

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Discrete Algorithms 5 (2007) 304-322

JOURNAL OF DISCRETE ALGORITHMS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jda

Algorithms for finding distance-edge-colorings of graphs

Takehiro Ito*, Akira Kato, Xiao Zhou, Takao Nishizeki

Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Aoba-yama 6-6-05, Sendai, 980-8579, Japan

Received 8 September 2005; accepted 27 March 2006

Available online 14 July 2006

Abstract

For a bounded integer ℓ , we wish to color all edges of a graph *G* so that any two edges within distance ℓ have different colors. Such a coloring is called a distance-edge-coloring or an ℓ -edge-coloring of *G*. The distance-edge-coloring problem is to compute the minimum number of colors required for a distance-edge-coloring of a given graph *G*. A partial *k*-tree is a graph with tree-width bounded by a fixed constant *k*. We first present a polynomial-time exact algorithm to solve the problem for partial *k*-trees, and then give a polynomial-time 2-approximation algorithm for planar graphs.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Algorithm; Approximation algorithm; Distance-edge-coloring; Partial k-trees; Planar graphs

1. Introduction

We denote by G = (V, E) a graph with vertex set V and edge set E. An ordinary *edge-coloring of a graph G* is to color all edges of G so that any adjacent edges have different colors. For two vertices u and v, we denote by dist(u, v) the *distance between u and v* in G, that is, the number of edges in a shortest path between u and v in G. For two edges e = (u, v) and e' = (u', v'), the *distance between e and e'* in G is defined as follows:

 $\operatorname{dist}(e, e') = \min \{ \operatorname{dist}(u, u'), \operatorname{dist}(u, v'), \operatorname{dist}(v, u'), \operatorname{dist}(v, v') \}.$

For a given bounded nonnegative integer ℓ , we wish to color all edges of *G* so that any two edges *e* and *e'* with dist(*e*, *e'*) $\leq \ell$ have different colors. Such a coloring is called a *distance-edge-coloring* or an ℓ -*edge-coloring* of *G*. Thus a 0-edge-coloring is merely an ordinary edge-coloring, and a 1-edge-coloring is a "strong edge-coloring" [15, 16]. The ℓ -*chromatic index* $\chi'_{\ell}(G)$ of *G* is the minimum number of colors required for an ℓ -edge-coloring of *G*. The *distance-edge-coloring problem* or the ℓ -*edge-coloring problem* is to compute the ℓ -chromatic index $\chi'_{\ell}(G)$ of a given graph *G*. For example, the coloring of a graph in Fig. 1 is a 1-edge-coloring with six colors c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_6 , and is of course a 0-edge-coloring, but is not a 2-edge-coloring. One can easily observe that $\chi'_1(G) = 6$ for the graph *G* in Fig. 1.

Since the edge-coloring problem is NP-hard [12], the ℓ -edge-coloring problem is NP-hard in general and hence it is very unlikely that the ℓ -edge-coloring problem can be efficiently solved for general graphs. A partial *k*-tree is a

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 22 795 7163; fax: +81 22 263 9304.

E-mail addresses: take@nishizeki.ecei.tohoku.ac.jp (T. Ito), akira@nishizeki.ecei.tohoku.ac.jp (A. Kato), zhou@ecei.tohoku.ac.jp (X. Zhou), nishi@ecei.tohoku.ac.jp (T. Nishizeki).

^{1570-8667/\$ –} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jda.2006.03.020



Fig. 1. A 1-edge-coloring of a partial 3-tree G with six colors.

graph with tree-width bounded by a fixed constant k. The class of partial k-trees is fairly large, and includes trees, outerplanar graphs, series-parallel graphs, etc. It is known that many combinatorial problems can be solved very efficiently for partial k-trees even if the problems are NP-hard for general graphs [2,3,8–10]. Such classes of problems have been characterized in terms of "forbidden subgraphs" or "extended monadic second-order logic" [2,3,8–10]. The ℓ -edge-coloring problem does not belong to such a class of the "maximum or minimum subgraph problems" [10]. The ℓ -edge-coloring problem is indeed one of the "edge-covering problems" which, as mentioned in [8], does not appear to be efficiently solved for partial k-trees. However, the following two results have been known. First, the ordinary edge-coloring problem can be solved in linear time for partial k-trees [18]. Second, the 1-edge-coloring problem can be solved in polynomial time for partial k-trees [16].

A vertex version of the distance-edge-coloring problem has been studied for partial k-trees and planar graphs. For a given bounded nonnegative integer ℓ , the *distance-vertex-coloring* or ℓ -vertex-coloring is to color all vertices of a graph G so that any two vertices u and v with dist $(u, v) \leq \ell$ have different colors. The distance-vertex-coloring problem, which finds an ℓ -vertex-coloring of a given graph with the minimum number of colors, can be solved in polynomial time for partial k-trees [17]. There is a polynomial-time 2-approximation algorithm for the distancevertex-coloring problem on planar graphs [1]. The distance-edge-coloring problem for a graph G can be reduced to an ordinary vertex-coloring problem for a new graph G' obtained from G by some operations. However, G' is not always a partial k-tree or a planar graph even if G is a partial k-tree or a planar graph.

In this paper we give two polynomial-time algorithms for the ℓ -edge-coloring problem. The first is a polynomialtime exact algorithm to solve the ℓ -edge-coloring problem for partial *k*-trees. The algorithm determines in time $O(n(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}})$ whether a partial *k*-tree *G* has an ℓ -edge-coloring with a given number α of colors, where *n* is the number of vertices in *G*. Remember that we assume $k, \ell = O(1)$. One may assume without loss of generality that α is smaller than the number of the edges in *G*; otherwise, *G* has a trivial ℓ -edge-coloring with α colors. Thus the ℓ -edge-coloring problem can be solved in polynomial time. The algorithm takes linear time if α is a fixed constant. The second algorithm is a polynomial-time 2-approximation algorithm for the ℓ -edge-coloring problem on planar graphs. An early version of the paper has been presented at [13].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 includes basic definitions and notations. Section 3 gives an exact algorithm to solve the ℓ -edge-coloring problem for partial *k*-trees. Section 4 gives a polynomial-time 2-approximation algorithm to solve the ℓ -edge-coloring problem for planar graphs. Finally, Section 5 is a conclusion.

2. Terminology and definitions

In this section we give some definitions. An edge joining vertices u and v is denoted by (u, v). We denote by n the number of vertices in G, and by m the number of edges in G. We assume that k is a bounded positive integer.

A *k*-tree is defined recursively as follows [5]:

- (1) A complete graph with k + 1 vertices is a k-tree.
- (2) If G is a k-tree and k vertices induce a complete subgraph of G, then a graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex and joining it with each of the k vertices is a k-tree.

Every subgraph of a k-tree is called a *partial k-tree*. Thus a partial k-tree G is a simple graph, and m < kn.



Fig. 2. A process of generating 3-trees.



Fig. 3. Tree-decomposition of the partial 3-tree in Fig. 1.

Fig. 2 illustrates a process of generating 3-trees. The graph in Fig. 1 is indeed a partial 3-tree since it is a subgraph of the last 3-tree in Fig. 2.

A binary tree $T = (V_T, E_T)$ is called a *tree-decomposition of a partial k-tree* G = (V, E) if T satisfies the following conditions (a)–(e):

- (a) every node $X \in V_T$ of T is a subset of V, and $|X| \leq k + 1$;
- (b) $\bigcup_{X \in V_T} X = V;$

(c) for each edge e = (u, v) of G, T has a leaf $X \in V_T$ such that $u, v \in X$;

- (d) if node X_q lies on the path in T from node X_p to node X_r , then $X_p \cap X_r \subseteq X_q$; and
- (e) each internal node X_i of T has exactly two children, say X_L and X_R , and either $X_i = X_L$ or $X_i = X_R$.

We will use notions leaf, node, child, and root in their usual meaning. Fig. 3 illustrates a tree-decomposition T of the partial 3-tree in Fig. 1. Note that $V_T = \{X_0, X_1, \dots, X_6\}$. We always denote by X_0 the root of a tree-decomposition T.

Since a tree-decomposition T of a partial k-tree G can be found in linear time [6], we may assume that a partial k-tree G and its tree-decomposition T are given. The number of nodes of T constructed by the algorithm in [6] is O(n).

