Iranian Journal of Chemical Engineering Vol. 12, No. 2 (Spring 2015), IAChE

# Simulation of Leaching of Starch from Potato in a Batch Extractor

B. Abbasi Souraki<sup>\*</sup>, R. Hasanzadeh

Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, University of Guilan, Iran

#### Abstract

In this paper, extraction of starch and protein from potato during leaching in a batch extractor, using distilled water as the solvent, was investigated. The experiments were carried out by soaking bulk of infinite slab shape potato samples in distilled water in a temperature and agitation controlled batch extractor at the three temperatures of 30, 45 and 55°C. A mathematical model was developed for prediction of mass transfer during the leaching process, by defining a partition factor (K) as the ratio of the concentration on the surface of the body to that in the bulk of solution. Diffusion coefficients of the solutes and moisture were obtained by fitting the experimental data of solute loss and moisture gain to the first six terms of the series solution of the mathematical model by using a non-linear regression analysis. The diffusivity values for starch, protein and moisture were evaluated between  $0.273 \times 10^{-10}$  and  $1.577 \times 10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s, with adjustment parameter R2 values in the range of 0.941 to 0.986 and mean relative error (MRE) values between 0.092 and 0.356, respectively. Results showed that the proposed model could be used for explaining the diffusion of solutes and moisture into the potatoes, during the leaching process, with acceptable degree of goodness.

Keywords: Leaching, Extraction, Partition Factor, Potato, Starch

## 1. Introduction

The leaching (solvent extraction) of a substance from a solid material with the aid of a liquid is a common process in chemical and food engineering which can be applied to appropriate biological, inorganic and organic substances. Examples of leaching include the removal of copper and gold from their ores by sulfuric acid and sodium cyanide solutions, the removal of sugar from sugar beet using hot water, the extraction of oils from seeds using organic solvents such as hexane, acetone or ether [1] and extraction of solutes from foodstuffs by soaking in water [2].

Potato is the fourth most important food crop in the world after wheat, rice and maize [3]. The chemical composition of the dry matter in potatoes (average 22.3%) can vary substantially according to variety, conditions during growth and the degree of maturity [4]. Potato contains 63–87% moisture; 13–30% carbohydrates; 0.7–4.6% proteins; 0.02–

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: b.abbasi@guilan.ac.ir

0.96% lipids; and about 0.44% ash [5]. Most (about 77%) of the dry matter of a potato tuber consists of starch granules [4]. Potato starch can be used in food and other industrial applications as a thickener, colloidal stabilizer, gelling agent, bulking agent, and water-holding agent [6]. The starch consists of two macromolecular components; amylose (21-25%) and amylopectin (75 - 79%) [4].

After starch, an important product from potato is protein, which is present in the extract of soaked potatoes. Potato fruit water (PFW) is a dilute byproduct from the potato starch industry that contains about 5% dry matter. Dry matter of PFW also contains minerals and other soluble carbohydrates such as sugars [7]. About one third of the dry matter is protein, peptides and amino acids/amides [8]. Potato is a proper source of protein for many people in the world who are allergic to other sources of protein such as foods with egg, gluten, soy, fish and nuts [8].

Starch and protein can be extracted by immersion of potato slices into the water [5]. This process involves simultaneous countercurrent solute diffusion from, and moisture diffusion into the potato samples. These phenomena occur because of differences between chemical potentials of the solute and water in the solid and solution. Both solute and moisture transfer in the soaking process are affected by operating parameters such as temperature and contacting time, and also matrix properties of the cellular material [7]. Soaking of vegetables whether as a complete process or as a pre-treatment is widely used in industry for different reasons: extracting some components [9]. inactivating destructive enzymes [10], decreasing cooking time [11], and improving flavor of the products [12].

Batch solvent extraction is commonly used to extract active compounds from plants. The current food industry has adopted this technique and focuses on extracting and recovering valuable active compounds from different plants and waste residues. In conventional solvent extraction, the solid sample is immersed in a solvent and the extract is collected after attainment of the final equilibrium condition [13].

Numerous theoretical and experimental studies have been carried out on the solute extraction from natural sources [9,10,14]. Most of the researches deal with developing differential equations based on Fick's second law of diffusion and solving them by analytical or numerical methods.

Arroqui *et al.* estimated the diffusivity of ascorbic acid in potato during blanching in distilled water [15]. Pedreschi *et al.* studied the extraction of reducing sugar from potato slabs during blanching, by using the classical analytical solution of Fick's second law, and developed a modified model with variable diffusivity [10].

In most of the previous mentioned studies, the solution concentration is assumed to be constant and also it is supposed that equilibrium condition is established on the surface of the sample, or concentration on the surface of the sample is the same as that in the solution. So the main objective of the present study was investigation of the mass transfer during leaching of starch from potato in a batch extractor and developing a mathematical model for prediction of concentration variations in the potato samples, taking varying solution

concentration into consideration and defining a partition factor K, such that the concentration just within the surface of the sample is K times that in the solution [16]. The analytical solution of Fick's second law of diffusion was used for prediction of the starch and protein loss and also the water gain by the thin potato slabs immersed in distilled water. The experiments were carried out by soaking infinite slab shape potato samples in distilled water in a temperature and agitation controlled batch extractor at 30, 45 and 55°C.

