

Research Article

Existence of Positive Periodic Solutions for a Class of n -Species Competition Systems with Impulses

Peilian Guo and Yansheng Liu

Department of Mathematics, Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250014, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yansheng Liu, yanshliu@gmail.com

Received 20 May 2011; Accepted 11 July 2011

Academic Editor: Khalil Ezzinbi

Copyright © 2011 P. Guo and Y. Liu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

By using the fixed point theorem on cone, some sufficient conditions are obtained on the existence of positive periodic solutions for a class of n -species competition systems with impulses. Meanwhile, we point out that the conclusion of (Yan, 2009) is incorrect.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the problem of periodic solutions of the ecological species competition systems has always been one of the active areas of research and has attracted much attention. For instance, the traditional Lotka-Volterra competition system is a rudimentary model on mathematical ecology which can be expressed as follows:

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = x_i(t) \left[r_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1.1)$$

Owing to its theoretical and practical significance, the systems have been studied extensively by many researchers. And many excellent results which concerned with persistence, extinction, global attractivity of periodic solutions, or almost periodic solutions have been obtained.

However, the Lotka-Volterra competition systems ignore many important factors, such as the age structure of a population or the effect of toxic substances. So, more complicated

competition systems are needed. In 1973, Ayala and Gilpin proposed several competition systems. One of the systems is the following competition system:

$$\dot{N}_i(t) = r_i N_i \left[1 - \left(\frac{N_i}{K_i} \right)^{\theta_i} - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \alpha_{ij} \frac{N_j}{K_j} \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.2)$$

where N_i is the population density of the i th species; r_i is the intrinsic exponential growth rate of the i th species; K_i is the environmental carrying capacity of species i in the absence of competition; θ_{ij} provides a nonlinear measure of interspecific interference, α_{ij} provides a nonlinear measure of interspecific interference.

On the other hand, in the study of species competition systems, the effect of some impulsive factors has been neglected, which exists widely in the real world. For example, the harvesting or stocking occur at fixed time, natural disaster such as fire or flood happen unexpectedly, and some species usually migrate seasonally. Consequently, such processes experience short-time rapid change which can be described by impulses. Therefore, it is important to study the existence of the periodic solutions of competitive systems with impulse perturbation (see [1–7] and the references therein).

For example, by using the method of coincidence degree, Wang [1] considered the existence of periodic solutions for the following n -species Gilpin-Ayala impulsive competition system:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i(t) &= x_i(t) \left[r_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) x_j^{\alpha_{ij}}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) x_j^{\alpha_{ij}}(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) x_i^{\alpha_{ii}}(t) x_j^{\alpha_{ij}}(t) \right], \quad t \neq t_k; \\ \Delta x_i(t_k) &= x_i(t_k^+) - x_i(t_k^-) = p_k^i x_i(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where the constant p_k^i satisfied $-1 < p_k^i < 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. What is more, [1] also obtained several results for the persistence and global attractivity of the periodic solution of the model.

In [2], Yan applied the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem to investigate the following n -species competition system:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}_i(t) &= y_i(t) \left[r_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) y_j^{\alpha_{ij}}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) y_j^{\beta_{ij}}(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) \int_{-\sigma_{ij}}^0 K_{ij}(\xi) y_i^{\gamma_{ij}}(t + \xi) y_j^{\delta_{ij}}(t + \xi) d\xi \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where the constants $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \gamma_{ij} \geq 1$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. He obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of periodic solutions of system (1.4). Unfortunately, its last conclusion is wrong. Please see the remark in Section 3 of this paper.

Motivated by [1, 2], in this paper, we investigate the following impulsive n -species competition system:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}_i(t) = & y_i(t) \left[r_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) y_j^{\alpha_{ij}}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) y_j^{\beta_{ij}}(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) \int_{-\sigma_{ij}}^0 K_{ij}(\xi) y_i^{\gamma_{ij}}(t + \xi) y_j^{\delta_{ij}}(t + \xi) d\xi \right], \quad t \neq t_k, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \Delta y_i(t_k) = & y_i(t_k^+) - y_i(t_k^-) = I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $y_i(t)$ is the population density of the i th species at time t ; $r_i(t)$ is the intrinsic exponential growth rate of the i th species at time t ; $\tau_{ij}(t)$ is the time delay; σ_{ij} is a positive constant; $a_{ij}(t), b_{ij}(t), c_{ij}(t)$ ($i \neq j$) measure the amount of competition between the species Y_i and Y_j ; $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \delta_{ij}$ ($i \neq j$) provide a nonlinear measure of interspecific interference; $y_i(t_k^+)$ ($y_i(t_k^-)$) is the left (right) limits of $y_i(t)$ at $t = t_k$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

The main features of the present paper are as follows. The Gilpin-Ayala species competition system (1.5) has impulsive effects. As is known to us, there were few papers to study such system. Finally, we point out that the conclusion of [2] is incorrect.

