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Abstract. We establish some new oscillation criteria for the second order neutral delay differential equation

$$
\left[r(t)\left|[x(t)+p(t) x[\tau(t)]]^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha-1}[x(t)+p(t) x[\tau(t)]]^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+q(t) f(x[\sigma(t)])=0
$$

The obtained results supplement those of Dzurina and Stavroulakis, Sun and Meng, Xu and Meng, Baculíková and Lacková. We also make a slight improvement of one assumption in the paper of Xu and Meng.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we deal with the oscillation of the second order neutral delay differential equation
$\left(E^{+}\right) \quad\left[r(t)\left|[x(t)+p(t) x[\tau(t)]]^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha-1}[x(t)+p(t) x[\tau(t)]]^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+q(t) f(x[\sigma(t)])=0$,
where $\alpha>0$ is a constant, $p, q \in C\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.
We suppose throughout the paper that the following hypotheses hold: $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) q(t) \geqslant 0, q(t)=0$ only at isolated points, $0 \leqslant p(t) \leqslant 1, p(t) \not \equiv 1$ on any $(T, \infty) ;$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) r(t) \in C^{1}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), r(t)>0, R(t):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty ;$
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$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \frac{f(x)}{|x|^{\alpha-1} x} \geqslant \beta>0$ for $x \neq 0$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \sigma(t) \in C^{1}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \sigma(t) \leqslant t, \sigma^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \tau(t) \in C^{1}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \tau(t) \leqslant t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=\infty$.
By a solution of Eq. $\left(E^{+}\right)$we mean a function $x(t) \in C^{1}\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), T_{x} \geqslant t_{0}$, such that $z(t)=x(t)+p(t) x[\tau(t)]$ has the property $r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t) \in C^{1}\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)$ and $x(t)$ satisfies $\left(E^{+}\right)$on $\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)$. We consider only those solutions $x(t)$ of $\left(E^{+}\right)$which satisfy $\sup \{|x(t)|: t \leqslant T\}>0$ for all $T \geqslant T_{x}$. We assume that $\left(E^{+}\right)$possesses such a solution. A nontrivial solution of $\left(E^{+}\right)$is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. Equation $\left(E^{+}\right)$is oscillatory if all of its solutions are oscillatory.

The oscillatory properties of the corresponding linear equation

$$
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) y[\tau(t)]=0
$$

have been extended to $\left(E^{+}\right)$with $p(t) \equiv 0$ and $f(x)=x$ by Mirzov [11], [12], [13], Elbert [5], [6], Kusano et al. [8], [9], Chern et al. [3], Agarwal et al. [1].

Dzurina and Stavroulakis [4] generalized these oscillatory criteria to a particular case of $\left(E^{+}\right)$when $p(t) \equiv 0, f(x)=|x|^{\alpha-1} x$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)|u[\tau(t)]|^{\alpha-1} u[\tau(t)]=0 . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [4], Eq. (*) was studied in two separate cases under the assumptions $0<\alpha<1$ and $\alpha \geqslant 1$, respectively. Sun and Meng in [14] presented a technique that offers a perfect result for all $\alpha>0$.

Baculíková and Lacková [2] have studied a particular case of $\left(E^{+}\right)$of the form

$$
\left[r(t)\left|[x(t)+p(t) x(\tau(t))]^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha-1}[x(t)+p(t) x(\tau(t))]^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+q(t)|x[\sigma(t)]|^{\alpha-1} x[\sigma(t)]=0
$$

Their oscillatory condition obtained by using the integral averaging method requires the restriction $\alpha \geqslant 1$. The technique presented in this paper allows us to drop this restriction.

The main aim of this paper is to extend the integral averaging technique to $\left(E^{+}\right)$ in order to obtain new oscillatory criteria for the general equation $\left(E^{+}\right)$.

## 2. Main Results

We need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1 (See [7]). If $A$ and $B$ are nonnegative constants, then

$$
F(A, B)=A^{\lambda}-\lambda A B^{\lambda-1}+(\lambda-1) B^{\lambda} \geqslant 0, \quad \lambda>1
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $A=B$.
Proof. Note that if $A=0$ then $F(A, B)=(\lambda-1) B^{\lambda} \geqslant 0$. For $A>0$ we have

$$
F(A, B)=A^{\lambda}\left[1-\lambda C^{\lambda-1}+(\lambda-1) C^{\lambda}\right]
$$

where $C=B / A$. Using standard methods of Calculus one can easily verify that

$$
f(C)=1-\lambda C^{\lambda-1}+(\lambda-1) C^{\lambda} \geqslant 0
$$

The proof is complete.
We will use a "modified" integral averaging method. Let us consider a function $H(t, s)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $H(t, s)>0$ for $t>s \geqslant t_{0}$,
(ii) $H(t, t)=0$ and $\partial H(t, s) / \partial s<0$.

