University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

ScholarWorks @ UTRGV

Earth, Environmental, and Marine Sciences Faculty Publications and Presentations

College of Sciences

2021

Enhancing the seed germination process of Montezuma cypress (Taxodium mucronatum Ten.)

Alejandro Fierro-Cabo The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, alejandro.fierrocabo@utrgv.edu

August Plamann The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/eems_fac

Part of the Earth Sciences Commons, Environmental Sciences Commons, and the Marine Biology Commons

Recommended Citation

Alejandro Fierro-Cabo & August Plamann (2021) Enhancing the seed germination process of Montezuma cypress (Taxodium mucronatum Ten.), Journal of Forest Research, 26:1, 81-85, DOI: 10.1080/13416979.2020.1845422

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Sciences at ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. It has been accepted for inclusion in Earth, Environmental, and Marine Sciences Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. For more information, please contact justin.white@utrgv.edu, william.flores01@utrgv.edu.

Enhancing the seed germination process of Montezuma cypress (*Taxodium mucronatum* Ten.)

Alejandro Fierro-Cabo* and August Plamann

School of Earth, Environmental, and Marine Sciences. University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Brownsville, Texas, USA

*corresponding author: One West University Blvd. LHSB 1.828. Brownsville, Texas. 78520. <u>alejandro.fierrocabo@utrgv.edu</u>

ORCID: 0000-0001-7830-6278

Total pages (draft format, not including tables and figures): 12 (2798 words)

1 Enhancing the seed germination process of Montezuma cypress

2 (Taxodium mucronatum Ten.)

3 Abstract

4 Montezuma cypress (Taxodium. mucronatum) is an ecological, cultural and 5 economically valuable riparian tree species. Two experiments evaluating the 6 effectiveness of various seed treatments were conducted to identify germination 7 best practices and to evaluate the dynamics of the germination process. Seeds 8 were collected on two occasions, one year apart, from the only remaining natural 9 T. mucronatum tree stand in the United States. The seeds were subjected to 10 various soaking and stratification conditions. Across all treatments, germinability 11 ranged between approximately 30%-40%, with slightly higher values occurring 12 among the second seed cohort. Overall, no significant differences in 13 germinability were detected in either study, however, soaking seeds in water for 14 96 hours and stratifying them in moist conditions for 3 weeks significantly 15 accelerated the germination process. Seeds soaked briefly in an NaOH solution 16 followed by a 48-hour water soak demonstrated more synchronous germination 17 than other treatments. Control conditions in which seeds were not soaked or 18 stratified exhibited the slowest germination. These findings are consistent with 19 previous evidence showing that T. mucronatum seeds do not exhibit 20 physiological dormancy and that treatments promoting seed water imbibition 21 enhance the germination process. This study adds to the limited available 22 research on *T. mucronatum* propagation practices and offers novel data on the 23 germination parameters of seeds sourced from a natural U.S. stand, rather than 24 seeds from few scattered individual trees, as in previous reports. Seed 25 germination recommendations garnered from this study can improve nursery 26 production of T. mucronatum to enhance ecological restoration efforts and 27 ornamental production.

28 29 **Keywords**: seed treatments, plant propagation, germination synchrony, riparian restoration, Rio Grande

