# Feasability, accuracy and reproducibility of transient elastography

# Alexandra Deleanu, Roxana Şirli, Alina Popescu, Ioan Sporea

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Victor Babeş" Timişoara

### Abstract

**Background:** Transient elastography (TE) is a non-invasive method for the evaluation of liver diseases which is increasingly being accepted by patients and hepatologists. **Aim:** To evaluate the capacity of the TE (FibroScan) in estimating liver fibrosis in clinical practice. **Material and methods:** During 2 years 3459 examinations were performed. The time required for examination, the rate of valid determinations and the rate of uninterpetable results, taking into account the interquartilic range (IQR) and the success rate (SR), were evaluated. The TE reproducibility (intra- and inter-observer reproducibility analyzed by 3 independent examiners) in 287 cases was evaluated using the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC). A group of 167 healthy volunteers were examined to establish the average value of the liver stiffness. **Results:** The average time required for one examination was 4 min 15 s. In 94.7% of cases the determinations were valid. In 446 cases IQR was > 30% and SR < 60% resulting in 13.6% of cases without TE valid results, and 86.4% TE useful results for the evaluation of the chronic liver disease. Intra-observer reproducibility for the three operators were: 0.985, 0.949, and respectively 0.874 and the inter-observer reproducibility was analyzed with overall ICC 0982. The average value of the liver stiffness in the control group was 4.8 - 1.9 kPa. **Conclusions:** TE is an easy and quick way to evaluate liver stiffness, user- and patient-friendly. Intra- and inter-observer reproducibility is excellent, TE being an operator-independent method.

Key-words: transient elastography, fezability, accuracy, reproducibility

## Rezumat

**Introducere:** Elastografia impulsională (EI) este o metodă tot mai utilizată în practica zilnică. Fiind noninvazivă, este agreată atât de medici, cât și de pacienți. **Scopul lucrării:** de a evalua capacitatea de estimare a fibrozei hepatice prin EI (FibroScan®) în practica clinică. **Material și metodă:** Într-o perioadă de 2 ani s-au efectuat 3459 de examinări, în care s-a evaluat durata de examinare, rata determinărilor valide și rata rezultatelor nedeterminabile ținând cont de intervalul intercvartilic (IQR) și rata de succes (SR). Reproductibilitatea intra- și interobservator a fost studiată utilizând coeficientul intra-clasă, în cazul a 287 de pacienți, la care s-a efectuat măsurători de către 3 operatori independenți. Valoarea medie a duritatea hepatice a fost stabilită prin măsurătorile efectuate la un grup de 167 de voluntari sănătoși. **Rezultate:** Timpul mediu de examinare în cadrul lotului nostru a fost de 4 min 15 s. In 94,7% din cazuri determinările au fost considerate valide. În 446 din cazuri IQR a fost > 30% și SR < 60% rezultând 13,6% dintre cazuri la care valorile EI nu au putut fi utilizate și 86,4% în care a fost util pentru evaluarea bolii hepatice cronice. Reproductibilitatea intra-observator între cei trei examinatori a fost de: 0.985, 0.949, și respectiv 0.874 iar reproductibilitatea inter-observator a fost analizată cu un ICC pe ansamblu de 0982. Valoarea medie a durității hepatice la lotul martor a fost de 4.8 - 1.9 kPa. **Concluzie:** EI este o metodă facilă, rapidă de evaluare a fibrozei hepatice. Reproductibilitatea intra- and inter-observator este excelentă, EI fiind o metodă operator-independentă.

**Cuvinte-cheie:** 

Address for correspondence: Dr. Alexandra Deleanu Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Emergency County Hospital Timişoara, 10 Iosif Bulbuca str, Timişoara, Romania, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Victor Babeş" Timişoara e-mail: alexandradeleanu@yahoo.com

#### Introduction

Transient elastography (TE or FibroScan ® - FS) had been used in clinical practice since 2003, first in France [1,2], then in other European countries [3,4] and on other continents [5,6,7,8], becoming increasingly popular, especially in ambulatory medicine.

Liver biopsy (LB) has led to remarkable progress in the field of hepatology. In current practice it was and is

#### 32 Alexandra Deleanu et al

still widespread in establishing the diagnosis and therapy decision and to ascertain the efficacy of treatment [9].

Through its invasiveness, LB has its adverse side effects and potential complications. These have been evidenced in numerous retrospective and prospective studies [10-17]. Severe complications are rare but are still mentioned (0.3-0.5%), as well as a risk of mortality [9]. The main problems of liver biopsy as a diagnostic procedure are the sampling and observational errors [18].

