The Effects of Stretching on Strength Performance

Ercole C. Rubini,^{1,2} André L.L. Costa² and Paulo S.C. Gomes²

1 Universidade Estácio de Sá, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

2 Laboratory Crossbridges and Department of Physical Education from Universidade Gama Filho, Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Health, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Contents

Abstract	13
Acute Effects of Stretching on Strength Performance	4
1.1 Effects on Isotonic, Isometric and Isokinetic Strength Tests	4
1.2 Effects on Jumping Performance	15
2. Chronic Effects of Stretching on Strength Performance	15
3. Adaptation Mechanisms	8
3.1 Neurological Adaptations	8
3.2 Structural Adaptations	20
3.3 Cellular Adaptations	20
3.4 Hormonal Adaptations	21
4. Conclusions 22	21

Abstract

Strength and flexibility are common components of exercise programmes; however, it is not clear how best to include both of these elements in a single training programme. It is common practice among athletes, coaches and recreational exercisers to perform a stretching routine before a strength training session. Stretching exercises are regularly recommended, even in many textbooks, with the claimed purpose of preventing injury and muscle soreness, or even enhancing performance. However, as highlighted in recent review articles, this recommendation lacks scientific evidence. Thus, the purpose of the present review is to determine the acute and chronic effects of stretching on strength performance, together with the underlying mechanisms. Although most studies have found acute decreases in strength following stretching, and that such decreases seem to be more prominent the longer the stretching protocol, the number of exercises and sets, and the duration of each set have, in general, exceeded the ranges normally recommended in the literature. Consequently, the duration of the stimuli were excessively long compared with common practice, thus making evident the need for further studies. In addition, when recommending flexibility exercises, one should consider other underlying issues, such as the safety of the participants, possible increases in injury risks and the unnecessary time expenditure. Many mechanisms underlying stretching exercises still demand investigation so that links between the observed effects, their causes and the consequences may be constructed.

Exercise programmes often include strength and flexibility training. Both components are considered fundamental for those who wish to attain a healthy physical fitness level.^[1] However, the best way to include both components in a single training session is not yet clear.

It is common practice among athletes, coaches and recreational exercisers to perform a stretching routine before the main exercise session, such as strength training. In addition, stretching exercises have been recommended in many textbooks for the claimed purpose of preventing injury and muscle soreness, and even enhancing performance. Two randomized studies^[2,3] investigating the effect of stretching before the main exercise session concluded that this did not decrease injury risks. Regarding the reduction of delayed onset muscular soreness (DOMS), only one study observed reduction in soreness;^[4] however, this occurred 72 hours after maximum eccentric knee flexion. Results from this study should be interpreted with caution because, not only did it take a long time for the beneficial effects to be noticed, but the sample size was also very small (ten females). Recent reviews^[5-9] have suggested that stretching exercises do not protect against injury, nor do they diminish DOMS or enhance performance.

Stretching routines appear to have a negative acute effect on the subsequent main activity, particularly when this is predominantly strength-dependent.^[10-30] As stretching exercises are traditionally recommended before most physical activities, it is important to determine to what extent a stretching routine may influence performance of the main activity.

The present study had two purposes: (i) to review the acute and chronic effects of stretching on strength performance; and (ii) to review the underlying mechanisms associated with these adaptations.

Scientific articles were obtained from an extensive search on several databases, including MED- LINE (1966-2006), EMBASE (1974-2006), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (1993-2006), Lilacs (1982-2006) and SciELO (1997-2006). References listed on recent reviews^[5-9,31-34] on the topic were also retrieved. The computer search used the following keywords individually or combined: 'flexibility', 'stretching', 'elasticity', 'range of movement', 'range of motion', 'training', 'injury' and 'warm-up'. These keywords were further combined with 'resistance exercise', 'resistance training', 'strength training' and/or 'acute effects' or 'chronic effects'. Most studies retrieved were in English, although articles in Portuguese and Spanish were also considered in this search. All studies related to the acute effects of stretching on strength performance were considered, regardless of the methodological aspects; however, a critical assessment of the text was included when needed.

1. Acute Effects of Stretching on Strength Performance

1.1 Effects on Isotonic, Isometric and Isokinetic Strength Tests

Many authors have studied the acute effect of a stretching routine on strength performance, but the results are often controversial. Various studies, with total stimuli duration varying from 120 to 3600 seconds, found that stretching exercises preceding the main strength activity significantly decreased performance.^[10-30] All of these studies used static stretching, except for one article that used ballistic exercises^[15] and three that used proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) stretching.^[21,24,25] Decreases in strength ranged from 4.5% to 28%, irrespective of the testing mode (i.e. isometric, isotonic or isokinetic). Most studies used lower body exercises, except for Evetovich et al.,[18] who investigated the biceps brachii. Tests were isotonic (one repetition maximum [RM]), isokinetic (peak torque) and isometric (peak torque and maximum voluntary

contraction). In contrast to these results, other authors^[35-40] did not observe any detrimental effects of stretching on strength. The total stimuli duration in these studies was shorter, ranging from 30 to 480 seconds. Bandeira et al.^[41] did not observe decreases in strength performance of ballet dancers when using 15 seconds of static active stretching exercises, with a total of 90 seconds stretching. On the other hand, when using 60 seconds for each exercise (360 seconds total stimuli), performance of the hip flexors was decreased, but not that of the hip extensors (table I).

Most studies showed decreases in strength performance when preceded by stretching exercises. However, it should be noted that these studies used more than one stretching exercise for the same muscle group and/or the number of sets and the duration of stretching were greater than the ranges normally recommended in the literature and used in sporting activities. It has been recommended that four sets of stretching is performed for each muscle group,^[42] with 10–30 seconds duration in each stretched position.^[42-46] For individuals >65 years of age, a longer duration of 60 seconds should be used.^[47] Therefore, it is possible that the total stretching duration may have been excessively long in the studies showing decreases in strength performance.

