



On imbalances in oriented multipartite graphs

S. Pirzada

King Fahd University of Petroleum and
Minerals
Dhahran, Saudi Arabia
email: sdpirzada@yahoo.co.in

Abdulaziz M. Al-Assaf

King Fahd University of Petroleum and
Minerals
Dhahran, Saudi Arabia
email: alassaf@kfupm.edu.sa

Koko K. Kayibi

King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals
Dhahran, Saudi Arabia
email: kayibi@kfupm.edu.sa

Abstract. An oriented k -partite graph (multipartite graph) is the result of assigning a direction to each edge of a simple k -partite graph. Let $D(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$ be an oriented k -partite graph, and let $d_{v_{ij}}^+$ and $d_{v_{ij}}^-$ be respectively the outdegree and indegree of a vertex v_{ij} in V_i . Define $b_{v_{ij}}$ (or simply b_{ij} as $b_{ij} = d_{v_{ij}}^+ - d_{v_{ij}}^-$) as the imbalance of the vertex v_{ij} . In this paper, we characterize the imbalances of oriented k -partite graphs and give a constructive and existence criteria for sequences of integers to be the imbalances of some oriented k -partite graph. Also, we show the existence of an oriented k -partite graph with the given imbalance set.

1 Introduction

A digraph without loops and without multi-arcs is called a simple digraph. Mubayi et al. [1] defined the imbalance of a vertex v_i in a digraph as b_{v_i} (or simply b_i) = $d_{v_i}^+ - d_{v_i}^-$, where $d_{v_i}^+$ and $d_{v_i}^-$ are respectively the outdegree and indegree of v_i . The imbalance sequence of a simple digraph is formed by

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C20

Key words and phrases: digraph, imbalance, outdegree, indegree, oriented graph, oriented multipartite graph, arc

listing the vertex imbalances in non-increasing order. A sequence of integers $F = [f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]$ with $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \dots \geq f_n$ is feasible if it has sum zero and satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i \leq k(n-k)$, for $1 \leq k < n$.

The following result [1] provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence of integers to be the imbalance sequence of a simple digraph.

Theorem 1 *A sequence is realizable as an imbalance sequence if and only if it is feasible.*

The above result is equivalent to saying that a sequence of integers $B = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n]$ with $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n$ is an imbalance sequence of a simple digraph if and only if for $1 \leq k < n$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k b_i \leq k(n-k),$$

with equality when $k = n$.

On arranging the imbalance sequence in non-decreasing order, we have the following observation.

Theorem 2 *A sequence of integers $B = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n]$ with $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ is an imbalance sequence of a simple digraph if and only if for $1 \leq k < n$*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k b_i \geq k(n-k),$$

with equality when $k = n$.

Various results for imbalances in digraphs and oriented graphs can be found in [2, 3, 4, 5].

2 Imbalance sequences in oriented multipartite graphs

An oriented multipartite (k -partite) graph is the result of assigning a direction to each edge of a simple multipartite (k -partite) graph, $k \geq 2$. Throughout this paper we denote an oriented k -partite graph by k -OG, unless otherwise stated. Let $V_i = \{v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \dots, v_{in_i}\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be k parts of k -OG $D(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$,

and let $d_{v_{ij}}^+$ and $d_{v_{ij}}^-$, $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, be respectively the outdegree and indegree of a vertex v_{ij} in V_i . Define $b_{v_{ij}}$ (or simply b_{ij} as $b_{ij} = d_{v_{ij}}^+ - d_{v_{ij}}^-$ as the imbalance of the vertex v_{ij}). The sequences $B_i = [b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{in_i}]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, in non-decreasing order are called the imbalance sequences of $D(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$.

The k sequences of integers $B_i = [b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{in_i}]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, in nondecreasing order are said to be realizable if there exists a k -OG with imbalance sequences B_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Various criteria for imbalance sequences in k -OG can be found in [2].

For any two vertices v_{ij} in V_i and v_{lm} in V_l ($i \neq l$, $1 \leq i \leq l \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, $1 \leq m \leq n_l$) of k -OG $D(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$, we have one of the following possibilities.

