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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 AGT correspondence

The AGT correspondence [1] with various generalizations makes the connection between 4 D supersymmetric gauge theory with $\Omega$-deformation [2] and 2D conformal field theory (CFT) with a generic central charge. In this paper we will focus on the correspondence between a $4 \mathrm{D} \mathcal{N}=2 \mathrm{U}(N)$ supersymmetric gauge theory on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and a 2 D CFT with the symmetry algebra

$$
\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)=\mathcal{H} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l l}}(n)_{N} \oplus \frac{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l} l}(N)_{p-N}}{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n+p-N}}
$$

which acts on the equivariant cohomology of instanton moduli space [3-5] (see also [6]). Here $\mathcal{H}$ is the affine Heisenberg algebra, and $p$, which parametrizes the central charge in the 2 D CFT , is related to the ratio $\epsilon_{1} / \epsilon_{2}$ of the $\Omega$-deformation parameters $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ (see eqs. (2.7) and (2.8)). The 2D CFT, in particular, has the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}$ ( $n$-th parafermion $\mathcal{W}_{N}$ ) symmetry $[7,8]$ described by the third (coset) factor [9-11] in the algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$. When $n=1$, it gives the $\mathcal{W}_{N}$ algebra in [12-14] which contains higher spin currents.

For the gauge theory with an adjoint hypermultiplet, the AGT-corresponding CFT lives on a torus $T^{2}$. In the case of $\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}=0$ (corresponding to $p \rightarrow \infty$ ), the $\mathrm{U}(N)$ instanton partition function on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ for the massless adjoint hypermultiplet yields the partition function of an $\mathcal{N}=4$ twisted Yang-Mills theory enumerating torus fixed points on the moduli space of instantons, which is labelled by $N$-tuples of $n$-coloured Young diagrams $\left(Y_{1}^{\sigma_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{N}^{\sigma_{N}}\right)$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges $\sigma_{I} \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}, 1 \leq I \leq N$ (see e.g. [15, 16]). The twisted partition function is well-known to give a character of the 2D CFT [17-19], where a string theory interpretation is given in [20].

### 1.2 AGT correspondence for minimal models

The AGT correspondence for minimal models was proposed in [21-23] (see also [24, 25] for early works) when $n=1$, and it was generalized to $n \geq 2$ in [26]. When $p$ in the algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$ is an integer with $p \geq N$, one finds that the $\mathrm{U}(N)$ instanton partition function on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ has non-physical poles which need to be removed and supposed to correspond to $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}$ minimal model null states. The poles are parametrized by positive integers $r_{I}$ and $s_{I}, 0 \leq I<N$, with $\sum_{I=0}^{N-1} r_{I}=p$ and $\sum_{I=0}^{N-1} s_{I}=p+n$, and shown to be removed by imposing Burge conditions

$$
Y_{I, i}^{\sigma_{I}} \geq Y_{I+1, i+r_{I}-1}^{\sigma_{I+1}}-s_{I}+1 \quad \text { for } \quad i \geq 1,0 \leq I<N
$$

on $N$-tuples of $n$-coloured Young diagrams $\left(Y_{1}^{\sigma_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{N}^{\sigma_{N}}\right)$, where $Y_{0}^{\sigma_{0}}=Y_{N}^{\sigma_{N}}$, and the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges $\sigma_{I}$ satisfy the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge conditions $\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I+1} \equiv-r_{I}+s_{I}(\bmod n), 0 \leq I<N$, with $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{N}$.

Following the algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$, the generating functions of the coloured Young diagrams with the Burge conditions and the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge conditions, that we will refer as Burge-reduced generating functions, are expected to be decomposed into $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}$ WZW
(Wess-Zumino-Witten model) characters [27] and $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters (branching functions of the coset factor in $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)[10,28])$ up to a Heisenberg factor. In [26] we discussed the special case $p=N$ in which the coset factor in $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$ is trivialized and, using the results in the crystal graph theory of [29], showed that the Burge-reduced generating functions indeed give the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}( }(n)_{N}$ WZW characters. The aim of this paper is to generalize it to integral $p \geq N$ and propose how the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters are obtained from the Burge-reduced generating functions.

### 1.3 Plan of the paper

In section 2, we summarize the minimal ingredients about the AGT correspondence for minimal models and introduce $\operatorname{SU}(N)$ Burge-reduced generating functions of $n$-coloured Young diagrams by subtracting the overall $\mathrm{U}(1)$ factor corresponding to $\mathcal{H}$. We then recall that the Burge-reduced generating functions in the special case $p=N$ agree with the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}$ WZW characters. In section 3 we generalize it to $p \geq N$ and propose Conjecture 3.5 which states a decomposition of the Burge-reduced generating functions into the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}$ WZW characters and the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters. The conjectural decomposition formula is considered to be a generalization of a character decomposition formula in [30,31] for $p \rightarrow \infty$ established in the context of the level-rank duality [32-34]. We check the conjecture, by extracting the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters from the Burge-reduced generating functions, for $(N, n, p)=(2,2,4),(3,3,4)$ in section 4 and for $(N, n, p)=(2,3,3),(2,4,4),(3,2,4),(4,2,5)$ in appendix C. Section 5 is devoted to summary and outlook. In appendix A we summarize some string functions, and in appendix B we give some examples of the dominant integral weights of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}(n)_{N}}$ which are dual to the dominant integral weights of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n}$ defined in section 3.2.

### 1.4 Notation

We use the following notation of affine Lie algebras (see [26, appendix A]).
Consider the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(M)$, and define the index sets $\mathcal{I}_{M}=\{0,1, \ldots, M-1\}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{M}=\{1,2, \ldots, M-1\}$. Let $\alpha_{i}$ and $\Lambda_{i}$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}_{M}$ be the simple roots and fundamental


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle=A_{i j}, \quad\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \Lambda_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}, \quad\left\langle\Lambda_{i}, \Lambda_{j}\right\rangle=\min \{i, j\}-\frac{i j}{M} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_{M}$, where $A$ is the Cartan matrix of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(M) \text {. For the inner product we use a }}$ notation $|\Lambda|^{2}=\langle\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle$. The Weyl vector $\rho$ is defined by $\rho=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{M}} \Lambda_{i}$. The level- $m$ weight lattice $P_{M, m}$, the level- $m$ dominant weight lattice $P_{M, m}^{+}$, the level- $m$ regular dominant weight lattice $P_{M, m}^{++}$and the root lattice $\bar{Q}_{M}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{M, m} & =\left\{\Lambda \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{M}} \mathbb{Z} \Lambda_{i} \mid \Lambda=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{M}} d_{i} \Lambda_{i}, \quad \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{M}} d_{i}=m\right\} \\
P_{M, m}^{+} & =P_{M, m} \cap \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{M}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \Lambda_{i}, \quad P_{M, m}^{++}=P_{M, m} \cap \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{M}} \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \Lambda_{i},  \tag{1.2}\\
\bar{Q}_{M} & =\bigoplus_{i \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{M}} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. The partition $\operatorname{par}(\Lambda)$ for a dominant weight $\Lambda=\left[d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{M-1}\right] \in P_{M, m}^{+}$.

We often use the notation $\left[d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{M-1}\right]$ of Dynkin labels to denote $\Lambda=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{M}} d_{i} \Lambda_{i}$. A partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ for $\Lambda=\left[d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{M-1}\right] \in P_{M, m}^{+}$is introduced by

$$
\lambda_{i}= \begin{cases}\sum_{j=i}^{M-1} d_{j} & \text { if } 1 \leq i<M,  \tag{1.3}\\ 0 & \text { if } i \geq M\end{cases}
$$

and denoted by $\operatorname{par}(\Lambda)$ (see figure 1). The transposed $\operatorname{partition}$ of $\operatorname{par}(\Lambda)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{par}(\Lambda)^{T}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{T}, \lambda_{2}^{T}, \ldots\right)$, and one can write $\Lambda=\operatorname{par}^{-1}(\lambda)$ when $m$ is specified.

## 2 AGT correspondence for $\mathrm{U}(N)$ instanton counting on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$

In this section, we recall some contents in sections 2, 3, 4 and 5 of [26] about the $\mathrm{U}(N)$ instanton counting on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, the AGT correspondence for minimal models and the Burge conditions.

## 2.1 $\mathrm{U}(N)$ instanton counting on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$

The instanton moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{N, n}$ of $\mathrm{U}(N)$ instantons on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is characterized by the fixed point set of $\mathrm{U}(1)^{2} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{N}$ torus action on $\mathcal{M}_{N, n}$, where the $\mathrm{U}(1)^{2}$ torus is generated by the $\Omega$-deformation parameters $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$, through $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon_{1}} z_{1}, \mathrm{e}^{\epsilon_{2}} z_{2}\right)$, and the $\mathrm{U}(1)^{N}$ torus is generated by the Coulomb parameters $a_{I}, I=1,2, \ldots, N$, which parametrize the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathrm{U}(N)$. The fixed point set has the colour coding induced by the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ orbifold of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ as $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{e}^{\left.\frac{2 \pi i}{n} \sigma_{z_{1}}, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2 \pi i}{n}} \sigma_{z_{2}}\right), \sigma=0,1, \ldots, n-1 \text {, and is described }}\right.$ by $N$-tuples of $n$-coloured Young diagrams $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\left(Y_{1}^{\sigma_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{N}^{\sigma_{N}}\right)$ as follows [35, 36].

A coloured Young diagram $Y^{\sigma}$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge $\sigma \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, is a Young diagram whose box at position $(i, j) \in Y^{\sigma}$ has a colour $\sigma-i+j(\bmod n)$. The length of the $i$-row in $Y^{\sigma}$ is denoted by $Y_{i}^{\sigma}$, and the total number of boxes in $Y^{\sigma}$ is $\left|Y^{\sigma}\right|=\sum_{i} Y_{i}^{\sigma}$.

Let $k_{i}, 0 \leq i<n$, be the total number of boxes with colour $i$ in $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}}$ be the set of $N$-tuples of $n$-coloured Young diagrams $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ labelled by the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}=\left(\delta k_{1}, \ldots, \delta k_{n-1}\right)$, where $\delta k_{i}=k_{i}-k_{0}$. The charges $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ define the non-negative
integers $N_{i}$ as the number of coloured Young diagrams with charge $i$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right|:=\sum_{I=1}^{N}\left|Y_{I}^{\sigma_{I}}\right|=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_{i}, \quad N=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} N_{i} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a characterization of the $\mathrm{U}(N)$ instantons on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, consider the first Chern class $c_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{c}_{i} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{i}\right)$ of the gauge bundle. Here $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{i}\right)$ is the first Chern class of an individual vector bundle $\mathcal{T}_{i}$ associated with the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ orbifold, where $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{0}\right)=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{c}_{i}=N_{i}+\delta k_{i-1}-2 \delta k_{i}+\delta k_{i+1}=N_{i}-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{i j} \delta k_{j}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq i<n$, where $k_{n}=k_{0}, k_{-1}=k_{n-1}$, and $A$ denotes the Cartan matrix of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)$.
Now, it is useful to identify the non-negative integers $N_{i}, 0 \leq i<n$, with the Dynkin labels of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)$ in the level- $N$ dominant weight lattice as $\boldsymbol{N}=\left[N_{0}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right] \in P_{n, N}^{+}$. We then introduce a generating function which enumerates the fixed points of $\mathrm{U}(1)^{2} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{N}$ torus action on the instanton moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{N, n}$.

