Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ mesa microdiodes: study of edge recombination and behaviour under concentrated sunlight

M. Paire^{*a}, C. Jean^a, L. Lombez^a, S. Collin^b, J.-L. Pelouard^b, D. Lincot^a, J.-F. Guillemoles^a ^a IRDEP – EDF R&D, 6 quai Watier, 78401 Chatou, France ; IRDEP – CNRS, France ; IRDEP – Chimie ParisTech, France; ^b LPN-CNRS, Route de Nozay, Marcoussis, FRANCE

ABSTRACT

In order to develop photovoltaic devices with increased efficiency using less rare semiconductor materials, the concentrating approach is applied on Cu(In,Ga)Se2 thin film devices. For this purpose, Cu(In,Ga)Se2 microcells with a mesa design are fabricated. The influence of the edge recombination signal is analyzed. It is found that with an appropriate etching procedure, devices as small as $50x50 \ \mu m$ do not experience edge recombination efficiency limitations. Under concentration, significant Voc gains are seen, leading to an absolute efficiency increase of two points per decade.

Keywords: Cu(In,Ga)Se₂, thin film, mesa diodes, microcell, concentration, edge recombination

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to limit the consumption of rare material, $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ concentrator cell are studied. It has been shown previously that diminishing the size of $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ solar cells enables the use of concentrated illumination[1,2]. A specific point is studied in this paper: the role of edge recombination. Indeed if edge recombination velocity is too high, microscale CIGS devices may encounter high recombination current densities, and thus their performances could be hindered. It is known that for mono-crystalline GaAs solar cells, the device size should not be decreased under 0.1 mm²[3]. The goal of the present study is to evaluate the importance of edge recombination on $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ mesa diodes, and thus determine if $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ mesa diodes with perimeters in the tens of microns, or hundreds of micron range, have good electrical performances.

2. FABRICATION OF CU(IN,GA)SE₂ MESA DIODES

2.1 Fabrication of the Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ cells

The fabrication of $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ mesa diodes begins with the realization of a standard $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ thin film solar cell. A molybdenum layer of thickness 500 nm is deposited on a soda-lime glass substrate. Then a 2 µm thick $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ layer is deposited by a three-stage co-evaporation process [4,5]. A 50 nm thick CdS layer is deposited by chemical bath deposition [6], and finally a ZnO:i/ ZnO:Al bilayer is deposited by sputtering (thickness 300 nm). Once this Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ substrate is completed, we process to the realization of the mesa.

2.2 Etching procedure

The mesa are obtained from the $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ solar cell by successive etching (Figure 1). First a lithographic step is performed to protect areas on the substrate by photoresist (step b). Then the ZnO window layer and CdS buffer layer are etched in an aqueous hydrochloric acidic solution (step c and d). The $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ is etched by a bromine solution (step e) [7,8]. Finally the photoresist is rinsed in acetone (step f), and the mesa can be measured directly. To ease electrical measurements, a planarization and then contacting procedure can be used (step g and h) [9].

Physics, Simulation, and Photonic Engineering of Photovoltaic Devices III, edited by Alexandre Freundlich, Jean-François Guillemoles, Proc. of SPIE Vol. 8981, 89810Z · © 2014 SPIE CCC code: 0277-786X/14/\$18 · doi: 10.1117/12.2039579

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 8981 89810Z-1



Figure 1. Fabrication process of Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ mesa diodes

2.3 Electrical characterization

The current-voltage characteristics of the mesas are measured both in the dark in a four point-probe configuration, and under illumination (AM1.5G illumination, Newport class AAA solar simulator) in a two point-probe configuration. We seek to determine an electrical signal coming from the edges. Indeed, we model the total recombination current $I_{01/02}$ as composed of a bulk and a perimeter component [10] :

And thus

$$I_{01/02} = J_{01/02,bulk} \times A + J_{01/02,perimeter} \times P$$

$$J_{01/02} = J_{01/02,bulk} + J_{01/02,perimeter} \times \frac{P}{A}$$

Where $J_{01/02,bulk}$ and $J_{01/02,perimeter}$ are the saturation current density associated to volume recombination and to perimeter recombination respectively, *A* the mesa area and *P* its perimeter. Thus we expect to see a dependence of the diode current density with respect to the mesa geometry.

3. EFFECT OF THE MESA EDGE ON ELECTRICAL PERFORMANCES OF THE DIODES

3.1 Measurement of an electrical signal form the edges

We measure the current-voltage characteristics of the $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ mesa diodes, and fit the curves by a two-diode model (Figure 2 left). Then we plot the current saturation density, corresponding to an ideality factor of 2, as a function of the microcells area (Figure 2 right), as well as the open-circuit voltage under AM1.5 illumination.



Figure 2. (left) Example of a measured current voltage curve (red dots) and its two diode fit (black line). The dotted lines correspond to the different components of the fitting equation (right) Saturation current density J02 and Open circuit voltage under AM1.5G illumination as a function of the Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ mesa diode area.

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 8981 89810Z-2

Downloaded From: http://proceedings.spiedigitallibrary.org/ on 03/05/2015 Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms

We can see that the saturation current densities, evaluated from dark measurements, are almost constant for mesa between 10^{-5} and 10^{-1} cm². It could be seen that the value even tends to decrease slightly for very small devices. The opposite behavior would be expected if a significant contribution to the recombination current was given by the edges, as the ratio perimeter/area is bigger for smaller devices. Thus, we can conclude that edge recombination effect is negligible on our devices. This indicates that bromine etches result in well passivated surfaces. As a consequence of this independence of dark currents on the mesa area, the open-circuit voltage is also constant (Figure 2).

