ${ }^{39}$. These enhancers only become accessible during normal hematopoietic differentiation after the onset of Flk-1 expression. Eomes expression precedes Flk-1/SCL expression during hematoendothelial development. Thus, Eomes potentially functions as a pioneer factor opening SCL enhancers to direct primitive erythrocyte development. Our ChIP-Seq results, however, argue against this idea since the majority of SCL-bound enhancers are not occupied by Eomes.

Recent experiments demonstrate that hemogenic competency of YS endothelial progenitors is actively restrained via BMI1-dependent silencing of Runx1 expression ${ }^{55}$. Additionally, re-expression of Runx1 in non-HE cells is sufficient for their conversion into $\mathrm{HE}^{56,57}$. Therefore, Runx1 is sufficient to promote conversion of YS endothelium towards a hemogenic fate. Here we demonstrate that Eomes acts upstream of Runx1 expression in the HE lineage. Moreover, Runx1 re-expression in Eomes-null cultures rescues the formation of definitive hematopoietic progenitors. ChIP-seq in day $4 / 5 \mathrm{EBs}$ reveals Eomes occupancy at the Runx1 proximal promoter P 2 as well as previously described ${ }^{40,53}$ (+110, +171, +204, -327) and potentially novel (-171/-181) Runx1 enhancers. Furthermore, ATAC-seq demonstrates that hematoendothelial progenitors formed in the absence of Eomes lack chromatin accessibility at enhancers known to drive Runx1 expression in the HE lineage/sites of definitive hematopoiesis ${ }^{40,58}(+3,+23,+110,-322,-327)$. Considering that YS endothelial cells are derived from Eomes expressing precursors, Eomes likely endows hemogenic competence via its ability to allow Runx1 induction at later developmental stages within the endothelial lineage. Thus, Eomes directs the emergence of an epigenetic landscape that primes HE specification.

The majority of Eomes ChIP-Seq peaks are located at cis-regulatory regions active transiently during mesodermal stages of development. Strikingly, many of these Eomes bound sites are co-occupied in similar stage EBs by Tead4 and Smad2/3, TFs that act downstream of Hippo/YAP and Activin/Nodal signalling respectively and have been shown previously to regulate hematopoietic development ${ }^{27,28,}$ 31, 49, 59 . Computational analysis shows that sites co-bound by this triad of TFs are associated with genes regulating both mesoderm and blood cell development. Interestingly, disruption of Tead-YAP complex formation profoundly disrupts EHT and the generation of CD41 ${ }^{+}$cells ${ }^{49}$. Additionally, Tead signalling is essential during mesodermal stages of development, coincident with the onset of Eomes functional activity ${ }^{49}$. It therefore seems likely that Eomes guides hematopoietic mesoderm development in the context of active Activin/Nodal and Tead/YAP signalling.

Fate mapping studies previously suggested that $Y S$ hematopoietic and endothelial lineages become segregated within the proximal epiblast, prior to ingression through the $\mathrm{PS}^{2,60}$. The first cells to ingress through the streak predominantly generate primitive hematopoietic cells whilst the second
wave generates endothelial precursors ${ }^{2}$ that subsequently acquire HE or non-HE fates. Conditional inactivation of Eomes in the PS using a T.Cre deleter strain has no noticeable impact on embryonic development ${ }^{23}$. Therefore, Eomes is likely only required for YS hematopoiesis within a narrow developmental time window at the very outset of gastrulation in the proximal epiblast/PS. The present study makes Eomes the earliest known transcriptional regulator of specifically hematopoietic but not endothelial development, placing it at the top of a transcriptional hierarchy that governs hemogenic competence in the developing mouse gastrula.

## Main Figure Legends

Figure 1. Eomes is expressed in extraembryonic mesodermal progenitors that give rise to yolk-sac hematopoietic and vascular cells.
a, Schematic representation of mouse gastrulation. Extra-embryonic mesoderm (ExM) progenitors migrate proximally from the primitive streak (PS, dotted line) and give rise to the blood islands (BI) of the developing yolk-sac (YS). ExE, extraembryonic ectoderm; Epi, epiblast; VE, visceral endoderm. b, c, Early/mid streak stage embryos (E6.5) stained for Brachyury (red) and Eomes (green) and counterstained with DAPI (blue); $\mathrm{n}=19$ embryos (E6.5) and $\mathrm{n}=19$ embryos (E7.5). The length of the PS is denoted by the dotted line. $\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{f}$, Immunofluorescence staining of E7.5 Eomes ${ }^{\mathrm{GFPl}+21}$ embryos for FIk-1(d, red; $\mathrm{n}=11$ embryos), Runx1(e, red; $\mathrm{n}=11$ embryos), Eomes (f, red $\mathrm{n}=6$ embryos) and Eomes-GFP (d-f, green). Nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue). Dotted white lines indicate the extraembryonic/embryonic boundary. White boxes denote the zoomed in areas displayed in the lower panels. g, Descendants of Eomes ${ }^{\text {icre }}$ expressing cells give rise to hematopoietic and endothelial cells of the YS BI. Mice carrying an improved Cre recombinase (iCre) inserted into the ATG start site at the Eomes locus were crossed to ROSA26 ${ }^{R}$ reporter mice that express LacZ upon Cre induced recombination. Wholemount X-gal staining was performed on E8.5 and E9.5 embryos and sections were counterstained with nuclear fast-red to highlight non-labelled cells; $n \geq 3$ embryos. Scale bars, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Figure 2. Eomes, SCL and Runx1 expression during hematopoietic development in vitro and in vivo.
a, Schematic representation of the ESC differentiation protocol. b, c, Representative phase contrast photomicrographs at day 0 (b) and day 4 (c) of the differentiation protocol; $n \geq 3$ independent differentiations. Scale bars, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Embryoid body, EB. d, Flow cytometric analysis of EB cultures generated from Eomes, SCL and Runx1 reporter ESC lines. Cells expressing the indicated
fluorescent reporters are shown by coloured dots. Eomes-GFP, yellow; SCL-mCherry, red; Runx1Venus, green. The percentages of reporter positive cells within the circled Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi }} /$ PdgfRa ${ }^{-}$cell population are indicated ( $n=1$ differentiation). e, Analysis of day 5 EBs showing fluorescent reporter activity and expression of the hematopoietic marker CD41 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ differentiation). f, Force-directed graph layout of cells isolated from E6.5 to E8.5 embryos associated with the blood/endothelial lineage (adapted from Pijuan-Sala et al. 2019, Ref 15) ${ }^{15}$. Left plot highlights various cell-types that are generated along the hematovascular lineage trajectory as mesoderm differentiates into endothelial and hematopoietic cells. Right plots are overlaid with $\log _{2}$ normalized gene expression levels. HE, hematoendothelial.

