

# Soil Erosion Control on Arable Lands from North-East Romania

Bucur Daniel, Jitareanu Gerard and Ailincai Costica  
*University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine in Iasi  
Romania*

## 1. Introduction

Since the beginning of its formation, the crust of the Earth has evolved under the action of the morphogenetic processes occurring differently as periodicity and intensity as a result of the interacting processes that change the surface of the earth at the levels of interference of the lithosphere with the atmosphere, hydrosphere and biosphere.

As part of the exogenous morphogenetic processes, soil erosion plays an important role in the dynamics that shape the crust of the Earth. Erosion is the process by which soil or rock particles detach themselves from the land surface, being carried from their place of origin and deposited elsewhere (Selby, 1993).

The three stages of natural erosion are performed by two main agents: water and air in motion, whose inexhaustible kinetic sources are solar energy and gravity.

Soil tillage using tools also makes the human factor an erosional agent because the three phases of erosion can be distinguished in this activity. Unlike other soil erosion agents, human activity can be directly controlled and rationally directed.

Soil erosion is one of the main causes of vast agricultural and forest degradation on the Earth.

In Romania, the theoretical and applied study of water erosion is of particular concern as the physical and geographical conditions of the greater part of the territory are influenced by this process.

About one third (i.e. 4918.8 thou ha) of the total area of agricultural lands is affected by erosion and landslides.

Among the agricultural uses, orchards are the most severely affected (65.6%), followed by natural grasslands (58.3%); the arable surface and landslides is about 20% of the total use category.

Soil erosion prevention and control still prevails on arable land as there are approximately 2.6 million hectares (i.e. 26% of the total arable land) whose slope is greater than 5%.

On the sloping lands where there are potential conditions for increased erosion, the first goal of the anti-erosion action is to reduce the annual loss to levels that can be compensated by the natural process of soil recovery.

In Romania, for the arable lands consisting of medium soils, the annual admissible erosion is considered  $6 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$ .

The decrease in the annual soil loss favours the preservation of nutrients and rain water retention, thus improving soil fertility of the sloping lands.

There are other important and inexpensive measures that can be taken for the sloping arable lands in order to maintain the soil losses within allowable limits: *crop structure and rotation, crops location on the slope, soil tillage and fertilization.*

*Crops structure.* Different plant combinations should be grown on the sloping arable lands in order to prevent erosion to exceed the limit. Research performed under various conditions allowed the calculation the best rate between the rows crops in the crop structure so that soil loss is maintained within tolerable limits. Thus, on an arable land with a slope of 10-12% and a loamy-clay soil in northern Moldavian Plateau, the annual soil loss was below 6 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> at the highest rate of 62% row crops of the assortment of cultivated plants.

*Crops emplacement on the slopes.* For soil, water and nutrients loss to be insignificant, the selection of the appropriate range of plants to be grown on sloping arable land and their allocation within rational rotation should be associated with special systems for the location of plants growing on the slopes.

Strip cultivation and cover crop cultivation are the most frequently used anti-erosion systems.

Contour and strip cropping is a simple, effective and convenient system to control soil erosion on the arable land with slopes greater than 5-6%. It consists of cultivation on the slope, parallel to the level curves row crops on land strips alternating with the best protective plants (winter grains, annual legumes, etc.). Thus, the kinetic energy of the water runoffs along the width of strips grown with row crops is dissipated in the downstream area which is grown with crops planted in dense rows.

The control of surface runoff and the reduced erosion intensity depend on the width of the cultivated strips, which in turn depends on the slope, soil erosion susceptibility, rainfall aggression, etc. Determining the indicative value of the strip width can be made by using empirical relationships based on either the critical erosion velocity criterion, or the annually averaged admissible erosion.

Considering the admissible erosion of 6 t·ha<sup>-1</sup>·year<sup>-1</sup>, the values regarding the strip width are presented in Table 1.

Slope (%)	Strip width for the soil with erodability:		
	low	medium	high
5 - 10	117 - 83	100 - 71	79 - 56
11 - 15	78 - 59	66 - 50	52 - 40
16 - 25	55 - 30	47 - 25	37 - 20

Table 1. Indicative values of cultivated strips width (m), depending on slope and soil resistance to erosion

The results of the research carried out at the Perieni Research and Development Centre for Soil Erosion Control emphasize that, irrespective of slope size, soil erosion decreases by 2 - 8 times in the strip planting system, compared with a maize-only crop grown on the slope (Table 2).

There was no significant yield increase in the early years of applying the strip planting system; however, as erosion decreased, the effects were cumulative and production increased significantly.

The location of the planted strips remained unchanged and, after 5-6 years, uneven forms occurred at the boundary between the consecutive strips which, if properly cultivated with grass and maintained, can become slopes agricultural terraces.

Slope (%)	Crop and strip width (m)	Eroded soil	
		t/ha	%
12	Wheat + maize 4 x 40	25,9	28,6
	Wheat + maize 2 x 80	35,6	39,4
	Wheat 120 + maize 40	15,6	17,2
	Maize 120 + wheat 40	63,3	69,7
	Wheat 160	5,8	6,4
	Maize 160	90,3	100,0
14 - 16	Wheat + maize 60	35,9	69,1
	Wheat + maize 2 x 40	27,2	52,4
	Wheat 60 + maize 20	13,3	25,6
	Wheat 80	6,9	13,3
	Maize 80	51,9	100,0

Table 2. Influence of strip planting system on soil erosion (data from RDCSEC Perieni)

The application of the stripe planting system is expected to result in about 8% extra work, compared with a single crop grown on the slope.

Contour buffer strips used to retain soil and reduce erosion. This type of buffer strip is simply a strip of perennial vegetation that is alternated with wider cultivated strips of cropland. Buffer strips system is recommended on arable lands with a slope greater than 8-10%, especially in areas of annual rainfalls exceeding 500 mm; however, the system can be applied successfully in dry areas as well, by selecting the appropriate grass assortment for strips sowing.

The width of land between the buffer strips is determined so that soil loss is limited to acceptable values.

The buffer strips are generally 4-6 m in width. Sometimes, width may increase to 8-10 m in the lowest third of the deep slopes with a convex profile and soils that are less resistant to erosion. Common practice uses strips whose width is equal to one or two working widths of the sowing machines employed in the area.

In case the slope surface is uneven, grass strips of variable width are recommended to achieve consistent width on the entire length of the cultivated strip between the strips, we recommend buffer strips of variable width.

The hydrological function of a grass strip is to intercept, partially detain and disperse the water runoffs from upstream. A rough grass reduces the transport capacity of the flow, and some part of the solid material carried by the water currents is discharged on the grass-covered area. Over the years, successive deposits contribute to transformation of the buffer strips into slopes of agricultural terraces provided that their location remains unchanged.

Many researchers have found positive effects of the buffer strips system of cultivation on leakage reduction and soil erosion. After twenty years of research in the Moldavian Plateau, for example, soil loss was found under the admissible limit on the protected arable areas, i.e. 3-4 times lower than on the lands with no buffer strips (Popa et al., 1984).

Better results can be obtained by applying a combination of strip and buffer strips systems. Among the advantages, there are:

- significant decrease in soil loss by the retention of water and eroded material both in the grass-covered strips and in the cultivated strips;

- the width of the grown strips can increase, thus leading to increased efficiency of the agricultural machinery and decreased production expenses per surface unit;
- the strips planted are better delimited, as they are bordered by the grass covered strips.

By applying the buffer strips system, the arable area decreases by 3-5 %; however, the loss is compensated and exceeded by the increased yield resulting from the grown strips and the hay yield obtained from the grass covered strips (3.5-4.0 t/ha).

*Soil tillage.* Of all the soil tillage works, ploughing is of the greatest interest in terms of anti-erosion actions. Concerning the direction, it was observed that ploughing along the steepest slope and, consequently, sowing downhill favour soil erosion to a great extent. By comparison, ploughing along the level curves helps reduce water loss by 75% and soil loss by 2-9 times. The best results were obtained when ploughing was performed with a reversible plough.

