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#### Abstract

For a stationary linear process with independent and identically distributed innovations, the paper addresses asymptotic properties of partial sums of nonlinear functional applied to the process when an unknown parameter is estimated. General representations are established under the condition that the innovation coefficients are either summable or regularly varying with index in $(-1,-1 / 2)$. The usefulness of the representations is demonstrated through the derivation of limiting distributions for several common examples such as kurtosis, the sign test, and the absolute deviation from the mean.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq 1\right\}$ be a linear process defined by $X_{t}=\mu+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \varepsilon_{t-i}$, where the $\varepsilon_{i}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables having mean 0 and at least finite second moment, and where the coefficients $a_{i}$ are either summable or regularly varying $i^{-\beta} L(i)$ with index $-\beta$ in $(-1,-1 / 2)$. The process $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is said to be short-memory in the former case and long-memory in the latter; in both cases $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ may violate the strong mixing property (Andrew (1984); Rosenblatt (1961)) and may not belong to the class of weakly dependent stationary sequences (Bradley (1986)). The present paper investigates the asymptotic behavior of partial sums of non-linear functions applied to $X_{t}$ when there is another estimated parameter involved. For a large class of Borel functions $K(x, y)$, including polynomials and indicator functions $I(x \leq y)$, we develop general representations for $T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} K\left(X_{t}, \theta_{n}\right)$, where $\theta_{n}$ is an estimator for some unknown parameter $\theta$ of $X_{t}$, and then use the representations
to derive limiting laws. Two typical examples of $T_{n}(\cdot)$ are the sample variance $n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(X_{t}-\mu_{n}\right)^{2}$ and the empirical distribution evaluated at the sample mean, $F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$, where $F_{n}(\cdot)=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} I\left(X_{t} \leq \cdot\right)$ and $\mu_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} X_{t}$. The quantity $F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ is often used as a modified sign test statistic in testing the hypothesis that the distribution is symmetric about its unknown mean (Gastwirth (1971)).

Let $F(\cdot)$ be the marginal distribution function of the stationary linear process $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq 1\right\}$. For the function $K(\cdot, \cdot)$, define $T_{n}(\cdot)=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} K\left(X_{t}, \cdot\right)$ and $K_{\infty}(x, y)=\int K(x+u, y) d F(u)$. When $X_{t}$ is short-memory, the representation we obtain is similar to that of i.i.d. or weakly dependent sequences (Ralescu and Puri (1984), Sen (1972)), which basically leads to the Central Limit Theorem. For long-memory $X_{t}$, however, the representation has richer structures than in the short-memory case and the class of limiting distributions derived from the representation is also broader. It turns out that the form of $K_{\infty}(\cdot, \cdot)$ plays a major role in studying $T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ and determining what type of limit theorem emerges.

We use the two examples above to illustrate some interesting features of the representation in the long-memory setting. Choose $K(x, y)=(x-y)^{2}$. Denote by $T_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ the sample variance and $K_{\infty}^{(i, j)}(\cdot, \cdot)$ the $(i, j)$-th partial derivative of $K_{\infty}(\cdot, \cdot)$. We let $\bar{Y}_{n, 1}=\mu_{n}-\mu$. Since $K_{\infty}^{(0,1)}(0, \mu)=0$ and $K_{\infty}^{(0,2)}(0, \mu)=$ $-K_{\infty}^{(1,1)}(0, \mu)=2$, we can rewrite the sample variance as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)=T_{n}(\mu)+\sum_{j=1}^{2} K_{\infty}^{(0, j)}(0, \mu) \frac{\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{j}}{j!}+K_{\infty}^{(1,1)}(0, \mu) \bar{Y}_{n, 1}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the third term on the right-hand side of (1.1), we deliberately use $\bar{Y}_{n, 1}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)$ instead of $\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}$ to emphasis that $\bar{Y}_{n, 1}$ is a quantity which arises from expanding $T_{n}(\mu)$ and need not in general be equal to the deviation of the parameter estimation from its true value, $\mu_{n}-\mu$ in the sample variance case. Unlike weakly dependent or short-memory sequences, both the second and third term on the right-hand side of (1.1) contribute to the limiting distribution as does the first term $T_{n}(\mu)$. Each of the three terms plays a different role in the asymptotic behavior of $T_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$. In fact, the message of relation (1.1) is that $T_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ consists of three parts: the functional $T_{n}(\mu)$ with the true parameter $\mu$, the Taylor expansion of $K_{\infty}\left(0, \mu_{n}\right)$ at $\mu$ that concerns the estimation deviation $\mu_{n}-\mu$, and the cross-product term $\bar{Y}_{n, 1}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)$ describing the interrelation between the preceding two. It is this observation that leads to the representation (3.1) in Section
3. The cross-product term in (1.1) is of crucial importance in the presence of long memory. To shed more light on this we turn to the example of the empirical distribution at the sample mean, $F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$. Under the assumption of weak dependence, e.g., strong mixing, one has the standard form of representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)=F_{n}(\mu)+f(\mu)\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)+R_{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an almost sure bound specified for the remainder term $R_{n}$ (Ralescu and Puri (1984), Sen (1972)) and where $f(\cdot)$ is the probability density function of $X_{t}$. The situation is more subtle if the underlying sequence $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ is of long memory. As shown in Ho and Hsing (1996), $F_{n}(\mu)=F(\mu)-f(\mu)\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)+R_{n}^{\prime}$ where $R_{n}^{\prime}=o\left(\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\mu_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$ with probability one. When this expression for $F_{n}(\mu)$ is plugged into (1.2), there is cancellation in the linear term $\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)$. Thus the remainder terms $R_{n}$ and $R_{n}^{\prime}$ need further expansion. Relation (1.1) hints that higher order expansion of $F\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ and $F_{n}(\mu)$ about $\mu$ only provides partial information about the asymptotic behavior of $F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$. The cross-product term has to be taken into consideration in order to draw a complete picture of $F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$. An immediate implication of the cancellation effect is that the testing power of $F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ dominates that of $F_{n}(\mu)$ ( $\mu$ known), which strikingly contrasts with the traditional weak dependence cases. Further details will be elaborated in Example B of Section 4.

The rest of the paper is summarized as follows. Section 2 presents notation and technical conditions. In Section 3, the representations for the long-memory and short-memory cases are discussed in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 , respectively. In Section 4, limiting distributions are derived for three specific examples of kurtosis, sign test, and the absolute deviation from the mean to demonstrate the usefulness of the representations. In the last example, the case where the robust $M$-estimator is used for the location estimates is also discussed. Proofs are given in the Appendix.

## 2. Notation and Preliminaries

In the sequel we assume $E \varepsilon_{1}^{2}=1$. Define $Y_{n, 0}=n$ and, for $r \geq 1$,

$$
Y_{n, r}=\sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{r}<\infty} \prod_{s=1}^{r} a_{j_{s}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{s}} \text { and } \bar{Y}_{n, r}=n^{-1} Y_{n, r} .
$$

