

# Multipartite $W$ -type state is determined by its single-particle reduced density matrices among all $W$ -type states

Nengkun Yu\*

State Key Laboratory of Intelligent Technology and Systems, Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology,

Department of Computer Science and Technology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China and

Center for Quantum Computation and Intelligent Systems (QCIS), Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology,

University of Technology, Sydney, New South Wales 2007, Australia

(Received 11 March 2013; published 13 May 2013)

It is known that the  $n$ -qubit  $W$ -type state is determined by its bipartite reduced density matrices. In this paper, we show that the multipartite  $W$ -type state is uniquely determined by its reduced density matrices among  $W$ -type states in the sense of local unitary equivalence.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.87.052310](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.052310)

PACS number(s): 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud

## I. INTRODUCTION

With entanglement being a proven asset to information processing and computational tasks, much effort has been spent on quantifying it as a resource and numerous results have been obtained. It is extremely difficult to give a perfect description of the entanglement in the multipartite case, even though it is well understood for the bipartite pure state case.

One important approach is to consider their interconvertibility through manipulations that do not require quantum communication, which is to determine whether one can interconvert between two given states  $|\Psi\rangle$  and  $|\Phi\rangle$  by local operation. A widely studied equivalence relation of multipartite state space is stochastic local operations and classical communication (SLOCC) [1–3]:  $|\Psi\rangle$  and  $|\Phi\rangle$  are considered to be SLOCC equivalent if they can be reversibly converted from one to the other by operations belonging to the class of stochastic local operations and classical communication. On the other hand, if only local unitaries are allowed, the problem become very interesting and significant: local unitaries (LU) do not change entanglement, and LU equivalent states have the same entanglement (both for type and amount). Thus, a LU equivalent relationship can be considered as one key solution of the characterization of multipartite entanglement. Two multipartite states  $|\Psi\rangle$  and  $|\Phi\rangle$  are called LU equivalent if there exist unitaries  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  such that  $|\Psi\rangle = (U_1 \otimes \dots \otimes U_n)|\Phi\rangle$ . Generally, it is difficult to determine whether two given multipartite states are LU equivalent, while it might be easier in the SLOCC case.

In this paper, we study a special case of the following interesting problem: how to check if two pure quantum states are LU equivalent, provided they lie in the same SLOCC class. In particular, we are most interested in the SLOCC class of the  $|W\rangle$  state, whose entanglement has attracted a lot of attention [4–6]. For the  $n$ -qubit pure quantum state, it was proved that the maximum degree of entanglement (measured in terms of the concurrence) between any pair is equal to  $2/n$  [4] and the maximum bound is achieved when the state is  $|W\rangle_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(|0\dots 01\rangle + \dots + |10\dots 0\rangle)$ . For two  $n$ -partite pure states which are SLOCC equivalent to  $|W\rangle_n$ , i.e., the  $W$ -type state, it

was shown that the  $n$ -qubit  $W$ -type state is determined by its bipartite reduced density matrices in [5]. Here, we generalize this result to show that the  $W$ -type state is determined by its single-particle reduced density matrices. More precisely, we show that two  $W$ -type states are LU equivalent if and only if they share the spectra of single-particle reduced density matrices.

## II. MAIN RESULT

The following lemma gives a very nice characterization of the  $W$ -type state up to local unitaries [7,8]. Moreover, it relates the  $W$ -type pure state to a  $n + 1$ -dimensional real vector.

*Lemma.* Any  $W$ -type pure state is LU equivalent to

$$\sqrt{x}|0\dots 0\rangle + \sqrt{c_1}|0\dots 01\rangle + \dots + \sqrt{c_n}|10\dots 0\rangle,$$

with some  $c_k > 0$  and  $x \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $|\psi\rangle = (A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n)|W\rangle_n$ , with  $A_k$  being an all nonsingular  $2 \times 2$  matrix. For any  $A_k$ , there is a unitary  $V_k$  such that  $A_k = V_k B_k$ , with  $B_k$  being an upper triangle matrix. Thus,  $|\psi\rangle$  is LU equivalent to  $(B_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes \dots \otimes B_n)|W\rangle_n$ , that is,

$$d|0\dots 0\rangle + d_1|0\dots 01\rangle + \dots + d_n|10\dots 0\rangle,$$

for complex  $d, d_k$ . One can find the diagonal local unitaries to transform it into the wanted formalism.

