Braess's paradox for the spectral gap in random graphs and delocalization of eigenvectors

Ronen Eldan [*]   Miklós Z. Rácz [†]   Tselil Schramm [‡]

June 23, 2015

arXiv:1504.07669v2 [math.CO] 20 Jun 2015

Abstract

We study how the spectral gap of the normalized Laplacian of a random graph changes when an edge is added to or removed from the graph. There are known examples of graphs where, perhaps counterintuitively, adding an edge can decrease the spectral gap, a phenomenon that is analogous to Braess's paradox in traffic networks. We show that this is often the case in random graphs in a strong sense. More precisely, we show that for typical instances of Erdős-Rényi random graphs $G(n, p)$ with constant edge density $p \in (0, 1)$, the addition of a random edge will decrease the spectral gap with positive probability, strictly bounded away from zero. To do this, we prove a new delocalization result for eigenvectors of the Laplacian of $G(n, p)$, which might be of independent interest.

1   Introduction

The spectral gap of the Laplacian of a graph is an important quantity that relates to conductance properties of a graph. For instance, various notions of mixing time of a random walk on a graph are intimately related to the inverse of the spectral gap, which is known as the relaxation time (see, e.g., [13]). Generally speaking, one expects graphs with more edges to have better conductance properties, and, accordingly, a larger spectral gap. However, perhaps counterintuitively, there are examples where adding an edge to a graph decreases its spectral gap. For example, in the barbell graph (two expanders connected by a single edge), adding an edge within either one of the expanders will decrease the spectral gap.

This is analogous to Braess's paradox in traffic networks, which states that the addition of a new road can increase congestion [2]. Since its discovery in 1968, this phenomenon has been widely studied. There are many works that give analytical conditions for when adding an edge does or does not yield an improvement in congestion (see, e.g., [21]), and recently there have been several works studying the prevalence of this phenomenon in random

---

[*]Weizmann Institute of Science; `ronen.eldan@weizmann.ac.il`.

[†]University of California, Berkeley; `racz@stat.berkeley.edu`.

[‡]University of California, Berkeley; `tschramm@cs.berkeley.edu`.

networks [26, 6, 7]. These works suggest that Braess's paradox is a common occurence in many settings.

One of the two objectives of this paper is to study how the spectral gap of the normalized Laplacian of an Erdős-Rényi random graph $G(n, p)$ changes when an edge is added to the graph. We show that for fixed $p \in (0, 1)$, the addition of a random edge will decrease the spectral gap with positive probability. Our main finding is thus that the counterintuitive phenomenon that is analogous to Braess's paradox holds in a strong sense. Our proof of this result relies on a certain kind of delocalization of the second eigenvector of the normalized Laplacian of $G(n, p)$. Showing that this occurs and exploring further delocalization results is the second objective of the paper.

1.1   A conjecture of F. Chung and our related results

Our paper is motivated by a question of Fan Chung; to state her conjecture and our results precisely, we first introduce some notation. For a graph $G = (V, E)$, let $A \equiv A_G$ denote its adjacency matrix, and let $D \equiv D_G$ denote the diagonal matrix with the degrees of the corresponding vertices on the diagonal. The (combinatorial) Laplacian of G is defined as $L \equiv L_G = D - A$, and the symmetric normalized Laplacian is defined as $\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}_G = D^{-1/2}LD^{-1/2} = I - \widehat{A}$, where $\widehat{A} = D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$. Let $\lambda_1(M) \leq \lambda_2(M) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n(M)$ denote the eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix M in increasing order. It is easy to see that $\lambda_1(L_G) = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_G) = 0$. The value of $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)$ is called the *spectral gap of the normalized Laplacian*, or just the *spectral gap* for short.

For a graph G, let $r_-(G)$ denote the fraction of edges e such that if the edge e were to be removed from the graph, the spectral gap decreases; also let $r_+(G) := 1 - r_-(G)$, which is the fraction of edges such that if this edge were to be removed from the graph, the spectral gap increases. One might first guess that for a reasonable graph G, $r_-(G)$ is close to 1, i.e., that the removal of a single edge will decrease the spectral gap for most edges. However, based on empirical evidence to the contrary, Fan Chung conjectured that this is not the case for Erdős-Rényi random graphs.

Conjecture 1 (Chung, 2014) *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ be fixed. There exists a constant $c = c(p) > 0$ such that*
$$\mathbb{P}[r_+(G(n, p)) \geq c] \to 1$$
as $n \to \infty$.

Remark 1 *This question is only interesting for the spectral gap of the* symmetric normalized Laplacian*. The* combinatorial Laplacian *can be written as a sum over edges of the graph of appropriate positive semidefinite matrices. Therefore when removing an edge from a graph, the spectral gap of the combinatorial Laplacian cannot increase.*

In a similar vein, it is natural to ask how the spectral gap changes when an edge is added between two nodes that were not previously connected. For a graph G one can define the quantities $a_+(G)$ and $a_-(G)$ as the proportion of "non-edges" (pairs of points not connected by an edge) for which adding an edge in its place increases or decreases the spectral gap, respectively. The main result of this paper mirrors Chung's conjecture in the case of adding a single edge to a random graph.

Theorem 1 *Let $p \in (0,1)$ be fixed. There exists a constant $c = c(p) > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[a_-\left(G\left(n,p\right)\right) \geq c\right] \to 1$$

as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, one can take $c = 1/8 - \eta$, for any constant $\eta > 0$.

As a corollary, we get the following weaker version of Chung's conjecture.

Corollary 1 *Let $p \in (0,1)$ be fixed. There exists a constant $c = c(p) > 0$ such that*

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[r_+\left(G\left(n,p\right)\right) \geq c\right] \geq c.$$

Remark 2 *While we state our results for constant $p \in (0,1)$, our proofs show that they hold also when $p = n^{-\varepsilon}$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$. We did not try to optimize the dependence on p, and it is possible that a similar approach could show that these results hold for smaller p as well.*

1.2 Delocalization of eigenvectors

It turns out that the proof of Theorem 1 can be reduced to a question about the second eigenvector of the normalized Laplacian of $G(n,p)$. While the eigenvalues of matrices associated with $G(n,p)$ are very well understood (see, for example, [4, 5] and the references therein), little is known about the corresponding eigenvectors. It is widely believed that these eigenvectors are typically *delocalized*, in the sense that most of their mass is concentrated on entries whose magnitudes are roughly of the same order. There are several ways of formalizing this intuition.

Perhaps the most common approach is to bound the ℓ_q norms of eigenvectors.[1] If v is an eigenvector of a matrix M with $\|v\|_2 = 1$, then a lower bound on its ℓ_q norm is given by the relation between the norms: for $q \geq 2$, we have $\|v\|_q \geq n^{-1/2+1/q}\|v\|_2 = n^{-1/2+1/q}$. If it can be shown that with high probability $\|v\|_q$ is *at most* $n^{-1/2+1/q}$ times a polylogarithmic factor of n, then the eigenvector v is said to be *delocalized in the ℓ_q sense*.

Recently there have been several works that have shown delocalization of eigenvectors of $A_{G(n,p)}$ in the sense above. In particular, Erdős et al. [9] showed that there exists a constant C such that for every fixed $p \in (0,1)$ we have $\|v\|_\infty \leq \frac{(\log(n))^C}{\sqrt{n}}$ for all unit eigenvectors v with high probability. See also [8, 1, 25]. More widely, the ℓ_q-delocalization of eigenvectors of Wigner matrices and more general classes of random matrices has been intensively studied in the recent past, see, for example, [22, 23, 24, 18].

However, in order to prove Theorem 1, we require a complementary sense of delocalization of the second eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}_{G(n,p)}$. Namely, we need to show that a constant fraction of the entries of the eigenvector have magnitude on approximately the same order. While some results in this vein are known for the *first* eigenvector of the adjacency matrix [15] (and follow in a straightforward manner from bounds on the degrees for the first eigenvector of the symmetric normalized Laplacian), to our knowledge there are no previous results about the remainder of the spectrum. We obtain the following result.

---

[1]We use the notation ℓ_q instead of the classical ℓ_p in order to avoid confusion with the edge probability p.

Theorem 2 *Let v_2 denote the second eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}_{G(n,p)}$. For every fixed $p \in (0,1)$ and fixed $\eta \in (0, 1/2)$ there exists a constant $C = C(p, \eta)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ i \in [n] : |v_2(i)| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(\log(n))^C} \right\} \geq 1/2 - \eta \right] \to 1,$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Remark 3 *Our proof of this result also shows that the same conclusion holds for any eigenvector v of $\mathcal{L}_{G(n,p)}$ for which the corresponding eigenvalue λ satisfies the inequality $|1 - \lambda| \geq \left(1 - \lambda_2\left(\mathcal{L}_{G(n,p)}\right)\right)/\log(n)$. We omit the details.*

Remark 4 *The result above also holds for the normalized adjacency matrix $D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$ of $G(n,p)$, since the eigenvectors of the normalized Laplacian and those of the normalized adjacency matrix are the same.*

Remark 5 *Theorem 2 does not follow from the delocalization results in the ℓ_q sense, since these do not rule out the possibility that the mass of the vector is concentrated on a sublinear number of coordinates.*

Before proving Theorem 2, as a warm-up we first prove an analogous result for the (unnormalized) adjacency matrix A_G of $G = G(n,p)$, for all eigenvectors $v_j(A_G)$ with $j > 1$. This proof contains most of the main ideas of the proof for the normalized case, but is somewhat simpler.

Shortly before the writing of this manuscript was completed, we discovered that Rudelson and Vershynin independently proved a delocalization result of the same type for matrices with independent entries [20]. Their results are stronger, in the sense that they apply to a $(1 - o(1))$-fraction of the entries; however they cannot be applied to Chung's conjecture because the normalization of the Laplacian introduces dependencies between the entries. On a related note, Nguyen, Tao and Vu very recently proved a related result on non-degeneration of eigenvectors for a certain class of matrices with independent entries [16].

1.3 Approach

1.3.1 Decreasing spectral gap

We first obtain a general sufficient condition under which the addition of an edge causes the spectral gap to decrease. Given a graph G, and another graph G^+ obtained from G by adding a single edge, consider the second eigenvector f_2 of the normalized Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_G$. If f_2 has a smaller Rayleigh quotient in G^+ than in G, then the spectral gap decreases. This event can be explicitly expressed using f_2, $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)$, and the degrees of vertices in G, giving an explicit sufficient condition for the spectral gap to decrease in general graphs. See Lemma 1 for details.