If $a_{i}=i^{-\beta} L(i)$ for some $\beta \in(1 / 2,1)$ and $r$ is any positive integer such that $r(2 \beta-1)<1$, then the process $\left\{\sum_{1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{r}<\infty} \prod_{s=1}^{r} a_{j_{s}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{s}}: t \geq 1\right\}$ also has long memory, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n, r}^{2} \equiv \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{n, r}\right) \approx n^{2-r(2 \beta-1)} L^{2 r}(n) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The notation $\approx$ signifies asymptotic proportionality.) It is also known that if $r(2 \beta-1)<1$ and $E \varepsilon_{1}^{2 r}<\infty$, then $n^{r(\beta-1 / 2)-1} L^{-r}(n) Y_{n, r}$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in distribution to $Z_{r}$ which can be represented by the multiple Wiener-Ito integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{r}=\int_{-\infty<u_{1}<\cdots<u_{r}<1} \int\left\{\int_{0}^{1} \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left[\left(v-u_{j}\right)^{+}\right]^{-\beta} d v\right\} d B\left(u_{1}\right) \cdots d B\left(u_{r}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $B$ denoting standard Brownian motion (Surgalis (1983)). On the other hand, if $r(2 \beta-1)>1$ then $\sigma_{n, r}^{2} \approx n$ and $n^{-1 / 2} Y_{n, r}$ obeys a central limit theorem (Giraitis (1985) and Ho and Hsing (1997)). Define $X_{t, 0}=\mu$ and $X_{t, j}=\mu+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} a_{i} \varepsilon_{t-i}$, and $\tilde{X}_{t, j}=X_{t}-X_{t, j}, 1 \leq j \leq \infty$. Here $X_{t, \infty}=X_{t}$ and $\tilde{X}_{t, \infty}=0$. Let $F_{j}, \tilde{F}_{j}$ and $G_{j}$ be the distribution functions of $X_{1, j}, \tilde{X}_{1, j}$ and $a_{j} \varepsilon_{1}$, respectively. For $j \geq 0$, define $K_{j}(x, y)=\int K(x+u, y) d F_{j}(u)$, and $K_{\infty}^{(i, j)}(x, y)=\frac{d^{(i, j)}}{d x^{i} d y^{j}} \int K(x+u, y) d F(u)$ whenever they are well-defined. Note that $K_{0}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, 0}, \cdot\right)=K\left(X_{t}, \cdot\right)$ and $K_{\infty}^{(0,0)}(0, \cdot)=E K\left(X_{t}, \cdot\right)$.

## 3. Representations and Limit Laws

Let $\theta_{n}$ be a consistent estimate of some unknown parameter $\theta$ associated with $X_{t}$. We establish for both long-memory and short-memory cases that, for some $J \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)= & T_{n}(\theta)+\sum_{i=1}^{J} K_{\infty}^{(0, i)}(0, \theta) \frac{\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{i}}{i!} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \bar{Y}_{n, j}\left[\sum_{j^{\prime}=1}^{J-j} K_{\infty}^{\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)}(0, \theta) \frac{\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{j^{\prime}}}{j^{\prime}!}\right]+R_{n, J} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and provide an upper bound (in probability) for $\left|R_{n, J}\right|$ (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). Note that (1.1) is a special case of (3.1) with $J=2$ and, because $K(x, y)=$ $(x-y)^{2}, R_{n, 2}=0$. To make use of $(3.1)$, it is necessary that a bound for $\left|\theta_{n}-\theta\right|$ be specified. For this purpose, we assume
(A1) $\left|\theta_{n}-\theta\right|=O_{p}\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{Y}_{n, 1}\right)}\right)$ and $\theta$ belongs to a compact set of $\mathcal{R}$.
Remark 1. When the linear process $X_{t}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} \varepsilon_{t-i}$ is short-memory, i.e., $\sum\left|a_{i}\right|<\infty,(\mathbf{A 1})$ holds for most estimators in practice since $\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{Y}_{n, 1}\right)=O(1 / n)$. For long-memory $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$, it is well known that the variances of many common estimators, such as maximum likelihood estimators, moment estimators and some robust estimators (see Beran (1994)), are dominated by that of $\bar{Y}_{n, 1}$, which is asymptotically proportional to $n^{-(2 \beta-1)} L^{2}(n)$ (see (2.1)).

The next assumption provides, in addition to some regularity conditions, two types of functions the paper focuses on, indicator functions and functions that behave like polynomials after being smoothed.
(A2) $E \varepsilon_{1}^{8}<\infty, E K^{2}\left(X_{1}, \theta\right)<\infty$, and $K(x, y)$ satisfies one of the following two conditions.

1. $K(x, y)$ is the indicator function $I(x \leq y)$. For some positive integer $J$ the distribution function of $\varepsilon_{1}$ is $J+3$ times differentiable with bounded, continuous and integrable derivatives.
2. For a positive integer $J$, the partial derivatives $K_{1}^{(t, s)}(x, y)$ of $K_{1}(x, y)$ of order $0 \leq t+s \leq J+3$ are continuous on $\mathcal{R} \times(\theta-\varepsilon, \theta+\varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon>0$, and $\sum_{p=0}^{J}\left|K_{\infty}^{(p+1,0)}(0, y)\right| \neq 0$ for all $y$ in $(\theta-\varepsilon, \theta+\varepsilon)$. For all $0 \leq t+s \leq J+3$, $\left|K_{1}^{(t, s)}(x, y)\right| \leq U(x, y)$ where $U(x, y)$ is a two-variable polynomial of degree $M$ and $E \varepsilon_{1}^{\max \{8,4 M\}}<\infty$. For certain $\alpha \in[1,2]$ and a positive function $V(x, y)$ such that $E V^{2}\left(X_{1}, \theta\right)<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K\left(x, y_{1}\right)-K\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{\alpha / 2} V(x, \theta) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(x, y_{i}\right)$ in $\mathcal{R} \times(\theta-\varepsilon, \theta+\varepsilon), i=1,2$.
Remark 2. (1) The two types of functions in (A2) cover most of the interesting cases in the literature. Later in Section 4 we consider three examples: a polynomial $a(x-y)^{4}+b(x-y)^{2}+c$ of degree 4 ; the absolute value function $|x-y|$; the indicator function $I(x \leq y)$. The first two are of type 2 in (A2). (2) The functions described in (A2) all satisfy the following technical properties. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of all sequences $\tilde{b}=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots,\right\}$, where $b_{i}=a_{i}$ except for finitely many $i$ 's in which $b_{i}$ equals one of the three values: $0, a_{i+1}$, or $a_{i}+a_{i+1}$. For each $\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{B}$, define $X_{\tilde{b}}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i} \varepsilon_{i}$. For $0 \leq t+s \leq J+3$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, define
$K_{1, \lambda}^{(t, s)}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\sup _{|u| \leq \lambda}\left|K_{1}^{(t, s)}\left(x+u, y_{1}\right)-K_{1}^{(t, s)}\left(x+u, y_{2}\right)\right|, y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ in $I$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[K_{1, \lambda}^{(t, s)}\left(X_{\tilde{b}}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]^{4} \leq C\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{4} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for all $0 \leq t+s \leq J+3$ and $y$ in $I=(\theta-\varepsilon, \theta+\varepsilon)$, we have from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j+1}^{(t, s)}(x, y)=\int K_{j}^{(t, s)}(x+u, y) d G_{j+1}(u) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be proved by the argument used in Lemma 2.1 of Ho and Hsing (1997). For all $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ in $I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[K\left(X_{1}, y_{1}\right)-K\left(X_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]^{2} \leq C \cdot\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{\alpha}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\alpha$ values for the three examples mentioned above are 2,2 , and 1 , respectively. In addition, the random variable $\sup _{y \in I}\left|\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left[K\left(X_{t}, y\right)-K\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)\right]\right|$ can be approximated by $\max _{y \in \mathcal{P}_{n}}\left|\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left[K\left(X_{t}, y\right)-K\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)\right]\right|$ for a set of properly designed partitions $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{n}\right\}$ of $I$. This is guaranteed for functions of type 2 by (3.3) and for the indicator functions by the simple fact that $I\left(x \leq y_{1}\right)-I\left(x \leq y_{2}\right)=$ $I\left(y_{2}<x \leq y_{1}\right), y_{2}<y_{1}$. (3) From $K_{\infty}(x, y)=\int K_{1}(x+u, y) d \tilde{F}_{1}(u)$ and $K_{1}(\cdot, \cdot)$ being smooth by (3.5), it follows that $K_{\infty}(\cdot, \cdot)$ has continuous partial derivatives of order $J+3$ in $\mathcal{R} \times I$. Thus $K_{\infty}^{(t, s)}(0, y)$ is well-defined for $\left.1 \leq t+s\right) \leq J+3$. (4) A trivial extension of the functions in (A2) is their linear combinations.

