# Three hydrates of the bisphosphonate risedronate, consisting of one molecular and two ionic structures 
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Three different hydrates of risedronate were obtained by varying the pH of a solution containing the compound. At the pH values used, the N atom of the pyridine group is protonated and the compounds are zwitterionic. Crystals obtained directly from the synthesis resulted in risedronate monohydrate, or [1-hydroxy-1-phosphono-2-(pyridinium-3yl)ethyl]phosphonate monohydrate, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (I), in which just one phosphonate group is negatively charged. Recrystallizations at pH values of 2 and 4 yielded risedronate dihydrate, or sodium [1-hydroxy-2-(pyridinium-3-yl)ethane-1,2-diyl]bis(phosphonate) dihydrate, $\mathrm{Na}^{+} . \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}^{-}$.$2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (II). Finally, recrystallizations at pH values of 7 and 8 produced risedronate 2.5 -hydrate, or sodium [1-hydroxy-2-(pyridinium-3-yl)ethane-1,2-diyl]bis(phosphonate) 2.5-hydrate, $\mathrm{Na}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{-} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (III). At these four pH values, both phosphonate groups in (II) and (III) are negatively charged and coordinated to an $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion. Crystals of (II), i.e. those grown at pH values of 2 and 4 , have isomorphous polymeric ion aggregate structures with geminal phosphonate and alcohol groups coordinated to the same $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ ion. On the other hand, crystals of (III), i.e. those grown at pH values of 7 and 8 , have isomorphism polymeric ion aggregate structures with geminal phosphonate and alcohol groups coordinated to different $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions.

## Comment

Risedronate (Actonel; Procter \& Gamble) is a potent inhibitor of osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and has found widespread use in the treatment of osteoporosis. More recently, risedronate has also been shown to have antiparasitic properties, in particular against the organisms which cause sleeping sickness, Chagas' disease, leishmanasis, toxoplasmosis and cryptosporidiosis (Martin et al., 2001; Urbina et al., 1999; Moreno et al., 2001). With the knowledge that several million people contract these diseases worldwide each year, it is important to explore the structural origins of bisphosphonate activity against these parasites. Only one structural form of
risedronate, one of the most potent antiparasitic and antiresorptive agents, has been published to date (Barbey \& Lecouvey, 2002). Here, the structures of three different forms of risedronate, viz. (I), (II) and (III), are reported.
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All three hydrates exist as zwitterions, a common characteristic of bisphosphonates (Vega et al., 1996, 1998). The monohydrate, (I) (Fig. 1), has an overall charge of zero, with one positively charged N atom and one negatively charged phosphonate group (Barbey \& Lecouvey, 2002). The dihydrate, (II) (Fig. 2), and the 2.5-hydrate, (III) (Fig. 3), both have an overall charge of -1 , again with a positively charged N atom but with two negatively charged phosphonate groups. These protonation states are correlated with the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths.

Fig. 4 shows a histogram of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances observed in these three hydrates, along with those in two other bisphosphonate structures explored recently (isozoledronate and incadronate; Gossman et al., 2002; Van Brussel et al., 2003), together with values found in 62 three-dimensional X-ray crystal structures of similar phosphonate-containing compounds that are listed in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 1.4; Allen, 2002). The two histograms are very similar and show a clear bimodal distribution. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in which the O atom is unprotonated are between 1.47 and $1.53 \AA$ long, but this increases to $1.54-1.60 \AA$ if the O atom is protonated.


Figure 1
A view of the molecule of (I), showing $35 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Secondary sites for the disordered water molecule, O9, have been omitted for clarity.


