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#### Abstract

The paper is a short tutorial on the multiscale differential geometric possibilities of the front-end visual receptive fields, modeled by Gaussian derivative kernels. The paper is written in, and interactive through the use of Mathematica 4, so each statement can be run and modified by the reader on images of choice. The notion of multiscale invariant feature detection is presented in detail, with examples of second, third and fourth order of differentiation.


## 1 Introduction

The front end visual system belongs to the best studied brain areas. Scale-space theory, as pioneered by Iijima in Japan [10,17] and Koenderink [11] has been heavily inspired by the important derivation of the Gaussian kernel and its derivatives as regularized differential operators, and the linear diffusion equation as its generating partial differential equation. To view the front-end visual system as a 'geometryengine' is the inspiration of the current work. Simultaneously, the presented examples of applications of (differential) geometric operations may inspire operational models of the visual system.

Scale-space theory has developed into a serious field [8, 13]. Several comprehensive overview texts have been published in the field [5, 7, 12, 15, 18]. So far, however, this robust mathematical framework has seen impact on the computer vision community, but there is still a gap between the more physiologically, psychologically and psychophysically oriented researchers in the vision community. One reason may be the nontrivial mathematics involved, such as group invariance, differential geometry and tensor analysis.

The last couple of years symbolic computer algebra packages, such as Mathematica, Maple and Matlab, have developed into a very user friendly and high level prototyping environment. Especially Mathematica combines the advantages of symbolic manipulation and processing with an advanced front-end text processor. This paper highlights the use of Mathematica 4.0 as an interactive tutorial toolkit for easy experimenting and exploring front-end vision simulations. The exact code can be used rather then pseudocode. With these high level programming tools most programs can be expressed in very few lines, so it keeps the reader at a highly intuitive but practical level. Mathematica notebooks are portable, and run on any system equivalently. Previous speed limitations are now well overcome. The full (1400 pages) documentation is available online, (see www.wri.com).

### 1.1 Biological Inspiration: Receptive Field Profiles from First Principles

It is well known that the Gaussian kernel, $G(\vec{x}, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)$ as a frontend visual measurement aperture can be uniquely derived in quite a number of ways (for a comprehensive overview see [17]). These include the starting point that lower resolution levels have a higher resolution level as cause ('causality' [11]), or that there is linearity and no preference for location, orientation and size of the aperture ('first principles' [2]). This Gaussian kernel is the Green's function of the linear, isotropic diffusion equation $\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial y^{2}}=L_{x x}+L_{y y}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial s}$, where $s=2 \sigma^{2}$ is the variance. Note that the derivative to scale is here the derivative to $\sigma^{2}$ which also immediately follows from a consideration of the dimensionality of the equation. All partial derivatives of the Gaussian kernel are solutions too of the diffusion equation.

The Gaussian kernel and all of its partial derivatives form a one-parameter family of kernels where the scale $\sigma$ is the free parameter. This is a general feature of the biological visual system: the exploitation of ensembles of aperture functions, which are mathematically modeled by families of kernels for a free parameter, e.g. for all scales, derivative order, orientation, stereo disparity, motion velocity etc.

The Gaussian kernel is the unique kernel that generates no spurious resolution (e.g. the squares so familiar with zooming in on pixels). It is the physical point operator, the Gaussian derivatives are the physical derivative operators, at the scale given by the Gaussian standard deviation.

The receptive fields in the primary visual cortex closely resemble Gaussian derivatives, as was first noticed by Young [19] and Koenderink [11]. These RF's come at a wide range of sizes, and at all orientations.

Below two examples are given of measured receptive field sensitivity profiles of a cortical simple cell (left) and a Lateral Geniculate Nucleus (LGN) center-surround cell, measured by DeAngelis, Ohzawa and Freeman [1], http://totoro.berkeley.edu/.


