ISSN 1392-3196 / e-ISSN 2335-8947 Zemdirbyste-Agriculture, vol. 102, No. 4 (2015), p. 457–464 DOI 10.13080/z-a.2015.102.059

## Prioritization of feasible physiological parameters in drought tolerance evaluation in sorghum: a grey relational analysis

Na WANG<sup>1</sup>, Yitao WANG<sup>2</sup>, Jialin YU<sup>3</sup>, Yufei ZHOU<sup>1</sup>, Qi WU<sup>1</sup>, Yue GAO<sup>1</sup>, Wenjuan XU<sup>1</sup>, Ruidong HUANG<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agronomy College, Shenyang Agricultural University Shenyang, Liaoning Province 110866, People's Republic of China E-mail: zhouyufei2002@aliyun.com; r huang@126.com

<sup>2</sup>Agro-environmental Protection and Supervision Center Shenyang, Liaoning Province 110034, People's Republic of China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, University of Georgia 1109 Experiment str., Griffin, GA 30223, USA

#### Abstract

Identification and evaluation of drought tolerant germplasm is the primary step for sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) breeding and utilization under drought conditions. The objective of this study was to use a grey relational analysis to investigate the role of feasible physiological parameters in evaluating drought tolerance in sorghum. Four sorghum varieties were cultivated in pots with two water treatments, including normal watering (75–80% of the soil moisture capacity) and water deficit (45–50% of the soil moisture capacity), which occurred at jointing stage, anthesis and filling stage, respectively. Drought tolerance index of yield was used as the key indicator to evaluate sorghum performance under drought. The grey relational degree of the investigated parameters decreased in the order of transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, photosynthetic rate, soluble sugar content, proline content, relative water content, activity of catalase, activity of superoxide dismutase and activity of peroxidase, implying that drought tolerance for guaranteeing sorghum yield formation was the most related to gas exchange parameters. Water content was a very sensitive parameter of plant growth under drought stress and was more important as compared to the activities of antioxidant enzymes. Results of this research suggested that feasible physiological parameters could be used in the evaluation of drought tolerance to improve the efficiency and accuracy of selection.

Key words: analytic technique, physiological traits, Sorghum bicolor, water deficit.

## Introduction

Sorghum is mostly cultivated in arid and semiarid regions of Africa and Asia. For instance, the harvested area of sorghum in Africa and Asia accounted for 81% of the world according to 2013 data (FAO, 2015). Moreover, sorghum continues to be an important crop with multiple applications for food, brewing, forage, and biofuel throughout the world. Although sorghum is considered as a drought-tolerant crop (Shan, Xu, 2009), its production often suffers from drought in those regions. Sorghum breeders seek to utilize drought tolerant germplasm to mitigate drought stress risk to sorghum production (Borrell et al., 2014). During the sorghum breeding process, it is crucial to improve the screening efficiency and identifying accuracy.

Drought stress can notably impact various physiological and biochemical processes in sorghum plants, such as photosynthetic characters (Ogbaga et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2014) and osmotic adjustment (Zhou et al., 2013), etc., which result in an obvious

decline in final productivity. Lu et al. (2011) stated that adaptive responses of crops induced by drought included morphological changes and biochemical and physiological reactions. Further, drought tolerance might be dominated by complicated multi-genetic systems. In previous reports, various physiological parameters were used to identify drought tolerant germplasm and crop behaviour under drought conditions (Ober et al., 2005; Lu et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Assefa et al., 2013). Although many indicators of drought tolerance were selected in these studies, the selection criterion was not completely identical except for the yield as the primary trait in evaluating the drought tolerance of crops. Up to now, which physiological parameter plays the most important role in evaluating the drought tolerance of sorghum has not been well understood. Hence, it is necessary to use certain simple and practicable physiological parameters to evaluate drought tolerance especially when the identifying materials are numerous. A more direct and effective method for evaluating traits and guiding the breeding of drought tolerant crop is needed

Given that crop behaviour (such as drought tolerance) could be associated with multiple factors and be treated as a complicated system, the method of grey relational analysis (GRA) can be used to identify the primary factors that contribute to the drought tolerance. The GRA was initially applied by Deng (1989), and has been proved to be an effective way to explain the correlation between system behaviour (such as crop production) and relevant affecting factors (Li et al., 2007; Jia, Shao, 2013; Wang et al., 2013). Since the factors have different effects on crop behaviour, they can be assigned with different values to reflect their importance. Wang et al. (2013) analyzed the habitat factors to discriminate which factor was closely associated with winter wheat freeze injury by using the method of GRA. However, little is known about the application of the method in evaluating the drought tolerance of sorghum.

The objective of this study was to provide a grey relational analysis (GRA) to explore the prioritization of feasible physiological parameters in evaluating drought tolerance in sorghum. The results possess the potential to promote identifying accuracy and guide sorghum breeding practices under drought conditions.

