Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 3(3): 2856-2860, 2009 ISSN 1991-8178

# Effect of Gamma Irradiation on the Physico-chemical Characterstics of Groundnut (*Arachis Hypogaea*)

<sup>1</sup>A/Azim A.M. Nour <sup>1</sup>Shireen E.A Hmed, <sup>2</sup>Gammaa A.M. Osman

<sup>1</sup>Dept. Food Sci. and Tech. Faculty of Agric. University of Khartoum. Sudan <sup>2</sup>Environment and Natural Resources Research Institute, National Research Center, Sudan

Abstract: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of gamma irradiation on physico-chemical properties of groundnut as well as to investigate its impact on quality attributes of groundnut oil. Seeds of two cultivars of ground nut (Arachis hypogaea) namely Sodari and Madani were gamma irradiated at dose levels of 1, 1.5 and 2 kGys. Proximate composition, ash minerals, iodine value, acid value, peroxide value, saponification value, oil refractive index, oil relative viscosity, in-vitro protein digestibility, nitrogen solubility, water holding capacity, oil holding capacity, bulk density, emulsion activity, emulsion capacity, emulsion stability, foam capacity and foam stability were determined for raw and irradiated seeds. The results revealed that the effect of gamma irradiation on protein, oil, fiber, carbohydrates and minerals content of ground nut seeds was of no consistent pattern, however, for the quality attributes of groundnut oil, gamma irradiation caused significant decrease (P $\leq$  0.05) in iodine value and significant increase in acid and peroxide values for both cultivars, with exception of the acid value of Madani cultivar. While saponification value, refractive index and viscosity of groundnut oil for both cultivars were not affected significantly by gamma irradiation. Moreover, as compared with untreated groundnut flour, for both cultivars, water holding capacity, bulk density, emulsion activity and emulsion capacity of groundnut flour were not significantly affected by gamma irradiation. On the other hand, foaming stability was affected negatively with gamma irradiation. Generally, slight differences between the two cultivars studied were observed for the different properties tested.

Key words: Groundnut. gamma irradiation, groundnut oil, groundnut flour

## INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (Arachis hypogeae L.) is a leguminous, annual herbaceous plant belonging to the suborder *Papillionacea* of the order *Leguminacea*, and grown mainly for their seeds. It is one of the leading agricultural crops of the world for the production of edible plant oil and protein (Adegoke *et al.*, 2004). Sudan is one of the major groundnut producing countries in Africa, the area of production occupies about 13 % of the total cultivable land in the country (Ishag, 1986).

In most of the developing countries, groundnut is stored as dry seeds and forms an enormous serve of food; however, during storage, vast quantities of seeds are lost annually as a result of moulds and insects attacks (Tripathi and Kumar,2007) Losses of stored seeds, caused by insects' infestation, such as *Caryedon serratus*, Bruchids and Pyralids, are the most common storage pest, (Sembene,2006). On the other hand groundnut is among the major food commodities that can be affected by mycotoxins such as aflatoxins, which are the toxic chemical substances produced by toxigenic strain of fungi such as *Aspergillus flavus* (Smith and Ross,1991), under specific conditions. A flatoxins and insect infestation are the most important quality problems in groundnut worldwide, with serous health and economic implications (IARC,1993).

Gamma radiation is a physical technique of food preservation that seems to have a potential to protect such commodity from insects infestation and microbial contamination during storage (Taub *et al.*, 1976; Rich; WHO, 1988). Therefore it has been proposed as a good alternative to methyl bromide and other fumigants for pest control (Gupta, 2001). However, development of this technique involve consideration that gamma might change the nutritive value of stored seeds. The absolute relationship of radiation application dose and possible changes must be known in order to comprehensively assess the acceptability of radiation – treated food seeds. This paper was intended to investigate some nutrition-related chemical changes induced in peanut seeds upon gamma irradiation.

Corresponding Author: A/Azim A.M. Nour, Dept. Food Sci. and Tech. Faculty of Agric. University of Khartoum. Sudan

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Materials:

Two groundnuts ((*Arachis hypogaea*) cultivars seeds, Madani and Sodari were obtained from the plant breeding division, Hudieba Research Station, Agricultural Research Corporation (ARC), Sudan. The seeds were shelled by hand and all kernels samples were stored under ambient temperature during the study.