Through the center-surround structure at the very first level of measurement on the retina the Laplacean of the input image can be seen to be taken. The linear diffusion equation states that this Laplacean is equal to the first derivative to scale: $\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial y^{2}}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial s}$. One conjecture for its presence at this first level of observation
might be that the visual system actually measures $L_{s}$, i.e. the change in signal $\partial L$ when the aperture is changed with $\partial \mathrm{s}$ : at homogeneous areas there is no output, at highly textured areas there is much output. Integrating both sides of $\partial L=\left(L_{x x}+L_{y y}\right) \partial s$ over all scales gives the measured intensity in a robust fashion.

The derivative of the observed (convolved) data $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(L \otimes G)=L \otimes \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}$ shows that differentiation and observation is accomplished in a single step: convolution with a Gaussian derivative kernel. Differentiation is now done by integration, i.e. by the convolution integral.

The Gaussian kernel is the physical analogon of a mathematical point, the Gaussian derivative kernels are the physical analogons of the mathematical differential operators. Equivalence is reached for the limit when the scale of the Gaussian goes to zero: $\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} G(x, \sigma)=\delta(x)$, where is the Dirac delta function, and $\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \otimes G(x, \sigma)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Any differention blurs the data somewhat, with the amount of the scale of the differential operator. There is no way out this increase of the inner scale, we can only try to minimize the effect. The Gaussian kernel has by definition a strong regularizing effect $[16,12]$.

## 2 Multiscale Derivatives

It is essential to work with descriptions that are independent of the choice of coordinates. Entities that do not change under a group of coordinate transformations are called invariants under that particular group. The only geometrical entities that make physically sense are invariants. In the words of Hermann Weyl: any invariant has a specific geometric meaning. In this paper we only study orthogonal and affine invariants. We first build the operators in Mathematica:

The function gDf[im,nx, ny, $\sigma$ ] implements the convolution of the image with the Gaussian derivative for 2D data in the Fourier domain. This is an exact function, no approximations other then the finite periodic window in both domains. Variables: im $=2 \mathrm{D}$ image (as a list structure), $\mathbf{n x}, \mathbf{n y}=$ order of differentiation to $x$ resp. $y, \boldsymbol{\sigma}=$ scale of the kernel, in pixels.

```
gDf[im_, nx_, ny_, \(\left.\sigma_{-}\right]:=\)Module[\{xres, yres, gdkernel\},
    \{yres, xres\} = Dimensions[im];
    gdkernel \(=\mathrm{N}[\) Table[Evaluate[
    \(\left.\mathrm{D}\left[1 /\left(2 \mathrm{Pi} \sigma^{2}\right) \operatorname{Exp}\left[-\left(\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}\right) /\left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)\right)\right],\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{nx}\},\{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{ny}\}\right]\right]\),
    \(\{y,-(\) yres-1) / 2 , (yres-1) /2\}, \(\{x,-(x r e s-1) / 2\), (xres-1)/2\}]];
    Chop [N[Sqrt[xres yres] InverseFourier[Fourier[im]
    Fourier[RotateLeft[gdkernel, \{yres/2, xres/2\}]]]]]];
```

This function is rather slow, but is exact. A much faster implementation exploits the separability of the Gaussian kernel, and this implementation is used in the sequel:

```
gD[im_,nx_, ny_, \sigma_] := Module[{x,y,kx,ky,tmp},
    kx ={N[Table[Evaluate[D[(Exp[-(x'/(2\mp@subsup{\sigma}{}{2}))])/
(\sigma Sqrt[2\pi]),{x,nx}]],{x,-4\sigma, 4\sigma}]]};
```



```
(\sigma Sqrt[2\pi]), {y, ny}]], {y, -4\sigma, 4\sigma}]]};
tmp = ListConvolve[kx, im, Ceiling[Dimensions[kx]/2]];
Transpose[ListConvolve[ky,
Transpose[tmp], Reverse[Ceiling[Dimensions[ky]/2]]]]];
```