## Materials and methods

Site and soil. The research was carried out form 2010–2012 in the experimental base of Shenyang Agricultural University, China (41°49' N, 123°34' E). Its altitude is 25 m from the sea level. The average annual rainfall and mean monthly temperature are 500 mm and 8.3°C. The soil of the experiment was collected from the top surface layer (0-20 cm) of arable soil near the experimental base of Shenyang Agricultural University. Soil was silt loam with a pH of 7.0, organic matter content of 30.82 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, alkali hydrolysable N of 104.58 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, available P of 78.33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, available K of 88.33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

Experimental design and treatments. Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) four varieties 'Jiza305', 'Jiza127', 'Jinza106' and 'Jinza103' with different drought tolerance were selected from 31 sorghum varieties which were mainly cultivated in northeastern China. Five sorghum seeds were planted in plastic pots (28 cm high and 33 cm diameter) filled with 20 kg soil and the seedlings were thinned to a single plant in each pot when plants reached third leaf stage. Diammonium phosphate (2.5 g) was applied in each pot as basal fertilizer and urea (3.3 g) was applied at jointing stage based on local sorghum cultivation. The experiment was established as a completely randomized design with three replications. Sorghum was planted on 17 May and harvested on 25 September in each year.

Sorghum plants were subjected to water stress by withholding irrigation to obtain 45-50% of the soil moisture capacity during the jointing stage (initiated on 26 June), anthesis (initiated on 23 July) and filling stage (initiated on 6 August), respectively. Control pots were well drained and regularly watered to keep 75-80% of the soil moisture capacity throughout the experiment. The soil moisture content was maintained by using soil moisture sensor HH2/ML2X ("Delta-T", UK). The drought stress period lasted for 7 days during the jointing stage, anthesis, and filling stage, respectively. A mobile rain shelter was used to protect the pots from the rain during the stress period. Physiological parameters were examined timely for different plants after each drought stress period. The drought-stressed plants were rewatered normally after each stress till mature.

A mobile photosynthetic system Li-6400 ("Li-Cor", USA) was employed to measure photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and transpiration rate on the tenth leaf at jointing stage and on the second leaf from the top at anthesis and filling stage (15 replications per treatment) between 09:00 and 11:00 a.m. The selected leaves for the investigation were full-developed and healthy. The tenth leaf at jointing stage and the second leaf from the top at anthesis and filling stage were sampled and the relative water content (RWC) was determined by the following formula:

$$RWC(\%) = \frac{W_f - W_d}{W_t - W_d} \times 100, \text{ where } W_f \text{ is the}$$
 fresh weight of leaf,  $W_d$  - the dry weight of leaf, and

 $W_{\star}$  - the turgid weight of leaf after being soaked in the deionized water for 24 h in the dark. Fresh leaves (0.5 g) were homogenized in 5 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.8). The homogenate was centrifuged at 13.000 g for 20 min at 4°C. Supernatant was used for the determining the activities of antioxidant enzymes as the crude extract for superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and peroxidase (POD). The SOD, CAT and POD were quantified using a spectrophotometer U1900 ("Hitachi", Japan) according to the procedure described by Zhang (1992). Proline was extracted from fresh leaves (0.5 g) with 3% sulphosalicylic acid and measured by ninhydrin method according to Zhang (1992). Soluble sugar was determined by anthrone method described by Li (2000). At the mature stage, 15 plants per replicate were harvested and quantified to measure yield.

Analytical methods. Drought tolerance index of vield (DTIY) was used to estimate the comprehensive behaviour of all sorghum varieties under drought stress. DTIY was an integrated evaluation for crop drought tolerance (Lan et al., 1990). Specifically, DTIY was calculated as:

DTIY = 
$$\frac{Y_d}{Y_d} \times \frac{Y_d}{Y_d}$$
, where  $Y_d$  is yield under

 $DTIY = \frac{Y_d}{Y_w} \times \frac{Y_d}{Y_a}, \text{ where } Y_d \text{ is yield under}$  drought stress,  $Y_w$  – yield under normal watering treatment, and  $Y_a$  – average yield of all varieties under drought stress. drought stress.

A grey relational analysis (GRA) was used to estimate the effect of the investigated parameters and identify the principal parameters in evaluating drought tolerance in sorghum. The method of GRA was initially applied by Deng (1989), and has been proved to be an effective way to explain the correlation between system behaviour (such as crop production) and relevant affecting factors (Li et al., 2007; Jia, Shao, 2013; Wang et al., 2013). According to GRA theory, the DTIY was characterized as the reference sequence to reflect drought tolerance and the relevant physiological parameters affecting drought tolerant behaviour were as the compared sequences. The specific calculation steps were expressed as follows:

The reference sequence can be defined as:

 $X_0(k) = \{x_0(1), x_0(2), \dots, x_0(n)\}$ signify the related m sequences to be compared as:

signify the related in sequences to be compared as.

$$X_m(k) = \{x_m(1), x_m(2), ..., x_m(n)\}$$
 (2).

Normalize the sequences by standard processing to ensure them to be comparable. The normalized reference sequence and comparing sequence can be expressed respectively as:

$$y_0(k) = \{y_0(1), y_0(2), ..., y_0(n)\}$$
 (3),

$$y_i(k) = \{y_i(1), y_i(2), ..., y_i(n)\}$$
 (4),

I = 1, 2, 3...m; k = 1, 2, 3...n.