By the condition (c) of a tree-decomposition, for every edge $e = (u, v) \in E$, there is at least one leaf X of T such that $u, v \in X$. We choose one of such leaves as the *representative* of the edge e, and denote it by rep(e). Each node X_i of T corresponds to a subgraph $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$ of G. The vertex set V_i and edge set E_i of G_i are recursively defined as follows:

(i) if X_i is a leaf of T, then $V_i = X_i$ and $E_i = \{e \in E \mid rep(e) = X_i\}$; and



Fig. 5. Graphs G and G_i .

(ii) if X_i is an internal node of T, the left child X_L of X_i corresponds to a subgraph $G_L = (V_L, E_L)$ of G, and the right child X_R corresponds to $G_R = (V_R, E_R)$, then $V_i = V_L \cup V_R$ and $E_i = E_L \cup E_R$, and hence G_i is a union of two graphs G_L and G_R as illustrated in Fig. 4, where X_i (= X_L) and X_R are indicated by ovals drawn by thick lines.

Note that $E_L \cap E_R = \emptyset$. Clearly $G = G_0$ for the root X_0 of T. The condition (d) of a tree-decomposition implies that

$$V_L \cap V_R = X_L \cap X_R \subseteq X_i,$$

and that no edge of G joins a vertex in $V_i - X_i$ and a vertex in $V - V_i$ for each node X_i of T [11]. (See Fig. 5.)

The root $X_0 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ of the tree-decomposition T in Fig. 3 has two children $X_1 (= X_0)$ and $X_2 = \{v_1, v_3, v_4, v_6\}$. Figs. 6(a), (b) and (c) illustrate the subgraphs G_0 , G_1 and G_2 corresponding to X_0 , X_1 and X_2 , respectively.

3. Algorithm for partial *k*-trees

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let G be a partial k-tree, let ℓ be a bounded nonnegative integer, and let α be a positive integer. Then it can be determined in time $O(n(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}})$ whether G has an ℓ -edge-coloring with α colors.

The number α is not assumed to be a fixed constant, but can be assumed to be smaller than the number *m* of edges in *G*. Therefore, using a binary search technique, one can compute the ℓ -chromatic index $\chi'_{\ell}(G)$ of *G* by applying Theorem 1 for at most $\log_2 m$ values of α , $1 \leq \alpha < m$. We thus have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. The ℓ -chromatic index $\chi'_{\ell}(G)$ of a partial k-tree G can be computed in polynomial time.



(a)
$$G_0 = G$$



Fig. 6. (a) Colorings f_0 of $G = G_0$, (b) f_1 of G_1 , and (c) f_2 of G_2 .

In the remainder of this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.

3.1. Idea and terms

From now on we call an ℓ -edge-coloring simply a *coloring*. Although we give an algorithm to determine whether a partial *k*-tree *G* has a coloring with α colors, it can be easily modified so that it actually finds a coloring of *G* with α colors if *G* has. Our idea is to extend techniques developed for the ordinary edge-coloring problem [5,18] and the distance-vertex-coloring problem [17] to the ℓ -edge-coloring problem and is to reduce the size of a Dynamic Programming (DP) table to O((α + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)}}) by considering "counts" and "pair-counts".

Let G = (V, E) be a partial k-tree, and let $T = (V_T, E_T)$ be a tree- decomposition of G. Let C be a set of α colors $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{\alpha}$. For a node X_i of T, a mapping $f : E_i \to C$ is called an *entire coloring of* $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$ if $f(e) \neq f(e')$ for any pair of edges $e, e' \in E_i$ with dist $(e, e') \leq \ell$. Remember that dist(e, e') is the distance between e and e' in the entire graph G, not in the subgraph G_i . Thus an entire coloring of G_i is a coloring of G_i , while a coloring of G_i is not always an entire coloring of G_i . However, a coloring of G_0 (= G) is an entire coloring of G. Figs. 6(a), (b) and (c) illustrate entire colorings of G_0, G_1 and G_2 , respectively, for the case $\ell = 1$.

For a vertex u and an edge e = (v, w), the *distance between u and e* in G is defined as follows:

$$\operatorname{dist}(u, e) = \min\left\{\operatorname{dist}(u, v), \operatorname{dist}(u, w)\right\}.$$

Thus dist(u, e) = 0 if u is an end-vertex of e.

For an entire coloring f of G_i , an integer $j, 0 \le j \le \ell$, and a vertex $v \in X_i$, we define a set $D(f, j, v) \subseteq C$ as follows:

$$D(f, j, v) = \{c \in C \mid G_i \text{ has an edge } e \text{ such that } f(e) = c \text{ and } \operatorname{dist}(v, e) = j\}.$$
(1)

Thus D(f, j, v) consists of all colors c that are assigned to edges e of G_i with dist(v, e) = j. For example, $D(f_1, 0, v_1) = \{c_2, c_3\}$ and $D(f_1, 1, v_1) = \{c_1, c_4, c_6\}$ for the entire coloring f_1 of the graph G_1 in Fig. 6(b). Note that dist $(v_1, v_4) = 1$ for the entire graph G depicted in Fig. 6(a) although there is no edge joining v_1 and v_4 in G_1 .

For a node $X_i \in V_T$ of T, an entire coloring f of G_i , an integer j, $0 \le j \le l$, and a color $c \in C$, we define a set $Y(X_i; f, j, c) \subseteq X_i$ as follows:

$$Y(X_i; f, j, c) = \{ v \in X_i \mid c \in D(f, j, v) \}.$$
(2)

Thus $Y(X_i; f, j, c)$ consists of all vertices v in X_i for which G_i has an edge e such that f(e) = c and dist(v, e) = j. For example, $Y(X_1; f_1, 0, c_6) = \{v_3, v_4\}$ and $Y(X_1; f_1, 1, c_6) = \{v_1\}$ for the entire coloring f_1 in Fig. 6(b). We denote by 2^{X_i} the power set of X_i , and by $(2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$ the direct product of $\ell + 1$ copies of 2^{X_i} . Thus, if $\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{A}$

We denote by 2^{X_i} the power set of X_i , and by $(2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$ the direct product of $\ell + 1$ copies of 2^{X_i} . Thus, if $A \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$, then A is an $(\ell + 1)$ -tuple $(A^0, A^1, \ldots, A^\ell)$ of sets $A^0, A^1, \ldots, A^\ell \subseteq X_i$. For an entire coloring f of G_i , we define a mapping $C_f : (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1} \to 2^C$ as follows:

$$C_f(\mathbb{A}) = \left\{ c \in C \mid A^j = Y(X_i; f, j, c) \text{ for each } j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell \right\},\tag{3}$$

where $\mathbb{A} = (A^0, A^1, \dots, A^\ell) \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$. For example, $C_{f_1}(\{v_3, v_4\}, \{v_1\}) = \{c_6\}$ and $C_{f_1}(\{v_3, v_4\}, \{v_1, v_2\}) = \emptyset$ for the entire coloring f_1 in Fig. 6(b). Probably $C_f(\mathbb{A}) = \emptyset$ for many $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$. We call the mapping C_f the color function of f on X_i . We write

$$\mathcal{F}_f = \left\{ C_f(\mathbb{A}) \mid \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1} \right\},\$$

then \mathcal{F}_f is clearly a partition of the set *C*.

For a node X_i of T, we say that an entire coloring of G_i is *extendable* if it can be extended to a coloring of $G = G_0$ without changing the entire coloring of G_i . Both the entire coloring f_1 of G_1 in Fig. 6(b) and the entire coloring f_2 of G_2 in Fig. 6(c) are extendable because both can be extended to the coloring f_0 of G_0 in Fig. 6(a).

A mapping $\gamma : (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1} \to \{0, 1, \dots, \alpha\}$ is called a *count on node* X_i . A count γ on X_i is defined to be *active* if G_i has an entire coloring f whose color function C_f satisfies

$$\left|C_{f}(\mathbb{A})\right| = \gamma(\mathbb{A}) \tag{4}$$

for each $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$. Such a count γ is called the *count of the entire coloring* f. Since $|C| = \alpha$ and \mathcal{F}_f is a partition of C, an active count γ satisfies

$$\sum \gamma(\mathbb{A}) = \alpha, \tag{5}$$

where the summation above is taken over all $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$.

