Study of the quenched lifetime of an interacting positronium gas.

O. Morandi, P.-A. Hervieux, G. Manfredi

Institut de Physique et Chimie des Matériaux de Strasbourg,

CNRS and University of Strasbourg

23, rue du Loess, F-67034 Strasbourg, France

Abstract

By using a kinetic approach, we study the evolution of a gas composed of interacting ortho- and para-positronium atoms. We calculate the total lifetime of the gas and study the ortho-positronium quenching effect induced by the bi-atomic scattering mechanisms with spin exchange. We analyze a realistic situation where the positronium is formed by highly energetic positrons impinging on a solid surface. In the case of a spin-polarized source of positrons, the spin-polarization time of the final positronium gas is estimated.

I. INTRODUCTION

The positron is the most easily produced kind of antiparticle. At low density and temperature, positrons and electrons bound together and form the atom of positronium (Ps). The ground state of the positronium consists of triplet states with total spin one (ortho-positronium ^{3}Ps) and a singlet state with total spin zero (para-positronium ^{1}Ps). The ortho-positronium and para-positronium states are represented as follows

$$\left.\begin{aligned} |1,1\rangle &= \left|e^-\uparrow, e^+\uparrow\right\rangle \\ |1,0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|e^-\uparrow, e^+\downarrow\right\rangle + \left|e^-\downarrow, e^+\uparrow\right\rangle\right) \\ |1,-1\rangle &= \left|e^-\downarrow, e^+\downarrow\right\rangle \end{aligned}\right\} \quad : {}^3\mathrm{Ps} \tag{1}$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} |0,0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|e^-\uparrow, e^+\downarrow\right\rangle - \left|e^-\downarrow, e^+\uparrow\right\rangle\right) \end{aligned}\right\} \quad : {}^1\mathrm{Ps} \tag{2}$$

On the left-hand side, the two-particle state is described by the angular momentum of the atom $|S,m\rangle$, where $S = 0, 1$ is total angular momentum and $m = -S, 0, S$ is the spin projection along the direction of quantization. On the right-hand side, we indicate the spin components of the two particles that compose the positronium (e^- denotes the electron and e^+ the positron). The total angular momentum of the positronium determines the lifetime and the decay channels of the atom. Ortho-positronium has a lifetime of 142 ns and annihilates into three photons, while para-positronium annihilates in 125 ps by emitting two gamma photons. Ortho-positronium can be quickly turned into para-positronium by using an oscillating magnetic field [1].

Advanced experiments, such as the production of a positronium Bose-Einstein condensate [2] and the measurement of the gravitational acceleration on antimatter [3], may be feasible provided that a dense gas of positronium atoms is cooled at cryogenic temperature and confined into nanometric cavities or diffused in vacuum.

Moreover, the creation of a long-lived confined Ps gas has a direct application to the production of the antihydrogen ($\overline{\mathrm{H}}$) atom [4]. Antihydrogen atoms have been already produced by some international collaborations [5, 6]. The method employed consisted in trapping together positrons and antiprotons. Alternatively, cold antihydrogen atoms can be obtained by the charge exchange reaction $\overline{p} + {}^3\mathrm{Ps}^* \to \overline{\mathrm{H}} + e^-$, where $\overline{p}$ denotes the antiproton and the star indicates an excited ^{3}Ps state (Rydberg positronium) [7].

One of the most developed techniques for the production of trapped and free Ps (either in the ground- or in an excited state [8]) consists in implanting positrons with energies of a few keV in a porous material (typically silica) [9]. At the distance of roughly 100 nm from the external surface of the material, the particle motion becomes diffusive and the positrons are likely to capture an electron from the solid and form the atom of positronium. The positronium atoms are easily trapped inside solids that contain pores or cavities. The gas that is formed is made of a statistical mixture of orhto- and para- positronium atoms. The lifetime of the positronium produced in the solid can be probed by detecting the gamma-ray radiation produced by the electron-positron annihilation. In such a way, it is possible to measure the density of the different atomic populations that compose the gas. Typically, such a spectrum contains several exponentially decaying components: a short-lived component (particle lifetime inferior to 1 ns) due to para-positronium and free positrons, a component due to o-Ps annihilating in the bulk (lifetime of a few ns), and a long-lived component produced by the o-Ps annihilation in the pore (lifetime up to 140 ns). The measurement of the positronium lifetime in a cavity has been performed in [10]. In [11], a Monte Carlo method is employed for the estimation of the lifetime of a single positronium atom trapped in a spherical cavity.