We now formulate our two main theorems as follows.
Theorem 3.1. Let $a_{i}=i^{-\beta} L(i)$ with $\beta \in(1 / 2,1)$ and $L^{*}(n)=\max \{L(n), 1\}$. Assume (A1) and (A2). Then (3.1) holds and the remainder term $R_{n, J}$ satisfies, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
(i) $\left|R_{n, J}\right|=o_{p}\left(n^{-J(\beta-1 / 2)-\tau}\right)$ for any $\tau<\beta-1 / 2$ if $2 \beta-1 \leq(J+1)^{-1}$, or $\tau<-J(\beta-1 / 2)+\min \{(J+1)(\beta-1 / 2), 1 / 2+\alpha(\beta-1 / 2) / 2\}$ if $(J+1)^{-1}<$ $2 \beta-1<J^{-1}$, or
(ii) $\left|R_{n, J}\right|=o_{p}\left(n^{-(1 / 2+\alpha(\beta-1 / 2) / 2)+\delta}\right)$ for any $\delta>0$ if $J^{-1} \leq 2 \beta-1$.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $\sum_{i}\left|a_{i}\right|<\infty$ and $E \varepsilon_{1}^{4}<\infty$. Assume (A1) and (A2) with $J=1$. Then (3.1) holds with $J=1$ and $R_{n, 1}=o_{p}\left(n^{-(1 / 2+\alpha / 4)+\delta}\right)$ for any $\delta>0$.
Remark 3. (1) Suppose in Theorem 3.2 that $K(x, y)=I(x \leq y), \theta=\mu$ and $\theta_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} X_{t}$. Let $F(\cdot)$ be the distribution function of $X_{t}$ with density $f(\cdot)$. Define $U_{t}=I\left(X_{t} \leq \mu\right)+f(\mu)\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)$. It follows by Theorem 4.1 of Ho
and Hsing (1997) that $\sqrt{n}\left(T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)-F(\theta)\right)$ is asymptotically $N\left(0, c^{2}\right)$ with $c^{2}=$ $\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} r(m)$, where $r(m)=\operatorname{cov}\left(U_{t}, U_{t+m}\right)$. As pointed out in Remark 2-(1), $\alpha$ is 1 . Hence the main factor appearing in $R_{n, 1}$ is $n^{-3 / 4}$, which is consistent with the previous findings in the literature (see, for example, Sen (1972) and Ralescu and Puri (1984)). The novelty of Theorem 3.2 lies in that the linearity of $X_{t}$ is fully exploited so that the representation and its resulting central limit theorem can be achieved without imposing conventional types of mixing conditions. With the same $K(\cdot, \cdot), \theta$ and $\theta_{n}$, a broader class of limiting laws will be obtained later in Example B of Section 4 for long-memory $X_{t}$ as an application of Theorem 3.1. (2) The expression of $R_{n, J}$ in Theorem 3.1 suggests the following. When $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is long-memory, the influence the regularity index $\alpha$ of (3.6) has on the rate of $R_{n, J}$ decreases as the dependence increases (i.e., $\beta$ is closer to $1 / 2$ ). In fact, the rate becomes independent of $\alpha$ for two out of the three cases in Theorem 3.1-(i), $2 \beta-1 \leq(J+1)^{-1}$ or $2 \beta-1>(J+1)^{-1}$ and $(J+1)(\beta-$ $1 / 2)-1 / 2 \leq \alpha(\beta-1 / 2) / 2$. If $2 \beta-1>J^{-1}$ as in Theorem 3.1-(ii), the influence of $\alpha$ on the error rate is always present. This interesting phenomenon is supported by the following observation made in Ho and Hsing (1997). There are two possibilities for the asymptotic distribution of the partial sums $T_{n}(y, \theta) \equiv$ $\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\{K\left(X_{t}, y\right)-K\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)\right\}$, central limit theorem ( $\sqrt{n}$ rate) and the non-central limit theorem (non- $\sqrt{n}$ rate). In the former case, the limiting variance involves the quantity $E\left\{K\left(X_{1}, y\right)-K\left(X_{1}, \theta\right)\right\}^{2}=C|y-\theta|^{\alpha}$ and thus the regularity index $\alpha$ has influence on $T_{n}(y, \theta)$ as $y$ approaches $\theta$; in the latter case, $T_{n}(y, \theta)$ is asymptotically equivalent to $\left\{K_{\infty}^{(J, 0)}(0, y)-K_{\infty}^{(J, 0)}(0, \theta)\right\} \bar{Y}_{n, J}$ for a certain positive integer $J$, and the smooth function $K_{\infty}^{(J, 0)}(0, y)$ instead of the function $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ itself determines the behavior of $T_{n}(y, \theta)$ when $y$ is very close to $\theta$. One can of course find some extreme examples without the above property. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 of the paper will collapse if $K_{\infty}(\cdot, \cdot)$ has some irregularity properties such as discontinuity in the second coordinate at $\theta$. In that case, there is probably not much one can say about the asymptotics of $T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$.

The bounds that we obtain for $\left|R_{n, J}\right|$ in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are far from sharp but they serve our main goal sufficiently well as to understand the structure of the representation and to use the representation to obtain limiting distributions.

## 4. Examples

When $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is long-memory, there are three steps in using Theorem 3.1 to derive the asymptotic distribution of $T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)-E K\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)$. We first replace $T_{n}(\theta)$ in (3.1) by its martingale expansion (Theorem 3.1, Ho and Hsing (1997); see (A.1) in the Appendix) and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)= & \sum_{r=0}^{J} K_{\infty}^{(r, 0)}(0, \theta) \bar{Y}_{n, r}+\sum_{i=1}^{J} K_{\infty}^{(0, i)}(0, \theta) \frac{\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{i}}{i!} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \bar{Y}_{n, j}\left[\sum_{j^{\prime}=1}^{J-j} K_{\infty}^{\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)}(0, \theta) \frac{\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{j^{\prime}}}{j^{\prime}!}\right]+S_{n, J}(\theta)+R_{n, J} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{n, J}(\theta)=T_{n}(\theta)-\sum_{r=0}^{J} K_{\infty}^{(r, 0)}(0, \theta) \bar{Y}_{n, r}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n, j}(\theta)\right) \leq C\left(n^{-1} \vee\right.$ $n^{-(J+1)(2 \beta-1)+\zeta)}$ for some universal constant $C$ and any $\zeta>0$. Second, we need to figure out the joint limiting distribution of $\left(\bar{Y}_{n, j},\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)$ for all positive integers $1 \leq i, j \leq J$. Note here that the two components, $\bar{Y}_{n, j}$ and $\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{i}$, may marginally obey different types of limit theorems. The third step is to compute the values of $K_{\infty}^{(i, j)}(0, \theta)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq J$ and, bearing in mind the possibility of cancellation of terms on the right-hand side of (4.1), then decide the normalization factor for $T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$. In the second step, the asymptotic distribution of $\left(\bar{Y}_{n, j},\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)$ can be characterized for two important cases: either $\theta_{n}$ can be written as a smooth function (such as a polynomial) plus a negligible residual term $H\left(\bar{Y}_{n, 1}, \ldots, \bar{Y}_{n, j}\right)+o_{p}(1)$ in terms of $\bar{Y}_{n, j}$ 's, or it can be sufficiently well approximated by an estimator having such an expression. Examples that have been widely discussed in the literature are sample average estimators $\theta_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} G\left(X_{t}\right)$ (Ho and Hsing (1997)) and $U$-statistics (Ho and Hsing (1996)) for the former case, and some robust estimators for the latter (Koul and Surgalis (1997)). There are circumstances in which precise knowledge of the asymptotic distribution of $\left(\bar{Y}_{n, j},\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)$ is not absolutely necessary. One such example of interest is the maximal likelihood estimator $\theta_{n}$ of parameters $\theta$ (such as the memory parameter or the innovation variance) of the spectral density of $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ (Fox and Taqqu (1987), and Giraitis and Surgalis (1990)). It is known that the convergence rate of the MLE $\theta_{n}$ is $\theta_{n}-\theta=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$. Then all terms on the righthand side of (4.1) involving the power of $\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)$ become negligible if the normalization factor of $T_{n}(\theta)$ is $\left\{n^{\beta-1 / 2} L^{-1}(n)\right\}^{J}$ with $J(2 \beta-1)<1$, meaning
that the deviation $\theta_{n}-\theta$ plays no role in the limiting distribution of $T_{n}(\theta)$. If $J(2 \beta-1)>1$, then we still have to deal with $\left(\bar{Y}_{n, j},\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)$ to fulfill the second step. Finding the asymptotic joint distribution of $\bar{Y}_{n, j}$ and the MLE $\theta_{n}$ is itself a challenging problem of independent interest. Its statistical relevance however is not clear especially under our setting based on nonlinear functions. For the third step, it can be seen that there are numerous cases involved in determining precisely which terms contribute to the limiting distribution. This and the discussion above on the second step both indicate that in order to apply Theorem 3.1 to deriving limits, the task would very much be of case-by-case nature. The present paper thus will not seek for a general rule derived from (4.1) to classify the limiting distributions. We instead demonstrate the usefulness of the representation (4.1) through a more direct approach by developing the asymptotic distributions for three very practical examples. The first example, Example A, treats sample kurtosis as a representative case of general moment estimators. The second example, Example B, deals with a modified sign test a common nonparametric testing method. The case of estimated scale parameter is discussed in a remark to Example B. In Examples A and B only sample averages are considered for the estimated parameters. Example C focuses on estimation of the absolute deviation from the mean and allows for the robust $M$-estimation of location.

