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#### Abstract

The sandglass graph is obtained by appending a triangle to each pendant vertex of a path. It is proved that sandglass graphs are determined by their adjacency spectra as well as their Laplacian spectra.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider undirected graphs with no loops or parallel edges. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E(G)$, where $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are indexed in the non-increasing order of degrees. Let $A(G)$ be the $(0,1)$-adjacency matrix of $G$ and $d_{k}$ be the degree of the vertex $v_{k}$. The matrix $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)$ is called the Laplacian matrix of $G$, where $D(G)$ is the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with $\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right\}$ as diagonal entries. Since $A(G)$ (resp. $L(G)$ ) is real and symmetric, its eigenvalues are real numbers and are called the adjacency (resp. Laplacian) eigenvalues of $G$. We denote by $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}$ (resp. $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n}=0$ ) the adjacency (resp. Laplacian) eigenvalues of $G$. The multiset of eigenvalues of $A(G)$ (resp. $L(G)$ ) is called the adjacency (resp. Laplacian) spectrum of $G$. Two graphs are said to be cospectral with respect to adjacency (resp. Laplacian) matrix if they have equal adjacency (resp. Laplacian) spectra. A graph is said to be determined by its spectrum if there is no other non-isomorphic graph with the same spectrum. Up until now, numerous examples of cospectral but non-isomorphic graphs are reported [1,2]. In Fig. 2, some bicyclic cospectral mates are shown, where $G o_{1}$ and $G o_{1}^{\prime}$ (see [3], pp. 27), $G o_{2}$ and $G o_{2}^{\prime}$ with trees attached on the black nodes, $G o_{3}$ and $G o_{3}^{\prime}$ with trees attached on the black nodes (see Section 3 for details) are respectively adjacency-cospectral mates, $\mathrm{Go}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Go}_{4}^{\prime}$ (see [1]) are Laplacian-cospectral mates. But, only a few graphs with very special structures have been reported to be determined by their spectra [1,4-11].

In this paper, some spectral characterizations of the so-called sandglass graph will be discussed. The sandglass graph (shown in Fig. 1) is a graph, denoted by $G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{r}\right)$, obtained by appending a triangle to each pendant vertex of a path. Clearly, it is a bicyclic graph with $r+4$ vertices and $r+5$ edges. This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 , some available lemmas will be summarized. In Section 3, it will be proved that sandglass graphs are determined by their adjacency spectra. In Section 4, it will be proved that sandglass graphs are determined by their Laplacian spectra.

To fix notations, the disjoint union of two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is noted $G_{1}+G_{2}$.
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Fig. 1. Sandglass graph $G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{r}\right)$.


Fig. 2. Cospectral mates.

## 2. Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1 ([12]). Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices with adjacency characteristic polynomial $P_{A(G)}(\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} \lambda^{n-i}$. Then

$$
a_{i}(G)=\sum_{S \in L i}(-1)^{k(S)} \cdot 2^{c(S)},
$$

where Li denotes the set of Sachs graphs with i vertices (namely, the graph with its component being either the complete graph on two vertices denoted by $K_{2}$ or a cycle), $k(S)$ is the number of components of $S$ and $c(S)$ is the number of cycles contained in $S$.

Lemma 2.2 ([1]). Suppose that $N$ is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $\alpha_{1} \geq \alpha_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{n}$. Then the eigenvalues $\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \geq \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{m}^{\prime}$ of a principal submatrix of $N$ of size $m$ satisfy $\alpha_{i} \geq \alpha_{i}^{\prime} \geq \alpha_{n-m+i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.

Lemma 2.3 ([13]). In a simple graph, the number of closed walks of length 4 equals twice the number of edges plus four times of the number of paths on three vertices plus eight times of the number of 4-cycles.

Lemma 2.4 ([1,14]). Let G be a graph. For the adjacency matrix and the Laplacian matrix, the following can be deduced from the spectrum.
(1) The number of vertices.
(2) The number of edges.

