

Journal of Maize Research and Development (2015) 1(1):28-52

ISSN: 2467-9305 (Online)/ 2467-9291 (Print)

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.34292

A review on important maize diseases and their management in Nepal

Subash Subedi*

**ARTICLE INFO***Article history:*Received:
5th September, 2015Revised :
25th October, 2015Accepted:
10th November, 2015*Keywords:*Maize, disease, control/
management**ABSTRACT**

In Nepal, maize ranks second after rice both in area and production. In recent years, maize area and production has shown a steady increase, but productivity has been low (2.46 t/ha). The major maize producing regions in Nepal are mid hill (72.85%), terai (17.36%) and high hill (9.79%) respectively. A literature review was carried out to explore major maize diseases and their management in Nepal. The omnipresent incidence of diseases at the pre harvest stage has been an important bottleneck in increasing production. Till now, a total of 78 (75 fungal and 3 bacterial) species are pathogenic to maize crop in Nepal. The major and economically important maize diseases reported are Gray leaf spot, Northern leaf blight, Southern leaf Blight, Banded leaf and sheath blight, Ear rot, Stalk rot, Head smut, Common rust, Downy mildew and Brown spot. Information on bacterial and virus diseases, nematodes and yield loss assessment is also given. Description of the major maize diseases, their causal organisms, distribution, time and intensity of disease incidence, symptoms, survival, spreads, environmental factors for disease development, yield losses and various disease management strategies corresponded to important maize diseases of Nepal are gathered and compiled thoroughly from the available publications. Concerted efforts of NARC commodity programs, divisions, ARS and RARS involving research on maize pathology and their important outcomes are mentioned. The use of disease management methods focused on host resistance has also been highlighted.

INTRODUCTION

Plant diseases are of paramount importance to humans because they damage plants and plant products on which humans depends for food, clothing, furniture, the environment and in many cases the housing. The kinds and amounts of losses caused by plant diseases vary with the plant or plant products, the pathogen, the locality, the environment, the control measures practiced and combination of these factors (Agrios, 2005). Disease is one of the major biotic constraints to reduce crop yield and also deteriorate the quality of product that ultimately reduce the market price. The reason behind the low productivity of most of the crops in Nepal is due to the attack of many

Corresponding author Info:

*Scientist/Plant Pathologist

National Maize Research Program, Rampur,
Chitwan Nepal Agricultural Research Council
(NARC) Phone: 977-9845066695E-mail: subedi.subash1@gmail.com

plant diseases at different stages of crop. Maize is a most important cereal crop in Nepal and also the principal staple cereal diet of most of the Nepalese people who mainly lives in hilly region. Maize contributed 24.93% in total edible cereal grain production in Nepal. The total area under maize production is 928,761 ha in Nepal where mid hill, terai and high hill occupies 72.85, 17.36 and 9.79% respectively (MOAD, 2014). The national average yield of maize is 2.46 mt/ha which is quite low compared to neighboring countries. The Nepalese maize yield level at present is far below than potential yield. There is a wide gap between potential yield of maize varieties having 6.7 t/ha (on-station experimental yield), attainable yield of about 5.7 t/ha (on farm yield with improved practices) and national yield of 2.4 t/ha (Figure 1) (NMRP, 2014). There are various biotic and abiotic yield limiting factors in maize of which diseases and poor crop management are important ones. Maize plants are affected by wide range of pathogens with fungal and bacterial diseases being the most important in Nepal.




Figure 1. Yield gap of maize in Nepal

Maize is susceptible to many fungal pathogens, to a lesser no of pathogenic bacteria, nematodes and viruses, to least one mycoplasma like organisms and one parasitic like higher plant. Seventy-five fungal and three bacterial species have been recorded pathogenic to maize crop in Nepal (Manandhar, 1997). Several of them are economically important. Besides several nematode species were isolated that are known to be pathogenic in maize (Manandhar, 1997). Some virus diseases have been suspected but have not been verified in Nepal (Manandhar, 1983). Important maize diseases and their status in different agro-ecological regions are presented in Table 1. Maize disease investigation was initiated in 1964-65 when there was not one improved variety of maize in the country (Manadhar, 1983). The preliminary investigation of maize diseases was initiated along with a general plant disease survey by the Plant Pathology Division, Khumaltar (Khadka and Sah, 1967). Among the diseases recorded, only a few are economically important. The major diseases have been primarily managed by the development of the resistant varieties. This paper focused on major maize diseases which are considered serious constraints to productivity and production of maize in Nepal.

Table 1. List of maize diseases and their first record of prevalence (Year/Location) and status in Nepal

Disease	Pathogen	First Record of disease prevalence (Year / Location)	Status	
			Terai and inner Terai	Hills/high hills
Fungal Diseases				
Foliar				
1. Gray leaf Spot	<i>Cercospora zae maydis</i> Tehon & Daniels	2006/ Dhungharka, Kavrepalanchowk	Minor	Major
2. Northern leaf blight	<i>Helminthosporium turcicum</i> Pass	1964/ Patan	Minor	Major
3. Southern leaf blight	<i>Helminthosporium maydis</i> Nisik and Miyabe	1965/ Rampur	Major	Minor
4. Banded leaf and Sheath Blight	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> (Kuhn)	1978/Rampur	Major	Major
5. Common rust	<i>Puccinia sorghi</i> Schw.	1964/ Patan	Minor	Major
6. Brown spot	<i>Physoderma maydis</i> Miyabe	1965/Gokarna	Minor	Major
7. Leptosphaeria leaf blight	a. <i>Leptosphaeria zae</i> Stout b. <i>Leptosphaeria michotii</i> (West) Saco	1965/Ilam	Minor	Major
8. Curvularia leaf spot	a. <i>Curvularia lunata</i> (Walker) Boedjn b. <i>C. geniculata</i> (Tracy and Sarle) Boedjn c. <i>C. pallescens</i> Boedjn d. <i>C. leonensis</i> M.B. Ellis	1966/Kakani 1966/Godawari 1966/Kakani 1967 /Kakan 1974/Rampur	Minor Minor Minor Minor	Minor Minor Minor Minor
9. Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum graminicola</i> (Ces) Wilson	1965/Ilam	Minor	Minor
10. Phyllosticta leaf spot	<i>Phyllosticta zae</i> Stout	1965/Godawari	Minor	Minor
11. Diplodia leaf spot	<i>Diplodia macrospora</i> Earle.	1966/Ilam	Minor	Minor
12. Didymella leaf spot	<i>Didymella</i> sp.	1965/ Nakkhu	Minor	Minor
13. Leaf spot	<i>Ascochyta zae</i> Stout	1965/Khumaltar	Minor	Minor
14. Leaf Spot	<i>Phaeosphaeria maydis</i>	1977/Kakani	Minor	Minor
15. Leaf Spot	<i>Pithomyces chartarum</i> (Berk and Crut) MB Ellis	1964/Balaju	Minor	Minor
16. Leaf spot	<i>Trichothecium roseum</i> (Pers) Link Ex.	1965/Ilam	Minor	Minor
17. Leaf Spot	<i>Eudarlucia austratis</i> Speg.	1964/Patan	Minor	Minor
18. Mycosphaerella Leaf Spot	a. <i>Mycosphaerella zeicola</i> Stout b. <i>Mycosphaerella</i> sp	1966/ Rampur	Minor	Minor

19.	Leaf spot	<i>Phaeoseptoria sp.</i>	1966/ Gokarna	Minor	Minor
20.	Leaf spot	<i>Drechslera sp.</i>	1974/Kakani	Minor	Minor
21.	Leaf spot	<i>Phoma sorghina</i> Boerma, dorenbosoch and Vankent	1971/Kathmandu	Minor	Minor
22.	Leaf spot	<i>Pestalotiopsis sp.</i>	1971/Kathmandu	Minor	Minor
23.	Leaf spot	<i>Hendersonia culmicola</i> Sacc.	1971/Rampur	Minor	Minor
24.	Leaf spot	<i>Phoma insidiosa</i> Taasi	1970/Rampur	Minor	Minor
25.	Leaf spot	<i>Coniothyrium sp.</i>	1968/Parwanipur	Minor	Minor
26.	Leaf spot	<i>Pyrenochaeta indica</i> Viswanathan	1974/Kakani	Minor	Minor
27.	Leaf spot	<i>Aureobasidium pullulans</i> (Debary) Aranand.	1978/Rampur	Minor	Minor
Smut					
28.	Head smut	<i>Sphacelotheca reiliana</i> (Kuehn) Clinton	1966/Ilam	Minor	Major (Sporadic)
29.	Common smut	<i>Ustilago maydis</i> (D.C.) Corde	1971/Ilam	Minor	Major
30.	False smut	<i>Ustilaginoidea virens</i> (Cke) Tak.	1965/Parwanipur	Minor	Minor
Cob /Ear rot					
31.	Fusarium Kernel rot (Gray ear rot)	<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> Sheld or <i>Gibberella fujikuro</i> (Saw) Wr.	1974/Kakani	Major	Major
32.	Fusarium ear rot/ Gibberella ear rot	<i>Fusarium graminearum</i> or <i>Gibberella zeae</i> (Saw) Petch.	1974/Kakani	Minor	Major
33.	Rhizoctonia ear rot	<i>Rhizoctonia zeae</i> Voorhees	1975/Pokhara	Major	Minor
34.	Diplodia ear rot	<i>Diplodia maydis</i> (Berk) Sacc.	1974/Kakani	Minor	Minor
35.	Gray ear rot	<i>Physalospora zeae</i> Stout.	1974/Kakani	Minor	Minor
36.	Cob rot	<i>Nigrospora oryzae</i> (Berk and Berk) Petch.	1974/Kakani	Minor	Minor
37.	Ear rot	<i>Cephalosporium acremonium</i> Cda.	1974/Kakani	Minor	Minor
38.	Ear rot	<i>Aspergillus sp.</i>	1974/Kakani	Minor	Minor
39.	Ear rot	<i>Penicillium sp.</i>	1974/Kakani	Minor	Minor
40.	Ear rot	<i>Trichoderma viridae</i> Perx.ex. Fries	1976/Kakani	Minor	Minor
41.	Ear rot	<i>Helminthosporium sp.</i>	1976/Kakani	Minor	Minor
Stalk rots					
42.	Fusarium stalk rot	a. <i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> Scheld (<i>Gibberella fujikuroi</i> (Saw) Wr.) b. <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> Schw.	1971/Rampur	Minor	Minor