An example is the gradient $\sqrt{L_{x}{ }^{2}+L_{y}{ }^{2}}$ at a range of scales:

```
im = Import["mr128.gif"][[1,1]];
p1 = Table[grad = Sqrt[gD[im, 1,0,\sigma] ' + gD[im,0,1,\sigma] '];
{ListDensityPlot[grad,PlotRange->{0, 40}, DisplayFunction }
Identity],
    ListDensityPlot[\sigma grad, PlotRange }->{0, 40}
DisplayFunction }->\mathrm{ Identity]}, {0, 1, 5}];
Show[GraphicsArray[Transpose[p1]]];
```


## 3 Gauge Coordinates

In order to establish differential geometric properties it is easiest to exploit intrinsic geometry. I.e. that we define a new coordinate frame for our geometric explorations which is related to the local isophote structure, so it is different in every different point. A straightforward definition of a new local coordinate frame in 2D is where we cancel the degree of freedom of rotation by defining gauge coordinates: we locally 'fix the gauge'.


Fig. 2. Top row: Gradient of a sagittal MR image at scales 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 pixels. Lower row: same scales, gradient in natural dimensionless coordinates, i.e. $x^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{x} / \sigma$, so $\partial / \partial x^{\prime} \mapsto \sigma \partial / \partial x$. This leaves the intensity range of differential features more in a similar output range due to scale invariance. Resolution $128^{2}$.

The 2D unit vector frame of gauge coordinates $\{v, w\}$ is defined as follows: $v$ is the unit vector in the direction tangential to the isophote, so $L_{v} \equiv 0, w$ is defined perpendicular to $v$, i.e. in the direction of the intensity gradient.

The derivatives to $v$ and $w$ are by definition features that are invariant under orthogonal transformations, i.e. rotation and translation. To apply these gauge derivative operators on images, we have to convert to the Cartesian $\{x, y\}$ domain. The derivatives to $v$ and $w$ are defined as:

$$
\partial_{v}=\frac{-L_{y} \partial_{x}+L_{x} \partial_{y}}{\sqrt{L_{x}{ }^{2}+L_{y}^{2}}}=L_{i} \varepsilon_{i j} \partial_{j} \quad ; \quad \partial_{w}=\frac{L_{x} \partial_{x}+L_{y} \partial_{y}}{\sqrt{L_{x}^{2}+L_{y}^{2}}}=L_{i} \delta_{i j} \partial_{j}
$$

The second formulation uses tensor notation, where the indices $i, j$ stand for the range of dimensions. $\delta_{i j}$ is the nabla operator, $\delta_{i j}$ and $\varepsilon_{i j}$ are the symmetric Kronecker tensor and the antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor respectively (in 2D). The definitions above are easily accomplished in Mathematica:

```
\delta = IdentityMatrix[2]
\varepsilon = Table[Signature[{i,j}],{j,2},{i, 2}]
jacobean = Sqrt[Lx^2 + Ly^2];
dv = 1/jacobean*{Lx,Ly}.\varepsilon.{D[#1,x],D[#1,y]}&
dw = 1/jacobean*{Lx,Ly}.\delta.{D[#1,x],D[#1,y]}&
```

The notation (. . .\#) \& is a 'pure function' on the argument \#, e.g. D[\#, $\mathbf{x}] \&$ takes the derivative. Now we can calculate any derivative to $v$ or $w$ by applying the operator $d w$ or $d v$ repeatedly. Note that the $L x$ and $L y$ are constant terms.

```
rule1 = {Lx }->\mp@subsup{\partial}{x}{}L[x,y], Ly -> ( |y L[x,y]}
rule2 = Derivative[n_,m_][L][x,y] -> L <>
    Table[x,{n}] <> Table[y, {m}];
Lw = dw[L[x, y]] /. rule1 /. rule2 // Simplify
\sqrt{Lx'2}{2}L\mp@subsup{y}{}{2}
Lww = dw[dw[L[x, y]]] /. rule1 /. rule2 // Simplify
L\mp@subsup{x}{}{2}Lxx+2LxLxyLy+L\mp@subsup{y}{}{2}Lyy
```

Due to the fixing of the gauge by removing the degree of freedom for rotation, we have an important result: every derivative to $v$ and $w$ is an orthogonal invariant, i.e. an invariant property where translation or rotation of the coordinate frame is irrelevant. It means that polynomial combinations of these gauge derivative terms are invariant. We now have the toolkit to make gauge derivatives to any order.