Definition 2.1. For $\boldsymbol{N}=\left[N_{0}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right] \in P_{n, N}^{+}$, the $\operatorname{SU}(N) \mathfrak{t}$-refined generating function of $n$-coloured Young diagrams is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{N}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})=\sum_{\delta k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \delta \boldsymbol{k}}(\mathfrak{q}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{t}_{i}^{\mathfrak{c}_{i}(\delta \boldsymbol{k})} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{c}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k})=N_{i}+\delta k_{i-1}-2 \delta k_{i}+\delta k_{i+1}$ are the Chern classes (2.2), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \delta k}(\mathfrak{q})=(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty} \sum_{\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \delta k}} \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{n}\left|\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right|} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \delta \boldsymbol{k}}(\mathfrak{q})$ does not depend on the ordering of the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and (2.3) is welldefined, ${ }^{1}$ and the prefactor $(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\mathfrak{q}^{n}\right)$ subtracts the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ factor in $\mathrm{U}(N)$ gauge theory.

As mentioned in the introduction, the generating function (2.4) originates with the $\mathrm{U}(N)$ instanton partition function on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with a massless adjoint hypermultiplet in the case of $\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}=0$ and pertains to the partition function of an $\mathcal{N}=4$ twisted Yang-Mills theory on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}[19]$ (see [20] for a string theory interpretation).

### 2.2 Algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$

For a $\mathrm{U}(N)$ gauge theory on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $\Omega$-deformation, the relevant AGT-corresponding CFT possesses symmetry algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)=\mathcal{H} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N}} \oplus \frac{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{p-N}}{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n+p-N}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]which acts on the equivariant cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_{N, n}[3-5]$ (see also [17, 18] for the early notable works by Nakajima), where $\mathcal{H}$ is the affine Heisenberg algebra. This implies that the AGT-corresponding CFT is a combined system of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N}}$ WZW model with the additional $\mathcal{H}$ symmetry and a 2D CFT with the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}\left(n\right.$-th parafermion $\left.\mathcal{W}_{N}\right)$ symmetry described by the coset [9-11]
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{p-N}}{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n+p-N}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The parameter $p$ is related to the $\Omega$-deformation parameters $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{\epsilon_{2}}=-1-\frac{n}{p} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and controls the central charge of the 2D CFT with $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}$ symmetry by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\mathrm{para}}\right)=\frac{n\left(N^{2}-1\right)}{N+n}\left(1-\frac{N(N+n)}{p(p+n)}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, if we take the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ corresponding to $\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}=0$, the algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$ is formally reduced to $\mathcal{H} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n}$. Since the central charge of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}$ WZW model is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}\right)=\frac{N\left(n^{2}-1\right)}{N+n} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the AGT-corresponding CFT with this symmetry algebra has the central charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{N\left(n^{2}-1\right)}{N+n}+\frac{n\left(N^{2}-1\right)}{N+n}=N n \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is considered to be described by $N n$ free fermions (see below (3.22) and e.g. [20]).

### 2.3 Burge conditions

When

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \in \mathbb{N} \text { with } p \geq N \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the ratio of the $\Omega$-deformation parameters (2.7) becomes rational, and then the instanton partition function in $4 \mathrm{D} \mathcal{N}=2 \mathrm{U}(N)$ Yang-Mills theory on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ has non-physical poles [26] (see also [21-23] for early works in the case of $n=1$ ). By the AGT correspondence, these poles should correspond to the null states in $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal models, which are described by the coset (2.6), and are parametrized by positive integers $r_{I}$ and $s_{I}, 0 \leq I<N$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=0}^{N-1} r_{I}=p, \quad \sum_{I=0}^{N-1} s_{I}=p+n \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to $\boldsymbol{N}=\left[N_{0}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right] \in P_{n, N}^{+}$, in what follows, we identify the positive integers $r_{I}$ and $s_{I}, 0 \leq I<N$, with the Dynkin labels of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)$ in the level- $n$ regular
dominant weight lattices as $\boldsymbol{r}=\left[r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, p}^{++}$and $s=\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N-1}\right] \in$ $P_{N, p+n}^{++}$.

One finds that the poles can be removed by imposing the Burge conditions [21-23, 26] (see also [37-41] for Burge conditions),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{I, i}^{\sigma_{I}} \geq Y_{I+1, i+r_{I}-1}^{\sigma_{I+1}}-s_{I}+1 \text { for } i \geq 1,0 \leq I<N, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $N$-tuples of $n$-coloured Young diagrams $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\left(Y_{1}^{\sigma_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{N}^{\sigma_{N}}\right)$, where $Y_{0}^{\sigma_{0}}=Y_{N}^{\sigma_{N}}$. The $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges $\sigma_{I}$ are related to $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{s}$ by the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge conditions [26]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I+1} \equiv-r_{I}+s_{I} \quad(\bmod n), \quad 0 \leq I<N, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{N}$.

### 2.4 Burge-reduced generating functions

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \delta \boldsymbol{\delta}}^{r, s}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \delta \boldsymbol{k}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\sigma ; \delta k}^{r, s} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\sigma ; \delta k}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose elements satisfy the Burge conditions (2.13) and the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge conditions (2.14). We now introduce Burge-reduced generating functions of coloured Young diagrams by subtracting the overall $\mathrm{U}(1)$ factor corresponding to $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.2. For $\boldsymbol{N}=\left[N_{0}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right] \in P_{n, N}^{+}$, the $\mathrm{SU}(N) \mathfrak{t}$-refined Burge-reduced generating function of $n$-coloured Young diagrams, which is reduced by the Burge conditions (2.13) for $\boldsymbol{r}=\left[r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, p}^{++}$and $s=\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, p+n}^{++}$, is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{N}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})=\sum_{\delta \boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}}(\mathfrak{q}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{t}_{i}^{\mathfrak{c}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k})} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{c}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k})=N_{i}+\delta k_{i-1}-2 \delta k_{i}+\delta k_{i+1}$ are the Chern classes (2.2), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \delta k}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})=(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty} \sum_{\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \in \mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; ; \boldsymbol{r}}^{r^{, s}}} \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{n}\left|\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right|} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, for fixed $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{s}$ the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge conditions (2.14) fix the charges $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ up to the shifts $\sigma_{I} \rightarrow \sigma_{I}-k$ modulo $n$ by $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and the cyclic permutations $\sigma_{I} \rightarrow \sigma_{I-\theta}$ by $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}$, where $\sigma_{I+N}=\sigma_{I}$ and the latter ambiguities exist only if $s_{0}-r_{0} \equiv s_{1}-r_{1} \equiv \ldots \equiv s_{N-1}-r_{N-1}$ $(\bmod n)$. Once we fix $\boldsymbol{N}$, the former ambiguities are fixed. As seen from the Burge conditions (2.13), $\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}}(\mathfrak{q})$ is invariant under the cyclic permutations $\sigma_{I} \rightarrow \sigma_{I-\theta}, r_{I} \rightarrow$ $r_{I-\theta}$ and $s_{I} \rightarrow s_{I-\theta}$, where $r_{I+N}=r_{I}$ and $s_{I+N}=s_{I}$, and so (2.16) is well-defined. This also implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{N}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})=\widehat{X}_{N}^{\boldsymbol{r}^{(\theta)}, \boldsymbol{s}^{(\theta)}}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t}), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}^{(\theta)}=\left[r_{0}^{(\theta)}, \ldots, r_{N-1}^{(\theta)}\right]$ with $r_{I}^{(\theta)}=r_{I-\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{s}^{(\theta)}=\left[s_{0}^{(\theta)}, \ldots, s_{N-1}^{(\theta)}\right]$ with $s_{I}^{(\theta)}=s_{I-\theta}$.

Consider the special case $p=N$ in which the algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$ is reduced to $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; N)=\mathcal{H} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}$, and then $\boldsymbol{r}=\mathbf{1}=\rho$ is fixed by (2.12). In [26, Corollary 5.5], using the results of [29], it was shown that the $t$-refined Burge-reduced generating function (2.16) for $\boldsymbol{N}=\left[N_{0}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right] \in P_{n, N}^{+}$agrees with the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N}}$ WZW character as ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{N}^{\mathbf{1}, s}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})=\mathfrak{q}^{w_{N}-h_{N}} \chi_{N}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}(n)_{N}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}}) \quad \text { if } p=N,} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i}=\mathfrak{q}^{-\frac{i(n-i)}{2 n}} \mathfrak{t}_{i}, \quad w_{\boldsymbol{N}}=\frac{\langle\boldsymbol{N}, \rho\rangle}{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i(n-i)}{2 n} N_{i}, \quad h_{\boldsymbol{N}}=\frac{\langle\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}+2 \rho\rangle}{2(n+N)} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}$ WZW character is defined by (an overall normalization factor $\mathfrak{q}^{-\frac{1}{24} c\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}(n)_{N}\right)}$ is further introduced in the literature),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\boldsymbol{N}}^{\mathfrak{\mathfrak { s }}(n)_{N}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}})=\operatorname{Tr}_{L(\boldsymbol{N})} \mathfrak{q}^{L_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i}^{H_{i}}, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(\boldsymbol{N})$ is the level- $N$ irreducible highest-weight module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)$, and the Virasoro generator $L_{0}$ and the Chevalley elements $H_{i}$ in the Cartan subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)$ act on the modules in the representation of a highest-weight state with the eigenvalues $h_{N}$ and $N_{i}$,

where $a_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\boldsymbol{N}}(\mathfrak{q})$ is known as a (normalized) $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)$ string function of level- $N$ (see also (3.1)) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}_{\mathfrak{c}}^{N}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{h_{N}-w_{\mathfrak{c}}} a_{\mathfrak{c}}^{N}(\mathfrak{q}), \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also introduced, where $\boldsymbol{c}=\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k})$. From (2.19), by comparing (2.16) with (2.22) one obtains [26]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathbf{1 , s}}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta k_{i}} a_{\mathfrak{c}(\delta \boldsymbol{k})}^{\boldsymbol{N}}(\mathfrak{q}), \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{\boldsymbol{N}}-w_{\mathbf{c}(\delta \boldsymbol{k})}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta k_{i}$ was used.