Non-invasive methods, especially TE have become increasingly accepted. Using TE the volume of liver tissue explored corresponds to a cylinder with a diameter of 1 cm and a length of 4 cm (depth: 2.5 - 6.5 cm), corresponding to a volume 100 times greater than the fragment obtained by LB.

After a period of enthusiasm in using the method, a proper analysis is required to determine the exact benefits not only with its positive results, but also with its limits, in evaluating various chronic liver diseases.

### Material and method

During May 2007 – February 2009, 3459 patient were examinated by TE. The duration of examination, the rate of valid determinations and the rate of uninterpetable results, taking into account the interquartilic range (IQR) and the success rate (SR), which proved to be very important parameters regarding the accuracy of the results obtained by FibroScan, were evaluated. Is had been showed by the provider that an IQR > 30% and a SR < 60% does not provide an accurate assessment of liver fibrosis.

The intra- and inter-observer reproducibility using the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated. The TE reproducibility in 287 cases was analyzed by 3 independent examiners.

In a group of 176 apparently healthy volunteers, i.e. without known liver disease, the average value of the liver stiffness was determinated.

## Results

For the 3459 examinations performed in the abovementioned period, the average rating in our batch was 4 min and 15 s (minimum 1 min 55 sec - maximum 35 min 20 sec).

Of the 3459 examinations, in 183 cases we could not obtain 10 valid measurements, the failure rate being 5.3%. 3276 cases with valid examinations (*valid determinations in 94.7% of cases*) remained in the study.

In 446 cases IQR was more than 30% and SR less than 60%. So in 13.6% of cases the result of TE could

not be used, and in 86.4% it could be used to evaluate a chronic liver disease correctly.

Reproducibility intra-observer was studied in 183 cases and the ICC for the three operators were: 0.985, 0.949, and respectively 0.874 (confidence interval - CI 0.977-0.990, 0.849-0.983 respectively 0.794-0.923) (fig 1).

Reproducibility inter-observer was analyzed in 104 cases. Overall ICC was 0982 (CI 0974 to 0988) (fig 2).



Fig 1. Intra-observator reproductibility of the FibroScan<sup>®</sup> measurements.



Fig 2. Inter-observer reproducibility of FibroScan® measurements.

Taking in account the batch of 176 volunteers without known liver pathology, in 11 cases we could not obtain valid measurements (6.2%). In the remaining 165 subjects, the mean value obtained was 4.8 + 1.9 kPa (2.3 - 8.8 kPa). We did not find different levels of TE according to different age groups.

### Discussion

Transient elastography is a method driven by a rapid learning curve, 50-100 examinations are required in order to make performance measurements [18,19]. Castera *et al.* shows in a recent study comprising 13369 patients, that operator experience of over 500 examinations has a significant influence on the final result [20].

Time evaluation is short, the average in our study was 4 minutes and 15 seconds.

From the 3459 examinations performed in our center, in 183 cases we could not obtain 10 valid measurements, the failure rate being 5.3%. Regarding the determination of the valid rate, the percentage of indeterminate results (inability to obtain 10 valid measurements) varies in literature between 2.4 and 9.4% in different studies [1,2,10,19-25], therefore our results are somewhere between this limits. The main reasons mentioned [20,27] for the impossibility of determining fibrosis by TE are BMI > 28, presence of steatosis and age. In our study, the main cause which led to undeterminable results was obesity (98%).

The problem concerning the obese patients appears to have been resolved by the appearance on the market earlier this year of a new type of probe (XL) specially designed by EchoSens for these cases.

In addition, the validity of the final result, as recommended by the producing company, depends on two important parameters:

- "interquartile range" (IQR), which shows the variability between the 10 valid determinations obtained and which is shown not to exceed 30% of the mean, ie the final result
- "success rate" (SR), represented by the ratio of the number of valid measurements and total shots and which has to be at least 60%.

The importance of these parameters has been discussed in many papers published to date [9,19,20-24].

In our study, in 446 cases (13.6%), IQR was > 30% and SR < 60%, so in only 86.4% of cases we could use TE to assess liver fibrosis. Data from our study do not differ from those in the literature, the unusable results (which cannot be obtained and the results which cannot be interpreted ) range between 14.9% and 18.9% [10,20]. As a result we must consider that only approx. 85% of cases that are evaluated through TE are able to offer a valid measurement (and not approx. 95%).

Castera *et al.* stresses that it is important to take into consideration guidelines recommended by the manufacturer as well as the expertise of the examiner when conducting elastography (. Thus, in their study, they found

a highly significant statistical difference between operators with less or more than 500 examinations performed [20].

Reproducibility of TE is also important in the applicability of the method in the clinical practice. Reproducibility intra-observer has been studied in 183 cases and the ICC for the three operators were evaluated: 0.985, 0.949, and respectively 0.874 (confidence interval - CI 0.977-0.990; 0849 - 0983 and respectively 0794 to 0923). Reproducibility inter-observer was analyzed in 104 cases. Overall ICC was 0982 (CI 0974 to 0988).

