Aquat. Sci. (2010) 72:467–483 DOI 10.1007/s00027-010-0149-4

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Phytoplankton community reorganization driven by eutrophication and warming in Lake Biwa

Chih Hao Hsieh · Kanako Ishikawa · Yoichiro Sakai · Toshiyuki Ishikawa · Satoshi Ichise · Yoshimasa Yamamoto · Ting Chun Kuo · Ho Dong Park · Norio Yamamura · Michio Kumagai

Received: 13 November 2009/Accepted: 26 May 2010/Published online: 24 June 2010 © Springer Basel AG 2010

Abstract We compiled and analyzed long-term data, including chemical, physical and phytoplankton community data, for the Lake Biwa ecosystem from 1962 to 2003. Analyses on environmental data indicate that Lake Biwa had experienced intensified eutrophication (according to total phosphorus concentration) in the late 1960s and returned to a less eutrophic status around 1985, and then exhibited rapid warming and thus increased water column stability since 1990. Total phytoplankton cell volume

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00027-010-0149-4) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

C. H. Hsieh (⊠) · T. C. Kuo Institute of Oceanography and Institute of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, National Taiwan University, No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd, Taipei 10617, Taiwan e-mail: chsieh@ntu.edu.tw

K. Ishikawa · T. Ishikawa · S. Ichise · M. Kumagai Lake Biwa Environmental Research Institute, 5-34 Yanagasaki, Otsu, Shiga 520-0022, Japan

Y. Sakai Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Hirano 2-509-3, Otsu, Shiga 520-2113, Japan

Y. Yamamoto

Research Center for Environmental Changes, Academia Sinica, No. 128, Sec. 2, Academia Rd, Taipei 11529, Taiwan

H. D. Park

Department of Environmental Science, Shinshu University, Asahi 3-1-1, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan

N. Yamamura

Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, 457-4 Motoyama, Kamigamo, Kita-ku, Kyoto 603-8047, Japan

largely followed the trend of total phosphorus concentration, albeit short-term fluctuations existed. However, phytoplankton community shifted dramatically in response to those changes of environmental states. These shifts were cause by changes in trophic status driven by phosphorus loadings and physical properties in the water column driven by warming. Moreover, most phytoplankton species did not show a strong linear correlation with environmental variables, suggesting nonlinear transitions among different states.

Keywords Ecological characteristics · Multiple stable states · Nutrient loading · Total phytoplankton volume · Water column stratification

Introduction

Anthropogenic impacts and climate effects on ecosystems are pressing concerns. For lake systems, one of the most serious anthropogenic impacts is eutrophication, and this issue has been studied for a 100 years and remains a serious concern today (Schindler 2006; Smith et al. 2006). Eutrophication of lakes caused by domestic sewage was well known in history and often was associated with an increase in phytoplankton abundance (Hasler 1947; Edmondson 1956; Davis 1964). In some lakes, phytoplankton abundance was found to track closely the eutrophic condition of the lakes (often measured by the total phosphorus, TP, in the water column). When TP increased, phytoplankton abundance increased, and to some extreme state, a phytoplankton bloom occurred; when TP decreased, phytoplankton reversed to its original abundance (Edmondson 1970; Ruggiu et al. 1998; Kohler and Hoeg 2000). However, in many other lakes, when TP

Aquatic Sciences

decreased, phytoplankton abundance remained high or delayed its decline (Anneville and Pelletier 2000; Horn 2003; Dokulil and Teubner 2005). Recent studies suggest that internal loading (release of phosphorus from the sediments mediated by microbial activities under an anoxic condition) is the main reason for the irreversible phenomenon or delayed response (Carpenter et al. 1998; Carpenter 2005). Theoretically, such phenomena are associated with nonlinear transition among alternative stable states (Scheffer et al. 2001; Hsieh et al. 2005).

Besides the bulk phytoplankton abundance, how phytoplankton communities respond to eutrophication and later oligotrophication is also a subject of intensive research. Some studies indicated that phytoplankton community changes responding to the trophic condition of lake was symmetrical; that is, phytoplankton community would return to its original structure when the trophic status of lake recovered (Sommer et al. 1993; Kohler and Hoeg 2000). Other studies indicated that the changes in the phytoplankton community were asymmetrical (Anneville et al. 2002b) or the reversal was delayed (Dokulil and Teubner 2005). Through trophic interactions, eutrophication effects also propagated to zooplankton (Molinero et al. 2006; Anneville et al. 2007).

In addition to eutrophication, warming in the past half century has also drastically influenced lake ecosystems. Increased water temperature resulted in intensified stratification of lakes that caused strong hypolimnetic oxygen depletion (Jankowski et al. 2006). Warming also changed the phenology of lake processes, such as earlier onset of stratification and consequent earlier spring phytoplankton blooms (Winder and Schindler 2004). Furthermore, warming altered phytoplankton communities, shifted the community structure to small-sized species (Winder and Hunter 2008), and favored the growth of cyanobacteria (Elliott et al. 2006). Moreover, trophic status and warming often had synergistic effects on the phytoplankton community as well as the whole ecosystem (Elliott et al. 2006; Huber et al. 2008; Wilhelm and Adrian 2008).

In this research, we investigated eutrophication and warming effects on the phytoplankton community of Lake Biwa. Lake Biwa is the largest lake in Japan; it contains a high biodiversity, including more than 60 endemic species. It also provides high economic values, including transportation, drinking water, and fisheries (Kumagai 2008). Along with urbanization, Lake Biwa had experienced increasingly nutrient loading since 1960s and subsequently suffered from blooms of *Uroglena americana* since 1977 and cyanobacteria since 1983 (Kumagai 2008). The initialization of eutrophication in the 1960s was reflected in the sediment records, showing pronounced changes in zooplankton composition (Tsugeki et al. 2003). The

progress of eutrophication from the 1960s to early 1980s were revealed from stable nitrogen isotope analysis of sediment core samples and preserved gobiid fish, Isaza, *Chaenogobius isaza* (Ogawa et al. 2001). A water treatment regulation was enforced in 1982, and nutrient loading was progressively reduced and then stabilized after 1985 (Kumagai 2008). However since 1980, the air temperature rose quickly, putting another threat on the Lake Biwa ecosystem.

Due to its important ecological and economic value, Lake Biwa has been intensively monitored by the environmental agency, fisheries agency, and academic institutions. Despite of great amount of effort invested and various data (including physical, chemical, and biological) being collected, no attempt was ever made to compile those data from different agencies. Particularly, detailed phytoplankton community data have been collected; however, only total biovolume was reported in the Japanese literature (Ichise et al. 2001; Ichise et al. 2007). Detailed ecosystemlevel time-series data from Lake Biwa are available, providing us a great opportunity to investigate eutrophication and climate effects on a large temperate lake. In this research, our purposes were to (1) compile and analyze historical (1962-2003) chemical, physical, and phytoplankton data collected by various institutes, and (2) investigate how eutrophication and climate warming affected the phytoplankton community in Lake Biwa. Although seasonal variation of lake environments and seasonal succession of phytoplankton communities were well known (Sommer et al. 1986; Anneville et al. 2002b; Lau and Lane 2002), here we focus on long-term variation and thus investigate only the annual average data in the current study.

Materials and methods

Environmental data

We compiled long-term time-series data from four research institutions. Chemical (concentration of phosphate, nitrate, and ammonium) and physical (water temperature) data from 1961 to 2005 (in Stations 1–5 in Fig. 1) were collected by the Shiga Prefecture Fisheries Experimental Station (SPFES). In addition, silicate data were collected after 1978. Only data from the deepest station (Station 4) are presented here to investigate the whole water column properties. Chemical data were collected monthly at depths of 0.5, 10, 20, 30 m and the bottom (\sim 80 m). For each month, we calculated the averaged value for the surface layer (0–20 m); this surface layer approximates the living habitat of phytoplankton in Lake Biwa. Monthly chemical data for the surface layer are shown in Appendix 1 of



Fig. 1 Map showing sampling stations in Lake Biwa. Stations 1-5 are the Shiga Prefecture Fisheries Experimental stations; station *L* is the long-term monitoring station of the Lake Biwa Environmental Research Institute; station *I* is the environmental monitoring station of the Kyoto University; station *W* is the Hikone Meteorological Station

Supplementary material. Annual averaged values are shown in Fig. 2. We further calculated monthly anomalies by subtracting the long-term monthly mean and averaged them into annual anomalies (Appendix 1 of Supplementary material). In addition to the nutrient concentrations, we also investigated the phosphate/total phosphorus ratio and ammonium/nitrate ratio as indicators to nutrient regimes. Water temperature data from the surface (0.5 m) to the bottom were measured at 5-m intervals. Following Hsieh et al. (2009b), we interpolated the temperature data into 1-m intervals using cubic spline. With the interpolated data, we calculated the thermocline depth defined as the depth where the greatest temperature gradient occurs and computed the maximal buoyancy frequency representing the buoyancy frequency at the thermocline depth, which is indicative of water column stability (Hsieh et al. 2009b). Monthly values, annual averages, and annual anomalies of thermocline depth, maximal buoyancy frequency, and surface (averaged 0-10 m) and bottom (80 m) temperature were provided in Fig. 3 and Appendix 1 of Supplementary material.

We further compiled chlorophyll *a* (chla) concentration data from 1975 to 2005 collected by the Lake Biwa Environmental Research Institute (LBERI, formerly Shiga Prefecture Institute of Public Health and Environmental Science) in Station L (Fig. 1). The chla data were treated in the same manner and presented in Fig. 2 and Appendix 1 of Supplementary material. In fact, the LBERI have also collected similar physical and chemical data as the SPFES at Station L since 1975. Patterns of these LBERI physical and chemical data are consistent with those of SPFES (correlation analyses for each of the aforementioned physical and chemical variables, p < 0.001). Because the SPFES contain longer time series, only SPFES data were used in further analyses.

We used total phosphorus (TP) in the surface layer (<20 m) as a proxy to the trophic status of Lake Biwa. TP data were collected by LBERI (Station L in Fig. 1) only since 1978. To extend the time series backward, we compiled TP data from 1963 to 1980 collected by the Kyoto University (Station I in Fig. 1). We combined these two datasets to form a TP time series (Fig. 2, Appendix 1 of Supplementary material). For the overlapped period (1978– 1980), the TP of these two datasets were at a similar concentration, and thus we took the average of the series for that period. We acknowledge that these two stations are far apart, the two datasets overlap by only 3 years, and it is difficult to test the consistency of these two datasets. However, the combined TP series exhibits a history of changes in trophic condition of Lake Biwa that is consistent with that uncovered by Ogawa et al. (2001) based on sediment records and isaza fish. Therefore, we consider that the TP time series we reconstructed here is representative of the trophic status of Lake Biwa for the past half century.

Since the chla data were only available after 1975, we used 1/Secchi depth as a surrogate of phytoplankton biomass. Monthly Secchi depth data from 1966 to 2000 were collected by the Kyoto University at Station I (Fig. 1). The inverse Secchi depth and chla data are significantly correlated (correlation analysis, p < 0.001). Inverse Secchi depth data are shown in Fig. 2 and Appendix 1 of Supplementary material.