Data from our laboratory^[21,25] showed 8.9% and 12.3% reductions in hip adductor isometric strength measured at 45°, and 10.4% and 10.9% at 30° following four 30-second sets of static or PNF ('contract-relax' technique) stretching, respectively. Decreases in strength after static stretching in knee flexion and extension were 9.9% and 2.3%, and decreases after PNF were 11.4% and 4.8%, respectively. These findings concur with the evidence that, even with a total stretching time following the literature recommendations and with only one exercise for each muscle group, a significant decrease in strength performance may still take place. Interestingly, the deleterious effect of stretching on strength performance seems to occur even with experienced stretchers, as evidenced by Nelson et al.^[23] Recently,^[29] it was shown that the duration of the stimuli

(15 or 30 seconds) did not influence the degree of force loss following static stretching.

1.2 Effects on Jumping Performance

Several studies have investigated the acute effect of stretching exercises on vertical jump performance. Church et al.^[48] reported a significant decrease in performance when this was preceded by PNF stretching, but not by static stretching. This confirms the findings of Power et al.^[22] and Knudson et al.,^[49] who investigated the effects of static stretching and also found no significant decreases in vertical jump performance. On the other hand, two studies did not find decreases in vertical jump performance in trained women either after PNF stretching^[50] and following static or ballistic stretching.^[51] Other studies found decreases in vertical jump performance after static stretching,^[52-55] ranging from -4.5% to -7.3% and -3.2% to -4.4% with and without counter movement, respectively (table II).

Muscular strength is one of the most important factors in performing the vertical jump. If stretching has the acute effect of reducing performance in strength, it would be expected to reduce that of jumping as well. In practice, this information is highly important for sporting events in which strength and jumping performance are fundamental, since a decrease in performance may hinder the final result. It is possible that conflicting results could be explained by the different methods used for stretching or by the absence of information regarding reliability and precision of these methods. Therefore, it is clear that this subject deserves further investigation. In addition, studies investigating the chronic effect of stretching on jump performance are also required.

2. Chronic Effects of Stretching on Strength Performance

Very few studies have looked into the chronic effects of stretching on strength performance. Worrel et al.^[56] used static and PNF 'contract-relax' methods to train the flexibility of the hamstrings; exercises were performed five times a week, for 3 consecutive weeks, totaling 15 sessions with 20 minutes per method, per session. Handel et al.^[57] Table I. Studies investigating the acute effect of stretching on strength performance

Reference	Type of stretching	Duration of	Muscles stretched	Muscles tested	Total duration	Type of action	Results
(sample size)		stretching			(s)		
Kokkonen et al. ^[10] M (n = 15); F (n = 15)	Static (passive) [assis./ not assis.]	5 exercises 3 × 15s 15s rest	Hamstrings, hip adductors, plantar flexors, quadriceps	Hamstrings, quadriceps	450	lsot 1RM	↓ 7.3% flexion ↓ 8.1% extension
Muir et al. ^[35] M (n = 20)	Static (active)	1 exercise 4 × 30s 10s rest	Plantar flexors	Plantar flexors, dorsi flexors	120	lsok	No change in performance
Avela et al. ^[11] M (n = 20)	Static (passive)	1 exercise 1×60 min	Plantar flexors	Plantar flexors	3600	Isom	↓ 23.2% MVC
Fowles et al. ^[12] M (n = 6); F (n = 4)	Static (passive)	1 exercise $13 \times 135s$	Plantar flexors	Plantar flexors	1755	lsom	↓ 28% MVC
Nelson et al. ^[16] M (n = 10); F (n = 5)	Static (active and passive)	3 exercises 4 × 30s 20s rest	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	360	lsok	↓ 7.2% 60°/s PT ↓ 4.5% 90°/s PT
Nelson et al. ^[14] M (n = 25); F (n = 30)	Static (passive)	2 exercises 4 × 30s 20s rest	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	240	Isom	\downarrow 7% PT, at angle of 162°
Behm et al. ^[13] M (n = 12)	Static (passive)	4 exercises 5 × 45s 15s rest	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	900	Isom	↓ 12.2% MVC
Nelson and Kokkonen ^[15] M (n = 11); F (n = 11)	Ballistic	5 exercises	Hamstrings, thigh adductors, plantar flexors, quadriceps	Hamstrings, quadriceps	450	lsot 1RM	↓ 7.5% flexion ↓ 5.6% extension
Tricoli and Paulo ^[17] M (n = 11)	Static (active)	6 exercises 3 × 30s 30s rest	Quadriceps, hamstrings	Quadriceps, hamstrings	540	Isot 1RM	\downarrow 13.8% maximum strength
Garrison et al. ^[37] (n = 29)	Static	NA	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	480	lsok	No change in performance
Mello and Gomes ^[36] M (n = 5); F (n = 3)	Static (passive)	2 exercises 2 \times 15, 30 and 60s 10s rest	Hamstrings, quadriceps	Hamstrings, quadriceps	30 60 120	lsok	No change in performance
Evetovich et al. ^[18] M (n = 10); F (n = 8)	Static (2 active; 1 passive)	3 exercises 4 × 30s 15s rest	Biceps brachii	Biceps brachii	360	lsok	↓ 30°/s PT ↓ 270°/s PT
Bandeira et al. ^[41] F (n = 10)	Static (active)	6 exercises 1 \times 15s and 60s	Hip flexors, hip extensors	Hip flexors, hip extensors	90 or 360	lsok	\downarrow Flexors 60°/s

Continued next page

Rubini et al.