For the adjacency matrix, the following follows from the spectrum.
(3) The number of closed walks of any length.

For the Laplacian matrix, the following follows from the spectrum.
(4) The number of components.
(5) The number of spanning trees.
(6) The sum of the squares of degrees of vertices.

The following theorem relates the behavior of the spectral radius of a graph by subdividing an edge. An internal path of a graph $G$ is an elementary path $x_{0} x_{1} \cdots x_{k}$ (i.e., $x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$ but eventually $x_{0}=x_{k}$ ) of $G$ with $d\left(x_{0}\right)>2, d\left(x_{k}\right)>2$, $d\left(x_{i}\right)=2$ for all other $i^{\prime}$ s.

Lemma 2.5 ([7]). Let $G$ be a connected graph which is not isomorphic to $W_{n}$ (shown in Fig. 3) and $G_{u v}$ the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing the edge $u v$ of $G$. If $u v$ lies on an internal path of $G$, then $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{u v}\right)<\lambda_{1}(G)$.

Lemma 2.6 ([15,16]). Let $G$ be a graph with $V(G) \neq \emptyset$ and $E(G) \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$
d_{1}+1 \leq \mu_{1} \leq \max \left\{\frac{d_{i}\left(d_{i}+m_{i}\right)+d_{j}\left(d_{j}+m_{j}\right)}{d_{i}+d_{j}}, v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)\right\}
$$

where $m_{i}$ denotes the average of the degrees of the vertices adjacent to vertex $v_{i}$ in $G$.


Fig. 3. The graph $W_{n}$.


Fig. 4. The graphs $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$.
Lemma 2.7. Let $G$ be a sandglass graph of $n=r+4$ vertices shown in Fig. 1, where $r \geq 3$. Then
(1) $\lambda_{1}(G)<2.5$.
(2) Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph cospectral with $G$ with respect to the adjacency matrix. Then graphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ (see Fig. 4) cannot be induced subgraphs of $G^{\prime}$.
(3) For $r \geq 4, \lambda_{2}(G)>2$.
(4) $\lambda_{3}(G)<2$.
(5) The disjoint union of three cycles cannot be an induced subgraph of a graph cospectral with $G$.

Proof. By using Matlab, we can see that $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{1}\right)=2.5616, \lambda_{1}\left(H_{2}\right)=2.5616, \lambda_{1}\left(H_{3}\right)=2.1701, \lambda_{1}\left(G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{3}\right)\right)=2.3429$ and $\lambda_{1}\left(G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{4}\right)\right)=2.3028$.
(1) If $r=3, r=4, \lambda_{1}\left(G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{r}\right)\right)<2.5$. If $r \geq 5$, we can obtain $G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{r}\right)$ from $G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{r-1}\right)$ by subdividing any edge between the two vertices of degree 3. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\lambda_{1}\left(G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{r}\right)\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{r-1}\right)\right)<2.5$. So $\lambda_{1}(G)<2.5$.
(2) $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{1}\right)>2.5, \lambda_{1}\left(H_{2}\right)>2.5$. Let us suppose that graph $H_{1}$ (resp. $H_{2}$ ) is an induced subgraph of $G^{\prime}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\lambda_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(H_{1}\right)$ (resp. $\lambda_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(H_{2}\right)$ ). By (1) we have $\lambda_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)<2.5$, a contradiction. So $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ cannot be induced subgraphs of $G^{\prime}$.
(3) For $r=4$, by using Matlab, $\lambda_{2}(G)=2.1149>2$. For $r \geq 5$, let $M=M_{1}+M_{2}$ be the subgraph obtained from $G$ by deleting any vertex between the vertices of degree 3 but not adjacent to the vertices of degree 3 , where $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are two components of $M$. Let $H_{3}$ be the graph shown in Fig. 4. Clearly, $H_{3}$ is an induced subgraph of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Since $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{3}\right)=2.1701>2$, Lemma 2.2 implies that $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{1}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(H_{3}\right)>2$ and $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{2}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(H_{3}\right)>2$. Therefore $\lambda_{2}(M)>2$. So $\lambda_{1}(G) \geq \lambda_{1}(M) \geq \lambda_{2}(G) \geq \lambda_{2}(M)>2$, i.e., $\lambda_{2}(G)>2$ for $r \geq 4$.
(4) Let $G_{x y}$ be the subgraph by deleting two vertices of degree 3 from $G$. Then $G_{x y}$ is the disjoint union of three paths. The adjacency eigenvalues of path $P_{n}$ are $2 \cos (\pi j /(n+1)), j=1 \ldots n$, i.e., $\lambda_{1}\left(P_{n}\right)<2$. So $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{x y}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(P_{n}\right)<2$. By Lemma 2.2, $\lambda_{1}(G) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{x y}\right) \geq \lambda_{3}(G)$. Hence $\lambda_{3}(G)<2$.
(5) It follows from (4).

