# **Environmental Load Evaluation of Reuse Parts for Automobiles**

Masato IWASAKI<sup>\*1</sup>, Mitsunobu FUJITA<sup>\*1, 3</sup>, Takao MORI<sup>\*2</sup>, Akihiko SASAKI<sup>\*2</sup>, Motohiro TAMAKI<sup>\*3</sup>, Akihiko SANO<sup>\*3</sup>,

Hironori TANI<sup>\*3</sup>, Akihiro HAYAKAWA<sup>\*3</sup>, and Masato INOUE<sup>\*4</sup>

- \*1 Department of Mechanical Engineering Informatics, Meiji University 1-1-1 Higashi-mita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki 214-8571, Japan bring.them.2.daylight@gmail.com
- \*2 Department of Mechanical Systems Engineering, Toyama Prefectural University 5180 Kurokawa, Izumi 939-0398, Japan tmori@pu-toyama.ac.jp
- \*3 NGP Corporation

3-25-33 Takanawa, Minato-ku 108-0074, Japan

- hayakawa@ngp.co.jp
  - Department of Mechanical Engineering Informatics, Meiji University
  - 1-1-1 Higashi-mita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki 214-8571, Japan

m\_inoue@meiji.ac.jp

# Abstract

\*4

Reuse parts are parts removed from scrap automobiles that can be still used. In general, reuse parts reduce not only the cost for replacement of failed parts but also the environmental load. This study quantitatively evaluates environmental loads, such as the amount of CO2 emission during the production of brand new parts, in order to quantify the beneficial effect of the reuse parts. The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission can be calculated from the power consumption and operating time of each tool and machine employed. Reuse parts generate  $0.62 \text{ kg of } \text{CO}_2$ per automobile when produced, which corresponds to 1,212 kg per year. However, the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted from scrapping automobiles without producing new replacement parts is 3,063 kg per year. Therefore, the production of replacement parts emits three times less CO<sub>2</sub> than scrapping.

Keywords: environmental load, automobile, reuse parts, disassembly

# 1 Introduction

Reuse of old car parts has gained much attention recently. When scrapping old automobiles, many parts are still functioning and can be recovered for reuse. These parts are called reuse parts. In general, reuse parts not only considerably reduce the costs but can also reduce the negative effects on the environment. However, the quantification of these effects has not been assessed yet. This study focused on the determination of the amount of CO<sub>2</sub>, which is one of the greenhouse gases causing global warming. We calculated the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission generated during the life cycle from the procurement of the materials for producing automobile parts to the manufacture of automobiles and scrapping. This study aimed to calculate the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from the reuse parts during their production, which is the first step to quantify their impact.

# 2 Life cycle assessment

Life cycle assessment (LCA) [1, 2] is a method for assessing environmental effects in parallel with the calculation of the consumption of the entire life cycle resources and emission matters, such as CO<sub>2</sub> and sulfoxides (SOx). Figure 1 shows the procedure of LCA. First, we have to establish the research purpose clearly, then perform life cycle inventory assessment (LCI), and finally, life cycle impact assessment (LCIA). Based on the results, the extent to which the assessment targets are affecting the environment can be determined. LCI is the process of creating an inventory data that clarifies how many inputs and outputs were present in each process in the entire life cycle of the assessment object. LCIA, based on the inventory data created in the LCI, evaluates the environmental impact by analyzing and assessing the amount of substances, such as CO<sub>2</sub>, listed in the inventory data, which contribute to each environmental concern (e.g., global warming and ozone depletion). If the LCI results match to the purpose of the study, the LCA can be stopped at the level of the LCI. Therefore, we ended the LCA at the LCI stage because the purpose of this study was to quantify the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction when using reuse parts.

# **3** Observation result

To understand the production process of reuse parts, we visited the factory of Marutoshi Aoki Corporation, which scraps automobiles, produces reuse parts, and is a reuse parts dealer. We recorded the working process for the disassembly of automobiles on a video camera and counted the working hours. From this information, we extracted the amount of  $CO_2$  emission of each working process.

