

Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 8, 2014, no. 102, 5079 - 5082
HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com
<http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/ams.2014.4154>

Inequalities among Related Triplets of Fibonacci Numbers

Sanjay Harne¹, Bijendra Singh², Gurbeer Kaur Khanuja², Manjeet Singh Teeth³

¹Government Holkar Science College, Indore, M.P., India

²School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain, M.P., India

³M.B. Khalsa College, Indore, M.P., India

Copyright © 2014 Sanjay Harne et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

In this paper we consider Fibonacci inequalities and relate them through the sequence $\{m_r\}_{r=1}^n$ defined by where n is a fixed natural number and F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots are the ordinary Fibonacci numbers.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B39

Keywords: Fibonacci sequence

INTRODUCTION

“Fibonacci inequalities” have been studied in a variety of contexts. Atanassov [3] considered the Fibonacci inequalities and relate them through the sequence $\{m_r\}_{r=0}^n$ defined by

$m_r = F_r F_{n+1-r}$, where n is a fixed natural number and F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots are the ordinary Fibonacci numbers as defined in [4]. We consider the similar Fibonacci inequalities and relate them through the sequence $\{m_r\}_{r=1}^n$ defined by $m_r = F_r F_{n+1-r} F_{n+2-r}$, where n is a fixed natural number and F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots are the ordinary Fibonacci numbers.

Theorem: For every natural number k , the following inequalities for the elements of the sequence $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are valid :

(a) For $n = 4k$,

$$(i) F_1 F_{4k} F_{4k+1} > F_3 F_{4k-2} F_{4k-1} > \dots > F_{2k+1} F_{2k} F_{2k+1} > F_{2k+2} F_{2k-1} F_{2k} > F_{2k+4} F_{2k-3} F_{2k-2} > \dots > F_{4k} F_1 F_2$$

(ii)

$$F_2 F_{4k-1} F_{4k} > F_4 F_{4k-3} F_{4k-2} > \dots > F_{2k} F_{2k+1} F_{2k+2} > F_{2k+1} F_{2k} F_{2k+1} > F_{2k+3} F_{2k-2} F_{2k-1} > \dots > F_{4k-1} F_2 F_3$$

(b) For $n = 4k+1$,

(i)

$$F_1 F_{4k+1} F_{4k+2} > F_3 F_{4k-1} F_{4k} > \dots > F_{2k+1} F_{2k+1} F_{2k+2} > F_{2k+2} F_{2k} F_{2k+1} > F_{2k+4} F_{2k-2} F_{2k-1} > \dots > F_{4k} F_2 F_3$$

(ii)

$$F_2 F_{4k} F_{4k+1} > F_4 F_{4k-2} F_{4k-1} > \dots > F_{2k} F_{2k+2} F_{2k+3} > F_{2k+1} F_{2k+1} F_{2k+2} > F_{2k+3} F_{2k-1} F_{2k} > \dots > F_{4k+1} F_1 F_2$$

(c) For $n = 4k+2$,

(i)

$$F_1 F_{4k+2} F_{4k+3} > F_3 F_{4k} F_{4k+1} > \dots > F_{2k+1} F_{2k+2} F_{2k+3} > F_{2k+2} F_{2k+1} F_{2k+2} > F_{2k+4} F_{2k-1} F_{2k} > \dots > F_{4k+2} F_1 F_2$$

(ii)

$$F_2 F_{4k+1} F_{4k+2} > F_4 F_{4k-1} F_{4k} > \dots > F_{2k} F_{2k+3} F_{2k+4} > F_{2k+1} F_{2k+2} F_{2k+3} > F_{2k+3} F_{2k} F_{2k+1} > \dots > F_{4k+1} F_2 F_3$$

(d) For $n = 4k+3$,

(i)

$$F_1 F_{4k+3} F_{4k+4} > F_3 F_{4k-1} F_{4k+2} > \dots > F_{2k+1} F_{2k+3} F_{2k+4} > F_{2k+2} F_{2k+2} F_{2k+3} > F_{2k+4} F_{2k} F_{2k+1} > \dots > F_{4k+2} F_2 F_3$$

(ii)

$$F_2 F_{4k+2} F_{4k+3} > F_4 F_{4k} F_{4k+1} > \dots > F_{2k} F_{2k+4} F_{2k+5} > F_{2k+1} F_{2k+3} F_{2k+4} > F_{2k+3} F_{2k+1} F_{2k+2} > \dots > F_{4k+3} F_1 F_2$$

Proof : case (a)

$$(i) F_1 F_{4k+4} F_{4k+5} - F_3 F_{4k+2} F_{4k+3} \\ = F_{4k+4} F_{4k+3} + F_{4k+4}^2 - 2F_{4k+2} F_{4k+3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= F_{4k+3}^2 + F_{4k+4}^2 - F_{4k+2}F_{4k+3} \\
 &= F_{4k+4}^2 + F_{4k+3}(F_{4k+3} - F_{4k+2}) > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $F_1F_{4k+4}F_{4k+5} > F_3F_{4k+2}F_{4k+3}$.