Next, we specialize this general condition to Erdős-Rényi random graphs. Simple calculations reveal that a sufficient condition for the spectral gap to decrease with constant probability is to have a constant fraction of entries of f_2 have the same order of magnitude. Thus a delocalization result of the type previously described would complete the proof.

1.3.2 Delocalization

For our definition of delocalization, it suffices to show that a vector with too many small entries cannot be an eigenvector of the symmetric normalized adjacency matrix $\widehat{A} = \widehat{A}_{G(n,p)}$ for a typical instance of $G(n,p)$. To this end, suppose that a vector v with $\|v\|_2 = 1$ has many of its coordinates smaller in absolute value than $\delta \ll n^{-1/2}$, and that v is also an eigenvector of $\widehat{A}$. For a typical instance of $G(n,p)$, it is known that the second largest eigenvalue of $\widehat{A}$ is $\Theta(n^{-1/2})$. Intuitively, each entry of $\widehat{A}v$ is close to a sum of Bernoulli random variables scaled by the entries of v. If v is an eigenvector, then for all of its small entries, the Bernoulli sum must land in an interval of size $\delta \times \Theta(n^{-1/2})$ and for this event to occur simultaneously for all of the many small entries is very unlikely. To formalize this fact we use a standard Littlewood-Offord-type estimate, together with a result of Rudelson and Vershynin.

By taking a suitable enumeration of discrete approximations for the eigenvector (very roughly speaking, an ε-net in the subset of "localized" eigenvectors), one can make sure that the above holds with a probability small enough so that a union bound can be used to show that none of these approximations are in fact likely on a typical instance of the graph.

1.4 Further notation

Let $\mathbb{1}$ denote the n-dimensional vector with all entries equal to 1 and let $\vec{1}$ denote the n-dimensional unit vector whose every coordinate is equal to $1/\sqrt{n}$. The dimension n will be implicit in the context of appearance, and will not be explicitly noted. For $S \subseteq [n]$, $\vec{1}_S$ denotes the $|S|$-dimensional unit vector whose every coordinate is equal to $1/\sqrt{|S|}$ (and whose coordinates are identified with S). For a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by $\|v\| \equiv \|v\|_2$ we denote its standard Euclidean norm. For a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and a real number $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $B(v, r)$ the Euclidean ball of radius r around v.

For a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a vertex $v \in V$, let d_v denote the degree of v if the graph G is clear from context. Recall our notation for various matrices associated with G at the beginning of Section 1.1; for all such matrices, we omit the subscript when the graph G is clear from context.

We denote the eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix M by $\{\lambda_i(M)\}_{i=1}^n$. For Laplacian matrices we order the eigenvalues from smallest to largest (as in Section 1.1), but for adjacency matrices it is more natural to order the eigenvalues from largest to smallest: $\lambda_1(M) \geq \lambda_2(M) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(M)$. In the rest of the paper we therefore follow this convention. Let f_1^G denote the normalized eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_1(\widehat{A}_G)$ and $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_G)$, and recall that $f_1^G \propto D_G^{1/2}\vec{1}$.

A sequence of events $\{E_n\}$ is said to hold *asymptotically almost surely* if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_n) = 1$, and is said to hold *with high probability* if for every constant $c > 0$ there exists $n_c > 0$ such that for all $n > n_c$ one has $\mathbb{P}(E_n) < n^{-c}$.

2 Preliminaries: typical instances of $G(n,p)$

In our proof we use several properties of a "typical" instance of $G(n,p)$. We first list these properties, and then show that each one holds with high probability over $G(n,p)$.

Definition 1 *We say that a graph* $G = (V, E)$ *is a typical* instance *of* $G(n, p)$, *denoted by* $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, *if the following properties hold:*

1. *The degrees of all vertices are close to their expectation, in the following specific sense:*

$$\forall v \in V, \ np - \log(n) \cdot \sqrt{np} \leq d_v \leq np + \log(n) \cdot \sqrt{np},$$

   and furthermore the sum of all degrees is also close to its expectation in the following specific sense:

$$n^2 p - n \log(n) \leq \sum_{v \in V} d_v \leq n^2 p + n \log(n).$$

2. *The eigenvalues of the normalized and unnormalized adjacency matrices are not far from their expectations, in the following specific sense:*

$$np - \log(n) \cdot \sqrt{n} \leq \lambda_1(A) \leq np + \log(n) \cdot \sqrt{n},$$
$$|\lambda_i(A)| \leq 3\sqrt{np(1-p)} \qquad for \quad 2 \leq i \leq n,$$

   and

$$\lambda_1(\widehat{A}) = 1, \qquad \left|\lambda_i(\widehat{A})\right| \leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{np}} \qquad for \quad 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

3. *For every subset of vertices* $S \subseteq V$, *we have*

$$\left| |E(S)| - p \binom{|S|}{2} \right| \leq n^{3/2}$$

   where $E(S)$ *denotes the edges whose endpoints both lie in* S.

We denote by $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ the distribution of an Erdős-Rényi random graph $G(n, p)$ conditioned on it being typical, i.e.,

$$\overline{\mathbb{P}}(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}).$$

The following result is well known.

Theorem 3 *For every fixed* $p \in (0, 1)$ *we have* $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}) \to 1$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof The first property follows from a simple Chernoff bound and a union bound. The second property for the unnormalized adjacency matrix is proven in [11] and for the normalized adjacency matrix it follows from [4, Theorem 3.6]. The third property is standard and follows from a simple union bound. ■

Theorem 4 *Let* $n \geq 10$ *be an integer and let* $p \in \left(\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}, 1\right)$. *If* $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ *then it has the following properties.*

1. *The top eigenvectors of* A *and* $\widehat{A}$ *are close to* $\vec{1}$, *in the sense that*

$$\|v_1(A) - \vec{1}\|_2 \leq 2\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{np}} \qquad and \qquad \|v_1(\widehat{A}) - \vec{1}\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{p}\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$$

   for all n *large enough.*

2. If $S \subseteq [n]$, P_S is the coordinate projection onto S, and Q_S is the projection onto the space orthogonal to $\vec{1}_S$, then

$$\|Q_S P_S A\|_2 \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{p}} \log(n) \qquad and \qquad \|Q_S P_S \widehat{A}\|_2 \leq \frac{2 \log(n)}{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for all n large enough.

3. The symmetric normalized adjacency matrix $\widehat{A}$ is closely approximated by $\frac{1}{np} A$ on vectors far from $\vec{1}$, in the following specific sense. For any subset $S \subseteq [n]$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\langle x, \vec{1} \rangle| \leq \alpha$, we have

$$\|Q_S P_S \widehat{A} x - \tfrac{1}{np} Q_S P_S A x\|_2 \leq p^{-5/2} \frac{(\log(n))^2 + \alpha \sqrt{n} \log(n)}{n}.$$

4. The second eigenvalue of the symmetric normalized adjacency matrix $\widehat{A}$ is not too small, specifically

$$\lambda_2(\widehat{A}) \geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{1 - p}{16\sqrt{np}}.$$

5. Let v be an eigenvector of $\widehat{A}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ. Define the set

$$S := \{i \in [n] \,|\, |v(i)| < \alpha\}.$$

We then have

$$\|P_S v\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{|\lambda|}{\lambda_2} - \frac{\log(n)}{\alpha^4 \lambda_2 np} \right).$$

In particular, if $\lambda = \Theta(\lambda_2)$, $\lambda = \Omega(n^{-1/2})$, and $\alpha \geq \frac{\log(n)}{(np)^{1/8}}$, then $\|P_S v\|_2 = \Omega(1)$.

The proof of Theorem 4 is given by a series of lemmas in Appendix B.

For a constant C, let $\mathcal{A}_n^C$ denote the family of graphs G on n vertices having the following property: All of the eigenvectors v of the adjacency matrix A_G satisfy

$$\frac{\|v\|_\infty}{\|v\|_2} \leq (\log(n))^C / \sqrt{n}.$$

The next result will be useful to us in proving a delocalization result for the eigenvectors of the unnormalized adjacency matrix in Section 4.2.1.

Theorem 5 *[[9], Theorem 2.16] There exists a finite constant C_∞ such that for any fixed $p \in (0, 1)$ we have $\mathbb{P}\left(G(n, p) \in \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty}\right) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$.*

We note that [9, Theorem 2.16] is a stronger and more general result; however, the theorem above is sufficient for our purposes. The results of [9, Theorem 2.16] show that C_∞ can be taken to be any constant greater than 4.

3 From the spectral gap to delocalization of the second eigenvector

In this section we show how the proof of Theorem 1 can be reduced to a question about the entries of the second eigenvector of the normalized Laplacian. We prove the following proposition, which gives a sufficient condition for the addition of an edge to decrease the spectral gap.

Proposition 1 *Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$. Let $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}_G$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_2 (\mathcal{L}_G)$, normalized such that $\|f\|_2 = 1$. Let $u, v \in V$ be two vertices that are not connected by an edge, i.e., $\{u, v\} \notin E$. Denote by $G_+ = (V, E_+)$ the graph obtained from G by adding an edge between u and v, i.e., $E_+ := E \cup \{\{u, v\}\}$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \left(f(u)^2 + f(v)^2 \right) + (np)^{-2} < cf(u)f(v) \implies \lambda_2 (\mathcal{L}_G) > \lambda_2 (\mathcal{L}_{G_+}),$$

where $c > 0$ is a universal constant.

In particular, for every fixed $p \in (0, 1)$ there exists a constant C_p such that for all $n > C_p$ the following holds: if $\frac{1}{n^{0.51}} \leq |f(u)|, |f(v)| \leq \frac{1}{n^{0.49}}$ and $f(u)f(v) > 0$, then $\lambda_2 (\mathcal{L}_G) > \lambda_2 (\mathcal{L}_{G_+})$.

