

J. Hortl. Sci. Vol. 10(1):109-111, 2015

Short communication



Effect of pinching and growth retardants on growth and flowering in African marigold cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda

K. Sasikumar, V. Baskaran* and K. Abirami

Division of Floriculture and Landscaping ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI) New Delhi – 110012, India *E-mail: vbaski01@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A study on the effect of pinching and application of growth retardants on growth and flowering in African marigold cv. 'Pusa Narangi Gainda' was carried out in the experimental field of Division of Floriculture and Landscaping, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. Treatments comprised pinching, CCC applied at 1000ppm, 1500ppm or 2000ppm, MH at 500ppm or 2000ppm; B-9 at 500ppm, 750ppm or 1000ppm, and a Control (no pinching). CCC at 2000ppm recorded minimum plant height (46.0cm), maximum plant-spread (56.0cm) and maximum number of branches (19.0), whereas, maximum plant height (67.0cm), minimum plant-spread (29.66cm) and minimum number of branches (5.33) were recorded in Control (non-pinching). As for flowering and yield, application of CCC at 2000ppm recorded maximum flowering-duration (25.33 days), number of flowers per plant (40), single-flower weight (119.46g), flower yield per plant (408.10g), flower yield per unit area (17.83t/ha) and seed yield per plant (17.80 g), Maximum flower diameter (7.93cm) was recorded with application of CCC 2000ppm, whereas, minimum was recorded with pinching (6.2cm). Spray of growth retardants enhanced flower yield compared to that in Control (no pinching). Maximum shelf-life of flower was recorded with CCC 2000ppm (3.66 days), whereas, minimum was recorded with pinching and non-pinching (2.33 days). Thus, application of CCC at 2000ppm is superior to other treatments tested for increasing flower yield in marigold.

Key words: Marigold, pinching, non-pinching, growth retardants

Marigold is one of the most popular flowering annuals cultivated in India. It is one of the commonly grown flowers, and is used extensively in religious and social functions in different forms. It has gained popularity among gardeners and flower dealers on account of ease of cultivation. In the recent past, the enterprise has become highly remunerative to traditional floriculture in India on account of various commercial uses of this flower. Marigold is often referred to as the versatile crop with golden harvest. Flower yield is mainly dependent on the number of flower-bearing, branches which can be manipulated by arresting vertical growth of the plant and by encouraging side shoots to develop, with apical-bud pinching. Such side shoots have a better chance of bearing flowers and, in turn, lead to higher flower yield. Similarly, application of growth retardants in horticultural crops has a marked broad-range of effects, both morphological and physiological. Effect of growth retardants varies with plant species, variety, concentration, method of application, frequency of application and various other factors influencing uptake and translocation of nutrients. In view of its importance in commercial flower production, the present investigation was initiated with an objective to develop suitable agro-techniques for enhanced flower production in African marigold cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda.

An experiment was conducted at the research farm of Division of Floriculture and Landscaping, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. Eleven treatments were imposed viz., Chloremequat chloride (CCC) at 1000ppm (T1), 1500ppm (T2), 2000 ppm (T3); Malic hydrazide (MH) at 500ppm (T4), 1000ppm (T5), 1500ppm (T6); Alar (B-nine) at 500ppm (T7), 750ppm (T8), 1000ppm (T9); Pinching (T10), and non-pinching [Control] (T11). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design, with three replications. Seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 45cm x 45cm. Meristematic bud was pinched three weeks after transplant. Freshly-prepared growth retardants were sprayed at different concentrations. The first spray was

Table 1. Effect of pinching and growth retardants on growth and flowering in marigold

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread	No. of branches per plant	Days to first flowering	Flowering duration	Number of flowers	Flower diameter (cm)	Single flower	Flower yield per	Flower yield per unit area	Seed yield per	
	(cm)	(cm)	per plant	nowening		per plant	(cm)	weight (g)	plant (g)	(t/ha)	plant (g)	(days)
T1-CCC	46.83	50.00	16.67	63.66	22.61	33.33	7.56	101.27	361.66	16.50	15.61	2.00
1000ppm T2-CCC	48.00	50.66	17.00	64.33	24.33	34.33	7.60	104.60	376.10	16.96	16.86	3.00
1500ppm	40.00	50.00	17.00	04.55	24.55	54.55	7.00	104.00	570.10	10.70	10.00	5.00
T3-CCC	46.00	56.00	19.00	66.66	25.33	40.00	7.93	119.46	408.10	17.83	17.80	3.66
2000ppm												
T4-MH	48.00	35.66	14.66	63.66	21.66	26.66	6.63	101.83	350.00	16.53	16.18	3.00
500ppm	10.00											
T5-MH	48.00	44.00	15.66	66.66	22.66	27.33	7.00	107.23	341.03	14.53	16.86	3.33
1000ppm T6-MH	49.00	47.33	17.66	60.00	23.33	30.33	7.46	101.73	345.70	15.03	17.03	2.66
1500ppm	49.00	47.55	17.00	00.00	25.55	50.55	7.40	101.75	545.70	15.05	17.05	2.00
T7-B-nine	48.00	37.33	10.66	61.66	22.00	31.33	7.10	94.87	385.46	16.56	17.73	3.00
500ppm												
T8-B-nine	54.33	39.83	14.00	56.00	22.33	30.00	7.40	98.13	378.90	14.96	17.48	3.00
750ppm												
T9-B-nine	56.33	44.66	16.33	62.00	22.66	28.33	7.60	104.73	382.43	15.16	16.78	3.00
1000ppm												
T10-Pinching	52.33	34.00	9.33	60.00	23.00	24.00	6.20	93.90	388.30	13.13	13.01	2.33
T11-Non	67.00	29.66	5.33	50.33	21.00	17.00	6.23	90.55	243.23	10.03	7.33	2.33
Pinching												
(Control) <i>CD</i> (<i>P</i> =0.05)	16.15	6.02	2.96	4.22	2.99	5.31	0.42	10.68	38.91	1.98	0.76	0.75
CD(P=0.05)	16.15	6.02	2.96	4.22	2.99	5.31	0.42	10.68	38.91	1.98	0.76	0.75

applied three weeks after transplanting, while the second spray was scheduled at five weeks after transplanting. Five plants were randomly selected in the net plot area and tagged with labels in each treatment to record observation on growth and yield. Crop management practices like nutrient irrigation weed management and plant protection measures were included as per requirement of the crop. Data on various parameters were recorded and subjected to statistical analysis.

