

A STUDY OF IMPULSIVE FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS WITH ANTI-PERIODIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

BASHIR AHMAD AND JUAN J. NIETO

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the existence of solutions for impulsive fractional differential inclusions with anti-periodic boundary conditions by applying Bohnenblust-Karlin's fixed point theorem.

1. Introduction

The subject of fractional differential equations has recently gained much importance and attention. Fractional derivatives provide an excellent tool for the description of memory and hereditary properties of various materials and processes. The mathematical modelling of systems and processes in the fields of physics, chemistry, aerodynamics, electro dynamics of complex medium, polymer rheology, etc. involves derivatives of fractional order. For details and examples, see [2, 7, 16, 18, 24–26, 28, 30, 36, 37, 39] and the references therein.

The theory of impulsive differential equations of integer order has found its extensive applications in realistic mathematical modelling of a wide variety of practical situations and has emerged as an important area of investigation in recent years. For the general theory and applications of impulsive differential equations, we refer the reader to the references [27, 38, 40, 42]. For some recent work on impulsive fractional differential equations, see [3, 9].

Anti-periodic boundary value problems have recently received considerable attention as anti-periodic boundary conditions appear in numerous situations, for instance, see [1, 6, 15, 18, 19, 32, 33].

Differential inclusions arise in the mathematical modelling of certain problems in economics, optimal control, etc. and are widely studied by many authors, see [12, 20, 34, 41] and the references therein. For some recent development on differential inclusions, we refer the reader to the references [5, 11, 13–14, 22, 31, 35].

Periodic solutions of fractional systems have been considered by some authors [8, 21] and in a recent paper, Ahmad and Otero-Espinar [4] discussed the existence of solutions for fractional differential inclusions with anti-periodic boundary conditions.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 34A60, 34B15, 34B37.

Keywords and phrases: Fractional differential inclusions, impulse, anti-periodic boundary conditions, Bohnenblust-Karlin's fixed point theorem.

In this paper, we study the following impulsive differential inclusions of fractional order $q \in (1, 2]$ with anti-periodic boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^q x(t) \in F(t, x(t)), & t \in J_1 = [0, T] \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p\}, \quad T > 0, \\ \Delta x(t_k) = \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)), \quad \Delta x'(t_k) = \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)), & t_k \in (0, T), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ x(0) = -x(T), \quad x'(0) = -x'(T), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where ${}^c D^q$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order q , $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is the family of all nonempty subsets of \mathbb{R} , $\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{J}_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta x(t_k) = x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-)$ with $x(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} x(t_k + h)$, $x(t_k^-) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} x(t_k + h)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ for $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_p < t_{p+1} = T$.

We define $PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; x \in C((t_k, t_{k+1}], \mathbb{R}), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p+1$ and $x(t_k^+)$ and $x(t_k^-)$ exist with $x(t_k^-) = x(t_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$. Notice that $PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in J} |x(t)|$.

2. Preliminaries

Let $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ denote a Banach space of continuous functions from $[0, T]$ into \mathbb{R} with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \{|x(t)|\}$. Let $L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of functions $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are Lebesgue integrable and normed by $\|x\|_{L^1} = \int_0^T |x(t)| dt$.

Now we recall some basic definitions on multi-valued maps [17, 23].

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Then a multi-valued map $G : X \rightarrow 2^X$ is convex (closed) valued if $G(x)$ is convex (closed) for all $x \in X$. The map G is bounded on bounded sets if $G(\mathbb{B}) = \cup_{x \in \mathbb{B}} G(x)$ is bounded in X for any bounded set \mathbb{B} of X (i.e. $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}} \{\sup\{|y| : y \in G(x)\}\} < \infty$). G is called upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) on X if for each $x_0 \in X$, the set $G(x_0)$ is a nonempty closed subset of X , and if for each open set \mathbb{B} of X containing $G(x_0)$, there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{N} of x_0 such that $G(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathbb{B}$. G is said to be completely continuous if $G(\mathbb{B})$ is relatively compact for every bounded subset \mathbb{B} of X . If the multi-valued map G is completely continuous with nonempty compact values, then G is u.s.c. if and only if G has a closed graph, i.e., $x_n \rightarrow x_*$, $y_n \rightarrow y_*$, $y_n \in G(x_n)$ imply $y_* \in G(x_*)$.

In the following study, let $P_{CC}(X)$ denotes the set of all compact and convex subsets of X . G has a fixed point if there is $x \in X$ such that $x \in G(x)$.