The following lemma collects some known results that are needed for later discussion.
Lemma 4.1. Assume $a_{i}=i^{-\beta} L(i), E \varepsilon_{1}^{(2 p) \vee 8}<\infty$, and $p^{-1}>(2 \beta-1)>$ $(p+1)^{-1}$. Set $a_{n, j}=n^{j(\beta-1 / 2)} L^{-j}(n)$.
(i) Let $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}$ be distinctive positive integers that are not greater than $p$. Then $\left(a_{n, j_{1}} \bar{Y}_{n, j_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n, j_{m}} \bar{Y}_{n, j_{m}}\right) \xrightarrow{d}\left(Z_{j_{1}}, \ldots, Z_{j_{m}}\right)$, where the $Z_{i}$ 's are defined in (2.2).
(ii) Let $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ belong to the class of functions defined in (A2). Then $S_{n, q}(\theta)=$ $O_{p}\left(n^{-q(\beta-1 / 2)} L^{q}(n)\right)$ for $1 \leq q \leq p$, and $n^{1 / 2} S_{n, p}(\theta) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, w^{2}\right)$, where $w^{2}=\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}^{(p)}, X_{t+\ell}^{(p)}\right.$ with $X_{t}^{(p)}=K\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)-E K\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)-$ $\sum_{1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{r}<\infty} \prod_{s=1}^{p} a_{j_{s}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{s}}$.

Part (i) of Lemma 4.1 is contained in Avram and Taqqu (1987), and part (ii) in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 of Ho and Hsing (1997). Note here that in order to
have the central limit theorem in Lemma 4.1-(ii) one needs the $\ell$-approximation property, $E\left\{K\left(X_{1}, \theta\right)-K\left(X_{1, \ell}, \theta\right)\right\}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, which is satisfied by the functions in (A2).

In Examples $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C , we let $X_{t}=\mu+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \varepsilon_{t-i}$ and the coefficient $a_{i}=i^{-\beta} L(i)$ with $1 / 2<\beta<1$, and assume that $E\left(\varepsilon_{t}^{8}\right)<\infty$ and the probability density functions $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ of $X_{t}$ and $\varepsilon_{t}$, respectively, are sufficiently smooth so that (A2) is assured for some $J$. Recall that $\mu_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} X_{t}$ and $\mu_{n}-\mu=\bar{Y}_{n, 1}$.

Example A. Denote the $i$-th central moment $\mu^{(i)}$ and their usual estimates by $\mu^{(i)}=E\left(X_{1}-\mu\right)^{i}, i \geq 2$, and $\mu_{n}^{(i)}=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(X_{t}-\mu_{n}\right)^{i}$, respectively. We now apply Theorem 3.1 to derive the limiting distribution of the kurtosis estimator $\hat{\kappa} \equiv \mu_{n}^{(4)} /\left(\mu_{n}^{(2)}\right)^{2}$. Write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\kappa}-\kappa=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\{\left[\left(X_{t}-\mu_{n}\right)^{4}-\mu^{(4)}\right] /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{2}-\left(\mu^{(4)} /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{3}\right)\left[\left(X_{t}-\mu_{n}\right)^{2}-\mu^{(2)}\right]\right\} \\
+ \\
+O_{p}\left(\left|\mu_{n}^{(2)}-\mu^{(2)}\right|\left[\left|\mu_{n}^{(2)}-\mu^{(2)}\right|+\left|\mu_{n}^{(4)}-\mu^{(4)}\right|\right]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus the functional $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ to be considered is, by neglecting $O_{p}\left(\left|\mu_{n}^{(2)}-\mu^{(2)}\right|\left(\mid \mu_{n}^{(2)}-\right.\right.$ $\mu^{(2)}\left|+\left|\mu_{n}^{(4)}-\mu^{(4)}\right|\right)$,

$$
K(x, y)=\left[(x-y)^{4}-\mu^{(4)}\right] /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{2}-\left(\mu^{(4)} /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{3}\right)\left[(x-y)^{2}-\mu^{(2)}\right]
$$

with the sample mean $\mu_{n}$ being the estimated parameter, i.e., the representation (4.1) is applied to $T_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} K\left(X_{t}, \mu_{n}\right)$ instead of to $\hat{\kappa}-\kappa$ directly. By straight forward computations, $K_{\infty}^{(1,0)}(0, \mu)=-K_{\infty}^{(0,1)}(0, \mu)=4 \mu^{(3)} /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{2}$ and $K_{\infty}^{(0,2)}(0, \mu)=K_{\infty}^{(2,0)}(0, \mu)=-K_{\infty}^{(1,1)}(0, \mu)=12\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{-1}-2 \mu^{(4)}\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{-3}$. Then (4.1) (with $J=2$ ) is, after canceling the linear terms,

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right) \\
& =S_{n, 1}(\mu)+\left\{\left[12\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{-1}-2 \mu^{(4)}\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{-3}\right]\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{2} / 2\right\} \\
& \quad-\left\{12\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{-1}-2 \mu^{(4)}\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{-3}\right\} \bar{Y}_{n, 1}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)+R_{n, 2} \\
& =\left\{2\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\left(6-\mu^{(4)} /\left(\mu_{n}^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right) \bar{Y}_{n, 2}\right\}+\left\{\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\left(6-\mu^{(4)} /\left(\mu_{n}^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \left.\quad-\left\{2 \mu^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\left(6-\mu^{(4)} /\left(\mu_{n}^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right) \bar{Y}_{n, 1} \cdot\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)\right\}+S_{n, 2}(\mu)+R_{n, 2} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose $2(2 \beta-1)<1$. In view of the right side of the second identity in (4.2),
it follows by Theorem 3.1-(i) and Lemma 4.1 that

$$
n^{2 \beta-1} L^{-2}(n)(\hat{\kappa}-\kappa) \xrightarrow{d}\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\left(6-\mu^{(4)} /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right)\left(2 Z_{2}-Z_{1}^{2}\right),
$$