Now we can present our main result as follows:

*Theorem 1.* The multipartite  $W$  state is uniquely determined by its single-particle reduced density matrices. In other words, for two given  $W$ -type states  $|\varphi\rangle, |\psi\rangle \in H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_n$ , if their reduced density matrices enjoys the same spectra, then  $|\varphi\rangle, |\psi\rangle$  are LU equivalent.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume that  $|\varphi\rangle, |\psi\rangle$  are given as

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi\rangle &= \sqrt{u}|0\dots 0\rangle + \sqrt{a_1}|0\dots 01\rangle + \dots + \sqrt{a_n}|10\dots 0\rangle, \\ |\psi\rangle &= \sqrt{v}|0\dots 0\rangle + \sqrt{b_1}|0\dots 01\rangle + \dots + \sqrt{b_n}|10\dots 0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If their reduced density matrices satisfy  $\det \rho_k = \det \sigma_k$  for all  $k$ , then the following holds for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ :

$$a_k \sum_{j \neq k}^n a_j = \det \rho_k = \det \sigma_k = b_k \sum_{j \neq k}^n b_j.$$

\*nengkunyu@gmail.com

One can obtain that  $a_k = b_k$  for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$  by proving the following lemma. Thus,  $u = 1 - \sum a_k = 1 - \sum b_k = v$ , which means that  $|\varphi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$ .

The following interesting lemma completes the proof of Theorem 1.

*Lemma 2.*  $\{a_k : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  and  $\{b_k : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  are two sets of positive numbers with  $n \geq 3$ ; if

$$a_k \sum_{j \neq k}^n a_j = b_k \sum_{j \neq k}^n b_j \quad (1)$$

is true for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , then  $a_k = b_k$  holds for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

*Proof.* Consider (1) as equations of  $\{a_k : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ ; it is sufficient to show that

$$a_k \sum_{j \neq k}^n a_j = x_k/4 \quad (2)$$

has at most one positive root, where  $x_k = 4b_k \sum_{j \neq k}^n b_j$ .

Let  $A = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$ ; we have

$$a_k = \frac{A \pm \sqrt{A^2 - x_k}}{2},$$

where

$$x_k = 4b_k \sum_{j \neq k}^n b_j = 4a_k(A - a_k) \leq (a_k + A - a_k)^2 = A^2.$$

There is at most one  $k$  such that  $a_k \geq A/2$ , that is,  $a_k = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - x_k}}{2}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose the largest element of  $\{a_k : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  is  $a_1$ , then for  $k \geq 2$ ,  $a_k = \frac{A - \sqrt{A^2 - x_k}}{2}$  and  $a_1 = A - \sum_{j=2}^n a_j$ .

We only need to show that there is at most one solution, which satisfies Eq. (3) or Eq. (4), for given  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n > 0$ :

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{A - \sqrt{A^2 - x_k}}{2} \right) = A, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - x_1}}{2} + \sum_{k=2}^n \left( \frac{A - \sqrt{A^2 - x_k}}{2} \right) = A. \quad (4)$$

Equations (3) and (4) are just  $f(A) = 0$  and  $g(A) = 0$ , respectively, where

$$f(y) = -2y + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{x_k}{y + \sqrt{y^2 - x_k}} \right),$$

$$g(y) = \sum_{k=2}^n (\sqrt{y^2 - x_k}) - [\sqrt{y^2 - x_1} + (n-2)y].$$

Case 1: Suppose there is some  $r > 0$  such that  $f(r) = 0$ . It is direct to verify that  $f(y)$  is a strictly monotone decreasing function on  $[0, +\infty)$ , which implies that  $f(y)$  has at most one root.

Assume  $g(s) = 0$  holds for some  $s > 0$ . Then,  $x_1 \geq x_k$  for any  $k$ , otherwise  $g(s) < 0$  by noticing  $s > \sqrt{s^2 - x_k}$ . Let  $z = \sqrt{s^2 - x_1}$ , and for  $k > 1$ ,  $z_k = \sqrt{x_1 - x_k}$ , then  $0 \leq z_k \leq \sqrt{x_1}$ .