Figure 2
A view of the molecule of (II), showing $35 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

Using this description to inspect the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths in the three hydrates studied here (Tables 1, 3 and 5), compound $(\mathrm{I})$, with $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=1.548(2), \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=1.524(2)$ and $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=$ 1.494 (2) $\AA$, and $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 4=1.479$ (2), $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 5=1.555$ (2) and $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 6=1.562(2) \AA$, shows three protonated O atoms on the phosphonate groups, namely O1, O5 and O6, and three unprotonated O atoms, namely $\mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 3$, and O 4 , leading to the conclusion that atom P 1 is negatively charged, while atom P 2 is neutral. Inspection of (II), with $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=1.513(2)$, $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=1.514$ (2) and $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=1.579$ (2) $\AA$, and $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 4=$ 1.515 (2), $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 5=1.495$ (2) and $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 6=1.600(2) \AA$, results in only two protonated O atoms, namely O3 and O6,


Figure 3
A view of the molecule of (III), showing $35 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Secondary sites for the disordered positions O10, O11, O12 and Na2 have been omitted for clarity.
and four unprotonated O atoms, namely $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 4$ and O 5 , showing that both phosphonate groups in (II) carry a -1 charge, unlike (I). Analysis of (III), with $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=1.510$ (1), $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=1.510$ (1) and $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=1.566$ (1) $\AA$, and $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 4=$ 1.498 (1), $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 5=1.509$ (1) and $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 6=1.581$ (1) $\AA$, shows that the phosphonate groups have the same protonation state as in (II).

The conformation of risedronate in all three hydrates is very similar. The $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{P} 2$ angles are nearly identical [113.2 (2), 113.2 (2) and 111.8 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ in (I), (II) and (III), respectively], and the $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ torsion angles between the phosphonate group and the ring are also similar [57.6 (3), 61.8 (3) and $53.8(1)^{\circ}$ in (I), (II) and (III), respectively]. One noticeable difference found in the structures is a rotation of almost $180^{\circ}$ of the ring in the dihydrate compared with the mono- and $2.5-$ hydrates, as reflected in the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ torsion angles of the C atom closest to the N atom [82.9 (4), -97.2 (3) and $68.2(1)^{\circ}$ in (I), (II) and (III), respectively].

The main distinguishing structural feature between these three hydrates lies in their ion aggregate propagation. In the monohydrate, only the hydrogen-bonding network interconnects the host bisphosphonate molecules (Table 2). In the dihydrate, (II), atoms O1, O5 and O7 coordinate to Na 1 , atom O5 coordinates to a site on an inversion-related Na , and atom O 2 bridges another Na through a different inversion centre (Table 4). The ion aggregate propagates along the $a$ axis. In the 2.5-hydrate, (III), atoms O1 and O5 coordinate to Na1, atom O 7 coordinates to the terminal site on a $c$-glide-related Na atom, and water molecule O 8 bridges two Na atoms related by an inversion centre (Table 6). The ion aggregate propagates parallel to the $b c$ plane. All three conformations show an extensive hydrogen-bonding network, with each structure having at least ten hydrogen bonds.


Figure 4
Histograms of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths in compounds in the Cambridge Structural Database (black; see text for details), and those measured in bisphosphonates (white).

## Experimental

For (I), crystals were obtained directly from the synthesis mixture. For (II), crystals of (I) were recrystallized by vapour diffusion of ethanol into a buffered (glycine-HCl, $\mathrm{pH}=2$ or 4 ) aqueous solution. For (III), crystals of (I) were recrystallized by vapour diffusion of ethanol into a buffered [Tris-HCl, $\mathrm{pH}=7$ or 8 ; Tris is 2 -amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol] aqueous solution.

## Compound (I)

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=301.12$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1 /} / n$
$a=7.1219$ (15) $\AA$
$b=10.694(2) \AA$
$c=14.710$ (3) $\AA$
$\beta=101.996$ (4) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1095.9$ (4) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.825 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 940 reflections
$\theta=3.4-27.4^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.43 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=193$ (2) K
Plate, colorless
$0.15 \times 0.08 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker Platform CCD area-detector diffractometer
Profile data from $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: by integration (XPREP in
SHELXTL; Bruker, 2001)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.936, T_{\text {max }}=0.991$
8978 measured reflections
2236 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.043$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.108$
$S=1.01$
2236 reflections
200 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

1534 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.079$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=26.4^{\circ}$
$h=-8 \rightarrow 8$
$k=-13 \rightarrow 13$
$l=-18 \rightarrow 18$
100 standard reflections frequency: 731 min intensity decay: $1 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0469 P)^{2}\right. \\
\quad+0.5123 P] \\
\text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.46 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
\Delta \rho_{\min }=
\end{array}-_{0.37 \mathrm{e}^{-3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Compound (II)