## Figure 3. Eomes functional loss disrupts primitive and definitive hematopoiesis but not endothelial development

a, ESC differentiation protocols modelling yolk-sac hematopoiesis differ from day 5 onwards (EB suspension culture, red and EHT culture, blue). b, Representative flow cytometric analysis of Flk1/PdgfRa expression in wild-type (WT) and Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ EBs at day $4 / 5$. Graphical data indicate the mean +/- SEM; $\mathrm{n}=5$ (WT D4), $\mathrm{n}=7$ (Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ D4), $\mathrm{n}=8$ (WT D5) amd $\mathrm{n}=10$ (Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ D5) independent differentiations. c, Heatmap showing Log2(FPKM) expression of hematovascular genes in day 4 WT and Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ Flk- $1^{\text {hi }} /$ PdgfRa ${ }^{-}$cells from $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent differentiations. d, Hematopoietic potential of cells isolated from day $5 / 6 \mathrm{WT}$ and Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ EBs plated in hematopoietic colony-forming assays. Graphical data indicate mean $+/-$ SEM; $n=2$ (day 5 ) and $n=3$ (day 6 ) biologically independent samples. Ery-P, primitive erythrocyte; Ery-D, definitive erythrocyte; GM, granulocyte-macrophage; GEMM, granulocyte-erythro-myeloid. Scale bar, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. e, Representative flow cytometric analysis of cKit/CD41 and cKit/CD45 expression in day 7 WT and Eomes ${ }^{-1 /}$ EBs. Graphical data indicate mean +/SEM; $\mathrm{n}=3$ biologically independent samples. f , Representative flow cytometric analysis of Cdh5/CD31 expression in day 6 WT and Eomes ${ }^{-/-}$EBs. Graphical data indicate mean +/- SEM; n = 3 biologically independent samples. g, Log ${ }_{2}$ Fold Change (Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ versus WT) of hematovascular marker gene expression in day 6 EB cultures determined using reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR); mean +/- SEM; $n=3$ biologically independent samples. GAPDH was used as a housekeeping gene. $\mathbf{h}$, Representative phase contrast images of day 8 WT and Eomes ${ }^{-1 /}$ EHT cultures; $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent differentiations. Scale bars, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{i}$, Representative flow cytometric analysis of Cdh5 expression and cKit/CD41 or cKit/CD45 expression within the Cdh5+ compartment in day 8 WT and Eomes ${ }^{-/-}$EHT cultures. Graphical data indicate mean $+/-$SEM; $n=3$ independent differentiations. For all graphical representations of flow cytometry/colony-forming data individual replicates are shown as coloured dots (WT = grey, Eomes ${ }^{-/-}=$pink). Statistical analyses were performed using two-tailed unpaired Student's t-tests. Statistical source data are provided in Source Data Fig. 3.

## Figure 4. Eomes-null cultures lack Runx1+ hemogenic endothelial cells

a,c, Representative flow cytometric analysis of Flk-1/PdgfRa and SCL-mCherry (a) or Runx1-Venus (c) expression in day 5 WT and Eomes ${ }^{-1 /}$ EBs. Coloured dots indicate cells positive for the expression of fluorescent reporters. SCL-mCherry, red; Runx1-Venus, green. Histograms display SCL-mCherry (a) and Runx1-Venus (c) expression levels in the Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi}} /$ PdgfRa ${ }^{-}$compartment; grey peaks depict expression in a control cell line. Graphical data indicate mean +/- SEM; $\mathrm{n}=3$ (WT and Eomes ${ }^{-1}$ SCLmCherry), $\mathrm{n}=4$ (WT Runx1-Venus) and $\mathrm{n}=5$ (Eomes ${ }^{-1}$ Runx1-Venus) independent differentiations. b, Representative flow cytometric analysis of CD41/SCL-mCherry expression within the $\mathrm{Cdh5}^{+} / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Kit}^{+}$ compartment in day 6 WT SCL-mCherry and Eomes-/- SCL-mCherry EBs. Graphical representations display mean $+/-$ SEM; $n=3$ independent differentiations. d, Representative flow cytometric analysis of Cdh5/cKit expression (left) and Runx1-Venus/CD41 expression within the $\mathrm{Cdh5}^{+} / \mathrm{cKit}^{+}$(middle) and Cdh5 (right) compartments in day 8 WT and Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ EHT cultures. Graphical representations indicate mean $+/-$ SEM; $n=3$ independent differentiations. e, Hematopoietic colony-forming potential of cells isolated from day 5 bulk EBs and day 8 EHT cultures plated in hematopoietic colony assays. Each dot displays the number of colonies formed in each technical replicate from $n=2$ independent differentiations; 2 technical replicates/independent differentiation. Ery-P, primitive erythrocyte; Ery-D, definitive erythrocyte; GM, granulocyte-macrophage; GEMM, granulocyte-erythro-myeloid. f, Representative phase contrast images of Runx1-Venus Eomes ${ }^{+/+}$and Runx1-Venus Eomes ${ }^{-/-}$EHT cultures at day 8; $n=3$ independent differentiations. Scale bars, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. For all graphical representations of flow cytometry individual replicates are shown as coloured dots (Eomes ${ }^{+/+}=$grey
and Eomes ${ }^{-/-}=$pink). Statistical analyses were performed using unpaired two-tailed Student's t-tests. Statistical source data are provided in Source Data Fig. 4.

## Figure 5. Eomes regulates chromatin accessibility at SCL bound cis-regulatory regions

a, Pie chart depicting the proportion of ATAC peaks that lose (blue) or gain (red) chromatin accessibility in Eomes ${ }^{-/-}$day 4 Flk- $1^{\text {hi }} /$ PdgfRa cells. Bar chart depicting the distribution of ATAC peaks located nearby transcriptional start sites (TSS) with unchanged (grey), reduced (blue) or increased (red) chromatin accessibility in day 4 Eomes $^{-1-}$ vs. WT FIk- $1^{\mathrm{h}} /$ PdgfRa ${ }^{-}$cells; $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent differentiations. b, Sites of reduced chromatin accessibility in Eomes ${ }^{-1 /}$ cells are enriched for hematopoietic TF binding motifs. Statistical analyses were performed using AME (Analysis of Motif Enrichment). c, Heatmaps showing ATAC signals from WT (blue, left) or Eomes ${ }^{-1 /}$ (blue, right) day 4 Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi }} /$ PdgfRa cells and ChIP signal for H3K4Me1 (orange), H3K27Ac (red) and SCL (green) occupancy from day 4 WT Flk- $1^{+}$cells ${ }^{39}$. Heatmaps show a 4 kb flanking region surrounding sites of reduced chromatin accessibility in day 4 Eomes $^{-1 /} \mathrm{Flk}-1^{\text {hi }} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}$ cells. d, Venn diagram depicting the overlap of SCL bound regions ${ }^{39}$ and sites of reduced chromatin accessibility. Enriched GO Terms in the Mouse Phenotype category for the 949 shared genomic regions (purple). Statistical analyses were performed using GREAT (Genomic Regions Enrichment Analysis Tool). e, MA plot highlighting genes that are differentially expressed in Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ and WT hematovascular mesoderm (FIk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi}} /$ PdgfRa $^{-}$) that have nearby sites of reduced chromatin accessibility (red and blue squares) that are also bound by SCL in WT FIk- $1^{+}$mesoderm ${ }^{39}$ (orange and green squares). f, IGV snapshots of ATAC-Seq (blue) and ChIP-Seq (green) tracks highlighting hematopoietic regulators that have nearby genomic regions with reduced chromatin accessibility in Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ Flk-1 $1^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a}{ }^{-}$cells that are also bound by $\mathrm{SCL}^{39}$ in WT Flk $-1^{+}$mesoderm are highlighted by purple bars. Numbers above the purple bars indicate the relative location of these sites in kilobases to the TSS of the indicated genes. (*the -3.5 enhancer at the Gata1 locus was called as a peak by MACS2 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in only the WT FIk- $1^{\text {hi }} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}{ }^{-}$samples)

## Figure 6. Genomic regions transiently marked by H3K27Ac are bound by Eomes, Tead4 and Smad2/3 during early stages of hematopoietic mesoderm development.