The following lemma is necessary to define a solution of (1.1).

LEMMA 2.1. *For a given $\sigma \in PC[0, T]$, a function x is a solution of the following linear impulsive boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^q x(t) = \sigma(t), & 1 < q \leq 2, \quad t \in J_1 = [0, T] \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p\}, \\ \Delta x(t_k) = \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)), \quad \Delta x'(t_k) = \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)), & t_k \in (0, T), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ x(0) = -x(T), \quad x'(0) = -x'(T), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

if and only if x is a solution of the impulsive fractional integral equation

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds \\ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right), \\ t \in [0, t_1], \\ \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds \\ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right), \\ t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Suppose that x is a solution of (2.1). Then, for some constants $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$x(t) = I^q \sigma(t) - b_0 - b_1 t = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds - b_0 - b_1 t, \quad t \in [0, t_1]. \quad (2.3)$$

For some constants $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, we can write

$$x(t) = I^q \sigma(t) - c_0 - c_1(t - t_1) = \int_{t_1}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds - c_0 - c_1(t - t_1), \quad t \in (t_1, t_2].$$

Using the impulse conditions $\Delta x(t_1) = x(t_1^+) - x(t_1^-) = \mathcal{I}_1(x(t_1^-))$ and $\Delta x'(t_1) = x'(t_1^+) - x'(t_1^-) = \mathcal{I}_1(x(t_1^-))$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} -c_0 &= \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds - b_0 - b_1 t_1 + \mathcal{I}_1(x(t_1^-)), \\ -c_1 &= \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds - b_1 + \mathcal{I}_1(x(t_1^-)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_{t_1}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds + \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds - b_0 - b_1 t + \mathcal{I}_1(x(t_1^-)) \\ &\quad + (t - t_1) \left[\int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_1(x(t_1^-)) \right], \quad t \in (t_1, t_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the process in this way, the solution $x(t)$ for $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds - b_0 - b_1 t \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left[(t-t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \right], \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}].
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Applying the anti-periodic boundary conditions $x(0) = -x(T)$, $x'(0) = -x'(T)$, the values of b_0, b_1 are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds - \frac{T}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\frac{T}{2} - t_k \right) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right), \\
 b_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sigma(s) ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q)} \sigma(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the values of b_0, b_1 in (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain (2.2). Conversely, we assume that x is a solution of the impulsive fractional integral equation (2.2). It follows by a direct computation that x given by (2.2) satisfies the fractional linear anti-periodic boundary value problem (2.1). This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 2.1. The first three terms of the solution (2.2) correspond to the solution for the problem without impulses [4, 6]. The solution for the associated homogeneous problem with impulses and anti-periodic boundary conditions can be obtained by taking $\sigma = 0$ in (2.2).

In view of Lemma 2.1, we define the solutions of (1.1) as follows.

DEFINITION 2.4. A function x is a solution of the problem (1.1) if there exists a function $f \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ a.e. on $[0, T]$ and

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds \\ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right), \\ \quad t \in [0, t_1], \\ \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds \\ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right), \\ \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]. \end{cases}$$

For the forthcoming analysis, we need the following assumptions:

(A₁) Let $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ is convex valued, $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is upper semicontinuous for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and for each $x \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$, the set $S_{F,x} := \{f \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}) : f(t) \in F(t, x) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, T]\}$ is nonempty set;

(A₂) For each $r > 0$, there exists a function $m_r \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\|F(t, x)\| = \sup\{|v| : v(t) \in F(t, x)\} \leq m_r(t)$ for all $|x| \leq r$, and for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{\int_0^T m_r(t) dt}{r} \right) = \gamma < \infty,$$

where m_r depends on r ;

(A₃) $\mathcal{I}_k(x)$, $\mathcal{J}_k(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exist continuous nondecreasing functions $M_k, N_k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ such that $|\mathcal{I}_k(y)| \leq M_k(|y|)$, $|\mathcal{J}_k(y)| \leq N_k(|y|)$ for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} M_k(r)/r = \alpha_k < +\infty, \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} N_k(r)/r = \beta_k < +\infty,$$

Now we state the following lemmas which provide a platform to establish the main result of the paper.

