

# On two conjectures by K. Kashihara on prime numbers

József Sándor

Babeş–Bolyai University of Cluj, Romania

jjsandor@hotmail.com

**Abstract.** We settle two conjectures posed by K. Kashihara in his book [2]. The first conjecture states that  $\prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) < \frac{1}{p_{n+1} - p_n}$  for all  $n$ ; while the second one that the sequence of general term  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i\right)^2$  is convergent. Here  $p_n$  denotes the  $n$ th prime. We will prove that the first conjecture is false for sufficiently large  $n$ . The second conjecture is true, the limit being zero.

**Keywords and phrases.** prime numbers, estimates on primes, convergence of sequences

**AMS Subject Classification.** 11A25, 11N37, 40A05

## 1 Introduction

Let  $p_n$  denote the  $n$ th prime number. In his book [2] K. Kashihara posed several conjectures and open problems. On page 45 it is conjectured the following inequality:

$$p_{n+1} - p_n < \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p_i}}, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (1)$$

A numerical evidence suggests that this inequality may be true for all values of  $n$ . However, as we will see, for large values of  $n$ , relation (1) cannot hold.

Another conjecture (see page 46) states that the sequence  $(x_n)$  of general term

$$x_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i\right)^2} \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (2)$$

is convergent, having a limit between 1,4 and 1,5. Though this sequence is indeed convergent, we will see that its limit is  $\rho = 0$ .

## 2 Proofs of theorems

An old theorem of F. Mertens (see e.g. [3], p.259) states that

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sim \frac{c}{\log x} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

where  $c = e^{-\gamma}$  ( $e$  and  $\gamma$  being the two Euler constants). Inequality (1) can be written also as

$$\prod_{p \leq p_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) < \frac{1}{p_{n+1} - p_n} \quad (4)$$

Since the first term of (4) is  $\sim \frac{c}{\log p_n}$ , if (4) would be true, then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  (fixed) and  $n \geq n_0$  we would obtain that  $\frac{1}{p_{n+1} - p_n} > \prod_{p \leq p_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) > \frac{c - \varepsilon}{\log p_n}$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{c}{2} > 0$ . Then  $\frac{c}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\log p_n} < \frac{1}{p_{n+1} - p_n}$ , so  $b_n = \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n} < \frac{2}{c} = K$ . This means that the sequence of general term ( $b_n$ ) is bounded above. On the other hand, a well-known theorem by E. Westzynthius (see [3], p. 256) states that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = +\infty$ , i.e. the sequence ( $b_n$ ) is unbounded. This finishes the proof of the first part.

For the proof of convergence of ( $x_n$ ) given by (2), we shall apply the result

$$\sum_{p \leq x} p^\alpha \sim \frac{x^{1+\alpha}}{(1+\alpha)\log x} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \ (\alpha \geq 0) \quad (5)$$

due to T. Salát and S. Znám (see [3], p. 257). We note that for  $\alpha = 1$ , relation (5) was discovered first by E. Landau. Now, letting  $\alpha = 1$ , resp.  $\alpha = 2$  in (5), we can write:

$$\sum_{p \leq p_n} p \sim \frac{p_n^2}{2 \log p_n} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty; \quad (6)$$

and

$$\sum_{p \leq p_n} p^2 \sim \frac{p_n^3}{3 \log p_n} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Thus, } x_n = \left[ \left( \sum_{p \leq p_n} p^2 \right) \cdot \frac{3 \log p_n}{p_n^3} \cdot \frac{p_n^4}{\left( \sum_{p \leq p_n} p \right)^2 \cdot 4 \log^2 p_n} \right] \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\log p_n}{p_n}.$$

By (6) and (7), the limit of term [...] is 1. Since  $\frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\log p_n}{p_n} \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ . This finishes the proof of the second part.

## Remarks

1) An extension of (5) is due to M. Kalecki [1]:

Let  $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary function having the following properties:

a)  $f(x) > 0$ ; b)  $f(x)$  is a non-decreasing function; c) for each  $n > 0$ ,  $\varphi(n) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(nx)}{f(x)}$  exists.

Put  $s = \log \varphi(e)$ . Then

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) \sim \frac{f(x) \cdot x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{1}{s+1} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

For  $f(x) = x^\alpha$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ ) we get  $\varphi(n) = n^\alpha$ , so  $s = \alpha$  and relation (5) is reobtained. We note that for  $\alpha = 0$ , relation (5) implies the "prime number theorem" ([3])

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 =$  number of primes  $\leq x$ .

2) By letting  $f(x) = (g(x))^\alpha$ , where  $g$  satisfies conditions a) – c) a general sequence of terms  $x_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (g(p_i))^\alpha}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g(p_i)\right)^\alpha}$  may be studied (via (8)) in a similar manner. We omit the details.

## References

- [1] M. Kalecki, *On certain sums extended over primes or prime factors*, Prace Mat. **8**(1963/64), 121–127
- [2] K. Kashihara, *Comments and topics on Smarandache notions and problems*, Erhus Univ. Press, USA (1996)
- [3] J. Sándor et al., *Handbook of number theory I*, Springer Verlag, 2005