

Superluminal Frames and the Group of Generalized Lorentz Transformations in Four Dimensions.

R. MIGNANI and E. RECAMI

Istituto di Fisica Teorica dell'Università - Catania

Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura della Materia - Catania

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Catania

U. LOMBARDO

Istituto di Fisica Teorica dell'Università - Catania

(ricevuto il 3 Luglio 1972)

1. — In a recent letter ⁽¹⁾, while answering to a previous comment by RAMACHANDRAN *et al.* ⁽²⁾, we introduced a new group G of Lorentz transformations (LT) in four dimensions ^(*) generalized for both subluminal ($\beta < 1$) and superluminal ($\beta > 1$) velocities.

But in paper I—in order to limit its length—we could do nothing but scarcely mentioning our group G of generalized Lorentz transformations (G/LT). Analogously, some other details were exploited not enough.

The aim of this further letter is to cast more light on the new group G , and to clarify a few other points of paper I.

Such problems—as well as many related other ones—will be extensively dealt with in a forthcoming paper, to be published elsewhere.

Let us call S the reference frames travelling faster than light with respect to the usual class of inertial frames s . The philosophical investigation developed in paper I showed that—if standard space-time measurements must be performable by S —then a « symmetry » between frames s and S must hold. In the sense that particles behaving as tachyons with respect to observers s will behave as bradyons with respect to observers S , and *vice versa* (*principle of duality*). Actually, the words bradyon (B), tachyon (T), frame s , frame S have only a *relative* meaning ⁽¹⁾. The velocity of light c preserves of course its character of invariant quantity for both s and S frames ⁽⁴⁾.

⁽¹⁾ E. RECAMI and R. MIGNANI: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, **4**, 144 (1972). This paper will be referred to in the following as « paper I ».

⁽²⁾ G. RAMACHANDRAN, S. G. TAGARE and A. S. KOLASKAR: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, **4**, 141 (1972).

^(*) Our extended LT's happened indeed to generalize Parker's ⁽³⁾ ones from the bidimensional to the four-dimensional case.

⁽³⁾ L. PARKER: *Phys. Rev.*, **133**, 2287 (1969).

⁽⁴⁾ See paper I, and references therein.

We shall call «inertial» all the (physical) frames with relative speeds both $u < c$ and $u > c$. Due to the «principle of duality», frames S are supposed to have at their disposal exactly the same physical objects as frames s have.

In paper I, it has been argued that a «principle of relativity» must hold for the whole class $\{I\}$ of «inertial» frames, since the physical laws (when generalized also for tachyons) are to be covariant ⁽¹⁾ for GLT's of the whole class $\{I\}$. Actually, if both s and S observe *the same object* (as required in relativity), bradyonic laws will transform into tachyonic laws under a superluminal LT, and *vice versa*. Therefore, the totality of *relativistic* physical laws (written in the form valid for both B's and T's) will be «G-covariant» ⁽¹⁾.

In these senses, we may say that all our inertial frames are *equivalent*.

2. – The condition for having the «principle of duality» satisfied is the following ⁽⁴⁾: *When passing from s to S , spacelike intervals must transform into timelike intervals, and vice versa.*

When in paper I we spoke (not too correctly) about «change of metric» or «metric inversion», we meant nothing but this fact. Such an inversion operates indeed on the symmetry with respect to the light-cone. Mathematically, the GLT's must be such that

$$(1) \quad c^2 t'^2 - \mathbf{x}'^2 = \pm (c^2 t^2 - \mathbf{x}^2) \quad \text{for } u \leq c.$$

The linear transformations, connecting inertial frames and satisfying eq. (1), are, roughly speaking, i) the usual, orthochronous (homogeneous) Lorentz transformations $A_{<}$ (and the ones $-A_{<} \equiv (PT) \cdot A_{<}$) for $u < c$, ii) the generalized Lorentz transformations $\pm iA_{>} \equiv \pm i\mathbf{1} \cdot A_{>}$ for $u > c$ ⁽¹⁾, where ^(*)

$$(2) \quad A_{<} \equiv A(|\beta| < 1), \quad A_{>} \equiv A(|\beta| > 1).$$

For example, in paper I we have shown that—in the simple case of collinear motion along the x -axis—condition (1) is satisfied by

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} x' = \frac{x - ut}{\sqrt{|1 - \beta^2|}}, & t' = \frac{t - ux/c^2}{\sqrt{|1 - \beta^2|}}, \\ y' = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \beta^2}{|1 - \beta^2|}} y, & z' = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \beta^2}{|1 - \beta^2|}} z, \end{cases} \quad [\beta^2 \leq 1],$$

for relative speed *both* $u < c$ and $u > c$. The GLT's, eq. (3), are precisely of the forms $A_{<}$ and $iA_{>}$ for $\beta^2 < 1$ and $\beta^2 > 1$, respectively.