## $3 \mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}$ minimal model characters from the instanton counting

In this section, we first recall a formula of $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters (branching functions), and then propose Conjecture 3.5 about how the t -refined Burgereduced generating functions of coloured Young diagrams are decomposed into the characters following the algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$.
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## $3.1 \mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}$ minimal model characters

We introduce a normalized $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)$ string function $\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})$ of level- $n$ for a dominant highestweight $\boldsymbol{\ell}=\left[\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, n}^{+}$and a maximal-weight $\boldsymbol{m}=\left[m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, n}$ by normalizing the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)$ string function $a_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathfrak{q})$ of level- $n$ in (2.22) with the exchange $N \leftrightarrow n \mathrm{as}^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{h_{\ell}-\frac{1}{2 n}|\boldsymbol{m}|^{2}} a_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\ell}(\mathfrak{q}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathfrak{q})$ is related to the string function $c_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathfrak{q})$ in [27, 42] by $c_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathfrak{q})=$
 model. Note that, for non-zero string functions, the highest-weight $\ell$ and the maximalweight $\boldsymbol{m}$ should satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{N-1}\left(\ell_{I}-m_{I}\right) \Lambda_{I} \in \bar{Q}_{N}, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I\left(\ell_{I}-m_{I}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod N) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{Q}_{N}$ is the root lattice in (1.2). Note also that, under the outer automorphisms of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)$ which cyclically permutes the Dynkin labels as $\ell_{I} \rightarrow \ell_{I-\theta}$ and $m_{I} \rightarrow m_{I-\theta}$ for all $I=0,1, \ldots, N-1$ by $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}$, the string functions (3.1) are invariant, where we set $\ell_{I+N}=\ell_{I}$ and $m_{I+N}=m_{I}$. Here, by $\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2 n}|\boldsymbol{m}|^{2}-w_{\boldsymbol{m}}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathfrak{q})$, and $\frac{1}{2 n}|\boldsymbol{m}|^{2}-w_{\boldsymbol{m}}=$ $\frac{1}{2 n N} \sum_{0 \leq I<J \leq N-1}(I-J)(N+I-J) m_{I} m_{J}$, the normalized string functions $\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathfrak{q})$ in (2.23) with the exchange $N \leftrightarrow n$ are also invariant under the outer automorphisms. Some string functions are summarized in appendix A.

Let us now recall the branching functions of the coset (2.6) that we refer as the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}$ characters when $p$ is taken to be infinity (or a generic value) and the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters when $p$ is an integer with $p \geq N$. Up to a normalization factor, the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}$ characters are given by the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)$ string functions (3.1) of level-n (see [43] for $N=2$ ), and the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters, labelled by $\boldsymbol{\ell}=\left[\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, n}^{+}, \boldsymbol{r}=$ $\left[r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, p}^{++}$and $s=\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, p+n}^{++}$with the non-zero condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{N-1}\left(\ell_{I}+r_{I}-s_{I}\right) \Lambda_{I} \in \bar{Q}_{N}, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I\left(s_{I}-r_{I}\right) \quad(\bmod N) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given by [10, 28],

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})=\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m} \in P_{N, n}^{+} \\ \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I\left(m_{I}-\ell_{I}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod N)}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathfrak{q}) \sum_{w \in \bar{W}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K_{w}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\boldsymbol{m})}(-1)^{|w|} \mathfrak{q}^{B_{p \boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{r}, w(\boldsymbol{s})}-B_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{w}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\boldsymbol{m})=\bigcup_{w^{\prime} \in \bar{W}}\left\{\boldsymbol{k} \in \bar{Q}_{N} \mid p \boldsymbol{k}+\overline{\boldsymbol{r}}-w(\overline{\boldsymbol{s}})+w^{\prime}(\overline{\boldsymbol{m}}) \equiv 0\left(\bmod n \bar{Q}_{N}\right)\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]with $\overline{\boldsymbol{m}}=\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} m_{I} \Lambda_{I}, \overline{\boldsymbol{r}}=\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} r_{I} \Lambda_{I}, \overline{\boldsymbol{s}}=\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} s_{I} \Lambda_{I}$, and $\bar{W}$ is the finite part of the affine Weyl group of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N),{ }^{4}|w|$ is the length of $w$, and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}=\frac{|(p+n) \boldsymbol{r}-p \boldsymbol{s}|^{2}}{2 n p(p+n)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Note that the formula in $[10,28]$ corresponding to (3.4) has the summation over $\boldsymbol{m} \in$ $P_{N, n} / n \bar{Q}_{N}$ instead of $\boldsymbol{m} \in P_{N, n}^{+}$and the set corresponding to (3.5) does have the union over $w^{\prime} \in \bar{W}$. Here to rewrite it we used the invariance of the string functions in footnote 3. We also used the fact that the simple affine Weyl reflection $\mathrm{s}_{0}$ on $\Lambda=\sum_{I=0}^{N-1} d_{I} \Lambda_{I} \in P_{N, n}$ given by $\mathrm{s}_{0}: \quad d_{I} \mapsto d_{I}-A_{0 I} d_{0} \equiv d_{I}-\sum_{J, K=1}^{N-1} A_{I J} d_{K}\left(\bmod n \bar{Q}_{N}\right)$ is also written as $\mathrm{s}_{1} \mathrm{~s}_{2} \cdots \mathrm{~s}_{N-1} \mathrm{~s}_{N-2} \cdots \mathrm{~s}_{2} \mathrm{~s}_{1} \in \bar{W}$, i.e. $\mathrm{s}_{0} \equiv \mathrm{~s}_{1} \mathrm{~s}_{2} \cdots \mathrm{~s}_{N-1} \mathrm{~s}_{N-2} \cdots \mathrm{~s}_{2} \mathrm{~s}_{1}$ on $\Lambda$ modulo $n \bar{Q}_{N}$.
Remark 3.1. Up to a normalization factor, the branching function $C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})$ in (3.4) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}}) \chi_{\boldsymbol{r}-\mathbf{1}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{p-N}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}}) \sim \sum_{\boldsymbol{s} \in P_{N, p+n}^{++}} C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q}) \chi_{\boldsymbol{s}-\mathbf{1}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n+p-N}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}}), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}=\rho$.
Example 3.2. When $n=1, \mathcal{W}_{N, 1}^{\text {para }}=\mathcal{W}_{N}[12-14]$. The string functions (3.1) for $n=1$ (i.e. $\mathcal{W}_{N}$ characters) do not depend on the dominant highest-weight $\boldsymbol{\ell} \in P_{N, 1}^{+}$and are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{c}(\mathfrak{q})=\frac{1}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{N-1}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the $\mathcal{W}_{N}(p, p+1)$-minimal model characters (3.4) for $n=1$ do not depend on the dominant highest-weight $\ell \in P_{N, 1}^{+}$and are given by [44, 45],

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})=\frac{1}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{N-1}} \sum_{w \in \bar{W}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \bar{Q}_{N}}(-1)^{|w|} \mathfrak{q}^{B_{p \boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{r}, w(\boldsymbol{s})}-B_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.3. When $N=2$, the $\mathcal{W}_{2, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters, labelled by $\ell=[n-\ell, \ell] \in P_{2, n}^{+}, \boldsymbol{r}=[p-r, r] \in P_{2, p}^{++}$and $s=[p+n-s, s] \in P_{2, p+n}^{++}$with $\ell+r-s \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$, are computed by [46-48],

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})= \\
& \mathfrak{q}^{-B_{r, s}} \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\
m \equiv \ell(\bmod 2)}}^{n} \hat{c}_{[n-\ell, m]}^{[n-\ell, \ell]}(\mathfrak{q})\left(\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\
p k-\frac{r-s}{2} \equiv \pm \frac{m}{2}(\bmod n)}} \mathfrak{q}^{B_{2 p k+r, s}}-\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathfrak{q}^{B_{2 p k+r,-s}}\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{r, s}=((p+n) r-p s)^{2} /(4 n p(p+n))$ and the string functions $\hat{c}_{[n-m, m]}^{[n-\ell, \ell]}(\mathfrak{q})$ are given in (A.5).
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### 3.2 Dual dominant integral weights

For proposing our conjecture, let us define a dominant integral weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}=\left[N_{0}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right] \in P_{n, N}^{+} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}$ which is dual to or associated with the dominant integral weight $\ell=$ $\left[\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, n}^{+}$of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n}$. Here a non-negative integer $f<\max \{N, n\}$, which classifies the dominant weights in $P_{N, n}^{+} / \bar{Q}_{N}$ and $P_{n, N}^{+} / \bar{Q}_{n}$, respectively, as the $\mathbb{Z}_{N}$ orbits and the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ orbits, is introduced by ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv f(\bmod N), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i N_{i} \equiv f(\bmod n) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{par}(\boldsymbol{\ell})=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ be the partition for $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ in (1.3), and then the first relation in (3.12) is written as $\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} \lambda_{I} \equiv f(\bmod N)$. We define the Dynkin labels $N_{i}$ in (3.11) as the multiplicity of $i=\sigma_{I}^{*}$ in $\left\{\sigma_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}^{*}\right\}$, where $\sigma_{I}^{*} \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}, 1 \leq I \leq N$, correspond to the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges (on the gauge side) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I}^{*} \equiv \lambda_{I}+\sigma_{N}^{*} \quad(\bmod n), \quad 1 \leq I<N, \quad \sigma_{N}^{*} \equiv-\frac{1}{N}\left(\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} \lambda_{I}-f\right) \quad(\bmod n) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the shifted transposed partition $\widetilde{\operatorname{par}}(\boldsymbol{\ell})^{T}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{T}-\lambda_{n}^{T}, \lambda_{2}^{T}-\lambda_{n}^{T}, \ldots\right)$ by $\lambda_{n}^{T}$ naturally defines a 'transposed' (dual) dominant integral weight $\ell^{T}=\left[\ell_{0}^{T}, \ell_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \ell_{n-1}^{T}\right] \in P_{n, N}^{+}$by inverting (1.3). Then the first relations in (3.13) imply that the dual dominant integral weight $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{(f)}=\left[N_{0}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right]$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\ell_{i-\sigma_{N}^{*}}^{T}, \quad 0 \leq i<n \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set $\ell_{i+n}^{T}=\ell_{i}^{T}$. Note that, by (3.13) we see that the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges $\sigma_{I}^{*}$ have the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I}^{*}-\sigma_{I+1}^{*}=\ell_{I}-n \delta_{I, N-g}, \quad 1 \leq I \leq N, \quad \sum_{I=1}^{N} \sigma_{I}^{*} \equiv f(\bmod n) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are ordered as $\sigma_{1-g}^{*} \geq \sigma_{2-g}^{*} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{N-g}^{*}$, where $\sigma_{I+N}^{*}=\sigma_{I}^{*}, \ell_{I+N}=\ell_{I}$, and $g \in\{0,1, \ldots, N-1\}$ is ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \equiv \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{I=1}^{N} \sigma_{I}^{*}-f\right) \equiv \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i N_{i}-f\right) \quad(\bmod N) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the second relation in (3.15) gives the second relation in (3.12) by $\sum_{I=1}^{N} \sigma_{I}^{*}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i N_{i}$. Some examples of $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{(f)}$ are provided in appendix B.
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Figure 2. The finite sequence (3.20), where we follow the notation in footnote 6 .