since $n^{2 \beta-1} L^{-2}(n)\left(S_{n, 2}(\mu)+R_{n, 2}\right)=o_{p}(1)$ and $n^{2 \beta-1} L^{-2}(n) \bar{Y}_{n, 2}$ and $n^{2 \beta-1} L^{-2}(n)$ $\times \bar{Y}_{n, 1}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)$ converge in distribution to $Z_{2}$ and $Z_{1}^{2}$, respectively. If $2(2 \beta-1)>1$, then a central limit theorem holds. In fact, on the right side of the first identity in (4.2), only $S_{n, 1}(\mu)=T_{n}(\mu)-4\left(\mu^{(3)} /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right) \bar{Y}_{n, 1}$ contributes to the limit as all the terms $R_{n, 2},\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}$ and $\bar{Y}_{n,}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)$ are negligible. Therefore, Theorem 3.1-(ii) and Lemma 4.1-(ii) imply $n^{1 / 2}(\hat{\kappa}-\kappa)=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\left[\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)^{4}-\mu^{(4)}\right] /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right.$ $\left.-\left(\mu^{(4)} /\left(\mu_{n}^{(2)}\right)^{3}\right)\left[\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)^{2}-\mu^{(2)}\right] .-4\left(\mu^{(3)} /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right)\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)\right)+o_{p}(1)$, which converges in distribution to $N\left(0, w_{1}^{2}\right)$, where $w_{1}^{2}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}^{\prime}, X_{t+j}^{\prime}\right)$ with $X_{t}^{\prime} \equiv\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)^{4} /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{2}-\left(\mu^{(4)} /\left(\mu_{n}^{(2)}\right)^{3}\right)\left[\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)^{2}-\mu^{(2)}\right]-4\left(\mu^{(3)} /\left(\mu^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right)\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)$.

Example B. We focus on the modified sign test statistics described in the Introduction. The functional is $K(x, y)=I(x \leq y)$ and the estimated parameter is the sample mean $\mu_{n}$. Assume that $f^{\prime}(\mu)=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(\mu) \neq 0$. Following Example A, we first obtain $K_{\infty}^{(0,1)}(0, \mu)=-K_{\infty}^{(1,0)}(0, \mu)=f(\mu), K_{\infty}^{(i, j)}(0, \mu)=f^{\prime}(\mu)=0$ if $i+j=2$, and $K_{\infty}^{(0,3)}(0, \mu)=-K_{\infty}^{(1,2)}(0, \mu)=K_{\infty}^{(2,1)}(0, \mu)=f^{\prime \prime}(\mu)$. From (4.1) with $J=3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)-F(\mu) & =f^{\prime \prime}(\mu)\left\{\frac{-\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{3}}{3}-\bar{Y}_{n, 3}+\bar{Y}_{n, 2}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)\right\}+S_{n, 3}(\mu)+R_{n, 3} \\
& =S_{n, 2}(\mu)-f^{\prime \prime}(\mu)\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{3} / 3+f^{\prime \prime}(\mu) \bar{Y}_{n, 2}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)+R_{n, 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{3(\beta-1 / 2)} L^{-3}(n)\left\{F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)-F(\mu)\right\} \\
& =n^{3(\beta-1 / 2)} L^{-3}(n) f^{\prime \prime}(\mu)\left\{\frac{-\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{3}}{3}-\bar{Y}_{n, 3}+\bar{Y}_{n, 2}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)\right\}+o_{p}(1) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{d} f^{\prime \prime}(\mu)\left(-Z_{1}^{3} / 3-Z_{3}+Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $3(2 \beta-1)<1$, and $n^{1 / 2}\left\{F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)-F(\mu)\right\}=n^{1 / 2} S_{n, 2}(\mu)+o_{p}(1) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, w_{2}^{2}\right)$ if $3(2 \beta-1)>1$, where $w_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}^{\prime \prime}, X_{t+j}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $X_{t}^{\prime \prime}=I\left(X_{t} \leq \mu\right)+$ $f(\mu)\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)$. The purpose of assuming $f^{\prime}(\mu)=0$ above is merely to include the Gaussian case. When $f^{\prime}(\mu) \neq 0$, a similar procedure also applies and the
limiting distribution could of course be different. If $\mu_{n}=\mu$, the norming factor for $F_{n}(\mu)-F(\mu)$ is $n^{\beta-1 / 2} L^{-1}(n)$ instead of $n^{3(\beta-1 / 2)} L^{-3}(n)$ or $n^{1 / 2}$ (Corollary 3.3, Ho and Hsing (1996)), implying that the testing power of $F_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ dominates that of $F_{n}(\mu)$.

Remark 4. From (4.1) and the two previous examples, it is clear by now that if the sample mean $\mu_{n}$ is the estimated parameter involved in the functional $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $K_{\infty}(x, \mu)=G(x-\mu)$ holds for some smooth $G(\cdot)$ such that $G^{\prime}(-\mu) \neq 0$, then $K_{\infty}^{(1,0)}(0, \mu)=-K_{\infty}^{(0,1)}(0, \mu)$ causes cancellation in the linear terms. We now present a case where the linear terms will not be cancelled and the asymptotic normality with non-root $n$ normalization can be achieved. We modify the functional considered in Example $B$ by changing the sample mean to a scale parameter estimate. Specifically, we consider $K\left(X_{t}, \theta_{n}\right)=I\left(\frac{X_{t}}{\theta_{n}} \leq c\right)$ where $\theta_{n}=\left\{n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(X_{t}-\mu_{n}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}$. Similar to Example B, $K_{\infty}(x, \theta)=F(c \theta-x)$, $K_{\infty}^{(1,0)}(0, \theta)=-f(c \theta)$ and $K_{\infty}^{(0,1)}(0, \theta)=c f(c \theta)$. By (4.1) (with $J=1$ ) and Theorem 3.1, $T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} K\left(X_{t}, \theta_{n}\right)=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} K\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)+c f(c \theta)\left(\theta_{n}-\right.$ $\theta)+R_{n, 1}=-f(c \theta) \bar{Y}_{n, 1}+o_{p}\left(n^{-(\beta-1 / 2)} L(n)\right)$, since both $n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} K\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)+$ $f(c \theta) \bar{Y}_{n, 1}$ and $\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)$ are of order $O_{p}\left(n^{-(2 \beta-1)} L^{2}(n)\right)$. Hence, by Lemma 4.1-(i), $n^{\beta-1 / 2} L^{-1}(n)\left(T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)-F(c \theta)\right) \xrightarrow{d}-f(c \theta) \cdot Z_{1}$.

Example C. We investigate asymptotic distributions of the sample absolute deviation $n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left|X_{t}-\theta_{n}\right|$. We first consider the case where the robust $M$ estimator is adopted to play the role of the location estimate $\theta_{n}$. To define the $M$-estimator, let $\psi$ be a real-valued function of bounded variation such that $\psi(x)=-\psi(-x)$ and $\lambda(x) \equiv E \psi\left(X_{1}-x\right)$ is smooth, $\lambda(\mu)=0$. The $M$-estimator $\theta_{n}$ of $\mu$ corresponding to $\psi$ is $\theta_{n}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\left|\sum_{t=1}^{n} \psi\left(X_{t}-x\right)\right|\right\}$. Assume that the probability density function $f$ is symmetric about its mean $\mu$ and is sufficiently smooth, so that $f^{(k)}(y+\mu)=(-1)^{k} f^{(k)}(-y+\mu)$ holds for a suitable positive integer $k$.