43.	Pythium stalk rot	<i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i> (Eds) Fitz.	1971/Kathmandu	Minor	Minor
44.	Diplodia stalk rot	<i>Diplodia maydis</i> (Berk) Sacc.	1971/Rampur	Minor	Minor
45.	Charcoal rot	<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> (Tassi) Goid	1974/Kakani	Minor	Minor
46.	Black-bundle disease	<i>Cephalosporium acremonium</i> Cda.	1970/Rampur	Minor	Minor
Downy mildews					
47.	Sorghum downy mildew	<i>Sclerospora sorghi</i> (Kulk) Weston and Uppal	1970/Rampur	Major (Sporadic)	Minor
48.	Philippine downy mildew	<i>Sclerospora. Philippinensis</i> Weston.	1967/Rampur	Major (Sporadic)	Minor
49.	Brown-strip downy mildew	<i>Sclerospora. rayssiae</i> ver. Zeae, Payak and Renfro.	1970/Rampur	Minor	Minor
Bacterial diseases					
50.	Bacterial stalk rot	<i>Erwinia carotovora</i> (Jones)	1968/Rampur	Major	Minor
51.	Bacterial leaf strip	<i>Xanthomonas rubrilineans</i>	1976/Rampur	Minor	Minor
52.	Stewart wilt	<i>Erwinia stewartii</i> (E.F. Smith Dye) Dowson.	1978/Rampur	Minor	Minor
Virus diseases					
53.	Leaf fleck	<i>Maize leaf fleck virus</i>	Rampur	Minor	Minor
54.	Mosaic	<i>Maize mosaic virus</i>	Rampur	Minor	Minor

METHODOLOGY

Explanation of the major maize diseases, their causal organisms, distribution, time and intensity of disease incidence, symptoms, survival, spreads, environmental factors for disease development, yield losses and various disease management strategies corresponded to important maize diseases of Nepal are collected and piled up thoroughly from the available publications. Results are summarized in tables, charts and texts with definite review of the important maize diseases and their management in Nepal.

Major fungal diseases

The majority of the maize diseases were foliar fungal diseases. Most of these diseases are distributed throughout the higher elevations of the mountains, hills and the terai. Some of the foliar diseases are favored by warm and humid conditions and others prevail in cool and humid conditions.

1. Gray Leaf Spot (GLS)

Gray leaf spot (GLS) disease caused by *Cercospora zeaе maydis* Tehon & Daniels is a serious threat to farmers and has caused serious losses in grain yield of maize in 2006 (Manandhar *et al.*, 2011). The disease was first identified from the state of Illinois, USA in 1925 (Tehon & Daniels, 1925). Its occurrence was recorded in the Kavrepalanchowk district of Nepal (Manandhar, 2007). Grain yield losses of maize of up to 19 percent and 18 percent, respectively, on the local variety and on the improved OPV (Ganesh -1) were observed in field experiments (Manandhar and Baidya, 2010). The severity of GLS was high in the high hill region affecting adversely farmers who live with limited resources. The disease has been observed spreading over the years in 17 districts in the eastern, central and mid western regions of the country (Manandhar *et al.*, 2009). GLS is evident on plants as small spots first on lower leaves of plants at tassel initiation. The disease moves upwards and spots change into long characteristics lesions within a month turning plants into a diseased field. The disease is significant since it rapidly destroys foliage when the plant is near at grain maturity (Manandhar *et al.*, 2011).

Management

Source of disease resistance

Genotypes of maize including Corpoica H-112, CLA 87/CLA 91 from Colombia, and ZM 627, ZM 525, 05 SADVI, 07 SADVI, ZM 401 and ZM 501 from Zimbabwe were identified as resistant to GLS (Manandhar *et al.*, 2011). The OPVs like Ganesh-1, Deuti, Manakamana-1 and Manakamana-3 (Ganesh-1 for high hills), can reduce yield loss in GLS prone environments of the hills (Manandhar *et al.*, 2011). Experiment conducted at Pakhribas, Dhankuta revealed that genotypes ZM-627, 02SADVIF2, ZM-401, 07SADVI, Ganesh-1 (ARSP), 05SADVI, Manakamana-3 (ARSP) and ZM-423 were moderately resistant against GLS (NMRP, 2012). Genotype 07SADVI was found highly resistant against GLS and produced 9.12t/ha grain yield and other moderately resistant genotypes were SOOTLWQ-B, RampurSO3FQ-02, Manakamana 6 and S01SIYQ at Pakhribas (NMRP, 2013). The results of screening nurseries conducted in summer season of 2013 from different locations of the country for GLS resistant and high yielding genotypes are presented in the table 2 (NMRP, 2014).

Cultural control

An experiment conducted at Khumaltar revealed that the incidence of GLS was found lower in early planting maize compared to late sown crops (PPD, 2007).

2. Northern Leaf Blight (NLB)

The causal organism of this disease is *Setosphaeria turcica* Luttrell (*Helminthosporium turcicum* (Pass) Leonard and Suggs). The pathogen first identified in the country in 1964 (Khadka and Shah, 1967; Manandhar, 1980; Dahal *et al.*, 1982). The disease is widely distributed throughout the country; however it is more prevalent in the cool and humid conditions of the foothills. It was not considered as the major disease of maize crop in Nepal until 1985. It is also common in the winter maize of the terai region. The disease usually

appears before tasseling and became the most important disease at present. Maize late sown in the season is most affected by this disease in hills (Manandhar *et al.*, 2007). The lesions of northern leaf blight can be observed together with other diseases on same or on different leaves of the plants. Greenish tan lens-shaped lesions on leaves are the characteristic symptoms of the disease. In the beginning, dark grayish green water-soaked oval areas appear on leaves. The disease is systematic in nature and lesions spread upward up to the top most leaves and sometimes the symptom is seen on the husk cover of ears. Lesions after coalescing each other cover a large area causing plants to dry and showing blighting appearance. Spores develop heavily in the middle of lesions with black velvet like appearance. Stalks of severely diseased plants become weak and they easily lodge. Hybrid genotypes of maize have also been affected by this disease in hills. Heavy losses could be incurred with a severe incidence of the disease. Severe infection has been already reported at Nijgadh, Bara during winter season maize at 2012 and also significant damage has been caused to the crop (NMRP, 2013).

Management

Source of disease resistance

Most of the maize genotypes so far tested was observed susceptible to moderately susceptible to the disease. However some genotypes have been found moderately resistant to the Northern leaf blight in different location during different year of experimentation (Table 3).

Table 2: GLS resistant and high yielding genotypes from different locations of the country during summer season of 2013

Locations	GLS resistant and high yielding genotypes
Dhumkharka,	YML32/(P147-F2-134-S7/P33-C3-64-S5)-F2-B-7-3-1, YML58/(CML226/CATETO//CML226/ CATETO)F2-B-1-2-B, YML32/(P147-F2-108-S7/P45-C8-76-S5)-F2-B-30-1-3,
Kavrepalanchok	YML32/Cel FSRYS9956-B-3-2-4-B, YML 58/(G34/36/G33TSR)-F2-B-3-1-B, YML 58/(G34/36/G33TSR)-F2-B-4-1-B, YML58/(SEY90-C1#/G34/36-B)-F2-B-2-1-B, Yunrui 505
Kapurkot, Salyan	YML23/P502-C2 -58-1-1-2-5-B, YML 23/P502-C2-185-3-4-1-3-B-1-B, YML23/P502-C3 -F2-10-8-1-1-B, YML 23 /GLSI01P502-B-25-2-B, YML23/P44-C10-HS8-30-2-2-1-B, YML23/P44-C10-HS8 -30-4-B-4-1- YML23/(MBR/MDR-C3W)-F44-2-2-1-B, YML23/GLSI01HGA
Subedi. 2015	YS12Q-189,
Suping, Makawanpur	RML-4/RML-17, RML-32/RML-17, GLSY, ZM-401, ZM-627
Pakhribas, Dhankuta	YML 23/P502-C2-185-3-4-1-3-B-1-B, YML 23 /GLSI01P502-B-25-2-B, YML23/P502-C2-58-1-1-2-5-B, YS12Q-189, YS 12Q-71, YML 23/GLS101HGA-B-4-1-B

Table3: Reaction of maize genotypes to NLB disease in different locations during different year of experimentation

