Volume-4, Issue-2, April-June-2014

Coden : IJPAES

ISSN 2231-4490

Copyrights@2014

www.ijpaes.com

Received: 04th Jan-2014 Revised: 19th Feb-2014 Accepted: 25th Feb-2014 Research article

INVESTIGATING EFFECTS OF RICE HERBICIDES ON CONTROLLING RATOON WEED

Abas pournasrollah^a, Hassan Nickkhah Bahrami^b, Reza Valiollahpour^c and Mohammad Hossein Haddadi^d

^aIslamic Azad University student of weed science (MSC), Qaemshahr, Iran. ^bScientific member of of Eslamic Azad Agricultural University. Qaemshahr, Iran. ^{c,d}Scientific member of Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center of Mazandran, Sari, Iran. Corresponding author: sarhad134@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT: Field trials were conducted to evaluate various herbicids for weed control in rice farm (ratton rice crop) in Sari, Iran during the summer season of 2012. Six herbicide treatments were evaluated and compared with hoe weeding control and a weedy check in a randomized complete block design with four replications. All the weed control treatments and hoe weeding significantly reduced weed growth and resulted in higher rice grain yields than the respective weedy check. Among the various herbicide treatments tested application of Sunriceplus (Anilofus + Auto oxi sulforon), Stuff (Sinosulforon), londux (Bensulforon-methyl)+Machete -EN (Botakolor), Londux (Bensulforon-methyl) and hoe-weeded control consistently combined effective weed control with good crop growth and high kernel yields with 2175,2092/5,1940,1897/5 and 18 kg/ha comparable to the weedy check (1065kg/ha). Herbicides effect on weeds of Echinochloa crus-galli (L.) P. Beauv., Cyperus difformis L., Cyperus rotundus L., Cyperus globosus Aublet, and Scirpus mucronatus L. also were studied. Londux had the highest effect on all of the weeds except Cyperus globosus Aublet. Hoe weeding effect was nearly same as Sunriceplus. All of the herbicides were effective on weeds in compare of weedy check.

Key words: Weed control, herbicide treatments, rice, Iran.

INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the world's most important food crops [11]. Rice is considered the most important staple food in the world as it supplies the major food requirement for more than one half of the world's population. This crop has become an important staple food whose demand is always on the increase in Iran largely due to increasing population. As a staple food, rice has also provided more calories per hectare than other cereal crops [4]. In spite of its diversified uses and high acceptability both as food and cash crop, the production of rice is constrained by a number of factors. These include problems of insect pests, diseases and weeds. Of all the constraints limiting the production of this crop, weeds, appear to have the most deleterious effect causing between 75 and 100% reduction in potential paddy rice yield [1, 6, 7]. Weeds are the most serious biotic constraint to higher yields [5, 13, 8]. They are a major problem in all rice-production countries, including Macedonia, mainly because rice is grown mostly as a continuous crop. In rice crops worldwide, losses due to competitive effects of weeds are estimated at 10% to 15% of potential production [3,12, 15]. On average in Europe, the potential reduction in rice yields due to uncontrolled weeds has been estimated at 55–60% [10]. Madrid et al [9] reported that losses in rice yields due to weeds ranged from 41% to 100%. Ratton rice crop is the second harvesting from rice [14]. Weed control was caused that rice yield increased [2].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field trials were conducted to evaluate various herbicids for weed control in rice farm (ratton rice crop) in Sari, Iran (53°10' E longitude and 36° 40'N latitude, 16 m above sea level) during the summer season of 2012. The trial was laid out in randomized complete block with four replications. In each plot 6 planting row, with plant distance of 20 cm in row and row distance of 25cm, were applied .Six herbicide treatments including Sunriceplus (Anilofus + Auto oxi sulforon) 3lit/ha, Stuff (Sinosulforon) 100g/ha, londux (Bensulforon-methyl)75g/ha + Machete-EN (Botakolor) 3lit/ha, Londux (Bensulforon-methyl) 75g/ha, Machete -EN (Botakolor) 3lit/ha + Stuff (Sinosulforon) 100g/ha and Machete –EN (Botakolor) 3lit/ha were evaluated and compared with hoe weeding control and a weedy check.