The technique of positron implantation in materials containing cavities or defects for the production of free positronium dates back to the seventies, when Brandt and Paulin observed the diffusion in free space of the ortho-positronium formed in fine oxide powders [12]. Similar experiments proved that in various porous and mesoporous materials, such as silica aerogels and porous glasses, a significant fraction of ortho-positronium formed inside the material is trapped in the pores (see e. g. [13, 14] and, for more recent achievements [15–19]). In such materials, the size of the pores spans from a few to hundreds of nanometers. In particular, porous silica and silica aerogels are particularly efficient for the formation of positronium (more than 50% of implanted positrons are able to capture one electron of the silica and form a positronium atom) [20]. Films of porous silica have been employed for the creation of excited atomic states, the di-positronium molecule [21], and the detection of the quenched lifetime [21–23]. After the injection, the positronium gas is cooled by the collisions of the atoms with the pore surface and, in the presence of interconnected porosities, it may escape into the vacuum [9, 25].

Positronium quenching effect. The injection of an intense positron pulse into a porous

material could led to the creation of a high density gas of confined positronium atoms that interact with each other [22]. One of the major challenges in the experimental study of the positronium is the necessity to perform all the operations into the short lifetime of this metastable atom. In positronium gases sufficiently dense, the Ps-Ps interaction could cause an additional decreasing of the total lifetime of the gas. In particular, various interaction channels cause the conversion of long-lived triplet atoms into singlet states that are quickly removed from the system. The reduction of the ortho-positronium lifetime due to the creation of short-lived states by bi-atomic collisions, is denoted as the positronium quenching mechanism.

According to Eqs. (1)-(2), the low energy positronium states are characterized by the relative spin configuration of the positron and the electron. Consequently, by controlling the spin polarization of the particles, it is possible to modify the densities of the positronium populations. One practical advantage of using a spin polarized positronium gas is that, when the gas is fully polarized, all the atomic conversion processes are suppressed. In this case, the only remaining interaction channel is the bi-atomic hard sphere collision. As a consequence, the ortho-positronium lifetime is no longer limited by the quenching processes. However, in the experiments where the positronium is created via the implantation of positrons, the positrons are usually unpolarized. In this case, the four lowest energy levels of the positronium, the singlet and the three triplet states, are created with equal probability. As an alternative, a spin polarized gas of positronium can be created by employing some radioactive materials (typically sodium) that are a natural source of high-energy spin-polarized positrons. The polarization of the positrons can be maintained during all the process of the positronium formation. However, also in this case, the initial spin polarization of the positronium gas cannot exceed 30 %. Once a statistical mixture of spin-up and spin-down atoms of positronium is formed, several reactions start to modify the composition of the gas. The most important process is the suppression, by annihilation, of the minority spin atoms with the same amount of atoms with opposite spin. As a result, the gas becomes fully spin-polarized.

By using this technique, Cassidy et all. ware able to produce a fully polarized gas of orthopositronium in a porous material [26]. Specifically, a highly spin polarized ortho-positronium gas (96 %) was formed in a silica pore in less than 50 ns after implantation.

In this contribution, we simulate the modification of the chemical composition of a gas

containing a mixture of singlet and triplet populations, due to the bi-atomic collision processes. The Ps gas dynamics is modeled by using the Boltzmann approach which is particularly adapted to describe the chemical reactions in interacting particle systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the mathematical model that we use for the simulation of a gas of interacting positronium atoms. We include all the relevant chemical reactions that convert one positronium population into another, with consequent modification of the total lifetime of the gas. Some details concerning our numerical discretization method are discussed. The results of our simulations are presented in Sec. III. In order to analyze the spin quenching effects, we consider different positronium gases, where the initial density and polarization are varied. In Sec. IV we draw our conclusions.

II. PS-PS INTERACTION: MATHEMATICAL MODEL

In this section, we study the spin polarization of a gas of interacting positronium atoms. In particular, we focus on the quenching of the positronium (γ-annihilation) enhanced by the Ps-Ps mutual spin conversion.