- (i). An arc directed from v_{ij} to v_{lm} , denoted by $v_{ij}(1-0)v_{lm}$.
- (ii). An arc directed from v_{lm} to v_{ij} , denoted by $v_{ij}(0-1)v_{lm}$.
- (iii). There is no arc from v_{ij} to v_{lm} and there is no arc from v_{lm} to v_{ij} and this is denoted by $v_{ij}(0-0)v_{lm}$.

A triple in k -OG is an induced suboriented graph of three vertices with exactly one vertex from each part. For any three vertices v_{ij} , v_{lm} and v_{pq} in k -OG D , the triples of the form $v_{ij}(1-0)v_{lm}(1-0)v_{pq}(1-0)v_{ij}$, or $v_{ij}(1-0)v_{lm}(1-0)v_{pq}(0-0)v_{ij}$ are said to be oriented intransitive, while as the triples of the form $v_{ij}(1-0)v_{lm}(1-0)v_{pq}(0-1)v_{ij}$, or $v_{ij}(1-0)v_{lm}(0-1)v_{pq}(0-0)v_{ij}$, or $v_{ij}(1-0)v_{lm}(0-0)v_{pq}(0-1)v_{ij}$, or $v_{ij}(1-0)v_{lm}(0-0)v_{pq}(0-0)v_{ij}$, or $v_{ij}(0-0)v_{lm}(0-0)v_{pq}(0-0)v_{ij}$ are said to be oriented transitive. An k -OG is said to be oriented transitive if all its triples are oriented transitive, otherwise oriented intransitive.

We have the following observation.

Theorem 3 *Let D and D' be two k -OG with the same imbalance sequences. Then D can be transformed to D' by successively transforming appropriate triples in one of the following ways. Either (a) by changing a cyclic triple $v_{ij}(1-0)v_{lm}(1-0)v_{pq}(1-0)v_{ij}$ to an oriented transitive triple $v_{ij}(0-0)v_{lm}(0-0)v_{pq}(0-0)v_{ij}$ which has the same imbalance sequences, or vice versa, or (b) by changing an oriented intransitive triple $v_{ij}(1-0)v_{lm}(1-0)v_{pq}(0-0)v_{ij}$ to an oriented transitive triple $v_{ij}(0-0)v_{lm}(0-0)v_{pq}(0-1)v_{ij}$ which has the same imbalance sequences, or vice versa.*

Proof. Let B_i be the imbalance sequences of k -OG D whose parts are V_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $|V_i| = n_i$. Let D' be k -OG with parts V'_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. To prove the result, it is sufficient to show that D' can be obtained from D by successively transforming triples in any one of the ways as given in (a), or (b).

We fix n_i , $2 \leq i \leq k$ and use induction on n_1 . For $n_1 = 1$, the result is obvious. Assume that the result holds when there are fewer than n_1 vertices in the first part. Let j_2, j_3, \dots, j_k be such that for $l_2 > j_2, l_3 > j_3, \dots, l_k > j_k$, $1 \leq j_2 < l_2 \leq n_2, 1 \leq j_3 < l_3 \leq n_3, \dots, 1 \leq j_k < l_k \leq n_k$, the corresponding arcs have the same orientations in D and D' . For j_2, j_3, \dots, j_k and $2 \leq i, p, q \leq k$, $p \neq q$, we have three cases to consider.

(i). $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij_p}(1-0)v_{ij_q}$ and $v'_{1n_1}(0-0)v'_{ij_p}(0-0)v'_{ij_q}$, (ii). $v_{1n_1}(0-0)v_{ij_p}(0-1)v_{ij_q}$ and $v'_{1n_1}(1-0)v'_{ij_p}(0-0)v'_{ij_q}$ and (iii). $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij_p}(0-0)v_{ij_q}$ and $v'_{1n_1}(0-0)v'_{ij_p}(0-1)v'_{ij_q}$.