Plant height(cm), panicle length(cm), plant drying weight(g/m²), empty grain in panicle, filled grain in panicle, 1000 grain weight (g) and grain yield (kg/ha) were measured in ten plants at per treatment. Herbicides effect on weeds of *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv., *Cyperus difformis* L., *Cyperus rotundus* L., *Cyperus globosus* Aublet, and *Scirpus mucronatus* L. were studied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the weeds control treatments and hoe weeding significantly reduced weed growth and resulted in higher rice grain yields than the respective weedy check. Among the various herbicide treatments tested application of Sunriceplus (Anilofus + Auto oxi sulforon), Stuff (Sinosulforon), londux (Bensulforon-methyl)+Machete –EN (Botakolor), Londux (Bensulforon-methyl) and hoe-weeded control consistently combined effective weed control with good crop growth and high kernel yields with 2175,2092/5,1940,1897/5 and 18 kg/ha comparable to the weedy check with 1065kg/ha(Table 1). Herbicides effect on weeds of *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv., *Cyperus difformis* L., *Cyperus rotundus* L., *Cyperus globosus* Aublet., and *Scirpus mucronatus* L. were showed that Sunriceplus had more effect on *Cyperus difformis* L., and *Scirpus mucronatus* L. Machete –EN + Stuff had highest effect on *Cyperus difformis* L., and *Scirpus mucronatus* L. Machete –EN had least effect on weeds. Stuff had more effect on *Cyperus difformis* L., *Cyperus rotundus* L. and *Scirpus mucronatus* L. Londux had the highest affect on all of the weeds except *Cyperus globosus* Aublet. Hoe weeding effect was nearly same as Sunriceplus. All of the herbicides were effect on weeds in compare of weedy check (Table 2).

Table 1. Means comparison effects of herbicides on Plant height(cm), panicle length(cm), plant drying weight(g/m2), empty grain in panicle, filled grain in panicle, 1000 grain weight (g) and grain yield (kg/ha)

	weignt(g	/m2) , empty ;	grain in panicie ,	milea grain in j	n panicie ,1000 grain weight (g) and grain yieid (kg/na)				
	grain yield (Kg/ha)	1000 grain weight (g)	filled grain in panicle (Panicle ⁻¹)	empty grain in panicle (Panicle ⁻¹)	plant drying weight (g/m²)	panicle length (cm)	Plant height (cm)	Herbicides	
Ì	a 2175	ab 21/95	ab 384/25	a 59/75	a 1191/5	a 19/15	ab 69/4	Sunriceplus	
	abc 1595	ab 21/5	bc 285/25	a 39/5	a 950	a 18/43	ab 64/51	Machete –EN + Stuff	
	a 1940	a 22/9	a 363	a 32/75	a 1123/5	a 19/10	a 74/62	Londux + Machete–EN	
	bc 1127/5	ab 21/12	bc 287	a 43/75	a 929/8	a 17/28	ab 66/25	Machete-EN	
	a 2092/5	ab 22/2	abc 324/25	a 62/75	a 1029	a 18/73	ab 68/78	Stuff	
	a 1897/5	a 22/52	abc 301/25	a 51/75	a 910/5	a 17/92	ab 68/71	Londux	
	ab 1800	ab 21/62	ab 352/75	a 30/25	a 849	a 17/85	ab 62/62	Hoe weeding	
	c 1065	b 20/72	c 261/25	a 38/25	a 1004/3	a 18/04	b 59/87	weedy check	

Different letters in each colum shows significant difference at %5 probability (DMRT).