a, Heatmaps of ChIP peaks in a 4 kb region from day 4 EBs from two independent Eomes ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 5 \mathrm{~N} 5}$ clones. b, An Eomes consensus binding motif ${ }^{42}$ identified using HOMER is enriched under ChIP-Seq peaks (top). Bar graph (below) depicts the distribution of ChIP-Seq peaks relative to nearby transcriptional start sites. c, Venn diagram showing the overlap between ChIP-Seq peak associated genes and those differentially expressed (fold change $>1.5 \mathrm{X}$ ) in the Eomes ${ }^{-1-} \mathrm{Flk}^{-1} 1^{\mathrm{hi}} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}$ - hematovascular mesoderm population. The top 24 genes having associated ChIP-Seq peaks significantly upregulated (green, left) or downregulated (red, right) in Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ versus WT day 4 FIk- $1^{\text {hi }} / \mathrm{PdgfRa}^{-}$hematovascular mesoderm are listed. Numbers indicate the fold change (FC). Orange genes have nearby Smad2/3/Eomes overlapping peaks, purple genes have nearby Tead4/Eomes overlapping peaks and blue genes have nearby Tead4/Eomes/Smad2/3 overlapping peaks. d, Heatmaps showing ChIP-Seq signal for Tead4 (purple) ${ }^{49}$ and Smad2/3 (orange) ${ }^{50}$ occupancy in day 3 or day 4 FIk- $1^{+}$EB cultures, respectively. Heatmaps showing ChIP-Seq signal for H3K27Ac (green) histone modifications in hemangioblast ( HB ) and hematopoietic (HP) cells ${ }^{49}$. Heatmaps showing DNAsel hypersensitivity signal in hemangioblast (HB) and hematopoietic (HP) cells (Goode et al., 2016 Ref 50). All heatmaps show a 4 kb region flanking peak centres of the 338 Eomes bound sites. e, Venn diagram depicting the overlap of the Eomes, Smad2/3 and Tead4 bound regions. f, Tead2 and Tbox:Smad motifs identified using HOMER that are significantly enriched under the Eomes bound ChIP-Seq peaks. g, Enriched GO Terms in the Mouse Phenotype category for the 72 Eomes, Smad2/3 and Tead4 overlapping genomic regions (blue). Statistical analyses were performed using GREAT (Genomic Regions Enrichment Analysis Tool) h, IGV snapshots of Eomes, Tead4, Smad2/3 and H3K27Ac ChIP-Seq peaks overlapping with DNase1 hypersensitivity (DN1 HS). Blue bars highlight Eomes bound sites and the numbers above indicate the relative location of these sites in kilobases to the TSS of the gene indicated. HB, hemangioblast ( $\mathrm{T}^{+} /$Flk- $1^{+}$); HP, hematopoietic progenitor (CD41 ${ }^{+}$).
Figure 7. Comparison of scRNA-Seq profiles of wildtype and Eomes-null FIk1 ${ }^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a-~ c e l l s ~}$ generated in vitro to wildtype cells from E6.5 - E8.5 mouse embryos.
a, Mapped cell types in uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plots. Top panel shows WT and Eo-/- Flk-1 $1^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a}{ }^{-}$cells from either day 4 (left) or day 5 (right). Bottom panel shows Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi }} /$ PdgfRa cells from WT (left) or Eomes ${ }^{-1 /}$ (right). Cells are colored by their cell type annotation, based on their 35 nearest neighbors in the mouse gastrulation atlas ${ }^{15}$ denoted in the legend. The black box (bottom panel) highlights blood progenitors that are diminished in the Eomes ${ }^{-1}$ cultures.

PGC, primordial germ cell; WT, wildtype; Eo -/-, Eomes-null b, Normalized expression levels of the indicated genes overlaid on UMAPs for all cells. c, Mapped probability mapping scores in UMAP embeddings for all wildtype (left) and Eomes-/- (right) cells. A probability score $>0.5$ indicates high mapping quality. d, Mapped embryo stages in UMAP embeddings. Cells are coloured by the nearest mapped embryo stage from the mouse atlas as indicated in the legend below. Bar chart shows the number of wildtype (black) or Eomes-/- (grey) cells from each mapped embryo stage. E, embryonic stage. e, Mapping day $4 / 5$ FIk- $1^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a ~}$ wildtype (top) and Eomes-/- (bottom) cells onto the bloodrelated differentiation trajectory ${ }^{15}$. The black arrowhead denotes the point at which hematopoietic development seems to be blocked in Eomes-/- cultures.

Figure 8. Runx1 re-expression in Eomes-null/Runx1-null EHT cultures rescues definitive hematopoiesis.
a, Flow cytometric analysis of Flk-1/PdgfRa expression in day 5 iRunx1 Eomes ${ }^{+/+}$and iRunx1 Eomes ${ }^{-}$ ${ }^{1-}$ EBs. $\mathbf{b}$, Hematopoietic colony-forming potential of cells isolated from day 5 iRunx1 Eomes ${ }^{+/+}$and iRunx1 Eomes ${ }^{-/}$EBs. Graphical representation displays mean +/- SEM; n=3 independent differentiations and representative photomicrographs are shown on the right. Ery-P, primitive erythrocyte. Scale bar, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test. c, Hematopoietic colony-forming potential of day 8 EHT cultures in which Runx1 expression was uninduced/induced via addition of 0,90 and $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of dox from day 6-8. Graphical representations display mean +/- SEM; $n=2$ (iRunx1 Eomes+/+) and $n=3$ (iRunx1 Eomes $-/-)$ independent differentiations. Ery-D, definitive erythrocyte; GM, granulocyte-macrophage; GEMM, granulocyte-erythrocyte-myeloid. H\&E stained hematopoietic cells (bottom right) from a representative GEMM colony (brightfield, bottom left) from a dox induced iRunx1 Eomes ${ }^{-1-}$ EHT culture. Mac, macrophage; n, neutrophil; e, erythrocyte. Scale bars: brightfield, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{E}, 20 \mu \mathrm{M}$. d, Representative flow cytometric analyses of cKit/CD41 and cKit/CD45 expression within the Cdh5+ compartment of day 8 EHT cultures in which Runx1 expression was uninduced or induced via addition of 0,90 and $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of dox from day 6-8. Graphical representations indicate mean +/SEM; $n \geq 3$ independent differentiations. Statistical analyses were performed using a 2-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test. Statistical source data are provided in Source Data Fig. 8. e, Model summarizing the effects of Eomes-loss-of-function on the two waves of YS hematopoiesis. Primitive erythrocyte formation depends on SCL and Eomes functional activity. The generation of hemogenic endothelium (HE) depends on SCL functional activity. EHT and the generation of definitive hematopoietic cells depends on the functional activity of Runx1 and Eomes. Eomes-/hematoendothelial progenitors (HEPs) lack chromatin accessibility at numerous enhancers that are normally bound by SCL and are therefore unable to transition into primitive erythrocytes. Eomes ${ }^{-/-}$ hematoendothelial progenitors generate Cdh5+/cKit+/CD41" "hemogenic" endothelial cells that fail to upregulate Runx1 expression (green) and this in part explains the block in definitive hematopoiesis in Eomes ${ }^{-/-}$EHT cultures.
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## Methods

## Mouse strains

Animal procedures were performed in accordance with Home Office (UK) regulations and approved by the Oxford Local Animal Welfare and Ethical Committee. Eomes ${ }^{\text {GFP }}$, Rosa26R, Eomes ${ }^{\text {CAN }} ;$ Sox2 ${ }^{\text {Cre }}$ and FIk-1 ${ }^{\text {LacZ }}$ strains were genotyped as previously described ${ }^{21-24}$. The Eomes ${ }^{\text {iCre }}$ allele was generated using the same strategy as previously described ${ }^{35}$. The iCre coding sequence followed by a polyA cassette and a loxP-flanked neomycin-resistance cassette was introduced into exon 1 between the Sphl (translational start) and Eagl sites, resulting in removal of $\sim 500$ bp of the endogenous $5^{\prime}$ coding region of Exon 1. Drug-resistant ESC colonies screened by Southern blot using a 5' external probe ${ }^{21}$ were transfected with pMC1.Cre, to remove the selection cassette. Two independent excised ESC clones were used to generate germline chimeras. Offspring were genotyped by PCR using iCre specific primers (Supplementary Table 2).

## Immunostaining.

E6.5 embryos were fixed in 4\% PFA at room temperature (RT) for 30 min . E7.5 embryos and day 4 EBs were fixed in $1 \%$ PFA overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were washed with $0.1 \%$ Triton-X, permeabilized with $0.5 \%$ Triton-X in PBS for 15 min, washed with $0.1 \%$ Triton-X, blocked in 5\% donkey serum, 0.2\% BSA and $0.1 \%$ Triton-X in PBS, incubated with primary antibodies in block solution, washed with $0.1 \%$ Triton-X, incubated with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 hrs at RT, washed with $0.1 \%$ Triton-X and counterstained with DAPI. Images were captured with an Olympus FV1000 and collected using Olympus FluoView (version 4.2). Antibodies used are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

## Whole-mount in situ hybridization and LacZ staining.

E6.5-E7.5 embryos were subject to whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) using standard protocols ${ }^{61}$ with probes against ER71 ${ }^{62}$, Eomes ${ }^{23}$ and iCre (Supplementary Table 4). LacZ staining was performed according to standard protocols ${ }^{61}$. For histology, paraffin-embedded embryos were sectioned $(8.0 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and counterstained with Nuclear FastRed.