The grey rational coefficient  $(L_i(k))$  for the reference sequence and the comparing sequence was calculated as:

$$L_{i}(k) = \frac{\min_{i} \min_{k} |y_{0}(k) - y_{i}(k)| + \rho \max_{i} \max_{k} |y_{0}(k) - y_{i}(k)|}{|y_{0}(k) - y_{i}(k)| + \rho \max_{i} \max_{k} |y_{0}(k) - y_{i}(k)|}$$
(5),

where  $\rho$  is distinguishing coefficient which ranges from 0 to 1, typically assigned to 0.5. Then, the grey relational degree was deduced form  $r_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} L_i(k)$  (6).

The grey relational degree, ranging from 0 to 1, indicated the effects of investigated factors. Higher grey correlation degree shows that the parameter has closer relationship with drought tolerance and the relational degree also expresses the importance of the parameter. Significance of main effects was determined using one-way analysis of variance in software SPSS 18.0. Means

were separated by Duncan's multiple range test at P = 0.05. Results were presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).

## **Results and discussion**

Drought inhibited the formation of sorghum yield. The greatest decline of yield induced by drought occurred at filling stage and the yield of the four sorghum varieties decreased by 25-44% (Table 1). Although drought at different stage can lead to the decline of yield, filling stage is the most critical period for yield formation and needs more water to maintain normal metabolism compared to the early growth stage. The varieties with higher DTIY had lesser yield reduction than those of lower DTIY at the same drought stressed stage. Yield is the primary criteria for the evaluation of drought tolerance in crops under drought conditions (Lu et al., 2011). Moreover, superior morphological, physiological and biochemical traits were also selected for evaluating drought tolerance of crops (Chen et al., 2012). For example, sorghum with stay-green trait expressed drought tolerance under drought conditions (Borrell et al., 2014). It was noted that these traits could eventually contribute to final yield particularly under drought conditions. Drought tolerant sorghum is generally considered to have relative higher yield under drought conditions (Shan, Xu, 2009). The DTIY was used for evaluating drought tolerance of sorghum in this investigation. The index gave consideration to the interaction between genotypes and environments (drought), and the yield potential among the tested varieties under drought conditions. The variation of DTIY in different stressed stage suggested that the sensitivity of sorghum yield to drought that occurred at different growth stages differed significantly.

**Table 1.** Sorghum yield and relevant drought tolerance index of yield under drought stress occurred at different growth stages

Variety		Drought tolerance index of yield					
	normal watering	$\mathrm{WD}_{\mathrm{j}}$	$\mathrm{WD}_\mathrm{a}$	$\mathrm{WD}_\mathrm{f}$	$WD_{j}$	$\mathrm{WD}_\mathrm{a}$	$\mathrm{WD}_\mathrm{f}$
Jiza305	63.74 ± 1.11 a	$61.35 \pm 3.77$ a	$52.99 \pm 4.19$ bc	$47.85 \pm 1.71$ de	$1.09 \pm 0.12$ a	$0.82 \pm 0.11 \text{ b}$	$0.67 \pm 0.07$ c
Jinza106	$65.15 \pm 1.33$ a	$56.06 \pm 5.03 \text{ b}$	$53.47 \pm 2.88 b$	$46.87 \pm 1.19 de$	$0.93 \pm 0.12 \ b$	$0.84\pm0.05\ b$	$0.65 \pm 0.03$ c
Jinza103	$52.95 \pm 3.22 \ bc$	$45.18 \pm 3.01 de$	$43.55 \pm 1.57$ ef	$34.80 \pm 8.48 \text{ g}$	$0.95 \pm 0.19 \ ab$	$0.88\pm0.10\;b$	$0.58 \pm 0.23 \ cd$
Jiza127	$62.88 \pm 3.31 \text{ a}$	$48.79 \pm 4.85$ cd	$40.84 \pm 1.45 \text{ f}$	$35.17 \pm 1.47$ g	$0.91 \pm 0.10 \ b$	$0.64 \pm 0.05 \ c$	$0.47 \pm 0.02 \; d$

*Note.*  $WD_j$  – water deficit at jointing stage,  $WD_a$  – water deficit at anthesis,  $WD_f$  – water deficit at filling stage; mean  $\pm$  SD followed by different letters are significantly different by the Duncan's (p < 0.05).

Results suggested that drought tolerance was the result of comprehensive physiological processes, such as photosynthesis, osmotic adjustment, antioxidant metabolism, which differed significantly between sorghum varieties under drought conditions (Tables 2–4). However, it was not obvious which physiological parameters played leading role in the difference of drought tolerance in sorghum. The summary of the investigated parameters of different physiological

processes suggested that an explicit conclusion could not be achieved from the data, which was the assembly from different physiological reaction mechanism. GRA in this study offered a method to address this problem. It had been successfully used in evaluating crop performance with uncertain affecting parameters (Wang et al., 2013). Some environmental factors or agronomic traits were evaluated by GRA in maize and wheat under adverse conditions (Hu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013).