To link the physical environment of the lake to atmospheric forcing, we also compiled air temperature, photosynthetic available radiation (PAR), wind velocity, and precipitation from the Hikone Meteorological Station (Station W in Fig. 1). Note that the PAR data were from the Meteorological Station but not from the water column and may not represent light availability in deeper layers. The turbulent mixing condition of the upper water column was estimated by a wind-mixing index, which is proportional to the cube of the wind velocity (Bakun and Parrish 1991; Hsieh et al. 2009a). The wind mixing index was



Fig. 2 Annual time series of chemical and biological variables: a total phosphorus, b total phytoplankton cell volume for the SPFES data, c total phytoplankton cell volume for the LBERI data, d total phytoplankton carbon for the SPFES data, e total phytoplankton carbon for the LBERI data, f chlorophyll concentration, g inverse

Secchi depth, **h** phosphate concentration, **i** nitrate concentration, **j** silicate concentration (only available since 1978), **k** ammonium concentration. For **a**, **f**, and **h**-**k**, shown are averaged value for the 0-20 m, while the whole water column average shows a similar pattern



Fig. 3 Annual time series of physical variables: **a** air temperature, **b** lake surface temperature (average 0-10 m), **c** lake bottom temperature (80 m), **d** buoyancy frequency at the thermocline depth, **e** wind mixing index, **f** thermocline depth, **g** precipitation,

h photosynthetic available radiation, **i** Arctic Oscillation index, and **j** winter averaged air temperature (*solid line*) and Arctic Oscillation index (*dashed line*)

calculated from daily maximum wind velocity (extracted from hourly measurements) and then averaged into monthly means. In addition, we investigated the Arctic Oscillation index (AO), a climate pattern defined by winds circulating counterclockwise around the Arctic at about 55° north latitude. The AO has been known to influence weather condition of Japan (Thompson and Wallace 1998). Time series of these atmospheric data are shown in Fig. 3 and Appendix 1 of Supplementary material. We investigated also the Pacific Decal Oscillation (PDO) (Mantua et al. 1997) and Southern Oscillation index (SOI) (Trenberth 1984) but found no significant correlation and do not present these data.

Phytoplankton data

Phytoplankton community data include the time series from 1978 to 2003 collected by LBERI (Stations L in Fig. 1) and those from 1962 to 1991 collected by SPFES (Stations 1–5 in Fig. 1). (In this paper, both eukaryotic algae and prokaryotic cyanobacteria are referred to as phytoplankton for simplicity.) Phytoplankton from the LBERI were collected using a Van Dorn water sampler at 0.5 m depth. We acknowledge that this sampling depth may not cover the habitats for all species in Lake Biwa; however, this depth likely includes the representative samples of phytoplankton cells. Samples were taken fortnightly (at the beginning and middle of each month during day time). For each sampling date, all phytoplankton in a 1-ml water sample were identified and counted using a microscope by a single expert (S. Ichise). Some colonyforming cyanobacteria were counted as per colony unit and the others were counted as per cell unit (detailed in Appendix 2 of Supplementary material) to facilitate counting. Average number of cells per colony was also recorded (Appendix 2 of Supplementary material). Only species with occurrence of more than 5 years during the span were analyzed in this study (Appendix 3 of Supplementary material). The taxonomy of phytoplankton changed over time, and we have carefully adjusted the database to ensure the consistency.

Phytoplankton from SPFES (Stations 1-5 in Fig. 1) were collected monthly during daytime using a plankton net of 95-µm mesh size towed at four depth intervals: 0-10, 10-20, 20-40, and 40-75 m. Experts carrying out species identification changed in different periods. As in the LBERI dataset, we have carefully adjusted the change of taxonomy of phytoplankton in the SPFES database. To be consistent with the LBERI data, only data from the 0-10 m interval were analyzed here. Indeed, this surface layer contained higher abundance of phytoplankton compared with the other depths. The cell density in the surface layer (0-10 m) is significantly higher than the average density of other deep layers (with a grand average of all species ranging 2.5 fold at Station 1 to 172 fold at Station 5). Only species with occurrence of more than 5 years during the span were analyzed (Appendix 4 of Supplementary material). We averaged the data from the five stations to form a single value. Data from the deepest station (Station 4, with a water depth closest to Station L in LBERI) exhibited a similar pattern with the averaged values for most species we analyzed here (correlation analysis, p < 0.00001) but showed a higher variability. Only 4 species, Eudorina elegans, Aphanothece nidulans, Fragilaria sp., and Synedra sp. did not show a high correlation (p > 0.05), and we noticed that these species occurred in <10 years in the database. We chose to show the results based on the average data because these data exhibited less variance. The results based on analyzing only Station 4 are qualitatively the same as the results based on the average data. Missing data were imputed using the best fitting state-space model based on the Kalman filter (Durbin and Koopman 2001; Hsieh et al. 2009a). We noticed that much fewer phytoplankton taxa were identified in the SPFES data; this is due to the large mesh size of the sampling gear that misses most small phytoplankton species. This problem makes it difficult to integrate the LBERI and SPFES datasets, and thus these two datasets were analyzed independently.

To compute total biomass of phytoplankton, we first converted species abundance to biovolume and then to carbon biomass. Biovolume of phytoplankton species (Appendix 2 of Supplementary material) was measured by LBERI and reported in Ichise et al. (2007). Biovolume of colony-forming species was measured as the colony volume. Single cell volume was estimated as the colony volume divided by averaged cell number per colony (Ichise et al. 2007). Taxa-specific empirical equations (Rocha and Duncan 1985; Verity et al. 1992; Menden-Deuer and Lessard 2000) were then used to convert biovolume to carbon biomass (Appendix 5 of Supplementary material). We summed these values to construct the time series of total biovolume and total carbon biomass for the SPFES and LBERI datasets, respectively (Fig. 2).

Data analyses

Two main environmental issues are associated with the Lake Biwa ecosystem: eutrophication and warming. To understand these effects on the Lake Biwa ecosystem, first, correlation analyses were used to investigate long-term relationships among physical, chemical, and bulk biological properties (shown in Figs. 2, 3) at an interannual scale. Stationary bootstrap approach (Politis and Romano 1994) with accelerated bias correction was used to compute 95% confidence limits and to perform a hypothesis test in order to account for serial dependence in the time-series data (Hsieh et al. 2009a). For correlation analyses, only data in the overlapped time period between pair-wise series were considered. Consequently, sample sizes were not equal for different comparisons, and thus paired series with a short overlap tended to show no statistically significant correlation when there was no sufficient variation within the short overlap period.

Second, warming in water temperature in Lake Biwa around 1990 (Fig. 3a–c) has caught particular attention, because this warming event has resulted in significant reduction of dissolved oxygen in bottom waters, which might have negative effects on benthic organisms (Kumagai 2008). We tested whether significant changes in magnitude and variance of physical variables had happened since 1990, using a permutation test. Specifically, we calculated the difference in mean and variance for the two periods (before and after 1990) for each variable, and then generated a null distribution by randomly shuffling the data to perform hypothesis tests (Hsieh et al. 2009b). Prior to calculating the variance, we removed a long-term trend in time-series data to avoid inflation in variance caused by the trend (Hsieh et al. 2006, 2009a). To do this, we extracted the trend by using the locally weighted scatter plot smoother (LOWESS) and calculated the magnitudes of residuals deviated from the trend (Hsieh et al. 2006). We used a window of 20 years to estimate the LOWESS, but sensitivity analysis indicated that our results were not sensitive to the choice of window size, given that the window is sufficiently large (>15 years).

Third, long-term variation of phytoplankton communities were examined using principal component analysis (PCA) for the SPEFS and LBERI data separately. Prior to analysis, abundance data were transformed as $\log_2(X + 1)$ to account for the aggregation effects of phytoplankton. We linked the temporal pattern of phytoplankton community to environmental variables using redundancy analysis (RDA) (Legendre and Legendre 1998). Stepwise procedure was used to select the significant variables (using $\alpha = 0.05$) to exclude irrelevant variables, and such a procedure will select only the variable that explains the highest variance when multiple variables in the model are linearly dependent (Peres-Neto et al. 2006). In addition, correlation analyses were carried out to relate environmental variables to individual species.

Fourth, we categorized phytoplankton species into groups according to their time-series pattern (based on scores extracted from the PCA), using K-means clustering to obtain the optimal classification (Legendre and Legendre 1998). The optimal number of groups was determined by the Davies-Bouldin index (Davies and Bouldin 1979). The categorized phytoplankton species were plotted on the RDA biplot to exhibit the loadings of phytoplankton species on the community pattern and to link phytoplankton species to environmental variables (Legendre and Legendre 1998).

Results

Long-term environmental variation

The time series of total phosphorus (TP) in Lake Biwa exhibited a history of eutrophication and a later return to a less eutrophic state in the past half century. TP increased quickly after 1967, reached a maximum in 1974 and then declined until 1985, and fluctuated around a stable value thereafter (Fig. 2a). This variation of trophic status also was reflected in bulk phytoplankton biovolume (Fig. 2b) and biomass (Fig. 2d) for the SPFES data (1962–1991) as well as the water column transparency (Secchi depth) for the whole period (Fig. 2g). Significant correlations were found among these variables (Table 1). Correlations

between bulk phytoplankton variables of LBERI data (1978–2003) and TP were not significant (Fig. 2c, e, f; Table 1), presumably because the variation of trophic status was much less pronounced during this later period. Nevertheless, correlations among those bulk phytoplankton variables (total biovolume and carbon) of SPFES and LBERI data for the overlap period (14 years) were all significant (Fig. 2b, c, d, e, f; Table 1), suggesting that the bulk phytoplankton biomass from the two institutes showed a similar response to environmental change, although these two sets of data were collected with different methods and in different locations. This supports our use of these two datasets in parallel to investigate environmental effects on phytoplankton in Lake Biwa.

Changes in the trophic status of Lake Biwa were also seen in other chemical variables. Phosphate concentration was low in the early period when TP started to increase (Fig. 2h). Phosphate accumulated over time from 1972 to 1987, dropped rapidly since 1987, and then fluctuated dramatically within a period of roughly 5 years. Nitrate concentration was also low in the early period when TP started to increase (Fig. 2i). It then accumulated over time and leveled off after 1991. Silicate concentration was only available after 1978, and it showed an increasing trend (Fig. 2j). Ammonium concentration was almost undetectable in the early period when TP started to increase (Fig. 2k). It increased suddenly in 1972, exhibited two large peaks between 1972 and 1985, dropped to very low value in 1985, and has increased since then.

Several chemical variables as well as their ratios were correlated (Table 1). Phosphate/TP ratio correlated positively with nutrient concentrations and bulk phytoplankton of SPFES series, and negatively correlated with mixing condition and precipitation (Table 1). Ammonium/nitrate ratio positively correlated with TP and ammonium concentration and bulk phytoplankton of SPFES series (Table 1).