Table I. Contd							
Reference (sample size)	Type of stretching	Duration of stretching	Muscles stretched	Muscles tested	Total duration (s)	Type of action	Results
Avela et al. ^[19] M (n = 8)	Static (passive)	2 exercises 60 min 2 weeks between exercises	Plantar flexors	Plantar flexors	360	lsom	\downarrow 13.8% MVC (1st measure) \downarrow 13.2% MVC (2nd measure)
Cramer et al. ^[20] F (n = 14)	Static (1 active; 3 passive)	$\begin{array}{l} 4 \text{ exercises} \\ 4 \times 30 \text{s} \\ 20 \text{s rest} \end{array}$	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	480	lsok	↓ 3.3% 60°/s PT ↓ 2.6% 240°/s PT
Rubini et al. ^[21] M (n = 18)	Static PNF (passive)	1 exercise $4 \times 30s$ or $4 \times (3 \times 10s)$	Hip adductors	Hip adductors	120	Isom	45°: ↓ 8.9% and ↓ 12.3% 30°: ↓ 10.4% and ↓ 10.9% (static and PNF, respectively)
Cramer et al. ^[38] M (n = 15)	Static (1 active; 3 passive)	4 exercises	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	NA	lsok	No change in performance
Behm et al. ^[39] M (n = 16)	Static (passive)	3 exercises 3 × 45s 15s rest	Quadriceps hamstrings, plantar flexors	Quadriceps	405	Isom	No change in performance
Power et al. ^[22] M (n = 12)	Static	6 exercises 3 × 45s 15s rest	Quadriceps hamstrings, plantar flexors	Quadriceps, plantar flexors	810 (270 for each muscle group)	Isom	\downarrow 9.5% MVC quadriceps and no change in plantar flexors
Mello and Gomes ^[25] F (n = 17)	Static PNF (passive)	6 exercises 30s or $(3 \times 10s)$	Quadriceps, hamstrings	Quadriceps, hamstrings	3600	lsok	↓ 9.9% and $↓$ 2.3% ↓ 11.4% and $↓$ 4.8% (static and PNF; flexion and extension, respectively)
Marek et al. ^[24] M (n = 9); F (n = 10)	Static PNF (passive)	4 exercises 5 \times 30s 30s rest	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	120 (static) 120 (PNF)	lsok	\downarrow 2.8% (static and PNF)
Nelson et al. ^[23] M (n = 13); F (n = 18)	Static (passive) [assis./ not assis.]	5 exercises $3 \times 15s$ 15s rest	Quadriceps, hamstrings	Quadriceps, hamstrings	1200	lsot 1RM	\downarrow 3.2% extension \downarrow 5.5% flexion
Cramer et al. ^[26] M (n = 7); F (n = 14)	Static (passive)	4 exercises 4 \times 30s 20s rest	Quadriceps (dominant)	Quadriceps (dominant/not dominant)	966	Isok	\downarrow 60°/s and 240°/s PT (dominant) \downarrow 60°/s PT (not dominant)
Derek et al. ^[27] M (n = 15)	Static (passive)	1 exercise $5 \times 120s$	Plantar flexor	Plantar flexor	600	Isom	\downarrow 7% MVC
Behm et al. ^[28] (Pre) M (n = 9); F (n = 9)	Static (passive)	3 exercises 3 × 30s 30s rest	Quadriceps, hamstrings, plantar flexors	Quadriceps	270	Isom	↓ 6.5% MVC

Continued next page

Effects of Stretching on Strength

Table I. Contd							
Reference (sample size)	Type of stretching	Duration of stretching	Muscles stretched	Muscles tested	Total duration (s)	Type of action	Results
Behm et al. ^[28] (Post) M (n = 12)	Static (passive)	3 exercises 3 × 30s 30s rest	Quadriceps, hamstrings, plantar flexors	Quadriceps	270	lsom	↓ 8.2% MVC
Brandenburg ^[29] M (n = 10); F (n = 6)	Static (assist./not assist.)	2 exercises $3 \times 15s$ or $30s$ 30s rest	Hamstrings	Hamstrings	90 or 180	lsom Conc Exce	↓ 15s ↓ 30s NS difference between type of actions
Egan et al. ^[40] F (n = 11)	Static	4 exercises 4 × 30s 20s rest	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	480	Isok	NS 60%s NS 300%s 5 min after stretching
Yamaguchi et al. ^[30] M (n = 20)	Static (3 assist.; 3 not assist.)	6 exercises 4 × 30s 20s rest	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	720	lsom	↓ 5% MVC ↓ 30% MVC ↓ 60% MVC
assis. = assisted not available; NS peak torque; RM	; conc = concentric; e = statistically not sign = repetition maximum	ificant; PNF = propri n; ↓ indicates decrea	= females; Isok = isoki ioceptive neuromuscu ase.	inetic; Isom = isome Ilar facilitation; Post	etric; Isot = isotonic: : = after a 4-week tra	; M = males; MVC = aining programme; P	maximum voluntary contraction; NA = Pre = before training programme; PT =

© 2007 Adis Data Information BV. All rights reserved.

used the PNF 'contract-relax' method to train the knee extensor and flexor muscles; exercises were performed three times a week for 8 consecutive weeks, with a total of 86 minutes 40 seconds in each session. The study by Worrel et al.^[56] showed no significant gains in flexibility, but 8.5% and 13.5% increases in eccentric peak torque measured at 60°/s and 120°/s, respectively, and 11.2% increase in concentric peak torque at 120°/s. Significant increases in flexibility (up to 6.3%), in knee flexor and extensor muscle eccentric peak torque (18.2% and 23.0%, respectively), knee flexor concentric peak torque (9.4%) and knee flexor isometric peak torque (11.3%) were found by Handel et al.^[57]

Despite the need for more studies, the enhancement of strength following flexibility training may be attributed to hypertrophy of the stretched muscles. Muscle hypertrophy has been observed in animals that underwent stretching protocols lasting 24 hours per day, for 3-30 days.^[58-60] Although these studies were conducted with animals, researchers used stretching methods and duration that differed greatly from those recommended for humans for gains in flexibility, thus limiting applicability of these results. In the case of humans, gains in strength seem to occur after 3 weeks of flexibility training, without any specific training for strength development.^[56,57] Therefore, evidence suggests that, although stretching exercises may have a negative acute effect on strength, this may not be the case after chronic stretching.