The experiments performed at the Perieni Research and Development Centre for Soil Erosion Control to determine the influence of the ploughing depth on the erosion of the arable lands points out that at moderate values of slope and medium soils with good natural drainage, increasing the ploughing depth over 20 cm is not justified in terms of erosion or increased yield in wheat and peas. However, in maize, ploughing at 30 cm depth reduced erosion by 28% while the water reserves available in the soil increased by over 300 m<sup>3</sup>/ha, compared with 20 cm ploughing. These results prove the opportunity for deep ploughing in the hoed crops grown on the slopes.

Finally, the sloping land planting with no tillage, according to the *minimum tillage* or *no tillage* system was effective not only economically but also in terms of erosion as reducing the number of machine passes results in less soil compaction, structure destruction, and lower soil carried downstream through repeated mobilization, etc.

*Fertilization* of sloping arable land indirectly contributes to reducing soil loss by erosion. The rational application of fertilizers ensures a vigorous root system development and increases plant mass on the surface. Under these circumstances, water infiltration into the soil is significantly improved because the kinetic energy of the raindrops and surface runoff is reduced by enhancing the roughness created by the increasing volume of vegetation.

*Sial et al. (2007)* found that the average soil and humus loss in summer grains and potatoes were 3-4 times higher on the unfertilized land, compared with the fertilized variants.

The anti-erosion effect produced by the fertilization of sloping arable lands is considered higher in the plants of long vegetation time that are sown in thick rows.

In the Moldavian Plateau, located in north-eastern Romania, the relief is predominantly hilly. The average altitude is 250 m, with dominant slopes below 20%; however, there are also slopes whose geodeclivity varies between 25% and 35% or even more. The relief has evolved on complex lithological successions composed of sandy-loamy and marly rocks with intercalations of sands, sandstones and limestones.

The pedological cover is dominated by agricultural use, as types and subtypes are successive in the area - from less developed, in the East and South-East (mollisoils) to highly developed in the North-East (argiluvosoils). Here, the local soil formation factors have determined the occurrence of several insular or much elongated intrazonal areas.

The climate is temperate continental with large annual thermal amplitude; rainfalls occur mainly in the growing season and are unevenly distributed, usually as torrential rains.

Such natural conditions are favourable to slope processes, therefore it is necessary to take measures and apply works to maintain the tolerable limits of water erosion, and to contribute to the stabilization of the potential sliding surfaces in the risk areas.

## 2. Non-structural soil erosion control measures

### 2.1 Protecting the soil from erosion by cropping systems and fertilization

Protecting the soil from erosion is the first step toward a sustainable agriculture. The major costs to the farm associated with soil erosion come from the replacement of lost nutrients and reduced water holding ability, accounting for 50 to 75% of productivity loss (Pimentel D. et al., 1995).

In all the countries, the investigations carried out in the last period have followed the establishment of some technological solutions that maintain the productivity of agroecosystem and the protection of environment factors. The Soil Protection Framework Directive of EU includes the necessary legislative proposals, taken into account by all the member states concerning the three main threats on the decline in organic matter, soil erosion and contamination and some additional aspects regarding compaction, diminution of biodiversity, floods and landslides. In the EU, more than 150 million hectares of soil are affected by erosion and 45% of the European soils have a low content of organic matter (Russell et al., 2006).

In Austria, during 1994-2007, the mean soil losses in three locations dropped from 6.1 to 1.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, by using conservation tillage in cover crops, and until 1.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> with direct drilling. Nitrogen (9.2, 3.7 and 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) and phosphorus (4.7, 1.3, 0.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) losses showed similar tendencies (Rosner et al., 2008).

Of the total Italian area, 51.8% is considered to be at potential risk of desertification (Marchetti et al., 2008). Soil erosion is the most relevant soil degradation system that affects at least 19% of the territory at the potential risk of desertification, while aridity is the second desertification risk (19.0%) (Moraru et al., 2010).

The Directive 2006/42/EC proposes the identification of zones with erosion-degraded soils and organic matter in decline, for meeting the requirements of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) in Northern Mediterranean and Central and Eastern European Country Parties.

In Romania, soil erosion is the most expensive degradation process, which affects almost 63% of the total area and 56% of the arable area from Romania. Investigations on the potential erosion, conditioned by geomorphologic, soil and climatic factors, have shown that in NE Romania, the mean soil losses by erosion were of 18.3 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The studies, carried out on the effective erosion, based on direct determinations and complex analyses, have shown that in the entire NE zone, the effective erosion had a mean value of 4.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. The north-eastern region has 15.45% (2,131,421 ha) of the farming area of Romania (14,836,585 ha) and includes huge areas with soils affected by erosion (over 60%), acidification, compaction, landslides and other degradation forms (Bucur et al., 2007).

In Bulgaria, the investigations showed that the mean annual rate of erosion on the arable lands was 4.76 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.69 t ha<sup>-1</sup> on improved arable lands. Soil losses by erosion on the fields ploughed on the upstream-downstream direction, which are cultivated with maize, are 7.48 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. In sunflower, cultivated with conventional tillage, the annual eroded soil was 3.044 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, and by wheat straw and green fertilizer incorporation into soil, erosion has decreased at 2.327 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0.937 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Totka et al., 2006).

The favorable influence of reduced tillage system and of crop residues on soil erosion was also shown by other scientists (Jha et al., 2010). In no-tillage system, soil losses by erosion were close to the ones found in case of soil protection with 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of mulch. On 8.5% slope fields from SW Finland, annual soil losses by erosion are 5- 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and leached nitrogen and

phosphorus amounts are 15.0 and 1.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Muukkonen et al., 2007). The investigations conducted in Minnesota, USA, have shown that 927, 1853 and 3706 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> of crop residues, applied in maize crops, have decreased soil erosion until 6.177, 1.730 and 0.988 t ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively, and water runoff until 35.6, 25.4 and 22.9 mm, respectively (Lindstrom, 1986). The results concerning erosion in the Coshocton, USA, showed that in the areas annual mean soil losses by erosion were 1.18 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (range, 0.35 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in wheat and 7.36 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in maize) (Izaurre et al., 2007).

Investigations conducted during 1980-2010 on a cambic chernozem at the Agricultural Research and Development Station of Podu-Iloaiei, Iasi County, followed the influence of different crop rotations on water runoff and nutrient losses, due to soil erosion. Experiments were conducted on the hydrographical basin of Scobalteni, with a reception area of 159 ha, a mean altitude of 119.4 m and a mean slope length of 250 m. The area of the watershed has been anti-erosion set up since 1983, being used combined cropping systems made of sod rewetting and strip cultivation. The width of cultivated strips is 200-250 m on 5-10% slopes, 100-150 m on 10-15% slopes and 50-100 m on 15-18% slopes.

The determination of runoff and soil losses by erosion was carried out by means of loss control plots with a collecting area of 100 m<sup>2</sup> (25 m x 4 m) and by means of a hydrological section equipped with spillway and limnograph and devices for sampling water and soil loss by erosion. Total nitrogen, nitrate, phosphorus and potassium contents were determined in soil and water samples, lost by erosion in different crops, thus establishing the losses of nutrient elements. The climate is temperate continental with large thermal amplitude and uneven and commonly torrential rainfall prevalent during the vegetative season. The climatic conditions in the Moldavian Plateau were characterized by a mean multiannual temperature of 9.6°C and a mean rainfall amount, on 80 years, of 559.2 mm, of which 161.2 mm during September-December, and 398 mm during January-August. Within the experiment, the following rotation scheme was followed: wheat and maize continuous cropping, 2-year crop rotation (wheat-maize), 3-year crop rotation (pea-wheat-maize) and 4-year crop rotation + outside field cultivated with legumes and perennial grasses (*Medicago sativa* + *Lolium perenne*).

The content of organic carbon was determined by the Walkley-Black method, to convert SOM into SOC it was multiplied by 0.58. The content in mobile phosphorus from soil was determined by Egner-Riehm-Domingo method, in solution of ammonium acetate-lactate (AL) and potassium was measured in the same extract of acetate-lactate (AL) by flame photometry. ANOVA was used to compare the effects of treatments. In wheat, we used Gabriela variety and in maize Podu-Iloaiei 110 Hybrid.