The main theorem of the paper follows easily from the above proposition together with the delocalization result described in Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $G \sim G(n, p)$. For a constant c consider the event

$$E_c := \{G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}\} \cap \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ i \in V : |f(i)| \in \left[\frac{1}{n^{0.51}}, \frac{1}{n^{0.49}} \right] \right\} \geq c \right\},$$

where $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ is the eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}_G$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_2 (\mathcal{L}_G)$, normalized such that $\|f\|_2 = 1$, just as in Proposition 1. According to Theorems 2 and 3, there exists $c > 0$ depending only on p such that $\mathbb{P}(E_c) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$; in fact, by Theorem 2 we can take $c = 1/2 - \eta$ for any constant $\eta > 0$. Define

$$J_+ := \left\{ i \in V : f(i) \in \left[\frac{1}{n^{0.51}}, \frac{1}{n^{0.49}} \right] \right\}$$

$$\text{and} \quad J_- := \left\{ i \in V : -f(i) \in \left[\frac{1}{n^{0.51}}, \frac{1}{n^{0.49}} \right] \right\}.$$

Whenever E_c holds, we must have $|J_+| + |J_-| \geq nc$. Let $F_+ := \binom{J_+}{2}$ be the set of possible edges between vertices in J_+, and define $F_- := \binom{J_-}{2}$ similarly. Since $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, by Property 3 in the definition of $\mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, we have

$$|F_+ \setminus E(J_+)| \geq (1 - p) \binom{|J_+|}{2} - n^{3/2}$$

and

$$|F_- \setminus E(J_-)| \geq (1 - p) \binom{|J_-|}{2} - n^{3/2}.$$

For the same reason, the number of edges in G is at least $p\binom{n}{2} - n^{3/2}$, so the number of "nonedges" is at most $(1-p)\binom{n}{2} + n^{3/2}$. By Proposition 1, assuming that $n > C_p$, we have for every $(u,v) \in (F_+ \setminus E(J_+)) \cup (F_- \setminus E(J_-))$ that $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) > \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_{G_+})$, where G_+ is the graph obtained from G by adding an edge between u and v. Therefore

$$a_-(G) \geq \frac{(1-p)\left[\binom{|J_+|}{2} + \binom{|J_-|}{2}\right] - 2n^{3/2}}{(1-p)\binom{n}{2} + n^{3/2}} \geq \frac{(1-p)\left[\left(\frac{|J_+|+|J_-|}{2}\right)^2 - (|J_+| + |J_-|)\right] - 2n^{3/2}}{(1-p)\binom{n}{2} + n^{3/2}}$$

$$\geq \frac{(1-p)\left[\left(\frac{nc}{2}\right)^2 - n\right] - 2n^{3/2}}{(1-p)\binom{n}{2} + n^{3/2}} \geq \frac{c^2}{2} - o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$, which concludes the proof. ∎

For the proof of Proposition 1 we use the following lemma, which holds for any finite graph and follows from elementary computations.

Lemma 1 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph, and let f denote the eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}_G$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)$, normalized such that $\|f\|_2 = 1$. Let $u, v \in V$ be two vertices that are not connected by an edge, i.e., $\{u, v\} \notin E$. Denote by $G_+ = (V, E_+)$ the graph obtained from G by adding an edge between u and v, i.e., $E_+ := E \cup \{\{u,v\}\}$. Define the quantity $p_f := \langle f, f_1^+ \rangle$, the projection of f onto the top eigenvector of G_+. If*

$$p_f^2 \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) + 2(1 - \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G))\left\{\frac{\sqrt{d_u+1} - \sqrt{d_u}}{\sqrt{d_u+1}} f(u)^2 + \frac{\sqrt{d_v+1} - \sqrt{d_v}}{\sqrt{d_v+1}} f(v)^2\right\}$$
$$< \frac{2f(u)f(v)}{\sqrt{d_u+1}\sqrt{d_v+1}}, \quad (1)$$

then

$$\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) > \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_{G_+}),$$

i.e., the spectral gap decreases by adding an edge between u and v.

Remark 6 *Note that this result holds even if G is disconnected. In that case $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) = 0$, so the spectral gap clearly cannot decrease. This is not in contradiction with the lemma above; when $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) = 0$, the inequality (1) cannot hold.*

Proof Let D_+ denote the diagonal matrix containing the degrees of the vertices in G_+ on the diagonal; the degrees are $d_i^+ = d_i$ for all $i \in V \setminus \{u, v\}$, and $d_u^+ = d_u + 1$ and $d_v^+ = d_v + 1$. The first eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}_G$ is $f_1 := D^{1/2}\mathbb{1}$, while the first eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}_{G_+}$ is $f_1^+ := D_+^{1/2}\mathbb{1}$. By the variational characterization of eigenvalues, using also that the first eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{G_+}$, corresponding to the eigenvector f_1^+, is 0, we have

$$\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_{G_+}) = \min_{\substack{x \\ \langle x, f_1^+ \rangle = 0}} \frac{x^T \mathcal{L}_{G_+} x}{x^T x} \leq \frac{f_\perp^T \mathcal{L}_{G_+} f_\perp}{f_\perp^T f_\perp} = \frac{f^T \mathcal{L}_{G_+} f}{1 - p_f^2}, \quad (2)$$

9

where $f_\perp$ denotes the projection of f onto the subspace orthogonal to f_1^+, and recall that f is a unit vector. A straightforward calculation—which requires a lot of bookkeeping; see Appendix A for details—tells us that the expression for $f^T \mathcal{L}_{G_+} f$ simplifies to the following:

$$f^T \mathcal{L}_{G_+} f = \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) + 2\left(1 - \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)\right) \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{d_u + 1} - \sqrt{d_u}}{\sqrt{d_u + 1}} f(u)^2 + \frac{\sqrt{d_v + 1} - \sqrt{d_v}}{\sqrt{d_v + 1}} f(v)^2 \right\}$$
$$- \frac{2f(u)f(v)}{\sqrt{(d_u + 1)(d_v + 1)}}. \tag{3}$$

By (2) we have that

$$\frac{f^T \mathcal{L}_{G_+} f}{1 - p_f^2} < \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) \tag{4}$$

implies that $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_{G_+}) < \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)$. By plugging in our expression for $f^T \mathcal{L}_{G_+} f$ in (3), we get that (4) is equivalent to (1). ∎

We are now ready to prove the main proposition of the section.

Proof of Proposition 1. Using Lemma 1, we are interested in a sufficient condition for the inequality (1) to hold true. Since $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, we have by definition that

$$\forall v \in V, \ np - \log(n) \cdot \sqrt{np} \leq d_v \leq np + \log(n) \cdot \sqrt{np}.$$

Under the assumption that n is large enough so that $\log(n)\sqrt{np} < np/2$, we get that

$$\forall v \in V, \ np/2 \leq d_v \leq 2np. \tag{5}$$

This gives us that

$$\frac{\sqrt{d_u + 1} - \sqrt{d_u}}{\sqrt{d_u + 1}} \leq \frac{1}{np}, \ \frac{\sqrt{d_v + 1} - \sqrt{d_v}}{\sqrt{d_v + 1}} \leq \frac{1}{np} \ \text{and} \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u + 1}\sqrt{d_v + 1}} > \frac{1}{4np}. \tag{6}$$

Since $f^T f_1 = 0$, the length of the projection of f onto f_1^+ is

$$p_f := \left\langle f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in V} d_i^+}} D_+^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{1} \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in V} d_i^+}} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in V} f(i)\sqrt{d_i} \right) - f(u)\sqrt{d_u} - f(v)\sqrt{d_v} + f(u)\sqrt{d_u + 1} + f(v)\sqrt{d_v + 1} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 + \sum_{i \in V} d_i}} \left\{ f(u)(\sqrt{d_u + 1} - \sqrt{d_u}) + f(v)(\sqrt{d_v + 1} - \sqrt{d_v}) \right\},$$

which, together with equation (5) gives that

$$|p_f| \leq (np)^{-3/2} \left(|f(u)| + |f(v)| \right) \leq 2(np)^{-3/2}.$$

10

The above equation, together with (6), plugged into (1) gives that a sufficient condition for (1) to hold is that

$$8\,(np)^{-2} + 4\,(1 - \lambda_2\,(\mathcal{L}_G))\,\left(f(u)^2 + f(v)^2\right) < f(u)f(v). \tag{7}$$

Since $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, by definition we also have that

$$\left|1 - \lambda_2\,(\mathcal{L}_G)\right| = \left|\lambda_2(\widehat{A})\right| \leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{pn}},$$

and so (7) is implied by the inequality

$$8\,(np)^{-2} + 32\,(np)^{-1/2}\,\left(f(u)^2 + f(v)^2\right) < f(u)f(v),$$

which concludes the proof. ■

4   Delocalization of the second eigenvector

In this section we prove our delocalization result stated in Theorem 2. As a warm-up, we first prove an analogous result for the adjacency matrix A_G of $G = G(n,p)$ which contains most of the main ideas of the proof for the normalized case, but is somewhat simpler. We then present the proof for the normalized Laplacian, which carries with it some extra difficulties.

Before we move on to these proofs, we need to collect a few auxiliary results related to small ball concentration bounds for sums of independent random variables. We present these in the next subsection.

4.1   Small ball concentration estimates

Consider a vector whose entries are independent sums of independent scaled Bernoulli random variables. Our proof hinges on showing an upper bound for the probability that such a vector has small norm. To do this, we rely on a previous Littlewood-Offord-type result and also on a theorem of Rudelson and Vershynin.

The following definition is natural for our purposes.

Definition 2 *For a real random vector $Z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \geq 0$, define the concentration function*

$$\mathcal{R}(Z,t) := \max_{q \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{P}\left[\|Z - q\|_2 \leq t\right].$$

This function measures the largest probability that a random vector lands in a ball of fixed radius.

For a single entry of a vector, we use the following lemma to bound the concentration function.

Lemma 2 *Let $X = \sum_{i \in [n]} a_i \beta_i$, where the $\beta_i \sim \mathrm{Ber}(p)$ are independent Bernoulli random variables with expectation p. There exists an absolute constant $C < \infty$ such that if $|a_i| \geq 1$ for at least m indices $i \in [n]$, then for all $r \geq 1$,*

$$\mathcal{R}(X, r) \leq \frac{Cr}{\sqrt{mp(1-p)}}.$$

This is a simple generalization of Erdős's strengthening of the Littlewood-Offord theorem [14, 10]. We provide the proof (based on an idea of Halász [12]; see also [17]) for completeness.