Figure 2 shows the flow of the scrapping process

Copyright © 2014, The Organizing Committee of the ICDES 2014

and the reuse parts production process. The automobile scrapping process involves removing the tires, processing the airbags, recalling the Freon gas, removing oil, LLC (long life coolant), and fuel, the work of the nibbler, and the press process. During the flow of reuse parts production, the vehicle is checked to identify reusable parts (**Fig. 2**(a)), which are eventually removed (**Fig. 2**(b)).

As shown in Fig. 2, processes (c), (d), and (f) are the same irrespective of the removal of reuse parts. Therefore, we did not calculate the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted during these processes. However, in the process of scrapping and sorting by the nibbler (Fig. 2(e)), the working time of the nibbler changes depending on whether the engine was previously removed from the automobile. We calculated the CO<sub>2</sub> emission of both conditions. Figures 3 and 4 show images relative to automobile scrapping. The operating time of each tool is shown in **Table 1**, which includes the time of the lift  $(L_t)$ used to raise and lower the automobile, crane  $(C_t)$  used to carry and hang heavy parts, pump  $(P_t)$  to remove the fuel, flashlight  $(F_t)$ , driver  $(D_t)$ , high pressure washer  $(H_t)$  to clean the removed parts, press machine  $(Pr_t)$ used in the last process, engine  $(\vec{E_t})$ , nibbler operating time with the engine inside the car  $(N_{tl})$ , and nibbler operating time with the engine already removed as reuse part  $(N_{t2})$ . Table 2 shows the annual production and sales analysis of Marutoshi Aoki Corporation. Annual power consumption of the entire factory Pa [kWh], total amount of fuel consumed per hour of Nibbler (light oil amount) N [1], part annual removing number of automobiles D [car], annual part removing number of automobiles R, average production number of parts per automobile A. Based on those data, we calculated the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission by stacking the emission of each tool used in the reuse part production. The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission of each tool used calculated on the basis of the operating time of each tool (Method 1) was verified by calculating it from the power consumption of the entire factory (Method 2), because Method 2 also considers the amount of CO2 emitted from processes that are not directly involved in the reuse parts production; therefore, the amount of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  emission calculated using Method 2 must be larger than those obtained using Method 1.



Fig. 1 LCA sequence







Fig. 3 Nibbler

#### 3.1 CO<sub>2</sub> emission from working hours (Method 1)

The data used to calculate the amount of  $CO_2$  emission in Method 1 are the operating time of each tool, which are summarized in **Table 1**, and the power consumption of each tool, reported in **Table 3**. Likewise, the power consumption of each tool corresponds to those of the lift ( $L_p$ ), crane ( $C_P$ ), pump ( $Pu_P$ ), flash light

 $(F_P)$ , driver  $(D_P)$ , high pressure washer  $(H_P)$ , press  $(Pr_P)$ , and air tool  $(A_P)$ . These data are not the actual data relative to the tools used in the factory, but those of the marketplace. Moreover, the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission (*C* [kg]) can be calculated from the following equation:

$$C = P \times H \times C_{\nu} \tag{1}$$

Here *P* is the power consumption, *H* is the operating hour, and  $C_v$  (emission factor) is obtained from the literature [3] and corresponds to 0.55 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/h.

The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission of each tool corresponds to those of the lift ( $L_c$ ), crane ( $C_c$ ), pump ( $Pu_c$ ), flash light ( $F_c$ ), driver ( $D_c$ ), high pressure washer ( $H_c$ ), press ( $Pr_c$ ), and air tool ( $A_c$ ). The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission of each tool is calculated from the following equations:

$$L_{C} = L_{p} \times L_{t} \times C_{v}$$

$$C_{C} = C_{p} \times C_{t} \times C_{v}$$

$$F_{C} = F_{p} \times F_{t} \times C_{v}$$

$$D_{C} = D_{p} \times D_{t} \times C_{v}$$

$$H_{C} = H_{p} \times H_{t} \times C_{v}$$

$$G(5)$$

$$H_{C} = A_{p} \times A_{t} \times C_{v}$$

$$(6)$$

As previously reported [4], the amount of  $CO_2$  emission from idling for 1h ( $I_c$ ) is 0.54kgCO<sub>2</sub>/h. The amount of  $CO_2$  emission from the engine when scrapping an automobile ( $E_C$  [kg/car]) is calculated using the following equation:

$$E_C = I_c \times E_t \tag{8}$$

**Table 4** shows the amounts of  $CO_2$  emissioncalculated from each equation above.

Additionally, from reference [5], the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from the use of light oil (*Lf*) is 2.613 kg/L. Furthermore, the working hour of the nibbler process (**Table 1**) was reduced to 4 min. We then calculated  $N_{CI}$  and  $N_{C2}$  because the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from the use of the nibbler is large. The following equations represent the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from the nibbler.