Physical data exhibited significant interannual variability and a warming trend. Air temperature showed interannual fluctuations (Fig. 3a), superimposed by a significant warming trend (stationary bootstrapped correlation; r = 0.641, p < 0.05). Before 1990, air temperature surpassing 15°C was only observed in 1979, but this high value was observed frequently after 1990 (Fig. 3a). Albeit strong short-term fluctuations, the average air temperature after 1990 was significantly higher than that before 1990 (permutation test of the mean; p < 0.001). One can also notice a higher variability after 1990 (var = 0.311) than that before 1990 (var = 0.166), although this difference was only marginally significant (p = 0.082). A similar situation was found in the surface water temperature (Fig. 2b). A significant warming trend was found (r = 0.563, p < 0.05), and the average surface water

	s
	variable
	environmental
	among
	analysis
	correlation
,	of
,	Result
,	-
:	Table

	TP	Phosphate	Nitrate	Ammonium	Silicate	Air T	Lake surface T	Lake ^v bottom 1 T	Wind nixing	Thermocline depth	Buoyancy frequency	AO	Shiga cell volume	LBERI cell volume	Shiga total carbon	BERI 1 otal 5 arbon 6	/ C ecchi epth	tha I	Precip- I tation	AR P T	'hosphate/ 'P	Ammonium/ nitrate
TP	NA	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	-1	0	1
Phosphate	-0.102	NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	-	-1	-	0	1	0	1	1	0	0		0	1	0
Nitrate	-0.101	0.562	NA	0	1	-	1	1	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-1	1	0
Ammonium	0.446	0.101	0.159	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	-1	0	1
Silicate	-0.467	0.462	0.662	-0.286	NA	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0
Air T	-0.212	0.399	0.583	0.025	0.315	NA	1	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0
Lake surface T	-0.020	0.371	0.507	0.134	0.260	0.868	NA	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	ī	0	0	0
Lake bottom T	-0.344	0.194	0.601	0.135	0.343	0.627	0.613	NA	0	0	0	Т	0	0		0	0	0	-	0	0	0
Wind mixing	-0.194	-0.585	-0.663	-0.202	0.264	-0.292	-0.245	-0.184 1	٨A	-	-	0	-	-		-	0	-	0	-	-	0
Thermocline depth	-0.066	-0.473	-0.298	0.109	-0.350	-0.203	-0.265	0.188	0.358	NA	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		0	-	0
Buoyancy frequency	0.121	0.349	0.508	0.070	0.236	0.561	0.557	0.089	-0.348	-0.448	NA	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	0
AO	0.022	-0.061	0.349	-0.049	-0.017	0.388	0.291	0.401	-0.247	0.163	0.107	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Shiga cell volume	0.596	0.441	0.356	0.368	-0.256	-0.038	0.048	-0.271	-0.552	-0.177	0.516	-0.087	NA	-	-	-	-	_	0	Ţ	-	_
LBERI cell volume	0.260	0.253	-0.155	0.430	-0.104	-0.406	-0.192	-0.240	-0.418	0.067	-0.231	-0.434	0.629	NA	-	-	0	-	0	0	0	0
Shiga total carbon	0.594	0.437	0.328	0.371	-0.232	-0.059	0.028	-0.284	-0.538	-0.169	0.496	-0.100	0.999	0.628	NA	1	-	-	0		-	-
LBERI total carbon	0.290	0.354	-0.219	0.436	-0.086	-0.408	-0.149	-0.267	-0.404	-0.007	-0.203	-0.553	0.797	0.947	0.788	٩A	0	-	0	0	0	0
1/Secchi depth	0.448	-0.137	-0.196	0.479	-0.454	-0.139	-0.242	-0.128	0.075	0.170	-0.150	-0.049	0.326	0.420	0.327	0.366	VI	0	0	0	0	-
Chla	0.053	0.148	-0.182	0.377	-0.385	-0.292	-0.180	-0.034	-0.409	0.345	-0.458	-0.171	0.425	0.724	0.434	0.769	0.343 N	[A	0	0	0	0
Precipitation	0.341	-0.491	-0.401	0.253	-0.171	-0.526	-0.486	-0.310	0.378	0.324	-0.407	-0.139	0.028	0.356	0.039	0.297	0.408	0.148	٨A	- 0		0
PAR	-0.509	-0.332	-0.604	-0.370	-0.242	-0.135	-0.134	-0.159	0.701	0.150	-0.394	-0.212	-0.652	0.021	-0.639	0.060	-0.191	0.040	0.039 1	٨A	0	0
Phosphate/ TP	-0.143	0.989	0.564	0.050	0.527	0.408	0.373	0.242	-0.552	-0.464	0.317	-0.068	0.368	0.202	0.364	0.300	-0.177	0.100	-0.509	-0.289 N	VA V	0
Ammonium/ nitrate	0.424	-0.057	-0.094	0.936	-0.412	-0.059	0.051	0.046	-0.013	0.221	-0.049	-0.080	0.291	0.375	0.297	0.403	0.572	0.346	0.315	-0.232	-0.104	AN
Correlation coe significant corr	efficients a	tre shown in	the lower i	riangle, and r	esults of st	ationary b	ootstrap tes	ts ($\alpha = 0.0$)5) are sho	own in the up	per triangle v	vith 1 indi	cating a sig	nificant p	ositive con	elation, -	l indicatin	g a signifi	cant nega	iive correla	tion, and 0	ndicating no

temperature after 1990 was significantly higher than that before 1990 (p < 0.001). A higher variability of surface water temperature was seen in the later period (var = 0.326) compared with the earlier period (var = 0.201), but there was only a marginally significant difference (p = 0.110). The warming signal was especially strong in the bottom water temperature (Fig. 3c). The average bottom temperature after 1990 was significantly higher (p < 0.001), but the variability was significantly lower (p = 0.048).

Along with warming, the buoyancy frequency across the thermocline also increased over time (r = 0.606, p < 0.05; Fig. 3d). The mean value and variance in the later period is significantly higher than that in the earlier period (p = 0.002 and 0.021, respectively). Wind mixing strength declined initially from 1961 to 1975 and then leveled off (Fig. 3e), and the long-term declining trend was significant (r = -0.597, p < 0.05). The mean mixing index was slightly higher in the earlier period (p = 0.078), and no significant difference in variance was found (p = 0.226). The mean and variance in thermocline depth in the earlier period is slightly higher than the later period (p = 0.107and p = 0.051, respectively), but no significant long-term declining trend existed (r = -0.333, p > 0.05; Fig. 3f). Precipitation showed a long-term declining trend (r =-0.546, p < 0.05; Fig 3g), and its magnitude in the earlier period was significantly higher than the latter (p = 0.003); however, the variance was not different for the two periods (p > 0.05). The photosynthetic available radiation (Fig. 3h) was high before 1970 and remained steady after 1970 (no significant trend and no difference in mean magnitude and variance between the two periods, p > 0.05).

Most physical variables were correlated. Both air and water temperatures were significantly correlated with the Arctic Oscillation index (Table 1). Air and surface temperatures had a positive effect on maximal buoyancy frequency (Table 1), as one would expect. However, temperature did not significantly affect thermocline depth (Table 1); instead, it was the wind mixing strength that determined thermocline depth (Table 1). In addition, the wind mixing strength showed a negative effect on maximal buoyancy frequency (Table 1). Temperature and temperature related variables were negatively correlated with precipitation (Table 1). PAR was negatively correlated with several nutrients, AO, and SPFES (1962–1991) phytoplankton abundance (Table 1).

Responses of phytoplankton community

Variation in trophic status and warming considerably affected the phytoplankton community in Lake Biwa. The increase in TP around 1967 was detected by the PC2 of SPFES phytoplankton data, and the decrease in TP around



Fig. 4 Principal components based on phytoplankton data from **a** the SPFES and **b** the LBERI. *Vertical dashed lines* indicate roughly the years when the lake environment changed

1985 was reflected in the PC2 of SPFES data and the PC1 and PC2 of LBERI data (Fig. 4). The PC1 of SPFES data seemed to follow the variation of TP but with a time delay (Fig. 4). The dramatic warming around 1990 also significantly altered the phytoplankton community (with 1 year delay), as shown by the PC1 and PC2 of LBERI data (Fig. 4). The phytoplankton community clearly signaled environmental variations.

The linkage between temporal variation of the phytoplankton community and environmental variables are illustrated in RDA plots (Fig. 5). We separated the ordination of years and species into two plots for better visualization. When overlapped, one can find the relationships among sampling years, phytoplankton taxa, and environmental factors. For the SPFES data, the change in the phytoplankton community followed mainly the trophic status of the lake, with a strong correlation with TP, nitrate and total phytoplankton carbon (Fig. 5a). In addition, the





Fig. 5 Ordination biplots exhibiting the relationships among years and phytoplankton species and their linkage to environmental variables for (**a**, **b**) SPFES and (**c**, **d**) LBERI data. **a** and **c** represents ordination of years, and **b** and **d** represents ordination of species. Only significant (p < 0.05) environmental variables (*red arrows*) were used

early period was affected by mixing condition (Fig. 5a). The associations between phytoplankton taxa and the environmental factors were also revealed (Table 2, Fig. 5b). The phytoplankton taxa can be statistically categorized into five groups, and these groups largely depicted the evolution of the environmental state of the lake from 1962 to 1991 (Fig. 5b). For the LBERI data, the phytoplankton community was related to TP and precipitation in the early period (1978-1985) and then to maximum buoyancy frequency and nitrate concentration in a later period (Fig. 5c). The associations between phytoplankton taxa and the environmental factors are shown in Fig. 5d. The phytoplankton taxa can be categorized into six groups; however, the separation of these groups was less distinct (Table 2, Fig. 5d). The group L6 showed no significant variation throughout the period, while group L1 to L5 revealed a transition (Fig. 5d).

based on stepwise selection. *Color coding* indicates phytoplankton groups classified from K-means classification: *black*, group 1; *blue*, group 2; *red*, group 3; *green*, group 4; *magenta*, group 5; *cyan*, group 6. See Table 2 for species names

The signals of phytoplankton in response to environmental events remained strong even when only presence/ absence data of species were considered (Fig. 6). As can be seen in Fig. 6a (SPEFS data), some species mainly occurred during the eutrophic period, while others only appeared when the eutrophic condition diminished. Similarly in Fig. 6b (LBERI data), some species mainly occurred between 1978 and 1985, while others only appeared when the eutrophication faded away. Interestingly, some species emerged after the temperature increased.