First, we show that  $r = \sqrt{x_1}$ . To do so, we suppose  $r > \sqrt{x_1}$ . Since  $f(y)$  is monotone decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(r) < f(\sqrt{x_1}) \\ &= -2\sqrt{x_1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{x_1} + \sqrt{x_1 - x_i}} \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^n \sqrt{x_1 - x_k} < (n-2)\sqrt{x_1} \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^n z_k < (n-2)\sqrt{x_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Define a real function for any  $l > 0$ :

$$h_l(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{k=2}^n \sqrt{l^2 + y_k^2}.$$

Invoking the concavity of function  $h_z(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)$ ,  $0 \leq z_k \leq \sqrt{x_1}$  and  $\sum_{k=2}^n z_k < (n-2)\sqrt{x_1}$ , we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^n \sqrt{s^2 - x_k} &= \sum_{k=2}^n \sqrt{z^2 + z_k^2} = h_z(z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n) \\ &< g(0, \sqrt{x_1}, \dots, \sqrt{x_1}) = \sqrt{s^2 - x_1} + (n-2)s. \end{aligned}$$

But  $g(s) = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

Thus, in this case, we know that  $r = \sqrt{x_1}$ . One can also obtain that  $g(\sqrt{x_1}) = 0$  from  $f(\sqrt{x_1}) = 0$ .

Now, suppose there are  $s_1 > s_0 > 0$  such that  $g(s_1) = g(s_0) = 0$ . Assume  $t = s_1^2 - s_0^2$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-2)s_1 + \sqrt{s_1^2 - x_1} &= \sum_{k=2}^n \sqrt{s_1^2 - x_k} = \sum_{k=2}^n \sqrt{t + s_0^2 - x_k} \\ &= h_{\sqrt{t}}(\sqrt{s_0^2 - x_2}, \sqrt{s_0^2 - x_3}, \dots, \sqrt{s_0^2 - x_n}). \end{aligned}$$

According to  $g(s_0) = 0$ , we have  $\sum_{k=2}^n \sqrt{s_0^2 - x_k} = (n-2)s_0 + \sqrt{s_0^2 - x_1}$ . Noting that  $\sqrt{s_0^2 - x_k} < s_0$ , we invoke the concavity of  $h_z(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)$  again and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\sqrt{t}}(\sqrt{s_0^2 - x_2}, \sqrt{s_0^2 - x_3}, \dots, \sqrt{s_0^2 - x_n}) &< h_{\sqrt{t}}(0, s_0, \dots, s_0) = (n-2)s_1 + \sqrt{s_1^2 - x_1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction from  $g(s_1) = 0$ . Therefore,  $g(y)$  can have, at most, one root. Thus,  $f(y)$  has, at most, one root. If  $f(r) = 0$  and  $g(s) = 0$ , then  $r = s = \sqrt{x_1}$ .

Case 2: Suppose  $f(y)$  has no root. As we discussed above,  $g(y)$  has, at most, one root. Thus, there is, at most, one solution, which satisfies Eq. (3) or Eq. (4). Obviously, we can choose  $A = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ ; then,  $a_k = b_k$  is the only possible case.

### III. CONCLUSION

In this short paper, we show that the entanglement of  $W$ -type states is uniquely determined by their reduced density matrices. It would be quite interesting to generalize this

argument to the SLOCC class of the symmetric state, for instance, the Dicke state.

*Note added in proof.* Recently, we have learned about the independent work by Sawicki *et al.* [9], in which the three-qubit case is studied and they left the conjecture of the  $n$ -qubit  $W$ -type state in their previous version. Our result provides a positive answer to that conjecture.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Dr. R. Duan, C. Guo, and L. Chen for very helpful discussions. I am indebted to M. Ying for his constant support. This work was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 61179030 and No. 60621062) and the Australian Research Council (Grants No. DP110103473 and No. DP120103776).

- 
- [1] J. Eisert and H. J. Briegel, *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 022306 (2001).  
[2] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, B. De Moor, and H. Verschelde, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 052112 (2002).  
[3] M. Hein, J. Eisert, and H. J. Briegel, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 062311 (2004).  
[4] M. Koashi, V. Buzek, and N. Imoto, *Phys. Rev. A* **62**, 050302(R) (2000).  
[5] P. Parashar and S. Rana, *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 012319 (2009).  
[6] N. Yu, E. Chitambar, C. Guo, and R. Duan, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 014301 (2010).  
[7] W. Cui, E. Chitambar, and H. K. Lo, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 052301 (2011).  
[8] S. Rana and P. Parashar, arXiv:1110.4597 [Phys. Rev. A (to be published)].  
[9] A. Sawicki, M. Walter, and M. Kus, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46**, 055304 (2013).