Example. For the entire coloring f_1 of G_1 in Fig. 6(b), the color function C_{f_1} on X_1 satisfies

$$\begin{split} &C_{f_1}(\{v_2\},\{v_1,v_3\}) = \{c_1\},\\ &C_{f_1}(\{v_1,v_2\},\{v_4\}) = \{c_2\},\\ &C_{f_1}(\{v_1\},\{v_2,v_3,v_4\}) = \{c_3\},\\ &C_{f_1}(\{v_3\},\{v_1,v_2,v_4\}) = \{c_4\},\\ &C_{f_1}(\emptyset,\emptyset) = \{c_5\},\\ &C_{f_1}(\{v_3,v_4\},\{v_1\}) = \{c_6\}, \end{split}$$

and

 $C_{f_1}(\mathbb{A}) = \emptyset$

for any other $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_1})^2$. Therefore the count γ_1 of f_1 satisfies

 $\gamma_1(\{v_2\}, \{v_1, v_3\}) = 1,$ $\gamma_1(\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_4\}) = 1,$

```
\gamma_1(\{v_1\}, \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}) = 1,

\gamma_1(\{v_3\}, \{v_1, v_2, v_4\}) = 1,

\gamma_1(\emptyset, \emptyset) = 1,

\gamma_1(\{v_3, v_4\}, \{v_1\}) = 1,
```

and

$$\gamma_1(\mathbb{A}) = 0$$

for any other $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_1})^2$.

We then have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Assume that f and g are entire colorings of G_i for a node X_i of T, and that f and g have the same count. Then f is extendable if and only if g is extendable.

Proof. See Appendix A. \Box

Define an equivalence relation \cong on the set of all entire colorings of G_i , as follows: $f \cong g$ if the entire colorings f and g of G_i have the same (active) count. Then each active count on X_i characterizes an equivalence class of entire colorings of G_i . Lemma 1 implies that either all the entire colorings in an equivalence class are extendable or none of them is extendable. Since $|X_i| \le k + 1$, there are at most $(\alpha + 1)^{2^{(k+1)(\ell+1)}}$ distinct counts $\gamma : (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1} \to \{0, 1, \dots, \alpha\}$ on X_i .

The main step of our algorithm is to compute a table of all active counts on each node of T from the leaves to the root X_0 of T by means of dynamic programming. From the table on the root X_0 one can easily know whether G has a coloring with α colors, as follows.

Lemma 2. A partial k-tree G has a coloring with α colors if and only if the table on the root X_0 has at least one active count.

3.2. Algorithm

We first outline an algorithm to determine whether a partial k-tree G has a coloring with α colors $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{\alpha}$ in C, as follows.

- Step 1: We compute the table of all active counts on each leaf X_i of T as follows:
 - (i) enumerate all mappings $f: E_i \to \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_j\}$, where $j = \min\{\alpha, |E_i|\}$;
 - (ii) remove mappings that are not entire colorings of G_i ; and
 - (iii) compute all the active counts corresponding to entire colorings of G_i ;
- Step 2: We compute the table of all active counts on each internal node X_i of T from all active counts on its children X_L and X_R , as follows:
 - (i) enumerate all "pair-counts" on X_i , and find "active" ones by using Lemma 3 below (a "pair-count" and an "active pair-count" will be defined later); and
 - (ii) compute all active counts on X_i from all active pair-counts on X_i by using Lemma 4(b) below; and
- Step 3: Using Lemma 2, we determine whether $G = G_0$ has a coloring with α colors.

We then explain the details of Steps 1–3, and analyze the computation time of each step.

Step 1. As preprocessing, for all pairs of vertices u and v in the same leaf of T, we determine whether dist $(u, v) \leq \ell$ or not, and compute dist(u, v) if dist $(u, v) \leq \ell$. This preprocessing can be done in linear time as follows. For a partial k-tree G, one can construct a data structure which allows to determine in time O(1) whether dist $(u, v) \leq \ell$ for two given vertices u and v in G and if so dist(u, v) is returned. Such a data structure can be constructed in linear time [14].

Since each leaf contains at most k + 1 vertices and T has O(n) leaves, the preprocessing can be done in linear time by using the data structure.

Since G_i is a simple graph, $j \leq |E_i| \leq k(k+1)/2$. Therefore the number of distinct mappings f enumerated in Step 1(i) above is at most $j^{k(k+1)/2} = O(1)$. Since the distances dist(u, v) have been computed for any two vertices u and v with $dist(u, v) \leq \ell$, Step 1(ii) above can be done in time O(1) for each mapping f. Clearly Step 1(iii) above can be done in time O(1) for each entire Coloring f. Thus one can compute the table on a leaf X_i of T in time O(1). Since T has O(n) leaves, the tables for all leaves can be computed in time O(n).

Step 2. We first define a "pair-count" and an "active pair-count" on an internal node X_i of T, and then explain how to compute all active pair-counts on X_i from all active counts on its children X_L and X_R in Step 2(i). We finally explain how to compute all active counts on X_i from all active pair-counts on X_i in Step 2(i).

Either $X_i = X_L$ or $X_i = X_R$ by the condition (e) of a tree-decomposition. Therefore, one may assume without loss of generality that $X_i = X_L$. A mapping

$$\rho: \left(2^{X_L}\right)^{\ell+1} \times \left(2^{X_R}\right)^{\ell+1} \to \{0, 1, \dots, \alpha\}$$

is called a *pair-count on* X_i . There are at most $(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)}}$ distinct pair-counts. For an entire coloring f of G_i , we denote by $f_L = f | G_L$ the *restriction* of f to G_L : $f_L(e) = f(e)$ for each edge e of G_L . Similarly, we denote by $f_R = f | G_R$ the restriction of f to G_R . We denote by C_{f_L} the color function of f_L on X_L , and by C_{f_R} the color function of f_R on X_R . Then we define a pair-count ρ to be *active* if G_i has an entire coloring f such that

$$\rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) = \left| C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right| \tag{6}$$

for each pair of $\mathbb{A}_L \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$. Such a pair-count ρ is called the *pair-count of the entire coloring* f of G_i . Thus, $\rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$ is the number of colors $c \in C$ such that $A_L^j = Y(X_L; f_L, j, c)$ and $A_R^j = Y(X_R; f_R, j, c)$ for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$, where $\mathbb{A}_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^\ell)$ and $\mathbb{A}_R = (A_R^0, A_R^1, \dots, A_R^\ell)$. For example,

$$\rho(\{v_2\}, \{v_1, v_3\}, \{v_4, v_6\}, \{v_1, v_3\}) = |C_{f_1}(\{v_2\}, \{v_1, v_3\}) \cap C_{f_2}(\{v_4, v_6\}, \{v_1, v_3\})|$$

= |\{c_1\}|
= 1

for the entire coloring f_0 in Fig. 6(a), where $f_1 = f_0|G_1$ and $f_2 = f_0|G_2$ as illustrated in Figs. 6(b) and (c), respectively. We now have the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let X_i be an internal node of T, and let X_L and X_R be the children of X_i . Then a pair-count ρ on X_i is active if and only if ρ satisfies the following conditions (a) and (b):

(a) if $\rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) \ge 1$ for a pair of $\mathbb{A}_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^\ell) \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R = (A_R^0, A_R^1, \dots, A_R^\ell) \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$, then $A_L^{j_1} \cap A_R^{j_2} = \emptyset$ for every pair of nonnegative integers j_1 and j_2 with $j_1 + j_2 \le \ell$; and

(b) there is an active count γ_L on X_L such that

$$\gamma_L(\mathbb{A}_L) = \sum \rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}),\tag{7}$$

for each $\mathbb{A}_L \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ where the summation above is taken over all $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$, and there is an active count γ_R on X_R such that

$$\gamma_R(\mathbb{A}_R) = \sum \rho(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}_R),\tag{8}$$

for each $\mathbb{A}_R \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$ where the summation above is taken over all $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$.