On slope lands, soil nutrient losses being very high, due to leaching, runoff and element fixing, the establishment of rates and time of fertilizer application must be done differently, according to soil characteristics, cultural practices and climatic conditions. On eroded slope lands, the growing systems ensure the reduction in soil losses below 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> and allow getting efficient yields from the economic point of view.

The results on water runoff and soil losses in different crops from the Moldavian Plateau, determined by control plots, have shown that, during 1980-2010, of the total amount of 570.6 mm rainfall, 366.7 mm (64.3%) produced water runoff, which was between 6.4 mm in perennial grasses, in the second year of vegetation, and 30.6-36.4 mm, in maize and sunflower crops (Table 3).

Crop	Rainfall causing runoff *(mm)	Runoff (mm)	Eroded soil (t·ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean turbidity (g·l <sup>-1</sup> )	Humus (kg·ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Bare fallow	366.7	60.5	18.17	30.0	622
Sunflower	366.7	36.4	8.93	24.5	310
I year perennial grasses	366.7	19.1	1.91	10.0	65
II year perennial grasses	289.6	6.4	0.25	3.8	8
Maize	366.7	30.6	8.39	27.4	287
Peas	366.7	21.6	3.72	17.2	127
Wheat	337.9	11.5	1.62	14.1	56
Beans	366.7	24.6	4.56	18.5	156
Soybean	366.7	20.6	3.89	18.9	133

\* Mean annual rainfall, recorded during 1980 - 2010 - 570.6 mm, rainfall causing runoff - 366.7 mm

Table 3. Mean annual runoff and soil losses by erosion, recorded in different crops

The annual soil losses due to erosion, recorded at the same period, were between 0.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> in perennial grasses, and 8.39-8.93 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> in maize and sunflower crops. The obtained results on the potential erosion (conditioned by geo- morphological, soil and climate factors) have shown that on the fields uncovered by vegetation from the Moldavian Plateau, the mean soil losses due to erosion were 18.17 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, values corresponding to a moderate erosion risk. The protection degree of soil against erosion, expressed by the ratio between the value of the effective erosion (under specific technological conditions) and of the potential erosion (soil eroded under conditions of uncovered soil, which was not set up with soil erosion control works) is an indicator of erosion risk that shows soil vulnerability to erosion. It is given by the ratio between the value of the effective erosion and that of mean allowable erosion, which corresponds to soils from the studied watershed. Taking into account that the erosion process cannot be avoided and the tolerance level of soil annual losses is 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to the annual rate of soil renewal, the mean annual soil losses due to erosion, recorded during 1980-2010 in maize (8.39 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and sunflower (8.93 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), may result in destructing the fertile soil layer in a few decades.

Erosion has affected soil fertility by removing once with eroded soil, high amounts of organic carbon and mineral elements, which reached 16.23-17.61 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen, 1.15-1.29 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> phosphorus and 2.02-2.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> potassium, in maize and sunflower crops (Table 4). On 16% slope lands, the mean annual nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium leachates, due to erosion, recorded during 1980-2010, were between 9.77 and 21.29 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in row crops (soybean and sunflower) and between 4.48 and 9.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in wheat and pea crops (Table 4).

The obtained results on erosion in different crop rotations have shown that under conditions of 16% slope lands from the Moldavian Plateau, the diminution in soil losses below the 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> was done only in 3-4 year crop rotations with one or two outside fields, cultivated with perennial grasses and legumes that protect soil against erosion better (Table 5).

The results concerning water runoff, soil and mineral element losses from crops, placed in different rotations, have shown that on 16% slope lands, the use of pea-wheat-maize rotation + 3 outside fields, cultivated with legumes and perennial grasses, resulted in soil losses, which diminished by 39.4% (1.98 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), as compared to wheat-maize rotation (Table 5). On 16% slope lands, the mean annual losses of nitrogen due to erosion were comprised, during 1980-2010, between 16.23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in maize continuous cropping and

Crop	Organic carbon and mineral elements lost by erosion, kg·ha <sup>-1</sup>						
	Organic carbon	N at water runoff	N in eroded soil	Total N	P-AL	K-AL	Total NPK
Bare fallow	360	5.397	25.989	31.386	2.090	4.362	37.838
Sunflower	180	4.841	12.768	17.609	1.286	2.393	21.288
I year perennial grasses	38	2.158	2.828	4.986	0.220	0.476	5.682
II year perennial grasses	5	0.723	0.360	1.083	0.027	0.061	1.171
Maize	166	4.315	11.917	16.232	1.150	2.022	19.404
Peas	74	2.700	5.544	8.244	0.406	0.744	9.394
Wheat	32	1.518	2.370	3.888	0.182	0.406	4.476
Beans	91	3.247	6.653	9.900	0.501	0.911	11.312
Soybean	77	2.802	5.679	8.481	0.443	0.848	9.772

Table 4. Mean water runoff, soil, organic carbon and mineral element losses, due to erosion, in the Moldavian Plateau

Crop rotation	Water Runoff		Erosion		Organic carbon kg·ha <sup>-1</sup>	Row plants %
	mm	%	t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ·year <sup>-1</sup>	%		
Mcc *	30.6	100	8.39	100	166	100
B W M Sf W	22.9	75	5.01	60	100	60
W M	21.1	69	5.03	60	99	50
P W M Sf + G	21.9	72	4.67	56	93	40
P W M	21.2	69	4.58	55	91	33
P W M Sf + 2 G	19.9	65	3.99	48	80	33
B W M + 2 G	17.1	56	3.60	43	63	40
P W Sf + 2 G	17.7	58	3.18	38	62	20
S W M + 2 G	16.3	53	3.12	37	60	40
P W M + 3 G	15.4	50	3.05	36	52	17

\* Mcc= Maize continuous cropping, B W Sf M W = Beans-wheat-sunflower-maize-wheat rotation, W M= Wheat-maize rotation, P W M= Peas-wheat-maize, P W M Sf + G = Peas-wheat-maize -sunflower + reserve field, with legumes and perennial grasses, B W M+ 2 G = Beans-wheat-maize + 2 reserve field, with legumes and perennial grasses, S W M = Soybean- wheat-maize + 2 reserve field, with legumes and perennial grasses

Table 5. Average annual water and soil runoff by erosion in different crops rotation

5.757 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> in pea - wheat - maize rotation + three outside fields cultivated with perennial grasses (Table 6). If phosphorus and potassium losses are low (1.15-2.02 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>), the nitrogen losses should be diminished by using rotations with crop structures that protect soil against erosion.

The highest losses of nutrients were recorded in bean-wheat- sunflower-maize-wheat rotation (10.304 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen and 12.192 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> total NPK) (Table 6). These amounts decreased very much at the same time with the increase in the rotation structure of cover crops, such as pea, wheat, alfalfa and perennial grasses. Data are very important for



establishing and regulating the fertilizer rates applied in crops and for controlling the environment pollution with nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. During 1980-2010, the use of crop rotations until 20% of row plants, which also included outside fields cultivated with perennial grasses, reduced soil and mineral element losses by 36.7% ( $1.85 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) and 33.7% ( $3.987 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), respectively, as compared to 2-year crop rotation (wheat- maize). On 16% slope lands during 1980-2010, the crop structure which reduced mean soil losses by erosion until  $3.12 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$  included 20% straw cereals, 20% annual legumes, 20% row crops and 40% perennial grasses and legumes.