$$N_{Cl} = N \times Lf \times N_{tl}$$

$$N_{C2} = N \times Lf \times N_{t2}$$
(9)
(10)

Using the data reported in **Tables 2** and **4**, the total  $CO_2$  emission in one part removing automobile  $C_1$  [kg], the annual amount of  $CO_2$  emission from scrapped car  $(Cd_1$  [kg/year]), and the annual amount of  $CO_2$  emission from parts taken by scrapped car  $(Da_1$  [kg/year]) can be calculated according to the following equations:

| $C_l = L_C + C_C + F_C + D_C + H_C + A_C + E_C$ | (11) |
|---|------|
| $Cd_1 = C_1 \times (R - D)$                     | (12) |
| $Da_1 = C_1 \times D$                           | (13) |

**Table 5** shows the results of Equations (11), (12),and (13).

The amount of  $CO_2$  emission from pump and press usage are not included in Equation (11) because the processes relative to pump [**Fig.2** (d)] and press [**Fig.2**  (f)] are the same and their  $CO_2$  emission are considered the same either when the automobile is in the scrapped flow or in the reuse part production flow.



Fig. 4 Taking off the engine

Table 1 Operating time of each tool and machine

| Туре         | Tool                   | Time       |
|--------------|------------------------|------------|
| Power<br>use | Lift $[s](L_t)$        | 125sec     |
|              | Crane $[s](C_t)$       | 22sec      |
|              | Pump $[s](Pu_t)$       | 459sec     |
|              | Flash Light $[s](F_t)$ | 22sec      |
|              | Driver $[s](D_t)$      | 6sec       |
|              | High Pressure Washer   | 15min      |
|              | $[\min](H_t)$          | 1,511111   |
|              | Press [min] $(Pr_t)$   | 7min       |
|              | Air Tool $[s](A_t)$    | 147sec     |
|              | Engine [min] $(E_t)$   | 44.6min    |
| Fuel use     | Nibbler [min]          | 17min      |
|              | Engine In $(N_{tl})$   | 1 / 111111 |
|              | Nibbler [min]          | 12         |
|              | No Engine $(N_{t2})$   | 13min      |

Table 2 Annual production and sales for 2012

| Factory power use in a year [kWh] $(P_y)$  | $6.60 	imes 10^4$ |
|--|-------------------|
| Total amount of fuel consumed<br>per hour of Nibbler (light oil amount) [1] ( <i>N</i> ) | 13.53             |
| Part removing number of automobiles per year $(D)$                                       | 1963              |
| Dismantling number of automobiles per year $(R)$   | 6923              |
| Average production number of parts per automobile ( <i>A</i> )                           | 13.2              |

#### 3.2 CO<sub>2</sub> emission from factory power consumption

#### (Method 2)

Using the data from **Table 2** and  $C_v$  [3], the total CO<sub>2</sub> emission in one part removing automobile  $C_2$  [kg], the annual amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from scrapped car (*Cd*<sub>2</sub> [kg/year]), and the annual amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission

from parts taken by scrapped car  $(Da_2 [kg/year])$  can be calculated (**Table 6**) according to the following equations:

| $C_2 = (P_y \times C_v) / R$ | (14) |
|------------------------------|------|
| $Cd_2 = C_2 \times (R - D)$  | (15) |

$$Ca_2 = C_2 \times (R - D) \tag{15}$$
$$Da_2 = C_2 \times D \tag{16}$$

### 4 Discussion

In Method 1, we calculated the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission by considering only the working time and operating time of the machines and tools used. To verify the results obtained with Method 1, we also calculated the same emission by considering the power consumption of the entire factory (Method 2). Method 2 considers considerable data that are not directly involved in the reuse parts production. When quantifying the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from reuse parts, it is advisable to apply Method 1, which uses only the data relative to the machines and tools that are directly used in the process. By using Method 2, the values of the calculated emission might be higher than those obtained with Method 1. In fact, for Method 1, the CO<sub>2</sub> emission amount to 0.62 kg (Table 5), whereas for Method 2, they correspond to 5.22 kg (Table 6).