These data overlap with data from the initial study conducted by Sandrin *et al.* where reproducibility was extremely good (the intra-operator standardized CV was 3.2% over the studied population and varied from 2% to 18%). No significant difference was noted between the three successive series of measurements made by the three different operators (Kruskal–Wallis test) and the corresponding CV was 3.3%. But it has also to be noted that the batch was very small (15 patients) and therefore clear conclusions on the parameters pertaining to the subjects and the basic etiology of liver disease that may interfere with EI performance were unable to be established.

There are two recent studies that answered this question [19,31] and show that the method is highly reproducible, both intra- and inter-observer. Fraquelli *et al.* showed in their study [19] that the intra-class correlation index (CCI) was 0.98 in both cases. It is been shown that the reproducibility of the method may be influenced by the expertise of the operator, and by the BMI (and hence the SR), and also Fraquelli *et al.* stresses in this connection that the examiner's experience could make ICC increase to almost an absolute value.

TE average value obtained on volunteers without known liver pathology (in our group of 165 cases with valid determinations) was 4.8 + 1.9 kPa (2.3-8.8 kPa) and we did not find different values by TE in different age groups. The same has been evidenced by other authors (Roulot et al.) for 429 healthy subjects without known liver disease and normal transaminases [30, 31]. Prati et al. obtained similar results in a study of 1001 cases [31]. The mean value in these subjects was 5.5 + 1.6 kPa. Age appears not to influence the outcome. However, as stated in a previous study by Corpechot et al. [30] values are influenced by gender, men having higher values, 5.8 - 1.5 kPa in comparison to woman: 5.2 - 1.6 kPa (p = ES). Other factors that influence the result obtained by Fibro-Scan are BMI over 28 kg/m2 and presence of a metabolic syndrome, situations where values are higher by about 1 kPa.

## Conclusions

TE is an easy way to evaluate liver stiffness, requiring a short time to gain expertise and is highly appreciated by patients, being noninvasive, in comparison with the liver biopsy.

Intra- and inter-observer reproducibility is excellent, TE being an operator-independent method.

It should be noted, that that is very important that the examiner should give maximum attention to the manufacturer's recommendations so that the results are applicable in the highest diagnostic accuracy, with an IQR of less than 30% and a SR of 60%. Using these criteria, we could obtain valid measurement only in about 85% of cases of FS evaluation. In performing a study regarding the value of FS in comparison with liver biopsy, we must use only this valid measurement.

Regarding the undeterminable results (inability to obtain 10 valid measurements) mostly in overweight patients, this shortcoming will perhaps remain largely on the past due to the appearance of the new probe "XL" (EchoSens, Paris, France) on the market specifically designed for obese patients.

#### References

- Sandrin L, Fourquet B, Hasquenoph JM, et al. Transient elastography: a new noninvasive method for assessment of hepatic fibrosis, Ultrasound Med Biol, 2003;29:1705–1713
- Foucher J, Chanteloup E, Vergniol J, et al. Diagnosis of cirrhosis by transient elastography Fibroscan(R): a prospective study. Gut 2006;55:403–408.
- Berends MA, Snoek J, de Jong EM, et al. Biochemical and biophysical assessment of MTX-induced liver fibrosis in psoriasis patients: FibroTest predicts presence and Fibro-Scan predicts the absence of significant liver fibrosis. Liver Int 2007;27:639-645
- Lupşor M, Badea R, Ştefănescu H, et al. Analysis of histopatological changes that influence liver stiffness in chronic hepatitis C. Results from a cohort of 324 patients. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis, 2008;17;2:155-163
- Kim KM, Choi WB, Park SH, et al. Diagnosis of hepatic steatosis and fibrosis by transient elastography in asymptomatic healthy individuals: a prospective study of living related potential liver donors. J Gastroenterol 2007; 42:382–388.
- Yoneda M, Fujita K, Inamori M, Nakajima A, Tamano M, Hiraishi H. Transient elastography in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Gut 2007;56:1330–1331.
- Rockey DC: Noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis and portal hypertension with transient elastography. Gastroenterology 2008;134:8-14
- Ogawa E, Furusyo N, Toyoda K, Takeoka H et al. Transient elastography for patients with chronic hepatitis B and C

virus infection: Non-invasive, quantitative assessment of liver fibrosis. Hepatol Res 2007;37:1002-1010