For an ω -periodic function $u(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, let $\bar{u} = 1/\omega \int_0^\omega u(t) dt$. Throughout this paper, assume the following conditions hold.

- (H1) $r_i, a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij}, \tau_{ij}$ are continuous ω -periodic functions, and $\bar{r}_i > 0$, $a_{ij}(t), b_{ij}(t), c_{ij}(t) \geq 0$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and there exists i_0 ($1 \leq i_0 \leq n$) such that $\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\bar{a}_{i_0 j} + \bar{b}_{i_0 j}) > 0$.
- (H2) $K_{ij} \in C([-\sigma_{ij}, 0], \mathbb{R})$, $K_{ij} \geq 0$, σ_{ij} is a positive constant, and $\int_{-\sigma_{ij}}^0 K_{ij}(t) dt = 1$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
- (H3) $I_{ik} \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, [0, +\infty))$, and for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m < \omega$, there exists an positive integer $l > 0$ such that $t_{k+lm} = t_k + l\omega$, $I_{ik}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = I_{i(k+lm)}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, where $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.
- (H4) $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \delta_{ij} > 0$, $\gamma_{ij} \geq 0$ are constants, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

In order to prove our main result, now we state the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression.

Lemma 1.1 (see [4]). *Let E be a Banach space, and let P be a cone in E . Assume that Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subsets of E with $0 \in \Omega_1, \bar{\Omega}_1 \subseteq \Omega_2$. Let $A : P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow P$ be a completely continuous operator such that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $Ax \not\leq x$ for $x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$; $Ax \not\leq x$ for $x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$,
- (ii) $Ax \not\leq x$ for $x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$; $Ax \not\leq x$ for $x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$,

Then, A has a fixed point in $P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we introduce some lemmas and notations. In Section 3, the main result will be stated and proved on the existence of periodic solutions of system (1.5).

2. Preliminaries

Let $PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid y_i(t) \text{ be continuous at } t \neq t_k, \text{ left continuous at } t = t_k, \text{ and the right limit } y_i(t_k^+) \text{ exists for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n, k = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Evidently,

$$E = \{y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \in PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid y_i(t) = y_i(t + \omega), i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (2.1)$$

is a Banach space with the norm $\|y\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|_0$, where $|y_i|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |y_i(t)|$.

Define an operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ by $(Ty)(t) = ((Ty)_1(t), (Ty)_1(t), \dots, (Ty)_n(t))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} (Ty)_i(t) = & \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, s) y_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s) y_j^{\alpha_{ij}}(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s) y_j^{\beta_{ij}}(s - \tau_{ij}(s)) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s) \int_{-\sigma_{ij}}^0 K_{ij}(\xi) y_i^{\gamma_{ij}}(s + \xi) y_j^{\delta_{ij}}(s + \xi) d\xi \right] ds \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^m G_i(t, t_{k+q_k m}) I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $G_i(t, s) = \exp(-\int_t^s r_i(v) dv) / (1 - \exp(-\omega \bar{r}_i))$, $t \leq s \leq t + \omega$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $t_{k+q_k m} = t_k + q_k \omega \in [t, t + \omega]$, where q_k is a positive integer, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

It is obvious that the functions $G_i(t, s)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ have the following properties.

- (i) $G_i(t, s) > 0$ for $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and $G_i(t, s) = G_i(t + \omega, s + \omega)$.
- (ii) $A \leq G_i(t, s) \leq B$ for $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where $A = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\exp(-\omega \bar{r}_i) / (1 - \exp(-\omega \bar{r}_i))\}$, $B = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\exp(\omega \bar{r}_i) / (1 - \exp(-\omega \bar{r}_i))\}$.

Now, we choose a set defined by

$$P = \{y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \in E \mid y_i(t) \geq \sigma |y_i|_0, t \in [0, \omega], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\sigma = A/B$. Clearly, P is a cone in E .