Proof. See Appendix B. \Box

Using Lemma 3, we compute all active pair-counts ρ on X_i from all pairs of active counts γ_L on X_L and γ_R on X_R , as follows. There are at most $(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)}}$ distinct pair-counts ρ on X_i . For each ρ of them, we determine

whether ρ satisfies conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 3. For each pair-count ρ , one can know in time O(1) whether ρ satisfies condition (a), because there are at most $(\ell + 1)^2 2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)} = O(1)$ distinct pairs $(A_L^{j_1}, A_R^{j_2})$. On the other hand, for each pair-count ρ , one can know in time $O((\alpha + 1)^{2^{(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}})$ whether ρ satisfies condition (b), because there are at most

$$((\alpha + 1)^{2^{(k+1)(\ell+1)}})^2 = (\alpha + 1)^{2^{(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}}$$

pairs of active counts γ_L and γ_R , and one can know in time O(1) for each of them whether it satisfies Eqs. (7) and (8). Thus all active pair-counts ρ on X_i can be found in time O($(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}}$), since there are at most $(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)}}$ distinct pair-counts ρ on X_i and

 $(\alpha+1)^{2^{(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}}(\alpha+1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)}} \leq (\alpha+1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}}.$

In Step 2(ii) we compute all active counts on an internal node X_i from all active pair-counts on X_i , as in the following Lemma 4(b).

Lemma 4. Assume that X_i is an internal node of T, X_L and X_R are the two children of X_i , and $X_i = X_L$. Then the following (a) and (b) hold.

(a) If f is an entire coloring of G_i , then the color functions C_f on X_i , C_{f_L} on X_L and C_{f_R} on X_R satisfy

$$C_f(\mathbb{A}) = \bigcup \left(C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right) \tag{9}$$

for every $\mathbb{A} = (A^0, A^1, \dots, A^{\ell}) \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$, where the union above is taken over all pairs of $\mathbb{A}_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^{\ell}) \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R = (A_R^0, A_R^1, \dots, A_R^{\ell}) \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$ such that

$$A^{j} = \left(A_{L}^{j} \cup A_{R}^{j}\right) \cap X_{i} \tag{10}$$

for each integer $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$.

(b) A count γ on X_i is active if and only if there exists an active pair-count ρ on X_i such that

$$\gamma(\mathbb{A}) = \sum \rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) \tag{11}$$

for each $\mathbb{A} = (A^0, A^1, \dots, A^\ell) \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$, where the summation above is taken over all pairs of $\mathbb{A}_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^\ell) \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R = (A_R^0, A_R^1, \dots, A_R^\ell) \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$ satisfying Eq. (10).

Proof. See Appendix C. \Box

Using Lemma 4(b), we compute all active counts γ on X_i from all active pair-counts ρ on X_i . There are at most $(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)}}$ distinct active pair-counts ρ . From each ρ of them we compute an active count γ by Eq. (11). This can be done in time O(1) since $|A^j|, |A_L^j|, |A_R^j| \leq k + 1 = O(1)$ for each integer $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. We have thus shown that all active counts γ on X_i can be computed in time O($(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)}}$) from all active pair-counts ρ on X_i .

One can thus compute the DP table for an internal node X_i from the tables of the children X_L and X_R in time

$$O((\alpha+1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}} + (\alpha+1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)}}) = O((\alpha+1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}}).$$

Since *T* has O(n) internal nodes, one can compute the DP tables for all internal nodes in time $O(n(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}})$.

Step 3. From the DP table for the root X_0 one can know in time O(1) by Lemma 2 whether G has a coloring with α colors.

This completes a proof of Theorem 1.

4. 2-approximation algorithm for planar graphs

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *There is a polynomial-time 2-approximation algorithm for the distance-edge-coloring problem on planar graphs.*

In the remainder of this section, as a proof of Theorem 2, we give a polynomial-time algorithm to find an ℓ -edgecoloring of a given planar graph G with at most $2\chi'_{\ell}(G)$ colors. The approximation algorithm can be obtained by combining our algorithm in Section 3 with a general method for obtaining approximation algorithms for NP-complete problems on planar graphs [4].

The method [4] partitions the vertex set V of a planar graph G = (V, E) into a number p of subsets $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{p-1}$ for some integer p so that every edge is between adjacent subsets or within the same subset, that is, if $(u, v) \in E$ and $u \in V_i$ then $v \in V_{i-1} \cup V_i \cup V_{i+1}$. Clearly, dist $(u, v) \ge |i - j|$ if $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$. Let

$$V' = \bigcup \{ V_i \mid i \mod 2(\ell+1) \leq \ell+1 \}$$

and

$$V'' = (V - V') \cup \left(\bigcup \{ V_i \mid i \text{ mod } 2(\ell + 1) = 0 \text{ or } \ell + 1 \} \right),$$

then both of the ends u and v of each edge $(u, v) \in E$ are contained in either V' or V''. Let G' = (V', E') be the subgraph of G induced by V', and let G'' = (V'', E'') be the subgraph of G such that E'' = E - E'. Then G' is a vertex-disjoint union of subgraphs H'_j , $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor p/(2(\ell+1)) \rfloor$; H'_j corresponds to $V_{2(\ell+1)j} \cup V_{2(\ell+1)j+1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{2(\ell+1)j+(\ell+1)}$. Every subgraph H'_j , $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor p/(2(\ell+1)) \rfloor$, is an $(\ell+2)$ -outerplanar graph and hence is a partial $(3\ell+5)$ -tree [7]. Since G' is a vertex-disjoint union of H'_j , $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor p/(2(\ell+1)) \rfloor$, G' is a partial $(3\ell+5)$ -tree. Similarly, G'' is a vertex-disjoint union of subgraphs H''_j , $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor p/(2(\ell+1)) \rfloor$, and is a partial $(3\ell+5)$ -tree; H''_j corresponds to $V_{2(\ell+1)j+\ell+1} \cup V_{2(\ell+1)j+\ell+2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{2(\ell+1)j+2(\ell+1)}$.

We now describe the approximation algorithm. Using a data structure in [14], one can determine in time O(1) whether the distance dist(u, v) is at most ℓ for two given vertices u and v in a planar graph G and, if so, return dist(u, v). We find an entire ℓ -edge-coloring of G' with the minimum number $\chi_{\ell}^*(G')$ of colors by using the polynomial-time algorithm in Section 3. In the entire ℓ -edge-coloring of G', any two edges e and e' with dist(e, e') $\leq \ell$ must have different colors, where dist(e, e') is the distance between e and e' in the entire graph G, not in G'. Thus $\chi_{\ell}^*(G') \leq \chi_{\ell}'(G)$. Similarly, we find an entire ℓ -edge-coloring of G'' with the minimum number $\chi_{\ell}^*(G'')$ of colors, where $\chi_{\ell}^*(G'') \leq \chi_{\ell}'(G)$. One may assume that the colors for G' are different from the colors for G''. Combining the colorings of G' and G'', we finally obtain an ℓ -edge-coloring of G with $\chi_{\ell}^*(G') + \chi_{\ell}^*(G'') \leq 2\chi_{\ell}'(G)$ colors. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we obtained two algorithms. The first algorithm is to determine whether a given partial *k*-tree *G* has an ℓ -edge-coloring with α colors in time $O(n(\alpha + 1)^{2^{2(k+1)(\ell+1)+1}})$, where *n* is the number of vertices in *G* and α is an arbitrary positive integer. Using the algorithm, one can compute the ℓ -chromatic index $\chi'_{\ell}(G)$ of *G* in polynomial time. Our algorithm takes linear time if α is a fixed constant. It is easy to modify the algorithm so that it actually finds an ℓ -edge-coloring of *G* with $\chi'_{\ell}(G)$ colors. The second algorithm is a polynomial-time 2-approximation algorithm for the distance-edge-coloring problem on planar graphs.

Many variants of the distance-edge-coloring problem can be solved for partial k-trees in polynomial time. Consider for example a problem in which, for a given set $L \subseteq \{0, 1, ..., \ell\}$, one wishes to color all edges of a graph G with the minimum number of colors so that every pair of edges e and e' with dist(e, e') $\in L$ have different colors. Such a problem can be solved in polynomial time for partial k-trees similarly as the ℓ -edge-coloring problem.