3. Adaptation Mechanisms

3.1 Neurological Adaptations

Although evidence is still lacking, some authors have tried to explain the possible neural mechanisms underlying the acute effects of stretching exercises and their effects on muscle strength performance. The literature is rather inconclusive and some of the findings do not relate to one other.

Rosenbaum and Henning^[61] observed a significant 5% decrease in isometric peak torque following 3 minutes of static stretching of the triceps surae, which was accompanied by an increase in muscular

 Table II. Studies investigating the acute effect of stretching on jumping performance

Reference (sample size)	Method	Sets and exercises	Muscles stretched	Stimuli duration (s)	Test	Results
Church et al. ^[48] F (n = 40)	Static PNF	3 sets	Quadriceps, hamstrings	NA	VJ	↓ PNF NS static
Cornwell et al. ^[52] M (n = 10)	Static (passive)	1 set 3 exercises	Hip extensors, knee extensors	90	VJ	↓ 4.4% (VJ) ↓ 4.3% (VJCM)
Knudson et al. ^[49] M (n = 10); F (n = 10)	Static	3 sets 3 exercises	Quadriceps, hamstrings, plantar flexors	45	VJ	NS (VJ)
Cornwell et al. ^[53] M (n = 10)	Static (passive)	3 sets 2 exercises	Triceps surae	180	VJ	↓ 7.3% (VJCM) NS (VJ)
Serzedêlo Corrêa et al. ^[50] F (n = 10)	PNF (CR)	3 exercises	Quadriceps, hamstrings, calf, gluteus	240	VJ LJ	NS (VJ) ↑ 10.7% (LJ)
Young and Behm ^[54] M (n = 13); F (n = 3)	Static	4 exercises	Quadriceps, plantar flexors	120	VJ	↓ 3.2% (VJ)
Power et al. ^[22] M (n = 12)	Static	2 sets 3 exercises	Quadriceps, hamstrings, plantar flexors	270	VJ	NS (VJ)
Unick et al. ^[51] F (n = 16)	Static Ballistic	3 sets 4 exercises	Quadriceps, hamstrings, plantar flexors	180	VJ	NS (VJ) Statistic/Ballistic
Wallmann et al. ^[55] M (n = 8); F (n = 6)	Static (passive)	3 sets	Gastrocnemius	90	VJ	↓ 5.6% (VJ)
OD seature at/astron E fo		law a human M maala	ALMA IN A ALMAN AND A ALMAN AND	a de die die e lie e a	and a famility a set.	DMF manufacture

CR = contract/relax; F = females; LJ = long jump; M = males; NA = not available; NS = statistically not significant; PNF = proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation; VJ = vertical jump; VJCM = vertical jump with counter movement; \uparrow indicates increase; \downarrow indicates decrease.

compliance. Muscle offers less resistance to passive stretching and increases its capability of distending when muscular compliance increases. This phenomenon is know as 'stress relaxation', which is a loss in tension occurring when the muscle is stretched with a constant length and which occurs irrespective of observed electromyographic alterations, as suggested by McHugh et al.^[62] Thus, muscle compliance resulting from stretching is suggested as one of the mechanisms responsible for the decrease in muscular performance.

In another study, Fowles et al.^[12] found that there was a decrease in motor unit activation and in electromyographic activity immediately following passive stretching of the plantar flexors. In addition, there was a 28% decrease in maximum voluntary contraction, which was still depressed by 9% 1 hour after stretching cessation.

In the study by Avela et al.,^[11] maximum voluntary activation was decreased by 23.2% immediately following 1 hour of repeated passive stretching of the triceps surae. The authors observed a reduction in sensitivity to repeated stretches of the muscle spindles, reducing the activity of the large-diameter afferents and producing a smaller electromyographic amplitude.

In addition to these mechanisms, other neural systems may be involved, such as activation of nociceptors and inhibition generated by Golgi tendon organs, which contribute to a decrease in excitability of the α motoneuron.^[13] An increased inhibitory drive of the α motoneuron pool generated by types III and IV joint receptors after stretching exercise was also suggested by Avela et al.^[63]

Halbertsma and Göeken,^[64] investigated the effects of 10 minutes of static stretching on subjects with shortened hamstring muscles. The authors concluded that the effect of such exercises would not be due to alterations in muscle elasticity, but to a greater tolerance to stretching. The same conclusions were reported by Magnusson et al.^[65] in a study of static stretching of the hamstrings over 3 weeks and by Halbertsma et al.^[66] after a 10-minute session of 30 seconds of static stretching of the hamstrings with rest intervals of 30 seconds.

Therefore, there seems to be a reduction in sensibility of the muscle, tendon, joint receptors and nociceptors, which are fundamental mechanisms for the protection of structures involved in motion. In addition to these alterations, there is a period where neuromotor responses are delayed immediately following stretching exercises. These acute neural alterations may be related to the observed decrease in strength and may predispose to or increase the risks of injury, although this requires further investigation.