### 3.1 Examples to $2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ Order

The definitions for the gauge differential operators $\partial_{v}$ and $\partial_{w}$ need to have their regular differential operators be replaced by Gaussian derivative operators. To just show the textual formula, we do not yet evaluate the derivative by using temporarily HoldForm (/ . means 'apply rule'):

```
gauge2D[im_, nv_, nw_, 直]]:= (Nest[dw,Nest[dv,L[x,y],nv],nw]
/. {Lx ->> \partial}\mp@subsup{\partial}{x}{}L[x,y], Ly ->> \partial (L[x,y]})
/. ((Derivative[n_, m_]) [L]) [x,y] ->> HoldForm[gD[im, n, m,
\sigma]] // Simplify)
```


### 3.2 Ridge Detection

$L_{v v}$ is a good ridge detector. Here is the Cartesian (in $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}$ ) expression for $L_{v v}$ :

```
Clear[im,\sigma]; gauge2D[im, 2, 0, 2]
(gd[im,0,2,2] gd[im,1,0,2] 2 - 2 gd[im,0,1,2] gd[im,1,0,2]
gd[im,1,1,2] + gd[im,0,1,2]}\mp@subsup{}{2}{2}\operatorname{gd[im,2,0,2]) /
(gd[im,0,2,2] +}+gd[im,0,2,2\mp@subsup{]}{}{2}
im = Import["hands.gif"][[1, 1]];
Lvv = gauge2D[im, 2, 0, 3] // ReleaseHold;
Block[{$DisplayFunction = Identity},p1 = ListDensityPlot[im];
p2 = ListDensityPlot[Lvv];]; Show[GraphicsArray[{p1, p2}]];
```



Fig. 3. a. Input image: X-ray of hands, resolution 439x138 pixels.

b. Ridge detection with $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{vv}}$, scale 3 pixels. Note the concave and convex ridges.

### 3.3 Affine Invariant Corner Detection

Corners can be defined as locations with high isophote curvature $\kappa$ and high intensity gradient $L_{w^{*}}$. Isophote curvature $\kappa$ is defined as the change $w^{\prime \prime}$ of the tangent vector $w^{\prime}$ in the gauge coordinate system. When we differentiate the definition of the isophote ( $L=$ Constant) to $v$, we find $\kappa=-L v v / L w$ :

```
D[L[v, w[v]] = = Constant, v]; K=
w''[v]/.Solve[D[L[v,w[v]]==Constant,{v,2}]/.w'[v]->0,w''[v]]
- L(0,2)}[\textrm{V},\textrm{w}[\textrm{V}]]/\mp@subsup{L}{}{(1,0)}[\textrm{V},\textrm{w}[\textrm{V}]
```

Blom proposed as corner detector [6]: $\quad \Theta^{[n]}=-\frac{L_{v v}}{L_{w}} L_{w}{ }^{n}=\kappa L_{w}{ }^{n}$. An obvious advantage is invariance under as large a group as possible. Blom calculated $n$ for invariance under the affine transformation $\binom{x^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & y\end{array}\right)$. The derivatives transform as $\binom{\partial / \partial x}{\partial / \partial y} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & c \\ b & d\end{array}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\prime}}\right)$. The corner detectors $\Theta^{[n]}$ transform as $\Theta^{[n]}=$ $(\mathrm{ad}-\mathrm{bc})^{2}\left\{\left(\mathrm{a} \mathrm{L}_{x^{\prime}}+\mathrm{c} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{b} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}+\mathrm{d} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}\right\}^{(n-3) / 2}\left\{2 \mathrm{~L}_{x^{\prime}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}^{\prime}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \mathrm{y}^{\prime}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}^{\prime}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~L}_{x^{\prime} x^{\prime}}-\mathrm{L}_{x^{\prime}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{y}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}}\right\}$.This is a relative affine invariant of order 2 if $n=3$ with the determinant $D=(a d-b c)$ of the affine transformation as order parameter. We consider here special affine transformations ( $D=1$ ).