Proof It suffices to prove the statement for $r = 1$, as the dependence on r follows from a union bound. By a standard computation (see e.g. [17, Lemma 6.2]) we have that

$$\mathcal{R}(X, 1) \leq C \int_{-1}^{1} |\mathbb{E}[\exp(itX)]| dt$$

for a universal constant $C > 0$. Write

$$J := \{j : |a_j| \geq 1\}.$$

By the independence of the β_j's and using Hölder's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} |\mathbb{E}[\exp(itX)]| dt &= \int_{-1}^{1} \left| \prod_{j \in [n]} \mathbb{E}[\exp(ita_j \beta_j)] \right| dt \\
&\leq \int_{-1}^{1} \left| \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{E}[\exp(ita_j \beta_j)] \right| dt \\
&\leq \prod_{j \in J} \left(\int_{-1}^{1} |\mathbb{E}[\exp(ita_j \beta_j)]|^m dt \right)^{1/m},
\end{aligned}
$$

where m is the cardinality of J. The proof would therefore be concluded by proving that for all $j \in J$, one has

$$\int_{-1}^{1} |\mathbb{E}[\exp(ita_j \beta_j)]|^m dt \leq \frac{C'}{\sqrt{mp(1-p)}} \tag{8}$$

for a universal constant C'. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(ita_j \beta_j)] = (1-p) + p \exp(ita_j),$$

so

$$|\mathbb{E}[\exp(ita_j \beta_j)]|^2 = 1 - 2p(1-p)(1 - \cos(a_j t)),$$

and thus (substituting $w = a_j t$) we have

$$\int_{-1}^{1} |\mathbb{E}[\exp(ita_j \beta_i)]|^m dt = \frac{1}{|a_j|} \int_{-|a_j|}^{|a_j|} \left(1 - 2p(1-p)(1 - \cos(w)) \right)^{m/2} dw.$$

12

Using the periodicity of $\cos(x)$, its monotonicity in the interval $[0, \pi]$, and also using the fact that $|a_j| \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|a_j|} \int_{-|a_j|}^{|a_j|} \left(1 - 2p(1-p)(1 - \cos(w))\right)^{m/2} dw \leq 4 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left(1 - 2p(1-p)(1 - \cos(w))\right)^{m/2} dw.$$

Next, using the fact that $1 - \cos(x) \geq x^2/8$ for $x \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, we have

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left(1 - 2p(1-p)(1 - \cos(w))\right)^{m/2} dw \leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left(1 - \tfrac{1}{4}p(1-p)w^2\right)^{m/2} dw \leq \frac{C''}{\sqrt{mp(1-p)}}$$

for some constant $C'' > 0$. Putting the last displays together gives (8). ∎

We also use the following result, which roughly states that if $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is a random vector with independent coordinates and the distributions of the X_i are well spread on the line, then the distribution of a linear image of X by a certain linear transformation is also well-spread. This result will be used in conjunction with the Littlewood-Offord-type lemma above. It is a simple analog (but not a special case) of [19, Corollary 1.5].

Lemma 3 *Let $1 \leq d < n$ be integers. Suppose that $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ is a random vector where the X_i are independent real-valued random variables, and that $t, q \geq 0$ are such that for all $i \in [d]$,*

$$\mathcal{R}(X_i, t) \leq q.$$

Suppose also that $|X_i| \leq K$ almost surely for all $i \in [d]$ and for some $K > 0$. Let T be a linear isometric embedding of $\mathbb{R}^d$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace. Let P_H denote the orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto H. Then there exists an absolute constant $C < \infty$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}(P_H T X, t\sqrt{d}) \leq (Cq)^d (K/t + 1)\sqrt{d}.$$

Proof By rescaling, we may clearly assume that $t = 1$ and replace K by $K' = K/t$. Let $Y_1, ..., Y_d$ be independent random variables uniformly distributed on $[-1, 1]$ and let $Y = (Y_1, ..., Y_d)$. Define $Z = X + Y$, denote by f_i the density of Z_i and by f the density of Z. Note that we have

$$f_i(x) = \tfrac{1}{2} P(|X_i - x| \leq 1) \leq \mathcal{R}(X_i, 1) \leq q, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

and therefore

$$f(x) \leq q^d, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{9}$$

Denote by V the image of the operator T, and by P_V the orthogonal projection onto V. Suppose for now that $V \not\subseteq H$ (the other case is in fact simpler). Define $\widetilde{H} = P_H V$ and $W = V \cap H$. By dimension considerations, there exists a unit vector $v \perp W$ such that $V = \mathrm{sp}\,(W \cup \{v\})$.

Fix a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By the triangle inequality and since almost surely, $\|Y\| \leq \sqrt{d}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|P_H T X - x\| < \sqrt{d}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\|P_H T Z - x\| \leq 2\sqrt{d}\right). \tag{10}$$

Now, since P_W is a contraction and $|\det T| = 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\|P_H TZ - x\| \le 2\sqrt{d}\right) &\le \mathbb{P}\left(\|P_W TZ - P_W x\| \le 2\sqrt{d}\right) \\
&= \int_{\left\{z \in V:\ \|P_W z - P_W x\| \le 2\sqrt{d}\right\}} f\left(T^{-1}z\right) dz \\
&= \int_{\left\{y \in W:\ \|y - P_W x\| \le 2\sqrt{d}\right\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(T^{-1}(y + sv)\right) ds\, dy.
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, since we have by assumption $|X_i| \le K'$ almost surely and since $|Y_i| \le 1$, we have $f\left(T^{-1}(w + sv)\right) = 0$ for all $w \in W$ whenever $|s| > (K' + 1)\sqrt{d}$. Plugging this fact, together with equation (9), into the last inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\|P_H TZ - x\| \le 2\sqrt{d}\right) &\le \mathrm{Vol}_W\left(\left\{y \in W;\ \|y - P_W x\| \le 2\sqrt{d}\right\}\right) q^d (K' + 1)\sqrt{d} \\
&\le (4q)^d (K' + 1)\sqrt{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

where Vol_W denotes the $(d-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure in W, and in the second inequality we have used a standard estimate related to the volume of the $(d-1)$-dimensional unit ball. Together with equation (10) we conclude that

$$
\mathcal{R}(P_H TX, t\sqrt{d}) \le (4q)^d (K' + 1)\sqrt{d}
$$

which finishes the proof for that case that $V \not\subseteq H$. For the (simpler) case that $V \subseteq H$ we just plug in equation (10) with (9) and with the same estimate for the volume of the Euclidean ball that we have used above. $\blacksquare$

4.2 Delocalization

4.2.1 Delocalization of eigenvectors of the adjacency matrix

Theorem 6 *Fix $p \in (0, 1)$, and let G be an instance of $G(n, p)$. For any constant $\eta > 0$ there exists a finite positive constant $C = C(\eta, p)$ such that asymptotically almost surely all eigenvectors of A_G (normalized to have unit ℓ_2-norm) have at least $(1/2 - \eta)\, n$ entries of magnitude at least $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(\log(n))^C}$.*

For the first eigenvector v_1 a stronger statement is known; see [15]. Consequently, we focus our attention on the eigenvectors $v_2, \dots, v_n$, which are orthogonal to v_1.

The following lemma is the main step towards proving the theorem above. Recall that $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ denotes the distribution of an instance of $G = G(n, p)$ conditioned on $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ (see Definition 1). Recall that $\mathcal{A}_n^C$ denotes the family of graphs G on n vertices such that all of the eigenvectors of A_G, normalized to have unit ℓ_2-norm, have infinity-norm bounded by $(\log(n))^C / \sqrt{n}$. Recall also from Theorem 5 that there exists a finite constant C_∞ such that $\{G(n, p) \in \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty}\}$ occurs with probability tending to 1 as $n \to \infty$ for any fixed $p \in (0, 1)$. In what follows, C_∞ always denotes this constant.

Lemma 4 *Fix $p \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \in (1/4, 1/2)$. Let $W \subseteq [n]$ be of size εn, and let $W^C := [n] \setminus W$. Let δ be such that $n^{-1/2 + 1/10} < \delta < 1/10$. Fix $j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$. Recall that v_j denotes the jth eigenvector of A_G. Then there exists a finite constant C_p, depending only on p, such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|v_j(i)| \le \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \ \text{ for all } \ i \in W^C \ \middle| \ G \in \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty} \right) \le (C_p \log(n))^{(C_\infty + 1)n} \times \delta^{(1-2\varepsilon)n}. \quad (11)$$

Proof Our proof proceeds by a union bound over candidate eigenvectors. Let $\Omega_W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the set of all vectors obeying the appropriate constraints, that is,

$$\Omega_W := \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n \ \middle| \ \|v\| = 1, \ \|v\|_\infty \le \frac{(\log(n))^{C_\infty}}{\sqrt{n}}, \ |v(i)| \le \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \ \ \forall i \in W^C \right\}.$$

Given $G \in \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty}$, if $|v_j(i)| \le \delta/\sqrt{n}$ for all $i \in W^C$, then $v_j \in \Omega_W$. We define a net Λ_W over Ω_W with resolution $R := \delta/\sqrt{n}$ in the following way:

$$\Lambda_W := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ \middle| \ x = R \cdot k, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \ k_i = 0 \ \ \forall i \in W^C, \ |k_i| \le \frac{(\log(n))^{C_\infty}}{R\sqrt{n}} \ \ \forall i \in W, \right.$$

$$\left. \|x\| \in [1 - \delta, 1 + \delta] \right\}.$$

The discretization Λ_W has the property that for any $v \in \Omega_W$, there exists $x \in \Lambda_W$ such that $u := v - x \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \right]^n$. The cardinality of the net Λ_W can be bounded from above by noting that for any $x \in \Lambda_W$, the coordinates of x in W^C are fixed, while the coordinates in W can take on at most $2(\log(n))^{C_\infty} / (R\sqrt{n}) + 1$ values, and so

$$|\Lambda_W| \le \left(\frac{2(\log(n))^{C_\infty}}{R\sqrt{n}} + 1 \right)^{|W|} \le \left(\frac{3(\log(n))^{C_\infty}}{\delta} \right)^{\varepsilon n}. \quad (12)$$

For a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the event

$$F_{W,v,A} := \left\{ Q_{W^C} P_{W^C} A v \in B\left(0, \delta n^{1/2} \log(n) \right) \right\},$$

where P_{W^C} is the projection onto the coordinates of W^C, and Q_{W^C} is the orthogonal projection onto the space orthogonal to $\vec{1}_{W^C}$. We claim that

$$G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p} \text{ and } v_j \in \Omega_W \Rightarrow F_{W,v_j,A}. \quad (13)$$

Indeed, by definition, $(Av_j)(i) = \lambda_j v_j(i)$ for all coordinates $i \in [n]$. Since $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ and $j \ge 2$, we have $|\lambda_j| \le 3\sqrt{n}$. Since $v_j \in \Omega_W$, we have $|v_j(i)| \le \delta/\sqrt{n}$ for all $i \in W^C$, and so $|(P_{W^C} A v_j)(i)| \le 3\delta$ for all $i \in W^C$. Note also that $(P_{W^C} A v_j)(i) = 0$ for all $i \in W$. Therefore $P_{W^C} A v_j \in B(0, 3\delta\sqrt{n}) \subseteq B(0, \delta n^{1/2} \log(n))$. Since $\|Q_{W^C}\| = 1$, it follows that the event $F_{W,v_j,A}$ holds, which establishes the implication in (13).