Type	Location/Year/Season	Total test entries	Moderately resistant genotypes	Reference
Inbreds	Rampur/2004 -Winter	55	RL-47, RL-12, RL-31, CA00310, RL-57, CML-172, RL-16, CML-165, CML-164, CA 00314, CLQG-2509	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	Rampur/2005-Winter	240	RML-79, RML-80, RL-35A, RL-64, RL-34, RML-8, RL-75, RL-104	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	Rampur/2006-Winter	54	RML-36, RML-3, NML-1, RL-106, RL-57	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
OPVs/Synthetic varieties	Rampur/2004 -Winter	32	SQGTWQHAGAB, SO3TLYQABO2	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Varietal screening trial	Rampur/ 2006-Winter	58	S99TLYQ-A, DRACON F1/DRACON F2, S99TLWQ-GHA, SOOTLYQ-B, CELAYA-S99551WQ, S99TLGHA, Deuti, AC9942 x AC9944, RamSO3FO6, RamSO3FO2, Shitala, SIS -IBP-UTYF	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
F1 Hybrids	Rampur/ 2006-Winter	266	RL 111 x RL 189, RL 160 x RL 176, RL 128 x RL 169, RL 105 x RL 168, RL 130 X RL 169, RL 83 X RL 155, RL 82 X RL 150, RML 4 X RML 3, RML 3 X NML 2, RC X RL 12, RL 14 X RML 8, NML 2 X RL 12, RML 52 X RML 51, RML 6 X NML 2, RC X RML 17, RC X RML 3, NML 2 X RL 17, NML 1 X RML 8, RL 47 X RML 5, RML 6 X RML 8, RL 47 X RL 30 -1, RML 8 X RL 30-1, Bioseed 9681, RML 13 X Khumal Yellow, RML 52 X Khumal Yellow, RL 43 X Khumal Yellow, RML 59 X Khumal Yellow, RML 60 X Khumal Yellow, RL 28 X Khumal Yellow, RL 13 X Kumal Yellow, RL 190 X Khumal Yellow, RL 67 X Khumal Yellow	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
OPVs	Pakhribas/(2003/2004-Summer)	20	Ganesh-1, Manakamana-3, ZM 621 (Deuti), Madi local white	Manandhar <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	Rampur / (2003/04- Winter)	18	Ganesh-1, ZM 621 (Deuti), Bangalore 9745, Hill Pool Yellow, Rampur Composite, Manakamana -3	Manandhar <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	Lumle / (2003/04-Summer)	21	Population 44, Manakamana-3, ZM 621 (Deuti), Ganesh-1, Hill Pool White, Hill Pool Yellow, Ganesh-2, Manakamana-1 and PM-5	Manandhar <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	Khumaltar / (2003/04-Summer)	18	Ganesh-1, ZM 621 (Deuti), Manakamana-3, Hill Pool Yellow, Hill Pool White, Bangalore 9745,, Rampur Composite, Manakamana-1, Madi local white	Manandhar <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Full season maize	Rampur /(2009-Winter)	21	RampurS03F04, RampurS03F02, S99TLYQ-B, RampurS03F08, TLBRSO7F16	NMRP, 2010
	Rampur /(2010-Winter)	24	RampurS03F02, S99TLYQ-B, RampurS03F08, BGBY population, Across 931, Takfa 0024	NMRP, 2011
Early maturing maize (OPV/Synthetic)	Rampur /(2009-Winter)	19	S02SADVIF2, EEYC1, S97TEYGHA8B (3), S01SYNZIME	NMRP, 2010
Genotypes	Rampur/ (2011-Winter)	32	PHRAPHUTTRBATS0031, TLRBSO7F16, SO19YNZIME, TLBR07F-14, BGBY-POP, COMPOZNIBP, RampurS03F02, R-POP 1, Upahar	NMRP, 2012
OPVS/Synthetic variety	Rampur/ (2012/13-Winter)	25	RML-105, BGBYPOP, Across 9942/9944	NMRP, 2013
OPVS/Synthetic variety	Rampur/ (2013/14-Winter)	25	RML-17, RML-96, RML-86, RML-19, RML-32/RML 17, RML-4/RML-17, RL-151/RL-111, 07SADVI, 05SADVI, ZM 627	NMRP, 2014

3 Southern Leaf Blight (SLB)

This disease caused by *Helminthosporium maydis* Nisik and Miye, is most common in the Terai region but is also found in some pockets of the mountains and the valleys. The disease usually appears at the time of tasseling. The perfect stage *Cochliobolus heterosporus* Dreschst is also recorded from Chitwan valley (Manandhar, 1983). Southern corn leaf blight occurs worldwide

and important in regions of warm damp climate of 20-30⁰ C temperature (Agrios, 2005). A long dry period of sunny weather between rains is unfavorable to disease development. This disease reached epidemic proportion in 1970 in USA resulting in losses estimated at one billion dollars (Ullstrup, 1972). The epidemic was caused by Race T attacking corn with Texas male sterile cytoplasm (T cms) which comprises 85 % of corn acreage at that time. Race O occurs mainly in subtropical and tropical areas, where it causes minor losses. Yield reduction up to 50% was recorded (Fisher *et al.*, 1976, Gregory *et al.*, 1978). The disease has been occurring in terai, inner terai and mid hills (lower elevation) since many years in summer and winter maize in Nepal (Paudel and Koirala, 1995). The fungus remain over winter as mycelium and spores in maize debris in the field and race T on kernels in cribs, bins, elevators. The conidia are carried out by wind or splashing water to growing plants where primary infection occurs. Sporulation on the lesions produces additional primary or secondary inoculums. The disease cycle can be completed in about 60-72 hrs (Race T) under ideal conditions (Agrios, 2005).

Management

Source of disease resistance

From observation and experiences it has been found that early genotypes are more prone to Southern Leaf Blight disease as compared to full season varieties (Paudel *et al.*, 2007). Efforts have been made to select SLB resistant genotypes and presented in table 4.

4 Banded leaf and sheath blight (BLSB)

The disease is caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* (Kuhn); its perfect stage is *Thanatephorus cucumeris* (Frank) Donk and mostly localized in the inner terai (Manandhar, 1983). The disease was first noted in 1977, and its incidence has been increasing in Nepal. Under natural conditions, disease appears at pre-flowering stage on 30 to 40 days old plants, but infection can also occur on young plants. The disease appears on basal leaf sheaths as water soaked, straw colored, irregular to roundish spot on both the surfaces. Profuse mycelia growth is seen on the affected areas of leaf sheath and in between the leaf sheath and stem. Later on as the infection becomes older, numerous sclerotial bodies develop on the affected parts (Agrios, 2005). The disease after its occurrence causes direct loss due to premature death, stalk breakage, destruction of leaves, leaf sheaths and ear rot. Banded leaf and sheath blight of maize is known under various names, viz; sclerotial disease, sharp eye spot, oriental leaf and sheath blight, Rhizoctonia ear rot, sheath rot and corn sheath blight etc (Rijal *et al.*, 2007). It is a destructive plant pathogen with an almost unlimited host range. It aggressively colonizes organic debris and thus has enough saprophytic survival ability. No sexual spores are formed and only sclerotia formed as soil borne propagules. The sclerotia are knots of undifferentiated,

intertwined, pigmented monilioid cells. The symptoms of the disease are observed on all aerial parts of the plant except tassel. The disease manifests itself on leaf, leaf sheath, stalk and ears as leaf and sheath blight. Losses to the extent of 10-90% have been reported on different maize varieties /cultivars (Singh and Sarma, 1976; Butachaiah, 1977).

Management

Source of disease resistance

Out of several maize genotypes (inbreds/hybrids) screened against BLSB disease (table 5) under artificially inoculated conditions, very few genotypes showed resistant to moderately resistant reaction (Rijal *et al.*, 2007). Most of the susceptible genotypes were collapsed at the time of final disease scoring (Rijal *et al.*, 2007).

Table 4: Reaction of maize genotypes to Southern Leaf Blight (SLB) in different locations during different year of experimentation

Type	Location/Year/Season	Total test entries	Moderately resistant genotypes	Reference
Inbreds	Rampur/2004 - Summer	20	CML 451, CA 00310, CA 00314, RL 5, RL 12	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	Rampur/2005- Summer	52	RML-18, RL-14, NML 1, RML-6, RL-30, RML-16, RML-18, RML-10, RL-12, RL-9, RML 55	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
OPVs/Synthetic varieties	Rampur/2004 - Summer	19	Pop 45 C10, S99TLGAB (3), Pop44C10, Population 21, ZM 621, Cotaxla S9627	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	Rampur/2005 - Summer	28	SOISIQ, Cotaxla S9627, Rampur So3 Fo4, SO3 TLYQAB-02, Narayani	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Genotypes	Rampur/2006 - Summer	44	Sitala, Bangalore 9745, Rampur So3 Fo2, Pop45 C10, Rampur So3 Fo8, Across 501, Takfa S9624, Takfa S9636, Rampur So3 Fo6, Rampur So3 Fo4, S99 TLYGHAB	Paudel <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	Rampur/2009- Summer	28	Posilo Makai-1, Rampur So3 Fo6, TLBRS07F16 (R), RamS03F02, BLSBRS07F10	NMRP, 2010
	Rampur/2011- Summer	38	TLBRS07F16, BLSBRS07F10, Across-9531, BGBYPOP, TLBRS07F14, RampurS03F08	NMRP, 2012
	Rampur/2012- Summer	20	TPY, BLSBSO7F10, BLSBSO7F12, TLBRSO7F14, TLBRSO7F16, Across 9331 RE, HG-AB, RML-8/AC, Celaya 00HGYA/00HGYB, R-POP- 3, RML-4/NML-2, RML-32/RML-17, RML-4/RML 17	NMRP, 2013
	Rampur/2013- Summer	30	JH-1203, SYN312-SR, CML-444/CML-489, SYN 312-SR, CML-312/CML-444, CML-395/CML-444, CZH0838, CZH1108, ZH-1204, CML-305/CML 444	NMRP, 2014

Biological control

All the isolates of *Trichoderma* spp exhibited antagonistic activities against *R. solani* f. sp. Sasakii. The degree of antagonism in terms of inhibition of growth of mycelium, production, mass and viability of sclerotia of the pathogen was highly variable among the isolates. Among the seven isolates evaluated *Trichoderma Koenigii* TKB-1 exhibited maximum inhibition (51.5% (46.7%). Similarly *Trichoderma*

viridae –TVB-1 (76.9%) showed maximum reduction of sclerotia production. A complete reduction in the viability of sclerotia was recorded in case of THB-1 and TSB-67 (Batsa *et al.*, 2004). Batsa *et al.*, (2004) studied about the comparative efficacy of cultural, chemical and biological control against BLSB in maize and found that the cost of BLSB control was minimum in leaf stripping however validamycin provided maximum (Rs 5.88) return from each rupee investment. Since BLSB spreads upward from the base of plants through sheath to sheath contact; leaf stripping breaks this contact restricting the upward movement of pathogen by 100 %. Hence Leaf stripping technique is also suggested for BLSB management in maize.