We consider the evolution of a gas constituted by a mixture of triplet and singlet positronium states. The particle dynamics is treated at the classical level. The various populations of positronium are described by a set of classical distribution functions denoted by $f_{1,1}$ $f_{1,0}$ $f_{1,-1}$ for the ortho-positronium, and $f_{0,0}$ for the para-positronium.

The atomic collision processes, with consequent modification of the spin configuration, are described by a kinetic approach. Kinetic models based on the Boltzmann equation have been used by various authors for the description of the evolution of boson gases in various regimes [27–29]. In the kinetic formalism, the evolution of the i-th Ps population of the gas f_i is given by the Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} =& c\sum_{j,r,s}\int \left[\left(1+f_i(\mathbf{p})\right)\left(1+f_j(\mathbf{p}_1)\right) f_r(\mathbf{p}_2) f_s(\mathbf{p}_3) - f_i(\mathbf{p}) f_j(\mathbf{p}_1)\left(1+f_r(\mathbf{p}_2)\right)\left(1+f_s(\mathbf{p}_3)\right)\right] \\ & \times \sigma_{i,j\to r,s}\delta\left[E(\mathbf{p})+E(\mathbf{p}_1)-E(\mathbf{p}_2)-E(\mathbf{p}_3)\right]\delta\left(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{p}_2-\mathbf{p}_3\right)\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_1\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_2\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_3 \\ & - \frac{f_i}{\tau_i}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Here, $c = \frac{2}{\pi\hbar^3 m^3}$, τ_i is the lifetime if the i-th population, m denotes the mass of the positronium and $E(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}$. The balance equation (3) describes the bi-atomic scattering processes

where two atoms (i and j in the formula) undergo a short-range, hard-sphere collision. As a result, the atoms r and s are generated. The factors $1 + f$ in the formula take into account the bosonic nature of the positronium. Finally, the presence in the integral of the two Dirac delta distributions ensures the conservation of the total energy and momentum during each scattering event. The scattering cross section $\sigma_{i,j\to r,s}$ gives the strength of the interaction. The theoretical study of the low-energy diffusion processes of two Ps atoms has been performed by various authors [30–33]. In our code, we include all the relevant two-body Ps-Ps interactions, which are listed in Table I (a clear synthesis of these processes can be found in [34]). Here, we refer to the calculations performed by Ivanov et al. [35]. In particular, we used the following values for the scattering lengths $A_0 = 8.44\ a_0 = 0.44$ nm, $A_1 = 3\ a_0 = 0.15$ nm, where $a_0 = 5.29 \times 10^{-2}$ nm is the Bohr radius. We distinguish three groups of reactions. The scattering processes that map the $S = 1$ and $S = 0$ manyfolds into themselves (i.e. collisions that involve only triplet or singlet states) and the processes that transform para-positronium into ortho-positronium (and viceversa). In particular, the reactions that belong to the first group do not change the lifetime of the positronium.

In our model, we do not include the reactions that lead to the formation of the di-positronium molecule Ps_2. The creation of the Ps_2 is a three-body process. It was proved by Cassidy and Mills that it is possible to produce Ps_2 by the simple diffusion of positrons inside a porus silica sample [21]. They gave strong evidence that, rather than by the collisions among three positronium atoms, the Ps_2 molecule is formed by two-body collisions in the presence of a surface state that plays the role of the third body (so that the conservation of the total momentum can be fulfilled). They considered a very particular structure where the silica sample contains regular arrays of small interconnected pores (4 nm radius) that form a series of cylinders with axis orthogonal to the external surface of the material. Here, we consider a more conventional sample containing a disordered structure of large cavities. Under such a condition, the formation of the Ps_2 molecule is suppressed.

We consider a gas of positronium obtained from a highly energetic beam of collimated positrons impinging on a porous silica sample. We assume that the Ps atoms are principally formed in proximity of interstitial defects or cavities. The value of the mean emission energy E_0 of the positronium atoms determines the initial state of the gas. Experimental findings, corroborated by the theoretical model developed by Nagashima et al [19], lead to the estimate $E_0 \simeq 0.6$ eV (or at least in the range between 0.5 and 3 eV). This value seems

to be quite independent from the initial kinetic energy of the positron beam. Accordingly, we assume that the initial particle distribution f_i that describes the injection of the atoms in the material, is given by a shifted Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

$$f_i(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{n_i}{n_c} e^{-\frac{1}{\Delta_E}\frac{(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_0)^2}{2m}} \ , \tag{4}$$