In the future, we will conduct an LCA of new parts production in order to quantify the effects of the reuse parts  $CO_2$  emission. In calculating the  $CO_2$  emission of new parts production, similar to what has been done here for reuse parts production, we will target the machines and tools that are used in the production.

## 5 Conclusion

In order to quantify the amount of  $CO_2$  emission reduction when recovering reuse parts from automobile scrapping, we carried out an environmental impact assessment on the reuse part production. If we can quantify the amount of  $CO_2$  emission reduction when using reuse parts, the market of reuse parts might increase, considerably reducing not only the consumers' costs but also the environmental impact. In the future, we will conduct an LCA of new parts production to quantify the beneficial effects of reuse parts on  $CO_2$ emission.

We thank Marutoshi Aoki Corporation for its cooperation and contribution.

| type        | tool                         | Power(W) |
|-------------|------------------------------|----------|
| Electricity | Lift $(L_p)$                 | 1500     |
|             | Crane $(C_p)$                | 650      |
|             | Pump $(Pu_p)$                | 3700     |
|             | Flash Light $(F_p)$          | 8        |
|             | Driver $(D_p)$               | 40       |
|             | High Pressure Washer $(H_p)$ | 1300     |
|             | Pressure $(Pr_p)$            | 14800    |
|             | Air Tool $(A_p)$             | 182.4    |

**Table 3 Tool power** 

Table 4 Emission from each tool and machine [kg]

|          | Lift $(L_C)$                    | $2.85 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
|          | Crane $(C_C)$                   | $2.19 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Power    | Flash Light $(F_C)$             | $4.30 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| use      | Driver $(D_C)$                  | $5.90 \times 10^{-5}$ |
|          | High Pressure Washer ( $H_C$ )  | $1.79 \times 10^{-1}$ |
|          | Air Tool $(A_C)$                | $6.55 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|          | Engine $(E_C)$                  | $4.01 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| Fuel use | Nibbler (Engine In $(N_{Cl})$ ) | 10.04                 |
|          | Nibbler (No Engine $(N_{C2})$ ) | 7.68                  |

# Table5 Method 1 CO<sub>2</sub> emission

| $CO_2$ emission total in one part removing automobile [kg] ( $C_1$ )               | 0.62 |
|--|------|
| $CO_2$ emission from dismantled cars per year [kg/year] ( <i>Cd</i> <sub>1</sub> ) | 3063 |
| $CO_2$ emission from parts taken car per year [kg/year] ( $Da_1$ )                 | 1212 |

#### Table 6 Method 2 CO<sub>2</sub> emission

| $CO_2$ emission from dismantled car [kg] ( $C_2$ )                               | 5.22               |
|--|--------------------|
| $CO_2$ emission from dismantled cars a year [kg/year] ( <i>Cd</i> <sub>2</sub> ) | $2.59 	imes 10^4$  |
| $CO_2$ emission from parts taken car a year [kg/year] ( $Da_2$ )                 | $1.02 \times 10^4$ |

# References

- [1] Itsubo, N., Tahara, K., Narita, A., LCA General Statement (Japanese), Environmental Management Association for Industry, 2007.
- [2] Inaba, A., LCA Affairs (Japanese), Environmental Management Association for Industry, 2005.
- [3] Actual Amount of Emission Factors and Adjustment Emission Factor of Each Electricity Utility by Environment Ministry in 2011. http://www.env.go.jp/press/press.php?serial=15912
- [4] Ministry of the Environment (Government of Japan), http://www.env.go.jp/earth/cop3/dekiru/ta 03-2.html
- [5] Amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission of Each Fuel by Environment Ministry. http://www.env.go.jp/council/16pol-ear/y164-04/mat

Received on November 30, 2013 Accepted on January 27, 2014

04.pdf