## ESC maintenance and differentiation.

WT (CCE), Runx1-Venus (E14), SCL-mCherry (J1) ${ }^{29}$, Eomes-null (CCE, Runx1-Venus, SCLmCherry, iRunx1), SCL-null (J1) ${ }^{17}$, Runx1-null ( J 1$)^{63}$ and iRunx1 $1^{14}$ ESC lines were maintained in feeder free culture conditions as previously described ${ }^{35}$. 48-72 hrs prior to induction of hematopoietic differentiation cells were cultured in serum free ES media containing 50\% Neurobasal Media (Gibco, Cat \# 21103049), 50\% DMEM/F12 (Gibco, Cat \# 11320033), supplemented with 0.5 X of both N2 (Gibco, Cat\#17502048) and B27 (Gibco, Cat\# 17504044), 1\%Pen/Strep, 1\% glutamine, 0.05\% BSA (Gibco, Cat\# 15260037), $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ PD0325091, $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ CHIR99021 and $1000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ LIF. At D0 cells were
seeded at a density of $1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ in serum-free differentiation (SF-D) media ${ }^{27}$ and cultured on an orbital shaker at 70 rpm for $\sim 18 \mathrm{hrs}$ in the absence of growth factors to form EBs. At D2, EBs were split 1:3 in SF-D media containing recombinant human (rh) VEGF (5 $\mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; R\&D Systems), rhBMP4 ( $10 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; R\&D Systems) and Activin A ( $5 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; R\&D Systems) for 48 hr . At D4, EBs were split $1: 1$ or $1: 2$ in SF-D media containing rhVEGF ( $5 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; R\&D Systems), rhBMP4 ( $10 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; R\&D Systems) and Activin A ( $5 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; R\&D Systems) for 24 hr. For bulk cultures, EBs were washed at D5 and D6 and cultured in SF-D media containing rhVEGF ( $5 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; R\&D Systems) and SCF ( $50 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; BioLegend). From D4 onwards EBs were grown in petri dishes coated with 5\% (v/v) Poly-heme solution (Sigma, Cat\# P3932-10G). For EHT cultures, D5 EBs were dissociated with Trypsin-LE (Gibco), stained with anti-Flk-1 (APC) antibody (Supplementary Table 3) for 30 min on ice and Flk-1 ${ }^{+}$ cells isolated by MACS using anti-APC microbeads (Miltenyi, Cat\# 130-090-855) were plated on Matrigel (Corning, Cat\# 354230) coated 96 or 12 well plates at a concentration of $5 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/ 200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in SF-D containing rhVEGF ( $5 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; R\&D Systems), rhbFGF ( $10 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; R\&D Systems) and SCF (50 $\mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}{ }^{-1}$;BioLegend) to form HE for 72 hours. Media was changed on D6 and D7. For Runx1 induction $90 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of doxycycline, dissolved in water, was added to the culture media from day 6-8.

## Hematopoietic progenitor colony assays.

EBs/EHT monolayers were dissociated at D5, D6 or D8 and $5 \times 10^{4}, 2 \times 10^{4}$ or $1 \times 10^{5} / 2.5 \times 10^{4}$ cells, respectively, were plated in Methylcellulose-Based Medium (MethoCult GF M3434; Stem Cell Technologies). Primitive erythroid colonies were counted at day 5 and definitive erythroid, granulocyte/macrophage and mixed colonies counted at 7-8 days post-plating. For all statistical analyses unpaired two-tailed Student's t-tests were performed in GraphPad Prism 8 (version 8.1.0).

## Flow cytometry, cell sorting and MACS.

EBs were dissociated using Trypsin-LE. For EHT cultures floating and adherent cells were pooled, washed $3 x$ with FACS buffer (PBS + 2\% FCS), stained with antibodies for $30 \mathrm{~min}\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, washed and resuspended in FACS buffer containing DAPI (1:5000, BD Pharmigen) and analysed on either a BD Fortessa X20 or a Cytek DxP8. Cell sorting for RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq was completed on a MoFlo Astrios. For MACS, cells were stained at a concentration of $10^{7}$ cells $/ 150$ uL FACS buffer containing 3.75 uL of anti-Flk-1(APC) antibody for $30 \mathrm{~min}\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, washed with PBE (PBS +2 mM EDTA $+0.5 \%$ BSA) and incubated in 80 uL of PBE +20 uL of anti-APC microbeads (Miltenyi, Cat\# 130-090-855) for $30 \mathrm{~min}\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, washed with PBE then passed over a LS magnetic column twice. For intracellular flow cytometry day 3-5 EoV5/V5 EBs were dissociated and stained for Flk-1 and PdgfRa prior to fixation. Cells were subsequently permeabilized and V5 staining was performed using the FoxP3 Staining Buffer Set (Invitrogen, Cat\# 00-5523). Antibodies used are listed in Supplementary Table 3. The flow cytometry data was collected using BDFacsDiva (version 8), FlowJoCE (version 7.5) or Summit
(version 6.3.1) software. For all statistical analyses unpaired two-tailed Student's t-tests were performed in GraphPad Prism 8 (version 8.1.0).

## RNA isolation and quantitative RT-PCR.

Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Cat\#74104) using on-column DNase treatment. Complementary DNA was generated using the SuperScriptIII kit (Invitrogen) with oligo-dT primers. RT-qPCR was performed using the Quantitect SYBRGreen PCR kit and a Rotor-gene Q (Qiagen) and analysed using the delta-delta Ct method, as described previously ${ }^{35}$. Primer sequences are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

## RNA-Seq.

RNA isolated from FIk- $1^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a}{ }^{-}$single cell suspensions ( $1.5 \times 10^{5}$ cells) from three independent hematopoietic differentiations of WT (CCE) and Eomes-null (clone 6A6, CCE) cells using the RNeasy Micro Kit (QIAGEN, Cat\#74004) was normalized to 800ng per sample, depleted of cytoplasmic and mitochondrial ribosomal RNA sequences (Ribo-Zero Gold rRNA Removal Kit (H/M/R), Cat: \#MRZG12324) and library preparation performed using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep (H/M/R) (Cat: \#20020597), followed by sequencing (75-cycle paired end) on the Illumina HiSeq4000 platform.

## RNA-Seq analysis.

Paired-end reads were aligned against the mm10 genome using the STAR RNA-seq aligner with default parameters outputting bam files using --outSAMtype BAM SortedByCoordinate ${ }^{64}$. BAM file primary alignments with a mapping quality of $>254$ were treated as RNA-Seq data and imported into Seqmonk 1.45.4.
(https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/download.html\#seqmonk). The RNA-Seq quantitation pipeline ( $p<0.05$ and multiple testing correction applied) in SeqMonk utilizing DESeq2 ${ }^{65}$ was performed to identify significantly differentially expressed genes ( $p<0.05$, fold change $>1.5$ and FPKM >1).

## scRNA-Seq.

10,000 Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi }} /$ PdgfRa cells from D4/5 WT and Eomes-null EBs were sorted (BD FACSAria III) into 20 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ PBS/0.05\% BSA (non-acetylated) and the volume topped up to $38 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for loading onto the 10X Chromium Controller. Processing of samples was performed using the Chromium Single Cell 3' library \& Gel Bead Kit v3 (10x Genomics). Barcoded cell cDNAs were pooled and converted to sequence ready libraries. Multiplexed libraries were then sequenced on Illumina Nextseq using a high output 150bp Nextseq V2.5 kit.

## scRNA-Seq analysis.

Sequencing data was demultiplexed in the binary base call (BCL) format, FASTQ files were aligned to the mm10 genome using 10X Genomics Cell Ranger software (version 2.0.0) and unique molecular identifier (UMI) counts determined. The Seurat v3 software package ${ }^{54,66}$ was used in R Studio to perform scRNA-Seq analysis. Pre-processing was performed and cells with $>2000$ RNA features and $<8 \%$ mitochondrial RNA were used for downstream analysis. Each sample was randomly down sampled to include 3805 cells and WT and Eomes-null samples from days 4 and 5 were merged together, normalized and 2000 variable features were identified using the VST method. Integration anchors for WT and Eomes-null Seurat objects were identified and integration was performed using the first 20 principle component (PC) dimensions. Clusters were identified and UMAPs generated using the first 16 PC and a resolution of 0.8 . Cell type identities were assigned by comparing the conserved markers from each of the 13 clusters to cell type markers previously published for cell types identified in E6.5 to E8.5 mouse embryos ${ }^{15}$. Supplementary Table 1 lists the conserved markers.