Remark 3.4. Consider the dual dominant integral weight $N_{\ell}^{(f)}$. Then, the normalization factors of string functions in (2.23) for $\boldsymbol{c}=\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}$ and in (3.1) for $\boldsymbol{m}=\boldsymbol{\ell}$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}}-h_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}}=h_{\ell}-\frac{1}{2 n}|\ell|^{2} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The left and right hand sides are, respectively, obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}}-h_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}}=\frac{1}{2 n(n+N)} \sum_{0 \leq i<j<n}(j-i)(n-j+i) N_{i} N_{j}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\ell}-\frac{1}{2 n}|\ell|^{2}=\frac{1}{2 n(n+N)} \sum_{0 \leq I<J<N}(J-I)(N-J+I) \ell_{I} \ell_{J} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now take all the non-zero components $\left(\widetilde{N}_{1}, \widetilde{N}_{2}, \ldots, \widetilde{N}_{L}\right)=\left(N_{i_{1}}, N_{i_{2}}, \ldots, N_{i_{L}}\right)$ with $i_{k}<i_{k+1}$ from $N_{\ell}^{(f)}$, and $\left(\widetilde{\ell}_{1}, \widetilde{\ell}_{2}, \ldots, \widetilde{\ell}_{L}\right)=\left(\ell_{I_{1}}^{\prime}, \ell_{I_{2}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{I_{L}}^{\prime}\right)$ with $I_{k}>I_{k+1}$ from $\ell$, where $\ell_{I}^{\prime}=\ell_{I-g}, 0 \leq I<N$, in footnote 6 . Then consider the finite sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{N}_{1}, \tilde{\ell}_{1}, \tilde{N}_{2}, \tilde{\ell}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{N}_{L}, \tilde{\ell}_{L} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is described as in figure 2. For $\widetilde{N}_{a}=N_{i_{a}}, \widetilde{N}_{b}=N_{i_{b}}$ with $a<b$, we see that $i_{b}-i_{a}=\sum_{a \leq A<b} \widetilde{\ell}_{A}$ and $n-i_{b}+i_{a}=\sum_{A<a} \widetilde{\ell}_{A}+\sum_{A \geq b} \widetilde{\overparen{ }}_{A}$. This shows that (3.18) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 n(n+N)}\left(\sum_{1 \leq A<a \leq B<b \leq L}+\sum_{1 \leq a \leq A<b \leq B \leq L}\right) \widetilde{\ell}_{A} \widetilde{\ell}_{B} \widetilde{N}_{a} \widetilde{N}_{b} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, (3.19) is also shown to be equal to (3.21), and thus (3.17) is proved.
When we consider the special case $\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}=0(p \rightarrow \infty)$, the central charge (2.10) of the AGT-corresponding CFT is reminiscent of a conformal embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{g} l}(N n)_{1}, ~} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which preserves the central charge $N n$ and is utilized to explain the level-rank duality
 fermions [30-34] (see also [20] for an elegant string theory interpretation by intersecting D4 and D6-branes). Actually, the generating function (2.4) of coloured Young diagrams for general $N$ and $n$ is obtained by [49,50]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \delta \boldsymbol{k}}(\mathfrak{q})=\frac{1}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{N-1}} \sum_{\delta k_{1}+\cdots+\delta k_{N}=\delta \boldsymbol{k}} \prod_{I=1}^{N} \widehat{X}_{\left(\sigma_{I}\right) ; \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}_{I}}(\mathfrak{q}), \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{(\sigma) ; \delta \boldsymbol{k}}(\mathfrak{q})=\frac{1}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{n-1}} \mathfrak{q}^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\delta k_{i}^{2}+\frac{\delta k_{i}}{n}-\delta k_{i-1} \delta k_{i}-\delta \delta_{\sigma i} \delta k_{i}\right)} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the generating function for $N=1$ which gives the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{1}$ WZW character. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)}=$ $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}\right)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges with the ordering $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{N}$ which follow from the dual dominant integral weight $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)}$ in (3.11). Following the algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$ for $p \rightarrow \infty$, we find that the generating function (3.23) is decomposed into the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)$ string functions (3.1) of level- $n$ and the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)$ string functions (2.23) of level $-N$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{X}_{\sigma_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)} ; \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}}(\mathfrak{q})= & \sum_{\substack{\ell \in P_{N, n}^{+} \\
\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv f(\bmod N)}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathfrak{q}) \times \hat{a}_{\mathfrak{c}(\delta \boldsymbol{\delta})}^{N_{\ell}^{(f)}}(\mathfrak{q}) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{\ell \in P_{N, n}^{+} \\
\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv f(\bmod N)}} \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2 n}\left(|\boldsymbol{\ell}|^{2}-|\boldsymbol{m}|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta k_{i}} a_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\ell}(\mathfrak{q}) \times a_{\mathbf{c}(\delta \boldsymbol{\delta})}^{\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}(\mathfrak{q}),}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in the second equality the relation (3.17) was used. The same decomposition was shown for the conformal embedding (3.22) in [31] (see also [20, appendix A]). In terms of the $\operatorname{SU}(N) \mathfrak{t}$-refined generating functions (2.3) of $n$-coloured Young diagrams, the above decomposition boils down to the decomposition into the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)$ string functions (3.1) of level- $n\left(\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}\right.$ characters) and the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}$ WZW characters (2.21) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{N_{m}^{(f)}}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})=\sum_{\substack{\ell \in P_{N, n}^{+} \\ \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv f(\bmod N)}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\ell}(\mathfrak{q}) \times \chi_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}(n))_{N}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}}),} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i}=\mathfrak{q}^{-\frac{i(n-i)}{2 n}} \mathfrak{t}_{i}$.

### 3.3 Conjecture

Based on the symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p)$ in (2.5), we now propose the following conjecture for integers $p \geq N$ that generalizes the decomposition formula (3.26) for $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Conjecture 3.5. The $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ t-refined Burge-reduced generating functions (2.16) of $n$ coloured Young diagrams can be decomposed into the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\mathrm{para}}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters (3.4) and the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)_{N}$ WZW characters (2.21) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})=\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in P_{N, n}^{+} \\ \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv f(\bmod N)}} C_{\ell^{(\omega)}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s})}(\mathfrak{q}) \times \chi_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}})} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i}=\mathfrak{q}^{-\frac{i(n-i)}{2 n}} \mathfrak{t}_{i}$. The dominant weight $\ell^{(\omega)}=\left[\ell_{0}^{(\omega)}, \ell_{1}^{(\omega)}, \ldots, \ell_{N-1}^{(\omega)}\right] \in P_{N, n}^{+}$with $\ell_{I}^{(\omega)}=\ell_{I-\omega}, \ell_{I+N}=\ell_{I}, 0 \leq I<N$, is shifted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \equiv \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i N_{i}-f\right)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I\left(s_{I}-r_{I}-\sigma_{I}+\sigma_{I+1}\right) \quad(\bmod N) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)}=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}\right)$ are the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges associated with $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)}=\left[N_{0}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right] \in$ $P_{n, N}^{+}$, and the ordering of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)}$ depends on $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{s}$ by the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge conditions (2.14). Here the non-zero condition (3.3) for the characters $C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{( \omega )}}(\mathfrak{q})$ is shown to be satisfied as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I}^{(\omega)} \equiv \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I}+\omega n \equiv \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I\left(s_{I}-r_{I}\right) \quad(\bmod N) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the second equality we used $\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv f$ and $\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I\left(\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I+1}\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i N_{i}$ $(\bmod N)$. By the expansions (2.16) and (2.22), the conjectural formula (3.27) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{\underset{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{(f)} ; \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})=\sum_{\substack{\ell \in P_{N, n}^{+} \\ \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv f(\bmod N)}} C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}^{(\omega)}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q}) \times \hat{a}_{\mathfrak{c}(\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k})}^{\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{(f)}}(\mathfrak{q}) . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We make some remarks to support Conjecture 3.5.
Remark 3.6. From the invariance (2.18) of the t-refined Burge-reduced generating functions under the cyclic permutations $\sigma_{I} \rightarrow \sigma_{I-\theta}, r_{I} \rightarrow r^{(\theta)}=r_{I-\theta}$ and $s_{I} \rightarrow s^{(\theta)}=s_{I-\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}$, one finds that the conjectural formula (3.27) gives a relation

$$
\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in P_{N, n}^{+} \\ \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv f(\bmod N)}}\left(C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}^{(\omega)}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})-C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}^{(\omega+\theta)}}^{\boldsymbol{r}^{(\theta)}, \boldsymbol{s}^{(\theta)}}(\mathfrak{q})\right) \chi_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{(f)}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s} l}(n)_{N}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathbf{t}})=0
$$

Here $\omega$ is defined by (3.28) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I\left(s_{I}^{(\theta)}-r_{I}^{(\theta)}-\sigma_{I-\theta}+\sigma_{I-\theta+1}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I\left(s_{I}-r_{I}-\sigma_{I}+\sigma_{I+1}\right)+\theta(\bmod N) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is used. The relation (3.31) then implies the invariance

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\ell}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})=C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}^{(\theta)}}^{\boldsymbol{r}^{(\theta)}, \boldsymbol{s}^{(\theta)}}(\mathfrak{q}), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{N} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the minimal model characters (branching functions).