Species-specific responses to each environmental factor corroborated with the results of RDA. To visualize this, we plotted correlation structures between each phytoplankton species and environmental variables categorized by group (Fig. 7). For groups S1, S2, and S3 of SPFES data, many species showed no significant correlation with

Table 2 List of frequent species (occurring >5 years in samples) used in the analyses. Shown are species ID along with group classi-fications. The ID corresponds to the order in Fig. 6

Table 2 continued

Group

L3 L2 L6 L5 L4 L1 L5 L1 L5 L6 L4 L2 L5 L5 L1 L6 L1 L5 L2 L2 L5 L1 L6 L2 L6 L1 L1 L1 L1 L1 L1 L1 L1 L5 L1 L6 L1 L5 L5 L5 L6 L6 L2 L5 L2 L6 L6 L5

Species ID Group Aphanothece claibrata 10 SPFES Ankstrodesmus falcatus 11 Staturastram dovidentiferum 1 S4 Nitzchia sp. 13 Pediastrum bivae 2 S4 Nitzchia sp. 13 Pediastrum bivae 2 S4 Nitzchia sp. 13 Acterionella formosa 4 S5 Pediastrum bivae 15 Asterionella formosa 4 S5 Melosira solida 16 Melosiru varians 7 S5 Cyclorella glomerata 19 Melosiru varians 7 S5 Cyclorella glomerata 19 Sphaerocystis schroeteri 9 S3 Closterium sp. 22 Kanthidium antilopeum 12 S3 Closterium sp. 22 Anthoidium constrictum 13 S2 Staurastrum pingue 25 Sourocladium constrictum 15 S1 Glocecystis sp. 26 Cosmocladium constrictum 15 S1 Glocecystis sp. 20 <	fications. The ID corresponds to the	order in Fig. 6	0 1	Species	ID
SPIESAnkistrodesmus falcatus1Sauratrum dorsidentiforum1S4Mitschia sp.13Pediastrum bivae2S4Asterionella formosa14Pediastrum bivae3S4Pediastrum bivae15Atterionella formosa4S5Melosira solida16Melosira solida5S4Melosira solida17Stephanoficus carconensis6S5Gymondiniam helveticum18Melosira varians7S5Gymondiniam helveticum10Spharocystis schroeteri9S3Costaliam constrictum20Spharocystis schroeteri9S3Costriim sp.22Eudorina elegans11S2Costriim sp.22Suuratrum linneticum13S2Sacrastrum jingue25Aphanocapsa elachista14S3Maugeoita sp.26Cosnocladium constrictum15S1Glaceoystis sp.27Synedra uha16S3Kirchneriella contorta28Aphanoccapsa sp.19S1Melosira italica31Dinobryon sp.21S2Spondylosium moniliforme34Synedra sp.26Cosystis gava3332Dinobryon sp.21S2Spondylosium moniliforme34Synedra sp.20S1Melosira italica31Dinobryon sp.21S2Spondylosium moniliforme34Synedra sp.25S2	Species	ID	Group	Aphanothece clathrata	10
In thisUroglena americana12Boursatrum dovidentiferum1S4Nitzschia sp.13Pediastrum biwae2S4Nitzschia sp.14Closterium aciculare3S4Pediastrum biwae15Alterionella formosa4S5Melosira solida17Stephanoliscus carconensis6S5Gymnodinium helveticam18Melosira varians7S5Cyclotella glomerata19Melosira granulata8S5Cyclotella glomerata20Sphaerocystis schroeteri9S3Elakatohrix gelarinosa21Ceratium himadhella10S2Costrolium constrictum20Suarastru finameticam13S2Ocystis lacustris24Staurastru finameticam13S2Ocystis lacustris24Aphanocapsa elachista14S3Maragenia sp.26Cosmocladium constrictum15S1Gloecystis sp.27Spnedra uba18S3Scenedesmux sp.30Aphanocapsa elachista18S3Scenedesmux sp.30Aphanocapsa sp.20S1Melosira titalica31Staurastrum sp.20S1Melosira titalica31Staurastrum sp.23S2Spondylonium moniliforme34Synedra uba24S1Actinastrum hantschii36Synedra sp.21S2Ocystis sp. (a)35Staurastrum sp.23S2Spondylon	SPEES			Ankistrodesmus falcatus	11
Additional abustication activation boson13Nitzechia sp.13Pediastrum bivae2S4Asterionella formosa14Closterium aciculare3S4Pediastrum bivae15Asterionella formosa4S5Pediastrum bivae15Stephanodiscus carconensis6S5Gymnodinium helvetticum18Melosira varians7S5Cyclotella glomerata19Melosira varianda8S5Cyclotella glomerata21Sphaerocystis schroeteri9S3Consocladium constrictum20Sudaritam hirandinella10S2Closterium sp.22Elakotoria genomia11S2Corstrium sp.22Sudaritam dinecticum13S2Oocystis lacustris24Staurastrum imneticum13S2Oocystis lacustris24Aphanocapsa elachista14S3Mongeoria sp.26Cosmoeladium constricum15S1Gloeocystis sp.27Synedra uha16S3Scineatiria italica31Aphanotecapsa sp.19S1Melosiria italica31Staurastrum sp.20S1Melosiria italica31Staurastrum sp.21S2Spondylositim moliforme34Spinegyra sp.27S1Gloeocystis ya (a)35Spinegyra sp.27S1Gloeocystis ya (a)35Spinegyra sp.28S3Gleoocystis ya (a)35Spin	Staurastrum dorsidantifarum	1	\$4	Uroglena americana	12
Participant234Asterionella formosa14Asterionella formosa4S5Pediastrum biwae15Asterionella formosa4S5Mitzschia acicularis16Melosira solida5S4Mitzschia acicularis17Stephanodiscus corconensis6S5Gynnodinium helveticum18Melosira soruulata8S5Cyclotella glomerata19Melosira stranulata8S5Cosmocladium constrictum20Sphaerocystis stroteri9S3Cosmocladium constrictum20Caratium hirundinella10S2Closterium sp.22Eudorina elegans11S2Coratium hirundinella23Staurastrum limeticum13S2Staurastrum pingue25Aphanocapsa elachista14S3Mongeotia sp.26Cosmocladium constrictum15S1Gloevcystis sp.27Synedra uha16S3Cyclotella stelligera29Aphanocapsa elachista14S3Cyclotella stelligera29Aphanocapsa sp.19S1Melosria sp.30Staurastrum sp.20S1Melosria sp.30Staurastrum sp.21S2Docystis sp. (a)33Synedra sp.21S2Mallomonas fastigata32Dinobryon sp.21S2Panothecs sp.30Synedra sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa34Synedra sp.26 </td <td>Padiastrum biwas</td> <td>1</td> <td>54 54</td> <td>Nitzschia sp.</td> <td>13</td>	Padiastrum biwas	1	54 54	Nitzschia sp.	13
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Losertima declative} & 5 & 5 & 9 \\ \text{Pediastram biwae} & 15 \\ \text{Metosira solida} & 5 & 54 & \text{Metastram biwae} & 15 \\ \text{Metosira solida} & 5 & 54 & \text{Metastras loida} & 17 \\ \text{Stephanodiscus carconensis} & 6 & 55 & \text{Gymodinium helveticum} & 18 \\ \text{Metosira granulata} & 8 & 55 & \text{Cyclotella glomerata} & 19 \\ \text{Metosira granulata} & 8 & 55 & \text{Cyclotella glomerata} & 19 \\ \text{Metosira granulata} & 8 & 55 & \text{Cyclotella glomerata} & 12 \\ \text{Sphaerocystis schroeteri} & 9 & 53 & \text{Elakatothris gleatinosa} & 21 \\ \text{Ceratium hirundinella} & 10 & 52 & \text{Elakatothris gleatinosa} & 21 \\ \text{Caratium hirundinella} & 10 & 52 & \text{Closterium sp.} & 22 \\ \text{Eudorina elegans} & 11 & 52 & \text{Corsucidatium constrictum} & 23 \\ \text{Staurastrum limeticum} & 13 & 52 & \text{Staurastrum pingue} & 25 \\ \text{Aphanocapsa elachista} & 14 & 83 & \text{Mougeotia sp.} & 26 \\ \text{Aphanocapsa elachista} & 14 & 83 & \text{Mougeotia sp.} & 27 \\ \text{Synedra uha} & 16 & 53 & \text{Kircheriella contorta} & 28 \\ \text{Aphanocapsa sp.} & 19 & 51 & \text{Molesorata} & 32 \\ \text{Aphanocapsa sp.} & 19 & 51 & \text{Melosira italica} & 31 \\ \text{Staurastrum sp.} & 20 & 51 & \text{Melosira italica} & 31 \\ \text{Staurastrum sp.} & 21 & 52 & \text{Oacystis parva} & 33 \\ \text{Spirogra sp.} & 21 & 52 & \text{Oacystis parva} & 33 \\ \text{Spirogra sp.} & 23 & 52 & \text{Oacystis parva} & 33 \\ \text{Spirogra sp.} & 24 & 81 & \text{Actinastrum hantzschii} & 36 \\ \text{Oacystis sp.} & 19 & 51 & \text{Melosira italica} & 37 \\ \text{Aphanothece sp.} & 26 & 52 & \text{Plankhosphaeria gleatinosa} & 37 \\ \text{Aphanothece sp.} & 26 & 52 & \text{Plankhosphaeria gleatinosa} & 37 \\ \text{Aphanothece sp.} & 26 & 52 & \text{Plankhosphaeria gleatinosa} & 37 \\ \text{Aphanothece sp.} & 26 & 52 & \text{Plankhosphaeria gleatinosa} & 37 \\ \text{Aphanothece sp.} & 26 & 52 & \text{Plankhosphaeria gleatinosa} & 37 \\ \text{Aphanothece sp.} & 26 & 52 & \text{Plankhosphaeria gleatinosa} & 37 \\ \text{Aphanothece sp.} & 26 & 52 & \text{Spondylosium moniliforme} & 34 \\ \text{Corococcus dispersus} & 33 & 54 & \text{Condatella cirtiformis} & 44 \\ \text{Corococcus dispersus} & 33 & 54 & \text{Cordatella cirtiformis} & 44 \\ Molysium molifor$	Clostorium acieulane	2	54	Asterionella formosa	14
Alternative formation of the second	Closierium aciculare	3	54	Pediastrum biwae	15
	Asterionetta jormosa	4	S3	Nitzschia acicularis	16
$\begin{aligned} & \text{Steptinious currents} & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 5 $		3	54	Melosira solida	17
	Stephanoaiscus carconensis	6	S 5	Gymnodinium helveticum	18
Metosynis granulata 8 50 Cosmocladium constrictum 20 Spherocystis schroeteri 9 S3 Elakatothrix gelatinosa 21 Caratiun hirundinella 10 S2 Closterium sp. 22 Eudorina elegans 11 S2 Closterium sp. 22 Saurastrum limmeticum 13 S2 Occystis lacustris 24 Aphanocapsa elachista 14 S3 Mougeotia sp. 26 Cosmocladium constrictum 15 S1 Glococystis sp. 27 Synedra ulna 17 S3 Cyclotella stelligera 29 Aphanothece nidulans 18 S3 Scenedesmus sp. 30 Aphanothece nidulans 18 S3 Scenedesmus sp. 30 Dinobryon sp. 21 S2 Oocystis parva 33 Spiregyra sp. 23 S2 Oocystis sp. (a) 35 Spinedra sp. 24 S1 Actinastrum hantzschii 36 Oacillatoria sp. 25 S2 <	Melosira varians	1	85	Cyclotella glomerata	19
Sphaerocystis schroeteri 9 S3 Elakatothrix gelatinosa 21 Ceratium hirundinella 10 S2 Closterium sp. 22 Ladorina elegans 11 S2 Ceratium hirundinella 23 Xanthidium antilopaeum 12 S3 Oocystis lacustris 24 Staurastrum limmeticum 13 S2 Staurastrum pingue 25 Aphanocapsa elachista 14 S3 Mougeoita sp. 26 Cosmocladium constrictum 15 S1 Gloeocystis sp. 27 Synedra ulna 16 S3 Kirchneriella contorta 28 Aphanocapsa sp. 19 S1 Melosira italica 31 Aphanocapsa sp. 19 S1 Melosira italica 32 Dinobryon sp. 21 S2 Oocystis sp. (a) 35 Synedra sp. 23 S2 Spondylosium moniliforme 34 Synedra sp. 24 S1 Accinastrum hartschii 36 Oscillatoria sp. 27 S1	Melosira granulata	8	\$5	Cosmocladium constrictum	20
Ceratium hirundinella10S2Closterium sp.22Eudorina elegans11S2Ceratium hirundinella23Staurastrum linneticum13S2Staurastrum pingue25Aphanocapsa elachista14S3Mougeotia sp.26Cosmocladium constrictum15S1Gloeocystis sp.27Spedra ulna16S3Kirchneriella contorta28Fragilaria capucina17S3Cyclotella stelligera29Aphanocapsa sp.19S1Melosira italica31Staurastrum sp.20S1Mallomasa fastigata32Dinobryon sp.21S2Oocystis sp.30Spredra uina23S2Spondylosium moniliforme34Fragilaria sp.23S2Spondylosium moniliforme34Synedra sp.24S1Oocystis sp. (a)35Synedra sp.24S1Oocystis sp. (a)36Oscillatoria sp.25S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanothece sp.26S2Gloeocystis gigas39Microcystis sp.28S3Gloeocystis gigas39Microcystis sp.29S4Cloatrum microporum43Oscillatoria sp.29S4Cloatrum microporum43Odocystis sp.33S4Staurastrum ingiradiatum45Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Odocystis sp.32S4<	Sphaerocystis schroeteri	9	\$3	Elakatothrix gelatinosa	21
Eudorina elegans11S2Ceratium hirundinella23Xanthidium antilopacum12S3Oocyais lacustris24Staurastrum linneticum13S2Staurastrum pingue25Aphanocapsa elachista14S3Mougeoria sp.26Cosmocladium constrictum15S1Gloeocysis sp.27Synedra ulna16S3Kirchneriella contorta28Aphanotace nidulans18S3Cyclotella stelligera29Aphanotaces as p.19S1Melostria italica31Staurastrum sp.20S1Mallomonas fastigata32Dinobryon sp.21S2Oocysits sp. (a)35Spredra sp.23S2Opolysits sp. (a)35Synedra sp.23S2Oocysits sp. (a)35Synedra sp.24S1Actinastrum moniliforme34Aphanotece sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanotece sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanotece sp.28S3Gleoocysits gigas39Oscillatoria sp.27S1Gloeocysits gigas39Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocysits gigas39Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Crobactrum incorporum43Aphanotece sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanotece sp.30S5Synedra acus41Abhanotece sp.32S4 <td>Ceratium hirundinella</td> <td>10</td> <td>S2</td> <td>Closterium sp.</td> <td>22</td>	Ceratium hirundinella	10	S2	Closterium sp.	22
Xanthidium antilopaeum12S3 Staurastrum linneticum13S2 Staurastrum pingue24 Staurastrum pingue25 	Eudorina elegans	11	S2	Ceratium hirundinella	23
Staurastrum linneticum13S2Staurastrum pingue25Aphanocapsa elachista14S3Mougeoria sp.26Cosmocladium constrictum15S1Gloeocystis sp.27Synedra ulna16S3Kirchneriella contorta28Fragilaria capucina17S3Cyclorella selligera29Aphanochece nidulans18S3Scenedesmus sp.30Aphanochece nidulans18S3Scenedesmus sp.30Aphanochece nidulans18S3Scenedesmus sp.30Staurastrum sp.20S1Melosira italica31Dinobryon sp.21S2Mallomonas fastigata32Spirogyra sp.22S5Spondylosium moniliforme34Fragilaria sp.23S2Oocystis sp. (a)35Synedra sp.24S1Actinastrum hartzschii36Oscillatoria sp.25S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanothece sp.26S2Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis gigas39Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Chodatella ciustris40Melosira italica30S5Cryptomonas erosa41Aphalosium moniliforme31S4Chodatella ciutiformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Stephanodiscus carconensis1L3Eudorina sp.48Stauras	Xanthidium antilopaeum	12	S3	Oocystis lacustris	24
Aphanocapsa elachista14S3Mougenic sp.26Cosmocladium constrictum15S1Gloeocystis sp.27Synedra ulna16S3Kirchneriella contorta28Pragilaria capucina17S3Cyclatella stelligera29Aphanothece nidulans18S3Cyclatella stelligera29Aphanoteca nidulans18S3Scenedesmus sp.30Aphanotecapsa sp.19S1Melosiria tidica31Staurastrum sp.20S1Mallomonas fastigata32Dinobryon sp.21S2Oocystis parva33Synedra sp.23S2Spondylosium monilforme34Fragilaria sp.23S2Oocystis sp. (a)35Synedra sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanotece sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Oscillatoria sp.27S1Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Microcystis sp.28S3Glenodinium sp.40Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Cryptomonas erosa41Mougeotia sp.32S4S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Kanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Goocystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Krantidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Goocystis sp.33	Staurastrum limneticum	13	S2	Staurastrum pingue	25
Cosmocladium constrictum15S1 $Constructure27Synedra ulna16S3Gloeocystis sp.27Fragilaria capucina17S3Cyclotella stelligera29Aphanotece nidulans18S3Scenedesmus sp.30Aphanotece nidulans18S3Scenedesmus sp.30Staurastrum sp.20S1Melosira italica31Dinobryon sp.21S2Mallomonas fastigata32Spirogyra sp.22S5Spondylosium moniliforme34Synedra sp.23S2Oocystis sp. (a)35Synedra sp.24S1Oocystis sp. (a)35Oscillatoria sp.25S2Planktosphaeria glealinosa37Aphanothece sp.26S2Planktosphaeria glealinosa38Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis glass39Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Coelastrum arctiscon40Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum intriformis41Melosira italica30S5S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.32S4Coelastrum inogradiatum45Staurastrum dosidentiferum35S5Synedra acus47Melosira italica33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Staurastrum dosidentiferum36S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47Gorystis sp.36$	Aphanocapsa elachista	14	S 3	Mougeotia sp.	26