*Table 2.* Variations of photosynthetic parameters of sorghum varieties under drought at jointing stage, anthesis and filling stage, respectively

Stage	Variety	Treatment	Photosynthetic rate µmol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Stomatal conductance mol H <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Transpiration rate mmol H <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
	Jiza305	NW	$26.89 \pm 1.27$ a	$0.16 \pm 0.01$ a	$2.68 \pm 0.42 \text{ ab}$
		WD	$21.98 \pm 0.47 \text{ b}$	$0.14 \pm 0.01 \text{ bc}$	$2.2 \pm 0.04 \ bcd$
	Jinza106	NW	$25.64 \pm 1.04$ a	$0.15 \pm 0.01 \text{ ab}$	$2.69 \pm 0.17$ ab
Taintin a stans		WD	$21.00 \pm 0.79 \text{ b}$	$0.13 \pm 0.02$ c	$2.27 \pm 0.07 \ bcd$
Jointing stage	Jinza103	NW	$26.27 \pm 1.44$ a	$0.16 \pm 0.01$ a	$2.58 \pm 0.31 \text{ abc}$
		WD	$20.60 \pm 1.13 \text{ b}$	$0.13 \pm 0.02$ c	$2.08 \pm 0.19 d$
	Jiza127	NW	$26.72 \pm 1.20$ a	$0.17 \pm 0.01 \ a$	$2.77 \pm 0.05$ a
		WD	$20.52 \pm 1.33 \text{ b}$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$ c	$2.23 \pm 0.26$ cd
	Jiza305	NW	$25.67 \pm 0.64$ a	$0.17 \pm 0.02$ a	$2.70 \pm 0.16$ a
		WD	$20.30 \pm 1.31 b$	$0.13 \pm 0.03 \text{ bc}$	$2.14 \pm 0.11 \ b$
	Jinza106	NW	$25.47 \pm 0.45$ a	$0.16 \pm 0.03 \text{ ab}$	$2.66 \pm 0.06$ a
Anthesis		WD	$19.30 \pm 2.62 \text{ b}$	$0.12 \pm 0.00 c$	$2.01 \pm 0.15$ bc
Anthesis	Jinza103	NW	$25.63 \pm 1.46$ a	$0.17 \pm 0.01 \ a$	$2.73 \pm 0.14$ a
		WD	$18.10 \pm 0.96$ bc	$0.12 \pm 0.01$ c	$1.96 \pm 0.14 \text{ bc}$
	Jiza127	NW	$25.93 \pm 1.70$ a	$0.17 \pm 0.00 \text{ a}$	$2.66 \pm 0.01$ a
		WD	$16.23 \pm 0.45$ c	$0.11 \pm 0.00 c$	$1.77 \pm 0.20 \text{ c}$
	Jiza305	NW	$25.13 \pm 0.75$ a	$0.18 \pm 0.03$ a	$2.76 \pm 0.15$ a
		WD	$18.72 \pm 1.44 \text{ b}$	$0.14 \pm 0.01 \ b$	$2.09 \pm 0.18 b$
	Jinza106	NW	$25.63 \pm 0.74$ a	$0.17 \pm 0.02 \ a$	$2.70 \pm 0.11$ a
E111		WD	$18.04 \pm 2.35 \text{ b}$	$0.12 \pm 0.00 \text{ bc}$	$1.91 \pm 0.19$ bc
Filling stage	Jinza103	NW	$25.52 \pm 2.81$ a	$0.17 \pm 0.01$ a	$2.80 \pm 0.13$ a
		WD	$16.67 \pm 2.35$ bc	$0.11 \pm 0.01$ c	$1.78 \pm 0.13$ c
	r: 107	NW	$25.23 \pm 0.55$ a	$0.18 \pm 0.02$ a	$2.70 \pm 0.17$ a
	Jiza127	WD	$14.43 \pm 1.02$ c	$0.11 \pm 0.00 c$	$1.64 \pm 0.14$ c

Note. NW – normal watering, WD – water deficit; mean  $\pm$  SD followed by different letters are significantly different by the Duncan's (p < 0.05).

*Table 3.* Variations of osmotic adjustment parameters of sorghum varieties under drought at jointing stage, anthesis and filling stage, respectively