Remark 3.7. In the special case $p=N$, let us show that the conjectural formula (3.27) yields the formula (2.19). In this special case, by

$$
C_{\ell}^{\mathbf{1}, s}(\mathfrak{q})= \begin{cases}\mathfrak{q}^{h_{\ell}-\frac{1}{2 n}|\ell|^{2}} & \text { if } s_{I}=\ell_{I}+1 \text { for } 0 \leq I<N  \tag{3.34}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

which follows from the definition (3.7) with taking into account of the normalization factor, the conjectural formula (3.27) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{\mathbf{N}_{m}^{(f)}}^{\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{q}}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})=\sum_{\substack{\ell \in P_{N, n}^{+} \\ \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} I \ell_{I} \equiv f(\bmod N)}} \mathfrak{q}^{h_{\ell}(\omega)-\frac{1}{2 n}\left|\ell^{(\omega)}\right|^{2}} \chi_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}})} \prod_{I=0}^{N-1} \delta_{\ell_{I}^{(\omega)}, s_{I}-1} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following footnote 2 , by taking $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{N}$ and $\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I+1}=s_{I}-1-n \delta_{I, 0}$, the shift parameter $\omega$ is now given by $\omega=g$ in (3.16) and then $m_{I}^{(g)}=s_{I}-1$ by footnote 6 , where note that the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges $\sigma_{I}$ are associated with $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)}$. As a result, (3.35) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)}}^{\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})=\mathfrak{q}^{h_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(g)}-\frac{1}{2 n}\left|\boldsymbol{m}^{(g)}\right|^{2}} \chi_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{(f)}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N}}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathbf{t}}) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by $h_{\boldsymbol{m}^{(g)}}-\frac{1}{2 n}\left|\boldsymbol{m}^{(g)}\right|^{2}=h_{\boldsymbol{m}}-\frac{1}{2 n}|\boldsymbol{m}|^{2}$ following from (3.19), and by the relation (3.17) we obtain the formula (2.19).
Remark 3.8. When $n=1$, the conjectural formula (3.27) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{[N]}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})=C^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q}) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the $\mathcal{W}_{N}(p, p+1)$-minimal model characters in Example 3.2. ${ }^{7}$

## 4 Examples of Burge-reduced generating functions

In this section, we test Conjecture 3.5 by extracting the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters from the $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Burge-reduced generating functions of $n$-coloured Young diagrams in the cases of $(N, n, p)=(2,2,4)$ and $(3,3,4)$. By assuming the formula (3.30) with the use of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(n)$ string functions in appendix A we will check that the minimal model characters in (3.4) are obtained.

## 4.1 $(N, n)=(2,2)$ and minimal super-Virasoro characters

When $(N, n)=(2,2)$, the $\mathcal{W}_{2,2}^{\text {para }}$ algebra is the super-Virasoro algebra [52] and studied in the context of the AGT correspondence in [3,53-57]. Here we consider the (4,6)-minimal model $(p=4)$ which has central charge $c\left(\mathcal{W}_{2,2}^{\text {para }}\right)=1$ by (2.8). The $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Burge-reduced generating functions $\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ;(\delta k)}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})$ in (2.17) of 2-coloured Young diagrams are labelled by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ with $0 \leq \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \leq 1, \delta k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\boldsymbol{r}=\left[r_{0}, r_{1}\right] \in P_{2, p}^{++}, \boldsymbol{s}=\left[s_{0}, s_{1}\right] \in P_{2, p+2}^{++}$with

[^5]$s_{1}-r_{1} \equiv \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}(\bmod 2)$, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $\delta k$ define $\mathbf{c}=\left[\mathfrak{c}_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}\right]=\left[N_{0}+2 \delta k, N_{1}-2 \delta k\right] \in P_{2,2}$ in (2.2).

The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[2,0]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[2,0],[0,2]$ are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{X}_{(0,0) ;(0)}^{[3,1],[5,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+\mathfrak{q}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+25 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+48 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+101 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+185 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+350 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+615 \mathfrak{q}^{9}+\cdots \\
& \widehat{X}_{(0,0) ;(-1)}^{[3,1],[5,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+16 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+35 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+70 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+137 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+256 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{2}}+465 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{2}}+\cdots, \\
& \widehat{X}_{(0,0) ;(0,(0)}^{[2,2], 2]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+3 \mathfrak{q}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+25 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+57 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+121 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+243 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+465 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+862 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+\cdots,  \tag{4.1}\\
& \widehat{X}_{(0,0) ;(-1),}^{[2,2],[4,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+16 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+38 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+84 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+172 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+338 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+636 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{2}}+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

and using the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(2)$ string functions (A.5) of level-2 with $\hat{a}_{\left[\mathfrak{c}_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}\right]}^{\left[N_{1}, N_{1}\right]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{8} \mathfrak{c}_{1}\left(\mathfrak{c}_{1}-2\right)} \hat{c}_{\left[\mathfrak{c}_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}\right]}^{\left[N_{0}, N_{1}\right]}(\mathfrak{q})$, from the formula (3.30) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[2,0]}^{[3,1],[5,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+\mathfrak{q}^{2}+\mathfrak{q}^{3}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+14 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+17 \mathfrak{q}^{9}+27 \mathfrak{q}^{10}+\cdots, \\
& C_{[0,2]}^{[3,1],[5,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{2}}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{2}}+22 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{2}}+\cdots, \\
& C_{[2,0]}^{[2,2],[4,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+\mathfrak{q}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+22 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+32 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+46 \mathfrak{q}^{9}+\cdots,  \tag{4.2}\\
& C_{[0,2]}^{[2,2],[4,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+12 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+18 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+27 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{2}}+38 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{2}}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

We see that they agree with the $\mathcal{W}_{2,2}^{\text {para }}(4,6)$-minimal model characters in (3.10). Similarly, from a Burge-reduced generating function for $\boldsymbol{N}=[1,1]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[1,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{(1,0) ;(0)}^{[3,1],[4,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+3 \mathfrak{q}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+20 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+44 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+92 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+183 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+348 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+640 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+1144 \mathfrak{q}^{9}+\cdots \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{[1,1]}^{[3,1],[4,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{16}}\left(1+\mathfrak{q}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+22 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+32 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+46 \mathfrak{q}^{9}+\cdots\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $4.2(N, n)=(3,3)$ and minimal super- $\mathcal{W}_{3}$ characters

When $(N, n)=(3,3)$, the $\mathcal{W}_{3,3}^{\text {para }}$ algebra is supposed to be the super- $\mathcal{W}_{3}$ algebra, and here we consider the $(4,7)$-minimal model $(p=4)$ with the central charge $c\left(\mathcal{W}_{3,3}^{\text {para }}\right)=$ $10 / 7$ by (2.8) which ensures the associativity of the $\mathcal{W}_{3,3}^{\text {para }}$ algebra and has a unitary representation [58-61]. ${ }^{8}$ The $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ Burge-reduced generating functions $\widehat{X}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}}^{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q})$ of 3coloured Young diagrams are labelled by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$ with $0 \leq \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3} \leq 2$, $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}=$ $\left(\delta k_{1}, \delta k_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, and $\boldsymbol{r}=\left[r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right] \in P_{3,4}^{++}, \boldsymbol{s}=\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{3}\right] \in P_{3,7}^{++}$with $s_{1}-r_{1} \equiv \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}$, $s_{2}-r_{2} \equiv \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}(\bmod 3)$, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}$ define $\boldsymbol{c}=\left[\mathfrak{c}_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}, \mathfrak{c}_{2}\right] \in P_{3,3}$ in (2.2).

[^6]The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[3,0,0]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[3,0,0],[1,1,1]$, $[0,3,0],[0,0,3]$ are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{X}_{(0,0,0) ;(0,0)}^{[2,1,1],[5,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =1+2 \mathfrak{q}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+42 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+144 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+448 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+1303 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+3510 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots, \\
\widehat{X}_{(0,0,0) ;(-1,-1)}^{[2,1,1],[5,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{3}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{4}{3}}+24 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{3}}+89 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{10}{3}}+299 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{3}}+896 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{16}{3}}+2503 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{3}}+\cdots, \\
\widehat{X}_{(0,0,0) ;(-2,-1)}^{[2,1,1],[5,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+35 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+132 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+426 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+1261 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+3443 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots,  \tag{4.5}\\
\widehat{X}_{(0,0,0) ;(-1,-2)}^{[2,1,1],[5,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+35 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+132 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+426 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+1261 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+3443 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

and using the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(3)}$ string functions (A.7) of level-3 with $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\boldsymbol{N}}(\mathfrak{q})=$ $\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{9}\left(\mathfrak{c}_{1}^{2}+\mathfrak{c}_{2}^{2}+\mathfrak{c}_{1} \mathfrak{c}_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\left(\mathfrak{c}_{1}+\mathfrak{c}_{2}\right)} \hat{c}_{\mathfrak{c}}^{N}(\mathfrak{q})$, from the formula (3.30) we find the $\mathcal{W}_{3,3}^{\text {para }}(4,7)$-minimal model characters

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[3,0,0],[5,1,1]}^{[2,1,1)}=1+\mathfrak{q}^{2}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots, \\
& C_{[1,1,1],[5,1,1]}^{[2, ~}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+16 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+\cdots, \\
& C_{[0,0,3]}^{[2,1,1],[5,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{4}+\mathfrak{q}^{5}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots,  \tag{4.6}\\
& C_{[0,3,0]}^{[2,1],[5,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{4}+\mathfrak{q}^{5}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[1,1,1]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[3,0,0],[1,1,1]$, $[0,3,0],[0,0,3],{ }^{9}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{X}_{(0,1,2) ;(1,1)}^{[2,1,1][1,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{2}{3}}+18 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+84 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+312 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+1028 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+3052 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+8425 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+\cdots, \\
& \widehat{X}_{(0,1,2) ;(0,0)}^{[2,1,1],[1,3,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+10 \mathfrak{q}+50 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+203 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+693 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+2136 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+6031 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+15967 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots, \\
& \widehat{X}_{(0,1,2) ;(-1,0)}^{[2,1,1][1,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{2}{3}}+16 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+79 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+302 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+1009 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+3018 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+8364 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+\cdots,  \tag{4.7}\\
& \widehat{X}_{(0,1,2) ;(0,-1)}^{[2,1,1][1,3,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{2}{3}}+18 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+84 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+312 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+1028 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+3052 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+8425 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

give

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[3,0,0]}^{[2,1,1][1,3,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+\cdots, \\
& C_{[1,1,1,1],[1,3,3]}^{[1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{6}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{6}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{6}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{6}}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{25}{6}}+26 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{31}{6}}+43 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{37}{6}}+\cdots, \\
& C_{[0,0,1],[1,3,3]}^{[2,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{2}{3}}+\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+12 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+21 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+\cdots,  \tag{4.8}\\
& C_{[0,3,1,0]]}^{[2,1,[1,3,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{2}{3}}+\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+12 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+21 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[2,1,0]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[2,1,0],[0,2,1],[1,0,2]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{X}_{(1,0,0) ;(0,0)}^{[2,1,1][4,2,]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+5 \mathfrak{q}+26 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+104 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+367 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+1151 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+3329 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+8969 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots, \\
& \widehat{X}_{(1,0,0) ;(-1,-1)}^{[2,1,1],[4,2,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{3}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{4}{3}}+39 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{3}}+156 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{10}{3}}+532 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{3}}+1638 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{16}{3}}+4631 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{3}}+\cdots,  \tag{4.9}\\
& \widehat{X}_{(1,0,0) ;(0,-1)}^{[2,1,1],[4,2,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{2}{3}}+13 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+62 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+234 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+777 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+2322 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+6435 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

