

On some 2-Banach spaces ¹

Hemen Dutta

Abstract

The main aim of this article is to introduce some difference sequence spaces with elements in a finite dimensional 2-normed space and extend the notion of 2-norm and derived norm to thus constructed spaces. We investigate the spaces under the action of different difference operators and show that these spaces become 2-Banach spaces when the base space is a 2-Banach space. We also prove that convergence and completeness in the 2-norm is equivalent to those in the derived norm as well as show that their topology can be fully described by using derived norm. Further we compute the 2-isometric spaces and prove the Fixed Point Theorem for these 2-Banach spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 46A45, 46B70.

Key words and phrases: 2-norm, Difference sequence spaces, completeness, 2-isometry, Fixed Point Theorem.

¹Received 22 January, 2009

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 11 June, 2009

1 Introduction

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially developed by Gähler [3] in the mid of 1960's. Since then, Gunawan and Mashadi [5], Gürdal [6] and many others have studied this concept and obtained various results.

Let X be a real vector space of dimension d , where $2 \leq d$. A real-valued function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ on X^2 satisfying the following four conditions:

- (1) $\|x_1, x_2\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2 are linearly dependent,
- (2) $\|x_1, x_2\|$ is invariant under permutation,
- (3) $\|\alpha x_1, x_2\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2\|$, for any $\alpha \in R$,
- (4) $\|x + x', x_2\| \leq \|x, x_2\| + \|x', x_2\|$

is called a 2-norm on X , and the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a 2-normed space.

A sequence (x_k) in a 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is said to *converge* to some $L \in X$ in the 2-norm if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, u_1\| = 0, \text{ for every } u_1 \in X.$$

A sequence (x_k) in a 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is said to be *Cauchy* with respect to the 2-norm if

$$\lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_l, u_1\| = 0, \text{ for every } u_1 \in X.$$

If every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $L \in X$, then X is said to be complete with respect to the 2-norm. Any complete 2-normed space is said to be 2-Banach space.

The notion of difference sequence space was introduced by Kizmaz [7], who studied the difference sequence spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta)$, $c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$. The notion was further generalized by Et and Colak [1] by introducing the spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta^s)$, $c(\Delta^s)$ and $c_0(\Delta^s)$. Another type of generalization of the difference sequence

spaces is due to Tripathy and Esi [8], who studied the spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta_m)$, $c(\Delta_m)$ and $c_0(\Delta_m)$. Tripathy, Esi and Tripathy [9] generalized the above notions and unified these as follows:

Let m, s be non-negative integers, then for Z a given sequence space we have

$$Z(\Delta_m^s) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta_m^s x_k) \in Z\},$$

where $\Delta_m^s x = (\Delta_m^s x_k) = (\Delta_m^{s-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{s-1} x_{k+m})$ and $\Delta_m^0 x_k = x_k$ for all $k \in N$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta_m^s x_k = \sum_{v=0}^s (-1)^v \binom{s}{v} x_{k+mv}.$$

Let m, s be non-negative integers, then for Z a given sequence space we define:

$$Z(\Delta_{(m)}^s) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k) \in Z\},$$

where $\Delta_{(m)}^s x = (\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k) = (\Delta_{(m)}^{s-1} x_k - \Delta_{(m)}^{s-1} x_{k-m})$ and $\Delta_{(m)}^0 x_k = x_k$ for all $k \in N$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k = \sum_{v=0}^s (-1)^v \binom{s}{v} x_{k-mv}.$$

It is important to note here that we take $x_{k-mv} = 0$, for non-positive values of $k - mv$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|_X)$ be a finite dimensional real 2-normed space and $w(X)$ denotes X -valued sequence space. Then for non-negative integers m and s , we define the following sequence spaces:

$$c_0(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s) = \{(x_k) \in w(X) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k, z_1\|_X = 0, \text{ for every } z_1 \in X\},$$

$$c(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s) = \{(x_k) \in w(X) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k - L, z_1\|_X = 0, \text{ for some } L \text{ and for every } z_1 \in X\},$$

$\ell_\infty(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s) = \{(x_k) \in w(X) : \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k, z_1\|_X < \infty, \text{ for every } z_1 \in X\}$.

It is obvious that $c_0(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s) \subset c(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s) \subset \ell_\infty(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$. Also for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ , we have

$$(1) \quad Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^i) \subset Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s), i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1.$$

Similarly we can define the spaces $c_0(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$, $c(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$ and $\ell_\infty(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$.

2 Discussions and Main Results

In this section we give some examples associated with 2-normed space and investigate the main results of this article involving the sequence spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$ and $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ . Further we compute 2-isometric spaces and give the fixed point theorem for these spaces.