#### Methods:

#### Irradiation process:

About 200 g lots of the groundnut seeds were sealed in glass bottles prior and during irradiation process. The samples were irradiated at Kaila irradiation processing unit, Sudanese Atomic Energy Corporation (SAEC) using an experimental cobalt- 60 gamma source (Nordion gamma cell 220 - Excell), irradiation was carried out at room temperature, with the doses of 1.0, 1.5 and 2 KGry.

Non-irradiated seeds served as control. Irradiated and non-irradiated samples of groundnut seeds were ground to pass through 0.4 mm screen and kept in glass bottles at room temperature for analysis.

#### Proximate composition:

The level of moisture, ash, crude protein, oil, crude fiber and carbohydrates of the irradiated (1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 KGys) and non-irradiated groundnut seeds were determined by the use of manual methods as outlined by AOAC, (1990). Mineral extraction of the irradiated and non-irradiated groundnut seeds, was prepared according to the method described by Pearson (1981).

#### **Oil Quality Attributes:**

The Iodine value, peroxide value and saponification value of the irradiated and non-irradiated groundnut oil were determined according to the Amer. Oil Chem. Soc. (AOCS, 1972).

#### Functional properties:

The water retention capacity (WRC), oil absorption capacity (OAC) and emulsion capacity (EC) of the irradiated and non-irradiated groundnut flour was measured by a modified method of Beuchat (1977). The bulk density was determined by the method of Moreyra and Peleng (1981)

#### Statistical Analysis:

All analyses were performed in triplicate (n = 3). The analysis of variance was performed to examine the significant effect in all parameters measured. The level of significance used was 95 % (Gomez and Gomez,1984)

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Chemical Constituents:

The effect of gamma irradiation (0.0, 2.0 KGys) on moisture, protein, oil, fiber, carbohydrates ash and ash minerals content of groundnut seeds for both Sodari and Madani cultivars are presented in tables 1and 2, The moisture content was not substantially affected by gamma irradiation treatment for both Sodari and Madani cultivars. Similar findings were observed by Rady *et al.* (2002) who reported that gamma irradiation has no real effect on moisture content of oil seeds. Significant increase in protein and ash content ( $P \le 0.05$ ) was observed for Madani cultivar. While for Sodari cultivar the change was of no significant. However, opposite results were observed for that of oil content. Siddhuraji *et al.* (2002) and Seda *et al.* (2001) reported that gamma irradiation did not induce any change in protein and carbohydrates content as affected by irradiation. While data of minerals indicate that no apparent changes in both cultivars.

The diversity of the observed effects of gamma irradiation on different seeds chemical constituents, for the two cultivars, may be closely related to insects and microbial infestations as well as genetic factors, rather than direct effect of radiation. Positive values might result in part from insects and microbial protection offered by gamma irradiation. Ogbadu (1980) reported that aflatoxin B1 production decreased with increasing gamma irradiation dose in soy bean and groundnut. Many workers reported that there have been changes in chemical composition of seeds as affected by insect infestations (Jood and Kapoor, 1993; Modgil, 2002).

#### Aust. J. Basic & Appl. Sci., 3(3): 2856-2860, 2009

T reated	Dose	Moisture	Protein	Oil	Fiber	Carbohydrates	Ash
cultivars	(Kgys)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Sodari	0.0	3.56ª	25.80°	50.40 <sup>b</sup>	2.66ª	8.88 <sup>b</sup>	2.54 <sup>b</sup>
	2.0	3.67ª	24.43°	52.50 <sup>a</sup>	2.40 <sup>b</sup>	19.08 <sup>a</sup>	2.59 <sup>b</sup>
Madani	0.0	3.40 <sup>b</sup>	25.84°	53.00ª	2.73ª	15.40°	2.59 <sup>b</sup>
	2.0	3.43 <sup>b</sup>	29.75ª	53.00ª	2.49 <sup>b</sup>	11.94 <sup>d</sup>	2.82ª
SEM		0.10	0.11	0.26	0.11	0.29	0.04

Table 1: Effect of gamma irradiation on proximate composition of groundnut seeds

Means in the same column with different letter (s) are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Least Significant Test (LSD) SEM: standard error of means.