15

Next, for $v \in \Omega_W$, let $x \in \Lambda_W$ be the closest point in Λ_W such that $u := v - x \in [-\delta/\sqrt{n}, \delta/\sqrt{n}]^n$ (such an $x \in \Lambda_W$ exists; in case it is not unique, take one of the closest points arbitrarily). Then

$$\|Q_{W^C} P_{W^C} Ax\| \le \|Q_{W^C} P_{W^C} Av\| + \|Q_{W^C} P_{W^C} Au\| \le \|Q_{W^C} P_{W^C} Av\| + 2\delta\sqrt{n/p} \log n,$$

where the first inequality follows from the triangle inequality, and the second inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the fact that $\|u\| \le \delta$, and Theorem 4, part 2. Therefore, if $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ and $v_j \in \Omega_W$, then we have

$$F_{W,v_j,A} \text{ holds } \Rightarrow \exists x \in \Lambda_W \text{ such that } H_{W,x,A} \text{ holds,} \tag{14}$$

where

$$H_{W,x,A} := \left\{ Q_{W^C} P_{W^C} Ax \in B\left(0, 3\delta\sqrt{\tfrac{n}{p}} \log(n)\right) \right\}.$$

We now fix $x \in \Lambda_W$, and bound $\mathbb{P}[H_{W,x,A}]$. Note that $x_i = 0$ for all $i \in W^C$, so we can write $x = P_W x$, where P_W is the coordinate projection onto W. Define $Y := P_{W^C} Ax$. Thus $Y_i = 0$ for $i \in W$, while for $i \in W^C$ we have $Y_i = \sum_{j \in W} A_{ij} x_j$, that is, Y_i is a sum of scaled independent Bernoulli random variables. By design, for any $x \in \Lambda_W$ we have $\|x\|_\infty \le \frac{(\log(n))^{C_\infty}}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $\|x\|_2^2 \ge (1-\delta)^2 > \frac{3}{4}$, and so there are at least $\frac{n}{2(\log(n))^{2C_\infty}}$ entries of x with magnitude at least $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}$. We can now apply Lemma 2 to $2\sqrt{n} Y_i$ with $m = \frac{n}{2(\log(n))^{2C_\infty}}$ and $r = 12\delta\sqrt{\tfrac{n}{p}} \log(n)$ to get that

$$\mathcal{R}\left(Y_i, \frac{6\delta}{\sqrt{p}} \log(n)\right) = \mathcal{R}\left(2\sqrt{n} Y_i, 12\delta\sqrt{\tfrac{n}{p}} \log(n)\right) \le \frac{C}{p\sqrt{1-p}} \delta \left(\log(n)\right)^{C_\infty + 1}$$

for some finite universal constant $C > 0$.

Furthermore, the random variables $\{Y_i\}_{i \in W^C}$ are independent. This is because these random variables are functions of disjoint subsets of the random variables $\{A_{ij}\}_{i,j \in [n], i < j}$, because we can write $Y = P_{W^C} Ax = P_{W^C} A P_W x$ and since $x_i = 0$ for all $i \in W^C$, and W and W^C are disjoint. Thus, we can apply Lemma 3 to Y, with $t = \frac{6\delta}{\sqrt{p}} \log(n)$, $d = (1-\varepsilon)n$, $K = n^3$, $q = \frac{C}{p\sqrt{1-p}} \delta \left(\log(n)\right)^{C_\infty + 1}$, and $H = \vec{1}_{W^C}^\perp$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[H_{W,x,A}] &\le \mathcal{R}\left(Q_{W^C} Y, 3\delta\sqrt{\tfrac{n}{p}} \log(n)\right) \\
&\le \mathcal{R}\left(Q_{W^C} Y, t\sqrt{d}\right) \le \left(\frac{C'}{p\sqrt{1-p}} \delta \left(\log(n)\right)^{C_\infty + 1}\right)^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \times n^6
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for a universal constant $C' > 0$, where in the second inequality we used the fact that $\varepsilon \in (1/4, 1/2)$.

Finally, we take a union bound to arrive at our result:

$$\overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(|v_j(i)| \le \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ for all } i \in W^C \,\middle|\, G \in \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty}\right)$$

$$\overset{(13)}{\le} \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(F_{W,v_j,A} \,\middle|\, G \in \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty}\right) \overset{(14)}{\le} \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(\cup_{x\in\Lambda_W} H_{W,x,A} \,\middle|\, G \in \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty}\right)$$

$$\le 2\mathbb{P}\left(\cup_{x\in\Lambda_W} H_{W,x,A}\right) \le 2\,|\Lambda_W|\, \max_{x\in\Lambda_W} \mathbb{P}\left(H_{W,x,A}\right)$$

$$\overset{(12)\wedge(15)}{\le} 2n^6 \left(\frac{3\left(\log\left(n\right)\right)^{C_\infty}}{\delta}\right)^{\varepsilon n} \times \left(\frac{C'}{p\sqrt{1-p}}\delta\left(\log\left(n\right)\right)^{C_\infty+1}\right)^{(1-\varepsilon)n}$$

$$= 2n^6 \times 3^{\varepsilon n} \times \left(\frac{C'}{p\sqrt{1-p}}\right)^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \times \delta^{(1-2\varepsilon)n} \times \left(\log\left(n\right)\right)^{(C_\infty+1-\varepsilon)n}$$

$$\le \left(C_p \log\left(n\right)\right)^{(C_\infty+1)n} \times \delta^{(1-2\varepsilon)n},$$

where in the third inequality, which holds for n large enough, we used Theorem 3 and Theorem 5. ∎

Using this lemma we now prove Theorem 6.

Proof Fix a constant $\eta > 0$, and let $\varepsilon = 1/2 - \eta$. We apply Lemma 4, and take a union bound over the possible subsets $W \subseteq [n]$ (of which there are at most 2^n) and over the possible eigenvectors. The lemma thus tells us that there exists a constant C such that, conditioned on $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ and $G \in \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty}$, the probability that there exists a subset $W \subseteq [n]$ of size εn and an eigenvector v_j, with $j \ge 2$, such that $|v_j(i)| \le \delta/\sqrt{n}$ for all $i \in W^C$ is at most

$$\left(C \log\left(n\right)\right)^{(C_\infty+1)n} \times \delta^{2\eta n}.$$

Now choosing $\delta := \left(\log\left(n\right)\right)^{-(C_\infty+1)/\eta}$, we get that conditioned on $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ and $G \in \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty}$, the probability that there are not at least $(1/2 - \eta)\,n$ entries of each eigenvector of A of magnitude at least

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\left(\log\left(n\right)\right)^{(C_\infty+1)/\eta}}$$

is at most $\left(C/\log\left(n\right)\right)^{(C_\infty+1)n}$. Since $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p} \cap \mathcal{A}_n^{C_\infty}$ asymptotically almost surely (by Theorems 3 and 5), we are done. ∎

4.2.2 Delocalization of eigenvectors of the normalized adjacency matrix

In this section we prove our main delocalization result, Theorem 2. Our proof for the normalized adjacency matrix also proceeds by a union bound over candidate eigenvectors. However, it is slightly more involved than for the (unnormalized) adjacency matrix. For one, the degree normalizations $D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$ introduce correlations between the rows of the matrix. Another major issue is the ℓ_∞ bound, Theorem 5, which is known to hold for the adjacency matrix, but it is not known to hold for the normalized case. Still, with the help

of some additional technical lemmas and with a more careful choice of a net for candidate eigenvectors, the proof proceeds more or less along the same lines.

The central lemma in the proof is the following.

Lemma 5 *For every $p \in (0,1)$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that the following holds. Let $\varepsilon \in (1/4, 1/2)$, let $W \subseteq [n]$ be a subset of size εn, and let $W^C := [n] \setminus W$. Let δ be such that $n^{-1/10} < \delta < 1/100$ and let $n \geq C_p$ be an integer. Let G be an instance of $G(n, p)$, and let v_2 denote the second eigenvector of $\widehat{A}$, normalized such that $\|v_2\| = 1$. Then we have*

$$\overline{\mathbb{P}} \left(|v_2(i)| \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \ \ for \ all \ \ i \in W^C \right) \leq (C_p \log(n))^{2n} \times \delta^{(1-2\varepsilon)n}. \tag{16}$$

Proof
Step 1: For a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the set of indices

$$S(v) := \left\{ i \in [n] \ : \ |v(i)| \leq \frac{\log(n)}{n^{1/8}} \right\},$$

and also

$$S'(v) := \left\{ i \in [n] \ : \ |v(i)| \leq \frac{2\log(n)}{n^{1/8}} \right\}.$$

Define the subset $\Omega_W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows:

$$\Omega_W := \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n \ \middle| \ \|v\| = 1, \ \left| \left\langle v, \vec{1} \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}, \ |v(i)| \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \forall i \in W^C, \ \|v|_{S(v)}\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{10} \right\}.$$

Let $\vec{1}_D := \mathrm{diag}(\sqrt{d_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{d_n}) / \sqrt{\sum_j d_j}$ and recall that $v_1(\widehat{A}) = \vec{1}_D$. Note that if $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ then by Theorem 4, part 1, we have

$$\left| \left\langle v_2, \vec{1} \right\rangle \right| \leq \left| \left\langle v_2, \vec{1} - \vec{1}_D \right\rangle \right| + \left| \left\langle v_2, \vec{1}_D \right\rangle \right| \leq \|v_2\| \|\vec{1} - \vec{1}_D\| + 0 \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Furthermore, by Properties 4 and 5 of Theorem 4, we have that if $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ then $\|v_2|_{S(v_2)}\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{10}$. Thus if $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ then

$$|v_2(i)| \leq \delta/\sqrt{n}, \ \forall i \in W^C \Rightarrow v_2 \in \Omega_W. \tag{17}$$

Step 2: In this step we construct a net Λ_W over Ω_W for candidate eigenvectors. Again, this is a net with resolution $R = \delta/\sqrt{n}$. However, the construction is a bit more involved than in the unnormalized case, because we have to overcome the lack of an analogue of Theorem 5 (the bound on $\|v\|_\infty$). We define

$$\Lambda_W := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ \middle| \ x = R \cdot k, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \ k_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in W^C, \ \left| \left\langle x, \vec{1} \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{3\log(n)}{p} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}}, \right.$$

$$\left. \|x\| \in [1 - 2\delta, 1 + 2\delta], \ \|x|_{S'(x)}\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{20} \right\}.$$

18

We claim that this net has the following property:

$$\forall v \in \Omega_W, \ \exists x \in \Lambda_W \text{ such that } u := v - x \in \left[-\frac{4\delta}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{4\delta}{\sqrt{n}}\right]^n. \tag{18}$$