Denote for $t>s \geqslant t_{0}$

$$
Q(t, s)=H^{-\alpha}(t, s)\left(\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(s) H(t, s)+R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)] \cdot \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s}\right)^{\alpha+1}
$$

Theorem 2.1. If

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{1}{H\left(t, t_{1}\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[H(t, s) R^{\alpha}[\sigma(s)] \beta q(s)(1-p[\sigma(s)])^{\alpha}\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \left.-\frac{Q(t, s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]\left[\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right]^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty
\end{align*}
$$

then Eq. $\left(E^{+}\right)$is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that $x(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of Eq. $\left(E^{+}\right)$. We may assume that $x(t)>0$. The case of $x(t)<0$ can be proved by the same arguments. Set

$$
z(t)=x(t)+p(t) x[\tau(t)]
$$

Then $z(t) \geqslant x(t)>0$ and

$$
\left[r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}=-q(t) f(x[\sigma(t)]) \leqslant 0
$$

There are two possibilities for $z^{\prime}(t)$ :
(i) $z^{\prime}(t)>0$,
(ii) $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$.

The condition (ii) implies that for some positive constant $M$ and for all $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$

$$
r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t) \leqslant-M<0
$$

Thus

$$
-z^{\prime}(t) \geqslant\left(\frac{M}{r(t)}\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
z(t) \leqslant z\left(t_{1}\right)-M^{1 / \alpha}\left(R(t)-R\left(t_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and using $\left(H_{2}\right)$, we get $z(t) \rightarrow-\infty$. This contradiction proves that (i) holds.

For the case (i), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=z(t)-p(t) x[\tau(t)] \geqslant z(t)-p(t) z[\tau(t)] \geqslant(1-p(t)) z(t) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the above inequality and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ with Eq. $\left(E^{+}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{\prime}+\beta q(t)(1-p[\sigma(t)])^{\alpha} z^{\alpha}[\sigma(t)] \leqslant 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left[r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{\prime} \leqslant 0
$$

Therefore

$$
r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \leqslant r[\sigma(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\sigma(t)]\right)^{\alpha}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{\prime}[\sigma(t)]}{z^{\prime}(t)} \geqslant\left(\frac{r(t)}{r[\sigma(t)]}\right)^{1 / \alpha} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=R^{\alpha}[\sigma(t)] \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{z^{\alpha}[\sigma(t)]}>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geqslant t_{1}$.

Differentiating $w(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{\prime}(t)= & \alpha R^{\alpha-1}[\sigma(t)] \frac{\sigma^{\prime}(t) r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(t)] z^{\alpha}[\sigma(t)]}+R^{\alpha}[\sigma(t)] \frac{\left[r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{\prime}}{z^{\alpha}[\sigma(t)]}  \tag{6}\\
& -\alpha R^{\alpha}[\sigma(t)] \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} z^{\prime}[\sigma(t)] \sigma^{\prime}(t)}{z^{\alpha+1}[\sigma(t)]}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3), (4) and (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}(t) \leqslant & \frac{\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(t)}{R[\sigma(t)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(t)]} w(t)-R^{\alpha}[\sigma(t)] \beta q(t)(1-p[\sigma(t)])^{\alpha} \\
& -\frac{\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(t)}{R[\sigma(t)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(t)]} \cdot \frac{R^{\alpha+1}[\sigma(t)] r^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{z^{\alpha+1}[\sigma(t)]} \\
w^{\prime}(t) \leqslant & \frac{\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(t)}{R[\sigma(t)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(t)]} w(t)-\frac{\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(t)}{R[\sigma(t)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(t)]} w^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) \\
& -R^{\alpha}[\sigma(t)] \beta q(t)(1-p[\sigma(t)])^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying this inequality with $H(t, s)>0$ and then integrating from $t_{1}$ to $t$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t} H(t, s) R^{\alpha}[\sigma(s)] \beta q(s)(1-p[\sigma(s)])^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} s \leqslant \int_{t_{1}}^{t} H(t, s) \frac{\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(s)}{R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]} w(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
&-\int_{t_{1}}^{t} H(t, s) \frac{\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(s)}{R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]} w^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s-\int_{t_{1}}^{t} H(t, s) w^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Now integrating (by parts) from $t_{1}$ to $t$ we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t} H(t, s) & R^{\alpha}[\sigma(s)] \beta q(s)(1-p[\sigma(s)])^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} s  \tag{7}\\
\leqslant & H\left(t, t_{1}\right) w\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(s) H(t, s)}{R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]} \\
& \times\left[w(s)\left(1+\frac{R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]}{\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(s) H(t, s)} \cdot \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s}\right)-w^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(s)\right] \mathrm{d} s
\end{align*}
$$