Synedra ulna16S3Enclosion product21Fragilaria capucina17S3Kircheriella contota28Aphanothece nidulans18S3Scenedesmus sp.30Aphanothece nidulans18S3Scenedesmus sp.30Staurastrum sp.20S1Melosira italica31Dinobryon sp.21S2Oocystis parva33Spirogyra sp.22S5Spondylosium moniliforme34Fragilaria sp.23S2Spondylosium moniliforme34Synedra sp.24S1Oocystis sp. (a)35Synedra sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Obcillatoria sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa38Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Diatoma sp.28S3Glenodinium sp.40Melosira italica30S5Cryptomonas erosa41Melosira italica30S5Scenedestrum incroporum43Oocystis sp.32S4Chodaella custris42Oocystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Scenedestrum incroporum43Oocystis gp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Scenedestrus denticulatus46Staurastrum doridentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Mougeotia gp.35	Cosmocladium constrictum	15	S1	<i>Gloeocystis</i> sp.	27
Fragilaria capucina17S3Cyclotella stelligera29Aphanocapsa sp.19S1Melosira italica31Staurastrum sp.20S1Melosira italica31Staurastrum sp.20S1Mallomonas fastigata32Dinobryon sp.21S2Oocystis parva33Spirogyra sp.22S5Spondylosium moniliforme34Fragilaria sp.23S2Oocystis sp. (a)35Synedra sp.24S1Actinastrum hantzschii36Oscillatoria sp.25S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanothece sp.26S2Gloecoystis vesiculosa38Diatoma sp.27S1Gloecoystis gigas39Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Cryptomonas erosa41Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris42Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Actinatiku hastiferum35S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus41Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedria acus51BelanCyclotella sp.49 </td <td>Synedra ulna</td> <td>16</td> <td>S3</td> <td>Kirchneriella contorta</td> <td>28</td>	Synedra ulna	16	S 3	Kirchneriella contorta	28
Aphanothece nidulans18S3Sourdersmus sp.30Aphanoccapsa sp.19S1Melosira italica31Staurastrum sp.20S1Mallomonas fastigata32Dinobryon sp.21S2Oocystis parva33Spirogyra sp.22S5Spondylosium moniliforme34Fragilaria sp.23S2Oocystis sp. (a)35Synedra sp.24S1Actinastrum hantzschii36Oscillatoria sp.25S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanothece sp.26S2Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis gigas39Microcystis sp.28S3Gleocystis gigas39Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Creptomonas erosa41Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris42Sondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Actinaktium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus51Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47Gocystis sp.36S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus51Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedria acus51Mougeotia sp. </td <td>Fragilaria capucina</td> <td>17</td> <td>S3</td> <td>Cyclotella stelligera</td> <td>29</td>	Fragilaria capucina	17	S3	Cyclotella stelligera	29
Aphanocapsa sp.19S1Melosira italica31Staurastrum sp.20S1Mallomonas fastigata32Dinobryon sp.21S2Oocystis parva33Spirogyra sp.22S5Spondylosium moniliforme34Fragilaria sp.23S2Oocystis sp. (a)35Synedra sp.24S1Actinastrum hantzschii36Oscillatoria sp.25S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanothece sp.26S2Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis gigas39Microcystis sp.28S3Glenodinium sp.40Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Cryptomonas erosa41Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris42Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Occystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis1L3Eudorina elegans50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Mougeotia sp.3L4Oocystis submarina52Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Zocycleila sp.49Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Mougeotia sp.5L4Aphanocapa elachista54Cryptomon	Aphanothece nidulans	18	S3	Scenedesmus sp	30
Staurastrum sp.20S1Match of Match of Mat	Aphanocapsa sp.	19	S1	Melosira italica	31
Dinobryon sp.21S2Introduction by long and Occystis parva33Spirogyra sp.22S5Occystis parva33Fragilaria sp.23S2Occystis sp. (a)35Synedra sp.24S1Actinastrum hantzschii36Oscillatoria sp.25S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanothece sp.26S2Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis gigas39Microcystis sp.28S3Glenodinium sp.40Melosira italica30S5Cryptomonas erosa41Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris42Oocystis sp.32S4Coelastrum microporum43Oocystis sp.33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Oocystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Staurastrum dorsidentiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus49Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Occystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum actiscon53Coptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elacustris51C	Staurastrum sp.	20	S 1	Mellomonas fastigata	32
Spirogyra sp.22S5South and a spin	Dinobryon sp.	21	S2	Accesstic narva	32
Fragilaria sp.2352Spondyostant monitorine54Synedra sp.24S1 $Oocystis sp. (a)$ 35Synedra sp.24S1 $Actinastrum hantzschii$ 36Oscillatoria sp.25S2 $Planktosphaeria gelatinosa$ 37Aphanothece sp.26S2 $Gloeocystis vesiculosa$ 38Diatoma sp.27S1 $Gloeocystis vesiculosa$ 38Microcystis sp.28S3 $Glenodinium sp.$ 40Staurastrum arctiscon29S4 $Cryptomonas erosa$ 41Melosira italica30S5 $Quadrigula lacustris$ 42Oocystis sp.32S4 $Chodatella citriformis$ 44Spondylosium moniliforme31S4 $Coelastrum microporum$ 43Oocystis sp.32S4 $Chodatella citriformis$ 44Chroococcus dispersus33S4 $Staurastrum longiradiatum$ 45Staurastrum dosidentiferum35S5 $Synedra acus$ 47Mougeotia sp.36S5 $Synedra acus$ 47BERICyclotella sp.49Eudorina elegans50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4 $Oocystis submarina$ 52Staurastrum acriticon53Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Colastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.56 <tr< td=""><td>Spirogyra sp.</td><td>22</td><td>S5</td><td>Spondylosium moniliforma</td><td>33</td></tr<>	Spirogyra sp.	22	S5	Spondylosium moniliforma	33
Synedra sp.24S1Outputst Sp. (u)53Oscillatoria sp.25S2Actinastrum hantzschii36Oscillatoria sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Microcystis sp.28S3Gleocystis gigas39Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Cryptomonas erosa41Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris42Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Oocystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Gymnodinium sp.48BERICyclotella sp.49Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Staurastrum combricum6L6Navicula sp.53Clotelature7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Clotoerium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Clotocysti spesus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Fragilaria sp.	23	S2	$O_{\text{constitution}} = (a)$	25
Oscillatoria sp.25S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Aphanothece sp.26S2Planktosphaeria gelatinosa37Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Microcystis sp.28S3Gleonodinium sp.40Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Cryptomonas erosa41Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris42Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Oocystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Gymnodinium sp.48BERICyclotella sp.49Staurastrum dorsidentiferum50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Cloterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Synedra sp.	24	S 1	A otin astrono hantzachii	35
Aphanothece sp.26S2Flanktosphaeria getatinosa57Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis vesiculosa38Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis vesiculosa39Microcystis sp.28S3Glenodinium sp.40Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Cryptomonas erosa41Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris42Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Oocystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Syndra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Gymnodinium sp.48BERICyclotella sp.49Staurastrum dorsidentiferum50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Coplastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Oscillatoria sp.	25	S2	Actinastrum nantzschu	50 27
Diatoma sp.27S1Gloeocystis vesticulosa38Microcystis sp.28S3Gloeocystis gigas39Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Cryptomonas erosa41Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris42Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Occystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chrococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Gymnodinium sp.48BERI	Aphanothece sp.	26	S2		20
Microcystis sp.28S3Gloeocystis gigas59Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Glenodinium sp.40Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris42Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Oocystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Gymnodinium sp.48JERIL3Eudorina elegans50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Diatoma sp.	27	S 1	Gloeocystis vesiculosa	38 20
Staurastrum arctiscon29S4Clenodinium sp.40Melosira italica30S5Quadrigula lacustris41Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Coelastrum microporum43Oocystis sp.32S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Gymnodinium sp.48JERIIL3Eudorina elegans50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Chococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Microcystis sp.	28	S 3	Gloeocystis gigas	39
Melosira italica30S5Cryptomonas erosa41Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Quadrigula lacustris42Oocystis sp.32S4Coelastrum microporum43Ocococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47JBERIJacobi and the staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Staurastrum arctiscon	29	S4	Glenodinium sp.	40
Spondylosium moniliforme31S4Quadrigula lacustris42Oocystis sp.32S4Coelastrum microporum43Ococccus dispersus33S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Gymnodinium sp.48LBERICyclotella sp.4950Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris50Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.55Closterium aciculare8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Melosira italica	30	S5	Cryptomonas erosa	41
Occystis sp.32S4Coelastrum microporum43Oocystis sp.33S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroococcus dispersus33S4Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47BERI5Gymnodinium sp.48Stephanodiscus carconensis1L3Eudorina elegans50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Choococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Spondylosium moniliforme	31	S4	Quadrigula lacustris	42
Chroacccus dispersus33S4Chodatella citriformis44Chroacccus dispersus33S4S5Staurastrum longiradiatum45Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Gymnodinium sp.48LBERICyclotella sp.49Stephanodiscus carconensis1L3Eudorina elegans50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.55Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Oocystis sp.	32	S4	Coelastrum microporum	43
Fragilaria crotonensis34S5Staurastrum longiradiatum45Kanthidium hastiferum35S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47BERIGymnodinium sp.48Stephanodiscus carconensis1L3Eudorina elegans50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Colastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.55Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Chroococcus dispersus	33	S4	Chodatella citriformis	44
Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Scenedesmus denticulatus46Xanthidium hastiferum35S5Synedra acus47Mougeotia sp.36S5Gymnodinium sp.48LBERICyclotella sp.49Stephanodiscus carconensis1L3Eudorina elegans50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coleastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Fragilaria crotonensis	34	S5	Staurastrum longiradiatum	45
Mougeotia sp.36S5Synedra acus47BERI36S5Gymnodinium sp.48Stephanodiscus carconensis1L3Cyclotella sp.49Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris50Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Xanthidium hastiferum	35	S5	Scenedesmus denticulatus	46
LBERIGymnodinium sp.48Stephanodiscus carconensis1L3Cyclotella sp.49Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Eudorina elegans50Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Mougeotia sp.	36	S5	Synedra acus	47
Stephanodiscus carconensis1L3Cyclotella sp.49Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Eudorina elegans50Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	BERI		20	Gymnodinium sp.	48
Schumatical carboningIIDEudorina elegans50Staurastrum dorsidentiferum2L6Tetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Stephanodiscus carconensis	1	1.3	Cyclotella sp.	49
Melosira granulata2LoTetraspora lacustris51Melosira granulata3L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Staurastrum dorsidentiferum	2	16	Eudorina elegans	50
Fragilaria crotonensis5L4Oocystis submarina52Fragilaria crotonensis4L5Staurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Melosira oranulata	2	I 4	Tetraspora lacustris	51
Inighting crossesFLSStaurastrum arctiscon53Cryptomonas sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Fragilaria crotonensis	5 4	15	Oocystis submarina	52
Corponionals sp.5L4Aphanocapsa elachista54Coelastrum cambricum6L6Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.55Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Contomonas so	+ 5		Staurastrum arctiscon	53
Colorentiation0L0Navicula sp.55Closterium aciculare7L6Ankistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Coolastrum cambricum	5	L/ 1	Aphanocapsa elachista	54
Closerium accuare/LoAnkistrodesmus sp.56Chroococcus dispersus8L4Dictyosphaerium pulchellum57	Clostarium aciaulare	0		Navicula sp.	55
Chroscoccus aispersus 6 L4 Dictyosphaerium pulchellum 57	Chrosserium aciculare	/ 0		Ankistrodesmus sp.	56
	Chroococcus aispersus	8	L4	Dictyosphaerium pulchellum	57