Case (i). Since v_{1n_1} and v'_{1n_1} have equal imbalances, we have $v_{1n_1}(0-1)v_{ij_q}$ and $v'_{1n_1}(0-0)v'_{ij_q}$, or $v_{1n_1}(0-0)v_{ij_q}$ and $v'_{1n_1}(1-0)v'_{ij_q}$. Thus there is a triple $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij_p}(1-0)v_{ij_q}(1-0)v_{1n_1}$, or $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij_p}(1-0)v_{ij_q}(0-0)v_{1n_1}$ in D , and corresponding to these $v'_{1n_1}(0-0)v'_{ij_p}(0-0)v'_{ij_q}(0-0)v'_{1n_1}$, or $v'_{1n_1}(0-0)v'_{ij_p}(0-0)v'_{ij_q}(0-1)v'_{1n_1}$ respectively is a triple in D' .

Case (ii). Since v_{1n_1} and v'_{1n_1} have equal imbalances, we have $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij_q}$ and $v'_{1n_1}(0-0)v'_{ij_q}$. Thus there is a triple $v_{1n_1}(0-0)v_{ij_p}(0-1)v_{ij_q}(0-1)v_{1n_1}$ in D and corresponding to this $v'_{1n_1}(1-0)v'_{ij_p}(0-0)v'_{ij_q}(0-0)v'_{1n_1}$ is a triple in D' .

Case (iii). Since v_{1n_1} and v'_{1n_1} have equal imbalances, therefore we have $v_{1n_1}(0-1)v_{ij_q}$ and $v'_{1n_1}(0-0)v'_{ij_q}$. Thus $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij_p}(0-0)v_{ij_q}(1-0)v_{1n_1}$ is a triple in D , and corresponding to this $v'_{1n_1}(0-0)v'_{ij_p}(0-1)v'_{ij_q}(0-0)v'_{1n_1}$ is a triple in D' .

Therefore from (i), (ii) and (iii) it follows that there is an k -OG that can be obtained from D by any one of the transformations (a) or (b) with the imbalances remaining unchanged. Hence the result follows by induction. \square

Corollary 1 *Among all k -OG with given imbalance sequences, those with the fewest arcs are oriented transitive.*

A transmitter is a vertex with indegree zero. In a transitive oriented k -OG with imbalance sequences $B_i = [b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{in_i}]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, any of the vertices with imbalances b_{in_i} , can act as a transmitter.

The next result provides a useful recursive test of checking whether the sequences of integers are the imbalance sequences of k -OG.

Theorem 4 *Let $B_i = [b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{in_i}]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be k sequences of integers in non-decreasing order with $b_{1n_1} > 0$ and $b_{jn_j} \leq \sum_{r=1, r \neq j}^k n_r$, for all j , $2 \leq i \leq k$. Let B'_1 be obtained from B_1 by deleting one entry b_{1n_1} , and let*

B'_2, B'_3, \dots, B'_k , be obtained from B_2, B_3, \dots, B_k by increasing b_{1n_1} smallest entries of B_2, B_3, \dots, B_k by one each. Then B_i are imbalance sequences of some k -OG if and only if B'_i are imbalance sequences.

Proof. Suppose B'_i be the imbalance sequences of some k -OG D' with parts V'_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then k -OG D with imbalance sequences B_i can be obtained by adding a vertex v_{1n_1} in V'_1 such that $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij}$ for those vertices v_{ij} in V'_i , $i \neq 1$ whose imbalances are increased by one in going from B_i to B'_i .

Conversely, let B_i be the imbalance sequences of k -OG D with parts V_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. By Corollary 4, any of the vertices v_{in_i} in V_i with imbalances b_{in_i} , $1 \leq i \leq k$ can be a transmitter. Assume that the vertex v_{1n_1} in V_1 with imbalance b_{1n_1} be a transmitter. Clearly, $d_{v_{1n_1}}^+ > 0$ and $d_{v_{1n_1}}^- = 0$ so that $b_{1n_1} = d_{v_{1n_1}}^+ - d_{v_{1n_1}}^- > 0$. Also, $d_{v_{jn_j}}^+ \leq \sum_{r=1, r \neq j}^k n_r$ and $d_{v_{jn_j}}^- \geq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$ so that $b_{jn_j} = d_{v_{jn_j}}^+ - d_{v_{jn_j}}^- \leq \sum_{r=1, r \neq j}^k n_r$.