Set $A=w(s)$ and

$$
B=\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(1+\frac{R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]}{\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(s) H(t, s)} \cdot \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s}\right)\right]^{1 /(\lambda-1)}
$$

where $\lambda=(\alpha+1) / \alpha>1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda-1) B^{\lambda}=\frac{\left(\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(s) H(t, s)+R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)] \partial H(t, s) / \partial s\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} H^{\alpha+1}(t, s)\left[\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right]^{\alpha+1}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (7) and using (8) and the definition of the function $Q(t, s)$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{H\left(t, t_{1}\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[H(t, s) R^{\alpha}[\sigma(s)] \beta q(s)(1-p[\sigma(s)])^{\alpha}\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{Q(t, s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]\left[\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right]^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s \leqslant w\left(t_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get a contradiction with (1), since the left hand side of the previous inequality tends to $\infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

## 3. Concluding remarks

Remark 1. Note that if $p(t) \equiv 1$ then (1) is never fulfilled. This is due to the fact that (2) gives in this case only $x(t) \geqslant 0$ and our arguments of the proof of Theorem 2.1 fail. So condition $\left(H_{1}\right)$ must hold and this assumption has to be added also to Theorem 1 in [15].

Setting $H(t, s)=(t-s)^{n}, n$ being a positive integer, Theorem 2.1 reduces to

Theorem 3.1. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{n}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[(t-s)^{n} R^{\alpha}[\sigma(s)] \beta q(s)(1-p[\sigma(s)])^{\alpha}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{Q(t, s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]\left[\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right]^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
Q(t, s)=(t-s)^{n}\left(\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(s)-\frac{n R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]}{t-s}\right)^{\alpha+1}
$$

then Eq. $\left(E^{+}\right)$is oscillatory.
For the particular case of $\left(E^{+}\right)$, namely for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} x^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}++q(t)|x[\sigma(t)]|^{\alpha-1} x[\sigma(t)]=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

Corollary 3.1. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{n}} & \int_{t_{1}}^{t}(t-s)^{n} \\
\times & {\left[[\sigma(s)]^{\alpha} q(s)-\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\sigma^{\prime}(s)}{\sigma(s)}\left(1-\frac{n \sigma(s)}{\alpha(t-s) \sigma^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\alpha+1}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty }
\end{aligned}
$$

then the equation (9) is oscillatory.
Recently, W. T. Li [Theorem 2.2 in [10]] presented the following oscillatory criterion for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[r(t)\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} x^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}+q(t)|x[\sigma(t)]|^{\alpha-1} x[\sigma(t)]=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial s}=-h_{2}(t, s) \sqrt{H(t, s)}
$$

Theorem 3.2. If there exists a positive nondecreasing function $\varrho(t) \in C^{1}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that
(11) $\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[H\left(s, t_{1}\right) q(s)-\frac{r[\sigma(s)] \varrho(s)\left(h_{2}\left(s, t_{1}\right)+\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(s)}{\varrho(s)} \sqrt{H\left(s, t_{1}\right)}\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\left[H\left(s, t_{1}\right)\right]^{(\alpha-1) / 2}}\right] \mathrm{d} s>0$ and
(12) $\quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[H(t, s) q(s)-\frac{r[\sigma(s)] \varrho(s)\left(h_{2}(t, s)+\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(s)}{\varrho(s)} \sqrt{H(t, s)}\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}[H(t, s)]^{(\alpha-1) / 2}}\right] \mathrm{d} s>0$, then the equation (10) is oscillatory.

On the other hand, Theorem 2.1 for (10) reduces to
Corollary 3.2. If

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H\left(t, t_{1}\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[H(t, s) R^{\alpha}[\sigma(s)] q(s)\right.  \tag{13}\\
&\left.\quad-\frac{\left(\alpha \sigma^{\prime}(s) H(t, s)+R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)] \cdot \partial H(t, s) / \partial s\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} H^{\alpha}(t, s) R[\sigma(s)] r^{1 / \alpha}[\sigma(s)]\left[\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right]^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty
\end{align*}
$$

then the equation (10) is oscillatory.
Corollary 3.2 supplements Theorem 3.2 and reduces the conditions (11) and (12) to one condition (13).
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