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#### Abstract

We consider the wave equation with a fractional damping of order between 0 and 1 and a polynomial source. Introducing a new functional and using an argument due to Georgiev and Todorova [1] together with some appropriate estimates, it is proved that some solutions blow up in finite time.


## 1. Introduction

We are concerned by the following integro-differential problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t t}+\partial_{t}^{1+\alpha} u=\Delta u+a|u|^{p-1} u, & x \in \Omega, t>0  \tag{1}\\ u(x, t)=0, & x \in \partial \Omega, t>0 \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), & x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$

[^0]Heldermann Verlag.
where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. The functions $u_{0}(x)$ and $u_{1}(x)$ are given. The constants $a, p$ and $\alpha$ are such that $a>0, p>1$ and $-1<\alpha<1$. The notation $\partial_{t}^{1+\alpha}$ stands for the Caputo's fractional derivative of order $1+\alpha$ with respect to the time variable (see [6]). It is defined as follows
$$
\partial_{t}^{1+\alpha} w(t):=I^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d t} w(t) \quad \text { for }-1<\alpha<0
$$
and
$$
\partial_{t}^{1+\alpha} w(t):=I^{1-\alpha} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} w(t) \quad \text { for } 0<\alpha<1
$$
where $I^{\beta}, \beta>0$ is the fractional integral
$$
I^{\beta} w(t):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\beta-1} w(s) d s
$$

See also [5] and [7] for more on fractional integrals and derivatives.
Fractional integrals and derivatives are used to describe memory and hereditary properties of various materials and processes. They have wide applications in physics, chemistry, biology, ecology, ... etc., see [5]-[7] and references therein.

The present problem (with $a=0$ ) has been studied by Matignon et al. in [3]. The authors proved some results on well posedness and asymptotic stability. It should be noted here that the direct application of the existing methods for this case poses some difficulties due to the dependence of the solution on the whole past history and the nature of the kernel in the time convolution term. This kernel is not only singular but also non-integrable on $(0,+\infty)$. To get rid of this nonlocal term, the authors managed to transform the problem from a hereditary problem to a non-hereditary one and then used the standard methods available in the literature.

This paper is a continuation of earlier works by the second author (see [2], [8], [9]). In [2], we proved (with M. Kirane) an exponential growth result (for any power of the polynomial source) provided that the initial data are sufficiently large, in fact, for very large initial data. Then this result has been improved, using a new argument, to a wide set of initial data in [8]. In [9], using an argument involving Fourier transforms and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we proved a finite time blow up of solutions. Namely, the result was that, for any fixed time $T>0$, there exist $0<T^{*} \leq T$ and sufficiently large initial data (depending on $T$ ) for which $u$ blows up at $T^{*}$. One of the main difficulties encountered is that the "energy" functional is not necessarily decreasing (in time). Indeed, the derivative of the "energy" associated to the problem is of an undefined sign. Here we shall prove finite time blow up without the dependence of the initial data
on the time variable $T$. For this goal, we introduce a new functional which "controls" some "undesirable" terms that appear while using the Georgiev and Todorova [1] argument (see also Messaoudi [4]). We mention here that, combining ideas of Matignon et al. [3] and Georgiev and Todorova [1], we obtain the following local existence result

Theorem. Suppose that $p>1$ if $N=1$ or 2 and $p \leq N /(N-2)$ if $N \geq 3$. For every initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$, there is $T>0$ and a unique weak solution $(u(t), v(t))$ of (1) such that $u \in C\left([0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $C^{1}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and $v \equiv u_{t} \in L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$.

The paper is organized as follows:
In the next section, we prepare some material that we shall need to prove our result. Section 3 contains the statement and proof of our result.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we present some definitions and introduce some functionals needed to prove our theorem. In addition, we prove a crucial proposition for our result. Without loss of generality, we will take $a=1$ in the whole paper. Furthermore we will consider only the case $-1<\alpha<0$. The classical energy functional associated to problem (1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t):=\int_{\Omega}\left\{\frac{1}{2} u_{t}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right\} d x . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\frac{d E(t)}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{t}(s) d s d x
$$