Table 5: List of different moderately resistant maize genotypes tested against BLSB in different locations and year.

Type	Location/Year/Season	Total test entries	Moderately resistant genotypes	Reference
Inbreds	Rampur/2006 - Summer	40	RML-8, RML-12, RL-84, RL-181, RL-155, RML-10, RL -28, RL-180, RL-140, RL-47, NML-2, RL-13, RML-41, RL-106	Rijal <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Hybrids	Rampur/2006-Summer	19	AG 20 X AG 27, 108 X AG 27, 75 X AG 27, 114 X AG 27, 113 X AG-27, 103 X AG-27, 116 X AG-27, 69 X AG-27, 105 X AG-27	Rijal <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Genotypes	Rampur/2009-Summer	63	RML-4, RML-5, RML-8, PUTU-13, AG-27, L-1, L-7, L-3	NMRP, 2010
	Rampur/2010-Summer	12	BLSBRS07F12, BLSBRS07F10, RML-8, Terai Pool Yellow	NMRP, 2011
	Rampur/2011-Summer	20	Synthetic-C, BGBYPOP, BLBRS07F10, HG-A, TLBRSO7F16, BG-B, R-Pop-3, R-Pop-4, SO3TLYQ-AB-02	NMRP, 2012
	Rampur/2012 - Summer	30	Terai Pool Yellow, TLBRSO7F16, HG A, R POP-4, Synthetic B, Synthetic C, Across 9331 RE, BGBYPOP, Arun-1 EV, Pool-17, 05SADVI, 07SADVI, GLSYW, RML-4/NML-2, RML-32/RML-17	NMRP, 2013
	Rampur/2013-Summer	30	DTM#-25, DTM#-27, DTM#-28, DTM#-30, DTM#-36, DTM#-37, DTM#-38, KEYPOP, KGPOP-2, KS 12 F12, 05 SADVI, 07 SADVI	NMRP, 2014
	Lumle/2013-Summer	30	DTM#-23, DTM#-35, DTM#-36, DTM#-32, DTM#-38, ZM 401, KGPOP-2, MHEY, KLYPOP	NMRP, 2014

5 Ear rot

The preliminary study of this disease was initiated in 1974-75. A number of pathogens have been observed in Nepal. Among the various ear rotting organisms, *Fusarium moniliforme* Schw. was found to be the most common and most destructive to the crop (Manandhar, 1976). Pathogens like *Diplodia maydis* (Berk) Sacc. and *Rhizoctonia zeae* Voorhees were also common in the mountains but the infection was very low. Although *Fusarium* ear rot is distributed throughout the country, it is most prevalent in the mountains with cool and humid weather conditions (Manandhar, 1976). In these areas, the crop stands for 6-8 months in the field and the high rainfall provides favorable conditions for disease incidence. The infection rate in the mountain is usually 30-40% whereas in the inner terai and the Kathmandu valley it is only about 5-10% (Manandhar, 1983). In some varieties an intensity of infection of 80-90% has been reported. Such intensity of infection results in very high losses in grain yield. The improved varieties of maize seem to be more susceptible to this disease than some of the local varieties (Shah and Manandhar, 1978). *Fusarium graminearum* Schwabe (teleomorph

Gibberella zeae (Schw.) Petch, causes prominent *Gibberella* or red ear rot disease in high and mid hills. Red discoloration most common at tips of the ears and the husk leaves are recognizable symptoms of the disease at harvest. Severely affected ears are light and have tightly attached husk leaves. Numerous small black fruiting bodies of the fungus, a *Gibberella* state sometimes appear on husk covers or on other affected parts of the plant. The pathogen is common in injured areas caused by ear/kernel/silk-cut insects or birds and also on germinating kernels of the ears in lodged plants. Frequent rain or foggy weather prior to harvest enhances the ear rot disease and many high yielding maize varieties are susceptible to disease in high hills. The disease also appears later on harvested ears in high hills. Due to drying problem in high hills local maize is more popular among the farmers in spite of low grain yield or small size of the ears. The pathogen can also infect seeds/ seedlings/roots causing discolorations/rots/blights in field during germination in high hills. The incidence of ear rot was highest up to 40% in high hills like Kakani. It was moderate almost 20% in the eastern hills like Pakhribas and Kabre. Incidence of ear rot was in the range of 10% to 15% in the western hills at Jumla and Lumle, and lowest as below 5% at Khumaltar and in terai at Rampur (Manandhar and Gurung, 1982). The pathogen is also important for the quality of the maize since it can produce trichothecenes deoxynivalenol and nivalenol mycotoxin on moldy kernels. The occurrence of trichothecenes mycotoxins contamination was detected in 16% of 74 maize samples collected from different parts of Nepal (Desjardins *et al.*, 2000).

Management

Source of disease resistance

Resistant genotype is the only ideal means to overcome the yield reduction due to the disease. Evaluation of maize genotypes for resistant to ear rot disease was initiated in NMRP, Rampur and presented in table 6.

Table 6: List of different moderately resistant maize genotypes tested against ear rot at Rampur in different year.

Type	Location/Year/Season	Total test entries	Moderately resistant genotypes	Reference
Genotypes	Rampur/2009-Summer	63	AG-27, RampurS03F02, RampurS03F04, RampurS03FQ2, BLSBRS07F110, BLSBRS07F12, TLBRS07F14, TLBRS07F16, RPop-3, NML-1/NML-2 (Gaurav), RML-4/NML-2, RML-8/Rampur composite	NMRP, 2010
	Rampur/2010-Summer	12	Terai Pool Yellow, RampurS03F06, RML-8	NMRP, 2011
	Rampur/2011-Summer	20	Across 033, R-Pop-3, Synthetic-B, TLBRS07F16	NMRP, 2012
	Rampur/2012-Summer	20	HG 'A', SO3TLYQ-AB-02, Synthetic-A	NMRP, 2013
	Rampur/2013-Summer	21	RML-98/RL-105, RML-68/RL-101, RML-8/RML-62, RL-197/NML-2, RL-180/RML-5, ZM-401	NMRP, 2014

6 Stalk rot

This group of diseases is broadly divided into two categories, namely pre-flowering and post-flowering types. The former category includes stalk rots such as Pythium stalk rot [*Pythium aphanidermatum* (Eds.) Fitzp.] and bacterial stalk rot [*Erwinia chrysanthemi* pv. *zeae* (Sabet) Victoria, Arboleda & Munoz], whereas others, such as Fusarium wilt, late wilt, black bundle disease and charcoal rot, appear in the post-flowering phase. Stalk rot is distributed throughout the country, but it is most prevalent in the hot and humid areas (Shah, 1968); however pythium stalk rot is found to be common in the mountains and the valleys in Nepal (Diwakar and Payak, 1975). The disease usually appears at the tasseling stage.

6.1 *Pythium* stalk rot

This stalk rot is known to cause extensive damage to the crop in the lowlands of northern India and southern Nepal. The incidence of disease is significantly influenced by both environmental and host factors. Temperature and relative humidity have been found to affect both the growth of the pathogen and disease development. The maximum disease development occurs within a temperature range of 30-35°C, with a relative humidity of 80-100%. Waterlogged, low-lying or poorly drained field conditions favor a high degree of disease development. Plant age (pre-flowering growth stage) and a large plant population (≥ 60000 per ha) favor a high incidence of disease (Diwakar and Payak, 1980).

Some resistant material has been identified. Hybrids Ganga Safed -2, Hi-starch, and composites Suwan 1 and Suwan 2, have shown resistance in India. An application of 75% captan (11.2 g a.i. per 100 l water applied as a soil drench at the base of the plant when the crop is 5-7 weeks old) can check this disease effectively (Payak and Renfro, 1974).