Table 2: Effect	of gamma	irradiation	on ash	minerals	content	of groundnut	flour	(mg/100g)	
T ( 1								T 11 41	1

Treated	Minerals			Irradiation dose	liation dose		
cultivars		(0.0 Kgys)	(1.0 Kgys)	(1.5 Kgys)	(2.0 Kgys)	SEM	
Sodari	Na	02.42 <sup>d</sup>	03.00 <sup>b</sup>	02.77 °	02.61 <sup>cd</sup>	0.020	
	K	05.00 <sup>g</sup>	15.00 °	17.85 °	16.25 <sup>d</sup>	0.044	
	Ca	07.44 <sup>a</sup>	10.90 <sup>a</sup>	11.14 <sup>a</sup>	09.58 °	1.080	
	Mg	11.36 <sup>b</sup>	13.18 <sup>a</sup>	13.26 <sup>a</sup>	13.50 °	1.029	
	Zn	00.56 °	01.13 <sup>a</sup>	00.85 <sup>bc</sup>	00.88 bc	0.052	
	Mn	00.41 °	00.77 ª	00.77 <sup>a</sup>	00.77 ª	0.050	
	Cu	00.45 <sup>d</sup>	$00.44^{d}$	00.53 °	00.54 °	0.015	
	Fe	02.38°	03.33 <sup>d</sup>	03.93 °	05.70 ª	0.090	
Madani	Na	04.17 <sup>a</sup>	02.68 <sup>cd</sup>	02.77 °	02.47 <sup>b</sup>	0.020	
	K	8.62 <sup>b</sup>	16.25 <sup>d</sup>	21.25 ª	13.75 <sup>f</sup>	0.044	
	Ca	10.81 <sup>a</sup>	11.46 <sup>a</sup>	09.75 °	10.92 <sup>a</sup>	1.080	
	Mg	13.23 ª	13.63 ª	13.75 °	13.42 °	1.029	
	Zn	00.85 <sup>bc</sup>	00.91 <sup>b</sup>	00.34 <sup>d</sup>	00.73 °	0.052	
	Mn	00.56 <sup>b</sup>	00.76 <sup>a</sup>	00.64 <sup>ab</sup>	00.58 <sup>b</sup>	0.050	
	Cu	00.93 <sup>a</sup>	00.40 <sup>d</sup>	00.66 <sup>b</sup>	00.52 °	0.015	
	Fe	04.47 <sup>b</sup>	04.47 <sup>b</sup>	04.36 <sup>b</sup>	02.64 °	0.090	

Means in the same row with different letter (s) are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Least Significant Test (LSD) SEM: standard error of means.

## Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Oil Quality Attributes:

The effect of gamma irradiation (0.0, and 2.0 KGys) on iodine value, acid value, peroxide value, saponification value, refractive index and viscosity of groundnut seed oils for both cultivars are illustrated in table 3. The results indicate that, irradiation caused a decrease in iodine value for both cultivars; however change was significant for Madani cultivar. For acid and peroxide values, the irradiated samples were higher than that of non-irradiated ones for Sodari, while for Madani no change was observed. Similar findings were obtained by Zeb and Ahmed. (2004) who reported that, the iodine value of sunflower and soybean oil decreased significantly with high gamma radiation (1, 5 and20 KGys). while the acid values were increased. The decrease in iodine value may be attributed to the saturation of the double of unsaturated fatty acids bonds (Albashir, 2004). For saponification value, refractive index and relative viscosity, no change was observed between the irradiated and non-irradiated samples. This finding agreed with that reported by Zeb and Ahmed (2004).

#### Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Functional Properties:

The effect of gamma irradiation (0.0, and 2.0 KGys) on water and oil absorption capacity, bulk density, emulsion capacity and activity, foaming capacity and foaming stability of groundnut flour for both cultivars are shown in table 4. The results indicate that irradiation had no apparent effect on water absorption capacity. These findings were in accordance with that reported by Zayas (1997) who found that the water holding capacity was not affected by gamma irradiation. With regards of the results of oil absorption capacity, it is clear that, no change was observed in oil absorption capacity of Madani cultivar, however, significant increase for Sodari was observed, These results are in agreement with previous finding of Abu *et al.* (2005) who reported that at low dose irradiation (2 kGy), the oil absorption capacity of cow pea flour was not affected. However, an increase in oil absorption capacity was observed at higher doses (10 and 50 KGys), this may be due to exposure non-polar protein sites. Moreover, the results show that, the bulk density of ground nut flour of both cultivars was not affected significantly by gamma irradiation. However, It was observed that gamma irradiation decreases significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) the emulsion capacity of Sodari cultivar, while significant increase

## Aust. J. Basic & Appl. Sci., 3(3): 2856-2860, 2009

was observed for Madani cultivar. On the other hand no significant change was observed in emulsion activity for both cultivars. It was reported that irradiation of cow pea at 2.0 KGys caused decrease in emulsion capacity (Abu *et al.*, 2005). The changes in emulsion properties may be attributed to protein aggregation as well as surface hydrophobicity and change the characteristics, which affect emulsifying properties in different ways (Cheftel *et al.*, 1985). The results also indicate that gamma irradiation had no apparent affect on the emulation stability of ground nut flour of both cultivars. This result is in agreement of that reported by Abu *et al.* (2005) who concluded that at low dose of gamma irradiation emulsifying properties were not affected.

T reated	Dose	IV	AV	PV	SV	RI	RV
cultivars	(Kgys)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)		
Sodari	0.0	12.73ª	2.05 <sup>b</sup>	11.33 <sup>d</sup>	845.73ª	1.470 <sup>b</sup>	1.46 <sup>b</sup>
	2.0	12.63ª	4.67ª	23.00ª	820.63ª	1.470 <sup>b</sup>	1.45 <sup>b</sup>
Madani	0.0	2.90 <sup>a</sup>	2.24 <sup>b</sup>	12.67°	868.90 <sup>a</sup>	1.469ª	1.51ª
	2.0	09.60 <sup>b</sup>	2.24 <sup>b</sup>	19.33 <sup>b</sup>	867.60ª	1.469ª	1.52ª
SEM-	0.20	0.09	0.35	23.97	0.0001	0.001	
V= iodine value	AV= acid value	PV= per	oxide value	SV= Saponi	fication value	RI= Refractive in	ndex RV

## Table 3: Effect of gamma irradiation on oil quality attributes of groundnut seeds

Relative viscosity

Means in the same column with different letter (s) are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Least Significant Test (LSD) SEM: standard error of means.

Table 4: Effect of gamma irradiation on functional properties of groundnut se
---

T reated	Dose	WAC	OAC	EC	EA	ES	FC	BD
cultivars	(Kgys)	(ml/100g)	(ml/100g)	(%)	(Mg/g)	(%)	(%)	(g/m )
Sodari	0.0	180.73 <sup>b</sup>	320.470°	0.35 <sup>a</sup>	154.73ª	47.360 <sup>a</sup>	05.35 <sup>b</sup>	$08.00^{b}$
	2.0	180.73 <sup>b</sup>	320.470°	0.35ª	150.73 <sup>b</sup>	47.360ª	12.35ª	12.00ª
Madani	0.0	280.90ª	340.469 <sup>b</sup>	0.35ª	138.73 <sup>d</sup>	47.360ª	12.35ª	07.00 <sup>c</sup>
	2.0	280.60ª	340.469 <sup>b</sup>	0.35ª	148.73°	47.360ª	12.35ª	$08.00^{b}$
SEM <sup>-</sup>	0.001	0.001	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.75	0.002	

WAC = water absorption capacity OAC = oil absorption capacity EC = emulsion capacity EA = emulsion activity ES = emulsion stability FC = from capacity BD = bulk density

Means in the same column with different letter (s) are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Least Significant Test (LSD) SEM: standard error of means.