Replace some of the edges in a partial *k*-tree by multiple edges. The resulting multigraph is called a *partial k*-*multitree*. One can easily extend our algorithms for partial *k*-trees and planar simple graphs to those for partial *k*-multitrees and planar multigraphs.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 1

Let C_f be a color function of f on X_i , and let C_g be a color function of g on X_i , then

$$C_f(\mathbb{A}) = \left\{ c \in C \mid A^j = Y(X_i; f, j, c) \text{ for each } j, 0 \le j \le \ell \right\}$$
(A.1)

and

$$C_g(\mathbb{A}) = \left\{ c \in C \mid A^j = Y(X_i; g, j, c) \text{ for each } j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell \right\}$$
(A.2)

for each $\mathbb{A} = (A^0, A^1, \dots, A^\ell) \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$. Since f and g have the same count, we have

$$\left|C_{f}(\mathbb{A})\right| = \left|C_{g}(\mathbb{A})\right| \tag{A.3}$$

for each $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$. Since both $\mathcal{F}_f = \{C_f(\mathbb{A}) \mid \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_g = \{C_g(\mathbb{A}) \mid \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}\}$ are partitions of C, by Eq. (A.3) there exists a bijection $\xi : C \to C$ such that

$$c \in C_g(\mathbb{A})$$
 if and only if $\xi(c) \in C_f(\mathbb{A})$ (A.4)

for every color $c \in C$.

Clearly

$$Y(X_i; g, j, c) = Y(X_i; f, j, \xi(c))$$
(A.5)

holds for each color $c \in C$ and each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$.

Let f_{ξ} be an entire coloring of G_i such that

$$f_{\xi}(e) = c$$
 if and only if $f(e) = \xi(c)$ (A.6)

for each edge $e \in E_i$. Then by Eqs. (1) and (A.6) we have

$$D(f_{\xi}, j, v) = \left\{ c \in C \mid G_i \text{ has an edge } e \text{ such that } f_{\xi}(e) = c \text{ and } \operatorname{dist}(v, e) = j \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ c \in C \mid G_i \text{ has an edge } e \text{ such that } f(e) = \xi(c) \text{ and } \operatorname{dist}(v, e) = j \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ c \in C \mid \xi(c) \in D(f, j, v) \right\}$$
(A.7)

for each vertex $v \in X_i$ and each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. By Eqs. (2) and (A.7) we have

$$Y(X_{i}; f_{\xi}, j, c) = \left\{ v \in X_{i} \mid c \in D(f_{\xi}, j, v) \right\}$$

= $\left\{ v \in X_{i} \mid \xi(c) \in D(f, j, v) \right\}$
= $Y(X_{i}; f, j, \xi(c))$ (A.8)

for each color $c \in C$ and each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. By Eqs. (A.5) and (A.8) we have

$$Y(X_i; g, j, c) = Y(X_i; f_{\xi}, j, c)$$
(A.9)

for each color $c \in C$ and each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$.

We are now ready to prove that f is extendable if and only if g is extendable. It suffices to show that if f is extendable then g is extendable. Suppose that f is extendable. Then G has a coloring f^* which is an extension of f, and hence

$$f^*(e) = f(e) \tag{A.10}$$

for each edge $e \in E_i$. Let f_{ξ}^* be a coloring of G such that

$$f_{\xi}^{*}(e) = c \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f^{*}(e) = \xi(c) \tag{A.11}$$

for each edge $e \in E$.

Let G' = (V', E') be a graph with $V' = (V - V_i) \cup X_i$ and $E' = E - E_i$. Then G can be partitioned into two edge-disjoint subgraphs G_i and G', and $X_i = V_i \cap V'$. Let f' be an entire coloring of G' such that

$$f'(e) = f_{\xi}^*(e)$$
 (A.12)



Fig. A.1. Graphs G, G_i and G'.

for each edge $e \in E'$. (See Fig. A.1 where G_i is indicated by solid lines and G' by dotted lines.)

Let $g^*: E \to C$ be a mapping constructed from g and f' as follows:

$$g^{*}(e) = \begin{cases} g(e) & \text{if } e \in E_{i}, \\ f'(e) & \text{if } e \in E' = E - E_{i} \end{cases}$$

Since g^* is an extension of g, it suffices to show that g^* is a coloring of G. Since g is an entire coloring of G_i , f' is an entire coloring of G', no edge of G joins a vertex in $V_i - X_i$ and a vertex in $V - V_i$ (see Figs. 5 and A.1), it suffices to verify, for every color $c \in C$,

$$j_1 + j_2 \leqslant \ell \implies Y(X_i; g, j_1, c) \cap Y(X_i; f', j_2, c) = \emptyset,$$
(A.13)

where $Y(X_i; f', j, c)$ is defined to be a set of all vertices v in X_i for which G' has an edge e such that f'(e) = c and dist(v, e) = j.

Let *c* be a color in *C*, and let *v* be a vertex in $Y(X_i; g, j_1, c)$ for an integer $j_1, 0 \le j_1 \le \ell$. Then, by Eq. (A.9) we have $v \in Y(X_i; f_{\xi}, j_1, c)$, and hence G_i has an edge *e* such that $f_{\xi}(e) = c$ and dist $(v, e) = j_1$. Since $e \in E_i$, by Eq. (A.10) we have $f^*(e) = f(e)$. Therefore, by Eqs. (A.6) and (A.11) we have $f^*_{\xi}(e) = f_{\xi}(e) = c$. Since f^*_{ξ} is a coloring of *G*, *G'* has no edge *e'* such that $f^*_{\xi}(e') = c$ and dist $(v, e') = j_2$ for every integer j_2 with $j_1 + j_2 \le \ell$. Therefore, by Eq. (A.12) *G'* has no edge *e'* such that f'(e') = c and dist $(v, e') = j_2$. Hence we have $v \notin Y(X_i; f', j_2, c)$, and consequently $Y(X_i; g, j_1, c) \cap Y(X_i; f', j_2, c) = \emptyset$. We have thus verified Eq. (A.13). \Box

Appendix B. Proof of Lemma 3

Necessity: Assume that a pair-count ρ on X_i is active. Then G_i has an entire coloring f with pair-count ρ satisfying Eq. (6). We show that ρ satisfies conditions (a) and (b), as follows.

(a) Assume that $\rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) \ge 1$ for a pair of $\mathbb{A}_L \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$. Then we shall prove that

$$A_L^{j_1} \cap A_R^{j_2} = \emptyset \tag{B.1}$$

for every pair of nonnegative integers j_1 and j_2 with $j_1 + j_2 \leq \ell$.

Since $\rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) \ge 1$, by Eq. (6) there exists a color $c^* \in C$ such that

$$c^* \in C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}_R).$$

By Eq. (3) we have

$$C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) = \left\{ c \in C \mid A_L^j = Y(X_L; f_L, j, c) \text{ for each } j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell \right\}.$$
(B.2)

Since $c^* \in C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L)$, by Eq. (B.2) we have

$$A_{L}^{j} = Y(X_{L}; f_{L}, j, c^{*})$$
(B.3)

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. Similarly, we have

$$A_R^j = Y(X_R; f_R, j, c^*)$$
 (B.4)

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$.

Let j_1 and j_2 be nonnegative integers with $j_1 + j_2 \le \ell$. Let v be an arbitrary vertex in $A_L^{j_1}$, and hence by Eq. (B.3) we have $v \in A_L^{j_1} = Y(X_L; f_L, j_1, c^*)$. Then G_L has an edge e such that $f_L(e) = c^*$ and dist $(v, e) = j_1$. Therefore, G_R has no edge e' such that $f_R(e') = c^*$ and dist $(v, e') = j_2$; otherwise, f would not be an entire coloring of G_i . Hence by Eq. (B.4) we have $v \notin Y(X_R; f_R, j_2, c^*) = A_R^{j_2}$. We have thus proved that Eq. (B.1) holds.

(b) Let γ_L be the count of f_L , and let γ_R be the count of f_R . Then γ_L and γ_R are active. Hence it suffices to show that γ_L satisfies Eq. (7) and γ_R satisfies Eq. (8). However, we show only that γ_L satisfies Eq. (7) because one can similarly show that γ_R satisfies Eq. (8).