3.2 Structural Adaptations

One of the hypotheses suggested for the reduction in strength performance following successive stretching is the alteration of viscoelastic properties of the muscle, which, in turn, may alter the lengthtension relationship. However, there seems to be no consensus on the subject.

Toft et al.^[67] investigated viscoelastic and plasticity properties of the plantar flexor muscles. They concluded that these muscles experienced no modification when measured 90 minutes after a passive stretching programme, nor at 24 hours after 3 weeks of training twice a day. On the other hand, Taylor et al.^[42] observed a capacity to alter the length of the musculo-tendinous unit of rabbits following stretching, thus decreasing passive tension. Toft et al.^[68] found 36% decreases in passive tension of the plantar flexors after 3 weeks of 'contract-relax' flexibility training, twice a day. Furthermore, these authors found no significant correlation between the initial flexibility level of the subjects and the effect of stretching on passive tension, which was equivalent for all levels.

In order to understand the viscoelastic alterations resulting from stretching, the same group of authors, in three different studies, used ultrasound to observe the medial gastrocnemius tendon and aponeurosis before and after stretching. Kubo et al.^[69] concluded that 10 minutes of static stretching decreased the viscosity of the tendinous structures and increased their elasticity. In a chronic study,^[70] the same group combined resistance exercises with static stretching; exercise were performed for 45 seconds with 15-second intervals, two sessions a day, 7 days a week for 8 weeks. In a third study,^[71] the subjects performed static stretching in 20 consecutive days, with two sessions a day of five stretching exercises,

lasting for 45 seconds, with 15 seconds of rest between exercises. The authors concluded from the latter two studies that training decreased the viscosity of the tendinous structures, but did not alter elasticity.

Still trying to understand muscle elasticity, Edman and Tsuchiya^[72] concluded that during stretching exercises the most affected elastic structure was the titin, and that compliance properties of tendons and all the other elastic structures were less than for this protein. This concurs with other studies that have shown titin to be the main structure responsible for muscular elasticity.^[73,74] Further investigating this question, Avela et al.^[63] hypothesised that the increase in compliance caused by stretching would be responsible for the decrease in the response caused by muscle spindles and, subsequently, a lower activity of α motoneurons. Rubini and Gomes^[75] provide a review of the role of titin in muscular elasticity.

Therefore, stretching exercises seem to acutely produce a decrease in viscosity of the tendinous structures, allowing muscle fibres to slide with less resistance to movement. At the same time, stretching exercises generate an increase in muscle compliance that may limit more crossbridge coupling, thus decreasing the capacity of the muscle to produce force.

Chronic studies with stimuli of longer duration may help to improve understanding of the structural adaptations and their effects on strength performance resulting from stretching exercises.

3.3 Cellular Adaptations

De Deyne,^[76] in an attempt to explain the permanent increase in range of motion resulting from flexibility training, suggested a chronic adaptation through cellular mechanisms, such as new serial sarcomere addition. Myofibrilogenesis has been observed in animals^[77-80] and occurs, basically, in the insertion of muscles exposed to casting, with the muscle immobilised in a stretched position for 24 hours a day, over several days. However, these models are very different from the methods normally used in flexibility programmes for humans. Significant increases in protein synthesis were found in studies with mice^[81] that were exposed to casting immobilization in a stretched position for 7 days. The author suggested that stretching was the stimulating factor for protein synthesis.

Whether the addition of new sarcomeres has a beneficial or detrimental effect on strength is still not known. This is a question that requires further investigation, despite the difficulty in conducting long-term studies. Studies that observed the occurrence of myofibrilogenesis in animals did not investigate the effects on strength, and the very few chronic studies that investigated the effects of stretching on strength did not investigate the occurrence of myofibrilogenesis.

3.4 Hormonal Adaptations

During the last two decades, several studies have been carried out with the aim of observing the hormonal changes due to stretching. Some studies were conducted with animals being immobilised in a stretched position for various days by casting. Goldspink et al.^[58] observed that stretching increased insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 messenger RNA (mRNA) levels in mice. Yang et al.,^[82] analysing possible hormonal alterations in rabbits, observed that stretching generated an IGF-1 isoform (IGF-1 Eb), corresponding to the human IGF-1 Ec isoform, which is related to muscular growth. In another study, Yang et al.^[60] observed increases in IGF-1 mRNA levels, which correlated with increases in muscle mass in rabbits. IGF-1 Ec, also known as mechano growth factor (MGF), is an IGF splice variant that has autocrine and paracrine functions capable of stimulating protein synthesis and muscle hypertrophy.^[83] Its secretion is stimulated in response to mechanical stimuli such as force generation and stretching, with stretching being the main mechanical stimulus.^[83,84] MGF locally controls tissue repair, maintenance and remodeling.^[83,85] The discovery of MGF finally linked the mechanical stimulus and genetic expression, demonstrating that cellular phenotypes are not determined only in the genome.^[84,86] A particular function of MGF is to activate satellite muscle cells.^[83,85] MGF generates a rapid increase in the number of ribosomal RNA, indicating that hypertrophy of the muscle fibers occur during translation.^[84,86] These findings showed that stretching is capable of promoting increases in muscle strength or hypertrophy. Nevertheless, the experimental conditions of the studies discussed in section 3.4 are very different from the conditions normally recommended and applied to humans.