6.2 *Fusarium* stalk rot

Stalk rots incited by *F. moniliforme* Sheld. and *F. graminearum* Schw. are known throughout Asia; however, the incidence of the former is more severe in lowland tropical areas, whereas the latter is present in the cooler highlands. The symptoms become conspicuous after flowering and towards maturity, when plants show premature drying. The pathogen commonly affects the roots, crown region and lower internodes. When split open, the stalks show a pink-purple discoloration with collapse of the pith region (De Leon, 1984). In old plants affected by *F. graminearum*, blue-purple ascomata of *Gibberella zeae* develop on the outer surface of the stalk rind. The diseases are known to occur in Nepal, India, Indonesia, Pakistan, Philippines, Thailand and Vietnam. They are observed more commonly if there is a period of drought during or shortly after pollination. Agronomically desirable stalk rot-resistant materials are available in Pakistan, India, Mexico and Zimbabwe, where selections against these diseases have been made. The 'stay green' character, in which plants remain green after attaining physiological maturity, has been associated with resistance to certain post-flowering stalk rots. There is evidence of mammalian toxicity where stalks infected with these pathogens

7 Head smut

Head smut caused by *Sporisorium reilianum* (Kuhn) Langdon & Full. (Syn. *Sphacelotheca reiliana* (Kuhn) Clinton or *Ustilago reiliana* Kuhn) is a sporadic disease of maize in Nepal. Incidence of the smut disease was first recorded from Ilam in 1966 (Khadka and Shah, 1967). The disease is widespread in hilly regions of the country and is familiar among farmers because of its black sori often with phyllody or other abnormal symptoms in tassel and ears (Gurung *et al.*, 1985). Generally the tassel or ears are completely or partially replaced by smut balls or leafy proliferations. The soil borne spores infect the plants during emergence or at the seedling stage and grow systematically with the meristem (Xu *et al.*, 1999). The symptoms on the tassel often indicate presence of the smut pathogen in a maize field. Infected plants with normal tassels are also common. The ear in such plants can be seen smutted only at harvest. From time to time farmers have reported the smut problem in their fields. Head smut was noted on ears of variety Manakamana-1 at Pakhribas, Dhankuta in 1983. It also caused failure in seed production with the occurrence of the smut 30% and 10% respectively on varieties khumal yellow and Arun-2 during 1987 growing season (Manandhar, 1992). Incidence reached 75% on local maize 'Ashare' planted in February in Dhading during 1992. Ashare is harvested in June –July for maize-rice system at the foothills near Khanikhola. The smut incidence was reported as 100% on plants of some kitchen gardens grown for green ear consumption in Kathmandu Valley including Ramkot. Losses due to disease of 30% and above were reported in farmers field in epidemic years at Patlikhet village of Myagdi (Pradhananga and Ghimire, 1996; Ghimire and Harding, 1997). In Lalitpur, smut incidence up to 60 % was reported from Chunnikhel (W-7), Dhapakhel (W-3) and Thuladurlung (PPD, 2000). Farmers of Kulekhani asked remedies for smut problem. Its occurrence is reported mainly in hilly districts like Jumla, Kaski, Dolakha, Tehrathum, Sindhupalchok and Nuwakot.

Management

1. Resistant cultivars: Sweet corn, Pop corn Hybrid B840 (Manandhar, 2002)
2. Seeding date: Smut incidence was noticed in decreasing trend with the highest percent in April or early May sown plants as compared to that sown in late May or June (Manandhar, 2002).
3. Seed treatment: Out of 15 fungicides, Sumi-8, Tilt-100, Raxil-2, Baytan-10 and Bayleton-5 have proved effective against the smut (Manandhar, 2002)

8 Common rust

This rust, caused by *Puccinia sorghi* Schw., develops on maize plantings in subtropical conditions in Nepal, Bhutan, South China and northern India. Aecial infection occurs infrequently on *Oxalis* spp. in Nepal. *O. corniculata* has been found to be susceptible under conditions of artificial inoculations with teliospores of *P. sorghi* in India. However aecial infections, so far, have not been observed in nature. Since the early 1970s in the state of Bihar, India, winter plantings have suffered epidemics of this rust, mainly because of the cultivation of the susceptible hybrids Ganga Safed-2 and Hi-starch (Sharma *et al.*, 1993). In summer maize the incidence of the disease is quite high in the mountains and the valleys with very little disease incidence noted in the terai; however the disease has attacked the winter and spring maize of the terai in Nepal (Kashalappa and Hedge, 1970). The disease usually appears at the Knee-high stage or at tasseling. The local varieties of maize are highly susceptible to this disease which means that common rust existed in Nepal long before it was first reported in 1964 (Manandhar and Sah, 1972). Losses in grain yield ranging from 6 to 32% have been reported (Sharma *et al.*, 1982). The inheritance of generalized or mature plant resistance has been studied by Sharma and Payak

(1979) and has been established to be polygenic, with a small number of genes conditioning resistance. Cultivars possessing resistance are available in most countries. For seed production and susceptible cultivars of pop corn and sweet corn, two to three spray applications of the fungicide zineb are recommended.

9 Downy mildews

This group of pathogens constitutes one of the most important factors limiting maize production in South and South-East Asia. The important species causing downy mildew (DM) in maize in the region are the Philippine DM [*Peronosclerospora philippinensis* (Weston) Shaw], Sorghum DM [*P. sorghi* (Weston & Uppal) Shaw], Java DM [*P. maydis* Raciborski) Shaw], Sugar-cane DM [*P. sacchari* (Miyabe) Shirai & Hara] and Brown stripe DM (*Sclerophthora rayssiae* var. *zeae* Payak and Renfro). It is most common in the warm and humid weather conditions of the Terai region (Shah, 1968). The infection rate is usually 10-20 % but when the weather is very wet and humid, the disease may become epidemic and the infection rate rise can to 30-60% (Manandhar, 1972). The disease appears when the plants are 3-4 weeks old. The losses incurred in heavy infection are 10-20% reduction in grain yield. Heavy infections have been most commonly observed in late sown (June-July) crops (Manandhar, 1975). The symptoms of the carry top like deformation has been observed in the inner terai since 1975. However the causal organism has yet not been established accurately (Manandhar, 1981).

9.1 Philippine downy mildew

This pathogen is widespread in the Philippines, plains of Nepal and northern India, Laos and northern Vietnam. The disease was first reported in India in 1912. This DM has also been found to possess the highest level of virulence: yield losses of 40-60% are frequent, and a disease incidence of 80-100% is not uncommon (Exconde, 1970). In Nepal, the disease developed in an epidemic form in 1987, with losses as high as 50%. It has been observed that late-sown plantings suffer greater damage (Shah and Tuladhar, 1971). Infection on maize is traceable to the proximity of infected clumps of the wild grass *Saccharum spontaneum*. The grass not only grows wild but also is planted as fencing around cultivated fields. Its eradication helps to eliminate this DM. Resistance in the Philippines is derived from indigenous open-pollinated varieties, particularly Tiniguib and Impa-Impa, others being Aroman White Flint, Kabacan WF, Bukidnon WF, Cadlan WF, Cebu WF, College WF and Bicol WF (Aday, 1975). The composites and varieties developed in the Philippines are based on crosses of local and introduced germplasm such as Tuxpeno, Eto Amarillo, Eto Blanco, Cupurico, Cuba Gr.1, and Tuxpantigua. A series of composites such as Phil DMR-1 and DMR-5 have been released for cultivation.

9.2 Sorghum downy mildew

This pathogen was first recorded in teosinte (*Zea mays* ssp. *mexicana*) from Pune in 1905, and in 1907 on sorghum from India (Butler, 1907; Uppal and Desai, 1932). Since the 1960s, damage on maize and sorghum has been observed to have a cosmopolitan distribution. Three strains have been identified in *P. sorghi* -the sorghum strain (infecting only sorghum), the maize strain (attacking maize only) and a strain that infects both crops. In Thailand, only the maize strain of *P. sorghi* has been reported; in India, all three strains of the pathogen have been recorded (Payak *et al.*, 1979). On the basis of isozyme analysis, Bonde *et al.* (1984) reported

that the Thai isolate has only four alleles out of 15 in common with those present in the five other isolates of *P. sorghi*. On the basis of isozyme analysis, the Thai isolate was more closely related to the '*P. sacchari*-*P. philippinensis* complex' than to *P. sorghi*. The fungus can inflict serious damage in introduced germplasm with no resistance. The DM-resistant cultivar Suwan 1, released in Thailand in 1973, spread rapidly throughout most Asian countries where it continues to show a good level of DM resistance. Selections of Suwan 1 have been released in Nepal, Bhutan, Burma, South China, India, Indonesia, Laos, Philippines, Sri Lanka and Vietnam. In Thailand, 80% of the area planted to maize has been covered by this high-yielding DM-resistant improved open-pollinated cultivar. Several CIMMYT maize populations (populations 22, 28, 31, 72, 75 and 78) have been selected by the CIMMYT-Asian Regional Maize Programme (CIMMYT, 1989); these combine desirable agronomic characters and a broader genetic base for DM resistance. Several other cultivars, such as Suwan 2 (early selection from Suwan 1), Suwan 3, Nakorn Sawan 1 (NSI), Rampur 8075, and Ganga 11 (male parent Suwan 1) have been released by national programmes in Nepal, Thailand and India. In Thailand the agronomic practice of early planting in the rainy season is recommended for a low disease incidence. Late plantings are normally more damaged, mainly due to higher moisture and inoculum load.