Table 2 continued

Species	ID	Group
Schroederia judayi	58	L1
Staurastrum sp.	59	L5
Micractinium pusillum	60	L6
Crucigenia irregularis	61	L1
Cocconeis placentula	62	L5
Synedra sp.	63	L6
Chroococcus sp.	64	L6
Peridinium sp.	65	L5
Melosira distans	66	L6
Mallomonas tonsurata	67	L6
Dinobryon bavaricum	68	L4
Microcystis sp.	69	L5
Gomphonema sp.	70	L1
Chodatella subsalsa	71	L1
Xanthidium hastiferum	72	L6
Mallomonas akrokomos	73	L6
Chrysamoeba radians	74	L3
Chromulina sp.	75	L2
Trachelomonas sp.	76	L6
Gomphosphaeria lacustris	77	L4
Mallomonas sp.	78	L5
Rhodomonas sp.	79	L4
Planktosphaeria sp.	80	L3
Peridinium berolinense	81	L4
Pseudokephyrion gallicum	82	L3
Monoraphidium tortile	83	L3
Chlorocloster sp.	84	L3
Oocystis sp.	85	L5
Oocystis solitaria	86	L4
Microcystis wesenbergii	87	L5
Peridinium elpatiewskyi	88	L5
Microcystis incerta	89	L5
Microcystis aeruginosa	90	L5
Quadrigula chodatii	91	L5

environmental variables, as did many species in the group L6 of LBERI data. Further, the patterns were clear in the LBERI data but not very strong in the SPFES data.

Discussion

Ecosystem changes

Dramatic changes of trophic status and water column physical properties have occurred during the past half century in Lake Biwa. The start of eutrophication in the late 1960s and return to a less eutrophic state in the mid



C. H. Hsieh et al.



Fig. 6 Species occurrence for phytoplankton species collected from (a) the SPFES and (b) the LBERI. The order (top-down) follows the first occurrence of species in the data series. Only species occurred for more than five sampling years are included. See Table 2 for species names

1980s had been suggested according to anecdotal evidence, sediment records, and museum fish samples (Ogawa et al. 2001; Nakazawa et al. 2010). However, here for the first time, the history of the trophic status of Lake Biwa is documented based on direct measurements of water column chemical data. These chemical data overcome the issues of coarse temporal resolution and high uncertainty associated with sediment records and museum fish samples.

The total phytoplankton biomass was mainly determined by trophic status. The TP data clearly showed a rapid increase after 1967, reached maximum in 1974, then declined until 1985, and fluctuated around a stable value thereafter (Fig. 2a). The return to a less eutrophic condition in the mid 1980s was due to a successful governmental Fig. 7 Correlation structures between phytoplankton species and environmental variables categorized by group for a SPFES and b LBERI data. *Filled* and *open circles* indicate significant positive and negative correlations, respectively. See Table 2 for species ID

(a)		S1			S2		S3		S4			S5	
						 		I I		1		• • 0.2 0.4	• 0.8
Ammonium/Nitrate	Ļ		。 !	•				L	•	1		0.1	
Phosphate/Total P	F		ا ہ ہ			1		1.	•	• 1	•	•	-
PAR	F		• ¦			1		I I	• • •	ا ۱° °		•	-
Precipitation	F		i			i		i	o	i		o	o -
Total carbon	F	•	•		•	1		 ● ●	•	• •	•		-
Total cell volume	F		•			1		¦• ●		• • ¹	•		_
Arctic Oscillation	F		i			i		I I		i			-
Buoyancy freq	F		1			1		I I	•			•	_
Thermocline depth	- .		•!			1	o	I	o	I			_
Wind mixing	F		•			i		10	o	• 0 I	0 0		• • -
Temperature	F		1			1		L I	•	1			• -
Silicate	F		i			i		i.		i			-
Ammonium	F		ا ا •			 •		1	•	1			-
Nitrate	F		•			1		1		1	•	•	-
Phosphate	F		۰ ۱ ه			1		1	•	• 1	•	• •	_
Total P	F		1			¦•	••	¦.	•	1			_
	27	15	19	11	21 2	- 	9 14	28 3	2 2	29 33	34 30	0 6	22
	2	4 2	0	23 1	3 26	10 10	5 12 18	5 5	32 31	1 4	8	7 36	35
(b)		L	.1		L2	L3	L4		L5			L6	
					I	i	i	i			i	•	•
Ammonium /Nitroto			_		 	 	I I .	1			I [0.	.2 0.4	0.8
Ammonium/Nitrate	[•••	•	•	1	00 00 0	l	1			1		1
	Γ			0	• 	1 	•••	₽ • 		•	I I	0 0	1
PAR	-				1	°	ı °	1	•	0	1		1
Tetal earban	-			•	I	1	l I	· •		0	I	•	1
Total carbon	-				 	1 ·	9 1	1			1	•	1
Austia Ossillation	Γ.	_			1	۱ ۱	2 	1			1	•	1
Arctic Uscillation	۲°	0	000		1 0	I	•	i L			I I	0	1
Buoyancy treq	Γ	0	0		°° 	•	r I	• •	•	• ••	I I		1
	Γ				I	I	· · ·	r 00 	•	•• ••	•		° -
	[°	00	0	_	• _	I I	1	● ● 	•	••	I I	0	1
remperature	P ⁰	000	00	0	, o	I .	1 ••	1 • •	• • •	• •	I	•	1
Silicate	°° ∣	00	0	000 000	• 00 	•	ue-e - ee	⊨ ● ●●● 	••• •	•• ••	ı I		1
Ammonium	Γ.		•	•	° 	p •0• o	9 I	1 1 -			1		1
Nitrate	۲°	0000	0000	• • • • • •	00	•	• ••• ••	'•● •●• · 	• • • • •	••••••	•		1
Phosphate	F			0	00	1	•	1 •			9 	• •	1
											•		
Total P	- •	•	• •	••••		000 0	; o oo oo	Ŷ	0			•	• -

mitigation enforced since 1982 (Kumagai 2008). The total phytoplankton biomass (or its surrogate) largely followed the trend of TP (Table 1, Fig. 2b, d, g), at least for the period from 1962 to 1991 (SPFES data). Further, cross-correlation analyses between TP and phytoplankton biomass indicators showed that the best correlation occurred when phytoplankton biomass lagged 1 year behind TP, suggesting a quick response of phytoplankton total biomass to the control of nutrient loading. This is in contrast to many studies (e.g. Anneville and Pelletier 2000; Horn