Crop rotations	N at water runoff	N in eroded soil	Total N	P-AL	K-AL	Total NPK
Mcc *	4.315	11.917	16.232	1.150	2.022	19.404
B W MSf W	3.088	7.216	10.304	0.660	1.228	12.192
W M	2.917	7.144	10.061	0.666	1.214	11.941
P W M Sf + G	2.891	6.715	9.606	0.621	1.146	11.373
P W M	2.844	6.610	9.454	0.579	1.057	11.090
P W M Sf + 2 G	2.590	5.759	8.349	0.531	0.983	9.863
B W M+ 2 G	2.249	4.579	6.828	0.399	0.734	7.961
P W Sf + 2 G	2.245	4.527	6.772	0.407	0.775	7.954
S W M+ 2 G	2.160	4.384	6.544	0.387	0.721	7.652
P W M + 3 G	1.963	3.794	5.757	0.330	0.611	6.698

Table 6. Average mineral elements lost by erosion ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) in different crops rotations

During 1980-2010, on 16% slope fields, the increase from 20 to 40% of row crops (maize and sunflower) used in rotations increased mean annual losses of eroded soil by 46.7% ( $1.486 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) and the use of crop rotations with 60% row crops increased by 57.5% ( $1.829 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) mean annual quantities of eroded soil. According to these results concerning the contribution of melioration plants to the diminution of soil and mineral element losses due to erosion, the technical elements were established for anti-erosion works, such as width of cultivated strips and sod rewetting, crop structure, crop rotations and assortment of legumes and perennial grasses used on slope lands. This scientific information is a source for creating a database necessary for the elaboration of land improvement projects, watershed setting up and protecting soil and water resources.

The crop rotation is also important under conditions of an intensive technology, being the main measure for soil protection, crop and efficient capitalization of all technological factors. The investigations conducted in long-term experiments at Rothamsted have shown that only at high fertilizer rates ( $>N_{192}P_{35}K_{90}Mg_{35}$ ), a significant increase was found in total organic carbon and stable carbon in soil<sup>1</sup>. In clayey-loam mollisol from Kanawha, the organic carbon increased from 33.3 to 37.3  $\text{g kg}^{-1}$  soil, when using the rate of 270  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  nitrogen against the unfertilized control, only in maize-oats-alfalfa-alfalfa rotation (Li et al., 2009). On soils from the Moldavian Plateau, most of them situated on slope fields, poor in organic matter and nutrients, the proper use of different organic resources may replace a part of rich technological consumption (mineral nutrients), determine the improvement in the content of organic matter from soil and ensure better conditions for the valorisation of nitrogen fertilizers. Crop rotations with annual and perennial grasses and legumes have increased the biodiversity of agro-ecosystems, diminished the quantity of nitrogen-based fertilizers, contributed to the increase in soil fertility and diversified the options of farming management.

The total carbon from cambic chernozem in the Moldavian Plain significantly increased at higher fertilization rates than  $N_{160}P_{100}$ , in case of organic and mineral fertilization and in 4-year crop rotation, which included ameliorative perennial grasses and legumes (Table 7).

Treatment	Mcc	W M	P W M	P W M Sf + G	Average	Difference
$N_0P_0$	15.0	15.2	16.5	16.8	15.9	0
$N_{80}P_{60}$	15.5	14.8	16.9	17.1	16.1	0.1
$N_{120}P_{80}$	15.8	16.2	17.3	18.2	16.9	0.9
$N_{160}P_{100}$	16.8	17.0	18.5	19.7	18.0	1.9 <sup>x</sup>
$N_{80}P_{60}+30 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ manure	19.0	19.0	20.1	21.4	19.9	3.9 <sup>xxx</sup>
Average	16.4	16.4	17.9	18.6	17.3	
Difference	0	0.0	1.5 <sup>x</sup>	2.2 <sup>xx</sup>		
	Crop rotation		Fertilizer		Interaction	
LSD 5%	1.4		1.5		1.2 g·kg <sup>-1</sup>	
LSD 1%	1.8		2.1		1.6 g·kg <sup>-1</sup>	
LSD 0.1%	2.4		2.7		2.1 g·kg <sup>-1</sup>	

Table 7. Influence of long-term fertilization and crop rotation on mass of carbon from soil (C, g·kg<sup>-1</sup>)

In maize continuous cropping and wheat-maize rotation, very significant values of the carbon content were found only in the organic and mineral fertilization, in 4-year crop rotation + reserve field, cultivated with perennial legumes and in  $N_{160}P_{100}$  fertilization.

In cambic chernozem, on the slope lands from the Moldavian Plain, a good supply in mobile phosphorus of field crops (37-46 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) was maintained in annual application of a rate of  $N_{120}P_{80}$  and a very good supply (69-78) at the rate of  $N_{80}P_{60}+30 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}$  of manure, applied in crops from 3- or 4-year crop rotations with perennial grasses and legumes (Table 8).

Treatment	Mcc	WM	PWM	PWMSf+G	Average	Difference
$N_0P_0$	13	10	14	15	13.0	0
$N_{80}P_{60}$	29	26	35	40	32.5	19.5 <sup>xxx</sup>
$N_{120}P_{80}$	41	38	49	56	46.0	33.0 <sup>xxx</sup>
$N_{160}P_{100}$	58	52	63	69	60.5	47.5 <sup>xxx</sup>
$N_{80}P_{60}+30 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ manure	67	58	69	78	68.0	55.0 <sup>xxx</sup>
Average	41.6	36.8	46.0	51.6	44.0	
Difference	0	-4.8 <sup>0</sup>	4.4 <sup>x</sup>	10.0 <sup>xxx</sup>		
	Rotation		Fertilizer		Interaction	
LSD 5%	3.8		3.3		4.3 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	
LSD 1%	5.1		4.4		5.7 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	
LSD 0.1%	6.7		5.8		7.5 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	

Table 8. Influence of long-term fertilization and crop rotation on the content of mobile phosphorus from soil (P-AL, mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>)

After 43 years of testing, the lowest rate of mobile phosphorus accumulation in soil was recorded in wheat-maize rotation, and the highest one, in 3- and 4- year crop rotations, including annual and perennial legumes, which leave in soil easily degradable crop residues.

## 2.2 Influence of tillage practices on yields of maize and wheat and on some soil properties

Soil is basic medium for seed germination, seed emergence, root growth and ultimately crop production. In the last period, the investigations conducted in different countries followed the influence of improving technological elements on fertilization, soil tillage and crop rotations with legumes and perennial grasses, which determine the increase in the content of organic carbon from soil and the reduction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions. The  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions from soil increase linearly with the amount of mineral nitrogen applied by fertilization ( $0.0119 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ ). The application of manure determines the diminution in nitrogen protoxide emissions ( $0.99 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ ), compared with the application of liquid manure ( $2.83 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ ) or mineral fertilization ( $2.82 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ ) (Gregorich et al., 2005). The conventional system with annual ploughing, carried out at the same depth and with repeated treatments for seedbed preparation with disk-harrows, has negative consequences on soil physical characteristics: mechanical and water stability of aggregates, porosity, infiltration capacity, hydraulic conductivity, water holding capacity, stratification of organic matter and nutrients, activity and diversity of edaphic flora and fauna, carbon biomass, soil water and temperature regime (Jitareanu et al., 2007). Environment deterioration is mainly caused by soil erosion, compaction, soil structure damage due to human activities and loss of organic matter, as well as by extreme climatic conditions, influenced by world changes. Because the farming conventional systems have caused soil degradation in many countries, the technologies concerning the mechanization of agricultural practices must be adapted to the requirements concerning soil and water protection, and in the areas with soils more sensitive to degradation, soil conservation practices are necessary (Flanagan et al., 2009). Soils tilled at time, differentiated according to the requirements of crop rotations, to climatic conditions, contribute to the improvement of soil physicochemical characteristics, to diminish weed infestation degree and allow manure and crop residue incorporation. By diminishing the soil intensity and mobilization depth, the aggregation condition is improved and, therefore, the losses of organic carbon are diminished, as a result of humus decay, due to less aired environment and to better soil protection against erosion (Jug et al., 2007, Romaneckas et al., 2010). The content of organic carbon in the shallow layer (0-10 cm) on Gleyic Luvisol with sandy loam texture from Halle, Germany, after 37 years of applying different soil tillage systems, differentiated from  $10.0 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  under the conventional system, with 25 cm ploughing, to  $14.9 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  at 25 cm chisel tillage and, respectively, to  $13.2 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  under no-tillage. At the depth of 0-20 cm, the content of organic carbon was of  $10.2 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  at 25 cm ploughing,  $12.7 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  at chisel tillage and  $11.6 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  under no-tillage. The use of legumes for soil protection against erosion has resulted in fixing  $105 \text{ kg}$  from the atmospheric nitrogen and annual increase in the carbon content from soil by  $1055 \text{ kg}$ , which is twice higher than the accumulation in the no-till system (Farahbakhshazada et al., 2008). In Austria, between 1994 and 2007, the mean soil losses at the three locations dropped from  $6.1$  to  $1.8 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$  with conservation tillage in cover crops, and to  $1.0 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$  with direct drilling. Nitrogen ( $9.2, 3.7, 2.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ ) and

phosphorus (4.7, 1.3, 0.7 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) losses showed similar tendencies (Colyer, 2005, Ghuman & Sur, 2001).