In vitro cell type annotation was performed by mapping against the mouse gastrulation atlas ${ }^{15}$. Raw counts from both experiments were merged and normalised using the scater (1.14.6) package ${ }^{67}$. Then, highly variable genes were extracted from the joint data using scran's (1.14.6) decomposeVar function ${ }^{68}$. Genes with an FDR lower than 0.05 were considered as highly variable and used to compute the top fifty principal components along the joint dataset. Batch correction was performed on the gastrulation atlas principal components using the fastMNN's algorithm following the exact procedure from the original publication ${ }^{15}$. Integrated datasets were also corrected using fastMNN. In vitro cells were annotated by looking at the top 35 nearest neighbours from the atlas and selecting the most frequent cell type. A mapping score was assigned to reflect the mapped cell type frequency, where a higher score indicates a more consistent annotation. In vitro cells were additionally mapped back onto the force directed graph of hematoendothelial cells (hematoendothelial differentiation trajectory) from the original publication ${ }^{15}$ by identifying the single nearest neighbour.

## ATAC-seq.

Tagmentation and indexing of single cell suspensions ( $6 \times 10^{4}$ cells) from three independent differentiations of WT (CCE) and Eomes-null (clone 6A6, CCE) day 4 Flk-1 ${ }^{\text {hi } / P d g f R a}{ }^{-}$cells was performed as previously described ${ }^{38}$. To control for sequence bias of the Tn5 transposase, 100ng genomic DNA of WT (CCE) ESCs was also tagmented and indexed. Libraries were purified with two rounds of Agencourt AMPure XP bead cleanup (Agencourt, $1.5 \times$ bead:sample ratio). Library size and concentration were determined using the 2100 Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent). Samples were sequenced using a 75-cycle paired end Nextera kit with custom Nextera index primers taken from Table S1 in Buenrostro et al. $2013^{38}$ on the Illumina HiSeq4000 platform.

## ATAC-seq analysis.

Paired-end reads were aligned to the mouse genome assembly mm10 using Bowtie2 ${ }^{69}$ with the verysensitive option, sorted and mitochondrial reads discarded using Samtools ${ }^{70}$ and duplicates removed using Picard (https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Bigwig files were generated using deepTools2 ${ }^{711}$. Biological replicates were randomly downsampled to contain the same number of reads for each individual sample and peaks in each sample called using MACS2 ${ }^{72}$ using the Tn5 control as a control. MACS2 called peaks with a p-value $<10^{-3}$ were used in downstream analyses. Significant changes in ATAC-Seq datasets were identified using the DiffBind package (version 2.2.12) (http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DiffBind.html) using bam files and an integrative bed file of all identified peaks in each sample with the following filters: FDR $<0.05$, fold change $>1.5$. GREAT 4.0.4 analysis ${ }^{73}$ was performed using default basal plus extension parameters with whole genome as a background to identify peak-gene associations. Enrichment of TF motifs in differentially accessible ATAC-seq peaks was performed using the Analysis of Motif Enrichment (AME 4.12.0) feature in the MEME suite ${ }^{74}$ with a background control of all ATAC-seq peaks.

Bigwig files were downloaded from GSE47085 and genomic coordinates were converted from mm 9 to mm 10 using Crossmap 0.2.9 ${ }^{75}$. An SCL peak file was downloaded from Supplementary Table S1A from Van Handel et al. $2015^{39}$ and genomic coordinates were converted from mm 9 to mm 10 using UCSC LiftOver. Bedtools was used to intersect peak files and identify overlapping genomic regions ${ }^{76}$. GREAT 4.0.4 analysis $^{73}$ was performed using default basal plus extension parameters with whole genome as a background to identify peak-gene association. Identified genes were annotated in Seqmonk 1.45.4 for comparison of ATAC-Seq and gene expression changes from RNA-Seq. Changes in ATAC-Seq signal were assessed using heatmaps generated in deepTools2 ${ }^{71}$, and genomic snapshots visualized in $\mathrm{IGV}^{77}$ generated from bigwig files creates using deepTools2 ${ }^{71}$.

## CRISPR modification of ESCs.

Custom synthetic crRNA (using the CC-Top CRISPR design tool ${ }^{78}$ ) and ssODNs (Integrated DNA Technologies, USA) were resuspended in IDT duplex buffer. Sequences are provided in Supplementary Table 5. Cas9 ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes were assembled immediately prior to electroporation following the manufacturer's protocol (Alt-R CRISPR-Cas9 System:Delivery of ribonucleoprotein complexes into Jurkat T cells using the Neon® Transfection System). ESC were electroporated using the Neon transfection system (Voltage $=1600 \mathrm{~V}$, pulse width $=10 \mathrm{~ms}$, number of pulses $=3$ ) with the appropriate ssODN ( 50 pmol ) and RNP. If two crRNAs were used then RNPs were produced separately and mixed 1:1 prior to electroporation.

For generation of Eomes-null Runx1-Venus and Eomes-null SCL-Cherry reporter and Eomes-null iRunx1 ESCs two custom crRNAs (Supplementary Table 6) were designed to recapitulate the
previously described Eomes loss of function allele ${ }^{23}$. ssODNs were designed that contained a $5^{\prime}$ homology arm upstream of the intron 1 DNA DS break site, followed by insertion of a new EcoRV, Sph1 or Spe1 restriction site and a 3' homology arm located downstream of the intron 5 DNA break site (Supplementary Table 6). 10 uL of $2 \times 10^{4}$ ESCs in Buffer $R$ were electroporated with 1 uL of a 1:1 mix of the RNPs and 2 uL of the ssODN. Low density plating was performed after 72 hrs , and after 710 days clones were picked and screened using a three primer PCR strategy that simultaneously amplified the WT allele and the null allele (Supplementary Table 2). Genotypes of clones were verified using Southern blotting or PCR followed by restriction enzyme digests.

For generation of the Eomes ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 5 / \mathrm{N} 5}$ ESC lines a custom crRNA was designed to target the UAG translational stop site in exon 6 of Eomes and a ssODN was designed to insert a triple glycine V5 epitope tag (3XGly-V5) directly upstream of the UAG translational stop site of Eomes (Supplementary Table 6). Homozygous insertion of the 3XGly-V5 tag at the C-terminus of the Eomes locus was assessed by PCR across the C-terminus of Eomes with primers listed in Supplementary Table 2. In frame insertion with the correct $3 X G l y-V 5$ sequence was confirmed via Sanger sequencing of a 971 bp PCR product (Supplementary Table 2) that spanned the C-terminus of Eomes. Western blotting and immunofluorescence staining were performed on day 4 EBs to confirm successful V5 tagging of the endogenous Eomes protein.

## Generation of the Runx1-Venus ESC reporter line.

For generation of the Runx1-Venus mouse ESC reporter line a 3xFlag-P2A-Venus was inserted in the last exon of Runx1 (exon 6), before the stop codon. Briefly, a 4kb 5' homology arm and a 4.2 3' homology arm were amplified from E14 gDNA by PCR and cloned into pUC19 (Invitrogen). A GeneARt (Invitrogen) synthetic construct consisting of Runx1_ex6-3xFlag-P2A-Venus was cloned into the pUC19 vector containing the homology arms using Gibson assembly (pUC19-Runx1-
Venus_GA_FP: ccaacatgccccccgCGCGCCTGGAGGAGGCCG, pUC19-Runx1Venus_GA_RP: cggcaggcccagtccCTCGATGGCGATGGCGCTC). The resulting pUC19-Runx1_Ex6-P2A-Venus-Long HAconstruct was used for transfection into undifferentiated E14 ESCs with a CRISPR/Cas9 vector expressing a gRNA (Supplementary Table 5) that cut immediately preceding the RUNX1 stop codon. The guide RNA (gRNA) was designed using the MIT CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/) ${ }^{79}$. The double stranded gRNA was constructed by annealing oligos (Integrated DNA Technologies; IDT) consisting of the gRNA sequence (forward) and reverse complement sequences (reverse) (Supplementary Table 5). The gRNA was cloned into the Bbsl site of pX458 (Addgene plasmid 48138); Bbsl restriction sites were added to the oligos for this purpose. All constructs were verified by Sanger sequencing. E14 ESCs were transfected using TransIT LT1 (Mirus) and a pX458:pUC19 ratio of 1:2. Integration was checked by long-range PCR with a forward primer outside of the 5' HA and a reverse primer 3' of the Runx1 endogenous stop codon, generating a 5.8 kb amplicon for the correctly targeted allele and a 4.4 kb amplicon for the wild type allele
(Supplementary Table 2). A clone with the Venus reporter integrated in both alleles (RV11) was used for all experiments.