#### Conclusion:

The irradiation applied to groundnut seeds indicated no substantial change in proximate and minerals composition; however, for the quality attributes of groundnut oil, gamma irradiation caused significant decrease ( $P \le 0.05$ ) in iodine value and significant increase in acid and peroxide values with exception of the acid value of Madani cultivar. While saponification value, refractive index and viscosity not affected significantly. Water holding capacity, bulk density, emulsion activity and emulsion capacity of groundnut flour were not significantly affected by gamma irradiation.

## REFERENCES

Abu, J.O., M. Klaus., G.D. Kwaku, G. Duodu and M. Amanda, 2005 Functional properties of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) flours and pastes as affected by  $\gamma$ -irradiation. Food chemistry, 93: 103-111.

Adegoke, G.O., K.O. Falade and O.C. Babalola, 2004. Control of lipid oxidation and fungal spoilage of roasted peanut (*Arachis hypogea*) using the spice a framoun denielli, Food, Agriculture and Environment, 2: 128-131.

Albashir, M., 2004. Effect of gamma irradiation on fungal load, chemical and sensory characteristics of walnut. Stored Products Research, 40: 355-362.

AOAC., 1990. Official method of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 15<sup>th</sup> ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C.

AOCS., 1972. Official and Tentative Methods, Amer. Oil. Chem. Soc., Champaign, USA.

Beuchat, L.B., 1977. Functional and electrophoretic characteristics of succinylated peanut flour protein. J. Agric. Food. Chem., 25: 258-261.

Cheftel, J.C., J.L. Cuq and D Lorient, 1985. Food chemistry (2nd ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 245-369.

Coffman, C.W. and V.V. Gracia, 1977. Functional properties and amino acid content of a protein isolate from mung bean flour. J. Food Technol. 12: 473.

Gomez, K.A. and A.A, Gomez, 1964. Statistical procedures for agricultural research, second edition, John and wily Sons, Lnc,New York.

Ishag, H.M., 1986. Groundnut Production and Research Problems in the Sudan. Research on grain legumes in Eastern and Central Africa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, pp: 65-69. ICRISAT.

Ishag, H.M., M.A. Ali and A.B. Ahmadi, 1980. Groundnut production and research problems in the Sudan. International workshop on groundnuts, Patancheru, India, pp: 282-284. ICRISAT.

Jood, S. and A.C. Kapoor, 1993. Protein and uric acid contents of cereal grains as affected by insect infestation. Food Chemistry, 46: 143–146.

Modgil, R., 2000. Mineral content of insect infested stored legumes treated with edible oils. Nahrung, 44: 415-422.

Ogbadu, G.H., 1980. Influence of Gamma Irradiation of aflatoxin B1 production by Aspergillus flavus growing on some Nigerian meals. Science of Microbes, 27: 19-26.

Pearson, D., 1981. General methods in chemical analysis of foods (pp. 11). Edinburgh/London/New York: Churchill Livingstone.

Rady, A.H., S.M. Abdel Hady, F.M. Elnashabi, E.A Afifi and E.M. Salam, 2002. Influence of Gamma rays and Microwave heating on the Quality of Olive fruits and their virgin oil. Isotope and Rad. Res., 34: 369-380.

Seda, H.A, G.S. Moram, A.A. Mahmoud and H.F. Elneily, 2001. Chemical and biological changes of peanut kernels by Gamma Radiation. Annals of Agricultural Science, 46: 233-251.

Siddhuraju, D., O. Osoniyi, H.P.S. Makkar and K. Becker, 2002. The effect of Soaking and Ionizing Radiation on various anti-nutritional factors of seeds from different species of an unconventional legume sesvaria and common legume, green gram (*Vigna radiate*). Food Chemistry, 79: 273

WHO., 1987. Food Irradiation. In: Point of Fact. No. 40. Geneva, Switzerlan

Yasumatsu, K.K., S. Sawada, M. Moritaka, J. Misaki, T. Toda, Wada and K. Ishii, 1972. Whipping and emulsifying properties of soybean products. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry, 36: 719.

Zayas, J.F., 1997. Functionality of proteins in food. (p.81) New York: Springer.

Zeb, A. and T. Ahmad, 2004 The High Dose Irradiation Affect the Quality Parameters of Edible Oils. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 7: 943-946.