The aim was to study the influence of soil tillage systems and fertilization on yield of soybean, wheat and maize crops and soil agrochemical characteristics in long-term field experiments.

The influence of soil tillage systems and fertilization on yield of soybean, wheat and maize crops and soil agrochemical characteristics was studied in field experiments conducted during 1998-2010 on a Cambic Chernozem at the Agricultural Research and Development Station of Podu-Iloaiei, Iasi County.

The typical Cambic Chernozem from Podu-Iloaiei was formed on a loessy loam, has a mean humus content (3.1-3.4%), is well supplied with mobile potassium (215-235 ppm) and moderately with phosphorus (28-35 ppm) and nitrogen (0.160-0.165%). Soil has a high clay content (39-41%) being difficult to treat when soil moisture is close to the wilting point (12.2%). In wheat, we used Gabriela variety and in maize Oana hybrid.

Experiments were set up in split-split plots with four replicates, tillage treatments in main plots and fertilization in subplot. Tillage treatments were seedbed preparation by 20 cm ploughing + disking, 30 cm ploughing + disking, chisel tillage + disking, paraplow tillage and disking and one year ploughing + one year disking. Fertilization treatments were unfertilized (N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>), N 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>120</sub>) + 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (P<sub>80</sub>), N 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>160</sub>) + 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, N 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>80</sub>) + 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure and N<sub>80</sub> + 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> wheat straw. In the field there was a 3-year crop rotation (soybean-wheat-maize), Physical and chemical analyses of soil samples were carried out according to the methods established by the Research Institute of Bucharest, which are applied by all agrochemistry laboratories from Romania.

Soil on which physical and chemical analyses were done was sampled at the end of plant growing period. Soil response was determined in water suspension by potentiometric means with glass electrode. The content of organic carbon was determined by the Walkley-Black method, the content in mobile phosphorus from soil was determined by Egner-Riehm-Domingo method, in solution of ammonium acetate-lactate (AL) and potassium was measured in the same extract of acetate-lactate (AL) by flame photometry.

Rainfall during January-June (1998-2010) assured normal conditions for wheat growing in 5 years. Rainfall amounts were lower, compared to the multiannual mean on 80 years (248 mm) in 5 years, when rainfall deficit was between 53.3 and 119.0 mm. The climatic conditions during 1998-2010 were favourable to maize growing and development in 5 years and unfavourable, due to low rainfall amounts, in the other 6 years. In the last 13 years, the deficit of rainfall during January-August, compared to the multiannual mean of the area, was between 31.4 and 136.9 mm in 5 years. The drought in autumn and during January-August required the adjustment of soil preparation practices to the requirements of water conservation from soil. Most of soils in the Moldavian Plateau situated on slope fields, poor in organic matter and nutrients, the proper use of different organic resources may replace a part of rich technological consumption (mineral nutrients), determine the improvement in the content of organic matter from soil and may assure better conditions for the capitalization of nitrogen fertilizers.

The mean wheat yields obtained during 1998-2010 at 20 cm ploughed variant were of 3265 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and in case of seedbed preparation by chisel + disk and by repeated disking, the yields were lower by 4.0% (122 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 6.0% (223 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively, as compared to

20 cm ploughing (Table 9). At the same period, the mean wheat yields obtained under unfertilized were of 1818 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and the rates of N<sub>120</sub> + 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> or N<sub>160</sub> + 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> resulted in getting yield increases of 94% (1714 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 110% (2006 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. The application in wheat of a rate of N<sub>80</sub> + 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure resulted in getting yield increases of 117% (2122 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

In wheat, found in a 3-year crop rotation (soybean-wheat-maize), the percentage of hydrostable aggregates was less influenced by soil tillage system (54.7-62.8%) and more by applied fertilizers (50.3-61.3%) (Table 10).

In wheat crop, the percentage of hydrostable aggregates varied, according to applied fertilizer rates, between 49.2 and 58.6% at 20 cm ploughing, between 53.3 and 59.9% at 30 cm ploughing and between 56.2 and 68.8% at chisel treatment. The highest percentage of hydrostable aggregates was at the rate of N<sub>160</sub> + 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (58.2%) and at organo-mineral fertilization (61.3%).

The content of organic carbon in the shallow layer (0-20 cm) comprised between 18.43 and 20.08 g/kg at the fertilization with N<sub>120</sub> + 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and, respectively, N<sub>80</sub>P<sub>80</sub>+30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure (Table 11). At chisel and paraplow works, the content of organic carbon from soil was higher by 0.33 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, as compared to 20 cm ploughing system.

Applying for 13 years moderate mineral fertilizer rates (N<sub>80</sub>P<sub>80</sub>), together with 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> wheat straw, has resulted in increasing the organic carbon from soil by 1.7 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, compared to unfertilized variant. The highest content of organic carbon was found at the rate N<sub>80</sub>P<sub>80</sub>+30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure, where it increased by 2.67 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, compared to the unfertilized control.

Soil tillage	N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>80</sub> +6 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> wheat straw	N <sub>120</sub> P <sub>80</sub>	N <sub>160</sub> P <sub>80</sub>	N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>80</sub> +30 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	Mean	%	Differ. kg·ha <sup>-1</sup>
20 cm ploughing + disk	1860	2960	3520	3890	4097	3265	100	0
30 cm ploughing + disk	1950	3213	3880	4232	4331	3521	108	256
Chisel + disk	1870	2587	3540	3840	3880	3143	96	-122
Paraplow + disk	1690	2823	3380	3640	3620	3031	93	-235
One year ploughing, one year disking	1720	2860	3340	3520	3770	3042	93	-223
Mean	1818	2889	3532	3824	3940	3201		
%	100	159	194	210	217			
Difference kg·ha <sup>-1</sup>	0	1071	1714	2006	2122			
		Soil tillage (A)	Fertilizer (B)	Interaction A x B				
LSD 5%		198	150	330				
LSD 1%		321	201	481				
LSD 0.1%		516	264	689				

Table 9. Influence of soil tillage system and fertilization on wheat yield (kg·ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Soil tillage	N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>80</sub> +6 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> wheat straw	N <sub>120</sub> P <sub>80</sub>	N <sub>160</sub> P <sub>80</sub>	N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>80</sub> +30 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	Mean	% Differ.		
								Soil tillage (A)	Fertilizer (B)
20 cm ploughing + disk	49.2	55.2	53.1	55.2	58.6	54.7	100	0.0	
30 cm ploughing + disk	53.3	58.3	56.8	58.3	59.9	57.5	105	2.8	
Chisel + disk	56.2	65.1	61.9	65.1	68.8	62.8	115	8.1	
Paraplow + disk	51.8	59.9	57.9	59.9	64.2	58.8	108	4.1	
One year ploughing, one year disking	40.9	52.4	47.3	52.4	55.2	49.6	91	-5.1	
Mean	50.3	58.2	55.4	58.2	61.3	56.7			
%	100	116	110	116	122				
Difference	0	8	5	8	11				
LSD 5%		3.1	3.0	5.4					
LSD 1%		5.5	5.4	7.5					
LSD 0.1%		9.3	9.1	10.3					

Table 10. The influence of soil tillage and fertilization on hydrostability of soil aggregates greater than 0.25 mm (%)