## ChIP-Seq.

Day 4 Eomes ${ }^{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{N} 5}$ (CCE) and WT (CCE) D4 EBs were dissociated and $\sim 3-4 \times 10^{7}$ cells were crosslinked for 10 min at RT with $1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ formaldehyde, and quenched with 125 mM glycine. Nuclei were recovered and lysed to obtain chromatin, which was then sonicated to 200-500 bp, pre-cleared with protein G Dynabeads (Thermofisher Scientific) and $\sim 175$ ug of chromatin was immunoprecipitated with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of anti-V5 (Abcam cat\# ab9116, lot\# GR: 322448-4) bound to protein G Dynabeads overnight on a rotator at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dynabeads were washed and immune complexes were eluted in IP elution buffer ( $1 \%$ SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO ), reverse crosslinked overnight at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{RNaseA}$ treated for 1.5 hrs at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, proteinase K treated for 2 hrs at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and DNA was recovered using a Zymo column kit. DNA was multiplexed and paired end sequencing was performed on a single lane of an Illumina HiSeq4000 platform.

## ChIP-Seq analysis.

Paired-end reads were aligned to the mouse genome assembly mm10 using Bowtie2 ${ }^{69}$ with default parameters. PCR duplicates were removed using Samtools $1.9^{70}$. Peaks were called against input DNA using MACS 2.1.2 ${ }^{72}$ and were considered significant if they had a fold enrichment $>2$ and FDR < 0.05. Bedtools 2.27.1 ${ }^{76}$ was used to intersect the peak files from both Eomes ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 5 / \mathrm{V} 5}$ clones and subtract peaks called in the WT control and genomic intervals located in mm10 blacklist regions (https://www.encodeproject.org/files/ENCFF547MET/@@download/ENCFF547MET.bed.gz), generating a peak file containing 338 genomic intervals used for downstream analyses (Supplementary Table 6). The HOMER (v4.10.4) software package ${ }^{80}$ was used to perform motif enrichment analysis. GREAT 4.0.4 analysis ${ }^{73}$ was performed using default basal plus extension parameters with the whole genome used as a control to identify peak-gene associations. Heatmaps and bigwig files were generated using Deeptools 3.1.3 ${ }^{71}$. Bigwig files and peak files were downloaded from GSE69101 ${ }^{49}$. Data from GSE $110164^{50}$ were downloaded and used to generate peak files and bigwig files as previously described ${ }^{81}$. Bigwig files and peak files were downloaded from GSE128466 ${ }^{51}$.

## Statistics and Reproducibility.

Statistical analyses for flow cytometry and colony forming assays were performed using GraphPad Prism (8.1.0).