where

$$n_c \equiv \left(\frac{\Delta_E}{4\pi}\right)^{3/2}$$

Chem. Reaction	Cross section	Numerical value
	o-Ps $\leftrightarrow$ o-Ps	
$\|1,0\rangle \cdot \|1,1\rangle \rightarrow \|1,0\rangle \cdot \|1,1\rangle$	$\sigma_{10,11\rightarrow 10,11} = \sigma_{10,1-1\rightarrow 10,1-1}$	$8\pi A_1^2$
$\|1,0\rangle \cdot \|1,0\rangle \rightarrow \|1,0\rangle \cdot \|1,0\rangle$	$\sigma_{10,10\rightarrow 10,10}$	$2\pi(\frac{A_0}{2} + \frac{3A_1}{2})^2$
$\|1,0\rangle \cdot \|1,0\rangle \rightarrow \|1,1\rangle \cdot \|1,-1\rangle$	$\sigma_{10,10\rightarrow 11,1-1} = \sigma_{11,1-1\rightarrow 10,10}$	$\pi(A_0 - A_1)^2$
$\|1,1\rangle \cdot \|1,-1\rangle \rightarrow \|1,1\rangle \cdot \|1,-1\rangle$	$\sigma_{11,1-1\rightarrow 11,1-1}$	$2\pi(A_0 + A_1)^2$
$\|1,1\rangle \cdot \|1,1\rangle \rightarrow \|1,1\rangle \cdot \|1,1\rangle$	$\sigma_{11,11\rightarrow 11,11} = \sigma_{1-1,1-1\rightarrow 1-1,1-1}$	$8\pi A_1^2$
	o-Ps $\leftrightarrow$ p-Ps	
$\|1,0\rangle \cdot \|1,0\rangle \rightarrow \|0,0\rangle \cdot \|0,0\rangle$	$\sigma_{10,10\rightarrow 00,00}$	$\pi\frac{1}{2}(A_0 - A_1)^2$
$\|1,1\rangle \cdot \|1,-1\rangle \rightarrow \|0,0\rangle \cdot \|0,0\rangle$	$\sigma_{11,1-1\rightarrow 00,00}$	$\pi(A_0 - A_1)^2$
	p-Ps $\leftrightarrow$ p-Ps	
$\|0,0\rangle \cdot \|0,0\rangle \rightarrow \|0,0\rangle \cdot \|0,0\rangle$	$\sigma_{00,00\rightarrow 00,00}$	$\frac{1}{2}\pi(A_0 - 3A_1)^2$

Table I. Bi-atomic collision processes included in our model. The left column describes the two-body chemical reactions in terms of the incoming and outcoming atomic configurations. The cross sections are given in the middle column, and in the right column we indicate their numerical value (taken from Ref. [35]).

and n_i is the initial particle density of the $i-$th population. In Eq. (4), Δ_E is the variance of the atomic energy distribution around the mean value E_0 (in our simulations we take $\Delta_E = 0.1$ eV). Equation (4) represents a highly out-of-equilibrium distribution of particles. The two-particle hard-sphere interactions cause a rapid redistribution of the energy among the particles. As a result, after few scattering events, the gas of positronium is well described by a Bose-Einstein distribution [36].

We now calculate the initial composition of the positronium gas. We consider a spin polarized source of positrons. We denote by P the initial polarization of the positrons injected in the solid

$$P = \frac{p_\uparrow - p_\downarrow}{p_\uparrow + p_\downarrow} = q_+ - q_- \ , \tag{5}$$