Note that this derivative is of an undetermined sign. Let us define the "modified" energy functional by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}(t):=E(t)-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\varepsilon<1$ to be determined later. Then a differentiation of (3) gives

$$
E_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{s}(s) d s d x-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{s}(s) d s d x-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note here (see the theorem in the introduction) that the solutions are weak, that is

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(v(t), w)_{2}+\left(\partial_{t}^{\alpha+1} u(t), w\right)_{2}+(\nabla u(t), \nabla w)_{2}=\left(|u|^{p-1} u(t), w\right)_{2}
$$

a.e. in $(0, T)$ and every $w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Therefore, since we are dealing with the energy of the system and the multiplier technique, formula (4) and all the computation below are justified. In particular, as $u_{t} \in L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$, the scalar product of $\partial_{t}^{\alpha+1} u(t)$ with any $w \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ is well defined. Next, we introduce the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t):=-\left(e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} E_{\varepsilon}(t)+\mu F(t)+d\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t):=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} G(t-s) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} u_{s}^{2} d x d s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t):=e^{\beta t} \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\beta s} s^{-(\alpha+1)} d s \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\sigma=(p+1) / 2$ and $d, \mu, \beta$ are positive constants that will be precised below.

Proposition 1. If $E_{\varepsilon}(0)<0$ and $p$ is sufficiently large, then $H(t)>0$ and $H^{\prime}(t)>0$.

Proof. A differentiation of (4) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
H^{\prime}(t)=\sigma \varepsilon e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} E_{\varepsilon}(t)-e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} E_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)-\mu F^{\prime}(t)
$$

Taking into account the definitions (2) and (3), the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\prime}(t)= & \beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\beta F(t) \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} u_{s}^{2} d x d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{\prime}(t)=\left[\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{2}+\varepsilon-\mu \beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x-\sigma \varepsilon^{2} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x \\
& +\left(\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{2}-\varepsilon\right) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\left(\varepsilon-\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{p+1}\right) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \\
& +\frac{e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{s}(s) d s d x \\
& -\frac{\varepsilon e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{s}(s) d s d x \\
& +\mu \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} u_{s}^{2} d x d s-\mu \beta F(t) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Young inequality, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{s}(s) d s d x \\
& \leq \delta_{1} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{s}(s) d s\right)^{2} d x, \quad \delta_{1}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Writing $-(\alpha+1)=-(\alpha+1) / 2-(\alpha+1) / 2$ and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{s}(s) d s d x  \tag{9}\\
& \leq \delta_{1} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{(\sigma \varepsilon)^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)}{4 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} u_{s}^{2} d s d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, with the help of the Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$
e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{s}(s) d s d x \leq \delta_{2} C_{p} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{(\sigma \varepsilon)^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)}{4 \delta_{2}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} u_{s}^{2} d s d x, \delta_{2}>0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is the Poincaré constant. Now from (9), (10) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x \leq \delta_{3} C_{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{3}} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x \delta_{3}>0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we infer from (8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{\prime}(t) \geq & {\left[\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{2}+\varepsilon-\mu \beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)-\frac{\sigma \varepsilon^{2}}{4 \delta_{3}}-\frac{\delta_{1}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x } \\
& +\left[\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{2}-\varepsilon-\sigma \varepsilon^{2} \delta_{3} C_{p}-\frac{\varepsilon \delta_{2} C_{p}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& +\varepsilon\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{p+1}\right) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\mu \beta F(t)  \tag{12}\\
& +\left[\mu-\frac{(\sigma \varepsilon)^{\alpha}}{4 \delta_{1}}-\frac{\varepsilon(\sigma \varepsilon)^{\alpha}}{4 \delta_{2}}\right] \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} u_{s}^{2} d x d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Adding and substracting $C_{1} H(t)$ to the right hand side of (12) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{\prime}(t) \geq C_{1} H(t)+\left[\frac{C_{1}}{2}+\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{2}+\varepsilon-\mu \beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)-\frac{\sigma \varepsilon^{2}}{4 \delta_{3}}-\frac{\delta_{1}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}\right]  \tag{13}\\
& \times e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\left[\frac{C_{1}}{2}+\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{2}-\varepsilon-\sigma \varepsilon^{2} \delta_{3} C_{p}-\frac{\varepsilon \delta_{2} C_{p}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& -C_{1} \varepsilon e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x+\left[\varepsilon\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{p+1}\right)-\frac{C_{1}}{p+1}\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x+C_{1} d \\
& +\left(C_{1}-\beta\right) \mu F(t)+\left[\mu-\frac{(\sigma \varepsilon)^{\alpha}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{1}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{2}}\right)\right] \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} u_{s}^{2} d x d s .
\end{align*}
$$