This shows that the inequality (1.1) is valid for $i = 1$.

$$F_{2i-1}F_{4k-2i+6}F_{4k-2i+7} > F_{2i+1}F_{4k-2i+4}F_{4k-2i+5} \dots\dots\dots(1.1)$$

Let us assume that, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, the inequality (1.1) is true.

For desired result, we use induction method and prove that the inequality

$$F_{2i+1}F_{4k-2i+4}F_{4k-2i+5} > F_{2i+3}F_{4k-2i+2}F_{4k-2i+3} \dots\dots\dots(1.2)$$

is also true.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{But, } &F_{2i+1}F_{4k-2i+4}F_{4k-2i+5} - F_{2i+3}F_{4k-2i+2}F_{4k-2i+3} \\
 &= 2F_{4k-2i+3}^2F_{2i+1} + 3F_{4k-2i+3}F_{4k-2i+2}F_{2i+1} + F_{4k-2i+2}^2F_{2i+1} - F_{2i+2}F_{4k-2i+2}F_{4k-2i+3} - F_{2i+1}F_{4k-2i+2}F_{4k-2i+3} \\
 &= 2F_{4k-2i+3}^2F_{2i+1} + F_{4k-2i+2}^2F_{2i+1} + (2F_{2i+1} - F_{2i+2})F_{4k-2i+2}F_{4k-2i+3} \\
 &= 2F_{4k-2i+3}^2F_{2i+1} + F_{4k-2i+2}^2F_{2i+1} + (F_{2i+1} - F_{2i})F_{4k-2i+2}F_{4k-2i+3} > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now, (ii) } &F_2F_{4k+3}F_{4k+4} - F_4F_{4k+1}F_{4k+2} \\
 &= (F_{4k+1} + F_{4k+2})(F_{4k+1} + 2F_{4k+2}) - 3F_{4k+1}F_{4k+2} \\
 &= F_{4k+1}^2 + 2F_{4k+2}^2 > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $F_2F_{4k+3}F_{4k+4} > F_4F_{4k+1}F_{4k+2}$

This shows that the inequality (1.3) is valid for $i = 1$.

$$F_{2i}F_{4k-2i+5}F_{4k-2i+6} > F_{2i+2}F_{4k-2i+3}F_{4k-2i+4} \dots\dots\dots(1.3)$$

Let us assume that for some i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ the inequality (1.3) is true.

Then we must prove that the inequality (1.4) is also true.

$$F_{2i+2}F_{4k-2i+3}F_{4k-2i+4} > F_{2i+4}F_{4k-2i+1}F_{4k-2i+2} \dots\dots\dots(1.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{But, } &F_{2i+2}F_{4k-2i+3}F_{4k-2i+4} - F_{2i+4}F_{4k-2i+1}F_{4k-2i+2} \\
 &= F_{2i+2}(F_{4k-2i+1} + F_{4k-2i+2})(2F_{4k-2i+2} + F_{4k-2i+1}) - (F_{2i+1} + 2F_{2i+2})F_{4k-2i+1}F_{4k-2i+2} \\
 &= F_{2i+2}(3F_{4k-2i+1}F_{4k-2i+2} + F_{4k-2i+1}^2 + 2F_{4k-2i+2}^2) - (F_{2i+1} + 2F_{2i+2})F_{4k-2i+1}F_{4k-2i+2} > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the inequality (1.4) is true and hence (ii) is true.

Particularly, when $k = 3$, n becomes 12 which gives the following two results:

- 1) $F_1F_{12}F_{13} > F_3F_{10}F_{11} > F_5F_8F_9 > F_7F_6F_7 > F_8F_5F_6 > F_{10}F_3F_4 > F_{12}F_1F_2$

$$2) F_2 F_{11} F_{12} > F_4 F_9 F_{10} > F_6 F_7 F_8 > F_7 F_6 F_7 > F_9 F_4 F_5 > F_{11} F_2 F_3$$

Similarly other cases can be proved.

Corollary : For every natural number n the maximal element [1] and [2] of the sequence

$$\{m_k\}_{k=1}^n \text{ is } F_1 F_n F_{n+1} \text{ and the minimal element is } F_n F_1 F_2.$$

REFERENCES

1. A.F. Alameddine, "Bounds on the Fibonacci Number of a Maximal Outerplanar Graph", The Fibonacci Quarterly 36.3(1998): 206-10.
2. K.T. Atanassov. "One Extremal Problem" Bulletin of Number Theory & Related Topics 8.3 (1984): 6-12.
3. K.T. Atanassov, Ron Knott, Kiyota Ozeki, A.G. Shannon, Laszlo Szalay, "Inequalities among related pairs of Fibonacci Numbers", The Fibonacci Quarterly (Feb. 2003):20-22.
4. V.E. Hoggatt, Jr. Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers, p. 59, Boston: Houghton-Mifflin, 1969.

Received: January 15, 2014