Let U be the set of v_{1n_1} vertices of smallest imbalances in V_j , $2 \leq i \leq k$ and let $W = V_2 \cup V_3 \cup \dots \cup V_k - U$. Now construct D such that $v_{1n_1}(1-0)u$ for all u in U . Clearly $D - \{v_{1n_1}\}$ realizes V'_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. \square

Theorem 5 provides an algorithm for determining whether or not the sequences B_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ of integers in non-decreasing order are the imbalance sequences and for constructing a corresponding k -OG.

Suppose $B_i = [b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{in_i}]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be imbalance sequences of k -OG with parts $V_i = \{v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \dots, v_{in_i}\}$, where $b_{1n_1} > 0$ and $b_{jn_j} \leq \sum_{r=1, r \neq j}^k n_r$, $2 \leq i \leq k$. Deleting b_{1n_1} and increasing b_{1n_1} smallest entries of B_2, B_3, \dots, B_k by 1 each to form B'_2, B'_3, \dots, B'_k . Then arcs are defined by $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij}$, for which $b'_{v_{ij}} = b_{v_{ij}} + 1$, where $i \neq 1$. If at least one of the conditions $b_{1n_1} > 0$, or $b_{jn_j} \leq \sum_{r=1, r \neq j}^k n_r$ does not hold, then we delete b_{in_i} for that i for which the conditions get satisfied and the same argument is used for defining arcs. If this method is applied recursively, then (i) it tests whether B_i are the imbalance sequences, and if B_i are the imbalance sequences (ii) k -OG $\Delta(B_i)$ with imbalance sequences B_i is constructed.

We illustrate this reduction and resulting construction as follows.

Consider the four sequences $B_1 = [1, 3, 4]$, $B_2 = [-3, 2, 2]$, $B_3 = [-4, -3]$ and $B_4 = [-3, 1]$.

- (i) $[1, 3, 4]$, $[-3, 2, 2]$, $[-4, -3]$, $[-3, 1]$
- (ii) $[1, 3]$, $[-2, 2, 2]$, $[-3, -2]$, $[-2, 1]$, $v_{13}(1-0)v_{21}$, $v_{13}(1-0)v_{31}$, $v_{13}(1-0)v_{32}$, $v_{13}(1-0)v_{41}$
- (iii) $[1]$, $[-1, 2, 2]$, $[-2, -1]$, $[-2, 1]$, $v_{12}(1-0)v_{21}$, $v_{12}(1-0)v_{31}$, $v_{12}(1-0)v_{32}$
- (iv) \emptyset , $[-1, 2, 2]$, $[-2, -1]$, $[-2, 1]$, $v_{11}(1-0)v_{31}$

(v) $\emptyset, [-1, 2], [0, -1], [-1, 1], v_{23}(1-0)v_{31}, v_{23}(1-0)v_{41}$ or, $\emptyset, [-1, 2], [-1, 0], [-1, 1]$

(vi) $\emptyset, [-1], [0, 0], [0, 1], v_{22}(1-0)v_{32}, v_{22}(1-0)v_{41}$

(vii) $\emptyset, [0], [0, 0], [0, 0], v_{42}(1-0)v_{21}$.

Clearly 4-OG with parts $V_1 = \{v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}\}$, $V_2 = \{v_{21}, v_{22}, v_{23}\}$, $V_3 = \{v_{31}, v_{32}\}$ and $V_4 = \{v_{41}, v_{42}\}$ in which $v_{13}(1-0)v_{21}$, $v_{13}(1-0)v_{31}$, $v_{13}(1-0)v_{32}$, $v_{13}(1-0)v_{41}$, $v_{12}(1-0)v_{21}$, $v_{12}(1-0)v_{31}$, $v_{12}(1-0)v_{32}$, $v_{11}(1-0)v_{31}$, $v_{23}(1-0)v_{31}$, $v_{23}(1-0)v_{41}$, $v_{22}(1-0)v_{32}$, $v_{22}(1-0)v_{41}$, $v_{42}(1-0)v_{21}$ are arcs has imbalance sequences $[1, 3, 4]$, $[-3, 2, 2]$, $[-4, -3]$ and $[-3, -1]$.