9.3 Brown stripe downy mildew

This disease was first reported from India (Payak and Renfro, 1967). It has also been found in Burma, Nepal, Pakistan and Thailand (Frederiksen and Renfro, 1977), but is unknown outside South and South-East Asia. In the Himalayan area of northern India, the disease is limited to locations below 1500 msl (meters above sea level). Yield losses of up to 63% have been recorded in the *tarai* area of Uttar Pradesh (Sharma *et al.*, 1993). As well as affecting maize, the disease has also been recorded on teosinte, *Digilaria sanguinalis*, in India and on *D. bicornis* in Thailand. Several cultivars possessing resistance to this DM have been identified. However, the most outstanding cultivar has been hybrid Ganga 5, widely grown in India. Genetic resistance to the disease has been identified with both additive and dominant gene actions. However, additive gene action plays a greater part in the expression of resistance (Asnani and Bhushan, 1970; Singh and Asnani, 1975). Late plantings (mid-July or later) suffer greater damage (Payak, 1980). Resistance to maize DMs in general has been determined to be polygenic (Mochizuki, 1975; Singburadom and Renfro, 1982). An exception is sugar-cane DM in Taiwan where a resistance factor was also found to be monogenic and dominant (Chang, 1969). Several fungicides have been tried for the control of DM in maize. Seed treatment with Oemosan (chloroneb) was found to be promising against sorghum DM in Thailand (Titatarn, 1976) and in India, on brown stripe DM and sugar-cane DM in India (Lal, 1975) and on Java DM (Triharso and Kusdiarti, 1976). In the Philippines, economic returns for a schedule of three spray applications of Ou-ter (fentin hydroxide) followed by Dithane M 45 (mancozeb) was claimed to be cost effective and feasible for use against Philippine DM (Exconde *et al.*, 1975). Among the systemic fungicides, acylalanines and the related compounds metalaxyl (Ridomil 25 WP, Apron 35 SO, Apron 30 FW), furalaxyl (Fongarid WP G), milfuram (Patafol, Caltan WP) and benalaxyl (Galben WP G) have been very effective in controlling DM incited by *Peronosclerospora* and *Sclerophlhora* (Venugopal and Safeeulla, 1978; Exconde and Molina, 1978; Lal *et al.* 1980; Renfro, 1983).

10 Brown Spot

It is caused by *Physoderma maydis* Miyabe, the disease is widely distributed throughout the country. The disease usually appears at the time of tasseling. Severe incidences are found only in some parts of the inner terai and in Gulmi district. Losses have not been yet determined in Nepal. They have been reported non significant in India (Lal and Chakravarti, 1977). Normally the disease occurs in areas of abundant rainfall and high mean temperatures; it attacks the leaves, leaf sheaths, stalk and sometimes the outer husks. The first noticeable symptoms develop on leaf blades and consist of small chlorotic spots, arranged as alternate bands of diseased and healthy tissue. Spots on the mid ribs are circular and dark brown, while lesions on the laminae continue as chlorotic spots. Nodes and internodes also show brown lesions. In severe infections, these may coalesce and induce stalk rotting and lodging (CIMMYT Maize Program, 2004). Removal of plant debris and tillage operation minimizes the disease incidence in the maize field. Some tolerant maize varieties Manakamana-3, 4, 5 and 6 were released for the cultivation (Rijal, 2012).

Bacterial disease

Three bacterial diseases have been identified in Nepal.

1. Bacterial stalk rot

Bacterial stalk rot is caused by *Erwinia carotovora* and is generally confined to the terai. It is extended to some pockets of the mountains and Kathmandu valley but with very low rates of infection. The disease usually appears at the time of tasseling. Intensity of infection increases as weather conditions become warmer and humid (Manandhar, 1983). In the terai, the rate of stalk rot infection is high because 50% of the stalk rot is caused by corn borer damage and high percent of yield losses incurred. In the *tarai* area of northern India, and in southern Nepal and the southern Philippines, this disease represents a serious problem for maize production. It has been observed that a high disease incidence is associated with the use of sewage water for irrigation; it is particularly favoured by high temperatures ($\geq 28^{\circ}\text{C}$) and high relative humidity, which prevails in most maize-growing areas 3-4 weeks after sowing. In India, resistance in some inbred lines, single crosses and hybrids has been identified through artificial inoculations. Among these, CM 104, CM 600, hybrids Ganga Safed-2 and multiple disease resistant (MDR) populations MDR-1 and MDR-2 are known (Sharma *et al.*, 1993). This pathogen is highly sensitive to chlorine (Thind and Payak, 1972). Efficient control of the disease by using bleaching powder

($\text{CaOCl}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ containing 33% chlorine), is achieved by drenching the basal stalk region when the plants are knee high.

2. Bacterial leaf strip

This disease caused by *Xanthomonas rubrilineans* was first reported by Manadhar in 1976. Its incidence has been extremely low. However in 1981 a severe infection appeared in epidemic form at Rampur, Chitwan (Manandhar, 1983).

3. Stewart Wilt

This disease caused by *Erwinia stewartii* (E.F. Smith Dye) Dowson has been reported from a few places in the terai. Because the incidence of the disease is very low, yield losses attributed to it are negligible (Manandhar, 1983).

Diseases caused by viruses

The viruses reported on maize in the Asian region are maize mosaic virus I, maize mosaic virus (MMV) and vein enation virus in India (Sharma and Payak, 1983), maize dwarf mosaic virus (MDMV), corn stripe and what is reported as 'leaf gall' (probably maize rough dwarf virus) in the Philippines (Exconde, 1983) and MDMV in China (Zhu *et al.*, 1983; Jingxiong, 1991). Incidences of virus diseases have been reported in Nepal, but none of the virus diseases have been unquestionably identified. The suspected virus diseases in Nepal are maize mosaic and leaf fleck (Manandhar, 1983).

Nematodes

Several nematodes have been found attacking maize. However, only the cyst nematode (*Heterodera zae* Koshy, Swarup and Sethi) represents a serious problem in some maize growing areas (Koshy and Swarup, 1971). Carbofuran at 2 kg a.i. per ha is recommended for effective control. *H. zae* can be managed by eradication of *Setaria* sp., an alternate host of the nematode, and by crop rotation (mainly by planting a non-susceptible crop).

Pathogenic variability study

Pathogenic variability observed among *F. graminearum* isolates from 3 cereal crops. Many virulent isolates were from maize. Out of 25, 4 including 3 from maize and 1 from rice were the most virulent isolates. There were 2 different groups in maize and isolates were able to cause disease on other crops. Both nivalenol and deoxynivalenol trichothecenes mycotoxins producing isolates were frequent and were able to cause disease (Manandhar *et al.*, 2007).

Loss assessment study

Several maize diseases have been identified as economically important in national perspective (Khadka and Shah, 1967; Manandhar, 1983). Yield losses due to various diseases have been determined.

CONCLUSION

All the above mentioned diseases are destructive to the maize production in Nepal or worldwide due to the fact that they occur widespread in maize producing areas. It has been noted that maize diseases reviewed above results in severe economic losses and serves as a potential risk for humans and animals. Therefore, this review can provide sufficient information which will lead to development of management practices, and therefore improve maize production in the affected areas. Also, exploration and proper disease identification will be important to help to understand more about the diseases prior the intervention. Variability within pathogen should be considered for screening and breeding for resistance, or while testing sensitivity of the pathogen towards

different chemicals. An integrated approach using agronomic, nutritive, or chemical controls should be adopted for an effective disease management. Development of resistant varieties using conventional as well as biotechnological methods will help in controlling these menacing diseases which are still challenges even after several years of their discovery. Studies on epidemiology, diagnosis, yield loss and management of maize diseases (other than host resistance) seem to be quite behind and have to be focused. This review would be helpful to future on maize pathological research works in Nepal.

Table 7. Loss assessment study of important maize diseases in Nepal

Diseases	Loss assessment	References
Gray leaf spot	Grain yield loss up to 19 % in local variety	Manadhar and Baidya, 2010
Northern leaf blight	Reduced plant height (8%), grain yield (43%), Biomass (43 %) & 1000 grain weight (25%)	Duwadi, 1996
Common rust	Losses in grain yield 10-20%	Manandhar, 1983
Downy mildew	When the disease incidence was high the yield loss was estimated up to 10-20 %	Manandhar, 1983
Sclerotial ear rot	Loss in grain yield up to 42 % was found in cv. Rampur-2 at Rampur, Chitwan	Manandhar and Poudel, 1997
Fusarium ear rot	Grain yield loss up to 37% in cv Arun-4 Under Kakani the yield loss was recorded 21% & 6 % under Rampur	Gurung, 1989 Manandhar <i>et al.</i> , 1978
Stalk rot complex	In a farmer's field at Chitwan about 80% grain yield loss was estimated	Batsa and Neupane, 1982

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to maize Coordinator for continuous support and facilities. Plant Pathologists of NMRP and NARC are also acknowledged for their valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Aday, B. A. (1975). The Philippine program in breeding for resistance to downy mildew of maize. *Tropical Agricultural Research Service*, 8, 207-219
- Agrios, G.N. (2005). *Plant pathology*. (5th ed.) Burlington, MA.: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Asnani, V. L. & Bhushan, B. (1970). Inheritance study on the brown stripe downy mildew of maize. *Indian Phytopathology*, 23, 220-230.
- Batsa, B.K. & Neupane, S.K. (1982). Loss assessment studies on stalk rot of maize. In: Proceedings of the 19th summer crop workshops pp. 99-101 at NMRP, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Batsa, B.K., Basnet, R., & Paudel, D.C. (2004). Biological control of banded leaf and sheath blight in maize. P.229. In: Proceedings of the 24th National Summer Crops Research Workshop on maize research and production in Nepal, June 28-30, 2004, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Batsa, B.K., Sharma, R.C., & Rai, S.N. (2004). Comparative efficacy of cultural, chemical and biological control against banded leaf and sheath blight in maize. P. 232. In: Proceedings of the 24th National Summer Crops Research Workshop on maize research and production in Nepal, June 28-30, 2004, Kathmandu, Nepal.