Stage	Variety	Treatment	Relative water content %	Proline content µg g <sup>-1</sup> FW	Soluble sugar content %	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
	1: -205	NW	$95.93 \pm 0.63$ a	42.46 ± 1.21 d	$12.36 \pm 0.49$ c	
	Jiza305	WD	$92.68 \pm 0.93$ c	$76.96 \pm 1.70$ a	$16.19 \pm 0.70$ a	
	T 106	NW	$94.91 \pm 0.81$ ab	$39.40 \pm 1.04$ e	$12.30 \pm 0.66$ c	
T : .: .	Jinza106	WD	$91.66 \pm 0.81$ c	$69.61 \pm 0.47 \text{ bc}$	$15.64 \pm 0.53$ ab	
Jointing stage	Jinza103	NW	$94.16 \pm 0.49 \text{ b}$	$42.05 \pm 2.86 d$	$12.22 \pm 0.65$ c	
		WD	$89.41 \pm 1.02 d$	$68.27 \pm 1.67$ c	$14.85 \pm 0.62 \text{ b}$	
		NW	$94.38 \pm 0.62 \text{ b}$	$44.72 \pm 0.73 d$	$12.27 \pm 0.39$ c	
	Jiza127	WD	$89.69 \pm 1.23 d$	$71.26 \pm 1.09 b$	$14.64 \pm 0.89 \ b$	
	1: -205	NW	$95.55 \pm 0.53$ a	52.01 ± 1.37 e	$13.75 \pm 0.91$ cd	
	Jiza305	WD	$92.51 \pm 0.58$ c	$97.27 \pm 1.93$ a	$18.19 \pm 0.56$ a	
	Jinza106	NW	$95.88 \pm 0.95 \text{ a}$	$47.92 \pm 1.54 \text{ f}$	$13.41 \pm 0.60 d$	
A (1		WD	$91.76 \pm 0.32$ c	$83.93 \pm 1.96$ c	$16.51 \pm 1.00 \text{ b}$	
Anthesis	T: 102	NW	$93.85 \pm 1.08 \text{ b}$	$49.24 \pm 1.15 \text{ f}$	$13.39 \pm 0.49 d$	
	Jinza103	WD	$88.99 \pm 0.68 d$	$87.92 \pm 0.64 \text{ b}$	$16.29 \pm 0.43 \text{ b}$	
	E . 107	NW	$94.56 \pm 0.93$ ab	$50.58 \pm 2.14$ ef	$12.72 \pm 1.03 d$	
	Jiza127	WD	$89.07 \pm 0.65 d$	$79.40 \pm 0.67 d$	$15.10 \pm 0.97$ bc	

Table 3 continued

1	2	3	4	5	6
	Li205	NW	$95.45 \pm 0.51$ a	49.44 ± 1.18 d	$13.78 \pm 0.91$ bcd
	Jiza305	WD	$91.29 \pm 0.41 \text{ b}$	$90.26 \pm 0.36$ a	$17.76 \pm 1.00 a$
	Lin-a 106	NW	$95.43 \pm 0.89 a$	$47.14 \pm 0.90 d$	$12.42 \pm 0.42 de$
Filling stops	Jinza106	WD	$90.92 \pm 0.30 \ b$	$76.46 \pm 0.74 \ b$	$15.02 \pm 0.31 \text{ b}$
Filling stage	Jinza103	NW	$90.27 \pm 0.84 \ bc$	$45.37 \pm 5.13 d$	$11.85 \pm 0.60$ e
		WD	$85.16 \pm 0.26 d$	$69.92 \pm 1.09 c$	$13.72 \pm 1.78$ bcd
	Liza 127	NW	$89.50 \pm 0.47$ c	$45.00 \pm 5.08 d$	$12.88 \pm 0.68$ cde
	Jiza127	WD	$83.62 \pm 1.04$ e	$68.38 \pm 0.74 c$	$14.36 \pm 0.49$ bc

*Note.* NW – normal watering, WD – water deficit; FW – fresh weight; mean  $\pm$  SD followed by different letters are significantly different by the Duncan's (p < 0.05).

**Table 4.** Variations of activities of antioxidant enzymes of sorghum varieties under drought at jointing stage, anthesis and filling stage, respectively