To see this, given $v \in \Omega_W$, first define $x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by setting $x'(i) = 0$ for $i \in W^C$, and $x'(i) = v(i)$ for $i \in W$. Since $|v(i)| \leq \delta/\sqrt{n}$ for all $i \in W^C$, we have

$$\left|\left\langle x', \vec{1}\right\rangle - \left\langle v, \vec{1}\right\rangle\right| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\delta.$$

So the inner product $\left\langle x', \vec{1}\right\rangle$ might have large magnitude, but this can be "corrected for" and made close to zero by changing the coordinates of x' in W by at most $\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\delta}{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}$ each. That is, we can find $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x''(i) = 0$ for all $i \in W^C$, $|x''(i) - x'(i)| \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\delta}{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}$ for all $i \in W$, and $\left|\left\langle x'', \vec{1}\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{2}{p}\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$. Now $x''(i)/R$ might not be an integer for $i \in W$, but by changing each coordinate by at most $\delta/\sqrt{n}$, this can be achieved. Moreover, this can be done in such a way (by alternating the sign of the change in the coordinates) that the inner product of this vector with $\vec{1}$ changes by at most δ/n. That is, we can find $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x(i) = 0$ for all $i \in W^C$, $|x(i) - x''(i)| \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}}$ for all $i \in W$, $x(i)/R \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\left|\left\langle x, \vec{1}\right\rangle - \left\langle x'', \vec{1}\right\rangle\right| \leq \delta/n$. Consequently we must have $\left|\left\langle x, \vec{1}\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{2}{p}\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}} + \delta/n \leq \frac{3}{p}\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$. By construction, we must have $\|x\| \in [1 - 2\delta/\sqrt{\varepsilon}, 1 + 2\delta/\sqrt{\varepsilon}]$. Finally, since $|x(i) - v(i)| \leq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{\log(n)}{n^{1/8}}$ for all $i \in W$, we have $\left\|x|_{S'(x)}\right\| \geq \left\|v|_{S(v)}\right\| - 2\delta/\sqrt{\varepsilon} \geq 1/10 - 4\delta \geq 1/20$. Thus we have $x \in \Lambda_W$ and also $v - x \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}\right]^n$. By the assumption $\varepsilon > 1/4$ we get (18).

Step 3: Our next goal is to bound the cardinality of Λ_W. First note that Λ_W is contained in the ball of radius $1 + 2\delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\varepsilon n}$. If we cover Λ_W with hypercubes of edgelength R (i.e., each point in Λ_W is covered by at least one vertex of such a hypercube), then the union of these hypercubes will be contained in the ball of radius 2 in $\mathbb{R}^{\varepsilon n}$. Note that each such hypercube has $2^{\varepsilon n}$ vertices. Recall that the Euclidean ball of radius r in $\mathbb{R}^d$ has volume $V_d(r) = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2+1)}r^d$, which by Stirling's approximation is at most $(2\pi e/d)^{d/2} r^d$. Consequently we have the following bound on the cardinality of Λ_W:

$$|\Lambda_W| \leq 2^{\varepsilon n}\frac{V_{\varepsilon n}(2)}{R^{\varepsilon n}} \leq 2^{\varepsilon n}\frac{(8\pi e/(\varepsilon n))^{\varepsilon n/2}}{(\delta/\sqrt{n})^{\varepsilon n}} \leq \left(\frac{40}{\delta}\right)^{\varepsilon n}. \tag{19}$$

We use this estimate later when we take a union bound over points in Λ_W.

Step 4: For a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the event

$$F_{W,v,\widehat{A}} := \left\{Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}\widehat{A}v \in B\left(0, \delta\log(n)/\sqrt{n}\right)\right\},$$

and for a point $x \in \Lambda_W$ define

$$H_{W,x,\widehat{A}} := \left\{Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}\widehat{A}x \in B\left(0, \frac{12}{p}\frac{\delta\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right\},$$

19

where again P_{W^C} is the projection onto the coordinates of W^C, and Q_{W^C} is the orthogonal projection onto the space orthogonal to $\vec{1}_{W^C}$. For $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, we now prove that

$$v_2 \in \Omega_W \Rightarrow F_{W,v_2,\widehat{A}} \text{ holds.} \tag{20}$$

Moreover, we shall also see that

$$v_2 \in \Omega_W \text{ and } F_{W,v_2,\widehat{A}} \text{ holds} \Rightarrow \exists x \in \Lambda_W \text{ such that } H_{W,x,\widehat{A}} \text{ holds.} \tag{21}$$

Let us prove the implication (20). By definition, $\left(\widehat{A}v_2\right)(i) = \lambda_2 v_2(i)$ for all coordinates $i \in [n]$. Since $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, $|\lambda_2| \leq 8/\sqrt{np}$. Since $v_2 \in \Omega_W$, $|v_2(i)| \leq \delta/\sqrt{n}$ for all $i \in W^C$, and so $\left|\left(P_{W^C}\widehat{A}v_2\right)(i)\right| \leq 8\delta/(n\sqrt{p})$ for all $i \in W^C$. Therefore $P_{W^C}\widehat{A}v_2 \in B\left(0, 8\delta/\sqrt{np}\right) \subseteq B\left(0, \delta \log(n)/\sqrt{n}\right)$. Since $\|Q_{W^C}\| = 1$, it follows that the event $F_{W,v_2,\widehat{A}}$ holds.

Let us now prove (21). For $v \in \Omega_W$, let $x \in \Lambda_W$ be the closest point in Λ_W such that $u := v - x \in \left[-\frac{4\delta}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{4\delta}{\sqrt{n}}\right]^n$; as we discussed above, such an $x \in \Lambda_W$ exists. Then

$$\left\|Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}\widehat{A}x\right\| \leq \left\|Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}\widehat{A}v\right\| + \left\|Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}\widehat{A}u\right\| \leq \left\|Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}\widehat{A}v\right\| + \frac{8\delta\log(n)}{p\sqrt{n}},$$

where the first inequality follows from the triangle inequality, and the second inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the fact that $\|u\| \leq 4\delta$, and Theorem 4, part 2. Therefore, if $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, $v_2 \in \Omega_W$, and the event $F_{W,v_2,\widehat{A}}$ holds, then there exists $x \in \Lambda_W$ such that the event $H_{W,x,\widehat{A}}$ holds.

Step 5: Fix $x \in \Lambda_W$. Our next goal is to prove the bound

$$\overline{\mathbb{P}}\left[H_{W,x,\widehat{A}}\right] \leq 2n^6 \left(\frac{C_2}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}\delta\left(\log(n)\right)^{3/2}\right)^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \tag{22}$$

for a universal constant $C_2 > 0$.

We do this by coupling $P_{W^C}\widehat{A}x$ with a vector whose nonzero entries are independent sums of scaled independent Bernoulli random variables, in order to shed the correlations introduced by the degrees in the normalization of $\widehat{A}$. We can write $\widehat{A} = \frac{1}{np}A + \widetilde{A}$, where $\widetilde{A}$ is a correction matrix. Then by the triangle inequality and Theorem 4, part 3, we have

$$\frac{1}{np}\|Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}Ax\| \leq \left\|Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}\widehat{A}x\right\| + \left\|Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}\widetilde{A}x\right\| \leq \left\|Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}\widehat{A}x\right\| + 4p^{-7/2}\frac{\left(\log(n)\right)^2}{n},$$

where in the application of Theorem 4, part 3 we used that $x \in \Lambda_W$ and so $\left|\left\langle x, \vec{1}\right\rangle\right| \leq (3/p)\log(n)/\sqrt{n}$. So for n large enough so that $p^{-5/2} \leq \frac{\delta\sqrt{n}}{\log n}$ holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(H_{W,x,\widehat{A}}\right) &\leq \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(\frac{1}{np}Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}Ax \in B\left(0, \frac{16}{p}\frac{\delta\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \\ &= \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}Ax \in B\left(0, 16\delta\sqrt{n}\log(n)\right)\right) \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(Q_{W^C}P_{W^C}Ax \in B\left(0, 16\delta\sqrt{n}\log(n)\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the fact that $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ with high probability. We are thus left with bounding this latter probability.

Define $Y := P_{W^C} Ax$. Note that $x_i = 0$ for all $i \in W^C$, so we can write $x = P_W x$, where P_W is the coordinate projection onto W. We have $Y_i = 0$ for $i \in W$, while for $i \in W^C$ we have $Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j = \sum_{j \in W} A_{ij} x_j$, that is, Y_i is a sum of scaled independent Bernoulli random variables. In order to bound the small ball probability for Y_i using the Littlewood-Offord-type estimate, we need to guarantee that x has many entries with large enough magnitude. Contrary to the proof in Section 4.2.1, we now do not have a bound on $\|x\|_\infty$, and so we cannot deduce this immediately. Instead, we use the fact that $\|x|_{S'(x)}\| \geq 1/20$. Recall that by definition this means that

$$\sum_{i:|x_i| \leq \frac{2 \log(n)}{n^{1/8}}} |x_i|^2 \geq (1/20)^2,$$

and since $\sum_{i:|x_i| \leq 1/n} |x_i|^2 \leq n \times (1/n^2) = 1/n$, for large enough n we have

$$\sum_{i:\frac{1}{n} \leq |x_i| \leq \frac{2 \log(n)}{n^{1/8}}} |x_i|^2 \geq 10^{-3}.$$

Define for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set of indices $S_\ell := \left\{ i \in [n] : |x_i| \in \left[2^{-(\ell+1)}, 2^{-\ell} \right) \right\}$. Then by the above we have

$$\sum_{\ell=\frac{1}{10} \log(n)}^{\log(n)} |S_\ell| 4^{-\ell} \geq 10^{-3},$$

and so there must exist an integer $\ell^* \in \left[\frac{1}{10} \log(n), \log(n) \right]$ such that $|S_{\ell^*}| 4^{-\ell^*} \geq 10^{-3}/\log(n)$. We can now apply Lemma 2 to the random variable $2^{\ell^*+1} Y_i$ with $m = \frac{4^{\ell^*}}{10^3 \log(n)}$ and $r = 2^{\ell^*+1} \times 16\delta \log(n)$ to get that

$$\mathcal{R}\left(Y_i, 16\delta \log(n)\right) = \mathcal{R}\left(2^{\ell^*+1} Y_i, 2^{\ell^*+1} 16\delta \log(n)\right) \leq \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \delta \left(\log(n)\right)^{3/2}$$

for some universal constant $C_1 > 0$.