LEMMA 2.2. (Bohnenblust-Karlin [10]) *Let D be a nonempty subset of a Banach space X , which is bounded, closed, and convex. Suppose that $G : D \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is u.s.c. with closed, convex values such that $G(D) \subset D$ and $\overline{G(D)}$ is compact. Then G has a fixed point.*

LEMMA 2.3. [29] *Let I be a compact real interval. Let F be a multi-valued map satisfying (A_1) and let Θ be linear continuous from $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(I, \mathbb{R})$, then the operator $\Theta \circ S_F : C(I, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PC(C(I, \mathbb{R}))$, $x \mapsto (\Theta \circ S_F)(x) = \Theta(S_{F,x})$ is a closed graph operator in $C(I, \mathbb{R}) \times C(I, \mathbb{R})$.*

3. Main result

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that the assumptions $(A_1) - (A_3)$ hold and*

$$\frac{4\Gamma(q)\gamma}{(5+q+p(7q-1))T^{q-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^p \left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha_k + \frac{T+6|T-t_k|}{4}\beta_k \right) < 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Then the impulsive anti-periodic problem (1.1) has at least one solution on $[0, T]$.

Proof. To transform the problem (1.1) into a fixed point problem, we define a multi-valued map $\Omega : PC(J, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(PC(J, \mathbb{R}))$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x) = & \left\{ h \in C([0, T]) : h(t) = \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds \right. \\ & + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T+2(t-t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\ & \left. + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right), f \in S_{F,x} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that the map Ω satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 2.2, and thus Ω has a fixed point which is a solution of the problem (1.1). As a first step, we show that $\Omega(x)$ is convex for each $x \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$. For that, let $h_1, h_2 \in \Omega(x)$. Then there exist $f_1, f_2 \in S_{F,x}$ such that for each $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(t) = & \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_i(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_i(s) ds \\ & + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_i(s) ds \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_i(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_i(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_i(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_i(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right), \quad i = 1, 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and for each $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\lambda h_1 + (1 - \lambda)h_2](t) \\
 = & \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} [\lambda f_1(s) + (1 - \lambda)f_2(s)] ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} [\lambda f_1(s) + (1 - \lambda)f_2(s)] ds \\
 & + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} [\lambda f_1(s) + (1 - \lambda)f_2(s)] ds \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} [\lambda f_1(s) + (1 - \lambda)f_2(s)] ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} [\lambda f_1(s) + (1 - \lambda)f_2(s)] ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} [\lambda f_1(s) + (1 - \lambda)f_2(s)] ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} [\lambda f_1(s) + (1 - \lambda)f_2(s)] ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

As $S_{F,x}$ is convex (F has convex values), therefore it follows that $\lambda h_1 + (1 - \lambda)h_2 \in \Omega(x)$.

To show that $\Omega(x)$ is closed for each $x \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$, let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in \Omega(x)$ be such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $PC(J, \mathbb{R})$. Then $u \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists a $v_n \in S_{F,x}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_n(t) = & \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} v_n(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} v_n(s) ds + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} v_n(s) ds \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} v_n(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} v_n(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} v_n(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} v_n(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

As F has compact values, we pass onto a subsequence to obtain that v_n converges to v in $L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}_+)$. Thus, $v \in S_{F,x}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t) &= \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} v(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} v(s) ds \\
&+ \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} v(s) ds \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} v(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T+2(t-t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} v(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} v(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} v(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $u \in \Omega(x)$.

Next we show that there exists a positive number r such that $\Omega(B_r) \subseteq B_r$, where $B_r = \{x \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}) : \|x\| \leq r\}$. Clearly B_r is a bounded closed convex set in $PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ for each positive constant r . If it is not true, then for each positive number r , there exists a function $x_r \in B_r, h_r \in \Omega(x_r)$ with $\|\Omega(x_r)\| > r$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
h_r(t) &= \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_r(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_r(s) ds \\
&+ \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_r(s) ds \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_r(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T+2(t-t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_r(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_r(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_r(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right), \text{ for some } f_r \in S_{F,x_r}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in view of $(A_2) - (A_3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 r < \|\Omega(x_r)\| &\leq \int_{t_k}^t \frac{|t-s|^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} |f_r(s)| ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{|T-s|^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} |f_r(s)| ds \\
 &+ \frac{|T-2t|}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{|T-s|^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} |f_r(s)| ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{|t_k-s|^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} |f_r(s)| ds + |\mathcal{I}_k(x_r(t_k^-))| \right) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T+2|t-t_k|) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} |f_r(s)| ds + |\mathcal{I}_k(x_r(t_k^-))| \right) \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{|t_k-s|^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} |f_r(s)| ds + |\mathcal{I}_k(x_r(t_k^-))| \right) \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |t-t_k| \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{|t_k-s|^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} |f_r(s)| ds + |\mathcal{I}_k(x_r(t_k^-))| \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{T^{q-1}(5+q+p(7q-1))}{4\Gamma(q)} \int_0^T m_r(s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^p \left(\frac{3}{2} M_k(r) + \frac{T+6|T-t_k|}{4} N_k(r) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Dividing both sides of (3.2) by r and taking the lower limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$\frac{4\Gamma(q)\gamma}{(5+q+p(7q-1))T^{q-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^p \left(\frac{3}{2} \alpha_k + \frac{T+6|T-t_k|}{4} \beta_k \right) \geq 1,$$