Soil tillage	N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>80</sub> +6 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> wheat straw	N <sub>120</sub> P <sub>80</sub>	N <sub>160</sub> P <sub>80</sub>	N <sub>80</sub> P <sub>80</sub> +30 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	Mean	% Differ.		
								Soil tillage (A)	Fertilizer (B)
20 cm ploughing + disk	17.33	19.07	18.27	18.97	19.97	18.72	100	0.000	
30 cm ploughing + disk	17.27	19.03	18.43	18.93	20.00	18.73	100	0.013	
Chisel + disk	17.67	19.33	18.73	19.23	20.53	19.10	102	0.380	
Paraplow + disk	17.80	19.33	18.73	18.97	20.40	19.05	102	0.327	
One year ploughing, one year disking	16.97	18.77	18.00	18.73	19.50	18.39	98	-0.327	
Mean	17.41	19.11	18.43	18.97	20.08	18.80			
%	100	110	106	109	115				
Differ.	0.00	1.70	1.03	1.56	2.67				
LSD 5%		0.351	0.341	0.784					
LSD 1%		0.510	0.455	1.080					
LSD 0.1%		0.765	0.599	1.487					

Table 11. Evolution of the organic carbon content from soil, 10 years after applying different rates of fertilizers and soil tillage systems

Many investigations conducted in different countries have shown that applying low rates of mineral fertilizers with nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in wheat and maize continuous cropping and wheat-maize rotation has determined the diminution in the content of organic matter from soil. The diminution in the content of organic carbon from soil, due to mineral fertilization, was found in loam-sandy fields from Nashua, USA, where lower rate than 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied in maize-soybean rotation (Russell et al., 2006) and in clay loam soils from Rothamsted, England, where lower rates than N<sub>192</sub>P<sub>35</sub>K<sub>90</sub>Mg<sub>35</sub> were applied (Blair et al., 2006).

### **2.2.1 Long-term effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer and crop residue on production and soil fertility in the Moldavian Plateau**

In many countries, the investigations conducted on eroded soils have followed the establishment of crop rotations and soil tillage and fertilizing systems, which contribute to maintaining and recovery of soil fertility (Campbell et al., 2005, Yadav & Malanson, 2008).

The negative impact of continuous cropping on the content of organic carbon from soil was shown by many specialists (Lal, 2006, Liu et al., 2006, Rusu et al., 2006, Wesley et al., 2006).

In many areas, applying crop residues, together with moderate nitrogen rates, have resulted in improving physical, chemical and biological soil characteristics (Carter et al., 2002, Naderloo et al., 2009). The investigations conducted on maize by Lindstrom, in Minnesota, USA, show that applying crop residues at rates of 927 and 3706 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> has determined the decrease in soil erosion from 6.18 to 0.99 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and in water runoff from 35.6 to 22.9 mm. Other studies show that applying crop residues, together with nitrogen fertilizers, under conventional soil tillage with ploughing, did not result in increasing the organic carbon content after 30 years of experiencing (Osvaldo, 2006). These studies show that establishing the amounts of crop residues, which must be applied for maintaining the content of organic carbon and for diminishing soil erosion, should have in view the interactions between crop rotation, soil tillage, fertilization and soil and climate conditions. The amounts of applied crop residues must contribute to diminishing soil erosion, maintaining the content of organic carbon from soil and determining yield increases.

On weakly eroded lands, the mean maize yields obtained during 1997-2010, were comprised between 3287 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (100%) at the unfertilized control and 7188 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (119%) at rates of 70 kg N + 70 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 60 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure (Table 12). In maize, the application of mean rates of mineral fertilizers (70 kg N + 70 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) with 60 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure has resulted in getting yield increases of 134% (3275 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), compared to the unfertilized variant. Applying rates of 100 kg N + 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> resulted in getting yield increases of 84% (2748 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in maize, placed on weakly eroded lands, and 94% (2306 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in maize placed on highly eroded soil, compared to the unfertilized variant.

In maize placed on weakly eroded lands, the mean yield increases obtained for each kg of a.i. of applied fertilizers have varied according to applied fertilizers rates, between 7.2 and 14.1 kg grains (N<sub>40</sub>P<sub>40</sub>-N<sub>140</sub>P<sub>100</sub>). On highly eroded lands, the mean maize yield obtained under unfertilized was of 2452 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, while the mean yield increases, obtained by applying 40 or 60 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure, were of 36.4-34.2 kg grains per ton of applied manure. The mineral fertilizers (N<sub>40</sub>P<sub>40</sub>-N<sub>140</sub>P<sub>100</sub>) resulted in getting mean yield increases of 8.4- 11.7 kg grains ha<sup>-1</sup> a. i. of applied fertilizer. Very close yield results were also obtained by applying, for 43 years, rates of 70 kg N + 70 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + 3 t ha<sup>-1</sup> stalks of pea or soybean, variants at which yield increases have varied, according to soil erosion, between 2550 and 2615 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (78-80%) on weakly

eroded lands and between 2161 and 2223 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (88-91%) on highly eroded lands (Table 12). The analysis of results obtained has shown that the erosion process, by decreasing soil fertility, has determined the differentiation of the mean maize yield, according to slope and erosion, from 5756 (100%) to 4538 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (78.8%). Mean annual losses of yields registered in maize in the last 13 years, caused by erosion, were of 1218 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (21.2%).

Fertilizer rate	Weakly eroded soil				Highly eroded soil			
	Maize		Wheat		Maize		Wheat	
	yield	diff.	yield	diff.	yield	diff.	yield	diff.
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	3287	0	1697	0	2452	0	1163	0
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub>	5159	1872	3192	1495	4120	1668	2478	1315
N <sub>100</sub> P <sub>100</sub>	6035	2748	4078	2381	4758	2306	3248	2085
N <sub>140</sub> P <sub>100</sub>	6660	3373	4523	2826	5263	2811	3665	2502
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> K <sub>70</sub>	5285	1998	3384	1687	4251	1799	2710	1547
N <sub>100</sub> P <sub>100</sub> K <sub>100</sub>	6324	3037	4398	2701	5020	2568	3570	2407
N <sub>140</sub> P <sub>100</sub> K <sub>100</sub>	6816	3529	4797	3100	5475	3023	3923	2760
20 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	4150	863	2761	1064	3252	800	2165	1002
40 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	5199	1912	3445	1748	3909	1457	2813	1650
60 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	5953	2666	4018	2321	4505	2053	3294	2131
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> +20 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	6119	2832	4102	2405	4719	2267	3304	2141
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> +40 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	6545	3258	4619	2922	5261	2809	3669	2506
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> +60 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	7188	3901	4894	3197	5727	3275	4011	2848
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> +6 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> hashed straw	5733	2446	3770	2073	4646	2194	3041	1878
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> +6 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> stalks of maize	5656	2369	3578	1881	4500	2048	2929	1766
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> +3 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> stalks of pea	5902	2615	4010	2313	4675	2223	3237	2074
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> +3 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> stalks of soybean	5837	2550	3911	2214	4613	2161	3164	2001
Mean	5756	100	3834	100	4538	78.8	3081	80.4
LSD 5%		315		340		336		310
LSD 1%		444		450		450		430
LSD 0.1%		593		580		605		570

Table 12. Influence of mineral and organic fertilizers on maize and wheat yields (kg·ha<sup>-1</sup>), in weakly and highly eroded soils, after 43 years of experiments

In wheat, the application of mean rates of mineral fertilizers with 60 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure has resulted in getting yield increases of 188% (3197 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), compared to the unfertilized variant. In wheat placed on weakly eroded lands, the mean yield increases obtained for each kg of a. i. of applied fertilizers varied, according to fertilizers rates applied, between 9.15 and 11.8 kg grains. The mean annual yield losses, registered in wheat, caused by erosion, were of 753 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (19.6%).

The analysis of agro-chemical data shows that nitrogen fertilizers (ammonium nitrate) have determined the pH decrease. A significant diminution was registered in the ploughed layer, at rates of 140 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N, where pH value has reached 5.7, after 43 years (Table 13).

The analyses carried out on the evolution of soil response, after 43 years of experiencing, have shown that the significant diminution in the pH value was found at higher rates than 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The lowest pH values were found in maize at rates of N<sub>140</sub>P<sub>100</sub> and 70 kg N + 70 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> stalks of maize, which can be explained by the unfavourable conditions in which the processes of nitrification and crop residue decay, developed.