Lemma 2.8. Let $G$ be a sandglass graph of $n(n \geq 10)$ vertices with adjacency characteristic polynomial $P_{A(G)}(\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} \lambda^{n-i}$. Then $a_{n}(G)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}-3, n=4 k \\ 4, n=4 k+1 \\ 3, n=4 k-2 \\ -4, n=4 k-1\end{array}\right.$, where $k \geq 3$ is an integer.

Proof. Lemma 2.1 implies that $a_{n}(G)=\sum_{S \in L_{n}}(-1)^{k(S)} \cdot 2^{c(S)}$, where $L_{n}$ denotes the set of Sachs graphs with $n$ vertices.
Case 1. If $n$ is even, then $L_{n}=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\}$, where $S_{1}=2 C_{3}+\frac{n-6}{2} K_{2}$ and $S_{2}=\frac{n}{2} K_{2}$. Since $k\left(S_{1}\right)=2+\frac{n-6}{2}=\frac{n-2}{2}, c\left(S_{1}\right)=2$, $k\left(S_{2}\right)=\frac{n}{2}$ and $c\left(S_{2}\right)=0$, then $a_{n}(G)=(-1)^{k\left(S_{1}\right)} \cdot 2^{c\left(S_{1}\right)}+(-1)^{k\left(S_{2}\right)} \cdot 2^{c\left(S_{2}\right)}=4(-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}+(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}-3, n=4 k \\ 3, n=4 k-2\end{array}\right.$, where $k \geq 3$ is an integer.
Case 2. If $n$ is odd, then $L_{n}=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\}$, where $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are isomorphic to $C_{3}+\frac{n-3}{2} K_{2}$. Since $k\left(S_{1}\right)=k\left(S_{2}\right)=1+\frac{n-3}{2}=\frac{n-1}{2}$, $c\left(S_{1}\right)=c\left(S_{2}\right)=1$, then $a_{n}(G)=(-1)^{k\left(S_{1}\right)} \cdot 2^{c\left(S_{1}\right)}+(-1)^{k\left(S_{2}\right)} \cdot 2^{c\left(S_{2}\right)}=4(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}4, n=4 k+1 \\ -4, n=4 k-1\end{array}\right.$, where $k \geq 3$ is an integer.

Lemma 2.9. Let $W$ be a graph of $n(n \geq 10)$ vertices shown in Fig. 6 with adjacency characteristic polynomial $P_{A(W)}(\lambda)=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} \lambda^{n-i}$. Then $a_{n}(W)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}-16, n=4 k \\ -6, n=4 k+1 \\ 0, n=4 k-2 \\ -10, n=4 k-1\end{array}\right.$, where $k \geq 3$ is an integer.


Fig. 5. The graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$.