Let $A_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^\ell) \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$. Since γ_L is the count of f_L , by Eq. (4) we have

$$\gamma_L(\mathbb{A}_L) = \left| C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \right|. \tag{B.5}$$

Since $C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \subseteq C$ and $\mathcal{F}_{f_R} = \{C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}) \mid \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}\}$ is a partition of *C*, we have

$$C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) = C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C$$

= $C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap \left(\bigcup C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A})\right)$
= $\bigcup C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}),$ (B.6)

where the unions above are taken over all $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$. By Eqs. (6), (B.5) and (B.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_L(\mathbb{A}_L) &= \left| C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \right| \\ &= \sum \left| C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}) \right| \\ &= \sum \rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}), \end{aligned}$$

where the summations above are taken over all $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$. We have thus shown that γ_L satisfies Eq. (7).

Sufficiency: Assume that a pair-count ρ on X_i satisfies conditions (a) and (b). Then we shall prove that ρ is active. Since condition (b) holds, there is an active count γ_L on X_L satisfying Eq. (7). Therefore G_L has an entire coloring f' with count γ_L . Similarly, G_R has an entire coloring f'' with count γ_R , and γ_R satisfies Eq. (8). It suffices to show that the following (i), (ii) and (iii) hold.

(i) There exists a bijection $\xi : C \to C$ such that, for every color $c \in C$,

$$j_1 + j_2 \leqslant \ell \Longrightarrow Y(X_L \cap X_R; f', j_1, c) \cap Y(X_L \cap X_R; f''_{\xi}, j_2, c) = \emptyset,$$
(B.7)

where $f_{\xi}^{"}$ is an entire coloring of G_R such that, for each edge $e \in E_R$,

$$f_{\xi}^{\prime\prime}(e) = c \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f^{\prime\prime}(e) = \xi(c); \tag{B.8}$$

 $Y(X_L \cap X_R; f', j, c)$ is defined to be a set of all vertices v in $X_L \cap X_R$ for which G_L has an edge e such that f'(e) = c and dist(v, e) = j; and $Y(X_L \cap X_R; f''_{\xi}, j, c)$ is defined to be a set of all vertices v in $X_L \cap X_R$ for which G_R has an edge e such that $f'_{\xi}(e) = c$ and dist(v, e) = j;

(ii) Let $f: E_i \to C$ be a mapping constructed from f' and f''_{ξ} as follows:

$$f(e) = \begin{cases} f'(e) & \text{if } e \in E_L, \\ f''_{\xi}(e) & \text{if } e \in E_R. \end{cases}$$
(B.9)

Then f is an entire coloring of G_i ; and

(iii) ρ is the pair-count of f, and hence ρ is active.

(i) Let $C_{f'}$ be the color function of f' on X_L , and let $C_{f''}$ be the color function of f'' on X_R . Since γ_L is the count of f', by Eq. (4) we have

$$\gamma_L(\mathbb{A}_L) = \left| C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L) \right|$$

for each $\mathbb{A}_L \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$. By Eq. (5) we have

$$\sum \gamma_L(\mathbb{A}_L) = \alpha,$$

where the summation above is taken over all $\mathbb{A}_L \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$. Furthermore γ_L satisfies Eq. (7). Therefore, to all pairs of $\mathbb{A}_L \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$, one can assign pairwise disjoint subsets $S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$ of *C* so that the following (L-a), (L-b) and (L-c) hold:

(L-a) for each pair $(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$,

$$\rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) = |S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)|; \tag{B.10}$$

(L-b) for each \mathbb{A}_L , $\{S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}) | \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}\}$ is a partition of the set $C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L)$; and (L-c) $\{S(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) | \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}, \mathbb{B} \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}\}$ is a partition of *C*.

Similarly, to all pairs of $\mathbb{A}_L \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$, one can assign pairwise disjoint subsets $U(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$ of *C* so that the following (R-a), (R-b) and (R-c) hold:

(R-a) for each pair $(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$,

$$\rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) = |U(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)|; \tag{B.11}$$

(R-b) for each \mathbb{A}_R , $\{U(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}_R) \mid \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}\}$ is a partition of the set $C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_R)$; and (R-c) $\{U(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \mid \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}, \mathbb{B} \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}\}$ is a partition of *C*.

By Eqs. (B.10) and (B.11) we have

$$|S(\mathbb{A}_L,\mathbb{A}_R)| = |U(\mathbb{A}_L,\mathbb{A}_R)|$$

for each pair ($\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R$). Therefore, by (L-c) and (R-c) there exists a bijection $\xi : C \to C$ such that

$$c \in S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) \text{ if and only if } \xi(c) \in U(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$$
(B.12)

for each color $c \in C$. We claim that Eq. (B.7) holds for the bijection ξ .

We now show that

$$S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) = \left\{ c \in C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L) \mid \xi(c) \in C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right\}$$
(B.13)

for each pair $(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$. We first show that

$$S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) \subseteq \left\{ c \in C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L) \mid \xi(c) \in C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right\}.$$
(B.14)

Let *c* be an arbitrary color in $S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$. Since $\mathbb{A}_R \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$, by (L-b) we have $c \in C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L)$. Since $c \in S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$, by Eq. (B.12) we have $\xi(c) \in U(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$. Therefore by (R-b) we have $\xi(c) \in C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_R)$. We have thus verified Eq. (B.14). We next show that

$$S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) \supseteq \Big\{ c \in C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L) \mid \xi(c) \in C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_R) \Big\}.$$
(B.15)

Let c be an arbitrary color such that

$$(B.16)$$

and

$$\xi(c) \in C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_R). \tag{B.17}$$

By (L-b) and Eq. (B.16) there exists an $(\ell + 1)$ -tuple $\mathbb{B} \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$ such that

$$c \in S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{B}).$$
 (B.18)

Then, by Eq. (B.12) we have $\xi(c) \in U(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{B})$, and hence by (R-b) we have

$$\xi(c) \in C_{f''}(\mathbb{B}). \tag{B.19}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_{f''} = \{C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}) \mid \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}\}$ is a partition of *C*, by Eqs. (B.17) and (B.19) we have $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{A}_R$, and hence by Eq. (B.18) we have $c \in S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$. We have thus verified Eq. (B.15).

We are now ready to show that Eq. (B.7) holds for the bijection ξ . Let *c* be an arbitrary color in *C*. Since $\mathcal{F}_{f'} = \{C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}) \mid \mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}\}$ is a partition of *C*, there exists an $(\ell + 1)$ -tuple $\mathbb{A}_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^\ell)$ such that

$$c \in C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L). \tag{B.20}$$

Therefore, by Eq. (3) we have

$$A_{L}^{J} = Y(X_{L}; f', j, c)$$
 (B.21)

for each $j, 0 \le j \le \ell$. By (L-b) and Eq. (B.20) there exists an $(\ell + 1)$ -tuple $\mathbb{A}_R = (A_R^0, A_R^1, \dots, A_R^\ell)$ such that

$$c \in S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R). \tag{B.22}$$

By Eqs. (B.13) and (B.22) we have $\xi(c) \in C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_R)$ and hence by Eq. (3) we have

$$A_{R}^{j} = Y(X_{R}; f'', j, \xi(c))$$
(B.23)

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. By Eqs. (1) and (B.8) we have

$$D(f_{\xi}'', j, v) = \left\{ c \in C \mid G_R \text{ has an edge } e \text{ such that } f_{\xi}''(e) = c \text{ and } \operatorname{dist}(v, e) = j \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ c \in C \mid G_R \text{ has an edge } e \text{ such that } f''(e) = \xi(c) \text{ and } \operatorname{dist}(v, e) = j \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ c \in C \mid \xi(c) \in D(f'', j, v) \right\}$$
(B.24)

for each vertex $v \in X_R$ and each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. By Eqs. (2), (B.23) and (B.24) we have

$$A_{R}^{J} = Y(X_{R}; f'', j, \xi(c))$$

= { $v \in X_{R} | \xi(c) \in D(f'', j, v)$ }
= { $v \in X_{R} | c \in D(f_{\xi}'', j, v)$ }
= $Y(X_{R}; f_{\xi}'', j, c)$ (B.25)

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. By Eqs. (B.21) and (B.25) we have

$$Y(X_L; f', j'_1, c) \cap Y(X_R; f''_{\xi}, j'_2, c) = A_L^{j_1} \cap A_R^{j_2}$$
(B.26)

for every pair of integers j'_1 and j'_2 , $0 \le j'_1$, $j'_2 \le \ell$. Let j_1 and j_2 be nonnegative integers with $j_1 + j_2 \le \ell$. By Eqs. (B.10) and (B.22) we have

$$\rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) = |S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)| \ge 1.$$

Therefore by condition (a) we have

$$A_L^{j_1} \cap A_R^{j_2} = \emptyset. \tag{B.27}$$

By Eqs. (B.26) and (B.27) we have

$$Y(X_L; f', j_1, c) \cap Y(X_R; f''_{\xi}, j_2, c) = \emptyset.$$
(B.28)



Fig. B.1. Graph $G_i = G_L \cup G_R$.

