

Systems Analysis, Simulation, and Signal Processing Aspects of Coordinated Experimental and Modeling Investigations of Gas Discharge Switch Breakdown and Energy Loss Behavior

Ron Pate, Doug Riley, Paull Patterson, Larry Rinehart, Malcolm Buttram,
Scott MacGregor⁺, Andrew Dick⁺, and Erich Kunhardt*

Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, USA Email: rcpate@sandia.gov

⁺ University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland, UK

* Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ, USA

RECEIVED
SEP 15 2000
OSTI

ABSTRACT

The authors are engaged in an experimental and modeling investigation of the macroscopic electrical turn-on behavior of high-pressure gas discharge switching. Emphasis is on characterizing the first few nanoseconds of switch turn-on for a range of operational conditions (i.e., gas type, gas pressure, gap length, drive circuit impedance, etc.). This paper describes the modeling, signal processing, and systems analysis aspects of the investigations. A high-fidelity 3-D model of the experimental apparatus is developed and simulations are performed using a full 3-D time-domain Maxwell solver. This provides detailed electrical characterization of the experimental system and development of transfer functions that allow for the estimation of time-varying discharge gap voltage and current waveforms based on experimental D-dot probe measurements taken some distance away from the discharge gap. The steps of this process are outlined, example results are shown, work currently underway is discussed, and future directions noted.

1. INTRODUCTION

The time required for plasma channel voltage collapse in a gas discharge switch can become an appreciable fraction of the overall pulse duration in fast, short-pulse applications. This results in high switch energy losses that can severely impact circuit operation and system performance. The problem is exacerbated in low-impedance, high-power circuits, where switch losses can cause operational problems even under much slower and longer pulse-duration conditions [1]. The design and operation of gas discharge switches, particularly for use in ultra-fast, short-pulse-duration applications, could benefit from improved predictive modeling of the macroscopic electrical behavior of the discharge turn-on process as a function of switch and discharge circuit parameters (e.g., gas type, gas pressure, gap length, circuit impedance, etc.). To address this, the authors have been engaged in experimental and modeling investigations that focus on quantitative electrical characterization of the first few nanoseconds of plasma closing switch turn-on behavior as a function of key operational parameters. The experimental work to-date has focused on self-break switching

under relatively controlled low over-voltage conditions for a range of gas types and operational parameters [2-5]. The experimental apparatus consists of a pulse-charged pulse-forming-line (PFL) structure closely coupled to a pressurized gas switch. Upon breakdown, the charged PFL discharges through the switch. Experimental diagnostics consist of medium bandwidth (100-MHz) charge voltage measurements and high-bandwidth (multi-GHz) D-dot probe measurements of the high-speed discharge event [2-5]. The diagnostics have been limited by equipment availability, resources, and the desire to minimize impact on test system operation.

2. MODELING AND ANALYSIS APPROACH

High-fidelity 3-D electromagnetic modeling and simulation is combined with linear systems analysis in order to augment the experimental data. The modeling and simulation provides detailed electrical characterization of the discharge system and allows for the relatively accurate estimation of the time-varying discharge channel voltage and current based on the available experimental data. Addition of detailed discharge channel physical process modeling within this overall system modeling and simulation framework will allow for the estimation of time-varying discharge channel resistance and energy loss for a given set of experimental conditions. This approach is expected to provide for optimization of the discharge model and associated parameters for best agreement with experiment, which can lead to improved performance prediction capabilities. This is shown schematically in Fig-1.

3. DAC SYSTEM DECONVOLUTION

To restore maximum usable information content, the experimental D-dot probe measurement data must be compensated to correct for bandwidth limitation and other signal loss effects imposed by the data acquisition (DAC) system, shown in Fig-2. The D-dot probe, shown in Fig-3, has a response bandwidth in excess of 6-GHz [4], while the SCD5000 Transient Digitizer is limited to about 2.5-GHz due to an internal delay line. The cabling, connectors, and attenuators have high bandwidth, but introduce minor additional amplitude loss.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.




Figure 1: Block Diagram Showing Key Elements of the Experimental and Modeling Investigations




Figure 2: Block diagram of DAC System




Figure 3: Cross Section of D-dot Probe

The DAC system response is removed from the measured D-dot data by first developing a deconvolution operator for the system. This is accomplished by providing a well-characterized high-bandwidth sampled reference pulse as input $x(t)$ to the DAC system, and recording the response $y(t_k)$, as shown in Fig-2. The input reference and