[^7]give
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[2,1,0]}^{[2,1,1],[4,2,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{9}}\left(1+\mathfrak{q}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+9 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+14 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+24 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+37 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots\right), \\
& C_{[1,0,2],[4,2,1]}^{[2, ~}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{9}}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{4}{3}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{3}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{10}{3}}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{3}}+13 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{16}{3}}+21 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{3}}+\cdots\right),  \tag{4.10}\\
& C_{[0,2,2,1],[4,2,2]}^{[2, ~}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{9}}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+14 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+24 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+\cdots\right) .
\end{align*}
$$
\]

## 5 Summary and outlook

Following the AGT correspondence for $\mathrm{U}(N)$ gauge theory on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, we conjectured the decomposition formula (3.27) of the Burge-reduced generating functions of $N$-tuples of $n$-coloured Young diagrams with the Burge conditions and the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge conditions for integral $p \geq N$. This conjectural decomposition generalizes the decomposition formula (3.26) of the generating functions of $N$-tuples of $n$-coloured Young diagrams for $p \rightarrow \infty$ (or for a generic central charge), and gives the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}(p, p+n)$-minimal model characters (branching functions of the coset factor in $\mathcal{A}(N, n ; p))$. When $p=N$, the central charge of the $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}$ $(N, N+n)$-minimal model is vanished, and in Remark 3.7 the conjectural formula is indeed shown to yield the formula (2.19) which gives the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N} \text { WZW characters. }}$

In [26] we also introduced the $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Burge-reduced instanton partition functions on $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $2 N$ (anti-)fundamental hypermultiplets, where the Burge conditions and the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge conditions for $p=N$ were imposed. We then conjectured that they give the specific integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N}}$ WZW 4-point conformal blocks in [64]. Similarly to the conjectural decomposition (3.27) of the Burge-reduced generating functions, the Burge-reduced instanton partition functions for integral $p \geq N$ are also expected to be decomposed into $\mathcal{W}_{N, n}^{\text {para }}$ $(p, p+n)$-minimal model conformal blocks and $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N} \text { WZW conformal blocks (see [57] in }}$ the case of $(N, n)=(2,2)$ with a generic central charge). It would be interesting to pursue this direction as was discussed in [23] when $n=1$.
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## A Some string functions

In this appendix we summarize some normalized $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(M)$ string functions of level- $m$.
The normalized string function $\hat{c}_{\gamma(\ell)}^{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{q})$, for a dominant highest-weight $\Lambda=$ $\left[d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{M-1}\right] \in P_{M, m}^{+}$and a maximal-weight $\gamma(\ell)=\left[\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{M-1}\right] \in P_{M, m}$, is obtained from the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(M)_{m}$ WZW character in (2.21) as (see eqs. (2.22) and (3.1) with the normalization by the central charge),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\Lambda}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}(M)_{m}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2 m}|\gamma(\ell)|^{2}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{M-1}} \hat{c}_{\gamma(\ell)}^{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{q}) \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i}^{\gamma_{i}(\ell)}, \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{i}=\gamma_{i}(\ell)=d_{i}+\ell_{i-1}-2 \ell_{i}+\ell_{i+1}$ with $\ell_{M}=\ell_{0}=0, \ell_{-1}=\ell_{M-1}$. The WZW characters can be computed by the Weyl-Kac character formula [27] (see also [51, appendix B.2] and [26, appendix A.8]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\Lambda}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(M)_{m}}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}})=\frac{\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}}) \mathfrak{q}^{h_{\Lambda}}}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{M-1} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq M}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i-1} \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{j} / \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{j-1} ; \mathfrak{q}\right)_{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{q} \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{j-1} / \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i-1} \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{j} ; \mathfrak{q}\right)_{\infty}} \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i}^{d_{i}} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
$\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{q}, \hat{\mathfrak{t}})=\sum_{\substack{\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{M}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{M} \\ k_{1}+\cdots+k_{M}=0}} \operatorname{det}_{1 \leq i, j \leq M}\left(\left(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i} / \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{i-1}\right)^{(M+m) k_{i}-\lambda_{i}+i+\lambda_{j}-j} \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}(M+m) k_{i}^{2}+\left(\lambda_{j}-j\right) k_{i}}\right)$,
where $(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\mathfrak{q}^{n}\right), \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{0}=\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{M}=1$ and $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)=\operatorname{par}(\Lambda)$ in (1.3). Note that the string functions are invariant under the outer automorphisms of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(M)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{c}_{\gamma(\ell)}^{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{q})=\hat{c}_{\gamma(\ell)^{(\theta)}}^{\Lambda^{(\theta)}}(\mathfrak{q}), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{M} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda^{(\theta)}=\left[d_{0}^{(\theta)}, d_{1}^{(\theta)}, \ldots, d_{M-1}^{(\theta)}\right]$ with $d_{i}^{(\theta)}=d_{i-\theta}, \quad d_{i+M}=d_{i}$, and $\gamma(\ell)^{(\theta)}=$ $\left[\gamma_{0}^{(\theta)}, \gamma_{1}^{(\theta)}, \ldots, \gamma_{M-1}^{(\theta)}\right]$ with $\gamma_{i}^{(\theta)}=\gamma_{i-\theta}, \gamma_{i+M}=\gamma_{i}$.

## A. $1 \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(2)}$

When $M=2$, the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(2)$ string functions $\hat{c}_{[m-\gamma, \gamma]}^{[m-d, d]}(\mathfrak{q})$ of level- $m$, with $d-\gamma \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$, for $[m-d, d] \in P_{2, m}^{+}$and $[m-\gamma, \gamma] \in P_{2, m}$ are given by [65],

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{c}_{[m-\gamma, \gamma]}^{[m-d, d]}(\mathfrak{q})= & \frac{\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{d(d+2)}{4(m+2)}-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4 m}}}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{3}} \sum_{k_{1}, k_{2}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k_{1}+k_{2}} \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2} k_{1}\left(k_{1}+1\right)+\frac{1}{2} k_{2}\left(k_{2}+1\right)+(m+1) k_{1} k_{2}}  \tag{A.5}\\
& \times\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}(d-\gamma) k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}(d+\gamma) k_{2}}-\mathfrak{q}^{m+1-d+\frac{1}{2}(2 m+2-d+\gamma) k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}(2 m+2-d-\gamma) k_{2}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and satisfy $\hat{c}_{[m-\gamma, \gamma]}^{[m-d, d]}(\mathfrak{q})=\hat{c}_{[\gamma, m-\gamma]}^{[d, m-d]}(\mathfrak{q})$.

## A. $2 \widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(3)}$

Here we summarize the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(3)$ string functions $\hat{c}_{\gamma}^{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{q})$ of level- 2 and 3 given in [42].


$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{c}_{[2,0,0]}^{[2,0,0]}(\mathfrak{q})-\hat{c}_{[0,1,1]}^{[2,0,0]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\frac{\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}} ; \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}} ; \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}\right)_{\infty}}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{4}}, \\
\hat{c}_{[0,1,1]}^{[2,0,0]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left(\mathfrak{q}^{2} ; \mathfrak{q}^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{2}, \mathfrak{q}^{8}, \mathfrak{q}^{10} ; \mathfrak{q}^{10}\right)_{\infty}}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{4}},  \tag{A.6}\\
\hat{c}_{[0,1,1]}^{[0,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{10}} \frac{\left(\mathfrak{q}^{2} ; \mathfrak{q}^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{4}, \mathfrak{q}^{6}, \mathfrak{q}^{10} ; \mathfrak{q}^{10}\right)_{\infty}}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{4}}, \\
\hat{c}_{[0,1,1]}^{[0,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})-\hat{c}_{[2,0,0]}^{[0,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{10}} \frac{\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}} ; \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathfrak{q}^{2}, \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}} ; \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}\right)_{\infty}}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{4}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k} ; \mathfrak{q}\right)_{\infty}=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i} ; \mathfrak{q}\right)_{\infty}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-a_{i} \mathfrak{q}^{n-1}\right)$.
The $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(3)$ string functions of level-3 are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{c}_{[3,0,0]}^{[3,0,0]}(\mathfrak{q})-\hat{c}_{[0,3,0]}^{[3,0,0]}(\mathfrak{q})=\frac{1}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{3} ; \mathfrak{q}^{3}\right)_{\infty}}, \quad \hat{c}_{[1,1,1]}^{[1,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{6}} \frac{\left(\mathfrak{q}^{2} ; \mathfrak{q}^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{3}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{3} ; \mathfrak{q}^{3}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{6}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{6} ; \mathfrak{q}^{6}\right)_{\infty}}, \\
& \hat{c}_{[2,1,0]}^{[2,1,0]}(\mathfrak{q})+\hat{c}_{[0,2,1]}^{[2,1,0]}(\mathfrak{q})+\hat{c}_{[1,0,2]}^{[2,1,0]}(\mathfrak{q})=\frac{\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{q}}}}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{3}} ; \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)_{\infty}}, \\
& \hat{c}_{[3,0,0]}^{[3,0,0]}(\mathfrak{q})-3 \hat{c}_{[1,1,1]}^{[3,0,0]}(\mathfrak{q})+2 \hat{c}_{[0,3,0]}^{[3,0,0]}(\mathfrak{q})+\hat{c}_{[1,1,1]]}^{[1,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})-\hat{c}_{[3,0,0]}^{[1,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\frac{\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}} ; \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{\infty}^{3}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{3}} ; \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}{(\mathfrak{q} ; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}^{6}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{6}} ; \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{6}}\right)_{\infty}}, \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{c}_{[2,1,0]}^{[2,1,0]}(\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{9}} \mathbb{Z}[[\mathfrak{q}]], \hat{c}_{[0,2,1]}^{[2,1,0]}(\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{4}{9}} \mathbb{Z}[[\mathfrak{q}]], \hat{c}_{[1,0,2]}^{[2,1,0]}(\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{9}} \mathbb{Z}[[\mathfrak{q}]], \hat{c}_{[1,1,1]}^{[3,0,0]}(\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbb{Z}[[\mathfrak{q}]]$ and $\hat{c}_{[3,0,0]}^{[1,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{Z}[[\mathfrak{q}]]$.