For the sake of convenience, we define an operator $F : P \rightarrow E$ by $(Fy)(t) = ((Fy)_1(t), (Fy)_2(t), \dots, (Fy)_n(t))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} (Fy)_i(t) = & y_i(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) y_j^{\alpha_{ij}}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) y_j^{\beta_{ij}}(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) \int_{-\sigma_{ij}}^0 K_{ij}(\xi) y_i^{\gamma_{ij}}(t + \xi) y_j^{\delta_{ij}}(t + \xi) d\xi \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.1. *The operator $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.*

Proof. First, it is easy to see $T : PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Next, since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Ty)_i(t + \omega) &= \int_{t+\omega}^{t+2\omega} G_i(t + \omega, s)(Fy)_i(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^m G_i(t + \omega, t_{k+q_k m} + \omega)I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)) \\
 &= \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t + \omega, v + \omega)(Fy)_i(v + \omega)dv + \sum_{k=1}^m G_i(t, t_{k+q_k m})I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)) \\
 &= \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, v)(Fy)_i(v)dv + \sum_{k=1}^m G_i(t, t_{k+q_k m})I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)) \\
 &= (Ty)_i(t),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

we have $Ty \in E$.

Observe that $A \leq G_i(t, s) \leq B$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, for all $s \in [t, t + \omega]$. Hence, we obtain that, for $y \in P$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Ty)_i|_0 &\leq B \int_0^\omega (Fy)_i(s)ds + B \sum_{k=1}^m I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)), \\
 (Ty)_i(t) &\geq A \int_0^\omega (Fy)_i(s)ds + A \sum_{k=1}^m I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)) \geq \frac{A}{B} |(Ty)_i|_0 = \sigma |(Ty)_i|_0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Thus, $Ty \in P$, that is, $T(P) \subset P$.

Obviously, the operator T is continuous. Next, we show that T is compact. Let $S \subset E$ be a bounded subset; that is, there exists $d > 0$ such that $|y_i|_0 \leq d$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ for all $y \in S$. From the continuity of F, I_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have, for all $y \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Ty)_i|_0 &\leq B \int_0^\omega (Fy)_i(s)ds + B \sum_{k=1}^m I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)) \\
 &\leq B\omega d \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{a}_{ij}d^{\alpha_{ij}} + \bar{b}_{ij}d^{\beta_{ij}} + \bar{c}_{ij}d^{\gamma_{ij} + \delta_{ij}}) + BmE_i =: D_i,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $E_i = \max_{y \in S} |I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k))|$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Therefore, $\|Ty\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |(Ty)_i|_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n D_i =: D$, which implies that $T(S)$ is uniformly bounded.

On the other hand, noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(Ty)_i(t) &= r_i(t)(Ty)_i(t) + G_i(t, t + \omega)(Fy)_i(t + \omega) - G_i(t, t)(Fy)_i(t) \\ &= r_i(t)(Ty)_i(t) + [G_i(t, t + \omega) - G_i(t, t)](Fy)_i(t) \\ &= r_i(t)(Ty)_i(t) + (Fy)_i(t), \quad t \neq t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

This guarantees that, for each $y \in S$, we have

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt}(Ty)_i(t) \right| \leq r_i^M D_i + d \sum_{j=1}^n \left(a_{ij}^M d^{\alpha_{ij}} + b_{ij}^M d^{\beta_{ij}} + c_{ij}^M d^{\gamma_{ij} + \delta_{ij}} \right) =: \widetilde{D}_i \leq \widetilde{D} = \sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{D}_i, \quad (2.9)$$

where $r_i^M = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} r_i(t)$, $a_{ij}^M = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} a_{ij}(t)$, $b_{ij}^M = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} b_{ij}(t)$, $c_{ij}^M = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} c_{ij}(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Consequently, $T(S)$ is equicontinuous on J_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$, where $J_0 = [0, t_1]$, $J_1 = [t_1, t_2), \dots, J_{m-1} = [t_{m-1}, t_m)$, $J_m = [t_m, \omega)$. By the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, the function $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous from P to P . \square

Lemma 2.2. *The system (1.5) has a positive ω -periodic solution in P if and only if T has a fixed point in P .*

Proof. For $y \in P$ satisfying $Ty = y$, that is, $(Ty)_i(t) = y_i(t)$, $t \in [0, \omega]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, it follows from (2.2) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}_i(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}(Ty)_i(t) \\ &= r_i(t)(Ty)_i(t) + G_i(t, t + \omega)(Fy)_i(t + \omega) - G_i(t, t)(Fy)_i(t) \\ &= r_i(t)(Ty)_i(t) + [G_i(t, t + \omega) - G_i(t, t)](Fy)_i(t) \\ &= r_i(t)(Ty)_i(t) + (Fy)_i(t), \quad t \neq t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

And for $t = t_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$\Delta y_i(t_k) = y_i(t_k^+) - y_i(t_k^-) = I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2.11)$$

which implies that $y(t)$ is a positive ω -periodic solution of (1.5).