Furthermore, the random variables $\{Y_i\}_{W^C}$ are independent, as we have already argued in Section 4.2.1. Thus, we can apply Lemma 3 to Y, with $t = 16\delta \log(n)$, $d = (1-\varepsilon)n$, $K = n^4$, $q = \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \delta \left(\log(n)\right)^{3/2}$, and $P = Q_{W^C}$, to get

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Q_{W^C} P_{W^C} Ax \in B\left(0, 16\delta\sqrt{n} \log(n)\right)\right) \leq \mathcal{R}\left(Q_{W^C} Y, 16\delta\sqrt{n} \log(n)\right)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{C_2}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \delta \left(\log(n)\right)^{3/2}\right)^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \times n^6$$

for some universal constant $C_2 > 0$. Thus the bound (22) is proven.

Step 6: Finally, we take a union bound to arrive at our result:

$$\overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(|v_2(i)| \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ for all } i \in W^C\right)$$

$$\overset{(20)}{\leq} \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(F_{W,v_2,\widehat{A}}\right) \overset{(21)}{\leq} \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(\cup_{x \in \Lambda_W} H_{W,x,\widehat{A}}\right) \leq |\Lambda_W| \max_{x \in \Lambda_W} \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(H_{W,x,\widehat{A}}\right)$$

$$\overset{(19)\wedge(22)}{\leq} 2n^6 \left(\frac{40}{\delta}\right)^{\varepsilon n} \times \left(\frac{C_2}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}\delta(\log(n))^{3/2}\right)^{(1-\varepsilon)n}$$

$$\leq \left(C_p'(\log(n))^{3/2}\right)^n \delta^{(1-2\varepsilon)n}$$

for some constant C_p' depending only on p. ∎

Using this lemma we now prove Theorem 2.

Proof Fix a constant $\eta > 0$, and let $\varepsilon = 1/2 - \eta$. We apply Lemma 5, and take a union bound over the possible subsets $W \subseteq [n]$ (of which there are at most 2^n). The lemma thus tells us that there exists a constant C such that, conditioned on $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, the probability that there exists a subset $W \subseteq [n]$ of size εn such that $|v_2(i)| \leq \delta/\sqrt{n}$ for all $i \in W^C$ is at most

$$(C \log(n))^{2n} \times \delta^{2\eta n}.$$

Now choosing $\delta := (\log(n))^{-2/\eta}$, we get that conditioned on $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, the probability that there are not at least $(1/2 - \eta)n$ entries of each eigenvector of $\widehat{A}$ of magnitude at least

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(\log(n))^{2/\eta}}$$

is at most $(C/\log(n))^{2n}$. Using Theorem 3 we know that $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$ asymptotically almost surely, and we are done. ∎

Acknowledgements

References

[1] S. Arora and A. Bhaskara. Eigenvectors of Random Graphs: Delocalization and Nodal Domains. Manuscript, 2011. `http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~bhaskara/files/deloc.pdf`.

[2] D. Braess. Über ein Paradoxon aus der Verkehrsplanung. *Unternehmensforschung*, 12(1):258–268, 1968. English translation in [3].

[3] D. Braess, A. Nagurney, and T. Wakolbinger. On a paradox of traffic planning. *Transportation Science*, 39(4):446–450, 2005.

[4] F. Chung, L. Lu, and V. Vu. The spectra of random graphs with given expected degrees. *PNAS*, 100:6313–6318, 2003.

[5] F. Chung and M. Radcliffe. On the spectra of general random graphs. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 18(1):P215, 2011.

[6] F. Chung and S. J. Young. Braess's Paradox in Large Sparse Graphs. In *Workshop on Internet and Network Economics (WINE)*, pages 194–208. Springer, 2010.

[7] F. Chung, S. J. Young, and W. Zhao. Braess's Paradox in Expanders. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 41(4):451–468, 2012.

[8] Y. Dekel, J. R. Lee, and N. Linial. Eigenvectors of random graphs: Nodal domains. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 39(1):39–58, 2011.

[9] L. Erdős, A. Knowles, H.-T. Yau, and J. Yin. Spectral statistics of Erdős–Rényi graphs I: local semicircle law. *The Annals of Probability*, 41(3B):2279–2375, 2013.

[10] P. Erdős. On a lemma of Littlewood and Offord. *Bulletin of American Mathematical Society*, 51:898–902, 1945.

[11] Z. Füredi and J. Komlós. The eigenvalues of random symmetric matrices. *Combinatorica*, 1(3):233–241, 1981.

[12] G. Halász. Estimates for the concentration function of combinatorial number theory and probability. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, 8(3–4):197–211, 1977.

[13] D. A. Levin, Y. Peres, and E. L. Wilmer. *Markov Chains and Mixing Times*. American Mathematical Society, 2009.

[14] J. E. Littlewood and A. C. Offord. On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation (iii). *Rec. Math. Mat. Sbornik N.S.*, 12(3):277–286, 1943.

[15] P. Mitra. Entrywise Bounds for Eigenvectors of Random Graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 16(1):R131, 2009.

[16] H. Nguyen, T. Tao, and V. Vu. Random matrices: tail bounds for gaps between eigenvalues. Preprint available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.00396`, 2015.

[17] H. Nguyen and V. Vu. Small Ball Probability, Inverse Theorems, and Applications. In L. Lovász, I. Z. Ruzsa, and V. T. Sós, editors, *Erdős Centennial*, volume 25 of *Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies*, pages 409–463. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.

[18] M. Rudelson and R. Vershynin. Delocalization of eigenvectors of random matrices with independent entries. Preprint available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2887`, 2013.

[19] M. Rudelson and R. Vershynin. Small ball probabilities for linear images of high-dimensional distributions. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, to appear, 2014.

[20] M. Rudelson and R. Vershynin. No-gaps delocalization for general random matrices. Preprint available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.04012`, 2015.

[21] R. Steinberg and W. I. Zangwill. The Prevalence of Braess's paradox. *Transportation Science*, 17(3):301–318, 1983.

[22] T. Tao and V. Vu. Random matrices: Universality of local eigenvalue statistics up to the edge. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 298:549–572, 2010.

[23] T. Tao and V. Vu. Random matrices: Universality of local eigenvalue statistics. *Acta Mathematica*, 206(1):127–204, 2011.

[24] T. Tao and V. Vu. Random covariance matrices: Universality of local statistics of eigenvalues. *Annals of Probability*, 40(3):1285–1315, 2012.

[25] L. V. Tran, V. H. Vu, and K. Wang. Sparse random graphs: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 42:110–134, 2013.

[26] G. Valiant and T. Roughgarden. Braess's Paradox in Large Random Graphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 37(4):495–515, 2010.

A   A formula for the Dirichlet form

The following calculation to simplify the expression for $f^T \mathcal{L}_{G_+} f$ in the proof of Lemma 1 is straightforward but slightly cumbersome. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^T \mathcal{L}_{G_+} f = & \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in E \\ \{i,j\} \cap \{u,v\} = \emptyset}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} f(i) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} f(j) \right)^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \sim u \\ j \neq v}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u + 1}} f(u) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} f(j) \right)^2 \\
& + \sum_{\substack{i \sim v \\ i \neq u}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_v + 1}} f(v) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} f(i) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u + 1}} f(u) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_v + 1}} f(v) \right)^2 \\
= & \ f^T \mathcal{L}_G f \\
& + \sum_{\substack{j \sim u \\ j \neq v}} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u + 1}} f(u) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} f(j) \right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u}} f(u) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} f(j) \right)^2 \right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i \sim v \\ i \neq u}} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_v + 1}} f(v) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} f(i) \right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_v}} f(v) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} f(i) \right)^2 \right\}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u + 1}} f(u) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_v + 1}} f(v) \right)^2$$

$$= \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) + 2 \frac{\sqrt{d_u + 1} - \sqrt{d_u}}{\sqrt{d_u + 1}} \sum_{\substack{j \sim u \\ j \neq v}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u d_j}} f(u) f(j)$$

$$+ 2 \frac{\sqrt{d_v + 1} - \sqrt{d_v}}{\sqrt{d_v + 1}} \sum_{\substack{i \sim v \\ i \neq u}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_v}} f(v) f(i) - \frac{2 f(u) f(v)}{\sqrt{(d_u + 1)(d_v + 1)}}.$$

Since f is the second eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}_G$, we have for every vertex $i \in V$ that

$$\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) f(i) = (\mathcal{L}_G f)(i) = f(i) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} \sum_{j \sim i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} f(j),$$

and so for every $i \in V$ we have

$$\sum_{j \sim i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}} f(i) f(j) = (1 - \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)) f(i)^2.$$

Thus we arrive at the expression in (3).

B   Additional properties of typical Erdős-Rényi random graphs

In this appendix we prove Theorem 4, which states that several additional spectral properties hold for typical instances of $G(n,p)$ (as defined in Definition 1). It is likely that these lemmas have been proven before and are well known; we include them here for completeness.

We first prove that the top eigenvectors of A and $\widehat{A}$ are close to $\vec{1}$ for typical instances of $G(n,p)$.

Lemma 6 *Let n and p be such that $p \in \left(\frac{10}{\sqrt{n}}, 1 \right)$. Let $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$. Then the top eigenvectors $v_1(A)$ and $v_1(\widehat{A})$ of the unnormalized and the symmetric normalized adjacency matrices are close to $\vec{1}$, in the following specific sense:*

$$\|v_1(A) - \vec{1}\|_2 \leq 2 \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{pn}}, \qquad and \qquad \|v_1(\widehat{A}) - \vec{1}\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}},$$

for all n large enough.

Proof The top eigenvector $v_1(\widehat{A})$ of $\widehat{A}$ is explicitly known, and so the corresponding statement is simple to prove. We know that for a node i, $v_1(\widehat{A})(i) = \sqrt{d_i} / \sqrt{\sum_j d_j}$, while

$\vec{1}(i) = 1/\sqrt{n}$. Since $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, we have that for all i, $np - \log(n)\sqrt{n} \leq d_i \leq np + \log(n)\sqrt{n}$, and that $\sum_j d_j \in [n^2 p - \log(n)n, n^2 p + \log(n)n]$. Using these estimates, we have that for all i,

$$\left| v_1(\widehat{A})(i) - \vec{1}(i) \right| \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{\log(n)}{n}.$$

This then directly implies that $\|v_1(\widehat{A}) - \vec{1}\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$.

The top eigenvector $v_1(A)$ of the unnormalized adjacency matrix A does not have an explicit formula. However, Mitra [15] proved nearly optimal entrywise bounds for $v_1(A)$, from which the desired result follows. ∎

The following lemma is an extension of the result above, showing that projecting A and $\widehat{A}$ onto the space orthogonal to $\vec{1}$ yields a matrix with smaller norm.

Lemma 7 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $S \subseteq [n]$, let P_S denote the coordinate projection onto S, and let Q_S denote the orthogonal projection onto the space orthogonal to $\vec{1}_S$. Let $p \in \left(\frac{10}{\sqrt{n}}, 1 \right)$, and let $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$. Then we have*

$$\|Q_S P_S \widehat{A}\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}, \qquad and \qquad \|Q_S P_S A\|_2 \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{p}} \log(n)$$

for all n large enough.