Stage	Variety	Treatment	Activity of superoxide dismutase U g <sup>-1</sup> FW	Activity of peroxidase $\Delta OD_{470} g^{-1} min^{-1} FW$	Activity of catalase mg H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> g <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> FW
	Jiza305	NW	$292.39 \pm 0.94$ c	142.78 ± 2.80 d	15.72 ± 0.04 d
		WD	$342.51 \pm 0.88$ a	$172.13 \pm 3.26$ a	$17.89 \pm 0.06$ a
	Jinza106	NW	$292.38 \pm 0.78$ c	$141.56 \pm 1.83 d$	$15.50 \pm 0.22$ e
<b>.</b>		WD	$341.69 \pm 0.50$ a	$168.16 \pm 3.45$ ab	$17.29 \pm 0.09 \text{ b}$
Jointing stage	Jinza103	NW	$292.37 \pm 0.20 \text{ c}$	$142.15 \pm 3.67 d$	$15.28 \pm 0.07 \text{ f}$
		WD	$332.86 \pm 0.65 \text{ b}$	$162.91 \pm 3.01$ bc	$16.47 \pm 0.11$ c
	Jiza127	NW	$292.37 \pm 0.75$ c	$142.13 \pm 3.59 d$	$15.26 \pm 0.08 \text{ f}$
		WD	$332.65 \pm 0.69 \text{ b}$	$161.38 \pm 2.14 c$	$16.48 \pm 0.05$ c
	Jiza305	NW	329.44 ± 4.29 e	212.64 ± 2.78 d	$15.17 \pm 0.02$ e
		WD	$408.67 \pm 3.78$ a	$258.91 \pm 2.77$ a	$17.44 \pm 0.11$ a
	Jinza106	NW	$330.29 \pm 5.67$ e	$211.01 \pm 1.43 d$	$14.81 \pm 0.02 \text{ f}$
A Alice and a		WD	$388.50 \pm 1.01 \text{ bc}$	$243.53 \pm 3.20 b$	$16.58 \pm 0.01 \text{ b}$
Anthesis	Jinza103	NW	$329.00 \pm 3.83$ e	$210.13 \pm 1.76 d$	$14.49 \pm 0.01$ g
		WD	$391.98 \pm 2.98 b$	$241.33 \pm 2.44 \text{ b}$	$16.16 \pm 0.03$ c
	Jiza127	NW	$339.03 \pm 2.95 d$	$209.51 \pm 1.42 d$	$14.36 \pm 0.01 \text{ h}$
		WD	$385.29 \pm 1.10 \text{ c}$	$231.32 \pm 1.75$ c	$15.74 \pm 0.01 d$
	Jiza305	NW	$323.26 \pm 5.44$ e	208.57 ± 2.57 e	$10.31 \pm 0.08$ c
		WD	$401.23 \pm 7.84$ a	$249.10 \pm 0.71$ a	$11.59 \pm 0.08$ a
	Jinza106	NW	$319.72 \pm 1.65$ e	$207.17 \pm 0.95$ ef	$9.59 \pm 0.04 d$
E:11:		WD	$374.43 \pm 4.80 \text{ b}$	$238.59 \pm 1.48 \text{ b}$	$10.51 \pm 0.02$ b
Filling stage	Jinza103	NW	$319.23 \pm 2.47$ e	$205.68 \pm 2.99$ ef	$7.16 \pm 0.04 \text{ g}$
		WD	$357.48 \pm 0.55$ c	$233.97 \pm 1.01 \text{ c}$	$7.70 \pm 0.04$ e
	T: 107	NW	$333.79 \pm 2.22 d$	$204.30 \pm 2.67 \text{ f}$	$7.13 \pm 0.00 \text{ g}$
	Jiza127	WD	$369.22 \pm 5.38 \mathrm{b}$	$224.12 \pm 1.90 d$	$7.59 \pm 0.02 \text{ f}$

*Note.* NW – normal watering, WD – water deficit; FW – fresh weight; mean  $\pm$  SD followed by different letters are significantly different by the Duncan's (p < 0.05).

In our study, the nine physiological parameters investigated in the present study can be divided into three categories according to their function, i.e. gas-exchange parameters, osmotic adjustment, and antioxidant metabolism. Our results showed that the gas-exchange parameters, including transpiration rate, stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rate, were the primary factors that influenced drought tolerance of sorghum (Table 5). The secondary parameters were related to

osmotic adjustment, including soluble sugar content, proline content and relative water content. The tertiary parameters were related to antioxidant metabolism, including activities of CAT, SOD and POD. These results were partly supported by Wang et al. (2007) who conducted a grey relational analysis in wheat under natural drought conditions and found that stomatal conductance had the closest relationship with the drought tolerance.

*Table 5.* Grey relational degree of all investigated physiological parameters

Physiological parameters	Grey relational degree	Rank
Transpiration rate	0.8111	1
Stomatal conductance	0.7759	2
Photosynthetic rate	0.7517	3
Soluble sugar content	0.7075	4
Proline content	0.7048	5
Relative water content	0.6873	6
Activity of catalase	0.6835	7
Activity of superoxide dismutase	0.6549	8
Activity of peroxidase	0.6537	9

Gas exchange parameters are determined by the movement of stoma which is an important channel for plants to exchange the moisture and gas. Stomata opening may decrease or even close when plants lose moisture under drought stress, which is significant for plants to survive under drought conditions. However, the decreased degree of stomatal opening also prevents CO, from entering plants through stomata. In this study, the inhibition of photosynthetic rate among sorghum varieties as a result of drought stress resulted from the decrease of stomatal conductance (Table 2). Zhang et al. (2011) suggested that a decrease in CO, assimilation due to the closure of stomata may lead to a damage of photosystem II because of excess energy. The ultimate purpose of crop production is to obtain relative high yield, and especially under drought conditions the artificial selection of drought-tolerant germplasm for yield would need to satisfy photosynthesis with adequate carbon dioxide concentration to maintain assimilative capacity. Furthermore, these gas-exchange parameters are often referred to photosynthesis. Photosynthesis is the basis of crop yield formation. As to guarantee yield formation, it is important to maintain photosynthetic ability under drought stress. From our results, drought stress at filling stage had the greatest effect on photosynthesis and the photosynthetic performance of sorghum varieties was consistent with their final yield, respectively.