In general, let us consider a universe free of charges and represent the A 's by 4×4 matrices. Since matrices $A_{>}$ are formally identical with usual LT's, but corresponding to values $|\beta| > 1$, it is immediate to see that

$$(4) \quad A_{<}^{-1}(\beta) \equiv A_{<}(-\beta), \quad [iA_{>}(\beta)]^{-1} = -iA_{>}(-\beta) \equiv -iA_{>}^{-1}(\beta).$$

Thence

$$(5a) \quad [iA_{>}(\beta)] \cdot [-iA_{>}^{-1}(\beta)] = \mathbf{1},$$

^(*) Let us explicitly recall ⁽¹⁾ that the matrices $A_{>}$ are complex.

but

$$(5b) \quad [iA_{>}(\beta)] \cdot [iA_{>}^{-1}(\beta)] = -1 \equiv PT,$$

so that our generalized ⁽¹⁾ group G will contain the *total-inversion* operator as an element. Precisely, by considering successive applications of GLT's of the types $A_{<}$ and $iA_{>}$, it is easy to realize that the group G consists of four subsets:

$$(6) \quad G \equiv (SU_{<}^{\dagger}) \cup (SU_{<}^{\downarrow}) \cup (iSU_{>}^{\dagger}) \cup (iSU_{>}^{\downarrow}),$$

where

$$SU_{<}^{\dagger} \equiv SO_{<}^{\dagger} \equiv SO_{\dagger}^{\uparrow}(1, 3; \mathbf{R}; |\beta| \leq 1), \quad SU_{>}^{\dagger} \equiv SU_{\dagger}^{\uparrow}(1, 3; \mathbf{C}; |\beta| > 1),$$

$$iSU_{>}^{\dagger} \equiv \{L: l = iA_{>}; A \in SU_{>}^{\dagger}\},$$

and so on. All the elements L of G are rotations in the four-dimensional space-time, *i.e.* the transformations L are unimodular (with $\det L = +1$).

The structure of G will be clarified in a forthcoming article. Here let us simply mention that a correspondence exists between subluminal LT's from a frame s_0 to a frame s , moving with velocity \mathbf{u} ($0 < u < c$), and superluminal GLT's connecting s_0 to a frame S travelling in the same direction with speed $U = c^2/u$ ($u > c$). Such a bi-univocal correspondence between frames s and S is the particular *conformal mapping (inversion)*

$$(7) \quad u \Leftrightarrow c^2/u.$$

In the case of a charged universe, interesting observations may be made about the *CPT* covariance.

3. - Afterwards, it is worth-while to clarify the following. When generalizing ⁽¹⁾ physical laws for tachyons ($\beta > 1$), one should pay attention that *a priori* $\sqrt{\beta^2 - 1} = \pm i\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$. Always (*) we consistently choose the sign *minus*, in order, *e.g.*, to get positive values of the relativistic mass (see eq. (4') of paper I). It is understood that $\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$ represents, for $\beta > 1$, the upper-half-plane solution.

Lastly, let us notice that relativistic laws may be easily generalized for tachyons. In fact, from our discussion about the « equivalence » of *all* the inertial frames, it is immediate to get the following *tachyonization principle*: « The relativistic laws (of mechanics and electrodynamics) for tachyons follow by applying the GLT's to the corresponding laws for bradyons » (**).

The authors are grateful to Prof. A. AGODI and Dr. M. BALDO for many useful discussions.

(*) A misprint occurred in the second eq. (7) of paper I, which ought to read $v'_{y,z} = +v_{y,z}\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}/(1 - uv_z/c^2)$ for $u > c$.

(**) After the completion of paper I, we became aware of the existence of papers ^(*), which approached our problem too. Criticizing ref. (*) is the substantial content of ref. (**).

(*) J. G. GILSON: *Mathem. Gazette*, **52**, 162 (1968).

(**) S. NARANAN: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, **3**, 623 (1972).