where $p_\uparrow$, $p_\downarrow$ are, respectively, the number of positrons with spin up and spin down and $q_+ = \frac{p_\uparrow}{p_\uparrow + p_\downarrow} = \frac{1+P}{2}$ ($q_- = \frac{p_\downarrow}{p_\uparrow + p_\downarrow} = \frac{1-P}{2}$) denotes the fraction of spin-up (spin-down) positrons. The electrons in the target are unpolarized. Consequently, the positronium gas formed in the sample has the following composition:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{q_+}{2}\left(\left|e^-\uparrow, e^+\uparrow\right\rangle + \left|e^-\downarrow, e^+\uparrow\right\rangle\right) + \frac{q_-}{2}\left(\left|e^-\uparrow, e^+\downarrow\right\rangle + \left|e^-\downarrow, e^+\downarrow\right\rangle\right) = \\
&\frac{q_+}{2}\left|1,1\right\rangle + \frac{q_+}{4}(\left|1,0\right\rangle + \left|0,0\right\rangle) + \frac{q_-}{4}(\left|1,0\right\rangle + \left|0,0\right\rangle) + \frac{q_-}{2}\left|1,-1\right\rangle = \\
&\frac{q_+}{2}\left|1,1\right\rangle + \frac{1}{4}(\left|1,0\right\rangle + \left|0,0\right\rangle) + \frac{q_-}{2}\left|1,-1\right\rangle = \\
&\underbrace{\frac{1+P}{4}\left|1,1\right\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\left|1,0\right\rangle + \frac{1-P}{4}\left|1,-1\right\rangle}_{^3\mathrm{Ps}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}\left|0,0\right\rangle}_{^1\mathrm{Ps}}
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where we used Eqs. (1)-(2) and $q_+ + q_- = 1$. According to Eq. (6), the initial densities of the various populations are

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{11} &= \frac{1+P}{4} n_{ini} \\
n_{10} &= \frac{1}{4} n_{ini} \\
n_{1-1} &= \frac{1-P}{4} n_{ini} \\
n_{00} &= \frac{1}{4} n_{ini} \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where n_{ini} denotes the total initial density of the positronium. The particle densities are obtained by taking the zeroth-order moment of the distribution function

$$n_i = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int f_i(\mathbf{p})\ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}; \quad i = (11), (10), (1-1), (00) \ . \tag{7}$$

We consider a uniform gas. From the numerical point of view, it is convenient to change the dynamical variable of Eq. (3) and to express the atomic distribution function in terms of the energy $E = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}$. In order to give more details on the numerical discretization procedure that we adopted in our code, let us consider the scattering kernel between two particles of the same kind (the other cases are treated in the same way)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}[f](\mathbf{p}_1) \equiv & g^2 \int \left[(1+f_1)(1+f_2) f_3 f_4 - f_1 f_2 (1+f_3)(1+f_4)\right] \\ & \times \delta(E_1 + E_2 - E_3 - E_4)\, \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_4)\ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_2\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_3\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_4\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $g^2 = c\sigma_{i,i\to i,i}$ is the scattering rate of the process, f is the atomic distribution function and we introduced the shorthand notations $f_i \equiv f(\mathbf{p}_i)$, $E_i \equiv E(\mathbf{p}_i)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}[f](\mathbf{p}_1) = & \frac{g^2}{(2m)^2 4\pi\varepsilon_1} \int \mathrm{d}\varepsilon_2\, \mathrm{d}\varepsilon_3\, \mathrm{d}\varepsilon_4 \delta(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) \\ & \times \left[(1+h_1)(1+h_2) h_3 h_4 - h_1 h_2 (1+h_3)(1+h_4)\right] \\ & \times \int \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_4)\, \delta(E_1 - \varepsilon_1)\delta(E_2 - \varepsilon_2)\delta(E_3 - \varepsilon_3)\delta(E_4 - \varepsilon_4)\ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_1\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_2\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_3\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_4\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where we defined $h_i = f(\sqrt{2mE_i})$ and we made the substitutions $\mathbf{p}_2 \to -\mathbf{p}_2$, $\mathbf{p}_3 \to -\mathbf{p}_3$. Furthermore, we used the expression

$$h(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{(2m)^2 4\pi} \int \frac{f(|\mathbf{p}|)}{\varepsilon} \delta\left(\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}\right)\ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}\,. \tag{10}$$

The last integral in Eq. (9) can be evaluated by writing the Dirac delta in the Fourier space. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{(\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{p}_2+\mathbf{p}_3+\mathbf{p}_4)\cdot\boldsymbol{\eta}} \delta(E_1 - \varepsilon_1)\delta(E_2 - \varepsilon_2)\delta(E_3 - \varepsilon_3)\delta(E_4 - \varepsilon_4)\ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_1\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_2\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_3\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_4\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\eta} = \\ & 2\pi(4m)^4 \int \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\sin(|\eta| p_i)}{|\eta|^4}\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\eta} = (2\pi)^3 m^4 \left[\max(|p_1 - p_3|, |p_2 - p_4|) - \min(p_1 + p_3, p_2 + p_4)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here, $p_i = \sqrt{2m\varepsilon_i}$. A similar expression for the numerical discretization of the boson scattering kernel has been obtained by Snoke with a different approach [37]. Finally, Eq.