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{Na}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=341.12$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=7.663$ (4) A
$b=8.039(4) \AA$
$c=10.770$ (5) $\AA$
$\alpha=93.655(8)^{\circ}$
$\beta=95.277(9)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=96.017(8)^{\circ}$
$V=655.2(6) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.729 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 914 reflections
$\theta=3.1-27.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.41 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=193$ (2) K
Tabular, colorless
$0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker Platform CCD area-detector diffractometer
Profile data from $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: by
integration ( $X P R E P$ in
SHELXTL; Bruker, 2001)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.935, T_{\text {max }}=0.976$
4297 measured reflections
2586 independent reflections
2007 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.027$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w= 1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0513 P)^{2}\right. \\
&+0.2778 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.50 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.31 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.040$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.100$
$S=1.02$
2586 reflections
237 parameters
All H -atom parameters refined
$\theta_{\text {max }}=26.3^{\circ}$
$h=-9 \rightarrow 9$
$k=-9 \rightarrow 9$
$l=-13 \rightarrow 12$
104 standard reflections frequency: 490 min intensity decay: $2 \%$

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (I).

| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $1.548(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $1.479(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $1.524(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $1.555(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $1.494(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $1.562(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $113.20(15)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $117.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $57.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $82.9(4)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ) for (I).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.91 (3) | 1.94 (4) | 2.847 (4) | 172 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.84 (3) | 1.66 (4) | 2.496 (6) | 172 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 9^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.84 (3) | 1.61 (4) | 2.355 (18) | 147 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{iiii}}$ | 0.80 (4) | 1.84 (4) | 2.634 (3) | 174 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.76 (4) | 1.91 (4) | 2.661 (3) | 172 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{H} 7 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3^{\text {v }}$ | 0.78 (3) | 1.96 (4) | 2.730 (3) | 168 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 84 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {v }}$ | 0.84 (3) | 1.87 (3) | 2.704 (5) | 170 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 B \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.85 (3) | 1.83 (3) | 2.675 (5) | 174 (5) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $1+x, y, z$; (iii) $2-x, 2-y, 2-z$; (iv) $x-1, y, z ;(\mathrm{v}) \frac{3}{2}-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-z ;$ (vi) $\frac{1}{2}-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-z$.

Table 3
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$ for (II).

| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $1.5134(19)$ | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $1.515(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $1.514(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $1.495(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $1.579(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $1.600(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $113.21(14)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $114.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $61.8(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $-97.2(3)$ |

Table 4
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ ) for (II).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.79 (3) | 1.82 (3) | 2.613 (3) | 177 (4) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.97 (4) | 1.63 (4) | 2.592 (3) | 173 (3) |
| O6-H6A . ${ }^{\text {O }} 9$ | 0.80 (3) | 1.86 (3) | 2.656 (3) | 178 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{H} 7 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.81 (3) | 2.00 (3) | 2.791 (3) | 166 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 84 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.89 (3) | 2.03 (3) | 2.902 (3) | 165 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.89 (3) | 2.63 (4) | 3.124 (3) | 116 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.93 (3) | 2.25 (4) | 2.797 (3) | 116 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.93 (3) | 2.55 (4) | 3.283 (3) | 136 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 9-\mathrm{H} 9 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.90 (3) | 1.81 (3) | 2.696 (3) | 165 (5) |
| O9-H9B $\cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {v }}$ | 0.84 (3) | 2.13 (3) | 2.914 (3) | 156 (4) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $1-x,-y, 2-z$; (ii) $-x,-y, 1-z$; (iii) $1-x, 1-y, 2-z$; (iv)
$1+x, y, z ;(\mathrm{v})-x, 1-y, 1-z$.