We apply inequality (11) to the fourth term in the right hand side of (13) and choose $C_{1}=(p+1) / 2 \varepsilon, \delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=\Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon / 2$ and $\delta_{3}=1 / 2$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(t) \geq & \frac{p+1}{2} \varepsilon H(t)+\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}-\mu \beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left[p-1-(p+2) C_{p} \varepsilon\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\left(\frac{p+1}{2} \varepsilon-\beta\right) \mu F(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\left[\mu-\frac{(p+1)^{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha}}{2^{1+\alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+1\right)\right] \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} u_{s}^{2} d x d s
$$

Selecting

$$
\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{1}:=\min \left(1, \frac{p-1}{2(p+2) C_{p}}\right)
$$

we see that the coefficient of the third term in the right hand side of the previous relation is greater than $(p-1) \varepsilon / 4$. Observe that if $C_{p}<1 / 2$ and $p \geq \frac{1+4 C_{p}}{1-2 C_{p}}$, then $(p-1) /\left[2(p+2) C_{p}\right] \geq 1$ and this condition reduces to $\varepsilon<1$ which is already in the definition (3). Next, putting $\beta=1$ and assuming that $p+1 \geq(2 / \varepsilon)^{1-2 / \alpha}$, it appears that the fourth coefficient is nonnegative. If $C_{p} \geq 1 / 2$, then $(p-1)\left[2(p+2) C_{p}\right]<1$ and we may easily check that both assumptions are satisfied for sufficiently larges values of $p$. Moreover, we can choose $\mu$ so that the second coefficient is nonnegative and the last coefficient is greater than $(p+1)^{\alpha} /\left[2^{1+\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\right]$. Consequently, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{\prime}(t) \geq & \frac{p+1}{2} \varepsilon H(t)+\frac{p-1}{4} \varepsilon e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x  \tag{14}\\
& +\frac{(p+1)^{\alpha}}{2^{1+\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} u_{s}^{2} d x d s
\end{align*}
$$

This relation will be of great help in the proof of the result in the next section. If we select $d<-E_{\varepsilon}(0)$, then $H(0)>0$. Observe then, as a consequence of $(14)$, that $H(t)>0$ and $H^{\prime}(t)>0$.

## 3. The theorem

In this section, we prove our theorem which ensures blow up of solutions provided that the initial energy is negative.

Theorem 1. Assume that $-1<\alpha<0, E(0)<0$ and $\int_{\Omega} u_{1} u_{0} d x \geq 0$. Then the solutions of (1) blow up in finite time for sufficiently large values of $p$.

Proof. Let us define

$$
Q(t):=H^{1-\gamma}(t)+b e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x
$$