which contradicts (3.1). Hence there exists a positive number r' such that $\Omega(Br') \subseteq Br'$.

In order to show that $\Omega(B_{r'})$ is equi-continuous, we take $t', t'' \in [0, T]$ with $\tau_1 < \tau_2$. For $x \in B_{r'}$ and $h \in \Omega(x)$, there exists $f \in S_{F,x}$ such that for each $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(t) &= \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds \\
 &+ \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds \\
 &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
 &- \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T+2(t-t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right). \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |h(\tau_2) - h(\tau_1)| \\
& = \left| \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{(\tau_2 - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \int_{t_k}^{\tau_1} \frac{(\tau_2 - s)^{q-1} - (\tau_1 - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds \\
& \quad - \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \frac{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}{2} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} (\tau_2 - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_1} (\tau_2 - \tau_1) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{J}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \right| \\
& \leq \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{|\tau_2 - s|^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} m_{r'}(s) ds + \int_{t_k}^{\tau_1} \frac{|(\tau_2 - s)^{q-1} - (\tau_1 - s)^{q-1}|}{\Gamma(q)} m_{r'}(s) ds \\
& \quad + \frac{|\tau_2 - \tau_1|}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{|T - s|^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} m_{r'}(s) ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \frac{|\tau_2 - \tau_1|}{2} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} m_{r'}(s) ds + N_k(r) \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{|t_k - s|^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} m_{r'}(s) ds + M_k(r) \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} |\tau_2 - t_k| \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{|t_k - s|^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} m_{r'}(s) ds + N_k(r) \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_1} |\tau_2 - \tau_1| \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{|t_k - s|^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} m_{r'}(s) ds + N_k(r) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero independently of $x \in B_{r'}$ as $\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$. Thus, Ω is equi-continuous.

As Ω satisfies the above assumptions, therefore it follows by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem that Ω is a compact multi-valued map.

As a last step, we show that Ω has a closed graph. Let $x_n \rightarrow x_*$, $h_n \in \Omega(x_n)$ and $h_n \rightarrow h_*$. We will show that $h_* \in \Omega(x_*)$. By the relation $h_n \in \Omega(x_n)$, we mean that there exists $f_n \in S_{F,x_n}$ such that for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(t) &= \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_n(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_n(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_n(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_n(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_n(t_k^-)) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T+2(t-t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_n(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_n(t_k^-)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_n(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_n(t_k^-)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_n(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_n(t_k^-)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we need to show that there exists $f_* \in S_{F,x_*}$ such that for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_*(t) &= \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_*(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-)) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T+2(t-t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_*(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_*(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the continuous linear operator $\Theta : L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PC([0, T])$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} f \mapsto \Theta(f)(t) &= \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right) \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x(t_k^-)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|h_n(t) - h_*(t)\| \\
& = \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s)) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s)) ds \\
& + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s)) ds \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s)) ds + (\mathcal{I}_k(x_n(t_k^-)) - \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-))) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s)) ds + (\mathcal{I}_k(x_n(t_k^-)) - \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-))) \right) \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s)) ds + (\mathcal{I}_k(x_n(t_k^-)) - \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-))) \right) \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s)) ds + (\mathcal{I}_k(x_n(t_k^-)) - \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-))) \right) \Big\| \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows by Lemma 2.3 that $\Theta \circ S_F$ is a closed graph operator. Further, we have $h_n(t) \in \Theta(S_{F, x_n})$. Since $x_n \rightarrow x_*$, therefore, Lemma 2.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
h_*(t) & = - \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s) ds \\
& + \frac{(T-2t)}{4} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{(T-s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_*(s) ds \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-)) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 < t_k < T} (T + 2(t - t_k)) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_*(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-)) \right) \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-)) \right) \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} f_*(s) ds + \mathcal{I}_k(x_*(t_k^-)) \right), \text{ for some } f_* \in S_{F, x_*}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that Ω is a compact multi-valued map, u.s.c. with convex closed values. Thus, all the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied. Consequently, by the conclusion of Lemma 2.2, it follows that Ω has a fixed point x which is a solution of the problem (1.1). \square

EXAMPLE. Consider the following impulsive differential inclusions with anti-periodic boundary conditions.