## B Examples of dual dominant integral weights

Here we provide some examples of the dominant integral weights $\boldsymbol{N}_{\ell}^{(f)}=$ $\left[N_{0}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right] \in P_{n, N}^{+}$of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N}}$ in (3.11), which are labelled by a non-negative integer $f<\max \{N, n\}$ and dominant integral weights $\ell=\left[\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{N-1}\right] \in P_{N, n}^{+}$of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n}$. For $(N, n)=(2,2)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{N}_{[2,0]}^{(0)}=[2,0], \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,2]}^{(0)}=[0,2], \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{[1,1]}^{(1)}=[1,1] . \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $(N, n)=(2,3)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{N}_{[3,0]}^{(0)}=[2,0,0], \boldsymbol{N}_{[1,2]}^{(0)}=[0,1,1], \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{[3,0]}^{(2)}=[0,2,0], \boldsymbol{N}_{[1,2]}^{(2)}=[1,0,1],  \tag{B.2}\\
& \boldsymbol{N}_{[2,1]}^{(1)}=[1,1,0], \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,3]}^{(1)}=[0,0,2] .
\end{align*}
$$

For $(N, n)=(2,4)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{N}_{[4,0]}^{(0)}=[2,0,0,0], \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{[2,2]}^{(0)}=[0,1,0,1], \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,4]}^{(0)}=[0,0,2,0], \\
& \boldsymbol{N}_{[44,0]}^{(2)}=[0,2,0,0], \boldsymbol{N}_{[2,2]}^{(2)}=[1,0,1,0], \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,4]}^{(2)}=[0,0,0,2],  \tag{B.3}\\
& \boldsymbol{N}_{[3,1]}^{(1)}=[1,1,0,0], \boldsymbol{N}_{[1,3]}^{(1)}=[0,0,1,1], \boldsymbol{N}_{[3,1]}^{(3)}=[0,1,1,0], \boldsymbol{N}_{[1,3]}^{(3)}=[1,0,0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

For $(N, n)=(3,2)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{N}_{[2,0,0]}^{(0)}=\boldsymbol{N}_{[0,2,0]}^{(2)}=[3,0], \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,1,1]}^{(0)}=\boldsymbol{N}_{[1,0,0]}^{(2)}=[1,2], \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{[1,1,0]}^{(1)}=[2,1], \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,0,2]}^{(1)}=[0,3] . \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $(N, n)=(3,3)$,

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\boldsymbol{N}_{[3,0,0]}^{(0)}=[3,0,0], & \boldsymbol{N}_{[1,1,1]}^{(0)}=[1,1,1], & \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,0,3]}^{(0)}=[0,3,0], & \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,3,0]}^{(0)}=[0,0,3], \\
\boldsymbol{N}_{[2,1,0]}^{(1)}=[2,1,0], & \boldsymbol{N}_{[1,0,2]}^{(1)}=[0,2,1], & \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,2,1]}^{(1)}=[1,0,2], &  \tag{B.5}\\
\boldsymbol{N}_{[1,2,0]}^{(2)}=[2,0,1], & \boldsymbol{N}_{[2,0,1]}^{(2)}=[1,2,0], & \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,1,2]}^{(2)}=[0,1,2] . &
\end{array}
$$

For $(N, n)=(4,2)$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{N}_{[2,0,0,0]}^{(0)}=\boldsymbol{N}_{[0,2,0,0]}^{(2)}=[4,0], & \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,1,0,1]}^{(0)}=\boldsymbol{N}_{[1,0,1,0]}^{(2)}=[2,2], \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,0,2,0]}^{(0)}=\boldsymbol{N}_{[0,0,0,2]}^{(2)}=[0,4], \\
\boldsymbol{N}_{[1,1,0,0]}^{(1)}=\boldsymbol{N}_{[0,1,1,0]}^{(3)}=[3,1], & \boldsymbol{N}_{[0,0,1,1]}^{(1)}=\boldsymbol{N}_{[1,0,0,1]}^{(3)}=[1,3] . \tag{B.6}
\end{array}
$$

## C More examples of Burge-reduced generating functions

In this appendix, in addition to the examples in section 4, we give some more examples of the $\operatorname{SU}(N)$ Burge-reduced generating functions of $n$-coloured Young diagrams in the cases of $(N, n, p)=(2,3,3),(2,4,4),(3,2,4)$ and $(4,2,5)$ and check Conjecture 3.5.

## C. $1 \quad(N, n, p)=(2,3,3)$

Consider the case of $(N, n)=(2,3)$ and $p=3$. The $\mathcal{W}_{2,3}^{\text {para }}(3,6)$-minimal model has central charge $c\left(\mathcal{W}_{2,3}^{\text {para }}\right)=4 / 5$. The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[2,0,0]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[2,0,0],[0,1,1]$ are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{X}_{(0,0) ;(0,0)}^{[2,1],[5,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =1+2 \mathfrak{q}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+32 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+97 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+246 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+610 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+1388 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+3067 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+\cdots, \\
\widehat{X}_{(0,0) ;(-1,-1)}^{[2,1],[5,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{3}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{4}{3}}+18 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{3}}+56 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{10}{3}}+154 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{3}}+389 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{16}{3}}+922 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{3}}+2072 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{22}{3}}+\cdots, \tag{C.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and using the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(3)}$ string functions (A.6) of level-2 with $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{c}}^{N}(\mathfrak{q})=$ $\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{6}\left(\mathfrak{c}_{1}^{2}+\mathfrak{c}_{2}^{2}+\mathfrak{c}_{1} \mathfrak{c}_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\left(\mathfrak{c}_{1}+\mathfrak{c}_{2}\right)} \hat{c}_{\mathfrak{c}}^{N}(\mathfrak{q})$, from the formula (3.30) we obtain the $\mathcal{W}_{2,3}^{\text {para }}(3,6)$-minimal model characters

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[3,0]}^{[2,1],[5,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+\mathfrak{q}^{2}+\mathfrak{q}^{3}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+\cdots, \\
& C_{[1,2]}^{[2,1],[5,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{5}}+\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{12}{5}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{5}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{22}{5}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{27}{5}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{32}{5}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{37}{5}}+\cdots . \tag{C.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[0,1,1]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[2,0,0],[0,1,1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{X}_{(2,1) ;(1,1,1)}^{[2,1],[4,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{2}{3}}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+36 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+110 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+300 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+752 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+1770 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+3956 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{23}{3}}+\cdots, \\
& \widehat{X}_{(2,1) ;(0,2])}^{[2,1,[, 2]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+5 \mathfrak{q}+20 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+65 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+185 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+481 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+1165 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+2665 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+5822 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+\cdots, \tag{C.3}
\end{align*}
$$

give

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[2,1],[4,2]}^{[2, \mathfrak{q})}=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{15}}\left(1+\mathfrak{q}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+9 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+12 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+17 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+\cdots\right), \\
& C_{[0,3]}^{[2,1,[4,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{20}{3}}+9 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{23}{3}}+\cdots . \tag{C.4}
\end{align*}
$$

C. $2(N, n, p)=(2,4,4)$

When $(N, n)=(2,4)$, the $\mathcal{W}_{2,4}^{\text {para }}$ is known as the $S_{3}$ parafermion algebra [66] and also discussed in the context of the AGT correspondence in [67,68]. Here we consider the
case of $p=4$, and the $\mathcal{W}_{2,4}^{\text {para }}(4,8)$-minimal model has central charge $c\left(\mathcal{W}_{2,4}^{\text {para }}\right)=5 / 4$. The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[2,0,0,0]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[2,0,0,0],[0,1,0,1]$, [ $0,0,2,0$ ] are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{X}_{(0,0) ;(0,0,0)}^{[3,1][[7,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =1+3 \mathfrak{q}+19 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+72 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+272 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+877 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+2680 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+7546 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots \\
\widehat{X}_{(0,0) ;(-1,-1,-1)}^{[3,1],[7,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{4}}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{4}}+34 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{4}}+137 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{4}}+481 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{4}}+1528 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{21}{4}}+4490 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{25}{4}}+\cdots  \tag{C.5}\\
\widehat{X}_{(0,0) ;(-1,-2,-1)}^{[3,1],[7,1]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =2 \mathfrak{q}+14 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+66 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+252 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+852 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+2614 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+7460 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

and by the formula (3.30) with $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{c}}^{N}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{4}|\mathfrak{c}|^{2}-w_{\mathbf{c}}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{c}}^{N}(\mathfrak{q})$ we obtain the $\mathcal{W}_{2,4}^{\text {para }}(4,8)$-minimal model characters

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[4,0]}^{[3,1],[7,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+\mathfrak{q}^{2}+\mathfrak{q}^{3}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots \\
& C_{[2,2],}^{[3,1],[7,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{4}{3}}+\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{3}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{10}{3}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{3}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{16}{3}}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{3}}+\cdots  \tag{C.6}\\
& C_{[0,4]}^{[3,1],[7,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{3}+\mathfrak{q}^{4}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[0,1,0,1]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[2,0,0,0],[0,1,0,1]$, [0, 0, 2, 0],

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{X}_{(3,1) ;(1,1,1)}^{[3,1][[5,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{4}}+21 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{4}}+105 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{4}}+419 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{4}}+1469 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{4}}+4636 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{23}{4}}+13544 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{27}{4}}+\cdots, \\
\widehat{X}_{(3,1) ;(0,0,0)}^{[3,1],[\mathfrak{q})}=1+9 \mathfrak{q}+50 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+217 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+803 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+2651 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+8019 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+22618 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots  \tag{C.7}\\
\widehat{X}_{(3,1) ;(0,-1,0)}^{[3,1],[5,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{4}}+22 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{4}}+110 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{4}}+426 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{4}}+1490 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{4}}+4666 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{23}{4}}+13616 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{27}{4}}+\cdots,
\end{gather*}
$$

give

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[4,0]}^{[3,1],[5,3]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{4}}+\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{4}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{4}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{4}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{4}}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{23}{4}}+19 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{27}{4}}+\cdots \\
& C_{[2,2],[5,3]}^{[3,2}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{12}}\left(1+\mathfrak{q}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+26 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+\cdots\right)  \tag{C.8}\\
& C_{[0,4],[5,3]}^{[3, \mathfrak{q})}=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{4}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{4}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{4}}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{19}{4}}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{23}{4}}+16 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{27}{4}}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[1,1,0,0]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[1,1,0,0],[0,0,1,1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{X}_{(1,0) ;(0,0,0)}^{[3,1],[6,2]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =1+7 \mathfrak{q}+37 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+157 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+575 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+1889 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+5704 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+16081 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots \\
\widehat{X}_{(1,0) ;(0,-1,-1)}^{[3,1],[6,2]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+74 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+297 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+1039 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+3284 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+9598 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+\cdots \tag{C.9}
\end{align*}
$$

give

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[3,1]}^{[3,1],[6,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{16}}\left(1+\mathfrak{q}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+18 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+\cdots\right) \\
& C_{[1,3]}^{[3,1],[6,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{25}{16}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{41}{16}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{57}{16}}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{73}{16}}+12 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{89}{16}}+19 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{105}{16}}+\cdots \tag{C.10}
\end{align*}
$$