30

31 Introduction

32 Cypress trees from the *Taxodium* genus are flood-tolerant species (Duryea et al. 1997)

33 known to provide the wetlands of North America with valuable ecosystem services 34 (Conner et al. 2012). They serve as wildlife habitat, improve water quality, and mitigate 35 hydric erosion (Parresol 2002). The Montezuma cypress (Taxodium mucronatum Ten. 36 1853; syn. Taxodium distichum var. mexicanum) is the southernmost species of this genus, and has notable cultural and economic significance. It is the official national tree 37 38 of Mexico, has been regarded by Mesoamerican civilizations as sacred (Sullivan 1994), 39 and is a valuable ornamental tree (Denny and Arnold 2007). Its native range stretches 40 from Guatemala, through many scattered regions of Mexico, up to the southernmost tip 41 of the United States. Although the IUCN lists T. mucronatum as a species of least 42 concern globally (Farjon, 2013), its historic prominence in the United States, mostly 43 along the Rio Grande River in Texas, has diminished drastically (St. Hilaire 2001). 44 Aside from planted or isolated individuals in southern New Mexico (St. Hilaire 2001) 45 and the Rio Grande Valley of South Texas, the only remaining natural stand in the 46 United States (2020 emails from A. McDonald and R. Flores; unreferenced) has 69 47 adult trees (survey by the City of Brownsville in 2014; unreferenced). The population is 48 located in a small section of a former distributary channel (hydrologic feature locally 49 known as a resaca) of the Rio Grande River in Brownsville, Texas. Efforts to restore T. 50 *mucronatum* populations in the United States and to increase its commercial availability 51 as an attractive ornamental tree require effective seed germination strategies. Vegetative 52 cutting propagation has been reported for T. mucronatum (St. Hilaire 2003), but the 53 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service requires that restorative propagation be performed with 54 seeds to promote genetic diversity. Knowledge of T. mucronatum seed germination best 55 practices is lacking (Denny and Arnold 2007; St. Hilarie 2001). The scarce available 56 information on seed treatments such as soaking, stratification, and mechanical or 57 chemical scarification indicate very limited success, with germinability percentages

remaining low. Denny and Arnold (2007) found that cold seed stratification in moist peat moss, citric acid soaking, and warm water soaking each accelerate germination but do not significantly improve germinability of *T. mucronatum* seeds. Meanwhile, seed coat scarification (knicking seeds) has been found to improve the species' overall germinability (St. Hilaire 2001), but not beyond 20%. Enríquez-Peña et al. (2004) determined that germinability was not influenced by anthropogenic site disturbance and found that temperature minimally impacts the process.

65 The present study expands on this body of research by evaluating the effects of various seed treatments on the germination process of T. mucronatum seeds, as assessed 66 67 by germinability and other relevant metrics. It consists of two experiments conducted 68 approximately one year apart on freshly collected seeds. Seed treatments evaluated in 69 our study were intended to enhance the germination process, resulting in higher 70 germinability as well as faster and more uniform germination. In Experiment 1 (2018), 71 the ability of seed soaking methods to initiate the germination process by promoting 72 adequate seed water imbibition was assessed. In Experiment 2 (2019), seed stratification 73 treatments were compared to establish the best practice to break seed physiological 74 dormancy, if present. These treatments were hypothesized to ameliorate the process by 75 hastening germination, increasing total germinability, and/or improving synchrony. Five 76 stratification and four soaking treatments (including controls) were tested to identify the 77 seed pre-germination regime(s) that most enhance the germination process.

78

79 Materials and Methods

80 Taxodium mucronatum seeds were obtained from the La Posada del Rey resaca in

- 81 Brownsville, Texas (25°53'19" N; 97°26'48" W), which is the location of the only
- 82 natural stand remaining in the United States, population is 69 adult individuals. Mature

83 cones attached to branches or on the ground were collected from multiple trees; seeds 84 were then cleaned, sorted and weighted. For Experiment 1 (2018), 6,593 seeds were 85 obtained in December 2017 and stored for 50 days at 6 °C prior to application of 86 soaking treatments to a random subsample. The average weight of T. mucronatum seeds 87 collected was 7.9 mg \pm 0.5 mg (n=100). Seeds used in Experiment 2 (2019) were 88 collected in January 2019 (3,479 seeds) and stored for 35 days at room temperature 89 prior to application of stratification treatments to avoid low temperature dormancy 90 interference.

91 For both experiments, a completely randomized design was adopted with six 92 replications per treatment. After treatments were applied, seeds were placed on two 93 layers of filter paper moistened with deionized (DI) water and covered by a third filter 94 paper layer in 100 mm petri dishes. Dishes remained covered and paper layers were 95 kept moist at a constant room temperature (23 °C) under natural photoperiod. Each petri 96 dish (experimental unit) had 50 evenly spaced seeds that were inspected for germination 97 (i.e. protrusion of radicle) every 1-2 days. Germinated seeds were counted and 98 discarded.

99 Seed treatments compared in Experiment 1 included 48-hour soaking in aerated 100 (using an air stone) DI water, 96-hour soaking in aerated DI water, a 5-minute soak in a 101 solution of 1% NaOH followed by a 48-hour aerated DI water soak, and a control 102 treatment in which no soaking was conducted. In Experiment 2, seed treatments 103 included cold (6 °C) stratification in moist conditions (i.e. between two layers of 104 moistened filter paper in a closed petri dish) for 1 week, moist stratification for 3 weeks, 105 dry stratification for 1 week, dry stratification for 3 weeks, and a non-stratified (23 °C) 106 dry control treatment.