Conversely, assume that $y \in P$ is an ω -periodic solution of system (1.5). Then, the system (1.5) can be transformed into

$$(\dot{y}_i(t) + r(t)y_i(t))e^{\int_0^t r(v)dv} = (Fy)_i(t)e^{\int_0^t r(v)dv}, \quad (2.12)$$

that is,

$$\left(y_i(t)e^{\int_0^t r(v)dv} \right)' = (Fy)_i(t)e^{\int_0^t r(v)dv}. \quad (2.13)$$

So, integrating the above equality from t to $t + \omega$ and noticing that $y_i(t) = y_i(t + \omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Ty)_i(t) = & \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t,s)y_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)y_j^{\alpha_{ij}}(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)y_j^{\beta_{ij}}(s - \tau_{ij}(s)) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s) \int_{-\sigma_{ij}}^0 K_{ij}(\xi)y_i^{\gamma_{ij}}(s + \xi)y_j^{\delta_{ij}}(s + \xi)d\xi \right] ds \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^m G_i(t, t_k + q_k \omega) I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where $G_i(t, s) = \exp(-\int_t^s r_i(v)dv) / (1 - \exp(-\omega \bar{r}_i))$, $t \leq s \leq t + \omega$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $t_k + q_k \omega = t_k + q_k \omega \in [t, t + \omega]$, where q_k is a positive integer, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, that is, $Ty = y$.

Therefore, $y \in P$ is a fixed point of the operator T . The proof of the Lemma is complete. \square

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose (H1)–(H4) hold, and $\lim_{|v| \rightarrow 0} I_{ik}(v)/|v| = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$, $|v| = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} |v_i|$. Then system (1.5) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.*

Proof. Let $M_0 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{ \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{a}_{ij} + \bar{b}_{ij} + \bar{c}_{ij}) \} > 0$. Choose $M_1 \geq M_0$ and $\varepsilon = 1/(B\omega M_1 + Bm) > 0$. Then, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for $0 < x < \delta$ and $0 < |v| < \delta$, we have

$$x^{\alpha_{ij}} < \varepsilon, \quad x^{\beta_{ij}} < \varepsilon, \quad x^{\gamma_{ij} + \delta_{ij}} < \varepsilon, \quad (3.1)$$

$$I_{ik}(v) < \varepsilon|v|, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Choose $r < \delta$. Let $\Omega_1 = \{y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \in E \mid |y_i|_0 < r, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Now, we prove that

$$Ty \not\leq y, \quad \forall y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1. \quad (3.2)$$

Suppose (3.2) does not hold. Then, there exists some $y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ such that $Ty \geq y$. Since $y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$, we have $\sigma|y_i|_0 \leq y_i(t) \leq |y_i|_0$ for $t \in [0, \omega]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From (2.2) and (2.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (Ty)_i(t) &\leq B \int_t^{t+\omega} (Fy)_i(s) ds + B \sum_{k=1}^m I_{ik}(y_1(t_k), y_2(t_k), \dots, y_n(t_k)) \\ &\leq B\omega|y_i|_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{a}_{ij} r^{\alpha_{ij}} + \bar{b}_{ij} r^{\beta_{ij}} + \bar{c}_{ij} r^{\gamma_{ij} + \delta_{ij}} \right) + Bm\varepsilon|y_i|_0 \\ &\leq (BM_0\omega\varepsilon + Bm\varepsilon)|y_i|_0 < |y_i|_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

which implies $(Ty)_i|_0 < |y_i|_0$, a contradiction. Hence, $Ty \not\geq y$ for $y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$.