Data presented in Table 1 reveal that pinching and application of different growth retardants at various levels influenced growth, flowering and yield significantly in marigold. The treatments were effective in suppressing plant height compared to Control. CCC at 2000ppm recorded minimum plant height (46.0cm), maximum plant-spread (56.0cm) and maximum number of branches (19.0), whereas, maximum plant height (67.0cm), minimum plantspread (29.66cm) and minimum number of branches (5.33) were recorded in the Control (non-pinching). These findings are in accordance with Jay *et al* (1991), Girwani *et al* (1990), Narayana Gowda and Jayanthi (1991), and Dutta and Ramadas (1997) in chrysanthemum. This response may be due to inhibition of GA synthesis and breakdown of apical dominance, thereby resulting in auxin balance and enhanced differentiation of branching caused by CCC, as proposed by Ninnemann *et al* (1964). Early flowering (50.33 days) was recorded in non-pinching (Control). Late flowering was recorded with CCC 2000ppm and MH 1000ppm (66.66 days). These results are in congruence with Narayana Gowda and Jayanthi (1991) and Parmar and Singh (1989) in chrysanthemum. Delay in flowering may have been due to inhibition of GA synthesis.

As for flowering and yield parameters, application of CCC at 2000ppm recorded maximum flowering-duration (25.33 days), number of flowers per plant (40), single-flower weight (119.46g), flower yield per plant (408.10g), flower yield per unit area (17.83t/ha) and seed yield per plant (17.80g), whereas, minimum flowering-duration (21 days), flower number per plant (17.0), flower weight (19.55g), flower yield per plant (243.23g), flower yield per unit area (10.03 t/ha) and seed yield (7.33g) were recorded in Control (non-pinching). Maximum flower diameter (7.93cm) was recorded with CCC at 2000ppm. Results of the present study are in agreement with Leena et al (1992) in gladiolus, Dutta and Ramadas (1997) and Takuldar and Paswan (1994) in chrysanthemum, and, Syamal et al (1990) in marigold and China aster. This may probably be due to suppression of apical dominance, resulting in increased number of flowers

per plant and, ultimately, increased flower yield per hectare. Our results clearly showed that spray of growth retardants enhanced flower yield compared to that in Control (nonpinching). Maximum shelf life of flower was recorded in CCC 2000 ppm (3.66 days) .Similar results were obtained by Raju Dantuluri, (2000) who reported improved shelf life of flowers in Asiatic hybrid Lily cv. Corrida with CCC treatment. The minimum shelf life of flowers was recorded in pinching and non pinching (2.33 days).

Thus, the present investigation revealed that application of CCC at 2000ppm was superior among the treatments tested for increasing flower yield in marigold.

REFERENCES

- Dutta, J.P. and Ramdas, S. 1997. Growth and flowering response of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema* grandiflora Tzelev.) to growth regulator treatments. *Orissa J. Hort.*, **25:**81-86
- Girwani, A., Srihari Babu, R. and Chandrasekhar, R. 1990. Response of marigold (*Tagetes erecta*) to growth regulators and zinc. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, **60**:220-222
- Jay Holcomb, Eorse, D. Turkey and Mark A. Rose 1991. Effect of GA on inflorescence in Uniconazole treated chrysanthemums. *Hort. Sci.*, **26**:312

Leena Ravidas, Rajeevan, P.K. and Val Salakumari, P.K.

1992. Effect of foliar application of growth regulators on the growth, flowering and corm yield of gladiolus cv. Friendship. *S. Indian Hort.*, **40**:329-335

- Narayana Gowda, J.V. and Jayanthi, R. 1991. Effect of Cycocel and maleic hydrazide on growth and flowering of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Prog. Hort.*, **23**:114-118
- Ninnemann, H., Zeevart, J.A.D., Kendy, H. and Lacy, A. 1964. The plant growth retardant CCC as an inhibitor of gibberellin synthesis in *Fusarium moniliformae*. *Planta*, **61**:229-235
- Parmar, A.S. and Singh, S.N. 1989. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flowering of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). S. Indian Hort., **31**:53-54
- Raju Dantuluri, V.S. 2000. Effect of plant growth regulators and disbudding on growth and development of Asiatic hybrid lily cv. Corrida. M.Sc. Thesis, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, 44p
- Syamal, M.M., Rajput, C.B.S., Upadhyay, R.K. and Singh, J.N. 1990. Effect of GA₃ and MH on growth, flowering and seed yield of marigold and China aster. *Indian J. Hort.*, **47**:439-441
- Talukdar, M.C. and Paswan, L. 1994. Effect of GA₃ and CCC on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthezma grandiflora* Tzvelev.) cultivar Turmvuli. *Hort. J.*, **7**:141-144

(MS Received 08 July 2014, Revised 25 May 2015, Accepted 29 May 2015)