

# On an inequality of Klamkin

József Sándor

Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj, Romania

jjsandor@hotmail.com

**Abstract.** Connections of an inequality of Klamkin with Stolarsky means and convexity are shown. An application to arithmetical functions is given.

**Keywords and phrases:** Means and their inequalities, log-convex functions, arithmetical functions.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 11A25, 11N37, 26A51, 26D99.

## 1 Introduction

In 1974 M. S. Klamkin [3] proved the following result: Let  $x$  be a non-negative real number, and  $m, n$  integers with  $m \geq n \geq 1$ . Then

$$(m+n)(1+x^m) \geq 2n \frac{1-x^{m+n}}{1-x^n}. \quad (1)$$

We note that for  $x = 1$ , the right side of (1) is understood as  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1}$ , when the inequality becomes an equality. Also, for  $x = 0$  (1) becomes  $m+n \geq 2n$ , which is true. For  $m = n$  there is equality in (1). In fact, it can be shown that for all real numbers  $m > n > 0$ , and all  $x > 0$ , (1) holds true with strict inequality (see the solutions of (1) in [1]).

Assume now that  $x = a \geq 1$ ,  $m = p$ ,  $n = q$ , where  $p \geq q \geq 0$  are real numbers. Then, since

$$(1 + a^p)(1 - a^q) = a^p - a^q + 1 - a^{p+q},$$

after some transformations, (1) becomes equivalent to

$$(p - q)(a^{p+q} - 1) \geq (p + q)(a^p - a^q). \quad (2)$$

In the case of  $p - q \leq 1$ , a weaker result than (2) appears in the famous monograph by D. S. Mitronović [4] (3.6.26, page 276).

For certain arithmetical applications of Klamkin's inequality, see [5].

In what follows we will point out some surprising connections of inequality (2) (i.e., in fact (1)) with certain special means of two arguments. Also, a new application of (1) will be given.

## 2 Stolarsky means

Let  $m, n > 0$  and put  $p + q = m$ ,  $p - q = n$ . Then  $p = \frac{m + n}{2}$ ,  $q = \frac{m - n}{2}$  and (2) gives

$$\frac{a^m - 1}{a^n - 1} > \frac{m}{n} a^{(m-n)/2}. \quad (3)$$

By letting  $a = \frac{x}{y}$  ( $x > y > 0$ ), relation (3) may be written also as

$$\left( \frac{x^m - y^m}{x^n - y^n} \cdot \frac{n}{m} \right)^{1/(m-n)} > \sqrt{xy}. \quad (4)$$

If  $n = 1$ , the expression on the left side of (4) is called as the **Stolarsky mean** of  $x$  and  $y$ . Put

$$S(m) = S(x, y, m) = \left( \frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y} \cdot \frac{1}{m} \right)^{1/(m-1)}.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $S$  can be defined also for all real numbers  $m \notin \{0, 1\}$ , while for  $m = 0$ , and  $m = 1$ , by the limits

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow 0} S(x, y, m) = \frac{x - y}{\ln x - \ln y}$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow 1} S(x, y, m) = \frac{1}{e} (y^y/x^x)^{1/(y-x)} \quad (y \neq x),$$

the definition of  $S$  can be extended to all real numbers  $m$ .

Let

$$L(x, y) = \frac{x - y}{\ln x - \ln y}, \quad I(x, y) = \frac{1}{e} (y^y/x^x)^{1/(y-x)} \quad (x \neq y),$$

$$L(x, x) = I(x, x) = x.$$

These means are known as the **logarithmic** and **identric means** of  $x$  and  $y$  (see e.g. [8] for their properties). Stolarsky [10] has proved that  $S$  is a strictly increasing function of  $m$ . Therefore  $S(-1) < S(0) < S(1) < S(2)$ , giving

$$\sqrt{xy} < L(x, y) < I(x, y) < \frac{x + y}{2}. \quad (5)$$

Since  $S(-1) < S(0) < S(m)$  for  $m > 0$ , we get

$$\sqrt{xy} < L(x, y) < \left( \frac{x^m - y^m}{m(x - y)} \right)^{1/(m-1)}, \quad (6)$$

which is an improvement of (4), when  $n = 1$ .