The next result gives a combinatorial criterion for determining whether k sequences of integers are realizable as imbalances.

Theorem 5 *Let $B_i = [b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{in_i}]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be k sequences of integers in non-decreasing order. Then B_i are the imbalance sequences of some k -OG if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} b_{ij} \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k m_i m_j - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \sum_{j=1}^k m_j - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i n_i, \quad (1)$$

for all sets of k integers m_i , $0 \leq m_i \leq n_i$ with equality when $m_i = n_i$.

Proof. The necessity of the condition follows from the fact that the k -OG induced by m_i vertices for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq m_i \leq n_i$ has a sum of imbalances $2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k m_i m_j - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \sum_{j=1}^k m_j - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i n_i$.

For sufficiency, assume that $B_i = [b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{in_i}]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ be the sequences of integers in non-decreasing order satisfying conditions (1) but are not the imbalance sequences of any k -OG. Let these sequences be chosen in such a way that n_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ are the smallest possible and b_{11} is the least for the choice of n_i . We consider the following two cases.

Case (i). Suppose equality in (1) holds for some $m_j \leq n_j$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $m_k \leq n_k$, so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} b_{ij} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k m_i m_j - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \sum_{j=1}^k m_j - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i n_i.$$

By the minimality of n_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ the sequences $B'_i = [b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{im_i}]$ are the imbalance sequences of some k -OG $D'(V'_1, V'_2, \dots, V'_k)$.

Define $B''_i = [b_{i(m_i+1)}, b_{i(m_i+2)}, \dots, b_{i(n_i)}]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Consider the sum

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{f_i} b_{i(m_i+j)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i+f_i} b_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} b_{ij} \\
&\geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k (m_i + f_i)(m_j + f_j) - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j + f_j) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i + f_i)n_i - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k m_i m_j + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \sum_{j=1}^k m_j + \sum_{i=1}^k m_i n_i \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k m_i m_j + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k (m_i f_j + f_i m_j + f_i f_j) - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \sum_{j=1}^k f_j \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i n_i - \sum_{i=1}^k f_i n_i - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k m_i m_j + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \sum_{j=1}^k m_j + \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k m_i n_i \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k f_i f_j - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \sum_{j=1}^k f_j - \sum_{i=1}^k f_i n_i,
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq f_i \leq n_i - m_i$, with equality when $f_i = n_i - m_i$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. So by the minimality of n_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, the sequences B_i'' form the imbalance sequence of some k -OG $D''(V_1'', V_2'', \dots, V_k'')$.

Construct a new k -OG $D(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$ as follows. Let $V_1 = V_1' \cup V_1''$, $V_2 = V_2' \cup V_2''$, \dots , $V_k = V_k' \cup V_k''$ with $V_i' \cap V_i'' = \emptyset$ and the arc set containing those arcs which are among V_1', V_2', \dots, V_k' and among $V_1'', V_2'', \dots, V_k''$. Then $D(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$ has imbalance sequences B_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, which is a contradiction.

Case (ii). Assume that the strict inequality holds in (1) for some $m_i \neq n_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $B_1' = [b_{11} - 1, b_{12}, \dots, b_{1n_1-1}, b_{1n_1}]$ and let $B_j' = [b_{j1}, b_{j2}, \dots, b_{jn_j}]$ for all j , $2 \leq j \leq k$. Clearly the sequences B_i' , $1 \leq i \leq k$ satisfy conditions (1). Therefore, by the minimality of b_{11} , the sequences B_i' , $1 \leq i \leq k$ are the imbalance sequences of some k -OG $D'(V_1', V_2', \dots, V_k')$. Let $b_{v_{11}} = b_{11} - 1$ and $b_{v_{1n_1}} = b_{1n_1} + 1$. Since $b_{v_{1n_1}} > b_{v_{11}} + 1$, there exists a vertex v_{ij} either in V_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, such that $v_{1n_1}(0-0)v_{ij}(1-0)v_{11}$, or $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij}(0-0)v_{11}$, or $v_{1n_1}(1-0)v_{ij}(1-0)v_{11}$, or $v_{1n_1}(0-0)v_{ij}(0-0)v_{11}$ in $D'(V_1', V_2', \dots, V_k')$, and if these are changed to $v_{1n_1}(0-1)v_{ij}(0-0)v_{11}$, or $v_{1n_1}(0-0)v_{ij}(0-1)v_{11}$, or $v_{1n_1}(0-0)v_{ij}(0-0)v_{11}$, or $v_{1n_1}(0-1)v_{ij}(0-1)v_{11}$ respectively, the result is k -OG with imbalance sequences B_i , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