Example 1 *As an example of a 2-normed space, we may take $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ being equipped with the 2-norm $\|x, y\| =$ the area of the parallelogram spanned by the vectors x and y , which may be given explicitly by the formula:*

$$\|x, y\| = |x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1|, x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in X.$$

Example 2 *Let us take $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and consider a 2-norm $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_X$ as defined above. Consider the divergent sequence $x = \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \dots\} \in w(X)$, where $\bar{k} = (k, k)$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. But x belongs to $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta)$ and $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(1)})$. Hence by (1) for every $m, s > 1$, x belong to $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$ and $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ .*

Theorem 1 *The spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$ and $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ are linear.*

Proof. Proof is easy and so omitted.

Theorem 2 (i) Let Y be any one of the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ . We define the following function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_Y$ on $Y \times Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \|x, y\|_Y &= 0, \text{ if } x, y \text{ are linearly dependent,} \\ &= \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k, z_1\|_X, \text{ for every } z_1 \in X, \text{ if } x, y \text{ are linearly independent.} \end{aligned}$$

(2) Then $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_Y$ is a 2-norm on Y .

(ii) Let H be any one of the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ . We define the following function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_H$ on $H \times H$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \|x, y\|_H &= 0, \text{ if } x, y \text{ are linearly dependent,} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{ms} \|x_k, z_1\|_X + \sup_k \|\Delta_m^s x_k, z_1\|_X, \text{ for every } z_1 \in X, \text{ if } x, y \text{ are} \\ &\text{linearly independent.} \end{aligned}$$

(3) Then $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_H$ is a 2-norm on Y .

Proof. (i) If x^1, x^2 are linearly dependent, then $\|x^1, x^2\|_Y = 0$. Conversely assume $\|x^1, x^2\|_Y = 0$. Then using (2), we have

$$\sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k^1, z_1\|_X = 0, \text{ for every } z_1 \in X.$$

This implies that

$$\|\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k^1, z_1\| = 0, \text{ for every } z_1 \in X \text{ and } k \geq 1.$$

Hence we must have

$$\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k^1 = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

Let $k = 1$, then $\Delta_{(m)}^s x_1^1 = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \binom{s}{i} x_{1-mi}^1 = 0$ and so $x_1^1 = 0$, by putting $x_{1-mi}^1 = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Similarly taking $k = 2, \dots, ms$, we have $x_2^1 = \dots = x_{ms}^1 = 0$. Next let $k = ms + 1$, then $\Delta_{(m)}^s x_{ms+1}^1 = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \binom{s}{i} x_{1+ms-mi}^1 = 0$.

Since $x_1^1 = x_2^1 = \cdots = x_{ms}^1 = 0$, we have $x_{ms+1}^1 = 0$. Proceeding in this way we can conclude that $x_k^1 = 0$, for all $k \geq 1$. Hence $x^1 = \theta$ and so x^1, x^2 are linearly dependent.

It is obvious that $\|x^1, x^2\|_Y$ is invariant under permutation, since $\|x^2, x^1\|_Y = \sup_k \|z_1, \Delta_{(m)}^s x_k^1\|_X$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_X$ is a 2-norm.

Let $\alpha \in R$ be any element. If $\alpha x^1, x^2$ are linearly dependent then it is obvious that

$$\|\alpha x^1, x^2\|_Y = |\alpha| \|x^1, x^2\|_Y.$$

Otherwise,

$$\|\alpha x^1, x^2\|_Y = \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s \alpha x_k^1, z_1\|_X = |\alpha| \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k^1, z_1\|_X = |\alpha| \|x^1, x^2\|_Y.$$

Lastly, let $x^1 = (x_k^1)$ and $y^1 = (y_k^1) \in Y$. Then clearly

$$\|x^1 + y^1, x^2\|_Y \leq \|x^1, x^2\|_Y + \|y^1, x^2\|_Y.$$

Thus we can conclude that $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_Y$ is a 2-norm on Y .

(ii) For this part we shall only show that $\|x^1, x^2\|_H = 0$ implies x^1, x^2 are linearly dependent. Proof of other properties of 2-norm follow similarly with that of part (i).

Let us assume that $\|x^1, x^2\|_H = 0$. Then using (3), for every z_1 in X , we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{ms} \|x_k^1, z_1\|_X + \sup_k \|\Delta_m^s x_k^1, z_1\|_X = 0$$

We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{ms} \|x_k^1, z_1\|_X = 0, \text{ for every } z_1 \in X.$$

Hence

$$x_k^1 = 0, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, ms.$$

Also we have from (4)

$$\sup_k \|\Delta_m^s x_k^1, z_1\|_X = 0 \text{ for every } z_1 \in X.$$

Hence we must have

$$\Delta_m^s x_k^1 = 0, \text{ for each } k \in N.$$

Let $k = 1$, then we have

$$(5) \quad \Delta_m^s x_1^1 = \sum_{v=0}^s (-1)^v \binom{s}{v} x_{1+mv}^1 = 0$$

Also we have

$$(6) \quad x_k^1 = 0, \text{ for } k = 1 + mv, v = 1, 2, \dots, s - 1.$$

Thus from (5) and (6), we have $x_{1+ms}^1 = 0$. Proceeding in this way inductively, we have $x_k^1 = 0$, for each $k \in N$.