2003; Dokulil and Teubner 2005) that discovered none or delayed response of phytoplankton biomass to nutrient mitigation. The negative correlation between total phytoplankton biomass and light (photosynthetic available radiation measured from the meteorological station) may be a spurious result; however, we do not have measurements of light intensity in the water column to clarify this issue. The negative correlation between mixing strength and SPFES phytoplankton biomass implies negative effects of strong mixing on phytoplankton, perhaps by destructing vertical structure of phytoplankton that is critical for their light acclimation (Tirok and Gaedke 2007; Winder and Hunter 2008).

The correlations between TP and LBERI phytoplankton bulk measurements (Fig. 2c, e, f) were not significant (Table 1). This is maybe because the variation of TP has been at a low level during this period (1978–2003) and factors in addition to nutrients had also contributed to affecting phytoplankton, such as mixing regime (Table 1). The negative correlation between mixing strength and LBERI phytoplankton biomass also existed, as in the SPFES data series. The differential responses of the SPFES and LBERI data series to environmental variables may be because they covered different periods. The critical environmental forcing on phytoplankton may have changed through time, and the phytoplankton species compositions were different for these two periods (Table 2, Figs. 4, 6).

Inorganic nutrients exhibited complex variation irrespective of TP. Phosphate concentration was low during the early period of eutrophication presumably due to rapid uptake of phytoplankton, and then accumulated gradually up to 1987 (Fig. 2h). Such kinds of progression were commonly observed during eutrophication in lakes (Anneville et al. 2002a). However after 1987, phosphate fluctuated with a high amplitude despite TP remaining stable during that period (Fig. 2a, h); that is, even though nutrient loading had been controlled, phosphate still showed episodic high pulses. Preliminary analyses suggested this is related to internal loading from the sediments (Murphy et al. 2001; Kumagai 2008). The nitrate (Fig. 2i) and silicate (Fig. 2i) accumulating over time was likely due to both external and internal loading (Kumagai 2008). Ammonium (Fig. 2k) was low during the early period of eutrophication presumably due to rapid uptake of phytoplankton, and showed two strong peaks between 1972 and 1985, which was likely associated with eutrophication. The fluctuation of ammonium is not a result of measurement error, as the ammonium data from LBERI also showed a similar pattern. We found a significant correlation between the phosphate/TP ratio and air temperature, mixing index, and precipitation (Table 1), suggesting climate variations might have effects on nutrient dynamics through regional physical processes.

Global climate changes have affected Lake Biwa through atmospheric forcing. This can be seen from significant correlations between the Arctic Oscillation index versus air and water temperature (Table 1, Fig. 3). This association is especially strong in winter (Fig. 3j). It is worth noting that the temperature of Lake Biwa has warmed significantly in the past half century, with a warming rate of 0.031°C/year for air temperature (Fig. 3a) and 0.028°C/year for surface water temperature (Fig. 3b). Increased temperature enhanced water column stability as signified by the max buoyancy frequency (Table 1, Fig. 3d), which potentially reduced nutrient mixing and upwelling (Hsieh et al. 2009b). Temperature did not directly affect the thermocline depth (Table 1, Fig. 3f). Rather, thermocline depth was influenced by the strength of wind mixing (Table 1, Fig. 3e), as also shown in other lakes (Coats et al. 2006). The strength of wind mixing has weakened along with warming (Fig. 3e), and further reinforced water column stability (Table 1). The enhanced water temperature and reduced wind mixing is attributed to the reduced bottom water renewal and consequently anoxic benthic condition, which might lead to catastrophic mass mortality of benthic fishes (Kumagai 2008).

Air and water temperature and max buoyancy frequency after 1990 was significantly higher than that before 1990 (Fig. 3a, b, c). In addition, the variance was also higher during the later period, suggesting that the lake physical environment in this later period was unstable. The cause of this high variability is not known at this time. However, such increased variance has been suggested to be indicative of ecosystem transition, often to undesired states (Brock and Carpenter 2006; Carpenter and Brock 2006). Thus, the effects of high variability of the living environment on organisms warrant further investigation.

Reorganizations of phytoplankton community

Variation in the phytoplankton community was clearly affected by changes in trophic status and the physical environment of lake Biwa (Fig. 5). For the SPFES data, the changes in community were mainly caused by nutrientrelated variables through time (roughly clock-wise evolution in Fig. 5a, b). Some species developed after eutrophication started, and others occurred before eutrophication and after recovery. The phytoplankton community showed a clear change as revealed by the statistically defined five groups (Figs. 5b, 6a, Appendix 3 of Supplementary material). For the LBERI data, the changes in community were caused by nutrient-related and temperature-related variables (roughly clock-wise evolution in Fig. 5c, d). One can replace the max buoyancy frequency with lake water temperature or AO to obtain qualitatively similar RDA results, indicating that climate driven changes in physical properties of the water column have had significant impacts on the phytoplankton community in Lake Biwa. One can replace nitrate concentration with ammonium/nitrate ratio and obtain qualitatively similar RDA results, indicating that nutrient dynamics also contributed to variations in the phytoplankton community. In addition, we found precipitation was a significant variable in RDA. The precipitation might affect phytoplankton community by changing nutrient input or turbidity of the water column.

Some species only appeared during eutrophication (1978– 1985 for the LBERI series), and others only occurred after warming (1990) (Figs. 5d, 6b, Appendix 2 of Supplementary material). Interestingly, several cyanobacteria taxa (such as *Microcystis* spp.) established large concentrations during the warming period (Appendix 2 of Supplementary material). This may be because they prefer stable water conditions (Elliott et al. 2006), and at the same time, the nutrient supply was sufficient (Fig. 2h, i) for them to prosper. In fact, nutrients could come from internal loading due to anoxic bottom conditions caused by warming and thus stronger water column stability. These results suggest synergistic effects of eutrophication and warming in Lake Biwa in driving phytoplankton community dynamics.

The changes in trophic status and warming have driven reorganization of the phytoplankton community in Lake Biwa. On the one hand, while the total phytoplankton biomass has largely followed TP (Fig. 2), the community structure has changed in the SPFES data (Figs. 4, 5, 6). On the other hand, while the total phytoplankton biomass remained at a stable level, the phytoplankton community almost completely reorganized in response to eutrophication and warming in the LBERI data (Figs. 4, 5, 6). Such a phenomenon was also observed in other lakes (Zohary 2004; Winder and Hunter 2008), and we believe this is the norm rather than the exception. One might consider these reorganizations as nonlinear transitions among multiple stable states (Scheffer et al. 2001; Hsieh et al. 2008). Conceptually, total phytoplankton live at the limit of the carrying capacity of the lake, determined by resource availability (e.g. nutrients). Phytoplankton species compete for resources; some species were sensitive to different nutrients, whereas others were sensitive to temperature or the mixing condition of the water column, as exemplified in the current study (Figs. 5, 6, 7). The winners are decided by a certain threshold of resource factors, or more likely by multiplication of several factors; that is, several conditions (both environmental and biological) need to be fulfilled simultaneously for a new state to emerge (Dixon et al. 1999; Hsieh et al. 2005). Once the tipping point is reached, the winners are determined. Often through some kind of positive feedback, the winner can stay at the stage for a prolonged period until a different threshold is reached, owing to external forcing such as a nutrient pulse or change in temperature (Scheffer and Carpenter 2003).

Nonlinear transitions among stable states might have happened in the Lake Biwa phytoplankton community. Significant changes in relative abundance (Fig. 4) and even species members (Fig. 6) in different trophic regimes or physical conditions were observed. Although community patterns showed correlations with environmental factors (Fig. 5), most of the correlations were weak. When individual species was considered, the correlations were even weaker or non-existent (Fig. 7). Nonetheless, the changes of community as an ensemble (Fig. 4) were consistent with the eutrophication and warming in timing. The weak linear correlations and threshold responding of the community implies regime shifts of phytoplankton in Lake Biwa (Hsieh and Ohman 2006). However, we cannot rule out the possibility that such results may arise due to the change in vertical distribution of phytoplankton species (Anneville et al. 2002a).

It is likely that the trophic status and phytoplankton community in the large north basin was influenced by the small, shallow and eutrophic south basin, because flows between two basins have been observed (Kumagai 2008). It was speculated that cyanobacteria (*Microcystis*) observed in the north basin might be seeded from the south basin (Kumagai 2008). However, to what extent the north basin was influenced by the south basin needs further study.

Limitations of the data

We had hoped to completely integrate the historical data collected in Lake Biwa when we started to compile those time series. However, our data contain several caveats. First, the discrepancy between the sampling methods for phytoplankton by the two institutes makes data integration difficult. Second, the phytoplankton sampling in the LBERI was limited to the surface layer, which prevented us from investigating the vertical distribution of species. Third, the large mesh size used in sampling phytoplankton in the SPFES data likely missed important small species. Fourth, the TP data are compiled from Station I and L (Fig. 1), which are far apart, and these two series have limited overlap to facilitate rigorous calibration. Here, we faithfully present the data (Appendices 1-4 of Supplementary material). Readers can judge our analyses with those caveats in mind.

Conclusion

We analyzed long-term time-series data for the Lake Biwa ecosystem from 1962 to 2003. Analyses on environmental data indicate that Lake Biwa had experienced intensified eutrophication in the late 1960s and return to a less eutrophic status around 1985, and then exhibited rapid warming since 1990. The phytoplankton clearly responded to the change in trophic status and to more recent warming in Lake Biwa. Generally, the total phytoplankton biomass followed the rise and fall of total phosphorus loadings in the Lake (Fig. 2), exhibiting a symmetrical behavior. This suggests a quick response of phytoplankton total biomass to the control of nutrient loading. However, the phytoplankton community changed dramatically (Figs. 4, 5, 6), exhibiting an asymmetrical behavior, with the community in the later period (since 1990) likely being modified by water warming. In addition, the correlations between individual species and environmental variables are weak (Fig. 7). These results imply possible nonlinear transitions of phytoplankton communities among multiple stable states. Phytoplankton species can be categorized into groups depending on their time series behavior (Fig. 5). We still have limited understanding of the mechanisms underlying these patterns and cannot discuss every species here. Further investigations on their life history and ecological characteristics as well as their inter-specific interactions may shed lights on this issue (Reynolds et al. 2002). While acknowledging the limitation of our data, we hope our analyses will stimulate more research on this large temperate ancient lake. We hope the information presented here may be useful for lake management.