Figure 4: DAC System Input Reference Pulse $x(t)$ and Output Response Pulse $y(t)$

output response signals are shown in Fig-4. The reference signal used in this study was a fast-rising (15-ps) step-like pulse provided by a tunnel diode generator (Picosecond Labs Model 4015B). The reference pulse was recorded using a high-bandwidth (20-GHz) sampling oscilloscope (Tektronix CSA-803 with an SD-26 Sampling Head). Uniform sampling of both input and output signals was done using 1024-points over a 5-ns time window. The resulting step-like pulses were then converted to duration-limited waveforms and transformed into the frequency-domain using the complete FFT technique [7-8]. A deconvolution operator was then developed which incorporates a form of Wiener filtering to preserve accuracy while minimizing noise errors [9]. The process is as follows: The DAC is assumed to be a linear time-invariant system which can be characterized by an impulse response function $d(t)$. The system output is then related to the input by

$$y(t) = d(t) * x(t), \quad (1)$$

where $*$ denotes time-domain convolution. Taking the Fourier transform of (1) yields

$$Y(\omega) = D(\omega) \bullet X(\omega), \quad (2)$$

where $Y(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of $y(t)$, $X(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of $x(t)$, and \bullet denotes multiplication. $D(\omega)$ is the DAC system transfer function, which is the Fourier transform of $d(t)$.

$D(\omega)$ can then be estimated by

$$D_e(\omega) = Y(\omega) \bullet [X^*(\omega) / \{\lambda + |X(\omega)|^2\}], \quad (3)$$

where $*$ denotes complex conjugate and λ is a filter parameter chosen in accordance with selected optimization criteria [9]. We now consider the case where the DAC system input is the D-dot probe output voltage signal $v_p(t)$ and the measured result is $v_m(t)$. Transforming to the frequency domain gives

$$V_m(\omega) \cong D_e(\omega) \bullet V_p(\omega), \quad (4)$$

where $V_m(\omega)$ and $V_p(\omega)$ are the frequency-domain representations for the measured data and D-dot probe output voltage, respectively. Based on the known functions $V_m(\omega)$ and $D_e(\omega)$, $V_p(\omega)$ can be estimated from

$$V_p(\omega) \cong V_m(\omega) \bullet [D_e^*(\omega) / \{\beta + |D_e(\omega)|^2\}], \quad (5)$$

where β is again a filter parameter chosen on the basis of selected optimization criteria [9]. Equation (5) represents the frequency-domain deconvolution of the DAC system response from the measured experimental data resulting in the "estimated" D-dot probe output signal. Proper selection of the filter parameters provides good estimate accuracy and minimum noise error within the limits of the available signal information content. Fig-5 shows an example of measured D-dot probe data before and after compensation.

4. TEST SYSTEM MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The next stage of the analysis involved development of a high-fidelity model of the experimental apparatus for use with a powerful




Figure 5: Overlay of D-dot Probe Data Before and After Compensation Through Deconvolution of the DAC System Response

time-domain modeling and simulation tool developed at Sandia National Laboratories named the Transient Electromagnetic *Volumetric Maxwell* (VOLMAX) Solver System [10]. VOLMAX is a general-purpose transient electromagnetic field simulator that operates on hybrid grid structures and is coupled to a commercial CAD system that provides advanced 3-D solid modeling, meshing, and post-processing capabilities [11].

Fig-6 shows the cross-section of an example solid 3-D model for use with VOLMAX simulations. The model is of the high-pressure test apparatus with the gap length set at 1.3-mm. It consists of a full 3-D mesh of 3-million tetrahedral elements. Computational economy is achieved by making use of 2-planes of symmetry to reduce the effective number of elements by a factor of four to 750,000 tetrahedra. The model includes the D-dot probe of Fig-3, located 57-mm from the discharge axis. Also included in the model is an absorbing boundary located 2-cm beyond the outer edges of the physical structure. This serves as the outer boundary for the model volume, and also absorbs the fields that radiate from the edges of the test apparatus. Electromagnetic modeling and simulation with VOLMAX is discussed in greater detail elsewhere [6,10].





Figure 6: Cross sectional view of half of the 3-D model of the high-pressure test apparatus [5]



$$\text{Where, } V_p(\omega) = H(\omega) \cdot V_g(\omega) = G(\omega) \cdot I_g(\omega)$$

Figure 7: Block Diagram of Linear System Model Transfer Function Characterization