In order to describe the asymptotic behavior of $\theta_{n}-\mu$, we need a result obtained by Koul and Surgalis. Suppose $\left(k^{*}+1\right)^{-1}<2 \beta-1<\left(k^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and let $A_{j}(x)$ be the $j$-th Appell polynomial and $Q_{j}(x)$ be a the polynomial of degree $j$ defined by their recursive relation (see (1.8) and (1.13) of Koul Surgalis (1997),
respectively). Define

$$
V_{t}=\psi\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{k^{*}} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} A_{j}\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)
$$

Koul and Surgalis ((1997) Theorem 1.1) show that under appropriate conditions on the marginal distribution of innovation $\varepsilon_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{n}-\mu_{n}=\sum_{j=2}^{k^{*}} n^{-j(\beta-1 / 2)} L^{j}(n) Q_{n, j}+n^{-1 / 2} W_{n} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{n, j} \xrightarrow{d} Q_{j}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{j}\right)$ and $W_{n} \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, w^{2}\right)$, with $w^{2}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{cov}\left(V_{t}, V_{t+j}\right)$. The functional $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ corresponding to the sample absolute deviation is $K\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)=$ $\left|X_{t}-\theta\right|$. Assume $K_{\infty}(x, y) \equiv \int|u+x-y| f(u) d u$ is smooth and $K_{\infty}^{(i, j)}(x, y)=$ $\int|u+x-y|(-1)^{i} f^{(i+j)}(u) d u$ holds. It is straight forward that $K_{\infty}^{(0,1)}(0, \mu)=$ $K_{\infty}^{(1,0)}(0, \mu)=0$ and $K_{\infty}^{(2,0)}(0, \mu)=K_{\infty}^{(0,2)}(x, y)=-K_{\infty}^{(1,1)}(0, \mu)=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \mid u-$ $\mu \mid f^{(2)}(u) d u$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \\
= & T_{n}(\mu)+\left(K_{\infty}^{(0,2)}(0, \mu) / 2\right)\left\{\left(\theta_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}-2\left(\theta_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)\right\} \\
& +K_{\infty}^{(1,1)}(0, \mu) \bar{Y}_{n, 1}\left\{\left(\theta_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)+\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)\right\}+R_{n, 2} \\
= & \left\{K_{\infty}^{(2,0)}(0, \mu) \bar{Y}_{n, 2}+\left[\left(K_{\infty}^{(0,2)}(0, \mu) / 2\right)\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}+K_{\infty}^{(1,1)}(0, \mu) \bar{Y}_{n, 1}\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)\right]\right\} \\
& +\left\{\left(K_{\infty}^{(0,2)}(0, \mu) / 2\right)\left[\left(\theta_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)^{2}-2\left(\theta_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)\left(\mu_{n}-\mu\right)\right]+K_{\infty}^{(1,1)}(0, \mu) \bar{Y}_{n, 1}\left(\theta_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& +S_{n, 2}(\mu)+R_{n, 2} . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose $2(2 \beta-1)<1$. We concentrate on the second identity of (4.4). From Lemma 4.1 and (4.3), it follows that only the first term on the right side account for the limiting distribution,

$$
\left.n^{2 \beta-1} L^{-2}(n)\left[T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)-E\left|X_{1}-\mu\right|\right)\right] \xrightarrow{d} K_{\infty}^{(0,2)}(0, \mu)\left(Z_{2}-Z_{1}^{2} / 2\right)
$$

If $2(2 \beta-1)>1$, by examining the first identity of (4.4), Lemma 4.1 and (4.3) imply that $n^{1 / 2}\left[T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)-E\left|X_{1}-\mu\right|\right] \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, w_{2}^{2}\right)$, where $w_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{cov}\left(\mid X_{t}-\right.$ $\mu\left|,\left|X_{t+j}-\mu\right|\right)$. If the sample mean $\mu_{n}$ is used for the estimated location $\theta_{n}$, the same limit distributions will be obtained. In other words, when estimating the absolute deviation from the mean it makes no differences whether the location
is estimated by an $M$-estimator or the sample mean. These two approaches are equally efficient in the sense of the first order approximation.

## Appendix

The principal idea of the proof is to use $\left\{\bar{Y}_{n, r}, r \geq 0\right\}$ to expand $T_{n}(y)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}(y) & =n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} K\left(X_{t}, y\right) \\
& =n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{p=0}^{J} K_{\infty}^{(p, 0)}(0, y) \sum_{1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{p}<\infty} \prod_{s=1}^{p} a_{j_{s}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{s}}+S_{n, J}(y) \\
& =\sum_{p=0}^{J} K_{\infty}^{(p, 0)}(0, y) \bar{Y}_{n, p}+S_{n, J}(y) \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

so that the magnitude of $\left|S_{n, J}(y)\right|$ can be estimated effectively by using arguments of Ho and Hsing (1997) with the help of the technical properties listed in Remark $\mathbf{2 - ( 2 )}$. If $X_{t}$ is standard Gaussian then for each $y$,

$$
K\left(X_{t}, y\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_{r}(y)}{r!} H_{r}\left(X_{t}\right)
$$

where $K_{\infty}^{(r, 0)}(0, \cdot)=E K\left(X_{t}, \cdot\right) H_{r}\left(X_{t}\right)$ and $H_{r}(\cdot)$ is the $r$-th Hermite polynomial (Remark 2 in Ho and Hsing (1997)). Under the circumstances that $X_{t}$ has long memory, the random processes $\left\{\sum_{1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{p}<\infty} \prod_{s=1}^{r} a_{j_{s}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{s}}\right\}$ and $\left\{H_{p}\left(X_{t}\right)\right\}$ can be regarded as equivalent in the sense that their covariance functions are both asymptotically $O\left(k^{-p(2 \beta-1)} L^{2 p}(k)\right)$, and the two partial sums $\sum_{t=1}^{n} H_{p}\left(X_{t}\right)$ and $Y_{n, p}$ converge to the same limiting distribution with the same norming factor up to a positive constant (Avram and Taqqu (1987), and Ho and Hsing (1997)). Therefore it is natural to interpret the martingale expansion in the second identity of (A.1) as the discrete version of Wiener-Ito's expansion of $K\left(X_{t}, \cdot\right)$, which provides a useful tool for dealing with partial sums $\sum_{t=1}^{n} K\left(X_{t}, \cdot\right)$ when $X_{t}$ is not Gaussian ( for Wiener-Ito expansion of functionals of Gaussian sequences, see Major (1981) ). Recall some notation and definitions. Let $X_{t, 0}=\mu$ and, $1 \leq j \leq$ $\infty, X_{t, j}=\mu+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} a_{i} \varepsilon_{t-i}, \tilde{X}_{t, j}=X_{t}-X_{t, j}$, and $K_{j}(x, y)=\int K(x+u, y) d F_{j}(u)$, where $F_{j}$ is the distribution of $X_{1, j}$. When $j=\infty, X_{t, \infty}=X_{t}, \tilde{X}_{t, \infty}=0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\infty}(x, y)= & E K\left(x+X_{1}, y\right) . \text { Take } \\
\bar{Y}_{n, p}= & n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{p}<\infty} \prod_{s=1}^{p} a_{j_{s}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{s}}, \\
& T_{n}(y)=n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} K\left(X_{t}, y\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{J} K_{\infty}^{(p, 0)}(0, y) \bar{Y}_{n, p}+S_{n, J}(y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{n, J}(y)=T_{n}(y)-\sum_{p=0}^{J} K_{\infty}^{(p, 0)}(0, y) \bar{Y}_{n, p}$. Based on the orthogonal expansion

$$
K\left(X_{t}, y\right)-K_{\infty}(0, y)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\{K_{j-1}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, j-1}, y\right)-K_{j}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, j}, y\right)\right\}
$$