107 Variables of the germination process measured included germinability (total 108 germination percentage), weighted/mean germination time (average number of days 109 required from maximum germination), mean germination rate (speed of the process, 110 reciprocal of mean germination time), and germination synchrony (degree of spreading 111 of germination over time) (for calculations details see Ranal et al. 2006, 2009). Data 112 was analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics v26. According to Shapiro-Wilks test and Q-Q 113 plots, all data was found normally distributed without transformations; variances were 114 all homogeneous as per Levene's test. One-way analysis of variance was used to assess 115 differences among treatments in both experiments. Means were separated using Tukey's 116 HSD tests (P<0.05).

117

118 **Results**

119 Experiment 1

120 Overall, germinability for this seed lot was close to 30% regardless of the treatment. 121 Differences among seed soak treatments were not statistically significant but a slightly 122 higher percentage was obtained with the 96-hour soak (Table 1). Statistically significant 123 differences in germination time, rate, and synchrony did occur. The 96-hour water soak 124 treatment resulted in the shortest mean germination time and fastest mean germination 125 rate. The germination process was most synchronous with the 48-hour soak/NaOH bath 126 treatment followed by the 96-hour soak treatment. Seeds in the control treatment (no 127 soak) had the longest germination time as well as the slowest rate and less synchrony. 128 Over the germination period, cumulative germination was consistently highest with the 129 96-hour soak and lowest for control seeds (Fig. 1). 130 [Table 1 near here]

131 Experiment 2

132 Germinability of this seed lot was higher compared to the lot used in Experiment 1 that 133 was obtained the previous year, reaching around 40% for several treatments. Mean 134 germinability ranged from 30.7% in the dry/1-week stratification treatment to 40.3% in 135 both the control and moist/1-week stratification treatment but these differences were not 136 statistically significant (Table 1). The moist/3-week stratification treatment 137 demonstrated a significantly shorter germination time and faster germination rate than 138 the other treatments but resulted in lower germinability. Both dry stratification 139 treatments resulted in similar, less favourable germination metrics compared to the 140 moist treatments, however germinability appeared higher (not significantly) for the 3-141 week treatment. The control treatment (no stratification) resulted in the longest, slowest, 142 and most time-dispersed process. Cumulative germination was not consistently distinct 143 for any treatment over the whole period (Fig. 2). Notably, cumulative germination for 144 the moist/3-week treatment was initially highest but ended among the lowest. 145 [Figure 1 and Figure 2 near here]

146

147 **Discussion**

148 The highest mean germinability obtained in this study (31.3% in 2018 and 40.3% in

149 2019) was greater than previously reported for this species (Denny and Arnold 2007;

150 Medina et al. 2005; St. Hilaire 2001), but lower than the 40-50% germinability obtained

151 using chemical treatments on seeds of the closely related bald cypress (Taxodium

152 *distichum*) (Liu et al. 2009). Lower maximum *T. distichum* seed germinability values,

such as 24.4% (Popovic et al. 2012) and 26.3% (Krauss et al. 1998), have also been

154 reported. The low germinability characteristic of *T. mucronatum* seeds may be the result

155 of low seed viability (St. Hilarie 2001). Fresh seeds from two locations in Queretaro,

156 Mexico had a viability around 56% based on the tetrazolium test (Enriquez- Peña et al.

157 2004), suggesting that the germinabilities reported here are relatively high for this158 species.