## Data availability statement.

The RNA-Seq, scRNA-Seq, ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) GSE140005. Previously published sequencing data that were re-
analysed here are available under accession code GSE110164, GSE128466 and GSE47085. All other data supporting the findings of this study and biological materials presented in this study are available on reasonable request. Source data are available for this paper.
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|  | Conserved Marker List (Positive Only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cell Identity | Primitive Streak | Mesoderm 1 | HE 1 | Mesoderm 2 | HE2 | HE3 | Blood 1 | Blood 2 | Endo 1 | Endo 2 | HE4 | Allantois 1 | Allantois 2 |
| Figure Cluster | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
|  | Pou5f1 | Mixl1 | Lmo2 | Mixl1 | Lmo2 | Fgf3 | Ube2c | Gsta4 | Ctla2a | Ctla2a | Csrp2 | Cdkn1c | Hand1 |
|  | Fst | Krt8 | Ifitm3 | Tdgf1 | Ube2c | Hand1 | Gclm | Rnd2 | Vim | Vim | Etv2 | Csrp2 | Spin2c |
|  | Tdgf1 | Tdgf1 | Akap12 | Cenpf | Nrp2 | Zfpm1 | Prc1 | Gclm | Ecscr | Tmsb4x | Igfbp4 | Twist1 | Phlda2 |
|  | T | Hand1 | Upp1 | Tgfb2 | Exoc31 | Hist1h1b | Cenpf | Fth1 | Tmsb4x | Ramp2 | Map1b | Cdx2 | Pmp22 |
|  | Emb | Amot |  | Gpc3 | Cenpf | Cbfa2t3 | Rnd2 | Prkar2b | Ramp2 | Apoe | Mmp9 | DIk1 | Cdkn1c |
|  | Fgf8 | Gpc3 |  | Amot | Prc1 |  | Top2a | Hist1h1b | Gja4 | Cdh5 | Dok4 | Pitx1 | Csrp2 |
|  | Mt1 | lfitm1 |  | Ube2c | Arl6ip1 |  | Zfpm1 | Ft11 | Sparc | Mest | Hspa5 | Spin2c | Pitx1 |
|  | Psme2 | Tgfb2 |  | Mesp1 | Cdk1 |  | Fth1 | Zfpm1 | Mest | Ecscr | Egfl7 | Vcan | Cdx2 |
|  | Krt8 | Mesp1 |  | lfitm1 | Cenpe |  | Prkar2b | F2r | Col4a1 | Gja4 | Serpinh1 | Tgfb2 | SIc38a4 |
|  | Epcam | Wls |  | Arl6ip1 | Etv2 |  | Malat1 | Srm | Egfl7 | Ctsl | Hsp90b1 | Sox4 | Tgfb2 |
|  | lfitm3 | Dstn |  | Wls | Gadd45g |  | Jpt1 | Col18a1 | Cdh5 | Sparc | Kdr | Map1b | Twist1 |
|  | Ldhb | T |  | Top2a | Top2a |  | Skap1 | Fgf3 | Crip2 | Itm2a | lfitm1 | SIc38a4 | Foxf1 |
|  | Mixl1 | Ckb |  | Hand1 | Plk1 |  | Tacc1 | Orc6 | Ctsl | Col4a1 | Gpc3 | Swap70 | Msx1 |
|  | Cyb5a | Prtg |  | Ccnb1 | Tpx2 |  | Tubb4b | Apoe | Itm2a | Gsta4 | Cald1 | Phlda2 | Ccnd2 |
|  | Krt18 | Ldhb |  | Tceal9 | Ccnb1 |  | Ccnb1 |  | Igfbp4 | Crip2 | Tal1 | Hspa5 | Bambi |
|  | Ckb | Actg1 |  | Meg3 | Bmp2 |  | Hmmr |  | Hist1h1b | lgf1 | Ssr2 | Igf2r | Sfrp1 |
|  | L1td1 | Tpm1 |  | Gata6 | Akap12 |  | Aurka |  | Tmsb10 | Col18a1 | Slc16a3 | Gpx3 | Msx2 |
|  | Aldh2 |  |  | Actg1 | Smc4 |  | Plk1 |  | Col18a1 | Tmsb10 | Rbms1 | Grb10 | Crabp1 |
|  | Chchd10 |  |  | Gja1 | Cks2 |  | Cks2 |  | Col4a2 | Ets1 | Sox18 | Hsp90b1 | Morc4 |
|  | Cdkn1a |  |  | Ypel3 | Kif20b |  | H2afx |  | Rasip1 | Selenop | Pdia3 | Etv2 | Wnt5a |
|  | S100a11 |  |  | Cenpe | Kif11 |  | Cdk1 |  | Exoc314 | Col4a2 | Pdia6 | Plac1 | Peg10 |
|  | Sp5 |  |  | Plk1 | Mki67 |  | Aurkb |  | Esam | Txnip | Lmo2 | Plpp3 | Plpp3 |
|  | Tagln |  |  | Prtg | Egfl7 |  | Ddah2 |  | Pecam1 | F2r | Manf | Peg10 | Sall4 |
|  | Psme1 |  |  | Tpm1 | Tal1 |  | Cdca8 |  | Abhd17a | Ets2 | Dusp4 | Afdn | MIlt3 |
|  | Ezr |  |  | Kif11 | Incenp |  | Cenpe |  | Ets1 | Ralb | Mdk | Kdr | Basp1 |
|  | Cldn7 |  |  | Smc4 | Mis18bp1 |  | Nusap1 |  | Tuba1a | Gngt2 | Fam107b | Pdia3 | Tceal9 |
|  | S100a10 |  |  | Nusap1 | Spc25 |  | Mki67 |  | Gngt2 | Rasip1 | Gcc2 | Sept6 | Hand2 |
|  | Sprr2a3 |  |  | Sgo2a | Grrp1 |  | Phlda1 |  | Pgk1 | Pecam1 | Nrp1 | Bambi | Rbpms2 |
|  | Tmem185a |  |  | Mki67 | Aurka |  | Ft11 |  | Gng11 | Tmem158 |  | Vgll4 | Rhou |
|  | Spint2 |  |  | Cdc42ep5 | Hmmr |  | Incenp |  | Rasgrp3 | Marc2 |  | Parp1 | Igf2r |
|  | Npm3 |  |  | Incenp | Bub3 |  | Conf |  | H1f0 | Pgk1 |  | Hoxa7 | Tbx4 |
|  | Stmn2 |  |  | Cdk1 | Nusap1 |  | Tpx2 |  | Myzap | Hapln1 |  | Ccnjl | Suv39h1 |
|  | Psmb8 |  |  | Rftn1 | Kif23 |  | Aspm |  | Hoxb2 | Gng11 |  | Capn6 | Parp1 |
|  | Dppa5a |  |  | Mis18bp1 | Dbf4 |  | F2r |  | Icam2 | Cmas |  | Amot | TIn2 |
|  | Terf1 |  |  | Tpx2 | ler5I |  | Sgo2a |  | Creg1 | Arpc3 |  | Sfrp1 | Fabp5 |
|  | Phlda2 |  |  | Cks2 |  |  | Ckap2l |  | Elk3 | Abhd17a |  | Manf | Snai1 |
|  | Psmb10 |  |  | T |  |  | Arl6ip1 |  | Pdia6 | Msn |  | Mdk | Rbbp7 |
|  | Meg3 |  |  | Spc25 |  |  | Cenpa |  | Afap111 | Pdia3 |  | Tbx 4 | Slc2a1 |
|  | Krt19 |  |  | Kif20b |  |  | Cdc20 |  | Sox17 | Esam |  | Hoxa9 | Efnb1 |
|  | Eno1 |  |  | St3gal6 |  |  | Racgap1 |  | Clic1 | Fst11 |  | Crabp1 | Hoxa7 |
|  | Akap12 |  |  | Ncapg |  |  | Kif11 |  | Swap70 | Cmtm8 |  | Hoxc9 | Amot |
|  | Tgfb2 |  |  | Prc1 |  |  | Kif23 |  | Ube2c | Afap111 |  | Tceal9 | Tbx3 |
|  | Plekhf2 |  |  | Aurka |  |  | Klf13 |  | Ets2 | Sox17 |  | Twist2 | Hoxb6 |
|  | Acer2 |  |  | S100a11 |  |  | Smc4 |  | Ndufa8 | Cldn5 |  | Dbn1 | Bmp7 |
|  | Acadl |  |  |  |  |  | Ets2 |  | Cd81 | Gm45716 |  | Basp1 | Is11 |
|  | Mt2 |  |  |  |  |  | Anln |  | Cdk1 | Gabarap |  | Sox11 | Ugdh |
|  | Ap1m2 |  |  |  |  |  | Vat1 |  | Fli1 | Tek |  | Jag1 | Plac1 |
|  | Irf1 |  |  |  |  |  | Birc5 |  | Tek | Tie1 |  | H2afy2 | Pdgfa |
|  | Myl12b |  |  |  |  |  | Ccna2 |  | Cgnl1 | Gap43 |  | Rdx | Capn6 |
|  | Wfdc2 |  |  |  |  |  | Pimreg |  | Msn | Prcp |  | Slc2a1 | Pja1 |
|  | Tspan13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N4bp3 | Gmfg |  | Magi3 | Arhgap29 |
|  | Dstn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Plvap | Anxa5 |  | Mmp2 | Dok4 |
|  | Dnmt3b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arhgap29 | Swap70 |  | Rbpms2 | Bex3 |
|  | Rbp1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BC028528 |  |  | Hoxd9 | Tbx2 |
|  | Actg1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Zfp711 |  |  | Oat | Krt19 |
|  | Cited1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ppp1r2 |  |  | Cd24a | Rps6ka6 |
|  | Evx1os |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Selenop |  |  | Tpd52l1 | Peg3 |
|  | Car2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arpc3 |  |  | Nxn | Unc5c |
|  | Tcea3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cd34 |  |  | Wnt5a |  |
|  | Gpc3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Isl1 |  |
|  | Gldc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Akap12 |  |
|  | Tapbp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rasd1 |  |
|  | Peg3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dok4 |  |
|  | Gja1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hmgb1 |  |
|  | Scly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Atp1b1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tceal8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mkrn1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pdgfrl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cdh1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stx 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cox7a2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Igfbp2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dusp6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tpd52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rhoc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|fitm1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nub1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ppp4r4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bex1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bex4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Reagent or Resource | Source | Identifier | Lot \# | RRID | Dilution | Application |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-mouse Eomes | Abcam | Cat \#ab23345 | lot: GR306193-1 | RRID:AB_778267 | 1 in 100/500 | Immunofluorescence |
| Rabbit monoclonal anti-mouse Runx1 | Abcam | Cat\# ab92336 | Lot: GR107772-16 | RRID:AB_2049267 | 1 in 100 |  |
| Rabbit monoclonal anti-mouse VEGFR2 (Flk1) | Cell Signalling Technology | Cat\# 2479 | Lot: 18 | RRID:AB_2212507 | 1 in 500 |  |
| Chicken polyclonal anti-GFP | Abcam | Cat\# ab13970 | Lot: GR3190550-4 | RRID:AB_300798 | 1 in 1000 |  |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse Flk-1 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# 14-5821-82 |  | RRID:AB_467623 | 1 in 200 |  |
| Goat polyclonal anti-mouse T | SantaCruz Biotechnology | Cat\# sc-17743 | Lot: A1614 | RRID:AB_634980 | 1 in 100 |  |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-V5 Tag | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# R960-25 |  | RRID:AB_2556564 | 1 in 200 |  |
| Donkey anti-rabbit lgG AlexaFluor 488 (\#670) | Life Technologies | Cat\# A-21206 | Lot: 1480470 | RRID:AB_141708 | 1 in 200 |  |
| Goat anti-Chicken AlexaFluor 488 (\#722) | Life Technologies | Cat\# A-11039 | Lot: 1637891 | RRID:AB_141708 | 1 in 200 |  |
| Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG AlexaFluor 594 (\#714) | Life Technologies | Cat\# A-21207 | Lot: 1938375 | RRID:AB_141637 | 1 in 200 |  |
| Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG AlexaFluor 488 | Life Technologies | Cat\# A-21202 | Lot: 1975519 | RRID:AB_141607 | 1 in 400 |  |
| Donkey anti-Rat AlexaFluor 594 (\#680) | Life Technologies | Cat\# A-21209 |  | RRID:AB_2535795 | 1 in 400 |  |
| Donkey anti-goat lgG AlexaFluor 594 (\#671) | Life Technologies | Cat\# A-11058 | Lot: 1445994 | RRID:AB_142540 | 1 in 200 |  |
| DAPI | BIOTIUM | Cat\# 40043 | Lot: 1900122 |  | 1 in 5000 |  |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse Flk-1 APC | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# 17-5821-81 | Lot: 1998335 | RRID:AB_657866 | 1 in 40 | Flow Cytometry |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse PdgfRa PECy7 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# 25-1401-82 | Lot: 4334654 | RRID:AB_2573400 | 1 in 160 |  |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse CD144 APC | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# 17-1441-82 | Lot: 4319919 | RRID:AB_10598508 | 1 in 160 |  |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse CD144 PeCy7 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# 25-1441-82 | Lot: 4346553 | RRID:AB_2573402 | 1 in 160 |  |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse CD117 BV605 | BioLegend | Cat\# 105847 | Lot: B274670 | RRID:AB_2783047 | 1 in 160 |  |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse CD117 APC | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# 17-1172-82 | Lot: 4277766 | RRID:AB_469433 | 1 in 160 |  |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse CD41a PECy7 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# 24-0411-82 | Lot: 4293461 | RRID:AB_1234970 | 1 in 200 |  |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse CD45 PECy7 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# 25-0451-82 | Lot: 4329704 | RRID:AB_469625 | 1 in 160 |  |
| Rat monoclonal anti-mouse CD31 APC | BioLegend | Cat\# 102510 | Lot: 13233286 | RRID:AB_312917 | 1 in 80 |  |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-V5 Tag, FITC | Invitrogen | Cat\# R963-25 |  | RRID:AB_2556567 | 1 in 400 |  |
| DAPI | BD Biosciences | Cat\# 564907 | Lot: 8012653 |  | 1 in 5000 |  |
| V5-tag antibody - ChIP Grade | Abcam | Cat\# ab9116 | Lot: GR: 322448-4 | RRID:AB_307024 |  | ChIP |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-mouse Eomes | Abcam | Cat\# ab23345 | Lot: GR733208 | RRID:AB_778267 | 1 in 2000 | Western Blot |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-V5 Tag | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat\# R960-25 | Lot: 1923773 | RRID:AB_2556564 | 1 in 1000 |  |
| Sheep anti-mouse HRP Conjugated (\#160) | GE Healthcare | Cat \# NA931V | Lot: 9621358 | RRID: AB_772210 | 1 in 2000 |  |
| Donkey anti-rabbit HRP Conjugated (\#159) | GE Healthcare | Cat\# NA934V | Lot: 9761196 | RRID: AB_772206 | 1 in 2000 |  |
| Anti-APC Microbeads | Miltenyi Biotec | Cat\# 130090855 | Lot: 5190123600 |  | 1 in 5 | MACS |