where $\gamma=p-1 /[2(p+1)]$ and $b$ is a positive constant to be determined. A differentiation of $Q(t)$ with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q^{\prime}(t)= \\
&+(1-\gamma) H^{-\gamma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)-b \sigma \varepsilon e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x+b e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x \\
&\left\{-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_{s}(s) d s d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (11) and (10) (without the Poincaré inequality for the first term) with the constants $\delta_{4}>0$ and $\delta_{5}>0$ respectively, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{\prime}(t) \geq(1-\gamma) H^{-\gamma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)-b\left(1+\delta_{4} C_{p} \sigma \varepsilon\right) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& +b\left(1-\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{4 \delta_{4}}\right) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+b e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\frac{b \delta_{5}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{b 2^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon}{\delta_{5}(p+1)^{\alpha}} H^{\prime}(t)+\frac{b \sigma^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha-2} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon^{2}}{\delta_{5}(p+1)^{\alpha-1}} H(t) \\
& +\frac{b 2^{\alpha-3} \sigma^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)(p-1) \varepsilon^{2}}{\delta_{5}(p+1)^{\alpha}} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last relation (15) we also made use of the inequality (14). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{\prime}(t) \geq\left[(1-\gamma) H^{-\gamma}(t)-\frac{b 2^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon}{\delta_{5}(p+1)^{\alpha}}\right] H^{\prime}(t)+\frac{b \sigma^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha-2} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon^{2}}{\delta_{5}(p+1)^{\alpha-1}} H(t) \\
& -b\left[1+\delta_{4} C_{p} \sigma \varepsilon-\frac{2^{\alpha-3} \sigma^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)(p-1) \varepsilon^{2}}{\delta_{5}(p+1)^{\alpha}}\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& +b\left(1-\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{4 \delta_{4}}\right) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x \\
& +b e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\frac{b \delta_{5}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we pick $\delta_{5}=M H^{\gamma}(t)$ and add and substract the same term $H(t)$ to both sides of (16) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q^{\prime}(t) \geq\left[(1-\gamma)-\frac{b 2^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon}{M(p+1)^{\alpha}}\right] H^{-\gamma}(t) H^{\prime}(t) \\
& +\left[1+\frac{b \sigma^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha-2} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon^{2}}{\delta_{5}(p+1)^{\alpha-1}}\right] H(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{b 2^{\alpha-3} \sigma^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)(p-1) \varepsilon^{2}}{M(p+1)^{\alpha}} H^{-\gamma}(t)-b\left(1+\delta_{4} C_{p} \sigma \varepsilon\right)\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& +\mu F(t)+\left[\frac{1}{2}+b\left(1-\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{4 \delta_{4}}\right)\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x-\varepsilon e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x+d \\
& +\left(b-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\frac{b M}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} H^{\gamma}(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The term $\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x$ is estimated by the Young inequality as in (11). As for the term $H^{\gamma}(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x$ we adopt the estimation,

$$
H^{\gamma}(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x d s \leq \frac{C_{2}}{(p+1)^{\gamma}}\left(1+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right) .
$$

This follows from the definition (5) of $H(t)$ and the fact that

$$
\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{1}:=\min \left(1, \frac{p-1}{2(p+2) C_{p}}\right) .
$$

Indeed, from (5) we have

$$
H(t) \leq \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x+\left(\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) .
$$

As $\varepsilon<\min \left(1,(p-1) /\left[2(p+2) C_{p}\right]\right.$ ) (in fact, here we need $\varepsilon<$ $\left.\min \left(1,1 / C_{p}\right)\right)$ and using inequality (11) with $\delta_{3}=1 / 2$ we find $H(t) \leq$ $1 /(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x$. By Hölder's inequality we see that

$$
H^{\gamma}(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x d s \leq \frac{C_{2}}{(p+1)^{\gamma}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right)^{\gamma}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right)^{2 /(p+1)}
$$

or

$$
H^{\gamma}(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x d s \leq \frac{C_{2}}{(p+1)^{\gamma}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right)^{\gamma+2 /(p+1)},
$$

for some positive constant $C_{2}$. As $\gamma+2 /(p+1)=(p+3) /[2(p+1)]<1$, it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\gamma}(t) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x d s \leq \frac{C_{2}}{(p+1)^{\gamma}}\left(1+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (11) (with $\delta_{6}>0$ ) and (18) in (17) we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q^{\prime}(t) \geq\left[(1-\gamma)-\frac{b 2^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon}{M(p+1)^{\alpha}}\right] H^{-\gamma}(t) H^{\prime}(t) \\
& +\left[1+\frac{b \sigma^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha-2} \Gamma(-\alpha) \varepsilon^{2}}{\delta_{5}(p+1)^{\alpha-1}}\right] H(t) \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{b 2^{\alpha-3} \sigma^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)(p-1) \varepsilon^{2}}{M(p+1)^{\alpha}} H^{-\gamma}(t)-b\left(1+\delta_{4} C_{p} \sigma \varepsilon\right)-\delta_{6} C_{p} \varepsilon\right] \\
& \times e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\left[\frac{1}{2}+b\left(1-\frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{4 \delta_{4}}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon}{4 \delta_{6}}\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x \\
& +d-\frac{b M C_{2}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)(p+1)^{\gamma}}+\mu F(t) \\
& +\left[b-\frac{1}{p+1}-\frac{b M C_{2}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)(p+1)^{\gamma}}\right] e^{-\sigma \varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first coefficient is nonnegative as soon as $\varepsilon$ is chosen small enough, namely

$$
\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{2}:=\frac{M(1-\gamma)(p+1)^{\gamma}}{b 2^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha)} .
$$