we can write (cf. Ho and Hsing (1997), pp.1644-1646)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n, J}(y)=Z_{n, 1}^{(1)}(y)+\sum_{p=1}^{J-1}\left\{Z_{n, 1}^{(p+1)}(y)-Z_{n, 1}^{(p)}(y)\right\}+Z_{n, 2}^{(J)}(y)+Z_{n, 3}(y)+Z_{n, 4}^{(J)}(y), \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
n Z_{n, 1}^{(1)}(y)=\sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left[K_{j-1}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, j-1}, y\right)-K_{j}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, j}, y\right)-a_{j} \varepsilon_{t-j} K_{j-1}^{(1,0)}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, j}, y\right)\right], \\
n\left\{Z_{n, 1}^{(p+1)}(y)-Z_{n, 1}^{(p)}(y)\right\}=\sum_{t=12 \leq j_{1} \leq \cdots \leq j_{p+1}<\infty}^{n}\left(\prod_{s=1}^{p} a_{j_{s}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{s}}\right) \times\left[K_{j_{p+1}-1}^{(p, 0)}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, j_{p+1}-1}, y\right)-\right. \\
\\
\quad-K_{j_{p+1}}^{(p, 0)}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, j_{p+1},}, y\right)-a_{j_{p+1}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{p+1}} K_{j_{p+1}}^{(p+1,0)}\left(\tilde{X}_{\left.t, j_{p+1}, y\right)},\right.  \tag{A.3}\\
n Z_{n, 2}^{(J)}(y)=\sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{2 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{J}<\infty}\left(\prod_{s=1}^{J} a_{j_{s}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{s}}\right)\left\{K_{j_{J}}^{(J, 0)}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, j_{j}}, y\right)-K_{\infty}^{(J, 0)}(0, y)\right\},(\mathrm{A} .3) \\
n Z_{n, 3}(y)=\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\{K\left(X_{t}, y\right)-K_{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, 1}, y\right)\right\}, \\
n Z_{n, 4}^{(J)}(y)= \\
-\sum_{p=1}^{J} K_{\infty}^{(p, 0)}(0, y) \sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{1=j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{p}<\infty+1}\left(\prod_{s=1}^{p} a_{j_{s}} \varepsilon_{t-j_{s}}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

On the righthand side of (A.2) only $Z_{n, 3}$ contains the original function $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ which may not be smooth, and the functions involved in the remaining terms are all smooth.

Sketch proof of Theorem 3.1. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{n}=\left\{T_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)-K_{\infty}\left(0, \theta_{n}\right)\right\}-\left\{T_{n}(\theta)-K_{\infty}(0, \theta)\right\}-\sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \bar{Y}_{n, j}\left\{\sum_{j^{\prime}=1}^{J-j} K_{\infty}^{\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)}(0, \theta) \frac{\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{j^{\prime}}}{j^{\prime}!}\right\},  \tag{A.4}\\
& G_{n}(y, \theta)=\left\{T_{n}(y)-K_{\infty}(0, y)\right\}-\left\{T_{n}(\theta)-K_{\infty}(0, \theta)\right\}-\sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \bar{Y}_{n, j}\left\{\sum_{j^{\prime}=1}^{J-j} K_{\infty}^{\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)}(0, \theta) \frac{(y-\theta)^{j^{\prime}}}{j^{\prime}!}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (A.1), we furthermore express $G_{n}(y, \theta)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{n}(y, \theta)= & \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \bar{Y}_{n, j} K_{\infty}^{(j, J-j+1)}(0, \theta)\left(y^{*}-\theta\right)^{J-j+1} /(J-j+1)! \\
& \quad+\bar{Y}_{n, J}\left\{K_{\infty}^{(J, 0)}(0, y)-K_{\infty}^{(J, 0)}(0, \theta)\right\}+\left\{S_{n, J}(y)-S_{n, J}(\theta)\right\} \\
\equiv & A_{n}(y, \theta)+B_{n}(y, \theta)+C_{n}(y, \theta) \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|y^{*}-\theta\right| \leq|y-\theta|$. Define $I_{n}=\left[\theta-D_{n}, \theta+D_{n}\right]$ with a positive sequence $D_{n}=\left\{E \bar{Y}_{n, 1}^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}$. Clearly, $G_{n} \leq \sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|G_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$ conditionally on $\theta_{n} \in I_{n}$. The major part of the proof is to bound $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|G_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$. We first concentrate on type 2 functions. Our plan is to bound $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|C_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$ for (i) and (ii), and then to handle the two parts separately to bound $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|A_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$ and $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|B_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$. For the first step, we combine the results of Theorem 3.1 of Ho and Hsing(1997) and the "chaining argument" (see Dehling and Taqqu (1989) and Ho and Hsing (1996)) and sketch the proof as follows. The main part of the chaining argument is to create a dominating measure on the interval $I_{n}$ and then, based upon this measure, to construct a family of appropriate partitions of the interval $I_{n}$. For any function $h(\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{R}$, let $\tilde{h}(x, y)=h(y)-h(x)$. Define

$$
\Lambda(u)=\sum_{p=0}^{J} \int_{\theta-D_{n}}^{u}\left|K_{\infty}^{(p+1,0)}(0, v)\right| d v, u \in I_{n} .
$$

For $m=1, \ldots, M$, let $u_{i}(m)=\inf \left\{u \in I_{n}: \Lambda(u) \geq \Lambda\left(\theta+D_{n}\right) i / 2^{m}\right\}, m=$ $0,1, \ldots, 2^{m}$. For $y \in I_{n}$, let $m(y)$ be the integer between 0 to $2^{m}$ such that $u_{m(y)}(m) \leq y<u_{m(y)+1}(m)$. Thus,

$$
S_{n, j}(y)-S_{n, j}(\theta)=\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{S}_{n, j}\left(u_{m(y)}(m), u_{(m+1)(y)}(m+1)\right)+\tilde{S}_{n, j}\left(u_{m(y)}(y), y\right) .
$$

Furthermore, by (3.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|S_{n, j}(y)-S_{n, j}(\theta)\right|>b\right) \\
& \leq P\left(\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{S}_{n, j}\left(u_{m(y)}(m), u_{(m+1)(y)}(m+1)\right)\right|>b / 2\right) \\
& \quad+P\left(\sup _{y \in I_{n}} C\left(u_{M(y)+1}(M)-u_{M(y)}(M)\right)^{\alpha / 2}\left[n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} V\left(X_{t}, \theta\right)\right]>b / 4\right) \\
& \quad+P\left(\sup _{y \in I_{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{J}\left|K_{\infty}^{(p, 0)}(0, y)-K_{\infty}^{(p, 0)}\left(0, u_{M(y)}(M)\right)\right|\left|\bar{Y}_{n, p}\right|>b / 4\right) \\
& \quad \equiv P_{n, 1}(b)+P_{n, 2}(b)+P_{n, 3}(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left|u_{M(y)+1}(M)-u_{M(y)}(M)\right| \leq C \cdot D_{n} 2^{-M}$ since $\Lambda^{\prime}(y)=\sum_{p=0}^{J}\left|K_{\infty}^{(p+1,0)}(0, y)\right|$ is bounded away from zero on a small enough neighborhood $I_{n}$ of $\theta$. Then, by Chebyshev's inequality, $P_{n, 2}(b)+P_{n, 3}(b) \leq C \cdot\left(b^{-1}+b^{-2}\right) \cdot 2^{-M \alpha / 2}$ Similarly, $P_{n, 1}(b) \leq \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} b^{-2}(m+3)^{4} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{m+1}-1} \operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{S}_{n, j}\left(u_{i}(m), u_{i}(m+1)\right)\right)$. From expression (A.2), it follows that for each $m=0,1, \ldots, M-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{S}_{n, j}\left(u_{i}(m), u_{i}(m+1)\right)\right) \\
& \leq C \cdot\left[\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{Z}_{n, 1}^{(1)}\left(u_{i}(m), u_{i}(m+1)\right)\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{p=1}^{J-1} \operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{Z}_{n, 1}^{(p+1)}\left(u_{i}(m), u_{i}(m+1)\right)-\tilde{Z}_{n, 1}^{(p)}\left(u_{i}(m), u_{i}(m+1)\right)\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{Z}_{n, 2}^{(J)}\left(u_{i}(m), u_{i}(m+1)\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{Z}_{n, 3}\left(u_{i}(m), u_{i}(m+1)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{Z}_{n, 4}^{J}\left(u_{i}(m), u_{i}(m+1)\right)\right)\right] \\
& \equiv V_{n, m, i, 1}+V_{n, m, i, 1}^{\prime}+V_{n, m, i, 2}+V_{n, m, i, 3}+V_{n, m, i, 4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now evaluate these variances with a slight refinement of the argument used in Theorem 3.1 of Ho and Hsing(1997), mainly replacing $K(\cdot)$ by $K\left(\cdot, u_{i}(m)\right)-$ $K\left(\cdot, u_{i}(m+1)\right)$ and retaining the increments $u_{i}(m+1)-u_{i}(m)$. Note first that both $Z_{n, 3}$ and $Z_{n, 4}$ are sums of orthogonal random variables. Since $0<$ $u_{i}(m+1)-u_{i}(m) \leq C \cdot D_{n} 2^{-m}$,