159 The 48-hour soak/NaOH bath treatment appeared to improve the germination 160 process by reducing the mean germination time, accelerating the mean germination rate 161 and resulting in less time-dispersed germination (i.e. higher synchrony). A similar 162 treatment on T. distichum seeds resulted in the highest germinability, suggesting that the 163 alkaline solution may facilitate the dissolution of resins on the seed coat and thus 164 improve seed water imbibition (Liu et al. 2009). The 96-hour soak treatment, however, 165 resulted in even more enhanced germination parameters with marked ameliorations on 166 the mean germination time and rate, and a slightly higher germinability. When 167 compared with the control (no soaking), all soaking treatments appear to improve the 168 germination process, suggesting that treatments that increment seed imbibition enhance 169 the germination process, as proposed by Denny and Arnold (2007). 170 Results from the second experiment suggest that seed stratification generally 171 improves the germination process in this species but not the outcome (i.e. total 172 germinability). Mean germination time, rate, and the synchrony of the process were 173 improved with all stratification treatments compared to the control. Further, the two 174 moist treatments resulted in better germination time and rate compared to the dry 175 stratification treatments. More seed water imbibition likely occurred in the moist 176 stratification treatments, hastening the germination process as soon as the seeds were 177 exposed to favourable temperatures (Denny and Arnold 2007). Moist stratification for 3 178 weeks accelerated germination. Denny and Arnold (2007) also reported that 179 stratification resulted in earlier germination. However, neither of these studies found 180 that stratification enhances overall germinability, which suggests that T. mucronatum

181 seeds do not require a cold period to germinate and thus do not exhibit physiological

dormancy. Non-dormant seeds have the capacity to germinate under adequate
environmental conditions (temperature, moisture, etc.) (Baskin and Baskin 2004), as
was the case in our study. Excised embryos of *T. mucronatum* seeds had no dormancy
(St Hilaire 2001). Viability of *T. mucronatum* seeds stored at 2-4 °C for 21 months was
drastically reduced (Enriquez- Peña et al. 2004), again possibly indicating a lack of
physiological dormancy.

188 This study presents information on the dynamics of the germination process of 189 T. mucronatum seeds. Derived recommendations should contribute to propagation 190 efforts for both riparian restoration and commercial ornamental production purposes of 191 this symbolic species. Best results were obtained with prolonged seed soaking (using an 192 air stone would be necessary to avoid anaerobic conditions that may result in embryo 193 mortality) and moist stratification (6 °C, 3 weeks). A combination of these treatments 194 may be redundant as both likely increase seed water imbibition, but further testing is 195 needed. Other seed treatments may influence the germination process as well. Enríquez-196 Peña et al. (2004) found that the presence of light increased T. mucronatum seed 197 germinability. Chemical treatments may also be worth investigating considering their 198 effective application on seeds of the closely related T. distichum (Liu et al. 2009). 199 However, St. Hilaire (2001) reported no germination among T. mucronatum seeds 200 treated with sulfuric acid and this study found that soaking seeds in an NaOH solution 201 does not increase germinability but may improve other aspects of the germination 202 process. Considering the inherent low seed viability of this species, other treatments 203 may not result in notable improvements of the germination process compared to what is 204 reported here. This study has additional value because it used a more representative 205 seed sample and source than other reported studies on *T. mucronatum* germination. 206 Previous reports (cited throughout this manuscript) are based on small seed lots

- 207 obtained from 1-3 isolated individuals, whereas the seeds used in this study were
- 208 random subsamples from larger lots composed of seeds from multiple trees of a natural
- stand of 69 adult individuals. Seed viability was high, despite the small number of adult
- 210 trees. This suggests that restoration of the isolated Montezuma cypress tree population
- 211 in the Rio Grande Valley of south Texas by seed propagation, promoting genetic
- 212 diversity, is still possible.
- 213
- 214

Acknowledgements.

The authors are grateful to Rene Barrientos and Jaqueline Ruiz for their assistance in

the preparation of germination tests. We thank the personnel of The Nature

Conservancy's Southmost Preserve for the collection of the seeds used in the second

experiment.

Declaration of Interest

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors

References

Conner W, Fabrizio L, Sapp B. 2012. Status of private cypress wetland forests in Georgia - alternatives for conservation and restoration. Charlottesville (VA): Clemson University, Southern Environmental Law Center. Available from: https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/ag_pubs/15/.

Denny GC, Arnold MA. 2007. Pre-germination seed treatments and stratification affect germination of montezuma cypress. J Environ Hortic. 25(1):1–3.

Duryea ML, Hermansen LA, Dean A. 1997. Cypress: Florida's majestic and beneficial wetlands. Gainesville (FL): University of Florida School of Forest Resources and Conservation. Available from: http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/fr008.

Enríquez-Peña E, Suzán-Azpiri H, Malda-Barrera G. 2004. Seed viability and germination of *Taxodium mucronatum* (Ten.) in the state of Querétaro, Mexico. Agrociencia. 38:375–381.