We pick $b=(p+3) /[4(p+1)], \quad \delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=1 / 2$ and $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{3}:=$ $4(p-1) /\left[(p+11)^{2} C_{p}\right]$. It follows that the coefficient of $\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x$ is also nonnegative. If we further impose $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{4}:=4(p+3) /\left[(p+11)^{2}\right]$, then the coefficient of $\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x$ is positive. In fact, it is greater than $1 / 2$. Next, assuming that

$$
M<\frac{\Gamma(-\alpha)(p+1)^{\gamma}}{\left.(p+3) C_{2}\right]} \min \left\{4 d(p+1), \frac{p-1}{2}\right\},
$$

we infer that the coefficient of $\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x$ is bigger than $p-1 /[8(p+1)]$ and the term $d-b M C_{2}\left[\Gamma(-\alpha)(p+1)^{\gamma}\right]$ is nonnegative. Consequently, for $\varepsilon<\min \left\{\varepsilon_{i}: i=1,2,3,4\right\}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}(t) \geq H(t)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{p-1}{8(p+1)} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from the definition of $Q(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}\left\{H(t)+b^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u u_{t}\right| d x\right)^{1 /(1-\gamma)}\right\} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u u_{t}\right| d x\right)^{1 /(1-\gamma)} \leq\left[\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)} \\
& \leq C(|\Omega|, p)\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right)^{1 /[(p+1)(1-\gamma)]} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x\right)^{1 /[2(1-\gamma)]}
\end{aligned}
$$

By our choice of $\gamma$, we have $2(1-\gamma)>1$. Therefore, we can apply Young's inequality and once again Hölder's inequality to get

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u u_{t}\right| d x\right)^{1 /(1-\gamma)} \leq B\left\{\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right)^{2 /[(p+1)(1-2 \gamma)]}\right\}
$$

for some $B=B(|\Omega|, p, \gamma)>0$. But from the value of $\gamma$, we see that the exponent $2 /[(p+1)(1-2 \gamma)]=1$. Hence, taking into account this estimate in (20), we entail

$$
Q(t)^{1 /(1-\gamma)} \leq 2^{1 /(1-\gamma)}\left\{H(t)+b^{1 /(1-\gamma)} B\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right)\right\}
$$

We will arrive at

$$
Q(t)^{1 /(1-\gamma)} \leq K\left\{H(t)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{p-1}{8(p+1)} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right\}
$$

for some $K>0$, which implies by (19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t)^{1 /(1-\gamma)} \leq K Q^{\prime}(t) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $K$ is chosen large enough so that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2^{1 /(1-\gamma)} \leq K \\
2^{1 /(1-\gamma)} b^{1 /(1-\gamma)} B \leq \frac{K}{2} \\
2^{1 /(1-\gamma)} b^{1 /(1-\gamma)} B \leq \frac{p-1}{8(p+1)} K
\end{array}\right.
$$

That is $K$ has to be selected so that

$$
K \geq 2^{1 /(1-\gamma)} \max \left\{1, \frac{8(p+1)}{p-1} b^{1 /(1-\gamma)} B\right\}
$$

From (19) it is clear that $Q^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$. Hence, by the definition of $Q(t)$ and the hypotheses on the initial data, we have $Q(t) \geq Q(0)>b \int_{\Omega} u_{1} u_{0} d x \geq 0$.

Thus $Q(t)>0$. Integrating (21) over ( $0, t$ ), we find

$$
Q(t)^{\gamma /(1-\gamma)} \geq \frac{1}{Q(0)^{-\gamma(1-\gamma)}-\frac{\gamma}{K(1-\gamma)} t}
$$

Consequently, $Q(t)$ blows up at some time

$$
T^{*} \leq \frac{K(1-\gamma) Q(0)^{-\gamma /(1-\gamma)}}{\gamma}
$$
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