Increasing contents of osmotic adjustment substances can improve the hydrophilicity of the cell and reduce water loss under drought conditions. In the study, the ability of osmotic adjustment of sorghum varieties was motivated under drought conditions by increasing the contents of proline and soluble sugar (Table 3). In addition, the increased extents of osmotic adjustment substances induced by drought were generally higher than the increased extents of activities of antioxidant enzymes at the same stage, suggesting osmotic adjustment played more important role in drought tolerance. Previous studies documented that exogenous osmotic adjustment substances could enhance activities of antioxidant enzymes (Nounjan et al., 2012; Hou et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2013). Malondialdehyde is unavoidably produced

when polyunsaturated fatty acids in the membrane undergo peroxidation due to the adverse conditions and it is often used as an indicator of plant injury. It is more important to preserve water status to maintain normal metabolization in crops under drought, although activities of antioxidant enzymes are often increased to inhibit peroxidation during drought stresses.

## **Conclusions**

1. Drought tolerance index of yield (DTIY) was a reliable indicator to reflect sorghum performance under drought stress. The grey relational analysis (GRA) could be applied as an effective way for sorghum breeders to compare the importance of physiological parameters to improve the efficiency and accuracy of selection of drought tolerance for the germplasm evaluation and breeding in sorghum.

2. Gas exchange parameters were the principal factors in evaluating the drought tolerance associated with sorghum yield formation under drought conditions. Osmotic adjustment ability was more important as compared to the activities of antioxidant enzymes when sorghum suffered from drought.

## Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the sub-project of the National Science and Technology Support Program (2014BAD07B02) of Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology, and China Agriculture Research System (CARS-06-02-02), as well as Postdoctoral Fund of Shenyang Agricultural University.

Received 27 06 2015 Accepted 22 09 2015

#### References

Assefa T., Beebe S. E., Rao I. M., Cuasquer J. B., Duque M. C., Rivera M., Battisti A., Lucchin M. 2013. Pod harvest index as a selection criterion to improve drought resistance in white pea bean. Field Crops Research, 148: 24–33 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2013.04.008

BorrellA. K., van Oosterom E. J., Mullet J. E., George-Jaeggli B., Jordan D. R., Klein P. E., Hammer G. L. 2014. Stay-green alleles individually enhance grain yield in sorghum under drought by modifying canopy development and water uptake patterns. New Phytologist, 203: 817–830 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nph.12869

Chen X. J., Min D. H., Yasir T. A., Hua Y. G. 2012. Evaluation of 14 morphological, yield-related and physiological traits as indicators of drought tolerance in Chinese winter bread wheat revealed by analysis of the membership function value of drought tolerance (MFVD). Field Crops Research, 137: 195–201

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2012.09.008

Deng J. L. 1989. Introduction to grey system theory. Journal of Grey System, 1: 1–24

FAO. 2015. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <a href="http://faostat3.fao.org/download/Q/QC/E">http://faostat3.fao.org/download/Q/QC/E</a>

- Hou P. F., Ma J. Q., Zhao P. F., Zhang H. L., Zhao H. J., Liu H. Sh., Zhao Y. D., Wang Y. X. 2013. Effects of betaine on chloroplast protective enzymes and *psbA* gene expression in wheat seedlings under drought stress. Acta Agronomica Sinica, 39 (7): 1319–1324 http://dx.doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1006.2013.01319
- Hu G., Zhang J., Fan L. 2012. Influential factors of water productivity of maize in oasis of arid areas a case study of linze county. Agricultural Science and Technology, 13 (9): 1854–4858
- Huang Y. W., Nie Y. X., Wan Y. Y., Chen S. Y., Sun Y., Wang X. J., Bai J. G. 2013. Exogenous glucose regulates activities of antioxidant enzyme, soluble acid invertase and neutral invertase and alleviates dehydration stress of cucumber seedlings. Scientia Horticulturae, 162: 20–30 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2013.07.031
- Jia Y. H., Shao M. A. 2013. Temporal stability of soil water storage under four types of revegetation on the northern Loess Plateau of China. Agricultural Water Management, 117: 33–42

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2012.10.013

- Lan J. S., Hu F. S., Zhang J. R. 1990. The concept and statistical method of drought resistance index in crop. Acta Agriculturae Boreali Sinica, 5 (2): 20–25
- Li H. Sh. 2000. The principle and technology of plant physiology and biochemistry experiment. Beijing, China, 195–196 p.
- Li Y. Y., Feng Z., Zhao L. Y., Mo Z. H., Zhang B. 2007. The grey analysis, kriging and selection index of flower yield in rugosa rose. Agricultural Sciences in China, 6 (12): 1420–1425

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1671-2927(08)60003-6

Lu Y. L., Hao Z. F., Xie C. X., Crossa J., Araus J. L., Gao S. B., Vivek B. S., Magorokosho C., Mugo S., Makumbi D., Taba S., Pan G. T., Li X. H., Rong T. Z., Zhang S. H., Xu Y. B. 2011. Large-scale screening for maize drought resistance using multiple selection criteria evaluated under water-stressed and well-watered environments. Field Crops Research, 124: 37–45

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2011.06.003

Nounjan N., Nghia P. T., Theerakulpisut P. 2012. Exogenous proline and trehalose promote recovery of rice seedlings from salt-stress and differentially modulate antioxidant enzymes and expression of related genes. Journal of Plant Physiology, 169: 596–604