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}}x(t) \in F(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \quad t \neq \frac{1}{3}, \\ \Delta x(\frac{1}{3}) = \frac{|x(\frac{1}{3}^-)|}{(7+|x(\frac{1}{3}^-)|)}, \quad \Delta x'(\frac{1}{3}) = \frac{|x(\frac{1}{3}^-)|}{(11+|x(\frac{1}{3}^-)|)}, \\ x(0) = -x(1), \quad x'(0) = -x'(1). \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Clearly $q = \frac{3}{2}$, $T = 1$ and $p = 1$. Let us Choose $F(t, x)$ satisfying the conditions $\|F(t, x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4(1+t)^2}|x| + e^{-t}$. Clearly the condition (3.1) is satisfied:

$$\frac{4\Gamma(q)\gamma}{(5 + q + p(7q - 1))T^{q-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^p \left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha_k + \frac{T + 6|T - t_k|}{4}\beta_k \right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{32} < 1.$$

As all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, the anti-periodic impulsive problem (3.4) has at least one solution on $[0, 1]$.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable remarks that led to the improvement of the original manuscript.

The research of J. J. Nieto was partially supported by Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia and FEDER, Project MTM2007-61724 and MTM2010-15314, and by Xunta de Galicia and FEDER, project PGIDIT06PXIB207023PR.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. AHMAD, J. J. NIETO, *Existence and approximation of solutions for a class of nonlinear impulsive functional differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **69** (2008), 3291–3298.
- [2] B. AHMAD, J.J. NIETO, *Existence results for nonlinear boundary value problems of fractional integrodifferential equations with integral boundary conditions*, *Boundary Value Problems*, Article ID 708576 (2009), 11 pages.
- [3] B. AHMAD, S. SIVASUNDARAM, *Existence results for nonlinear impulsive hybrid boundary value problems involving fractional differential equations*, *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems* **3** (2009), 251–258.
- [4] B. AHMAD, V. OTERO-ESPINAR, *Existence of solutions for fractional differential inclusions with anti-periodic boundary conditions*, *Boundary Value Problems*, Article ID 625347 (2009), 11 pages.
- [5] B. AHMAD, J.J. NIETO, *Existence results for higher order fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal boundary conditions*, *Nonlinear Studies* **17** (2010), 131–138.
- [6] B. AHMAD, JUAN J. NIETO, *Existence of solutions for anti-periodic boundary value problems involving fractional differential equations via Leray-Schauder degree theory*, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis* **35** (2010), 295–304.