## Compound (III)

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{Na}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{-} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$<br>$M_{r}=350.13$<br>Monoclinic, $C 2 / c$<br>$a=21.664$ (7) $\AA$<br>$b=8.930$ (3) $\AA$<br>$c=15.123(5) \AA$<br>$\beta=114.692$ (5) ${ }^{\circ}$<br>$V=2658.3(14) \AA^{3}$<br>$Z=8$

## Data collection

Bruker Platform CCD area-detector diffractometer
Profile data from $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: by
integration (XPREP in
SHELXTL; Bruker, 2001)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.886, T_{\text {max }}=0.977$
13009 measured reflections
2435 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.030$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.082$
$S=1.05$
2435 reflections
298 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$D_{x}=1.750 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 963 reflections
$\theta=2.5-28.2^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.41 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=193(2) \mathrm{K}$
Plate, colorless
$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}$

2142 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.035$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.4^{\circ}$
$h=-26 \rightarrow 26$
$k=-10 \rightarrow 10$
$l=-18 \rightarrow 18$
161 standard reflections frequency: 458 min intensity decay: none

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /[ \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0468 P)^{2} \\
&+2.8440 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.043 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.37 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.35 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 5
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) for (III).

| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $1.5098(6)$ | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $1.4983(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $1.5104(7)$ | $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $1.5089(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $1.5657(6)$ | $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $1.5808(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $111.84(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $116.69(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $-53.84(7)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $-68.20(10)$ |

Table 6
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$ for (III).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.880 (9) | 1.765 (9) | 2.6440 (11) | 177.7 (8) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 5^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.765 (9) | 1.745 (9) | 2.5002 (8) | 168.9 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.736 (9) | 1.922 (9) | 2.6538 (10) | 172.5 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{H} 7 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.827 (9) | 1.954 (9) | 2.7487 (10) | 161.0 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.846 (11) | 1.957 (13) | 2.7671 (13) | 160.1 (17) |
| O8-H8A . O 1 | 0.868 (11) | 2.106 (15) | 2.7339 (13) | 128.7 (14) |
| $\mathrm{O} 9-\mathrm{H} 9 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.822 (13) | 2.253 (12) | 2.9671 (15) | 145.4 (11) |
| $\mathrm{O} 9-\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O} 10^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.832 (10) | 2.013 (11) | 2.7596 (16) | 149.1 (17) |
| $\mathrm{O} 10-\mathrm{H} 10 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.841 (13) | 1.879 (14) | 2.6914 (15) | 161.9 (15) |
| $\mathrm{O} 10-\mathrm{H} 10 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {v }}$ | 0.848 (13) | 2.081 (14) | 2.9097 (15) | 165.5 (11) |
| $\mathrm{O} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.841 (14) | 2.017 (13) | 2.7575 (18) | 146.4 (11) |
| O11-H11B $\cdots$ O1 | 0.863 (12) | 1.946 (13) | 2.7972 (17) | 168.6 (16) |
| $\mathrm{O} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.867 (14) | 2.130 (12) | 2.9219 (15) | 151.7 (17) |
| $\mathrm{O} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.845 (11) | 2.167 (11) | 2.9804 (15) | 161.4 (17) |

In (I), the solvate water molecule was disordered over two general positions. Owing to high correlations, donor H -atom positions were refined under restraint to idealized $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ distances, with
an s.u. of $0.03 \AA$, and $\mathrm{H}-R$ distances for the water molecules were also restrained (s.u. $=0.06 \AA$ ). The remaining $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} H$ atoms were included as riding atoms, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ of their parent atoms. Disordered $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances were restrained to equivalent idealized values, with an effective s.u. of $0.03 \AA$..

For (II), owing to high correlations, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances were restrained to equivalent idealized values, with an s.u. of $0.04 \AA$, and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{R}$ distances for the water molecules were also restrained (s.u. $=0.04 \AA$ ). The remaining H -atom parameters were refined independently.

For (III), the uncoordinated solvate water molecule was disordered about the twofold axis. The proposed model also included two disordered positions for the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion, in addition to the bridging and terminal water ligands. Owing to high correlations, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances and angles for the disordered water molecules were restrained to equivalent idealized values, with s.u. values of $0.03 \AA$ and $0.04^{\circ}$, respectively. Displacement parameters for H atoms bound to the same disordered $O$ atom were restrained to be similar (s.u. $=0.01 \AA^{2}$ ). The remaining H -atom parameters were refined independently without restraints. The highest peaks in the final difference Fourier maps for all three compounds were located along the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds.

For all compounds, data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2001); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: CIFTAB in SHELXL97-2 (Sheldrick, 2001).
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