Fig. 6. The graph $W$.
Proof. Lemma 2.1 implies that $a_{n}(W)=\sum_{S \in L_{n}}(-1)^{k(S)} \cdot 2^{c(S)}$, where $L_{n}$ denotes the set of Sachs graphs with $n$ vertices. Case 1. If $n$ is even, clearly, the length of cycle $C_{q}$ is also even. Then $L_{n}=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right\}$, where $S_{1}=2 C_{3}+C_{q}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are isomorphic to $2 C_{3}+\frac{n-6}{2} K_{2}$. Since $k\left(S_{1}\right)=3, c\left(S_{1}\right)=3, k\left(S_{2}\right)=k\left(S_{3}\right)=2+\frac{n-6}{2}$ and $c\left(S_{2}\right)=c\left(S_{3}\right)=2$, then $a_{n}(W)=\sum_{i=1}^{3}(-1)^{k\left(S_{i}\right)} \cdot 2^{c\left(S_{i}\right)}=-8+8(-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-16, n=4 k \\ 0, n=4 k-2\end{array}\right.$, where $k \geq 3$ is an integer.
Case 2. If $n$ is odd, clearly, the length of cycle $C_{q}$ is also odd. Then $L_{n}=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\}$, where $S_{1}=2 C_{3}+C_{q}$ and $S_{2}=C_{3}+\frac{n-3}{2} K_{2}$. Since $k\left(S_{1}\right)=3, c\left(S_{1}\right)=3, k\left(S_{2}\right)=1+\frac{n-3}{2}=\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $c\left(S_{2}\right)=1$. Then $a_{n}(W)=\sum_{i=1}^{2}(-1)^{k\left(S_{i}\right)} \cdot 2^{c\left(S_{i}\right)}=-8+2(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}=$ $\left\{\begin{array}{c}-6, n=4 k+1 \\ -10, n=4 k-1\end{array}\right.$, where $k \geq 3$ is an integer.

## 3. Sandglass graphs are determined by their adjacency spectra

First, we will prove that the graphs Go2 and $\mathrm{Go2}^{\prime}$, Go3 and Go3' in Fig. 2 and their complements are cospectral with respect to the adjacency matrix, respectively.

Theorem 3.1. The graphs Go2 and Go2', Go3 and Go3' given in Fig. 2 are cospectral with respect to the adjacency matrix, respectively. And the same is true for their complements.

Proof. Consider the white vertices in Go2 and Go2' (resp. Go3 and Go3') in Fig. 2. For each white vertex $v$, delete the edges between $v$ and the black neighbors, and insert edges between $v$ and the other black vertices. It is easily checked that this operation transforms Go2 into Go2' (resp. Go3 into Go3'). Godsil and McKay (see [17], this operation is called Godsil-McKay switching) have shown that this operation leaves the adjacency spectrum of the graph and its complements unchanged.

Theorem 3.2. A sandglass graph is determined by its adjacency spectrum.
Proof. Let $G$ be a sandglass graph with $n=r+4$ vertices shown in Fig. 1. Suppose $G^{\prime}$ is cospectral with $G$ with respect to the adjacency matrix. By (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.4, $G^{\prime}$ has $r+4$ vertices and $r+5$ edges. By (3) of Lemma 2.4, $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ both have exactly two triangles.

First, we consider the special case of $G: r=1$.
If $r=1, G^{\prime}$ is a graph with 5 vertices, 6 edges and two triangles. Hence the two triangles belong to one connected component (otherwise the number of vertices of $G^{\prime}$ is more than 5 ). So the possible graph of $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $G_{1}, G_{2}$ (shown in Fig. 5) or G.

Clearly, the adjacency characteristic polynomial of $G_{1}\left(\right.$ or $\left.G_{2}\right)$ is not equal the adjacency characteristic polynomial of $G$. Therefore $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $G$.

Second, we consider the general case: $r \geq 2$.
If $r \geq 2$, suppose that $G^{\prime}$ has $n_{i}$ vertices of degree $i$, for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, \Delta^{\prime}$, where $\Delta^{\prime}$ is the largest degree of $G^{\prime}$. Properties (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.4 imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta^{\prime}} n_{i}=r+4,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta^{\prime}} i n_{i}=2(r+5) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 7. The bicyclic graph $B H_{1}$ with $p+l+q-1$ vertices.