4. Conclusions

Flexibility and strength are fitness components that are fundamental in many sports modalities and even for common daily motor tasks. Training for flexibility and strength is widely recommended for those who wish to attain good fitness levels and a better quality of life. Many activities rely heavily on strength, but strength performance may be diminished by a preceding stretching routine; therefore, it is important to understand this phenomenon when prescribing physical exercise programmes. There appears to be substantial evidence suggesting a decrease in strength following stretching. Studies used different stretching techniques, duration and targeted different muscle groups, and were tested with isotonic, isometric or isokinetic devices. However, the number of exercises, duration of each exercise and number of sets (i.e. the total duration of stretching) was much longer than the ranges normally used in practice and what is recommended in the literature. This makes evident the need for further studies with designs that do not threaten their external validity. Training studies should also be conducted in order to assess whether the decreases in strength observed during the training session will have long-term consequences (i.e. suboptimal gains in strength when compared with training without prior stretching).

Furthermore, the safety of the participants should be taken into consideration in the recommendation of stretching exercises. When the possible effects of these exercises are analysed, it seems that many of the mechanisms responsible for maintaining the myo-osteo-articular integrity, such as muscle, tendon and joint receptors, are inhibited following stretching. Tolerance to pain also seems to be increased, allowing range of motion to be greater and closer to the maximal limit of the stretched structures, and consequently, closer to injury risk. The hypothesis that flexibility exercises preceding other physical activities may lead to greater injury risks should be considered and investigated in future studies.

Many mechanisms underlying stretching exercises still demand investigation so that links between the observed effects, their causes and consequences may be constructed.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPQ), Ministry of Education, Brazil, for their financial support to Ercole C Rubini. The authors would also like to thank Dr Marta Inez Rodrigues Pereira for the preparation of the English version of the manuscript and Dr Ana Maria Miragaya for reviewing the English version. The authors have no conflicts of interest directly relevant to the content of this review.

References

- American College of Sports Medicine. Position stand on the recommended quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness, and flexibility in healthy adults. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1998; 30 (6): 975-91
- Pope RP, Herbert RD, Kirwan JD. Effects of ankle dorsiflexion range and pre-exercise calf muscle stretching on injury risk in army recruits. Aust J Physiother 1998; 44: 165-77
- Pope RP, Herbert RD, Kirwan JD, et al. A randomized trial of pre-exercise stretching for prevention of lower-limb injury. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2000; 32: 271-7
- Johansson PH, Lindstrom L, Sundelin G, et al. The effects of pre-exercise on muscular soreness, tenderness and force loss following heavy eccentric exercise. Scand J Med Sci Sports 1999; 9: 219-25
- Herbert RD, Gabriel M. Effects of stretching before and after exercising on muscle soreness and risk of injury: systematic review. BMJ 2002; 325: 1-5
- Young WB, Behm DG. Should static stretching be used during a warm-up for strength and power activities? J Strength Cond Res 2002; 24 (6): 33-7
- Weldon SM, Hill RH. The efficacy of stretching for prevention of exercise-related injury: a systematic review of the literature. Man Ther 2003; 8 (3): 141-50
- Thacker SB, Gilchrist J, Stroup DF, et al. The impact of stretching on sports injury risk: a systematic review of the literature. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004; 36 (3): 371-8
- Shrier I. Does stretching improve performance? A systematic and critical review of the literature. Clin J Sport Med 2004; 14 (5): 267-73

- Kokkonen J, Nelson AG, Cornwell A. Acute muscle stretching inhibits maximal strength performance. Res Q Exerc Sport 1998; 69 (4): 411-5
- Avela J, Kyröläinen H, Komi PV. Altered reflex sensitivity after repeated and prolonged passive muscle stretching. J Appl Physiol 1999; 86 (4): 1283-91
- Fowles JR, Sale DG, Macdougall JD. Reduced strength after passive stretch of the human plantarflexors. J Appl Physiol 2000; 89: 1179-88
- Behm DG, Button DC, Butt JC. Factors affecting force loss with prolonged stretching. Can J Appl Physiol 2001; 26: 262-72
- Nelson AG, Allen JD, Cornwell A, et al. Inhibition of maximal voluntary isometric torque production by acute stretching is joint-angle specific. Res Q Exerc Sport 2001; 72 (1): 68-70
- Nelson AG, Kokkonen J. Acute ballistic muscle stretching inhibits maximal strength performance. Res Q Exerc Sport 2001; 72 (4): 415-9
- Nelson AG, Guillory IK, Cornwell A, et al. Inhibition of maximal voluntary isokinetic torque production following stretching is velocity-specific. J Strength Cond Res 2001; 15: 241-6
- Tricoli V, Paulo AP. Efeito agudo dos exercícios de alongamento sobre o desempenho de força máxima. Atividade Física e Saúde 2002; 7 (1): 6-12
- Evetovich TK, Nauman NJ, Conley DS, et al. Effect of static stretching of the biceps brachii on torque, electromyography, and mechanomyography during concentric isokinetic muscle actions. J Strength Cond Res 2003; 17 (3): 484-8
- Avela J, Finni T, Liikavainio T, et al. Neural and mechanical responses of the triceps surae muscle group after one hour repeated fast passive stretches. J Appl Physiol 2004; 96: 2325-32
- Cramer JT, Housh TJ, Johnson GO, et al. Acute effects of static stretching on peak torque in women. J Strength Cond Res 2004; 18 (2): 236-41
- Rubini EC, Pereira MIR, Gomes PSC. Acute effect of static and PNF stretching on hip adductor isometric strength. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2005; 37 (5): S183-4
- Power K, Behm D, Cahill F, et al. An acute bout of static stretching: effects on force and jumping performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004; 36 (8): 1389-96
- Nelson AG, Kokkonen J, Eldredge C. Strength inhibition following an acute stretch is not limited to novice stretchers. Res Q Exerc Sport 2005; 76 (4): 500-6
- Marek SM, Cramer JT, Fincher AL, et al. Acute effects of static and proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation stretching on muscle strength and power output. J Athl Train 2005; 40 (2): 94-103
- Mello ML, Gomes PSC. Acute effect of static and PNF stretching on dominant knee flexion and extension strength [abstract]. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2005; 37 (5): S183
- Cramer JT, Housh TJ, Weir JP, et al. The acute effects of static stretching on peak torque, mean power output, electromyography, and mechanomyography. Eur J Appl Physiol 2005; 93: 530-9
- Derek EW, Tingley J, Elder GCB. Acute passive stretching alters the mechanical properties of human plantar flexors and the optimal angle for maximal voluntary contraction. Eur J Appl Physiol 2005; 93: 614-23
- Behm DG, Bradbury EE, Haynes AT, et al. Flexibility is not related to stretch-induced deficits in force or power. J Sports Sci Med 2006; 5: 33-42