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2012.01.004

Ober E. S., Le Bloa M., Clark C. J. A., Royal A., Jaggard K. W., Pidgeon J. D. 2005. Evaluation of physiological traits as indirect selection criteria for drought tolerance in sugar beet. Field Crops Research, 91: 231–249

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2004.07.012

Ogbaga C. C., Stepien P., Johnson G. N. 2014. Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) varieties adopt strongly contrasting strategies in response to drought. Physiologia Plantarum, 152: 389–401

## http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12196

- Shan L., Xu B. C. 2009. Discussion on drought resistance of sorghum and its status in agriculture in arid and semiarid regions. Scientia Agricultura Sinica, 42 (7): 2342–2348
- Wang H. F., Guo W., Wang J. H., Huang W. J., Gu X. H., Dong Y. Y., Xu X. G. 2013. Exploring the feasibility of winter wheat freeze injury by integrating grey system model with RS and GIS. Journal of Integrative Agriculture, 12 (7): 1162–1172
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(13)60445-1

- Wang S. Q., Hu Y. G., She K. J., Zhou L. L., Meng F. L. 2007. Gray relational grade analysis of agronomical and physic-biochemical traits related to drought tolerance in wheat. Scientia Agricultura Sinica, 40 (11): 2452–2459
- Zhang R. H., Zheng Y. J., Ma G. S., Zhang X. H., Lu H. D., Shi J. T., Xue J. Q. 2011. Effects of drought stress on photosynthetic traits and protective enzyme activity in maize seedling. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 31: 1303–1311
- Zhang X. Z. 1992. Crop physiology research methods. Beijing, China, 208–212 p.
- Zhou Y. F., Wang D. Q., Lu Z. B., Wang N., Wang Y. T., Li F. X., Xu W. J., Huang R. D. 2013. Effect of drought stress on osmotic adjustment and chloroplast ultrastructure of staygreen sorghum. Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology, 24 (9): 2545–2550
- Zhou Y. F., Wang D. Q., Lu Z. B., Wang N., Wang Y. T., Li F. X., Xu W. J., Huang R. D. 2014. Effects of drought stress on photosynthetic characteristics and endogenous hormone ABA and CTK contents in stay-green sorghum. Scientia Agricultura Sinica, 47 (4): 655–663

ISSN 1392-3196 / e-ISSN 2335-8947 Zemdirbyste-Agriculture, vol. 102, No. 4 (2015), p. 457–464 DOI 10.13080/z-a.2015.102.059

# Galimų fiziologinių rodiklių prioritizavimas vertinant sorgų atsparumą sausrai: pilkoji reliacinė analizė

N. Wang<sup>1</sup>, Y. Wang<sup>2</sup>, J. Yu<sup>3</sup>, Y. Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Q. Wu<sup>1</sup>, Y. Gao<sup>1</sup>, W. Xu<sup>1</sup>, R. Huang<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Shenyang žemės ūkio universiteto Agronomijos koledžas, Kinija
- <sup>2</sup>Kinijos agroaplinkos apsaugos ir priežiūros centras
- <sup>3</sup>Džordžijos universitetas, JAV

#### Santrauka

Dvispalvį sorgą (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) selekcionuojant ir auginant sausros sąlygomis yra svarbu nustatyti ir įvertinti sausrai atsparią genetinę medžiagą. Tyrimo tikslas – taikant pilkąją reliacinę analizę ištirti galimų fiziologinių rodiklių reikšmę vertinant sorgų atsparumą sausrai. Keturių veislių sorgai buvo auginti vegetaciniuose induose bamblėjimo, žydėjimo ir grūdo pildymosi tarpsniais taikant du drėkinimo variantus – normalų drėkinimą (75–80 % dirvos drėgmės imlumo) ir drėgmės deficitą (45–50 % dirvos drėgmės imlumo). Vertinant sorgų augimą sausros sąlygomis kaip pagrindinis rodiklis buvo naudojamas derliaus atsparumo sausrai indeksas. Tirtų rodiklių pilkasis reliacinis laipsnis mažėjo taip: transpiracijos greitis > žiotelių laidumas > tirpaus cukraus kiekis > prolino kiekis > santykinis drėgmės kiekis > katalazės veikla > peroksidazės superoksidazės dismutazės aktyvumas. Tai reiškia, kad atsparumas sausrai, užtikrinantis sorgų derliaus formavimąsi, buvo labiausiai susijęs su dujų apykaitos rodikliais. Veikiant sausros stresui labai svarbus augalų augimo rodiklis buvo drėgmės kiekis, palyginus su antioksidacinių fermentų aktyvumu.

Tyrimo rezultatai parodė, kad tirti rodikliai gali būti naudojami vertinant sorgų atsparumą sausrai, siekiant pagerinti atrankos efektyvumą ir tikslumą.

Reikšminiai žodžiai: analitinis metodas, drėgmės deficitas, fiziologiniai požymiai, Sorghum bicolor.