So a good corner-detector is $\Theta^{[3]}=-\frac{L_{v v}}{L_{w}} L_{w}{ }^{3}=L_{v v} L_{w}{ }^{2}$. This feature has the nice property that is is not singular at locations where the gradient vanishes, and through its affine invariance it detects corners at all 'opening angles'.

```
im = N[Import["utrecht256.gif"][[1, 1]]];
corner1 = (gauge2D[im, 2, 0, 1] \ gauge2D[im, 0, 1, 1]') //
ReleaseHold;
corner2 = (gauge2D[im, 2, 0, 3] \ gauge2D[im, 0, 1, 3]2) //
ReleaseHold; Block[{$DisplayFunction = Identity},
p1 = ListDensityPlot[im]; p2 = ListDensityPlot[corner1];
p3 = ListDensityPlot[corner2];]; Show[GraphicsArray[{p1, p2,
p3}]];
```



Fig. 5. a. Input image, resolution $256 \times 256$.

b. Corner detection with $L_{v v} L_{w}{ }^{2}, \sigma=1$ pixel.
c. idem, $\sigma=3$ pixels.


### 3.4 T-junction Detection

An example of third order geometric reasoning in images is the detection of Tjunctions. T-junctions in the intensity landscape of natural images occur typically at occlusion points. When we zoom in on the T-junction of an observed (i.e. blurred) image and inspect locally the isophote structure at a T-junction, we see that at a Tjunction the change of the isophote curvature $\kappa$ in the direction perpendicular to the
isophotes (the $w$-direction) is high. So a candidate for T-junction detection is $\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial w}$. We saw before that the isophote curvature is defined as $\kappa=-L_{v} / L_{w}$. Thus the Cartesian expression for the T-junction detector becomes

```
K= Simplify[-(dv[dv[L[x, y]]]/dw[L[x, y]]) /.
    {Lx >> D[L[x, y], x], Ly -> D[L[x, y], y]}];
\tau = Simplify[dw[K] /. {Lx -> D[L[x, y], x],
    Ly ->> D[L[x, y], y]}]; % /. Derivative[n_, m_][L][x, y] ->>
StringJoin[L, Table[x, {n}], Table[y, {m}]]
1/(Lx 2 + Ly 2) }\mp@subsup{}{}{3}(-Lxxy Ly y + Lx 4 (2Lxy 2 - Lx Lxyy + Lxx Lyy) +
Ly }\mp@subsup{}{}{4}(2Lx\mp@subsup{y}{}{2}+Lx(-Lxxx + 2Lxyy) + Lxx Lyy) + Lx m Ly ' (3Lxx^2 -
Lx Lxxx - 8Lxy + L Lx Lxyy - 4 Lxx Lyy + 3Lyy ') +
Lx Ly }\mp@subsup{}{}{3}(Lx Lxxy + 6 Lxx Lxy - 6Lxy Lyy - Lx Lyyy) +
Lx Ly (2Lx Lxxy - 6 Lxx Lxy + 6 Lxy Lyy - Lx Lyyy))
```

To avoid singularities at vanishing gradients through the division by $\left(L_{x}^{2}+L_{y}^{2}\right)^{3}=$ $L_{w}{ }^{6}$ we use as our T-junction detector $\tau=\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial w} L_{w}{ }^{6}$, the derivative of the curvature in the direction perpendicular to the isophotes:


Finally, we apply the T-junction detector on our blocks at a scale of $\sigma=2$ :

```
\tau= \tau /. Derivative[n_,m_][L][x,y] ->HoldForm[gD[blocks,n, m,
\sigma]]; \sigma = 2; ListDensityPlot[\tau // ReleaseHold];
```



Fig. 6. a. Input image: some T-junctions encircled. Resolution 317x204 pixels.

b. T-juction detection with $\tau=\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial w} L_{w}{ }^{6}$ at a scale of 2 pixels.