| Name | Sequence | Application |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iCre | TCAGTCCCCATCCTCGAGCAGCCTCACCATGGCCCCAGTCTCAG AGTCCAGGTTTCTGATGTAGTTCATCACAATGTTCACATTGGTCCA GCCACCAGCCTGCATGATTTCAGGGATGGACACACCAGCCCTGG CCATGTCCCTGGCAGCACCCACTCTGGCAGAGTGGCCAGACCA GGCCAGGTATCTCTGCCCAGAGTCATCCTTGGCACCATAGATCA GGCGGTGGGTGGCCTCAAAGATCCCTTCCAGGGCCCGGGTGGA CAGTTGGGAGGTGGCAGAAGGGGCAGCCACACCATTCTTTCTGA CCCGGCAGAACAGGTAGTTGTTGGGGTCATCAGCCACACCAGAC ACAGAGATCCATCTCTCCACCAGCTTGGTAACCCCCAGGGACAG GGCCTTCTCCACACCAGCTGTGGACACCAGGGTCTTGGTCCTGC CAATGTGGATCAGCATTCTCCCACCATCGGTGCGGGAGATGTCCT TCACTCTGATTCTGGCAATTTCGGCAATGCGCAGCAGGGTGTTGT AGGCAATGCCCAGGAAGGCCAGGTTCCTGATGTCCTGGCATCTG TCAGAGTTCTCCATCAGGGATCTGACTTGGTCAAAGTCAGTGCGT TCAAAGGCCAGGGCCTGCTTGGCTCTCTCCCCAGCATCCACATT CTCCTTTCTGATTCTCCTCATCACCAGGGACACAGCATTGGAGTC AGAAGGGCGAGGCAGGCCAGATCTCCTGTGCAGCATGTTGAGCT GGCCCAGGTGCTGTTGGATGGTCTTCACAGCCAGGCCTCTGGCT TGCAGGTACAGGAGGTAGTCCCTCACATCCTCAGGTTCAGCAGG GAACCATTTCCTGTTGTTCAGCTTGCACCAGGCAGCCCAGGATCT GCACACAGACAGGAGCATCTTCCAGGTGTGTTCAGAGAAGG | WISH |


| Figure ID | Company | Product \# | Sequence | Application |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gRNA\#1(Protospacer) | N/A | N/A | CGATGGCGCTCAGCTCAGTAGGG | Generation of the Runx1Venus ESC Reporter Line |
| gRNA\#1Forward Oligo | N/A | N/A | caccgCGATGGCGCTCAGCTCAGTA |  |
| gRNA\#1Reverse Oligo | N/A | N/A | aaacCCCTACTGAGCTGAGCGCCATCGc |  |
| gRNA \#2 | Integrated DNA Technologies | Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 crRNA | GGTTTGCAGCAGGCGATTTGTGG | Re-generation of Eomes-null ESC Line in Runx1-Venus and SCL-mCherry ESC lines (Figure S3.a-c) |
| gRNA \#3 | Integrated DNA Technologies | Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 crRNA | AGAGGCATCCCGGCACCCTGAGG |  |
| ssODN \#1 | Integrated DNA Technologies | Ultramer® DNA Oligo |  AAATAAACTCTATTCTATACTATTCCATCT TGTGGCTGGTCCCTCAGGGATATCGCCT GCTGCAAACCCAGGAGCCAGCGGGTCAC GTAGATCTGCCCTCAAGGGTTCATTCCCA AATTTCCATC* ${ }^{*}$ C |  |
| ssODN \#2 (Sph1) | Integrated DNA Technologies | Ultramer® DNA Oligo | C* ${ }^{*}$ © TCTTAACCTCCCTCCCCATGCCCT AAATAAACTCTATTCTATACTATTCCATCT TGTGGCTGGTCCCTCAGGGCATGCGCCT GCTGCAAACCCAGGAGCCAGCGGGTCAC GTAGATCTGCCCTCAAGGGTTCATTCCCA AATTTCCATC* ${ }^{*}$ © | Re-generation of Eomes-null ESC Line in iRunx1 ESC lines (Figure S3.d,e) |
| ssODN \#3 (Spe1) | Integrated DNA Technologies | Ultramer® DNA Oligo | C***CTCTTAACCTCCCTCCCCATGCCCT AAATAAACTCTATTCTATACTATTCCATCT TGTGGCTGGTCCCTCAGGACTAGTGCCT GCTGCAAACCCAGGAGCCAGCGGGTCAC GTAGATCTGCCCTCAAGGGTTCATTCCCA AATTTCCATC* ${ }^{*}$ * $C$ |  |
| gRNA \#4 | Integrated DNA Technologies | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 } \\ & \text { crRNA } \end{aligned}$ | AAGGTTAAAATAATGCTCTAGGG |  |
| ssODN \#4 | Integrated DNA Technologies | Ultramer® DNA Oligo | G***AACCTAGGCAAAGAACACAACAAAA CACCACCAGGTCCATCTGGAAAGGTTAAA GGTTAAAATAATGCTCTACGTAGAATCGA GACCGAGGAGAGGGTTAGGGATAGGCTT ACCTCCTCCTCCGGGACTTGTGTAAAAAG CATAATAAGCCCCCATGCCTTTGGAGGTG TCTTTACTGTACTCTTCAGTGTTAAT*G*T | Generation of Eomes-V5 ESC Line (Figure S5) |
| *Phosphorothioated DNA bases |  |  |  |  |
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