- Brandenburg JP. Duration of stretch does not influence the degree of force loss following static stretching. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2006; 46 (4): 526-34
- Yamaguchi T, Ishii K, Yamanak M, et al. Acute effect of static stretching on power output during concentric dynamic constant external resistance leg extension. J Strength Cond Res 2006; 20 (4): 804-10
- Shrier I, Gossal K. Myths and truths of stretching; individualized recommendations for healthy muscles. Phys Sportsmed 2000; 28 (8): 57-60
- Cheung K, Hume PA, Maxwell L. Delayed onset muscle soreness treatment strategies and performance factors. Sports Med 2003; 33 (2): 145-64
- Witvrouw E, Mahieu N, Danneels L, et al. Stretching and injury prevention: an obscure relationship. Sports Med 2004; 34 (7): 443-9
- Nelson RT, Bandy WD. An update on flexibility. Nat Strength Cond Assoc J 2005; 27 (1): 10-6
- Muir IW, Chesworth BM, Vandervoort AA. Effect of a static calf-stretching exercise on the resistive torque during passive ankle dorsiflexion in healthy subjects. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1999; 29: 106-15
- 36. Mello ML, Gomes PSC. Efeito agudo de diferentes durações de alongamento sobre o pico de torque em membro inferior dominante: estudo piloto. Annals of XXV Simpósio Internacional de Ciências do Esporte; 2002 October 10-12; São Paulo
- Garrison TT, Nelson AG, Welsch MA, et al. The effect of acute muscle stretching on maximal voluntary isokinetic torque production in older adults [abstract]. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002; 34 (5): S178
- Cramer JT, Housh TJ, Weir JP, et al. Acute effects of static stretching on torque, power, electromyography, and mechanomyography during eccentric muscle actions [abstract]. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004; 36 (5): S342
- Behm DG, Bambury A, Cahill F, et al. Effect of acute static stretching on force, balance, reaction time, and movement time. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004; 36 (8): 1397-402
- Egan AD, Cramer JT, Massey LL, et al. Acute effects of static stretching on peak torque and mean power output in national collegiate athletic association division I women's basketball players. J Strength Cond Res 2006; 20 (4): 778-82
- 41. Bandeira CBU, Mello ML, Pereira MIR, et al. Efeito do tempo de alongamento sobre o pico de torque na articulação do quadril em bailarinas. Annals of XXVI Simpósio Internacional de Ciências do Esporte; 2003 October 9-11; São Paulo
- Taylor DC, Dalton JD, Seaber AV, et al. Viscoelastic properties of muscle-tendon units: the biomechanical effects of stretching. Am J Sports Med 1990; 18 (3): 300-9
- Borms J, Van Roy P, Santens J, et al. Optimal duration of static stretching exercises for improvement of coxo-femoral flexibility. J Sports Sci 1987; 5: 39-47
- Madding SW, Wong JG, Hallum A, et al. Effect of duration of passive stretch on hip abduction range of motion. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1987; 8 (8): 409-16
- Bandy WD, Irion JM. The effect of time on static stretch on the flexibility of the hamstring muscles. Phys Ther 1994; 74 (9): 54-61
- Bandy WD, Irion JM, Briggler M. The effect of time and frequency of static stretching on flexibility of the hamstring muscle. Phys Ther 1997; 77 (10): 1090-6
- 47. Feland JB, Myrer JW, Schulthies SS, et al. The effect of duration of stretching of the hamstring muscle group for in-

creasing range of motion in people aged 65 years or older. Phys Ther 2001; 81 (5): 1100-17