- Bonde, M.R., Peterson, G.L., Dowler, W.M., & May, B. (1984). Isozyme analysis to differentiate species of *Peronosclerospora* causing downy mildews of maize. *Phytopathology*, 74, 1278-1283.
- Butchaiah, K. (1977). Studies on some aspects of banded sclerotial disease of maize. Thesis M.Sc. (Ag.), G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. 65 pp.
- Butler, E. J. (1907). Some diseases of cereals caused by *Sclerospora graminicola*. *Mem. Dep. Agric., India, BOI. Ser. 2*, 1-24.
- Chang, S.C. (1969). Genetic studies of resistance to downy mildews in corn by means of chromosomal translocations. *Rep. Corn Res. Taiwan* 7, 1-6.
- CIMMYT Maize Program. (2004). *Maize Diseases: A guide for field identification*. 4th edition. Mexico, D.F. : CIMMYT. 2p.
- CIMMYT. (1989). *Maize Research and Development in Pakistan*. CIMMYT, EI Batan, Mexico, 100 pp.
- Dahal, G., Amatya, P., & Manadhar, H.K. (1992). Plant diseases in Nepal-country profile. *Review of Plant Pathology*, 71 (11), 797-807.
- De Leon, C. (1984). *Maize Diseases, a Guide for Field Identification*, 3rd edn. CIMMYT, EI Batan, Mexico.
- Desjardins, A.E., Manandhar, G., Plattner, R.D., Maragos, C.M., Shrestha, K., & McCormick, S.P. (2000). Occurrence of fusarium species and mycotoxins in Nepalese maize and wheat and the effect of traditional processing methods on mycotoxin levels. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, 48(4), 1377-1383.
- Diwakar, M. C., & Payak, M.M. (1980) Influence of some environmental and host factors on Pythium stalk rot of maize. *Indian Phytopathology*. 33, 10—15
- Diwakar, M.C., & Payak, M.M. (1975). Germplasm reaction to pythium stalk rot of maize *Indian Phytopathology*, 28(4), 548-549.
- Duwadi, V.R. (1996). Northern leaf blight *Helminthosporium turcicum* tolerance lines screening of Manakamana -1. In: proceedings of summer crop workshops held in 27-29th Feb. 1996, at RARS, Parwanipur Bara, Nepal.
- Exconde, O. R. & Molina, A. B., Jr. (1978). Note: Ridomil (CibaGeigy) a seed-dressing fungicide for the control of Philippine downy mildew. *Philipp. 1. Crop Sci.* 3, 60-64.
- Exconde, O. R. (1983). Virus disease of maize in the Philippines. In: *Proc. Int. Maize Virus Disease Cotloqu. Workshop, 2-6 Aug. 1982, Ohio State Univ.* (Ed. by D. T. Gordon, J. K. Knoke, L. R. Nault and R. M. Ritter) pp. 203-205, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center. Wooster, Ohio.
- Exconde, O. R., Raymundo, A. D., & Soriano, E. L., Jr. (1975). The economics of Duter/Dithane M-45 foliar spray for the control of Philippine corn downy mildew. *Philipp. Agric.* 59, 237-243.
- Exconde, O. R. (1970). Philippine corn downy mildew. *Indian Phytopathology*, 23, 275-284
- Fisher, D.E., Hooker, A.L., Lim, S.M., & Smith, D.R. (1976). Leaf infection and yield loss caused by *Helminthosporium* leaf disease of corn. *Phytopathology*, 66, 942-944.
- Frederiksen, R. A. & Renfro, B. L. (1977). Global status of maize downy mildew. *A. Rev. Phytopathology*, 15, 249-275.
- Ghimire, S.R., & Harding, A.H. (1997) . Tilt-250: an effective fungicide to manage head smut *Sphacelotheca reiliana* (Kuhn) disease. LARC working paper no 97/35, Lumle Agricultural Research Centre, Pokhara, Kaski, Nepal.

- Gregory, L.V., Ayers, J.E., & Nelson, R.R. (1978). Predicting yield losses in corn from southern corn leaf blight. *Phytopathology*, 68, 571-581.
- Gurung, G. (1989). Studies on cob rot fungi of maize. In: Proceedings of summer crops workshop held in 17-23 January, 1989 at ARS, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Gurung, G., Manandhar, K.L., & Batsa, B.K. (1985). Efficacy of fungicides against head smut of maize. Pp. 95-98. In: Proceedings of 12th Summer Crops Workshop held in Jan 28- Feb 1, 1985 at Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal .
- Jingxiong, L. (1991). Maize growing under stress environment in China. In: *Proc. 4th Asian Regional Maize Workshop, 21-28 Sept. 1990, Pakistan* (Ed. by C. De Leon, G. Granados and M. D. Read) pp. 56-64, Kunming, Nanning.
- Kashalappa, A.C.& Hedge, R.K. (1970). Studies on maize rust (*P. sorghi*) in Mysore state III: Prevalence and severity on maize varieties and impact on yield. *Plant Disease Report*, 54(9), 15-20.
- Khadka, B.B., & Shah, S.M. (1967). Preliminary list of plant diseases recorded in Nepal. *Nepalese Journal of Agriculture*, 2, 47-76.
- Koshy, P. K. & Swarup, G. (1971). Distribution of *Heterodera zae*, *H. avenae*, *H. caiani* and *Anguina tritici* in India. *Indian Nematol.* 4, 106-111.
- Lal, B.B. & Chakravarti, B.P. (1977). Resistance of maize varieties and lines to *Physoderma maydis* causal organisms of brown spot of maize in Udaipur. *Indian Plant Disease Report*, 61(2), 1080-1081.
- Lal, S. (1975). Brown stripe and sugar -cane downy mildews of maize germplasm evaluation, resistance breeding and chemical control. *Trap. Agric. Res.* 8, 235-241.
- Lal, S., Bhargava, S. K., & Saxena, S. C. (1980). Management of sugar-cane and brown stripe downy mildews in maize with metalaxyl. *Newslett. Int. Working Group Graminicolous Downy Mildews* 2.
- Manandhar, G. & Poudel, D.C. (1997). Rhizoctonia ear rot disease and assessment of yield loss due to Rhizoctonia zae. In: Proceedings of the 20th summer crop workshops pp. 209-215. National Maize Research Program, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Manandhar, G. (1992). Effect of fungicides to control head smut disease of maize. In: Proceedings of Summer Crops Workshop held in 26-31 January, 1992 at Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Manandhar, G. (1997). *25 years of maize research in Nepal (1972- 1997)*. Nepal Agricultural Research Council, National Maize Research Program, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Manandhar, G. (2002). Head smut disease of maize in Nepal. Pp. 135- 141. In: N.P. Rajbhandari, J.K. Ransom, K. Adhikari, & A.F.E. Palmer (Eds). Sustainable maize production systems for Nepal: Proceedings of a maize symposium held in 3-5 December, 2001, NARC and CIMMYT, at Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Manandhar, G. (2007). Gray leaf spot a threat to maize production in the hills of Nepal. *Quarterly newsletter of Nepal Agricultural Research Council*, 14 (4), 4.
- Manandhar, G., & Baidya, S. (2010). Gray leaf spot of maize, a new disease to Nepal and loss assessment. In: Proceedings of the 26th National Summer Crops Workshop held in 3-5 March 2010 at National Maize Research Program, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Manandhar, G., Baidya, S., Bajracharya, A.R., Ferrara, G.O., and Tiwari, T.P. (2009). Maize gray leaf spot disease - a report presented in review and planning meeting at NARI,

- Khumaltar, Nepal, organized by HMRP-III (NARC/DoA/SDC/CIMMYT) . 14-16 Dec. 2009. Manandhar, G., Baidya, S., Paudel, D.C., & Burlakoti, R.R. (2007). Evaluation of maize genotypes for resistant to northern leaf blight disease in Nepal. Pp. 273-275. In: D.B. Gurung, D.C. Paudel, G. KC, S.R. Upadhyay & B.B. Pokharel (Eds.). Proceedings of the 25th National Summer Crops Research Workshop on Maize Research and Production in Nepal, held in June 21-23, 2007 at Nepal Agriculture Research Institute, NARC, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.
- Manandhar, G., Desjardins, A.E., Manandhar, H.K., & Busman, M. (2007). *Fusarium graminearum*: an important pathogen of maize in Nepal, pathogenic variability and mycotoxins. Pp. 271-272. In: D.B. Gurung, D.C. Paudel, G. KC, S.R. Upadhyay & B.B. Pokharel (Eds.). Proceedings of the 25th National Summer Crops Research Workshop on Maize Research and Production in Nepal, held in June 21 -23, 2007 at Nepal Agriculture Research Institute, NARC, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.
- Manandhar, G., Ferrara, G.O., Tiwari, T.P., Baidya, S., Bajracharya, A.S.R., Khadgee, B.R., & Narro, L. (2011). Response of maize genotypes to gray leaf spot disease (*Cercospora zea-maydis*) in the hills of Nepal. *Agronomy Journal of Nepal*, 2, 93-101.
- Manandhar, K.L. & Shah, S.M. (1972). Screening of corn germplasm against leaf rust diseases under Kakani condition. In: Proceedings of Inter-Asian corn improvement workshop, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Manandhar, K.L. (1972). Study on downy mildew disease of maize under Rampur condition. In: Proceedings of Maize seminar held in June 20-22, 1972 held at Department of Agricultural Education and Research Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Manandhar, K.L. (1975). Second supplementary list of plant disease in Nepal. *FAO Bulletin technical Document* No. 97.
- Manandhar, K.L. (1976). Preliminary studies of cob rot disease of maize in Nepal. *Nepalese Journal of Agriculture*, 6, 11.
- Manandhar, K.L. (1980). Review on maize diseases investigation from 1975-1980. In: Proceedings of the 8th Summer Crops Workshop held at 25-30 January 1980. National Maize Development Program, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Manandhar, K.L. (1981). Review of maize disease investigation from 1976-1980. In: Proceedings of 8th summer crop workshop held in 25-30 January 1980 at National Maize Development Program, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Manandhar, K.L. (1983). Investigation of maize diseases in Nepal - I: Identification and prevalence. *Journal of Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science*, 4, 45-56.
- Manandhar, K.L., & Gurung, G. (1982). Report on the screening of maize varieties against stalk rot, cob rot and common rust of maize. Pp. 107-114. In: Proceedings of the 9th Summer Crops Workshops HMG, Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Irrigation, Department of Agriculture held in 25-29 January, 1982 at Parwanipur Agriculture Station, Parwanipur, Bara, Nepal.
- MOAD. (2014). *Statistical information on Nepalese agriculture 2070/71*. Agri-business Promotion and Statistics Division. Ministry of Agriculture Development, Kathmandu, Nepal.