(8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}[f](\varepsilon_1) =& \frac{(2\pi)^3 m^4 g^2}{(2m)^2 4\pi\varepsilon_1} \int \mathrm{d}\varepsilon_2\, \mathrm{d}\varepsilon_3\, \mathrm{d}\varepsilon_4 \left[(1+h_1)(1+h_2)h_3h_4 - h_1h_2(1+h_3)(1+h_4)\right] \\ & \times \left[\max(|p_1-p_3|, |p_2-p_4|) - \min(p_1+p_3, p_2+p_4)\right] \delta(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4) \\ =& \frac{(2\pi)^3 m^4 g^2}{(2m)^2 4\pi\varepsilon_1} \sqrt{2m} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\varepsilon_2 \int_0^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2} \mathrm{d}\varepsilon_3 \left[(1+h_1)(1+h_2)h_3h_4 - h_1h_2(1+h_3)(1+h_4)\right] \\ & \times \left[\max(|\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_3}|, \left|\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3}\right|) - \min(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_3}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3})\right]\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We simulate the evolution of the singlet and triplet components of the Ps gas, for different gas densities. In particular, we compare the gas lifetime for the case of an unpolarized and a spin-polarized gas. First, we consider a gas of positronium without spin-polarization ($P = 0$). In this case, all the positronium states have the same initial density ($n_i = n_{ini}/4$ for all i). We fix the total initial Ps density $n_{ini} = 10^{-5}$ nm^{-3}. The results of the calculations are shown in Fig. 1. The transformation of the ortho-positronium into para-positronium is quite efficient. The positronium is rapidly quenched by the two-body collision processes. The simulation shows that the ortho-positronium populations decay on a similar time scale (around 5-10 ns), while the para-positronium component decays faster (the decay time is



Figure 1. Quenching of Ps. Evolution of the density of the triplet $|1,1\rangle$, $|1,0\rangle$ (blue), and $|1,-1\rangle$ (green) populations. In the inset we depict the singlet state population.

around 200 ps). After 30 ns, which is about 5 times smaller than the free ortho-positronium lifetime, the density of the gas is almost zero.

Next, we compute the evolution of a partially spin-polarized gas, and we focus in particular on the time necessary to obtain a fully spin-polarized gas. We set the initial polarization $P = 30\%$. Our results can be compared with the experiments described in [26]. The authors observe the almost-complete spin polarization of the gas in a time shorter than 50 ns. In the left panel of Fig. 2, we depict the evolution of the gas populations (according to [26], we set the atomic density $n_{ini} = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ nm^{-3}). After 0.5 ns, the density of para-positronium is almost zero (inset in the left panel of Fig. 2). The triplet states decay with a time scale between 8 and 15 ns, and after around 20 ns, a single atomic population ($|1,1\rangle$) is present. As it can be seen from Eq. (1), in the $|1,1\rangle$ state the electron and the positron have the same spin direction. When two $|1,1\rangle$ atoms collide, the conservation of the total angular momentum prevents the creation of the other Ps species. In this way, a fully spin-polarized system is no longer affected by the spin quenching effects. In the right panel of Fig. 2, we depict the polarization curve of the positronium gas. In agreement with the experimental results described in [26], our simulations show that the time necessary to reach the complete polarization of Ps is around 30 ns.



Figure 2. Left panel: quenching of Ps. Evolution of the triplet $|1,1\rangle$ (blue), $|1,0\rangle$ (green), $|1,-1\rangle$ (red) and singlet $|0,0\rangle$ (inset) populations. Right panel: time evolution of the spin polarization P of the positronium gas.



Figure 3. Left panel: Positronium quenching process. Evolution of the triplet $|1,1\rangle$ (blue), $|1,0\rangle$ (green), $|1,-1\rangle$ (red) and singlet $|0,0\rangle$ (cyran) populations. Right panel: Time evolution of the spin polarization P.