Hence $x^1 = \theta$ and so x^1, x^2 are linearly dependent.

Theorem 3 *Let Y be any one of the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ . We define the following function $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on Y by*

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_\infty &= 0, \text{ if } x \text{ is linearly dependent,} \\ &= \sup_k \max\{\|\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k, b_l\|_X : l = 1, \dots, d\}, \text{ where } B = \{b_1, \dots, b_d\} \text{ is a} \\ &\text{basis of } X, \text{ if } x \text{ is linearly independent.} \end{aligned}$$

(7) *Then $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a norm on Y and we call this as derived norm on Y .*

Proof. Proof is a routine verification and so omitted.

Remark 1 Associated to the derived norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, we can define balls (open) $S(x, \varepsilon)$ centered at x and radius ε as follows:

$$S(x, \varepsilon) = \{y : \|x - y\|_\infty < \varepsilon\}.$$

Corollary 1 The spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ are normed linear spaces.

Theorem 4 If X is a 2-Banach space, then the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ are 2-Banach spaces under the 2-norm (2).

Proof. We give the proof only for the space $\ell_\infty(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$ and for other spaces it will follow on applying similar arguments.

Let (x^i) be any Cauchy sequence in $\ell_\infty(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

$$\|x^i - x^j, u^1\|_Y < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } i, j \geq n_0 \text{ and for every } u^1.$$

Using the definition of 2-norm, we get

$$\sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k^j), z_1\|_X < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } i, j \geq n_0 \text{ and for every } z_1 \in X.$$

It follows that

$$\|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k^j), z_1\|_X < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } i, j \geq n_0, k \in N \text{ and for every } z_1 \in X.$$

Hence $(\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k^i)$ is a Cauchy sequence in X for all $k \in N$ and so convergent in X for all $k \in N$, since X is a 2-Banach space. For simplicity, let

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{(m)}^s x_k^i = y_k, \text{ say, exists for each } k \in N.$$

Taking $k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \dots$ we can easily conclude that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_k^i = x_k, \text{ exists for each } k \in N.$$

Now for $i, j \geq n_0$, we have

$$\sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k^j), z_1\|_X < \varepsilon, \text{ and for every } z_1 \in X.$$

Hence for every z_1 in X , we have

$$\sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k), z_1\|_X < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } i \geq n_0 \text{ and as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that $(x^i - x) \in \ell_\infty(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$ and $\ell_\infty(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$ is a linear space, so we have $x = x^i - (x^i - x) \in \ell_\infty(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 5 *Let Y be any one of the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ . Then (x^i) converges to an x in Y in the 2-norm if and only if (x^i) also converges to x in the derived norm.*

Proof. Let (x^i) converges to x in Y in the 2-norm. Then

$$\|x^i - x, u^1\|_Y \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } u^1.$$

Using (2), we get

$$\sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k), z_1\|_X \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } z_1 \in X.$$

Hence for any basis $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_d\}$ of X , we have

$$\sup_k \max\{\|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k), b_l\|_X : l = 1, 2, \dots, d\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus it follows that

$$\|x^i - x\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence (x^i) converges to x in the derived norm.

Conversely assume (x^i) converges to x in the derived norm. Then we have

$$\|x^i - x\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence using (7), we get

$$\sup_k \max\{\|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k), b_l\|_X : l = 1, 2, \dots, d\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k), b_l\|_X \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for each } l = 1, \dots, d.$$

Let y be any element of Y . Then

$$\|x^i - x, y\|_Y = \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k), z_l\|_X$$

Since $\{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$ is a basis for X , z_1 can be written as

$$z_1 = \alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_d b_d \text{ for some } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in R.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^i - x, y\|_Y &= \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k), z_l\|_X \\ &\leq |\alpha_1| \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k), b_l\|_X + \dots + |\alpha_d| \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(x_k^i - x_k), b_d\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

for each i in N .

Thus it follows that

$$\|x^i - x, y\|_Y \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } y \in Y.$$

Hence (x^i) converges to x in Y in the 2-norm.