### 3 Main results

We shall prove that the following refinement of (4) holds true:

**Theorem 1.**

$$\sqrt{xy} < (L(x^{m-n}, y^{m-n}))^{1/(m-n)} < \left( \frac{x^m - y^m}{x^n - y^n} \cdot \frac{n}{m} \right)^{1/(m-n)} \quad (m > n). \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** Put  $f(x) = \frac{a^x - 1}{x}$  ( $x > 0$ ), where  $a > 1$ ; and let  $\varphi(p) = \frac{f(p+q)}{f(p-q)}$  ( $p > q > 0$ ), where  $q$  is fixed. We first show that  $\varphi$  is strictly increasing function. Since

$$\varphi'(p) = \frac{f'(p+q)f(p-q) - f'(p-q)f(p+q)}{f^2(p-q)},$$

it will be sufficient to prove that  $\frac{f'(p+q)}{f(p+q)} > \frac{f'(p-q)}{f(p-q)}$ . Since  $p+q > p-q$ , this will follow, if  $f'/f = g$  is an increasing function. By

$$g'(t) = (f'(t)/f(t))' = \frac{f''(t)f(t) - (f'(t))^2}{f^2(t)},$$

it will be sufficient to show that  $f$  is strictly log-convex (i.e.  $\ln f$  is strictly convex).

**Lemma.** *The function  $f$  is strictly log-convex.*

**Proof.** After certain simple computations (which we omit here), it follows that

$$f'(t) = \frac{ta^t \ln t - (a^t - 1)}{t^2},$$

$$f''(t) = \frac{t^2 a^t \ln^2 a - 2ta^t \ln a + 2a^t - 2}{t^3},$$

and

$$f''(t)f(t) - (f'(t))^2 = \frac{a^{2t} - 2a^t - t^2 a^t \ln^2 a + 1}{t^4}$$

$$= \frac{(a^t - 10\sqrt{a^t} \ln a^t)(a^t - 1 + \sqrt{a^t} \ln a^t)}{t^4}.$$

Put  $a^t = h$ . Then  $h - 1 - \sqrt{h} \ln h > 0$ , since  $\frac{h-1}{\ln h} > \sqrt{h}$  by  $L(h, 1) > \sqrt{h}$  (left side of (5)). This proves the log-convexity property of  $f$  for  $a > 1$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is strictly increasing, one can write

$$\varphi(p) > \lim_{p \rightarrow q, p > q} f(p+q)/f(p-q) = \frac{a^{2q} - 1}{2q \ln a}.$$

Write  $p + q = m$ ,  $p - q = n$ ,  $a = \frac{x}{y}$ , and the right side of (7) follows.

For the left side of (7) remark that again by the left side of (5) one has

$$L(x^{m-n}, y^{m-n}) > \sqrt{x^{m-n}y^{m-n}} = (xy)^{(m-n)/2},$$

which implies the desired inequality.

**Remark.**  $\varphi$  being strictly increasing, it follows also that

$$\varphi(p) < \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^{p+q} - 1}{a^{p-q} - 1} \cdot \frac{p - q}{p + q} = a^{2q},$$

i.e.

$$(p - q)(a^{p+q} - 1) \leq (p + q)a^{2q}(a^{p-q} - 1), \quad (8)$$

which is complementary to (2).

## 4 Arithmetical applications

A divisor  $d$  of  $N$  is called **unitary divisor** of the positive integer  $N > 1$ , if  $(d, N/d) = 1$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , let  $\sigma_k(N)$  resp.  $\sigma_k^*(N)$  denote the sum of  $k$ th powers of divisors, resp. unitary divisors of  $N$ . Remark that  $\sigma_0(N) = d(N)$ ,  $\sigma_0^*(N) = d^*(N)$  are the number of these divisors of  $N$ . It is well-known that (see e.g. [3], [9]) if the prime factorization of  $N$  is

$$N = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$$

( $p_i$  distinct primes,  $a_i \geq 1$  integers), then

$$\sigma_k(N) = \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^{k(a_i+1)} - 1)/(p_i^k - 1), \quad d(N) = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i + 1), \quad (9)$$

$$\sigma_k^*(N) = \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^{ka_i} + 1), \quad d^*(N) = 2^r (= 2^{\omega(N)}),$$

where  $\omega(r) = r$  denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of  $N$ .