Proof The proofs for A and $\widehat{A}$ proceed identically; we give the proof only for $\widehat{A}$. Recall that for a matrix M, $\|M\|_2 = \sup_{x:\|x\|_2=1} \|Mx\|_2$.

For any unit vector x we can write $x = \alpha v_1(\widehat{A}) + \sqrt{1-\alpha^2} y$ for some $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ and some unit vector y that is orthogonal to $v_1(\widehat{A})$. By the triangle inequality we have that

$$\left\| Q_S P_S \widehat{A} x \right\|_2 \leq \left\| Q_S P_S \widehat{A} v_1(\widehat{A}) \right\|_2 + \left\| Q_S P_S \widehat{A} y \right\|_2,$$

and we bound each term separately. For the second term, we can use the submultiplicativity of the norm and the bounds on the eigenvalues of $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$:

$$\left\| Q_S P_S \widehat{A} y \right\| \leq \|Q_S\| \|P_S\| \left\| \widehat{A} y \right\| \leq \left\| \widehat{A} y \right\| \leq \max_{i \geq 2} \left| \lambda_i(\widehat{A}) \right| \leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{pn}}.$$

For the first term, note that $\widehat{A} v_1(\widehat{A}) = v_1(\widehat{A})$, and we then have that

$$\left\| Q_S P_S v_1(\widehat{A}) \right\| \leq \left\| Q_S P_S \vec{1} \right\| + \left\| Q_S P_S \left(v_1(\widehat{A}) - \vec{1} \right) \right\|$$

$$\leq 0 + \|Q_S\| \|P_S\| \left\| v_1(\widehat{A}) - \vec{1} \right\| \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 6. ∎

The next lemma shows that for any subset of coordinates $S \subseteq [n]$, the matrices $\frac{1}{np} Q_S P_S A$ and $Q_S P_S \widehat{A}$ behave similarly.

Lemma 8 *Let $n \geq 10$. Let $S \subseteq [n]$, let P_S denote the coordinate projection onto S, and let Q_S denote the orthogonal projection onto the space orthogonal to $\vec{1}_S$. Let $p \in \left(\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}, 1 \right)$ and let $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$. Then for any unit vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\langle x, \vec{1} \rangle| \leq \alpha$, we have that*

$$\| Q_S P_S \widehat{A} x - \tfrac{1}{np} Q_S P_S A x \| \leq 6 p^{-5/2} \frac{(\log(n))^2 + \alpha \sqrt{n} \log(n)}{n}.$$

Proof We can write

$$\widehat{A} = \left(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{np}} I + \widetilde{D} \right) A \left(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{np}} I + \widetilde{D} \right),$$

where $\widetilde{D}$ is a diagonal correction matrix with entries $\widetilde{D}_{ii} = 1/\sqrt{d_i} - 1/\sqrt{np}$. Since $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, $np - \sqrt{n} \log(n) \leq d_i \leq np + \sqrt{n} \log(n)$ for all i, and thus it follows that $\left| \widetilde{D}_{ii} \right| \leq \frac{1}{p^{3/2}} \frac{\log(n)}{n}$ for all i. By the triangle inequality we thus have that

$$\| Q_S P_S \widehat{A} x - \tfrac{1}{np} Q_S P_S A x \| \leq \| Q_S P_S \widetilde{D} A \widetilde{D} x \| + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \| Q_S P_S \widetilde{D} A x \| + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \| Q_S P_S A \widetilde{D} x \|,$$

and we bound each term separately.

The first term can be bounded simply by the submultiplicativity of the norm:

$$\begin{aligned}
\| Q_S P_S \widetilde{D} A \widetilde{D} x \| &\leq \| Q_S \| \| P_S \| \| \widetilde{D} \| \| A \| \| \widetilde{D} \| \| x \| \\
&\leq 1 \times 1 \times \left(\frac{1}{p^{3/2}} \frac{\log(n)}{n} \right) \times \left(np + \sqrt{n} \log(n) \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{p^{3/2}} \frac{\log(n)}{n} \right) \times 1 \\
&\leq \frac{2}{p^2} \frac{(\log(n))^2}{n},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses the fact that $p > \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$.

Next we bound the third term, which can also be done by the submultiplicativity of the norm, together with Lemma 7:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \| Q_S P_S A \widetilde{D} x \| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \| Q_S P_S A \| \| \widetilde{D} \| \| x \| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \left(\sqrt{\tfrac{n}{p}} \log(n) \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{p^{3/2}} \frac{\log(n)}{n} \right) \times 1 = p^{-5/2} \frac{(\log(n))^2}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we bound the second term. By submultiplicativity again, we have that

$$\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \| Q_S P_S \widetilde{D} A x \| \leq \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \| Q_S \| \| P_S \| \| \widetilde{D} \| \| A x \| \leq \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\log(n)}{n^{3/2}} \| A x \|,$$

so what remains is to bound $\| A x \|$. Let $\gamma := \left\langle x, \vec{1} \right\rangle$; by assumption $|\gamma| \leq \alpha$. We can then write $x = \gamma \vec{1} + \sqrt{1 - \gamma^2} z$ for some unit vector z that is orthogonal to $\vec{1}$. Then we have that

$$\| A x \| = |\gamma| \, \| A \vec{1} \| + \sqrt{1 - \gamma^2} \| A z \| \leq \alpha \left(np + \sqrt{n} \log(n) \right) + \| A z \| \leq 2 \alpha np + \| A z \|,$$

27

where the last inequality uses the fact that $p > \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$. So what remains is to bound $\|Az\|$. Let $\theta := \langle z, v_1(A) \rangle$. By Lemma 6 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have that

$$
|\theta| = |\langle z, v_1(A) \rangle| = \left| \left\langle z, \vec{1} \right\rangle + \left\langle z, v_1(A) - \vec{1} \right\rangle \right| = \left| 0 + \left\langle z, v_1(A) - \vec{1} \right\rangle \right|
$$

$$
\leq \|z\| \left\| v_1(A) - \vec{1} \right\| \leq 2 \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{pn}}.
$$

We can write $z = \theta v_1(A) + \sqrt{1 - \theta^2} y$ for some unit vector y that is orthogonal to $v_1(A)$. Then using the triangle inequality we have that

$$
\|Az\| \leq |\theta| \, \|A\| + \|Ay\| \leq \frac{2 \log(n)}{\sqrt{pn}} \left(np + \sqrt{n} \log(n) \right) + 3\sqrt{np(1-p)} \leq 4\sqrt{pn} \log(n),
$$

where the last inequality uses the fact that $p > \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$. Putting the previous displays together, we get that

$$
\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \| Q_S P_S \widetilde{D} A x \| \leq \frac{2 \log(n)}{p} \frac{\log(n)}{n} \left(\alpha \sqrt{n} + \tfrac{2}{\sqrt{p}} \log(n) \right).
$$

The bounds on the three terms put together concludes the proof. ∎

Lemma 9 *Fix $p \in (0, 1)$ and let $n \geq 10$. Let $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$. Then*

$$
\lambda_2(\widehat{A}) \geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{1 - p}{16\sqrt{np}}.
$$

Proof For convenience, write $\lambda_i \equiv \lambda_i(\widehat{A})$. We know that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = \text{Tr}(\widehat{A}) = 0$, because the diagonal entries of $\widehat{A}$ are all zero. Since $\lambda_1(\widehat{A}) = 1$, we then have

$$
\sum_{i>1} \lambda_i = -1.
$$

If $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, then we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = \text{Tr}(\widehat{A}^2) = \sum_{i,j \in [n]} \widehat{A}_{ij}^2 = 2 \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d_u d_v} \geq \frac{n^2 p - n \log(n)}{(np + \log(n) \cdot \sqrt{np})^2} = \frac{1}{p} \cdot (1 - o(1)).
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i^2 \leq \max_{i>1} |\lambda_i| \times \sum_{i=2}^{n} |\lambda_i| \leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{np}} \sum_{i=2}^{n} |\lambda_i|,
$$

where in the second inequality we used that $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$. Putting together the two previous displays we get that

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} |\lambda_i| \geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{n}(1-p)}{8\sqrt{p}}.
$$

28

Let k be such that $\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{k+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n < 0$. We then have

$$2n\lambda_2 \geq 2\sum_{i=2}^{k} \lambda_i = \sum_{i=2}^{n} |\lambda_i| + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i \geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{n}(1-p)}{8\sqrt{p}} - 1 = (1 - o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{n}(1-p)}{8\sqrt{p}},$$

and dividing by $2n$ gives the claim. ∎

Lemma 10 *Fix $n \geq 10$ and $p \in (0,1)$. Let $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$. Let v be a unit eigenvector of $\widehat{A}$ with eigenvalue λ, orthogonal to $v_1(\widehat{A})$. Fix $\alpha \in [0,1]$, and let $S = \{i \in [n] : |v(i)| \leq \alpha\}$. Then $\|P_S v\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{|\lambda|}{\lambda_2} - \frac{\log(n)}{\alpha^4 \lambda_2 np}\right)$.*

In particular, if $\Theta(\lambda_2) = \lambda = \Theta(n^{-1/2})$ and $\alpha \geq \frac{\log(n)}{(np)^{1/8}}$, then $\|P_S v\| = \Theta(1)$.

The analagous statement holds true for any eigenvector u of A with eigenvalue $O(n^{1/2})$.

Proof Let $B = \widehat{A} - v_1 v_1^T$, so that $\|B\| \leq \lambda_2(\widehat{A})$. Let $v = v_S + v_T$, where $T = S^C$. By definition,

$$|\lambda| = |\langle v, Bv \rangle| \leq |\langle v_S, Bv_S \rangle| + 2|\langle v_S, Bv_T \rangle| + |\langle v_T, Bv_T \rangle|.$$

The first two terms can be bounded above by $3\|v_S\|\|B\| \leq 3\lambda_2\|v_S\|$, using Cauchy-Schwarz.

For the final term, we note that $|T| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^2}$, and since for $G \in \mathcal{T}_{n,p}$, the entries of B are bounded above in magnitude by $\frac{\log(n)}{np}$, we have that $\langle v_T, Bv_T \rangle \leq |T|^2 \frac{\log(n)}{np} \leq \frac{\log(n)}{\alpha^4 np}$. Putting the bounds together, we have

$$|\lambda| \leq 3\lambda_2\|v_S\| + \frac{\log(n)}{\alpha^4 np},$$

and straightforward manipulation yields the result. ∎