In Fig. 3 we display the evolution of a gas with higher density (initial positronium density equal to 7×10^{-4} nm^{-3}) and with the same positron polarization as in the previous case ($P = 30\%$). In the left panel, we depict the time evolution of the singlet and triplet states. Also in this case, the spin-exchange scattering reactions cause the quenching of the ortho-positronium until only the majority spin component remains in the gas. After around 1 ns, the density drops to 10^{-4} nm^{-3} and the gas is formed only of the triplet state $|1,1\rangle$. In particular, the decay rate of the short-lived para-positronium state is nearly the of what was found in the case depicted in Fig. 2. This trend is due to the different production rate of para-positronium by the Ps-Ps collisions. Since the atomic collision rate increases with increasing density, when the density of the gas is large, the para-positronium is efficiently produced. This explains the fast decay of all the ortho-positronium populations during the first nanosecond ($n_{ini} = 7 \times 10^{-4}$ nm^{-3}).

The spin polarization P of the gas is depicted in the right panel of Fig. 3. By comparison with the simulation depicted in Fig. 2, we see that in this case, the polarization process is nearly 20 times faster. We also investigated how the spin polarization time depends on the positronium density. In Fig. 4 we depict the time at which the gas reaches 95 % of polarization. When the gas density increases, the probability that two atoms collide and thus that the para-positronium is formed, increases. The behavior of the polarization time



Figure 4. Time necessary to reach 95% of spin polarization as a function of the positronium density. The initial spin polarization $P = 30\%$.

can be interpreted in terms of the bi-atomic collision time τ_c. As a simple estimation for τ_c, we have $\tau_c = \frac{v}{\lambda}$, where $v = \sqrt{2\frac{E}{m}}$ is he mean particle velocity, E is the atomic energy, and λ is the mean free path between two consecutive Ps-Ps collisions. λ can be estimated by the following formula $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma n}$, where σ is the cross section of the dominant interaction channel and n the gas density [38]. We estimate the characteristic time of the Ps spin polarization by considering the rate at which the ortho-positronium is converted into para-positronium. Our numerical results indicate that the dominant scattering channels that lead to the spin polarization of the gas and to the annihilation of the ortho-Ps, are $|1,1\rangle + |1,-1\rangle \to |0,0\rangle$ and $|1,0\rangle + |1,0\rangle \to |0,0\rangle$. According to Tab. I, we see that the cross section for these processes is $\sigma \simeq 27\ \pi a_0^2$. We obtain

$$\tau_c = \frac{1}{2\sigma n}\sqrt{\frac{m}{E}} = \frac{20}{n}\ \text{fs}, \tag{13}$$

where the density in expressed in nm^{-3} and we set $E = 0.1$ eV. When the gas density is higher than 10^{-4} nm^{-3} the collision time is shorter than the p-Ps lifetime. In this regime, the p-Ps is efficiently produced and the polarization rate is limited by the annihilation rate of the p-Ps. On the contrary, when the gas density is lower than 10^{-4} nm^{-3}, the probability that two atoms collide, and thus that the p-Ps is formed, is smaller than the probability that the p-Ps annihilates. For this reason, the particle annihilations rate becomes proportional to the collision frequency τ_c^{-1}. This two-scale behavior is clearly displayed in Fig. 4.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we analyzed the population dynamics of a positronium gas produced by implantation of high energy spin-polarized positrons in a porus solid. The atomic evolution was simulated by a kinetic Boltzmann equation and all the relevant two-body interactions were included in our calculations. In particular, we focused on the impact of the spin quenching mechanisms on the total lifetime of the gas. The theoretical study revealed that when the positronium density is sufficiently high and the gas is spin-polarized, the minority spin component of the gas is rapidly suppressed by annihilation with the same amount of atoms polarized in the opposite direction. As a result, the gas becomes fully polarized before the complete annihilation of the positronium. Furthermore, we showed that the decay rates of the ortho-positronium populations are sensitive to the value of the gas density. For a high-density gas, the decay rate of the o-Ps populations is close to the p-Ps decay time. In particular, for a density of the order of 10^{-3} nm^{-3}, this process (elimination of the minority component) requires around 1 ns. This time scale is rather short if compared with the ortho-positronium lifetime.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (contract ANR-10-BLAN-0420).

[1] A. P. Mills, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 192, 415 (2002).

[2] P. M. Platzman and A. P. Mills, Phys. Rev. B 49, 454 (1994).

[3] P. Debu et al., Hyperfine Interact 212, 51 (2012).

[4] P. Perez and A. Rosowsky, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 545, 20 (2005).