Acknowledgments We thank those who have contributed to collection of the Lake Biwa ecosystem data. Masami Nakanishi, Shigeo Yachi, and Mitsuru Sakamoto provided comments. Financial supports were provided by a grant for Frontier and Innovative Research of National Taiwan University, and National Science Council of Taiwan, Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (20244079) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), the Ministry of the Environment of Japan, Japan-Taiwan Joint Research Program of Interchange Association, and a grant for Biodiversity Research of the 21st Century COE at Kyoto University. CH was supported by the JSPS visiting fellowship during his stay in the Lake Biwa Environmental Research Institute.

References

- Anneville O, Pelletier JP (2000) Recovery of Lake Geneva from eutrophication: quantitative response of phytoplankton. Arch Hydrobiol 148:607–624
- Anneville O, Ginot V, Druart JC, Angeli N (2002a) Long-term study (1974–1998) of seasonal changes in the phytoplankton in Lake Geneva: a multi-table approach. J Plankton Res 24:993–1007
- Anneville O, Souissi S, Ibanez F, Ginot V, Druart JC, Angeli N (2002b) Temporal mapping of phytoplankton assemblages in Lake Geneva: annual and interannual changes in their patterns of succession. Limnol Oceanogr 47:1355–1366
- Anneville O, Molinero JC, Souissi S, Balvay G, Gerdeaux D (2007) Long-term changes in the copepod community of Lake Geneva. J Plankton Res 29:i49–i59
- Bakun A, Parrish RH (1991) Comparative studies of coastal pelagic fish reproductive habitats—the anchovy (*Engraulis anchoita*) of the southwestern Atlantic. ICES J Mar Sci 48:343–361
- Brock WA, Carpenter SR (2006) Variance as a leading indicator of regime shift in ecosystem services. Ecol Soc 11:9
- Carpenter SR (2005) Eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems: bistability and soil phosphorus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:10002–10005
- Carpenter SR, Brock WA (2006) Rising variance: a leading indicator of ecological transition. Ecol Lett 9:311–318
- Carpenter SR, Caraco NF, Correll DL, Howarth RW, Sharpley AN, Smith VH (1998) Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. Ecol Appl 8:559–568

- Coats R, Perez-Losada J, Schladow G, Richards R, Goldman C (2006) The warming of Lake Tahoe. Clim Change 76:121–148
- Davies DL, Bouldin DW (1979) A cluster separation measure. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell 1:224–227
- Davis CC (1964) Evidence for the eutrophication of Lake Erie from phytoplankton records. Limnol Oceanogr 9:275–283
- Dixon PA, Milicich MJ, Sugihara G (1999) Episodic fluctuations in larval supply. Science 283:1528–1530
- Dokulil MT, Teubner K (2005) Do phytoplankton communities correctly track trophic changes? An assessment using directly measured and paleolimnological data. Freshw Biol 50:1594– 1604
- Durbin J, Koopman SJ (2001) Time series analysis by state space methods. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Edmondson WT (1956) Artificial eutrophication of Lake Washington. Limnol Oceanogr 1:47–53
- Edmondson WT (1970) Phosphorus, nitrogen, and algae in Lake Washington after diversion of sewage. Science 169:690–691
- Elliott JA, Jones ID, Thackeray SJ (2006) Testing the sensitivity of phytoplankton communities to changes in water temperature and nutrient load, in a temperate lake. Hydrobiologia 559:401–411
- Hasler AD (1947) Eutrophication of lakes by domestic drainage. Ecology 28:383–395
- Horn H (2003) The relative importance of climate and nutrients in controlling phytoplankton growth in Saidenbach Reservoir. Hydrobiologia 504:159–166
- Hsieh CH, Ohman MD (2006) Biological responses to environmental forcing: the linear tracking window hypothesis. Ecology 87:1932–1938
- Hsieh CH, Glaser SM, Lucas AJ, Sugihara G (2005) Distinguishing random environmental fluctuations from ecological catastrophes for the North Pacific Ocean. Nature 435:336–340
- Hsieh CH, Reiss CS, Hunter JR, Beddington JR, May RM, Sugihara G (2006) Fishing elevates variability in the abundance of exploited species. Nature 443:859–862
- Hsieh CH, Anderson C, Sugihara G (2008) Extending nonlinear analysis to short ecological time series. Am Nat 171:71–80
- Hsieh CH, Chen CS, Chiu TS, Lee KT, Shieh FJ, Pan J-Y, Lee MA (2009a) Time series analyses reveal transient relationships between abundance of larval anchovy and environmental variables in the coastal waters southwest of Taiwan. Fish Oceanogr 18:102–117
- Hsieh CH, Kim HJ, Watson W, Di Lorenzo E, Sugihara G (2009b) Climate-driven changes in abundance and distribution of larvae of oceanic fishes in the southern California region. Glob Change Biol 15:2137–2152
- Huber VH, Adrian R, Gerten D (2008) Phytoplankton response to climate warming modified by trophic state. Limnol Oceanogr 53:1–13
- Ichise S, Wakabayashi T, Fujiwara N, Mizushima K, Ito M (2001) Long-term changes pf biomass of phytoplankton in Lake Biwa— 1978–2000. Report of the Shiga Prefectural Institute of Public Health and Environmental Science 36:29–35 (in Japanese)
- Ichise S, Wakabayashi T, Furuda S, Yoshida M, Okamoto T, Hara R, Aoki S (2007) Long-term changes and recent characteristics of total phytoplankton biovolume in the northern basin of Lake Biwa. Bull Lake Biwa Environ Res Inst 2:97–108 (in Japanese)
- Jankowski T, Livingstone DM, Buhrer H, Forster R, Niederhauser P (2006) Consequences of the 2003 European heat wave for lake temperature profiles, thermal stability, and hypolimnetic oxygen depletion: Implications for a warmer world. Limnol Oceanogr 51:815–819
- Kohler J, Hoeg S (2000) Phytoplankton selection in a river-lake system during two decades of changing nutrient supply. Hydrobiologia 424:13–24

- Kumagai M (2008) Lake Biwa in the context of world lake problem. Verhandlungen Internationale Vereinigung für Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie 30:1–15
- Lau SSS, Lane SN (2002) Biological and chemical factors influencing shallow lake eutrophication: a long-term study. Sci Total Environ 288:167–181
- Legendre P, Legendre L (1998) Numerical Ecology, 2nd edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Mantua NJ, Hare SR, Zhang Y, Wallace JM, Francis RC (1997) A Pacific interdecadal climate oscillation with impacts on salmon production. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 78:1069–1079
- Menden-Deuer S, Lessard E (2000) Carbon to volume relationships for dinoflagellates, diatoms, and other protist plankton. Limnol Oceanogr 45:569–579
- Molinero JC, Anneville O, Souissi S, Balvay G, Gerdeaux D (2006) Anthropogenic and climate forcing on the long-term changes of planktonic rotifers in Lake Geneva, Europe. J Plankton Res 28:287–296
- Murphy T, Lawson A, Kumagai M, Nalewajko C (2001) Release of phosphorus from sediments in Lake Biwa. Limnology 2:119–128
- Nakazawa T, Sakai Y, Hsieh CH, Koitabashi T, Tayasu I, Yamamura N, Okuda N (2010) Is the relationship between body size and trophic niche position time-invariant in a predatory fish? First stable isotope evidence. PLoS One 5:e9120
- Ogawa NO, Koitabashi T, Oda H, Nakamura T, Ohkouchi N, Wada E (2001) Fluctuations of nitrogen isotope ratio of gobiid fish (Isaza) specimens and sediments in Lake Biwa, Japan, during the 20th century. Limnol Oceanogr 46:1228–1236
- Peres-Neto PR, Legendre P, Dray S, Borcard D (2006) Variation partitioning of species data matrices: estimation and comparison of fractions. Ecology 87:2614–2625
- Politis DN, Romano JP (1994) The stationary bootstrap. J Am Stat Assoc 89:1303–1313
- Reynolds CS, Huszar V, Kruk C, Naselli-Flores L, Melo S (2002) Towards a functional classification of the freshwater phytoplankton. J Plankton Res 24:417–428
- Rocha O, Duncan A (1985) The relationship between cell carbon and cell volume in freshwater algal species used in zooplankton studies. J Plankton Res 7:279–294
- Ruggiu D, Morabito G, Panzani P, Pugnetti A (1998) Trends and relations among basic phytoplankton characteristics in the course of the long-term oligotrophication of Lake Maggiore (Italy). Hydrobiologia 370:243–257

- Scheffer M, Carpenter SR (2003) Catastrophic regime shifts in ecosystems: linking theory to observation. Trends Ecol Evol 18:648–656
- Scheffer M, Carpenter S, Foley JA, Folkes C, Walker B (2001) Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. Nature 413:591–596
- Schindler DW (2006) Recent advances in the understanding and management of eutrophication. Limnol Oceanogr 51:356–363
- Smith VH, Joye SB, Howarth RW (2006) Eutrophication of freshwater and marine ecosystems. Limnol Oceanogr 51:351– 355
- Sommer U, Gliwicz DI, Lampert W, Duncan A (1986) The PEGmodel of seasonal succession of planktonic events in fresh waters. Arch Hydrobiol 106:433–471
- Sommer U, Gaedke U, Schweizer A (1993) The 1st decade of oligotrophication of Lake Constance. 2. The response of phytoplankton taxonomic composition. Oecologia 93:276–284
- Thompson DWJ, Wallace JM (1998) The Arctic Oscillation signature in the wintertime geopotential height and temperature fields. Geophys Res Lett 25:1297–1300
- Tirok K, Gaedke U (2007) The effect of irradiance, vertical mixing and temperature on spring phytoplankton dynamics under climate change: long-term observations and model analysis. Oecologia 150:625–642
- Trenberth KE (1984) Signal versus noise in the Southern Oscillation. Mon Weather Rev 112:326–332
- Tsugeki N, Oda H, Urabe J (2003) Fluctuation of the zooplankton community in Lake Biwa during the 20th century: a paleolimnological analysis. Limnology 4:101–107
- Verity PG, Robertson CY, Tronzo CR, Andrews MG, Nelson JR, Sieracki ME (1992) Relationships between cell volume and the carbon and nitrogen content of marine photosynthetic nannoplankton. Limnol Oceanogr 37:1434–1446
- Wilhelm S, Adrian R (2008) Impact of summer warming on the thermal characteristics of a polymictic lake and consequences for oxygen, nutrients and phytoplankton. Freshw Biol 53:226–237
- Winder M, Hunter D (2008) Temporal organization of phytoplankton communities linked to physical forcing. Oecologia 156:179–192
- Winder M, Schindler DE (2004) Climatic effects on the phenology of lake processes. Glob Change Biol 10:1844–1856
- Zohary T (2004) Changes to the phytoplankton assemblage of Lake Kinneret after decades of a predictable, repetitive pattern. Freshw Biol 49:1355–1371