Since $X_L \cap X_R \subseteq X_L$ and $X_L \cap X_R \subseteq X_R$, we have

$$Y(X_L \cap X_R; f', j, c) \subseteq Y(X_L; f', j, c)$$

and

$$Y(X_L \cap X_R; f_{\sharp}'', j, c) \subseteq Y(X_R; f_{\xi}'', j, c)$$

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. Therefore, by Eq. (B.28) we have

$$Y(X_L \cap X_R; f', j_1, c) \cap Y(X_L \cap X_R; f'_{\xi}, j_2, c)$$

$$\subseteq Y(X_L; f', j_1, c) \cap Y(X_R; f''_{\xi}, j_2, c)$$

$$= \emptyset.$$

We have thus proved that Eq. (B.7) holds.

(ii) No edge of G joins a vertex in $V_L - (X_L \cap X_R)$ and a vertex in $V_R - (X_L \cap X_R)$. Therefore, for every vertex v in $V_L - (X_L \cap X_R)$ and every edge e in E_R , any path between v and e passes through a vertex u in $X_L \cap X_R$, and hence

$$\operatorname{dist}(u, e) < \operatorname{dist}(v, e). \tag{B.29}$$

(See Fig. B.1.) Similarly, for every vertex v' in $V_R - (X_L \cap X_R)$ and every edge e' in E_L , there exists a vertex u' in $X_L \cap X_R$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}(u', e') < \operatorname{dist}(v', e'). \tag{B.30}$$

Let $f: E_i \to C$ be the mapping defined by Eq. (B.9). Since f' is an entire coloring of G_L and f''_{ξ} is an entire coloring of G_R , by Eqs. (B.7), (B.29) and (B.30) one can easily observe that f is an entire coloring of G_i .

(iii) Let ρ_f be the pair-count of the entire coloring f of G_i , then ρ_f is active. Thus it suffices to show that $\rho = \rho_f$. Eq. (B.9) implies that $f_L = f | G_L = f'$ and $f_R = f | G_R = f_{\xi'}''$. Therefore by Eq. (6) we have

$$\rho_f(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) = \left| C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_{\varepsilon}''}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right| \tag{B.31}$$

for each pair of $\mathbb{A}_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^\ell) \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R = (A_R^0, A_R^1, \dots, A_R^\ell) \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$. By Eq. (3) we have

$$C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_R) = \left\{ c \in C \mid A_R^j = Y(X_R; f'', j, c) \text{ for each } j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell \right\},\tag{B.32}$$

and

$$C_{f_{\xi}''}(\mathbb{A}_{R}) = \left\{ c \in C \mid A_{R}^{j} = Y(X_{R}; f_{\xi}'', j, c) \text{ for each } j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell \right\}.$$
(B.33)

By Eqs. (2) and (B.24) we have

$$Y(X_R; f_{\xi}'', j, c) = \left\{ v \in X_R \mid c \in D(f_{\xi}'', j, v) \right\} = \left\{ v \in X_R \mid \xi(c) \in D(f'', j, v) \right\} = Y\left(X_R; f'', j, \xi(c)\right)$$
(B.34)

for each color $c \in C$ and each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. By Eqs. (B.32)–(B.34) we have

$$C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_{L}) \cap C_{f_{\xi}''}(\mathbb{A}_{R}) = \left\{ c \in C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_{L}) \mid A_{R}^{j} = Y(X_{R}; f_{\xi}'', j, c) \text{ for each } j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell \right\}$$

= $\left\{ c \in C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_{L}) \mid A_{R}^{j} = Y\left(X_{R}; f'', j, \xi(c)\right) \text{ for each } j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell \right\}$
= $\left\{ c \in C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_{L}) \mid \xi(c) \in C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_{R}) \right\}.$ (B.35)

By Eqs. (B.10), (B.13), (B.31) and (B.35) we have

$$\rho_f(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) = \left| C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_{\xi}''}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right|$$
$$= \left| \left\{ c \in C_{f'}(\mathbb{A}_L) \colon \xi(c) \in C_{f''}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right\} \right|$$
$$= \left| S(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) \right|$$
$$= \rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$$

for each pair $(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$. We have thus verified $\rho = \rho_f$. \Box

Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 4

(a) Let \mathcal{Z} be the right side of Eq. (9), that is,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup \left(C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right)$$

where the union above is taken over all pairs of $\mathbb{A}_L \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$ satisfying Eq. (10). We first verify

$$C_f(\mathbb{A}) \supseteq \mathcal{Z} \tag{C.1}$$

in (i) below, and then verify

$$C_f(\mathbb{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \tag{C.2}$$

in (ii) below.

(i) We verify Eq. (C.1). Let c be an arbitrary color in \mathcal{Z} . Then

$$c \in C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}_R) \tag{C.3}$$

for a pair of $\mathbb{A}_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^\ell) \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R = (A_R^0, A_R^1, \dots, A_R^\ell) \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$ such that

$$A^{j} = \left(A_{L}^{j} \cup A_{R}^{j}\right) \cap X_{i} \tag{C.4}$$

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. It suffices to verify

$$A^j = Y(X_i; f, j, c)$$

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$, because then we have $c \in C_f(\mathbb{A})$. By Eq. (C.3) we have $c \in C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L)$, and hence by Eq. (3) we have

$$A_{L}^{j} = Y(X_{L}; f_{L}, j, c)$$
 (C.5)

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. Similarly, we have

$$A_R^j = Y(X_R; f_R, j, c) \tag{C.6}$$

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$.

We first verify $A^j \subseteq Y(X_i; f, j, c)$ for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. Let v be an arbitrary vertex in A^j . Then we shall show that $v \in Y(X_i; f, j, c)$. Since $v \in A^j$, by Eq. (C.4) we have $v \in X_i$ and $v \in A_L^j \cup A_R^j$. Since $v \in A_L^j \cup A_R^j$, by Eqs. (C.5) and (C.6) either $v \in Y(X_L; f_L, j, c)$ or $v \in Y(X_R; f_R, j, c)$. Hence either G_L has an edge e such that $f_L(e) = c$ and dist(v, e) = j, or G_R has an edge e such that $f_R(e) = c$ and dist(v, e) = j. Therefore, in either case, G_i has an edge e such that f(e) = c and dist(v, e) = j, and hence $v \in Y(X_i; f, j, c)$. We have thus shown that $A^j \subseteq Y(X_i; f, j, c)$. We next verify $A^j \supseteq Y(X_i; f, j, c)$ for each $j, 0 \le j \le \ell$. Let v be an arbitrary vertex in $Y(X_i; f, j, c)$. Then we shall show that $v \in A^j$. Since $v \in Y(X_i; f, j, c)$, $v \in X_i$ and G_i has an edge e such that f(e) = c and dist(v, e) = j. If $e \in E_L$, then by the definition of f_L we have $f_L(e) = f(e) = c$, and hence by Eq. (C.5) we have $v \in Y(X_L; f_L, j, c) = A_L^j$. Similarly, if $e \in E_R$, then we have $v \in Y(X_R; f_R, j, c) = A_R^j$. Therefore, by Eq. (C.4) we have $v \in (A_L^j \cup A_R^j) \cap X_i = A^j$. We have thus verified $A^j \supseteq Y(X_i; f, j, c)$.