Corollary 2 *Let Y be any one of the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ . Then Y is complete with respect to the 2-norm if and only if it is complete with respect to the derived norm.*

Summarizing remark 1, corollary 1 and corollary 2, we have the following result:

Theorem 6 *The spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ are normed spaces and their topology agree with that generated by the derived norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.*

Remark 2 *We get similar results as those of Theorem 3, Corollary 1, Theorem 4, Theorem 5, Corollary 2 and Theorem 6 for the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ also.*

A 2-norm $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_1$ on a vector space X is said to be equivalent to a 2-norm $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_2$ on X if there are positive numbers A and B such that for all $x, y \in X$ we have

$$A\|x, y\|_2 \leq \|x, y\|_1 \leq B\|x, y\|_2.$$

This concept is motivated by the fact that equivalent norms on X define the same topology for X .

Remark 3 *It is obvious that any sequence $x \in Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$ if and only if $x \in Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ . Also it is clear that the two 2-norms $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_Y$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_H$ defined by (2) and (3) are equivalent.*

Let X and Y be linear 2-normed spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a mapping. We call f an 2-isometry if

$$\|x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2\| = \|f(x_1) - f(y_1), f(x_2) - f(y_2)\|,$$

for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$.

Theorem 7 *For $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ , the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s)$ and $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$ are 2-isometric with the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|)$.*

Proof. Let us consider the mapping

$$F : Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s) \rightarrow Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|), \text{ defined by}$$

$$Fx = y = (\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k), \text{ for each } x = (x_k) \in Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s).$$

Then clearly F is linear. Since F is linear, to show F is a 2-isometry, it is enough to show that

$$\|F(x^1), F(x^2)\|_1 = \|x^1, x^2\|_Y, \text{ for every } x^1, x^2 \in Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(m)}^s).$$

Now using the definition of 2-norm (2), without loss of generality we can write

$$\|x^1, x^2\|_Y = \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s x_k^1, z_1\|_X = \|F(x^1), F(x^2)\|_1,$$

where $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_1$ is a 2-norm on $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, which can be obtained from (2) by taking $s = 0$.

In view of remark 3, we can define same mapping on the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$ and completes the proof.

For the next Theorem let Y to be any one of the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ .

Theorem 8 (Fixed Point Theorem) *Let Y be a 2-Banach space under the 2-norm (2), and T be a contractive mapping of Y into itself, that is, there exists a constant $C \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|Ty^1 - Tz^1, x^2\|_Y \leq C\|y^1 - z^1, x^2\|_Y,$$

for all y^1, z^1, x^2 in Y . Then T has a unique fixed point in Y .

Proof. If we can show that T is also contractive with respect to derived norm, then we are done by corollary 2 and the fixed point theorem for Banach spaces.

Now by hypothesis

$$\|Ty^1 - Tz^1, x^2\|_Y \leq C\|y^1 - z^1, x^2\|_Y, \text{ for all } y^1, z^1, x^2 \in Y.$$

This implies that

$$\sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(Ty_k^1 - Tz_k^1), u_1\|_X \leq C \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(y_k^1 - z_k^1), u_1\|_X, \text{ for every } u_1 \in X.$$

Then for a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ of X , we get

$$\sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(Ty_k^1 - Tz_k^1), e_i\|_X \leq C \sup_k \|\Delta_{(m)}^s(y_k^1 - z_k^1), e_i\|_X,$$

for all y^1, z^1 in Y and $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Thus

$$\|Ty_k^1 - Tz_k^1\|_\infty \leq C \|y_k^1 - z_k^1\|_\infty.$$

That is T is contractive with respect to derived norm. This completes the proof.

Remark 4 We get the fixed point theorem for the spaces $Z(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^s)$, for $Z = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ as above.

References

- [1] M. Et and R. Colak, *On generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. 21, 1995, 377-386.
- [2] S. Gähler, *2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische struktur*, Math. Nachr. 28, 1963, 115-148.
- [3] S. Gähler, *Linear 2-normierte Räume*, Math. Nachr. 28, 1965, 1-43.
- [4] S. Gähler, *Über der uniformisierbarkeit 2-metrische Räume*, Math. Nachr. 28, 1965, 235-244.
- [5] H. Gunawan and Mashadi, *On finite dimensional 2-normed spaces*, Soochow J. of Math. 27, 2001, 631-639.

- [6] M. Gürdal, *On ideal convergent sequences in 2-normed spaces*, Thai J. Math. 4, 2006, 85-91.
- [7] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. 24, 1981, 169-176.
- [8] B. C. Tripathy and A. Esi, *A new type of difference sequence spaces*, Int. Jour. of Sci. and Tech. 1, 2006, 11-14.
- [9] B. C. Tripathy, A. Esi and B. K. Tripathy, *On a new type of generalized difference Cesàro sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. 31, 2005, 333-340.

Hemen Dutta

Department of Mathematics,
Gauhati University, Kokrajhar Campus,
Kokrajhar-783370, Assam, India.
e-mail: hemen_dutta08@rediffmail.com