### 3.5 Fourth Order Junction Detection

As a final fourth order example, we give an example for a detection problem in images at high order of differentiation from algebraic theory. Even at orders of
differentiation as high as 4 , invariant features can be constructed and calculated for discrete images through the biologically inspired scaled derivative operators. Our example is to find in a checkerboard the crossings where 4 edges meet. We take an algebraic approach, which is taken from Salden et al. [14].

When we study the fourth order local image structure, we consider the fourth order polynomial terms from the local Taylor expansion:

```
pol4=(Lxxxx x +4Lxxxy x m
```

The main theorem of algebra states that a polynomial is fully described by its roots: e.g. $a x^{2}+b x+c=\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)$. Hilbert showed that the 'coincidenceness' of the roots, i.e. how well all roots coincide, is a particular invariant condition. From algebraic theory it is known that this 'coincidenceness' is given by the discriminant:

```
Discriminant[p_, x_] := With[{m = Exponent[p, x]},
    Cancel[((-1)^(1/2*m* (m - 1)) Resultant[p, D[p, x], x])/
    Coefficient[p, x, m]]];
```

The resultant of two polynomials $a$ and $b$, both with leading coefficient one, is the product of all the differences $a_{i}-b_{j}$ between roots of the polynomials. The resultant is always a number or a polynomial. The discriminant of a polynomial is the product of the squares of all the differences of the roots taken in pairs. We can express our function in two variables $(x, y)$ as a function in a single variable $x / y$ by the substitution $y \rightarrow 1$. Some examples:

```
Discriminant [(Lxx \(x^{2}+2\) Lxy \(\left.\left.x y+L y y y^{2}\right) / 2!, x\right] / .\{y \rightarrow 1\}\)
```

$L_{x y}{ }^{2}+\operatorname{Lxx}$ Lyy

The discriminant of second order image structure is just the determinant of the Hessian matrix, i.e. the Gaussian curvature. Here is our fourth order discriminant:

```
Discriminant[pol4, x] /. {y -> 1}
```



```
84736 Lxxxy Lxyyy }\mp@subsup{}{}{3
Lxxxx Lxyyy }\mp@subsup{}{}{4
    Lyyyy + 1492992 Lxxxy Lxxyy Lxyyy Lyyyy - }103680\mathrm{ Lxxxx Lxxxy
Lxxyy Lxyyy Lyyyy - }3456\mathrm{ Lxxxx Lxxxy2 Lxyyy Lyyyy + 1296
Lxxxx Lxxyy Lxyyy Lyyyy - }373248\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Lxxxy }}{}{4}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ Lyyyy }}{}{2}+31104 Lxxxx
Lxxxy Lxxyy Lyyyy }\mp@subsup{}{2}{2}-432\mp@subsup{L}{}{2
Lxxxy Lxyyy Lyyyy }\mp@subsup{}{}{2}+\mp@subsup{L}{}{\prime
```

A complicated polynomial in fourth order derivative images. Through the use of Gaussian derivative kernels each separate term can easily be calculated as an intermediate image. We change all coefficients into scaled Gaussian derivatives:

```
discr4[im_, \sigma_] :=
    Discriminant[pol4, x] /. {y -> 1, Lxxxx }->\mathrm{ gD[im, 4, 0, O],
    Lxxxy }->\mathrm{ gD[im, 3, 1, б], Lxxyy }->\mathrm{ gD[im, 2, 2, б],
    Lxyyy }->\mathrm{ gD[im, 1, 3, б], Lyyyy }->\mathrm{ gD[im, 0, 4, б]};
ListDensityPlot[noisycheck, ImageSize -> {200, 100}];
ListDensityPlot[discr4[noisycheck,5],ImageSize->{200, 100}];
```

The detection is rotation invariant, robust to noise, and no detection at corners:


Fig. 7. a. Noisy input image. Resolution 200 x 100 pixels.

b. Four-junction detection with the algebraic discriminant D 4 at $\sigma=4$ pixels.
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