## C. $3(N, n, p)=(3,2,4)$

Consider the case of $(N, n)=(3,2)$ and $p=4$. The $\mathcal{W}_{3,2}^{\text {para }}(4,6)$-minimal model for $p=4$ has central charge $c\left(\mathcal{W}_{3,2}^{\text {para }}\right)=6 / 5$. The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[3,0]$ and $\boldsymbol{c}=[3,0],[1,2]$ are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{X}_{(0,0,0) ;(0)}^{[2,1,1][2,3,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+3 \mathfrak{q}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+30 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+77 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+176 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+385 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+792 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+1575 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+\cdots \\
& \widehat{X}_{(0,0,0) ;(-1)}^{[2,1,1][2,3,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+22 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+56 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+135 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+297 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+627 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+1255 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{2}}+\cdots \tag{C.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and using the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(2)$ string functions (A.5) of level-3 with $\hat{a}_{\left[\mathfrak{c}_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}\right]}^{\left[N_{0}, N_{1}\right]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{12} \mathfrak{c}_{1}\left(\mathfrak{c}_{1}-3\right)} \hat{c}_{\left[\mathfrak{c}_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}\right]}^{\left[N_{0}, N_{1}\right]}(\mathfrak{q})$, from the formula (3.30) we obtain the $\mathcal{W}_{3,2}^{\text {para }}(4,6)$-minimal model characters

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[0,2,0]}^{[2,1,1],[2,3,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+\mathfrak{q}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+9 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+22 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+35 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+\cdots \\
& C_{[1,0,1]}^{[2,1,1],[2,3,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{5}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{5}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{5}}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{18}{5}}+12 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{23}{5}}+19 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{28}{5}}+31 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{33}{5}}+46 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{38}{5}}+\cdots \tag{C.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[1,2]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[3,0],[1,2]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{X}_{(1,1,0) ;(1)}^{[2,1,1],[3,1,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+42 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+101 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+231 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+490 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+1002 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{2}}+\cdots, \\
& \widehat{X}_{(1,1,0) ;(0)}^{[2,1,1],[3,1,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+3 \mathfrak{q}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+30 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+77 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+176 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+385 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+792 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+1575 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+\cdots, \tag{C.13}
\end{align*}
$$

give

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[0,2,0],[2,1,1][(\mathfrak{q})}^{[2,1]} \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+16 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+26 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{2}}+\cdots \\
& C_{[1,0,1]}^{[2,1,1],[3,1,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{10}}\left(1+\mathfrak{q}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+9 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+14 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+23 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+35 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots\right) \tag{C.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[2,1]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[2,1],[0,3]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{X}_{(1,0,0) ;(0)}^{[1,1,2],[2,2,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+5 \mathfrak{q}+17 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+48 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+120 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+277 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+600 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+1237 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+2448 \mathfrak{q}^{8}+\cdots \\
& \widehat{X}_{(1,0,0) ;(-1)}^{[1,1,2],[2,2,2]}(\mathfrak{q})=2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+24 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+66 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+160 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+360 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+768 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+1560 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{2}}+\cdots, \tag{C.15}
\end{align*}
$$

give

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{[1,1,0]}^{[1,1,2],[2,2,2]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{10}}\left(1+2 \mathfrak{q}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+13 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+22 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+35 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+54 \mathfrak{q}^{7}+\cdots\right) \\
C_{[0,0,2]}^{[1,1,2],[2,2,2]}(\mathfrak{q}) & =\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+3 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+6 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+10 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+16 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+26 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{13}{2}}+40 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{15}{2}}+\cdots \tag{C.16}
\end{align*}
$$

## C. $4(N, n)=(4,2,5)$

Consider the case of $(N, n)=(4,2)$ for $p=5$. The $\mathcal{W}_{4,2}^{\text {para }}(5,7)$-minimal model for $p=5$ has central charge $c\left(\mathcal{W}_{4,2}^{\text {para }}\right)=11 / 7$. The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[4,0]$
and $\mathfrak{c}=[4,0],[2,2],[0,4]$ are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{X}_{(0,0,0,0) ;(0)}^{[2,1,1,1],[2,3,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+3 \mathfrak{q}+11 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+34 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+93 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+234 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+552 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+\cdots \\
& \widehat{X}_{(0,0,0,0) ;(-1)}^{[2,1,1,[2,3,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+25 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+70 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+185 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+441 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+\cdots  \tag{C.17}\\
& \widehat{X}_{(0,0,0,0) ;(-2)}^{[2,1,1,1],[2,3,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=2 \mathfrak{q}+9 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+31 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+88 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+227 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+541 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

and using the $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(2)$ string functions (A.5) of level-4 with $\hat{a}_{\left[\mathfrak{c}_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}\right]}^{\left[N_{0}, N_{1}\right]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{16} \mathfrak{c}_{1}\left(\mathfrak{c}_{1}-4\right)} \hat{c}_{\left[\mathfrak{c}_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}\right]}^{\left[N_{0}, N_{1}\right]}(\mathfrak{q})$, from the formula (3.30) we obtain the $\mathcal{W}_{4,2}^{\text {para }}(5,7)$-minimal model characters

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[0,2,0,0]}^{[2,1,1,1],[2,3,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+\mathfrak{q}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+12 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+21 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+\cdots \\
& C_{[1,0,1,0]}^{[2,1,1,[2,3,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{2}{3}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{3}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{8}{3}}+9 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{3}}+18 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{14}{3}}+30 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{17}{3}}+\cdots,  \tag{C.18}\\
& C_{[0,0,0,2]}^{[2,1,1,[2,3,1,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{2}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+9 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+17 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

The Burge-reduced generating functions for $\boldsymbol{N}=[2,2]$ and $\mathfrak{c}=[4,0],[2,2],[0,4]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{X}_{(1,1,0,0) ;(1)}^{[2,1,1,1][3,1,2,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+18 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+55 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+149 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+371 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+\cdots, \\
& \widehat{X}_{(1,1,0,0) ;(0)}^{[2,1,1,[3,1,2]]}(\mathfrak{q})=1+3 \mathfrak{q}+14 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+41 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+119 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+295 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+706 \mathfrak{q}^{6}+\cdots,  \tag{C.19}\\
& \widehat{X}_{(1,1,0,0) ;(-1)}^{[2,1,1,1],[3,1,2,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+18 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+55 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+149 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+371 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

give

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{[0,2,0,0]}^{[2,1,1,1][3,1,2,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+16 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+\cdots, \\
& C_{[1,0,1,0]}^{[2,1,1],[3,1,2,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{1}{6}}\left(1+\mathfrak{q}+4 \mathfrak{q}^{2}+7 \mathfrak{q}^{3}+15 \mathfrak{q}^{4}+25 \mathfrak{q}^{5}+\cdots\right),  \tag{C.20}\\
& C_{[0,0,0,2]}^{[2,1,1,1],[3,1,2,1]}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}+2 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{5}{2}}+5 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{7}{2}}+8 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{9}{2}}+16 \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{11}{2}}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ If the ordering $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{N}$ is assumed, the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges are described by the partition (1.3) as $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}, 0,0, \ldots\right)=\operatorname{par}(\boldsymbol{N})^{T}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ When $\boldsymbol{N}$ is fixed, by the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charge conditions $\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I+1} \equiv s_{I}-1(\bmod n)$ with $\sum_{I=0}^{N-1}\left(s_{I}-1\right)=n$ and $s_{I}-1 \geq 0$, the generating function $\widehat{X}_{N}^{\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})$ is ambiguous only for the cyclic permutations $s_{I} \rightarrow s_{I-\theta}$ by $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}$. By (2.18), this is not the actual ambiguity of $\widehat{X}_{N}^{\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{s}}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t})$, and one can assume $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{N}$ and $s_{I}=\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I+1}+1+n \delta_{I, 0}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ A maximal-weight $\boldsymbol{m}$ in $P_{N, n}$ is obtained from a dominant maximal-weight in $P_{N, n}^{+}$by an action of the affine Weyl group of $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)$, and the string function is invariant under the action (see Proposition 2.12 (a) and eq. (2.17) in [42]).

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The Weyl group $\bar{W}$ is generated by the simple Weyl reflections $\mathbf{s}_{I}, 1 \leq I<N$, acting on $\bar{\Lambda}=\sum_{I=1}^{N-1} d_{I} \Lambda_{I}$ as $\mathbf{s}_{I}(\bar{\Lambda})=\bar{\Lambda}-\left\langle\alpha_{I}, \bar{\Lambda}\right\rangle \alpha_{I}$, i.e. $\mathbf{s}_{I}: d_{J} \mapsto d_{J}-\bar{A}_{I J} d_{I}$, where the simple Weyl reflections have the relations $\mathbf{s}_{I}^{2}=1$ for $1 \leq I<N,\left(\mathbf{s}_{I} \mathbf{s}_{I+1}\right)^{3}=1$ for $1 \leq I<N-1, \mathbf{s}_{I} \mathbf{s}_{J}=\mathbf{s}_{J} \mathbf{s}_{I}$ for $|I-J| \geq 2$, and $\bar{A}$ is the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{s l}(N)$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ The numbers of the dominant integral weights $\left|P_{N, n}^{+}\right|=\frac{(n+N-1)!}{(N-1)!n!}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{n}$ and $\left|P_{n, N}^{+}\right|=\frac{(n+N-1)!}{(n-1)!N!}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}(n)_{N}}$ are related by $\left|P_{N, n}^{+}\right| / N=\left|P_{n, N}^{+}\right| / n$.
    ${ }^{6}$ In terms of the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ charges $\sigma_{I}=\sigma_{I-g}^{*}$ with the ordering $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{N}$, the first relations in (3.15) are written as $\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I+1}=\ell_{I-g}-n \delta_{I, 0}, 0 \leq I<N$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ See [51, section 3.4], where note our normalization of string functions as below (3.1) by $\frac{1}{24} c\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}(N)_{1}\right)=$ $\frac{1}{24}(N-1)$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ See $[62,63]$ for the generalization to the minimal super- $\mathcal{W}_{N}$ algebra corresponding to $(N, n, p)=$ ( $N, N, N+1$ ).

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ Note the ordering $\sigma_{1} \leq \sigma_{2} \leq \sigma_{3}$ for $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)=(0,1,2)$.