$$
V_{n, m, i, 4} \leq C \cdot n^{-1} \sum_{p=1}^{J}\left\{K_{\infty}^{(p, 0)}\left(0, u_{i}(m)\right)-K_{\infty}^{(p, 0)}\left(0, u_{i}(m+1)\right)\right\}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq C \cdot\left(D_{n} 2^{-m}\right)^{2} n^{-1}  \tag{A.6}\\
V_{n, m, i, 3} & \leq C \cdot\left(D_{n} 2^{-m}\right)^{\alpha} n^{-1} \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the presence of $\alpha$ is due to property (3.6). By Lemmas 6.1 and $6.2-(\mathrm{i})$ of Ho and Hsing (1997), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n, m, i, 1}+V_{n, m, i, 1}^{\prime} \leq C \cdot d_{n} n^{-1} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. (6.12) and (6.13) of Ho and Hsing (1997)), where the use of the two lemmas is justified by property (3.4). The term $d_{n}$ is, for appropriate $X_{\bar{b}}$ (see Remark $2-(2)), d_{n}=\left\{E\left[K_{1, \lambda}^{(t, 0)}\left(X_{\bar{b}}, u_{i}(m+1), u_{i}(m+1)\right)\right]^{4}\right\}^{1 / 2} \leq C \cdot\left(u_{i}(m+1)-u_{i}(m)\right)^{2} \leq$ $C \cdot\left(D_{n} 2^{-m}\right)^{2}$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n, m, i, 2} \leq C \cdot\left(D_{n} 2^{-m}\right)^{2} \max \left\{n^{-1}, n^{-(J+1)(2 \beta-1)+\zeta}\right\} \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\zeta>0$ (cf. (6.15) of Ho and Hsing (1997)). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{n, 1}(b) & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} b^{-2}(m+3)^{4} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{m+1}-1} \operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{S}_{n, j}\left(u_{i}(m), u_{i}(m+1)\right)\right) \\
& \leq C\left(D_{n}^{2} \max \left\{n^{-1}, n^{-(J+1)(2 \beta-1)+\zeta}\right\}+D_{n}^{\alpha} n^{-1}\left[1+I(\alpha=1) \log _{2} n\right]\right) \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $M=\log _{2} n^{c^{\prime}}$ with sufficiently large $c^{\prime}>4 \beta / \alpha$ such that $2^{-M \alpha / 2}=$ $o\left(n^{-1-(2 \beta-1)}\right)$ and, as a result, $P_{n, 2}(b)+P_{n, 3}(b)$ is dominated by the bound in the last inequality of (A.10). Note that we arrive at (A.6), (A.7), (A.8), and (A.9) without any restriction on $J(2 \beta-1)$. Thus (A.10) holds for both parts (i) and (ii). We now prove part (i). By (2.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|A_{n}(y, \theta)\right|+\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|B_{n}(y, \theta)\right|=O_{p}\left(\left\{n^{-(\beta-1 / 2)} L(n)\right\}^{J+1}\right) \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the two bounds on the righthand side of (A.10) and (A.11), we have $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|G_{n}(y, \theta)\right|=o_{p}\left(n^{-J(\beta-1 / 2)-\tau}\right)$ for any $\tau<\beta-1 / 2$ if $(J+1)(2 \beta-1) \leq 1$, and $\tau<-J(\beta-1 / 2)+\min \{(J+1)(\beta-1 / 2), 1 / 2+\alpha(\beta-1 / 2) / 2\}$ if $(J+1)(2 \beta-1)>$ 1. Applying Taylor's expansion to $K_{\infty}\left(0, \theta_{n}\right)-K_{\infty}(0, \theta)$ in (A.4) up to the $(J+1)$ th term, we see from (3.1) that $R_{n, J}=G_{n}+K_{\infty}^{(0, J+1)}\left(0, \theta^{*}\right)\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{J+1} /(J+1)$ ! Since $\left|\theta_{n}-\theta\right|^{J+1}$ is of the same order as the bound on the right side of (A.11), the representation (3.1) in part (i) holds. To prove part (ii) we follow the same steps as in part (i) but adopt a different upper bound for $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|G_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$.

First, $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|B_{n}(y, \theta)\right|=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2-(\beta-1 / 2)+\delta}\right)$ for any $\delta>0$, since $J(2 \beta-1) \geq$ 1 implies $\bar{Y}_{n, J}=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2+\delta}\right)$. Next, we aim to bound $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|C_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$ and $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|A_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$. As noted before, (A.6), (A.7), (A.8), and (A.9) hold whether $J(2 \beta-1)$ is greater than one or not. Now that the current case assumes $J(2 \beta-$ $1) \geq 1$, the upper bound on the righthand side of (A.10) is $C \cdot D_{n}^{\alpha} n^{-1+\delta}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|C_{n}(y, \theta)\right|=o_{p}\left(n^{-(1 / 2+\alpha(\beta-1 / 2) / 2)+\delta}\right) . \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To bound $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|A_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$, we first note that for any $j, 2 \leq j \leq J-1$, $\left|\bar{Y}_{n, j}\right| D_{n}^{J-j+1}=o_{p}\left(n^{-(J+1)(\beta-1 / 2)+\delta}\right)$ if $j(2 \beta-1)<1$, and $\left|\bar{Y}_{n, j}\right| D_{n}^{J-j+1}=$ $o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2-(J-j+1)(\beta-1 / 2)+\delta}\right)$ if $j(2 \beta-1) \geq 1$. Since $J(2 \beta-1) \geq 1$, it is clear that for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$,
$\max \{-(J+1)(\beta-1 / 2),-1 / 2-(J-j+1)(\beta-1 / 2)\}<-1 / 2-(\beta-1 / 2)<-1 / 2-\alpha(\beta-1 / 2) / 2$.
Hence $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|A_{n}(y, \theta)\right|=o_{p}\left(n^{-(1 / 2+(\beta-1 / 2))+\delta}\right)$, which is dominated by the bound in 2pt (A.12) for $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|C_{n}(y, \theta)\right|$. Therefore, $\sup _{y \in I_{n}}\left|G_{n}(y, \theta)\right|=$ $o_{p}\left(n^{-(1 / 2+\alpha(\beta-1 / 2) / 2)+\delta}\right)$, and, from the identity $R_{n, J}=G_{n}+K_{\infty}^{(0, J+1)}\left(0, \theta^{*}\right)\left(\theta_{n}-\right.$ $\theta)^{J+1} /(J+1)$ !, part (ii) follows. For the case of the indicator function, the proof is almost the same, but simpler (see Remark 2-(2)) and omitted (part (i) is essentially done in Ho and Hsing (1996)).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The argument is almost the same as in the part (ii) of Theorem 3.1. Because of the assumption $\sum_{i}\left|a_{i}\right|<\infty$, only a few changes are made: $J$ is identically one (hence $A_{n}(y, \theta)$ in (A.5) vanishes), $D_{n}=n^{-1 / 2}$, and $\left|\bar{Y}_{n, 1}\right|=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2+\delta}\right)$.
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