(ii) We then verify Eq. (C.2). Let c be an arbitrary color in $C_f(\mathbb{A})$, and let $\mathbb{A} = (A^0, A^1, \dots, A^\ell)$. Then we shall show that

$$c \in \mathcal{Z}$$
. (C.7)

Since $c \in C_f(\mathbb{A})$, we have

$$A^{J} = Y(X_{i}; f, j, c) \tag{C.8}$$

for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. For each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$, let

$$A_L^j = Y(X_L; f_L, j, c) \tag{C.9}$$

and

$$A_R^J = Y(X_R; f_R, j, c).$$
 (C.10)

Then we have $c \in C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L)$ and $c \in C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}_R)$. Therefore, it suffices to show that the pair $\mathbb{A}_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^\ell)$ and $\mathbb{A}_R = (A_R^0, A_R^1, \dots, A_R^\ell)$ satisfies Eq. (10), because then Eq. (C.7) holds.

We first show that $A^j \subseteq (A_L^j \cup A_R^j) \cap X_i$ for each $j, 0 \leq j \leq \ell$. Let v be an arbitrary vertex in A^j . Then we shall verify $v \in (A_L^j \cup A_R^j) \cap X_i$. Since $v \in A^j$, by Eq. (C.8) we have $v \in Y(X_i; f, j, c)$, and hence $v \in X_i$ and G_i has an edge e such that f(e) = c and dist(v, e) = j. If $e \in E_L$, then we have $f_L(e) = f(e) = c$, and hence by Eq. (C.9) we have $v \in Y(X_L; f_L, j, c) = A_L^j$. Similarly, if $e \in E_R$, then we have $v \in Y(X_R; f_R, j, c) = A_R^j$. Therefore, in either case, we have $v \in (A_L^j \cup A_R^j) \cap X_i$. We have thus shown that $A^j \subseteq (A_L^j \cup A_R^j) \cap X_i$.

We next show that $A^j \supseteq (A_L^j \cup A_R^j) \cap X_i$ for each $j, 0 \le j \le \ell$. Let v be an arbitrary vertex in $(A_L^j \cup A_R^j) \cap X_i$. Then we shall show that $v \in A^j$. Since $v \in A_L^j \cup A_R^j$, by Eqs. (C.9) and (C.10) we have $v \in Y(X_L; f_L, j, c) \cup Y(X_R; f_R, j, c)$. Therefore either G_L has an edge e such that $f_L(e) = c$ and dist(v, e) = j, or G_R has an edge e such that $f_R(e) = c$ and dist(v, e) = j. In either case, G_i has an edge e such that f(e) = c and dist(v, e) = j, and hence by Eq. (C.8) we have $v \in Y(X_i; f, j, c) = A^j$. We have thus shown that $A^j \supseteq (A_L^j \cup A_R^j) \cap X_i$.

(b) *Necessity*: Suppose that a count γ on X_i is active. Then G_i has an entire coloring f with count γ . Let ρ be the pair-count of f, then ρ is active. It suffices to show that ρ satisfies Eq. (11).

Since f has the count γ , we have

$$\gamma(\mathbb{A}) = \left| C_f(\mathbb{A}) \right| \tag{C.11}$$

for each $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$. Let $f_L = f | G_L$ and $f_R = f | G_R$. Since ρ is the pair-count of f, we have

$$\rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R) = \left| C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right| \tag{C.12}$$

for each pair of $\mathbb{A}_L \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$. By Eqs. (9), (C.11) and (C.12) we have

$$\gamma(\mathbb{A}) = \left| \bigcup \left(C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right) \right|$$
$$= \sum \left| \left(C_{f_L}(\mathbb{A}_L) \cap C_{f_R}(\mathbb{A}_R) \right) \right|$$
$$= \sum \rho(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$$

for every $\mathbb{A} \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$, where the union and summations above are taken over all pairs $(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$ satisfying Eq. (10). Thus ρ satisfies Eq. (11).

Sufficiency: Suppose that γ is a count on X_i and there exists an active pair-count ρ on X_i satisfying Eq. (11). Since ρ is an active pair-count on X_i , G_i has an entire coloring f with the pair-count ρ . It suffices to show that γ is the

count of f, because then γ would be active. By Eq. (9) we have, for each $\mathbb{A} = (A^0, A^1, \dots, A^\ell) \in (2^{X_i})^{\ell+1}$,

$$\left|C_{f}(\mathbb{A})\right| = \left|\bigcup\left(C_{f_{L}}(\mathbb{A}_{L}) \cap C_{f_{R}}(\mathbb{A}_{R})\right)\right| \tag{C.13}$$

where the union above is taken over all pairs of $\mathbb{A}_L = (A_L^0, A_L^1, \dots, A_L^\ell) \in (2^{X_L})^{\ell+1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_R = (A_R^0, A_R^1, \dots, A_R^\ell) \in (2^{X_R})^{\ell+1}$ satisfying Eq. (10). Since ρ is the pair-count of f, Eq. (6) holds. By Eqs. (6), (11) and (C.13) we have, for each \mathbb{A} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| C_{f}(\mathbb{A}) \right| &= \sum \left| C_{f_{L}}(\mathbb{A}_{L}) \cap C_{f_{R}}(\mathbb{A}_{R}) \right| \\ &= \sum \rho(\mathbb{A}_{L}, \mathbb{A}_{R}) \\ &= \gamma(\mathbb{A}), \end{aligned}$$

where the summations above are taken over all pairs $(\mathbb{A}_L, \mathbb{A}_R)$ satisfying Eq. (10). We have thus shown that γ is the count of f, and hence γ is active. \Box

References

- [1] G. Agnarsson, M.M. Halldórsson, Coloring powers of planar graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 16 (2003) 651-662.
- [2] S. Arnborg, B. Courcelle, A. Proskurowski, D. Seese, An algebraic theory of graph reduction, J. ACM 40 (1993) 1134–1164.
- [3] S. Arnborg, J. Lagergren, D. Seese, Easy problems for tree-decomposable graphs, J. Algorithms 12 (1991) 308-340.
- [4] B.S. Baker, Approximation algorithms for NP-complete problems on planar graphs, J. ACM 41 (1994) 153–180.
- [5] H.L. Bodlaender, Polynomial algorithms for graph isomorphism and chromatic index on partial k-trees, J. Algorithms 11 (1990) 631-643.
- [6] H.L. Bodlaender, A linear-time algorithm for finding tree-decompositions of small treewidth, SIAM J. Comput. 25 (1996) 1305–1317.
- [7] H.L. Bodlaender, A partial k-arboretum of graphs with bounded treewidth, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 209 (1998) 1–45.
- [8] R.B. Borie, R.G. Parker, C.A. Tovey, Automatic generation of linear-time algorithms from predicate calculus descriptions of problems on recursively constructed graph families, Algorithmica 7 (1992) 555–581.
- [9] B. Courcelle, The monadic second-order logic of graphs I: Recognizable sets of finite graphs, Information and Computation 85 (1990) 12–75.
- [10] B. Courcelle, M. Mosbah, Monadic second-order evaluations on tree-decomposable graphs, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 109 (1993) 49-82.
- [11] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [12] I. Holyer, The NP-completeness of edge-coloring, SIAM J. Comput. 10 (1981) 718-720.
- [13] T. Ito, A. Kato, X. Zhou, T. Nishizeki, Algorithms for finding distance-edge-colorings of graphs, in: Proc. 11th Annual International Computing and Combinatorics Conference (COCOON 2005), in: Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3595, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 798–807.
- [14] L. Kowalik, M. Kurowski, Short path queries in planar graphs in constant time, in: Proc. 35th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 2003, pp. 143–148.
- [15] M. Mahdian, On the computational complexity of strong edge coloring, Discrete Appl. Math. 118 (2002) 239-248.
- [16] M.R. Salavatipour, A polynomial time algorithm for strong edge coloring of partial k-trees, Discrete Appl. Math. 143 (2004) 285–291.
- [17] X. Zhou, Y. Kanari, T. Nishizeki, Generalized vertex-coloring of partial k-trees, IEICE Trans. on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communication and Computer Sciences E83-A (2000) 671–678.
- [18] X. Zhou, S. Nakano, T. Nishizeki, Edge-coloring partial k-trees, J. Algorithms 21 (1996) 598-617.