- Mochizuki, N. (1975). Inheritance of host resistance to downy mildew disease of maize. *Trap. Agric. Res.* 8, 179-193.
- NMRP. (2010). *Annual Report 2066/67 (2009/10)*. National Maize Research Program, NARC, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- NMRP. (2011). *Annual Report 2067/68 (2010/11)*. National Maize Research Program, NARC, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- NMRP. (2012). *Annual Report 2068/69 (2011/12)*. National Maize Research Program, NARC, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- NMRP. (2013). *Annual Report 2069/70 (2012/13)*. National Maize Research Program, NARC, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- NMRP. (2014). *Annual Report 2070/71 (2013/14)*. National Maize Research Program, NARC, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Paudel, D.C., & Koirala, K.B. (1995). Pathological report on breeding trial and nurseries (1992-1994). 15p. In: Proceedings of the 18th Summer Crops Workshop held in 1-2 March 1995 at National Maize Research Program, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.
- Paudel, D.C., Rijal, T.R., & Tripathi, N. (2007). Tolerance and resistance of maize genotypes against southern leaf blight disease. Pp. 256-262. In: D.B. Gurung, D.C. Paudel, G. KC, S.R. Upadhyay & B.B. Pokharel (Eds.). Proceedings of the 25th National Summer Crops Research Workshop on Maize Research and Production in Nepal, held in June 21-23, 2007 at Nepal Agriculture Research Institute, NARC, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.
- Paudel, D.C., Tripathi, N., & Rijal, T.R. (2007). Tolerance and resistance of maize genotypes against northern leaf blight disease. Pp. 247-255. In: D.B. Gurung, D.C. Paudel, G. KC, S.R. Upadhyay & B.B. Pokharel (Eds.). Proceedings of the 25th National Summer Crops Research Workshop on Maize Research and Production in Nepal, held in June 21-23, 2007 at Nepal Agriculture Research Institute, NARC, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.
- Payak, M. M. (1980). Management of graminaceous downy mildews - a blueprint. In: *Strategies for Control of Graminaceous Downy Mildew* (Ed. by R. D. Durbin, R. A. Frederiksen, A. Kelman & B. L. Renfro) pp. 183-191, The Rockefeller Foundation, New York, USA.
- Payak, M. M., & Renfro, B.L. (1974). A decade of research on maize diseases: impact on production and its international cooperative outreach. In: *Current Trends in Plant Pathology* (Ed. by S. P. Rychaudhuri and J. P. Verma) pp. 160--170, Department of Botany, Lucknow University, India.
- Payak, M. M., Sharma, R. C., & Menon, K. (1979). Sorghum downy mildew of maize - physiologic specialization and resistant sources. In: *Revista De La Facultad De Agronomica*, pp. 177-187. Universidad Central De Venezuela, Maracay, Venezuela.
- Payak, M.M. & Renfro, B. L. (1967). A new downy mildew disease of maize. *Phytopathology*, 57, 394-397.
- PPD. (2000). *Annual report 2055/56 (1998/99)*. Plant Pathology Division, Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Lalitpur, Nepal.
- PPD. (2009). *Annual report 2064/65 (2007/08)*. Plant Pathology Division, Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Lalitpur, Nepal.

- Pradhanang, P.M., & Ghimire, S.R. (1996). Fungicides management of maize head smut (*Sphacelotheca reiliana*) by seed treatment. *Tropical Agricultural (Trinidad)*, 73(4), 325-328.
- Renfro, B. L. (1983). The occurrence and control of downy mildew of maize in Asia. *Newell. Int. Working Group Graminicolous Downy Mildews*, 5.
- Rijal, T.R. (2012). Makibalima Lagne Mukhya Mukhya Rogharu Tatha Rokthamka Upayaharu (Main diseases of maize and preventive methodologies). *Hamro Sampada National Monthly*, Kathmandu, Nepal, 4, 48-55.
- Rijal, T.R., Paudel, D.C., & Tripathi, N. (2007). Screening of maize inbreds/hybrids against banded leaf and sheath blight disease. Pp. 268-270. In: D.B. Gurung, D.C. Paudel, G. K.C, S.R. Upadhyay & B.B. Pokharel (Eds.). Proceedings of the 25th National Summer Crops Research Workshop on Maize Research and Production in Nepal, held in June 21-23, 2007 at Nepal Agriculture Research Institute, NARC, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.
- Shah, M. & Tuladhar, K. L. (1971). Downy mildew diseases of maize in Nepal. *Proceedings of 7th Inter Asian Corn Improvement Workshop, Los Banos, Philippines*, pp. 88-94, Inter-Asian Corn Program, Kasetsart University, Bangkok.
- Shah, S.M. (1968). Diseases of maize in Nepal. In: Fifth Inter-Asian corn improvement workshop, Department of agriculture and Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Shah, S.M., & Manandhar, K.L. (1978). A review of corn disease investigation in Nepal (1967-1977). Pp. 144-159. In: Fourth National Maize Development Workshop, HMG, Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Irrigation, Department of Agriculture held in 9-13 July, 1978 at National Maize Development Program, Rampur Nepal.
- Sharma, R. C. & Payak, M. M. (1979). Resistance to common rust of maize in India. *Maize Genetic Cooperation Newsletter* 53, 65-66
- Sharma, R. C. & Payak, M. M. (1983). An overview of virus and virus-like diseases of maize in India. In : *Proc. Int. Maize Virus Disease Colloqu. Workshop. 2-6 Aug. 1982. Ohio State Univ.* (Ed. by D. T. Gordon. J. K. Knoke . L. R. Nault and R. M. Ritter) pp. 186-190. Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center. Wooster. Ohio.
- Sharma, R. C., Payak, M. M., Shankarlingam, S., & Laxminarayan, C. (1982). A comparison of two methods of estimating yield losses in maize caused by common rust of maize. *Indian Phytopathology* 35, 18-20.
- Sharma, R.C., De leon, Carlos., & Payak, M.M. (1993). Diseases of maize in South and South-East Asia: problems and progress. *Crop Protection*, 12(6), 414-422.
- Singburadom, N. & Renfro, B. L. (1982). Heritability of resistance in maize to sorghum downy mildew (*Peronoscleroptora sorghi* (Weston and Uppal) C. G. Shaw). *Crop Prot.* I, 323-332.
- Singh, B.M., & Sharma, Y.R. (1976). Evaluation of maize germplasm to banded disease and assessment of yield loss. *Indian Phytopathology*, 19, 129-132.
- Singh, I. S. & Asnani, V. L. (1975). Combining ability for resistance to brown stripe downy mildew in maize. *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 35, 128-130.
- Tehon, L.R., & Daniels, E.Y. (1925). Notes on the parasitic fungi of Illinois. *Mycologia*, 17, 240-249.

- Thind, B. S. & Payak, M. M. (1972). Antibiotics and bleaching powder in the control of bacterial stalk rot of maize. *Hindustan Antibiotics Bull.* 15, 9-13.
- Titatarn, S. (1976). Report on experiments to control corn downy mildew in Thailand. *Kasetsart J.* 10, 111-115.
- Triharso, T. & Kusdiarti, L. (1976). Recent problems and studies on downy mildew of maize in Indonesia. *Kasetsart J.* 10, 101-105.
- Ullustrup, A.J., (1972). The impacts of the southern corn leaf blight epidemics of 1970/71. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 10, 37-50.
- Uppal, B. N. & Desai, M. K. (1932). Two new hosts of the downy mildew of sorghum in Bombay. *Phytopathology*, 22, 587-594.
- Venugopal, M. N. & Safeeulla, K. M. (1978). Chemical control of the downy mildews of pearl millet, sorghum and maize. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 48, 537-539.
- Xu, M.L., Melchinger, A.E., & Lubberstedt, T. (1999) . Species specific detection of the maize pathogen *Sphacelotheca reiliana* and *Ustilago maydis* by dot hybridization and PCR-based assays. *Plant Disease*, 83 (4), 390-395.
- Zhu, F. C., Chen, Y. T., Zhang, H. F., & Tsai, J. H. (1983) . Identification, transmission, host range and epidemiology of maize dwarf mosaic virus in North-western China. In: *Proc. Int. Maize Virus Disease Colloqu. Workshop. 2-6 Aug 1982. Ohio State Univ.* (Ed. by D. T. Gordon, J. K. Knoke . L. R. Nault and R. M. Ritter). pp. 194-202, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, Wooster. Ohio