3 Imbalance sets in oriented multipartite graphs

The set of distinct imbalances of the vertices in k -OG is called its imbalance set. Now we give the existence of k -OG with a given imbalance set.

Theorem 6 *Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ and $T = \{-t_1, -t_2, \dots, -t_n\}$, where $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ are positive integers with $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$ and $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$. Then there exists k -OG with imbalance set $S \cup T$.*

Proof. First assume that $k \geq 2$ is even. Construct k -OG $D(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$ as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= V_{11} \cup V_{12} \cup \dots \cup V_{1n}, \\ V_2 &= V_{21} \cup V_{22} \cup \dots \cup V_{2n}, \\ &\dots \\ V_k &= V_{k1} \cup V_{k2} \cup \dots \cup V_{kn}, \end{aligned}$$

with $V_{ij} \cap V_{lm} = \emptyset$, $|V_{ij}| = t_i$ for all odd i , $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $|V_{ij}| = s_i$ for all even i , $2 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let there be an arc from each vertex of V_{ij} to every vertex of $V_{(i+1)j}$ for all odd i , $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ so that we obtain k -OG with imbalance of vertices as follows.

For odd i , $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$b_{v_{ij}} = |V_{(i+1)j}| - 0 = s_i,$$

for all $v_{ij} \in V_{ij}$; and for even i , $2 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$b_{v_{ij}} = 0 - |V_{(i+1)j}| = -t_i,$$

for all $v_{ij} \in V_{ij}$

Therefore imbalance set of $D(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$ is $S \cup T$.

Now assume $k \geq 3$ is odd. Construct k -OG $D(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$ as below. Let

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= V_{11} \cup V'_{11} \cup V_{12} \cup V'_{12} \cup \dots \cup V_{1n} \cup V'_{1n}, \\ V_2 &= V_{21} \cup V_{22} \cup \dots \cup V_{2n}, \\ &\dots \\ V_{k-1} &= V_{(k-1)1} \cup V_{(k-1)2} \cup \dots \cup V_{(k-1)n}, \\ V_k &= V'_{k1} \cup V'_{k2} \cup \dots \cup V'_{kn}, \end{aligned}$$

with $V_{ij} \cap V_{lm} = \emptyset$, $V'_{ij} \cap V'_{lm} = \emptyset$, $V_{ij} \cap V'_{lm} = \emptyset$, $|V_{ij}| = t_i$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq k-2$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $|V_{ij}| = s_i$ for all even i , $2 \leq i \leq k-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $|V'_{ij}| = t_i$ for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $|V'_{kj}| = s_j$ for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let there be an arc from each vertex of V_{ij} to every vertex of $V_{(i+1)j}$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq k-2$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and let there be an arc from each vertex of V'_{1j} to every vertex of V'_{kj} for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, so that we obtain k -OG with imbalance set $S \cup T$, as above. \square

References

- [1] D. Mubayi, T. G. Will, D. B. West, Realizing degree imbalances in directed graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **239** (2001), 147–153.
- [2] S. Pirzada, On imbalances in digraphs, *Kragujevac J. Math.*, **31** (2008), 143–146.
- [3] S. Pirzada, T. A. Naikoo, U. Samee, A. Iványi, Imbalances in multidigraphs, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Math.*, **2** (2010), 137–146.
- [4] S. Pirzada, T. A. Naikoo, N. A. Shah, Imbalances in oriented tripartite graphs, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **27** (2011), 927–932.
- [5] U. Samee, T. A. Cahisti, On imbalances in oriented bipartite graphs, *Eurasian Math. J.*, **1** (2010), 136–141.

Received: October 20, 2010