Fertilizer rate	Weakly eroded lands				Highly eroded lands			
	pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)	Org. C g/kg	P-AL (ppm)	K-AL (ppm)	pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)	Org. C g/kg	P-AL (ppm)	K-AL (ppm)
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	7.3	16.5	17	216	7.2	14.2	8	192
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub>	6.9	16.9	54	186	6.8	14.3	41	186
N <sub>100</sub> P <sub>80</sub>	6.3	17.5	86	178	6.2	15.5	62	174
N <sub>140</sub> P <sub>100</sub>	5.8	18.2	89	174	5.7	16.6	64	156
60 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	7.4	21.3	74	276	7.1	19.9	66	259
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> + 60 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> manure	7.2	21.8	96	292	6.9	20.2	74	289
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> + 6 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> hashed of wheat	7.0	18.9	64	234	6.8	18.4	57	216
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> + 6 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> stalks of maize	6.6	18.8	58	239	6.6	18.1	52	196
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> + 3 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> stalks of pea	6.9	18.5	47	228	6.8	18.0	48	184
N <sub>70</sub> P <sub>70</sub> + 3 t·ha <sup>-1</sup> stalks of soybean	6.8	18.3	51	234	6.7	18.0	49	182
Mean	6.8	18.7	63.6	225.7	6.7	17.3	52	203
LSD 5%	0.27	0.07	5.9	16.1	0.24	0.10	4.8	15.7
LSD 1%	0.38	0.10	8.2	24.0	0.35	0.14	6.9	22.6
LSD 0.1%	0.55	0.15	11.5	36.2	0.56	0.20	9.9	33.4

Table 13. Effect of soil erosion and fertilization system on the organic carbon and mineral element content in 16% slope fields

### 3. Conclusion

Mean annual losses of soil by erosion, recorded during 1980-2010, were of 0.25 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> in perennial grasses in the second growth year, 4.56 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> in bean, 8.39 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> in maize and 8.93 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> in sunflower.

Erosion affects soil fertility by removing together with eroded soil, significant mineral element amounts, which in maize and sunflower crops reach 16.2-17.6 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen, 1.2-1.3 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> phosphorus and 2.0-2.4 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> potassium, representing, on the average, 12-14% of the chemical fertilizers necessary for these crops.

On 16% slope fields, the use of soybean - wheat - maize rotation + two outside fields, cultivated with perennial grasses, determined the diminution by 62.8% (5.27 t·ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the mean annual losses of eroded soil and by 59.7% (9.688 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup>) in nitrogen leakages, compared with maize continuous cropping.

From the results obtained on erosion in different crop rotations, we found that in 16% slope fields from the Moldavian Plateau, soil losses by erosion diminished below 4 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> only in case of 3- or 4-year crop rotations with two or three reserve fields, cultivated with legumes and perennial grasses, which protect soil.

The 43-year use of 4-year crop rotations + reserve field, cultivated with perennial grasses and legumes, has increased soil total carbon 13.4% (C 2.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) in comparison with maize continuous cropping.

Soil preparation without furrow inverting has resulted in improving soil physical and hydro physical characteristics and allowed a better capitalization of technological factors and, especially, of fertilizers, which determined greater yield increases in wheat by 3.6% (271 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (N<sub>160</sub>+80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), compared to 20 cm ploughing.

Soil tillage by chisel and disk allowed soil treatment under better conditions for wheat growing in dry autumns, which are very frequent in the area.

The results obtained made us assess that soil tillage system must be adjusted to plant requirements from crop rotation and to soil and climatic conditions of the area. Establishing the systems of soil tillage for the whole crop rotation (disking or chisel + disk work in wheat crop, 20 cm ploughing for soybean and 25-28 cm ploughing for maize) resulted in a better capitalization of the other technological factors, water conservation in soil, maintaining soil physical condition and reduction, on the entire rotation cycle, in fuel consumption.

Applying moderate rates of mineral fertilizers (N<sub>80</sub>P<sub>80</sub>), together with 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> wheat straw or 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure, has determined, 10 years after using chisel, the increase in organic carbon content from soil by 1.66 and, respectively, 2.86 g kg<sup>-1</sup>.

On highly eroded lands, in maize after wheat, in a three year rotation, the mean yield obtained under unfertilized was of 2452 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, the mean yield increases obtained by applying 60 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure every two years, were of 34.2 kg grains per ton of manure applied and mineral fertilizers (N<sub>140</sub>P<sub>100</sub>) resulted in obtaining mean yield increases of 11.7 kg grains kg<sup>-1</sup> a.i. of applied fertilizer.

On slightly eroded lands, keeping a good supply in soil nutritive elements was done by the annual use of some fertilizer rates of at least N<sub>100</sub>P<sub>100</sub> or N<sub>70</sub>P<sub>70</sub>+ 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure applied once in two years or N<sub>70</sub>P<sub>70</sub> + 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> straw; on highly eroded lands, keeping a good plant supply in mineral elements was done at rates of N<sub>140</sub>P<sub>100</sub>K<sub>70</sub> or N<sub>70</sub>P<sub>70</sub> + 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> manure.

#### 4. Acknowledgment.

This work was supported by CNCSIS - UEFISCSU, project number PNII - IDEI 1132 / 2008.

#### 5. References

- Blair, N.; Faulkner, R.D.; Till, A. R. & Poulton, P. R. (2006). Long-term management impacts on soil C, N and physical fertility. I: Broadbalk experiment. *Soil and Tillage Research*, Vol. 91, pp. 30-38, ISSN 0167-1987
- Bucur, D.; Jitareanu G.; Ailincăi C.; Tsadilas C.; Ailincăi Despina & Mercus A. (2007). Influence of soil erosion on water, soil, humus and nutrient losses in different crop systems in the Moldavian Plateau, Romania, *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 309-312, ISSN 1459-0255
- Campbell, C.A.; Janzen, H.H., Paustian, K., Gregorich, E.G., Sherrod, L., Liang, B.C. & Zentner, R.P. (2005). Carbon storage in soils of the North American Great Plains: Effect of cropping frequency. *Agronomy Journal*, Vol. 97, pp. 349-363, ISSN 0002-1962
- Carter, M.R.; Saderson, J.B.; Ivany, J.A. & White, R.P. (2002). Influence of rotation and tillage on forage maize productivity, weed species and soil of a fine sandy loam in the