- Bedossa P. Assessment of hepatitis C: non-invasive fibrosismarkers and/or liver biopsy. Liver International 2009;29(s1):19–22
- Piccinino F, Sagnelli E, Pasquale G, Giusti G. Complications following percutaneous liver biopsy a multicentre retrospective study on 68276 biopsies. J Hepatol 1986;2:165– 173.
- Yano M, Kumada H, Kage M, et al. The long-term pathological evolution of chronic hepatitis C. Hepatology 1996; 23:1334–1340.
- Khan MH, Farrell GC, Byth K, et al. Which patients with hepatitis C develop liver complications? Hepatology 2000;31:513–520.
- Perrillo RP. The role of liver biopsy in hepatitis C. Hepatology 1997; 26:57S–61S.
- Poynard T, Ratziu V, Benhamou Y, et al. Natural history of HCV infection. Bailleres Clin Gastroenterol 2000;14:211– 228
- 15. Cadranel JF, Rufat P, Degos F. Practices of liver biopsy in France: results of a prospective nationwide survey. For the Group of Epidemiology of the French Association for the Study of the Liver (AFEF). Hepatology 2000;32:477–481.
- Myers RP, Fong A, Shaheen AA. Utilization rates, complications and costs of percutaneous liver biopsy: a populationbased study including 4275 biopsies. Liver Int 2008;28:705–712.
- Actis GC, Olivero A, Lagget M, et al. The practice of percutaneous liver biopsy in a gastrohepatology day hospital: a retrospective study on 835 biopsies. Dig Dis Sci 2007;52:2576–2579.
- Kettaneh A, Marcellin P, Douvin C, et al. Features associated with success rate and performance of FibroScan measurements for the diagnosis of cirrhosis in HCV patients: a prospective study of 935 patients. J Hepatol 2007; 46:628–634.
- Fraquelli M, Rigamonti C, Casazza G, et al. Reproducibility of transient elastography in the evaluation of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic liver disease. Gut 2007;56;968-973
- Castera L, Foucher J, Bernard PH, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with liver stiffness measurement failure and unreliable results using Fibroscan: a 5 year experience in 13369 examinations. J Hepatol 2009;50:S52
- Ziol M, Handra-Luca A, Kettaneh A, et al. Noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis by measurement of stiffness in patients with chronic hepatitis C. Hepatology 2005;41:48–54.
- Ganne-Carrie N, Ziol M, de Ledinghen V, et al. Accuracy of liver stiffness measurement for the diagnosis of cirrhosis in patients with chronic liver diseases. Hepatology 2006;44:1511–1517
- Coco B, Oliveri F, Maina AM, et al. Transient elastography: a new surrogate marker of liver fibrosis influenced by major changes of transaminases. J Viral Hepat 2007;14:360–369.
- 23. Foucher J, Castera L, Bernard PH, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with failure of liver stiffness measure-

ment using FibroScan in a prospective study of 2114 examinations. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;18:411–412.

- Castera L, Vergniol J, Foucher J, et al. Prospective comparison of transient elastography, Fibrotest, APRI, and liver biopsy for the assessment of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. Gastroenterology 2005;128:343–350.
- 24. Lucidarme D, Foucher J, Le Bail B, et al. The ratio interquartile range/median value of liver stiffness measurements is a key factor of accuracy of transient elastography (FibroScan) for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis (abstract). Hepatology 2007;46:318A
- Corpechot C, El Naggar A, Poujol-Robert A, et al. Assessment of biliary fibrosis by transient eleastography in patients with PBC and PSC. Hepatology 2006; 43:1118–1124.
- 26. De Ledinghen V, Douvin C, Kettaneh A, et al. Diagnosis of hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis by transient elastography in HIV/hepatitic C virus-coinfected patients. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2006;41:175–179.

- Munteanu M, Lebray P, Fokam JM, et al. Applicability of non-invasive methods for the diagnosis of liver injury, liver stiffness measures (LSM) or biomarkers, and factors associated with non-applicability. J Hepatol 2007;46:S98
- Roulot D, Czernichow S, Le Cle'siau H, Costes J-L, Vergnaud AC, Beaugrand M. Liver stiffness values in apparently healthy subjects: Influence of gender and metabolic syndrome. J Hepatol 2008;48:606–613.
- Konate A, Reaud S, Quenemer E, Fouchard-Hubert I, Oberti F, Cales P. Liver stiffness measurement by transient elastography: predictive factors of accuracy and reproducibility (abstract). J Hepatol 2006; 44:S195.
- Corpechot C, El Naggar A, Poupon R. Gender and liver: is the liver stiffness weaker in weaker sex? Hepatology 2006;44:513–514.
- Berzuini A, Colli A, Gerosa A et al. Factors related to liver stiffness measurement by transient elastography among healthy individuals: results from a prospective study. Dig Liver Dis 2009;41:A12-A13