- Church JB, Wiggins MS, Moode FM, et al. Effect of warm-up and flexibility treatments on vertical jump performance. J Strength Cond Res 2001; 15 (3): 332-6
- Knudson D, Bennett K, Corn R, et al. Acute effects of stretching are not evident in the kinematics of the vertical jump. J Strength Cond Res 2001; 15 (1): 98-101
- Serzedelo Corrêa AC, Pereira MIR, Gomes PSC. Influência do alongamento no desempenho de saltos. Annals of XXVI Simpósio Internacional de Ciência do Esporte; 2003 October 9-11; São Paulo
- Unick J, Kieffer HS, Cheesman W, et al. The acute effects of static and ballistic stretching on vertical jump performance in trained women. J Strength Cond Res 2005; 19 (1): 206-12
- Cornwell A, Nelson AG, Heise GD, et al. Acute effects of passive muscle stretching on vertical jump performance. J Hum Mov Stud 2001; 40: 307-24
- Cornwell A, Nelson AG, Sidaway B. Acute effects of stretching on the neuromechanical properties of the triceps surae muscle complex. Eur J Appl Physiol 2002; 86: 428-34
- Young WB, Behm DG. Effects of running, static stretching and practice jumps on explosive force production and jumping performance. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2003; 43: 21-7
- Wallmann HW, Mercer JA, McWhorter JW. Surface electromyographic assessment of the effect of static stretching of the gastrocnemius on vertical jump performance. J Strength Cond Res 2005; 19 (3): 684-8
- Worrel TW, Smith TL, Winegardner J. Effect of hamstring stretching on hamstring muscle performance. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1994; 20 (3): 154-9
- Handel M, Horstmann T, Dickhuth HH, et al. Effects of contract-relax stretching training on muscle performance in athletes. Eur J Appl Physiol 1997; 76: 400-8
- Goldspink DF, Cox VM, Smith SK, et al. Muscle growth in response to mechanical stimuli. Am J Physiol 1995; 286: 288-97
- Alway SE. Force and contractile characteristics after stretch overload in quail anterior latissimus dorsi muscle. J Appl Physiol 1994; 77 (1): 135-41
- Yang S, Alnaqeeb M, Simpson H, et al. Changes in muscle fibre type, muscle mass and IGF-I gene expression in rabbit skeletal muscle subjected to stretch. J Anat 1997; 190: 613-22
- Rosenbaum D, Henning EM. The influence of stretching and warm-up exercises on Achilles tendon reflex activity. J Sports Sci 1995; 13: 481-90
- McHugh MP, Magnusson SP, Gleim GW, et al. Viscoelastic stress relaxation in human skeletal muscle. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1992; 24 (12): 1375-82
- Avela J, Kyröläinen H, Komi PV, et al. Reduced reflex sensitivity persists several days after long-lasting stretch-shortening cycle exercise. J Appl Physiol 1999; 86 (4): 1292-300
- Halbertsma JPK, Göeken LNH. Stretching exercises: effect on passive extensibility and stiffness in short hamstrings of healthy subjects. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1994; 75: 976-81
- Magnusson SP, Simonsen EB, Aagaard P, et al. A mechanism for altered flexibility in human skeletal muscle. J Physiol 1996; 497 (1): 291-8
- Halbertsma JPK, Bolhuis AIV, Göeken LNH. Sport stretching: effect on passive muscle stiffness of short hamstrings. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1996; 77: 688-92

- Toft E, Sinkjaer T, Kalund S, et al. Biomechanical properties of the human ankle in relation to passive stretch. J Biomech 1989; 22 (11/12): 1129-32
- Toft E, Espersen GT, Kalund S, et al. Passive tension of the ankle before and after stretching. Am J Sports Med 1989; 17 (4): 489-94
- Kubo K, Kanehisa H, Kawakami Y, et al. Influence of static stretching on viscoelastic properties of human tendon structures in vivo. J Appl Physiol 2001; 90: 520-7
- Kubo K, Kanehisa H, Fukunaga T. Effects of resistance and stretching training programmes on the viscoelastic properties of human tendon structures in vivo. J Appl Physiol 2002; 538 (1): 219-26
- Kubo K, Kanehisa H, Fukunaga T. Effect of stretching training on the viscoelastic properties of human tendon structures in vivo. J Appl Physiol 2002; 92: 595-601
- Edman KA, Tsuchiya T. Strain of passive elements during force enhancement by stretch in frog muscle fibres. J Physiol 1996; 490 (1): 191-205
- Minajeva A, Kulke M, Fernandez JM, et al. Unfolding of titin domains explains the viscoelastic behavior of skeletal myofibrils. Biophys J 2001; 80: 1442-51
- Tskhovrebova L, Trinick J. Flexibility and extensibility in the titin molecule: analysis of electron microscope data. J Mol Biol 2001; 310: 755-71
- Rubini EC, Gomes PSC. Protein titin and its application on muscle elasticity: a short review. Revista Brasileira de Fisiologia do Exercício 2004; 3 (1): 26-30
- De Deyne PG. Application of passive stretch and its implications for muscle fibers. Phys Ther 2001; 81 (2): 819-27
- Williams P, Watt P, Bicik V, et al. Effect of stretch combined with electrical stimulation on the type of sarcomeres produced at the ends of muscle fibers. Exp Neurol 1986; 93: 500-9
- Williams PE, Goldspink G. The effect of immobilization on the longitudinal growth of striated muscle fibres. J Anat 1973; 116 (1): 45-55

- Williams PE. Use of intermittent stretch in the prevention of serial sarcomere loss in immobilised muscle. Ann Rheum Dis 1990; 49: 316-7
- Williams PE, Goldspink G. Changes in sarcomere length and physiological properties in immobilized muscle. J Anat 1978; 127 (3): 459-68
- Goldspink DF. The influence of immobilization and stretch on protein turnover of rat skeletal muscle. J Physiol 1997; 264: 267-82
- Yang S, Alnaqeeb M, Simpson H, et al. Cloning and characterization of an IGF-1 isoform expressed in skeletal muscle subjected to stretch. J Muscle Res Cell Motil 1996; 17: 487-95
- Goldspink G. Mechanical signals, IGF-1 gene splicing, and muscle adaptation. Physiology 2005; 20: 232-8
- Goldspink G, Williams P, Simpson H. Gene expression in response to muscle stretch. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2002; 403: S146-52
- Hill M, Goldspink G. Expression and splicing of the insulin-like growth factor gene in rodent muscle is associated with muscle satellite (stem) cell activation following local tissue damage. J Physiol 2003; 549 (2): 409-18
- Goldspink G. Gene expression in skeletal muscle. Biochem Soc Trans 2002; 30: 285-90

Correspondence and offprints: Professor *Paulo S.C. Gomes*, Centro de Pesquisas Interdisciplinares em Saúde, Universidade Gama Filho, Rua Manoel Vitorino 625, Piedade, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 20748-900, Brazil.

E-mail: crossbridges@ugf.br

Copyright of Sports Medicine is the property of ADIS International Limited and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.