- humid climate of Atlantic, Canada. *Soil and Tillage Research*, Vol. 67, pp. 85-98, ISSN 0167-1987
- Colyer, D. (2005). Land and agricultural competitiveness. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*. Vol. 7, No. 3&4, pp.408 – 413, ISSN 1459-0255
- Drinkwater, L.E.; Wagoner, P. & Sarrantonio, M. (1998). Legume-based cropping systems have reduced carbon and nitrogen losses. *Nature*, Vol. 396, pp. 262-265, ISSN 0028-0836
- Farahbakhshazada, N.; Dinnes, D.L.; Changsheng, L.; Jaynes, D.B. & Salas, W. (2008). Modeling biogeochemical impacts of alternative management practices for a row-crop field in Iowa. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, Vol. 123, pp. 30-48, ISSN 0167-8809
- Flanagan, D.C.; Gilley J.E. & Franti T.G. (2009). Water Erosion Prediction Project (WEPP): Development history, model capabilities, and future enhancements. *Transactions of the American Society of the Agricultural and Biological Engineers*, Vol. 50, No. 5, pp. 1603-1612, ISSN 0001-2351
- Ghuman, B.S. & Sur H.S. (2001). Tillage and residue management effects on soil properties and yields of rainfed maize and wheat in a subhumid subtropical climate. *Soil and Tillage Research*, Vol. 58, No. 1-2, pp. 1-10, ISSN 0167-1987
- Gregorich E.G.; Rochette P.; VandenBygaart A.J. & Angers D.A. (2005). Greenhouse gas contributions of agricultural soils and potential mitigation practices in Eastern Canada, *Soil and Tillage Research*, Vol. 83, No. 1, pp. 53-72, ISSN 0167-1987
- Izaurrealde, R. C.; Williams, J. R.; Post, W. M.; Thomson, A. M.; McGill, W. B.; Owens, L. B. & Lal, R. (2007). Long-term modeling of soil C erosion and sequestration at the small watershed scale, *Climatic Change*, Vol. 80, pp. 73-90, ISSN 0165-0009
- Jha, M. K.; Schilling, K.E.; Gassman, P.W. & Wolter, C.F. (2010). Targeting land-use change for nitrate-nitrogen load reductions in an agricultural watershed. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, Vol. 65, No. 6, pp. 342 – 352, ISSN 0022-4561
- Jitareanu G.; Ailincai C. & Bucur D. (2007). Soil fertility management in North-East Romania, *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment.*, Vol. 5, No. 3&4. pp. 349 – 353, ISSN 1459-0255.
- Jug, D.; Stipesevic, B.; Jug, Irena; Samota, D. & Vukadinovic, V. (2007). Influence of different soil tillage systems on yield of maize. *Cereal Research Communications*, Vol. 35 , No. 2, pp. 557-560, ISSN 0133-3720
- Lal, R. (2006). Enhancing crop yields in developing countries through restoration of the soil organic carbon pool in agricultural lands, *Land Degradation and Development*, Vol. 17, pp. 197-209, ISSN 1099-145X
- Li, B.; Wei, M.; Shen, A.; Xu, J.; Zhang, H. & Hao, F. (2009). Changes of yields, soil properties and micronutrients as affected by 17-yr fertilization treatments. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*. Vol. 7, No. 3&4, pp. 408 – 413, ISSN 1459-0255
- Lindstrom, M. J. (1986). Effects of residue harvesting on water runoff, soil erosion and nutrient loss. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, Vol. 16, pp. 103-112, ISSN 0167-8809
- Liu, X.; Herbert, S.J.; Hashemi, A.M.; Zhang, X. & Ding, G. (2006). Effects of agricultural management on soil organic matter and carbon transformation - a review, *Plant Soil Environment*, Vol. 52, No. 12, pp. 531-543, ISSN 1214-1178
- Marchetti, A.; Piccini, C.; Francaviglia, R.; Santucii, S. & Chiuchiarelli, I. (2008). Spatial distribution of organic matter to assess soil quality: A case study in Central Italy, *Proceedings of CIHEAM 5th International Conference on Land Degradation*, pp. 169-173, ISBN 2-85352-399-2, Valenzano, Bari, Italy, Sept. 18-22, 2008.

- Moraru, Paul Ioana & Rusu, T. (2010). Soil tillage conservation and its effect on soil organic matter, water management and carbon sequestration, *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*. Vol. 8, No. 3&4, pp. 309 - 312, ISSN 1459-0225.
- Muukkonen, P.; Hartikainen, H.; Lahti, K.; Sarkela, A.; Puustinen, M. & Alakukku, L. (2007). Influence of no-tillage on the distribution and lability of phosphorus in Finnish clay soils, *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, Vol. 120, pp. 299-306, ISSN 0167-8809.
- Naderloo, L.; Alimadani, R.; Akram, A.; Javadikia, P. & Khanghah, H. (2009). Tillage depth and forward speed effects on draft of three primary tillage implements in clay loam soil. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*. Vol. 7, No. 3&4, pp. 382 - 385, ISSN 1459-0255.
- Oswaldo, E. (2006). Soil organic carbon and total nitrogen in relation to tillage and crop-pasture rotation, *Advances in Geocology*, Catena. Vol. 38, pp. 502 - 507, ISBN 3-923381-52-2, Reiskirchen, Germany
- Pimentel, D.; Harvey, C.; Resosudarmo, P.; Syinclair, K.; Kurz, D.; McNair, M.; Crist, S.; Shpritz, L.; Fitton, L.; Saffouri, R. & Blair, R. (1995). Environmental and economic costs of soil erosion and conservation benefits. *Science*, Vol. 267, No. 24, pp. 1117-1122, ISSN 0036-8075
- Popa, A.; Stoian G.; Popa Greta & Ouatu, O. (1984). *Soil erosion control on arable land*, Ceres, Bucuresti, Romania
- Romanekas K.; Sarauskis E.; Pilipavicius V.; Adamavicienė Aida & Avizienyte D. (2010). Impact of primary soil tillage intensity on maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedbed formation and productivity parameters. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, Vol. 8, No. 3&4, pp. 679 - 682, ISSN 1459-0255.
- Rosner, J.; Zwatz, E.; Klik, A. & Gyuricza, C. (2008). Conservation Tillage Systems - Soil - Nutrient - and Herbicide Loss in Lower Austria and the Mycotoxin Problem, *Proceedings of ISCO 15th International Congress*, pp. 205-210, ISBN 978 963 9545, Budapest, Hungary, May 18-23, 2010.
- Rusu, T.; Gus, P.; Bogdan, Ileana; Moraru, Paula Ioana; Pop, A. I.; Clapa, Doina; Marin, D. I.; Oroian, I. & Pop, Lavinia. (2009). Implications of minimum tillage systems on sustainability of agricultural production and soil conservation, *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 335 - 338, ISSN 1459-0255.
- Russell, A. E., Laird, D. A., Mallarino, A. P. (2006). Nitrogen Fertilization and Cropping System Impact on Quality in Midwestern Mollisols, *Soil Sciences Society American Journal*, Vol. 70, pp. 249-255, ISSN 0361-5995
- Selby, M.J. (1993). *Hillslope Materials and Processes*. Second edition, Oxford University Press, ISBN 0198741839, Oxford, England
- Sial, R.A.; Chuadhary, E.H.; Hussain, S. & Naveed, M. (2007). Effect of organic manures and chemical fertilizers on grain yield of maize in rainfed area. *Soil and Environment*, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 130-133, ISSN 1019-729X
- Totka, M.; Rousseva, S. & Tzvetkova, E. (2006). Conservation Agricultural Practices for Soil Erosion Protection in Bulgaria - A Brief Review, *Advances in Geocology*, Catena. Vol. 38, pp. 1053 - 1059, ISBN 3-923381-52-2, Reiskirchen, Germany
- Wesley, R.A.; Elmore, C.D. & Spurlock, S.R. (2001) Deep tillage and crop rotation effects on cotton, soybean and grain sorghum clayey soils. *Agronomy Journal*, Vol. 93, pp. 170-178, ISSN 0002-1962
- Yadav, V. & Malanson, G. (2008) Spatially explicit historical land use land cover and soil organic carbon transformations in Southern Illinois. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, Vol. 123, pp. 280-292. ISSN 0167-8809



## **Soil Erosion Issues in Agriculture**

Edited by Dr. Danilo Godone

ISBN 978-953-307-435-1

Hard cover, 334 pages

**Publisher** InTech

**Published online** 21, October, 2011

**Published in print edition** October, 2011

The book deals with several aspects of soil erosion, focusing on its connection with the agricultural world. Chapters'™ topics are various, ranging from irrigation practices to soil nutrient, land use changes or tillage methodologies. The book is subdivided into fourteen chapters, sorted in four sections, grouping different facets of the topic: introductory case studies, erosion management in vineyards, soil erosion issue in dry environments, and erosion control practices. Certainly, due to the extent of the subject, the book is not a comprehensive collection of soil erosion studies, but it aims to supply a sound set of scientific works, concerning the topic. It analyzes different facets of the issue, with various methodologies, and offers a wide series of case studies, solutions, practices, or suggestions to properly face soil erosion and, moreover, may provide new ideas and starting points for future researches.

### **How to reference**

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Bucur Daniel, Jitareanu Gerard and Ailincăi Costica (2011). Soil Erosion Control on Arable Lands from North-East Romania, Soil Erosion Issues in Agriculture, Dr. Danilo Godone (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-435-1, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/soil-erosion-issues-in-agriculture/soil-erosion-control-on-arable-lands-from-north-east-romania>

# **INTECH**

open science | open minds

### **InTech Europe**

University Campus STeP Ri  
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A  
51000 Rijeka, Croatia  
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447  
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166  
[www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com)

### **InTech China**

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai  
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China  
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元  
Phone: +86-21-62489820  
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2011 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.