Fig. 8. The bicyclic graph $\mathrm{BH}_{2}$.
By (3.1) and (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta^{\prime}}(i-1) n_{i}=r+6+n_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3) of Lemma 2.4, $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ have the same number of close walks of length 4 . Let $N_{C_{4}}$ be the number of 4-cycles in $G^{\prime}$, Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta^{\prime}}\binom{i}{2} n_{i}+2 N_{C_{4}}=2\binom{3}{2}+(r+2)\binom{2}{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.3) and (3.4),

$$
2 n_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta^{\prime}}\left(i^{2}-3 i+2\right) n_{i}+4 N_{C_{4}}=4
$$

i.e.,

$$
2 n_{0}+2 n_{3}+6 n_{4}+\sum_{i=5}^{\Delta^{\prime}}\left(i^{2}-3 i+2\right) n_{i}+4 N_{C_{4}}=4
$$

Then $n_{i}=0$ for $i=4, \ldots, \Delta^{\prime}$, i.e., the largest degree of $G^{\prime}$ is at most 3 . If $N_{C_{4}}=1$, we obtain that $n_{3}=0, n_{0}=0$. By (3.1) and (3.2), $n_{2}=r+6, n_{1}=-2$, a contradiction. Therefore $N_{C_{4}}=0$. Consider the following cases.
(1) $n_{3}=0, n_{0}=2$. By (3.1) and (3.2), $n_{2}=r+8, n_{1}=-6$, a contradiction.
(2) $n_{3}=1, n_{0}=1$. By (3.1) and (3.2), $n_{2}=r+5, n_{1}=-3$, a contradiction.
(3) $n_{3}=2, n_{0}=0$. By (3.1) and (3.2), $n_{2}=r+2, n_{1}=0$. Therefore $G^{\prime}$ is a graph of $r+4$ vertices and $r+5$ edges with exactly two vertices of degree 3 and $r+2$ vertices of degree 2 and containing exactly two triangles. If $r=2$, obviously, $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $G$. Hence, we only need to consider $r \geq 3$.
Suppose $G^{\prime}$ is not connected, then graph $G^{\prime}$ consists of a bicyclic graph $\mathrm{BH}_{1}$ (shown in Fig. 7) or $\mathrm{BH}_{2}$ (shown in Fig. 8) and at most one other cycle (Lemma 2.7 (4)). Consider the following cases.
Case 1. One and only one of the two triangles is in the bicyclic component. Then $G^{\prime}=B H_{1}+C_{3}$ for $l=1, p=2$ and $q \geq 3$ or $G^{\prime}=B H_{2}+C_{3}$ for $p=3$ and $q \geq 4$.
Case $1.1 G^{\prime}=B H_{1}+C_{3}$ for $l=1, p=2$ and $q \geq 3$. Let $M$ be the subgraph obtained by deleting one of the vertices of degree 3 from $B H_{1}$, by Lemma $2.2, \lambda_{1}\left(B H_{1}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}(M) \geq \lambda_{2}\left(B H_{1}\right)$. Since $M$ is a path and its largest adjacency eigenvalue is strictly less than 2, then $2>\lambda_{2}\left(B H_{1}\right)$. Then for $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 8, \lambda_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(B H_{1}\right)>2$ and $\lambda_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(C_{3}\right)=2$, a contradiction to (3) of Lemma 2.7.
Case 1.2 $G^{\prime}=B H_{2}+C_{3}$ for $p=3$ and $q \geq 4$.
(1) $h=1$. The largest degree of $G^{\prime}$ is 4 , a contradiction.
(2) $h=2$. Clearly, $G^{\prime}$ is the graph $W$ shown in Fig. 6 . Since $G$ and $W$ have the same adjacency characteristic polynomial, then $a_{i}(G)=a_{i}(W)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. But Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 imply that $a_{n}(G) \neq a_{n}(W)$, a contradiction.
(3) $h \geq 3$. It is impossible by (5) of Lemma 2.7.