Write now (1), and a reverse of it (see [1]) in the form

$$2n \frac{x^{m+n} - 1}{x^n - 1} \leq (m+n)(1+x^m) \leq 2m \frac{x^{m+n} - 1}{x^n - 1}, \quad (10)$$

where  $x > 1$ ,  $m \geq n \geq 1$ . Put  $n = k$ ,  $m = ka_i$ ,  $x = p_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ).

Writing (10), after term-by-term multiplication, we get

$$2^{\omega(N)} \sigma_k(N) \leq d(N) \sigma_k^*(N) \leq 2^{\omega(N)} \beta(N) \sigma_k(N), \quad (11)$$

where  $\beta(N) = \prod_{i=1}^r a_i$  (for this, and the other functions, too, see e.g. [6], [9]). The left side of (11) appears also in [5]. Now, remarking that

$$2^{\omega(N)} \beta(N) = \prod_{i=1}^r (2a_i) \leq \prod_{i=1}^r 2^{a_i} = 2^{\Omega(N)},$$

where  $\Omega(N)$  denotes the total number of prime factors of  $N$  (we have used the classical inequality  $2^{a-1} \geq a$  for all  $a \geq 1$ ), relation (11) implies also

$$2^{\omega(N)} \leq \frac{d(N) \sigma_k^*(N)}{\sigma_k(N)} \leq 2^{\Omega(N)}. \quad (12)$$

**Theorem 2.** *The normal order of magnitude of*

$$\log(d(N) \sigma_k^*(N) / \sigma_k(N))$$

*is*  $(\log 2)(\log \log N)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P$  be a property in the set of positive integers and set  $a_p(n) = 1$  if  $n$  has the property  $P$ ;  $a_p(n) = 0$ , otherwise. Let  $A_p(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} a_p(n)$ . If  $A_p(x) \sim x$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ) we say that the property  $P$  holds for almost all natural numbers. We say that the normal order of magnitude of the arithmetical function  $f(n)$  is the function  $g(n)$ , if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the inequality  $|f(n) - g(n)| < \varepsilon g(n)$  holds true for almost all positive integers  $n$ .

By a well-known result of Hardy and Ramanujan (see e.g. [2], [4], [6]), the normal order of magnitude of  $\omega(N)$  and  $\Omega(N)$  is  $\log \log N$ . By (12) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \varepsilon)(\log \log N) < \omega(N) &\leq \frac{1}{\log 2} \log d(N) \sigma_k^*(N) / \sigma_k(N) \\ &\leq \Omega(N) < (1 + \varepsilon) \lg \lg N \end{aligned}$$

for almost all  $N$ , so Theorem 2 follows.

**Acknowledgements.** The author thanks Professor Klamkin for sending him a copy of [1] and for his interest in applications of his inequality.

## References

- [1] J. M. Brown, M. S. Klamkin, B. Lepson, R. K. Meany, A. Stenger, P. Zwier, *Solutions to Problem E2483*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **82**(1975), 758-760.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1960.
- [3] M. S. Klamkin, *Problem E2483*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **81**(1974), 660.
- [4] E. Krätzel, *Zahlentheorie*, Berlin, 1981.
- [5] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic inequalities*, Springer Verlag, 1970.
- [6] D. S. Mitrinović, J. Sándor (in coop. with B. Crstici), *Handbook of number theory*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1995.
- [7] J. Sándor, *On an inequality of Klamkin with arithmetical applications*, Int. J. Math. E. Sci. Techn., **25**(1994), 157-158.

- [8] J. Sándor, *On the identric and logarithmic means*, Aequationes Math., **40**(1990), 261-270.
- [9] J. Sándor (in coop. with B. Crstici), *Handbook of number theory*, II, Springer Verlag, 2005.
- [10] K. B. Stolarsky, *The power and generalized logarithmic means*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **87**(1980), 545-548.