Krauss KW, Chambers JL, Allen JA. 1998. Salinity effects and differential germination of several half-sib families of baldcypress from different seed sources. New For. 15(1):53–68.

Liu G, Li Y, Hedgepeth M, Wan Y, Roberts R. 2009. Seed germination enhancement for bald cypress [*Taxodium distichum* (L.) Rich.]. J Hortic For. 1(2):22-26.

Medina G, Cohen IS. Morfología y escarificación de la semilla de mezquite, huizache y ahuehuete. [Morphology and scarification of mesquite, huizache and ahuehuete seeds] Tec Pecu Mex. 43(3):441-448. Spanish.

Parresol BR. 2002. Baldcypress, an important wetland tree species: ecological value, management and mensuration. Presented at the Nanjing International Wetlands Symposium; September 8-18; Nanjing, China.

Popovic V, Ivetic V, Sijacic/Nikolic M, R. K, Matović B, V. L. 2012. Effect of pretreatments on seed germination rate from different bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum* Rich.) trees. For Ideas. 18:163–168.

Ranal MA, Santana DG de. 2006. How and why to measure the germination process? Rev Bras Bot. 29(1):1–11.

Ranal MA, Santana DG de, Ferreira WR, Mendes-Rodrigues C. 2009. Calculating germination measurements and organizing spreadsheets. Rev Bras Bot. 32(4):849–855.

St. Hilaire R. 2001. Seed Coat Treatments Influence Germination of *Taxodium mucronatum*. Desert Plants. 17(1):15–18.

St. Hilaire R. 2003. Propogation of *Taxodium mucronatum* from Softwood Cuttings. Desert Plants. 19(1):29–30

Sullivan, Janet. 1994. *Taxodium mucronatum*. In: Fire Effects Information System. Missoula (MT): U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory; [accessed 2020 Apr 22]. https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/tree/taxmuc/all.html.

Table 1. Measurements of the germination process of *Taxodium mucronatum* seeds as affected by various pre-germination treatments. Letters denote significant differences according to Tukey's HSD Test (P < 0.05)

Seed Treatment	Germinability (%)	Germination Time (days)	Germination Rate (days ⁻¹)	Germination Synchrony
		Experiment 1		
48 Hour Soak	$27.0 \pm 2.4 \text{ a}$	$7.20\pm0.42\ bc$	$0.141\pm0.008\ ab$	$1.06\pm0.24\ b$
96 Hour Soak	31.3 ± 1.8 a	4.59 ± 0.13 a	$0.219 \pm 0.007 \text{ c}$	0.68 ± 0.18 ab
48 Hour Soak + NaOH	28.3 ± 3.1 a	$6.18\pm0.11~b$	$0.162 \pm 0.003 \text{ b}$	0.26 ± 0.17 a
Control (no soak)	27.7 ± 1.5 a	$7.68\pm0.36\ c$	$0.131 \pm 0.006 \text{ a}$	$1.17\pm0.11~b$
		Experiment 2		
Cold/Moist/1 Week	40.3 ± 2.0 a	6.16 ± 0.44 ab	$0.166\pm0.010~b$	2.11 ± 0.09 a
Cold/Moist/3 Weeks	33.7 ± 3.2 a	5.26 ± 0.11 a	$0.191 \pm 0.004 \text{ c}$	2.09 ± 0.11 a
Cold/Dry/1 Week	$30.7 \pm 2.8 \text{ a}$	6.67 ± 0.24 bc	$0.151 \pm 0.006 \text{ ab}$	2.38 ± 0.16 a
Cold/Drv/ 3 Weeks	39.7 ± 2.2 a	6.58 ± 0.14 bc	0.152 ± 0.003 ab	2.02 ± 0.15 a
Control (Warm/dry)	$40.3 \pm 3.2 \text{ a}$	$7.18\pm0.14\ c$	0.140 ± 0.003 a	2.45 ± 0.11 a



Figure 2.



Figure Captions

Figure 1. Cumulative germination of *Taxodium mucronatum* seeds as affected by various soaking treatments.

Figure 2. Cumulative germination of *Taxodium mucronatum* seeds as affected by various cold stratification (6°C) treatments.