3.2 Degradation of the surface

In complement to the experiment described before, we intentionally degraded the $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ surfaces by proceeding to a peroxide treatment, to see if the edge current become measurable. The sample is immersed in a aqueous peroxide solution for 1 mn and measured again afterwards. We observed a signal coming from the perimeter, as it is more intense of the smallest mesa. This signal is mainly a shunt current, as can be observed in Si microdiodes [11]. This behavior is logical as peroxide etches are known to degrade $Cu(In,Ga)Se_2$ surface and form some metallic phases (indium and selenium for example), which could explain the apparition of shunt current [12].

4. CONCLUSION

We have fabricated Cu(In,Ga)Se2 microcells with a mesa design. The influence of the edge recombination was studied and is found very weak for Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ solar cells. Indeed it is found that with an appropriate etching procedure, devices as small as 50x50 μ m do not experience edge recombination efficiency limitations. Under concentration, significant Voc gains are seen, leading to an absolute efficiency increase of two points per decade.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Isabelle Gerard and Arnaud Etcheberry for their help with the bromine solution preparation for Cu(In,Ga)Se2 etching.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Paire, L. Lombez, J.-F. Guillemoles, and D. Lincot, "Toward microscale Cu(In,Ga)Se2 solar cells for efficient conversion and optimized material usage: Theoretical evaluation," *J. Appl. Phys.* **108**, 034907 (2010).
- [2] M. Paire, L. Lombez, N. Pere-Laperne, S. Collin, J.-L. Pelouard, D. Lincot, and J.-F. Guillemoles, "Microscale solar cells for high concentration on polycrystalline Cu(In,Ga)Se[sub 2] thin films," *Applied Physics Letters* 98, 264102 (2011).
- [3] C. Algora, I. Rey-Stolle, B. Galiana, J. R. Gonzalez, M. Baudrit, and I. Garcia, "Strategic options for a led-like approach in III-V concentrator photovoltaics," in *Conference Record of the 2006 IEEE 4th World Conference on Photovoltaic Energy Conversion, Vols 1 and 2*, pp. 741–744, IEEE, New York (2006).
- [4] M. Paire, L. Lombez, F. Donsanti, M. Jubault, S. Collin, J.-L. Pelouard, J.-F. Guillemoles, and D. Lincot, "Cu(In, Ga)Se2 microcells: high efficiency and low material consumption," *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy* 5, 011202 (2013) [doi:10.1063/1.4791778].
- [5] T. klinkert, M. Jubault, F. Donsanti, D. Lincot, and J.-F. Guillemoles, "Diffusion Phenomena during Multi-Stage Co-Evaporation Processes of CIGS Solar Cells," in *Proceedings of the 28th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition* CdTe, CIS and Related Ternary and Quaternary Thin Film Solar Cells, pp. 2453 – 2454, Paris, France (2013) [doi:10.4229/28thEUPVSEC2013-3BV.6.56].
- [6] N. Naghavi, D. Abou-Ras, N. Allsop, N. Barreau, S. Bücheler, A. Ennaoui, C.-H. Fischer, C. Guillen, D. Hariskos, et al., "Buffer layers and transparent conducting oxides for chalcopyrite Cu(In,Ga)(S,Se)2 based thin film photovoltaics: present status and current developments," *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications* 18, 411–433 (2010) [doi:10.1002/pip.955].

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 8981 89810Z-3

- [7] Z. Jehl, F. Erfurth, N. Naghavi, L. Lombez, I. Gerard, M. Bouttemy, P. Tran-Van, A. Etcheberry, G. Voorwinden, et al., "Thinning of CIGS solar cells : Part II: cell characterisations," *Thin Solid Films* **519**, 7212–7215 (2011).
- [8] B. Canava, J. F. Guillemoles, J. Vigneron, D. Lincot, and A. Etcheberry, "Chemical elaboration of well defined Cu(In,Ga)Se2 surfaces after aqueous oxidation etching," *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* 64, 1791– 1796 (2003).
- [9] M. Paire, "Highly efficient solar cells in low dimensionality based on Cu(In,Ga)Se2 chalcopyrite materials," PhD dissertation, UPMC (2012).
- [10] P. E. Dodd, T. B. Stellwag, M. R. Melloch, and M. S. Lundstrom, "Surface and perimeter recombination in GaAs diodes: an experimental and theoretical investigation," *Electron Devices, IEEE Transactions on* 38, 1253–1261 (1991).
- [11] K. Nishioka, N. Sakitani, Y. Uraoka, and T. Fuyuki, "Analysis of multicrystalline silicon solar cells by modified 3diode equivalent circuit model taking leakage current through periphery into consideration," *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells* **91**, 1222–1227 (2007) [doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2007.04.009].
- [12] T. Delsol, M. . Simmonds, and I. . Dharmadasa, "Chemical etching of Cu(In,Ga)Se2 layers for fabrication of electronic devices," *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells* 77, 331–339 (2003) [doi:10.1016/S0927-0248(02)00352-5].

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 8981 89810Z-4