On the other hand, let $m_0 = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{ \bar{a}_{i_0 j} \sigma^{\alpha_{i_0 j}} + \bar{b}_{i_0 j} \sigma^{\beta_{i_0 j}} \}$, on the account of (H1), we know $m_0 > 0$. Choose $0 < m_1 \leq m_0$ and $M = 1/A\sigma\omega m_1 > 0$. Then, there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that, for $x > R_1$, we know that

$$x^{\alpha_{ij}} > M, \quad x^{\beta_{ij}} > M, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.4)$$

Choose $R > \max\{R_1, r\}$. Let $\Omega_2 = \{y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \in E \mid |y_i|_0 < R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then, $\partial\Omega_2 = \{y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \in E \mid \text{there exist some integers } j_0 (1 \leq j_0 \leq n) \text{ such that } |y_{j_0}|_0 = R; |y_i|_0 \leq R \text{ for } i \neq j_0\}$.

Next we show that

$$Ty \not\geq y, \quad \forall y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (3.5)$$

In fact, if there exists some $y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ such that $Ty \leq y$ and since $y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$, we have $\sigma|y_i|_0 \leq y_i(t) \leq |y_i|_0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ for $t \in [0, \omega]$, and there exists some j_0 such that $|y_{j_0}|_0 = R$. Therefore, this together with (H1) guarantees that, for i_0 ($1 \leq i_0 \leq n$),

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i_0}(t) &\geq (Ty)_{i_0}(t) \geq A \int_t^{t+\omega} (Fy)_{i_0}(s) ds \\ &\geq A\sigma|y_{i_0}|_0 \int_t^{t+\omega} \left(a_{i_0 j_0}(s) y_{j_0}^{\alpha_{i_0 j_0}}(s) + b_{i_0 j_0}(s) y_{j_0}^{\beta_{i_0 j_0}}(s - \tau(s)) \right) ds \\ &\geq A\sigma|y_{i_0}|_0 \int_t^{t+\omega} \left(a_{i_0 j_0}(s) \sigma^{\alpha_{i_0 j_0}} |y_{j_0}|_0^{\alpha_{i_0 j_0}} + b_{i_0 j_0}(s) \sigma^{\beta_{i_0 j_0}} |y_{j_0}|_0^{\beta_{i_0 j_0}} \right) ds \\ &\geq A\sigma|y_{i_0}|_0 \omega M \left(\bar{a}_{i_0 j_0} \sigma^{\alpha_{i_0 j_0}} + \bar{b}_{i_0 j_0} \sigma^{\beta_{i_0 j_0}} \right) \\ &\geq A\sigma\omega M m_0 |y_{i_0}|_0 > |y_{i_0}|_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, (3.5) is satisfied.

From all the above, the condition (i) of Lemma 1.1 is satisfied. So the operator T has a fixed point in $P \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$. That is, system (1.5) has at least one positive periodic solution. \square

Remark 3.2. For any $R > 0$, if we let $\Omega = \{y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \in E \mid |y_i|_0 < R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $\partial\Omega = \{y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \in E \mid \exists j_0 (1 \leq j_0 \leq n), |y_{j_0}|_0 = R, |y_i|_0 \leq R, i \neq j_0\}$. However, in the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [2], it is regarded mistakenly as $\partial\Omega = \{y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \in E \mid |y_i|_0 = R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, the proof of its sufficiency is not correct. So the result of [2] is incorrect.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2009AM006), the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (no. 209072), and the Science and Technology Development Funds of Shandong Education Committee (J08LI10).

References

- [1] Q. Wang, M. Ding, Z. Wang, and H. Zhang, "Existence and attractivity of a periodic solution for an N -species Gilpin-Ayala impulsive competition system," *Nonlinear Analysis, Real World Applications*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 2675–2685, 2010.
- [2] J. Yan, "Global positive periodic solutions of periodic n -species competition systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 356, no. 1, pp. 288–294, 2009.
- [3] L. Chen and L. Chen, "Positive periodic solution of a nonlinear integro-differential prey-competition impulsive model with infinite delays," *Nonlinear Analysis, Real World Applications*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 2273–2279, 2010.
- [4] J. Yan and G. Liu, "Periodicity and stability for a Lotka-Volterra type competition system with feedback controls and deviating arguments," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 74, pp. 2916–2928, 2011.
- [5] Y. Liu and K. Lu, "Permanence and periodic solution of an impulsive delay two-prey one-predator system with variable coefficients," *Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 267–273, 2009.
- [6] S. Yu, W. Xiong, and H. Qi, "A ratio-dependent one-predator two-competing-prey model with delays and refuges," *Mathematica Applicata*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 198–203, 2010.
- [7] W. X. Xu, T. L. Zhang, and Z. B. Xu, "Existence of positive periodic solutions of a prey-predator system with several delays," *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 39–45, 2008.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