[5] M. Amoretti, et al., Nature 419, 456 (2002).

[6] G. Gabrielse, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 073401 (2004).

[7] C. H. Storry, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 263401 (2004).

[8] D. B. Cassidy, T. H. Hisakado, H. W. K. Tom A. P. Mills, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 043401 (2012).

[9] D. W. Gidley , et al., *New Directions in Antimatter Chemistry and Physics*, edited by C. M. Surko and F. A. Gianturco (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht), (2001).

[10] D. W. Gidley, W. E. Frieze, T. L. Dull, A. F. Yee, E. T. Ryan and H.-M. Ho, Phys. Rev. B **60**, R5157 (1999).

[11] L. Larrimore, P. N. McFarland, P. A. Sterne, A. L. R. Bug, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 10642 (2000).

[12] W. Brandt, and R. Paulin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 193 (1968).

[13] M. B. Perkal, W. A. Walters, J. Chem. Phys. 53, 190 (1970).

[14] S. Y. Chuang, S.J. Tao, J. Chem. Phys. 54, 4902 (1971).

[15] S. Takada, T. Iwata, K. Kawashima, H. Saito, Y. Nagashima, T. Hyodo, Radiat. Phys. Chem. (UK) 58, 781 (2000).

[16] Chang, T., Xu, M., Zeng, X., Phys. Lett. A 126, 189 (1987).

[17] R. F. Kiefl and D. R. Harshman, Phys. Lett. A 98, 447 (1983).

[18] S. Mariazzi, P. Bettotti and R. S. Brusa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 243401 (2010).

[19] Y. Nagashima, M. Kakimoto, T. Hyodo, K. Fujiwara, A. Ichimura, T. Chang, J. Deng, T. Akahane, T. Chiba, K. Suzuki, B. T. A. McKee, A. T. Stewart, Phys. Rev. A 52, 258 (1995).

[20] M. P. Petkov et al., J. Phys. Chem. B 107, 2725 (2003).

[21] D. B. Cassidy and A. P. Mills, Nature 449, 195 (2007).

[22] D. B. Cassidy, S. H. M. Deng, R. G. Greaves, T. Maruo, N. Nishiyama, J. B. Snyder, H. K. M. Tanaka and A. P. Mills, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 195006 (2005).

[23] L. Liszkay, C. Corbel, L. Raboin, J.-P. Boilot, P. Perez, A. Brunet-Bruneau, P. Crivelli, U. Gendotti, A. Rubbia, and T. Ohdaira, Appl. Phys. Lett. 95, 124103 (2009).

[24] Y. Nagashima, T Hyodoy, K Fujiwarayx and A Ichimuraz, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 31, 329 (1998).

[25] C. J. Brinker and G. W. Scherer, *The Physics and Chemistry of Sol-Gel Processing*, (Academic Press, New York), (1990).

[26] D. B. Cassidy, V. E. Meligne and A. P. Mills, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 173401 (2010).

[27] L. Banyai, P. Gartner, O. M. Schmitt, and H. Haug, Phys. Rev. B 61, 8823 (2000).

[28] F. Tassone and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. B 59, 10830 (1999).

[29] C. W. Gardiner, M. D. Lee, R. J. Ballagh, M. J. Davis and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5266 (1998).

[30] S. Chakraborty, A. Basu, A.S. Ghosh, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 221, 112 (2004).

[31] I.A. Ivanov, J. Mitroy, K. Varga, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 063201 (2001).

[32] J. Shumway, D.M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B 63, 165201 (2001).

[33] S. Chakraborty, A.S. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. A 72, 052508 (2005).

[34] H. Saito and T. Hyodo *New directions in antimatter chemistry and physics* edited by C. M. Surko, F Gianturco, Kluwer Academic Publishers (New York), 101 (2001).

[35] I. A. Ivanov, J. Mitroy and K. Varga, Phys. Rev. A. 65, 022704 (2002).

[36] O. Morandi, P-A Hervieux and G. Manfredi, Study of the positronium thermalization in porous materials, submitted to Eur. Phys. J. D.

[37] D. W. Snoke and J. P. Wolfe, Population dynamics of a Bose gas near saturation, Phys. Rev. B 39, 4030-4037 (1989).

[38] S. Chapman and T. G. Cowling, *The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases*, Cambridge University Press, (1990).