5. MODEL SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION

The detailed geometrical configuration and dimensions of the solid model of Fig-6 closely replicate the actual experimental apparatus with relatively high fidelity. The present model assumes perfect conductors and linear dielectrics. The relative permittivity of the nylon dielectric is about 3.0 for the dominant frequency content of the fast signals in this analysis. The O-ring seal material present in the actual test apparatus is not included in the present model, which assumes that the ring grooves are nylon-filled. From a linear systems analysis viewpoint, the system is represented by Fig-7, where the discharge gap voltage or current can be taken as the system input and the D-dot probe output voltage is taken to be the system response. Model system characterization is achieved through simulation with a known fast impulse (or step) input function. The simulated probe output then provides the impulse (or step) response of the system. Gap voltage is assumed to have uniform axial distribution. Current consistent with the applied voltage distribution and Maxwell's equations is calculated on the surface of a perfectly conducting cylinder 0.5-mm in diameter bridging the gap and centered on the symmetry axis. Fig-8 shows an impulse voltage input and resulting probe response. From the simulated impulse response data, the system transfer functions $H(\omega)$ and $G(\omega)$ shown in Fig-7 can be calculated. In a manner analogous to the earlier treatment of the DAC system, the model system transfer functions can be used to deconvolve the system response from the experimental D-dot




Figure-8: Simulated probe output response to an input impulse voltage across the discharge gap

probe data in order to obtain estimates for the voltage and current across the discharge gap. The model probe was calibrated by using measured charge voltage waveform data as input and comparison to the integral of the simulated probe response. A resulting model probe calibration factor of 1.40×10^{12} was obtained, which agrees to within 4% of the experimentally-determined probe calibration factor of 1.45×10^{12} .

6. VOLTAGE AND CURRENT ESTIMATES

The model system transfer functions allow for the deconvolution of the system response from the experimental data. An example result of this process is shown in Fig-9, which gives estimates of discharge voltage and current for the case of hydrogen at 30.7-bar with 1.3-mm gap length at 50-kV breakdown voltage. The time derivative of current, dI/dt , is also plotted in Fig-9.




Figure 9: Example of estimated discharge voltage, current, and dI/dt as functions of time

The plot of dI/dt most clearly shows the initial wavefront launched into the system upon breakdown initiation, followed some 2.3-ns later by the return reflection from the outer edge of the test apparatus. Fig-10 shows the good agreement of the original compensated experimental D-dot data used to derive the discharge voltage estimate shown in Fig-9, and the simulated probe response obtained when this estimated voltage waveform is used as model input voltage for VOLMAX simulation.




Figure 10: Comparison of Simulated and Experimentally-Measured Probe Response

7. SUMMARY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

High-fidelity 3-D electromagnetic modeling, combined with linear systems analysis and signal

processing techniques, provide a powerful complement to experimental investigations into high-speed gas discharge turn-on behavior. To fully realize the potential of this approach, further work is underway to incorporate modeling of the discharge channel physics and develop estimates of discharge resistance and energy loss as functions of time. The longer-term goal is development of improved gas discharge switch engineering design and performance modeling capabilities.

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work has been supported by Sandia National Laboratories. Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

9. REFERENCES

- [1] T.H. Martin, J.F. Seamen, and D.O. Jobe, "Energy Losses in Switches", in *Proc. 9th IEEE Intl Pulsed Power Conference*, 1993.
- [2] A.R. Dick, et.al., "An Investigation into High-Speed Gas Breakdown", in *Proc. 23rd Intl. Power Modulator Symp.*, 1998.
- [3] A.R. Dick, et.al., "Breakdown Phenomena in Ultra-fast Plasma Closing Switches", in *Proc. 12th IEEE Intl. Pulsed Power Conference*, 1999.
- [4] A.R. Dick, et.al., "High Speed Gas Breakdown in Plasma Closing Switches", in *Proc. 11th Intl Symp. on High-Voltage Engineering*, August 1999.
- [5] A.R. Dick, et.al., "Experimental Considerations in the Investigation of High-Speed Gas Breakdown", in *Proc. 13th Intl. Conf. on Gas Discharges and their Appl.*, September 2000.
- [6] Ron Pate, Doug Riley, et.al., "Modeling High-Speed Gas Discharge Switching for Wideband and Ultra-Wideband Applications Using a Transient, Full-Wave Electromagnetic Solver with an Integrated Gas Discharge Physics Model", presented at *Joint IEEE/URSI Antennas and Propagation Symp.*, Salt Lake City, July 17, 2000.
- [7] Amr M. Shaarawi and Sedki M. Riad, "Computing the Complete FFT of a Step-Like Waveform", *IEEE Trans. Instr. Meas.*, Vol. IM-35, No. 1, March 1986.
- [8] E.O. Brigham, *The Fast Fourier Transform*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1974.
- [9] Abdelhak Bennis and Sedki M. Riad, "An Optimization Technique for Iterative Frequency-Domain Deconvolution", *IEEE Trans. Instr. Meas.*, Vol. 39, No. 2, April 1990.
- [10] Douglas J. Riley and C. David Turner, "VOLMAX: A Solid-Model-Based, Transient Volumetric Maxwell Solver Using Hybrid Grids", *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine*, Vol.39, No.1, February 1997.
- [11] *I-DEAS Master Series*, Structural Dynamics Research Corporation, Milford, OH, USA 45150-2789.