Table 2. Means comparison effects of herbicides on weeds

Scirpus mucronatus L.(plant/m²)	Cyperus globosus Aublet (plant/m²)	Cyperus rotundus L. (plant/m²)	Cyperus difformis L (plant/m²)	Echinochloa crus-galli L. P. Beauv.(plant/m²)	Herbicides
cd 0/83	a 0/70	a 2/42	b 2/90	ab 3/35	Sunriceplus
abc 1/45	a 1/04	ab 1/93	b 6/65	ab 3/47	Machete –EN + Stuff
d 0/70	a 0/99	ab 1/30	b 6/80	ab 3/55	Londux + Machete–EN
ab 1/53	a 1/37	ab 1/43	a 14/56	ab 2/58	Machete-EN
bcd 0/99	a 0/99	b 1/06	b 3/42	a 3/80	Stuff
d 0/70	a 1/04	b 0/70	b 4/81	b 1/74	Londux
bcd 1/44	a 0/83	ab 1/41	b 5/03	ab 3/39	Hoe weeding
a 2/05	a 1/26	ab 1/30	a 15/56	ab 2/56	weedy check

Different letters in each colum shows significant difference at %5 probability (DMRT)

REFERENCES

- [1] Akobundui, O. 1981. Weed Control in direct-seeded lowland rice under poor water control conditions. Weed Research 21:273-278.
- [2] Bahar, F.A., and Datta, S.K. 1977. Prospects of increasing total rice production through rationing. Agron. J. 69:536-540.
- [3] Baltazar, A.M., DeDatta, S.K.1992. Weed management in rice. Weed Abstracts, 41: 495–507.
- [4] Dedatta, S.K., Bernasor, P.C. 1973. Chemical weed control in broadcast-seeded flooded tropical rice. Weed Research, 13, pp. 351–354.
- [5] Ichii, M. 1982. The effect of light and temperature on rice plant rations. Japan. J. Crop Sci. 15:281-285.
- [6] Imeokparia, P. O., Okusanya, B. A. 1997. Relative effectiveness and Economics of Cultural and Chemical Control Methods in Lowland Rice (*Oriza sativa*) in the Southern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. Nigeria Journal of Weed Science, 10, pp.35-47.
- [7] Lavabre, E. M. 1991. The Tropical Agriculturist: Weed Control, pp.86
- [8] Mandal B., De, P., De, G.C. 2002. Efficiency of herbal leaves on weed management of transplanted Kharif rice. Journal of Interacademicia, 6,pp. 109–112.
- [9] Madrid, M.T., Punzalan, F.l., Lubigan, R.T.1972. In: Some Common Weeds and Their Control. 1st Ed. Weed Science Society of the Philippines, Languna.
- [10] Oerke, E.C., Dehne, H.W., Schnbeck, F., Weber, A. 1994. Crop Production and Crop Protection: Estimated Losses in Major Food and Cash Crops. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- [11] Singh, R.j., Khush, G.S. 2000. Cytogenetics of rice. In: Nanda J.S. (ed.): Rice Breeding and Genetics –Research Priorities and Challenges. Science Publishers, Enfield, pp. 287–311.
- [12] Smith, R.J. Jr. 1983. Weeds of major economic importance in rice and yield losses due to weed competition.In: Proceeding of the Conference on Weed Control in Rice, International Rice Research Institute, pp. 19–36.
- [13] Subhas, C., Jitendra, P. 2001. Effect of rice (Oryza sativa L.) culture, nitrogen and weed control on nitrogen competition between scented rice and weeds. Indian Journal of Agronomy, 46, pp. 68–74.
- [14] Turner, F.T., and Jund, M.F. 1993. Rice ration crop yield linked to main crop stem carbohydrates. Crop Sci, 33, pp. 150-153.
- [15] Zoschke, A. 1990. Yield loss in tropical rice as influenced by the competition of weed flora and the timing of its elimination. In: Grayson B.T., Green M.B., Copping L.G. (eds): Pest Management in Rice. Elsevier Science, London, pp. 301–313.