- [7] B. AHMAD, *Some existence results for boundary value problems of fractional semilinear evolution equations*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **28** (2009), 1–7.
- [8] M. BELMEKKI, JUAN J. NIETO, AND R. RODRÍGUEZ-LÓPEZ, *Existence of periodic solution for a nonlinear fractional differential equation*, Boundary Value Problems (2000), Art. ID 324561, 18 pages.
- [9] M. BENCHOHA, S. HAMANI, *The method of upper and lower solutions and impulsive fractional differential inclusions*, Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems **3** (2009), 433–440.
- [10] H. F. BOHNENBLUST, S. KARLIN, *On a theorem of Ville*, In *Contributions to the Theory of Games Vol. I*, pp. 155–160, Princeton Univ. Press, 1950.
- [11] Y.-K. CHANG, J.J. NIETO, *Some new existence results for fractional differential inclusions with boundary conditions*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling **49** (2009), 605–609.
- [12] Y.-K. CHANG, W. T. LI AND J. J. NIETO, *Controllability of evolution differential inclusions in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **67** (2007), 623–632.
- [13] Y.-K. CHANG, J.J. NIETO, *Existence of solutions for impulsive neutral integro-differential inclusions with nonlocal initial conditions via fractional operators*, Numerical Func. Anal. Optim. **30** (2009), 227–244.
- [14] Y.-K. CHANG, J.J. NIETO, W.S. LI, *On impulsive hyperbolic differential inclusions with nonlocal initial conditions*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **140** (2009), 431–442.
- [15] Y. CHEN, J.J. NIETO, D. O'REGAN, *Antiperiodic solutions for fully nonlinear first-order differential equations*, Math. Comput. Modelling **46**, 9-10 (2007), 1183–1190.
- [16] V. DAFTARDAR-GEJJI, S. BHALEKAR, *Boundary value problems for multi-term fractional differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **345** (2008), 754–765.
- [17] K. DEIMLING, *Multivalued Differential Equations*, De Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [18] G.H. ERJAE, S. Momani *Phase synchronization in fractional differential chaotic systems*, Physics Letters A **372** (2008), 2350–2354.
- [19] D. FRANCO, J.J. NIETO, D. O'REGAN, *Anti-periodic boundary value problem for nonlinear first order ordinary differential equations*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **6** (2003), 477–485.
- [20] M. FRIGON, *Systems of first order differential inclusions with maximal monotone terms*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **66** (2007), 2064–2077.
- [21] V. GAFIYCHUK, B. DATSKO, V. MELESHKO, *Mathematical modeling of time fractional reaction-diffusion systems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **220** (2008), 215–225.
- [22] J. HENDERSON, A. OUAHAB, *Fractional functional differential inclusions with finite delay*, Nonlinear Anal. **70** (2009), 2091–2105.
- [23] S. HU, N. PAPAGEORGIOU, *Handbook of Multivalued Analysis*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, Boston, 1997.
- [24] R. W. IBRAHIM, M. DARUS, *Subordination and superordination for univalent solutions for fractional differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **345** (2008), 871–879.
- [25] A. A. KILBAS, H.M. SRIVASTAVA, J.J. TRUJILLO, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [26] S. LADACI, J.L. LOISEAU, A. CHAREF, *Fractional order adaptive high-gain controllers for a class of linear systems*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **13** (2008), 707–714.
- [27] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, D.D. BAINOV, P.S. SIMEONOV, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [28] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, S. LEELA, J. VASUNDHARA DEVI, *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Academic Publishers, Cambridge, 2009.
- [29] A. LASOTA, Z. OPIAL, *An application of the Kakutani-Ky Fan theorem in the theory of ordinary differential equations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser.Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **13** (1965), 781–786.
- [30] M.P. LAZAREVIĆ, *Finite time stability analysis of PD^α fractional control of robotic time -delay systems*, Mech. Res. Comm. **33** (2006), 269–279.
- [31] W.S. LI, Y.K. CHANG, J.J. NIETO, *Existence results for impulsive neutral evolution differential inclusions with state-dependent delay*, Math. Comput. Model. **49** (2009), 1920–1927.
- [32] B. LIU, *An anti-periodic LaSalle oscillation theorem for a class of functional differential equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **223** (2009), 1081–1086.
- [33] Z. LUO, J. SHEN, J.J. NIETO, *antiperiodic boundary value problem for first-order impulsive ordinary differential equations*, Comput. Math. Appl. **49** (2005), 253–261.
- [34] J.J. NIETO, R. RODRIGUEZ-LOPEZ, *Euler polygonal method for metric dynamical systems*, Information Sciences **177** (2007), 4256–4270.

- [35] A. OUAHAB, *Some results for fractional boundary value problem of differential inclusions*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **69** (2008), 3877–3896.
- [36] I. PODLUBNY, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [37] S.Z. RIDA, H.M. EL-SHERBINY, A.A.M. ARAFA, *On the solution of the fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, *Physics Letters A* **372** (2008), 553–558.
- [38] Y.V. ROGOVCHENKO, *Impulsive evolution systems: Main results and new trends*, *Dynam. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Systems* **3** (1997), 57–88.
- [39] S.G. SAMKO, A.A. KILBAS, O.I. MARICHEV, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- [40] A.M. SAMOILENKO, N.A. PERESTYUK, *Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [41] G.V. SMIRNOV, *Introduction to the theory of differential inclusions*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [42] S.T. ZAVALISHCHIN, A.N. SESEKIN, *Dynamic Impulse Systems. Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.

(Received October 15, 2009)

Bashir Ahmad
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
King Abdulaziz University
P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589
Saudi Arabia
e-mail: bashir_gau@yahoo.com

Juan J. Nieto
Departamento de Análisis Matemático
Facultad de Matemáticas
Universidad de Santiago de Compostela
15782, Santiago de Compostela
Spain
e-mail: juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es