Case 2. Both of the two triangles are in the bicyclic component. Since by (2) of Lemma 2.7, $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ cannot be induced subgraphs of $G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime} \neq H_{1}+C_{m_{1}}$ and $G^{\prime} \neq H_{2}+C_{m_{2}}$, where $m_{1}=r$ and $m_{2}=r-1$. Then $G^{\prime}=B H_{2}+C_{m_{3}}$ for $p=3$, $q=3, h \geq 2$ and $m_{3} \geq 4$.

Case $2.1 h=2$. Clearly, the two vertices of degree 3 in $B H_{2}$ are adjacent. By using Matlab, we can get $\lambda_{1}\left(B H_{2}\right)=2.4142$, $\lambda_{2}\left(B H_{2}\right)=1.7321$. So $\lambda_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(C_{m_{3}}\right)=2$, a contradiction to (3) of Lemma 2.7.
Case $2.2 h \geq 3$. It is impossible for (5) of Lemma 2.7. Hence $G^{\prime}$ is connected. Therefore $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $G$.

## 4. Sandglass graphs are determined by their Laplacian spectra

## Theorem 4.1. A sandglass graph is determined by its Laplacian spectrum.

Proof. Let $G$ be a sandglass graph with $n=r+4$ vertices shown in Fig. 1. If $r=1, G$ is a multi-fan graph $\left(P_{2}+P_{2}\right) \times b$, which is DS by its Laplacian spectrum (see [6]). We consider $r \geq 2$. Suppose $G^{\prime}$ is cospectral with $G$ with respect to the Laplacian matrix. By (1), (2) and (4) of Lemma 2.4, $G^{\prime}$ is a connected graph with $r+4$ vertices and $r+5$ edges.

By using Matlab, we can see that $\mu_{1}\left(G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{2}\right)\right)=4.5616, \mu_{1}\left(G\left(C_{3}, C_{3}, P_{3}\right)\right)=4.4142$. For $r>3$, Lemma 2.6 implies that $4 \leq \mu_{1}(G) \leq 4.8$. So the largest degree of graph $G^{\prime}$ is at most 3 . Suppose that $G^{\prime}$ has $n_{i}$ vertices of degree $i$, for $i=1, \ldots, \Delta^{\prime}$, where $\Delta^{\prime} \leq 3$ is the largest degree of $G^{\prime}$. (1), (2) and (6) of Lemma 2.4 imply the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta^{\prime}} n_{i}=r+4,  \tag{4.1}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta^{\prime}} i n_{i}=2(r+5),  \tag{4.2}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta^{\prime}} i^{2} n_{i}=4(r+2)+9 \times 2 . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\Delta^{\prime} \leq 2$, by (4.1) and (4.2), $n_{2}=r+6, n_{1}=-2$, a contradiction. So $\Delta^{\prime}=3$. By (4.1)-(4.3), $n_{1}=0, n_{2}=r+2, n_{3}=2$. Therefore $G^{\prime}$ is $B H_{1}$ for $l \geq 1, p \geq q \geq 2$ or $B H_{2}$ for $p \geq q \geq 3$.

If $G^{\prime}=B H_{1}$, (1) and (5) of Lemma 2.4 imply that $p+l+q-1=r+4, l p+l q+p q=9$. Clearly, $l \in \emptyset, p \in \emptyset, q \in \emptyset$, a contradiction.

If $G^{\prime}=B H_{2}$, the number of spanning trees of $G^{\prime}$ is $p q=9$. Hence $p=3, q=3$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $G$.
For a graph, its Laplacian eigenvalues determine the eigenvalues of its complement [15], so the complement of the sandglass graphs are determined by their Laplacian spectra.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, sandglass graphs are proved to be determined by their adjacency spectra as well as their Laplacian spectra. Which bicyclic graphs are determined by their spectra? And in particular, are the graphs obtained by joining two cycles by a path determined by their spectra? The answer is unknown. Therefore, we should try to find some new methods to solve these problems.
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