#### 2. Theory

### 2-1. Mathematical modeling

A number of solid bodies are immersed in a limited volume of solvent, and the solvent is well stirred. If the volume of the solvent in which the bodies are immersed is not large enough to neglect the amount of solute taken up or extracted from the solid, then the concentration in the liquid will change as diffusion proceeds. By stirring the solution well, time would be the only parameter affecting the concentration of the solute in the fluid. It is useful from an experimental point of view to have only a limited amount of solution since the rate of leaching of solute by the solvent can be deduced from observations of the uniform concentration in the solution. It is often simpler to do this than to observe directly the amount in the solid material [16]. The unsteady-state onedimensional mass transfer in the solid materials can be described by Fick's second law of diffusion as follows [16]:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_e \frac{1}{r^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^m \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} \right) \tag{1}$$

Where m=0 for slab shape bodies, m=1 for cylinders and m=2 for spheres. In this study, solid materials (potatoes) were cut into infinite slab shape samples of finite thickness 21. It is assumed that the volume and thermophysical properties of the materials are constant during leaching. The solid materials with a uniform concentration of  $C_0$  are immersed in a solvent at constant temperature. The rate at which solute inters the solvent is always equal to that at which it leaves the solid materials over the surfaces  $x=\pm l$ . Thus the following initial and boundary conditions are taken [16]:

$$C(x,0) = C_0$$
 at  $t = 0$  (2)

$$V_L \frac{\partial C^L}{\partial t} = \mp 2NAD_e \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \quad at \quad x = \pm l$$
 (3)

Where  $V_L$  is the volume of solvent, N is the number of solid samples in the solution, C, without superscript, is the concentration in the solid,  $C^L$  is the concentration in the solution, x is the spatial coordinate and  $D_e$  is the effective diffusivity of solute in the solid material, which is a function of temperature.

By defining a partition factor K, we assume that the concentration of solute just within the surface of the sheet is K times that in the solution. With this assumption, the solution of the problem can be obtained by using Laplace transform in a form expressing the total amount of solute,  $M_t$ , in the sheet at time t with respect to the corresponding quantity after infinite time,  $M_{\infty}$ , as follows [16]:

$$\frac{M_t}{M_{\infty}} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha(1+\alpha)}{1+\alpha+\alpha^2 q_n^2} \exp(-D_e q_n^2 t/l^2)$$
(4)

or as a function of dimensionless time  $(\tau = D_e t/l^2)$  as:

$$\bar{\varphi}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{M_t}{M_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha(1+\alpha)}{1+\alpha+\alpha^2 q_n^2} \exp(-q_n^2 \tau)$$
(5)

Where the  $q_n s$  are the non-zero positive roots of [16]:

$$\tan q_n = -\alpha q_n \tag{6}$$

and  $\alpha$  is[16]:

$$\alpha = \frac{V_L}{V_S K} \tag{7}$$

It is sometimes convenient to express  $\alpha$  in terms of the fraction of total solute finally taken up by the solvent. In the final equilibrium state, since the total amount of solute in the solution and sheet was originally contained in the solid of concentration C<sub>0</sub>, we have:

$$V_L C_\infty^L + V_S C_\infty = V_S C_0 \tag{8}$$

Substituting the definition of partition factor K in Eq. (8), results in:

$$C_{\infty}^{L} = \frac{C_{\infty}}{K} = \frac{V_{S}C_{0}}{V_{L} + KV_{S}}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

Final fractional uptake of the solution, defined as the final amount of extracted solute to its initial value in the material can be obtained by the following equation [16]:

$$\frac{M_{\infty}^{L}}{M_{0}} = \frac{V_{L}C_{\infty}^{L}}{V_{s}C_{0}} = \frac{V_{L}}{V_{s}C_{0}}\frac{V_{s}C_{0}}{V_{L} + KV_{s}} = \frac{1}{1 + 1/\alpha}$$
(10)

During the leaching process, an amount of solvent could be attracted by the solid material. In the leaching of starch by distilled water, water is attracted by the potato samples. Eq. (5) can still be applied here for predicting the amount of moisture absorbed by the potato slabs. In this case,  $M_t$  is the amount of moisture in the solid at time t and  $M_{\infty}$  is the corresponding value after infinite time. Having  $\alpha$  value for each component,  $q_n s$  will be known from Eq. (6). Mass balance on the solvent (water) gives the following equation:

$$V_L C_\infty^L + V_S C_\infty = V_L C_0^L \tag{11}$$

Substituting partition factor relationship and rearrangement would lead to:

$$C_{\infty} = \frac{V_L C_0^L}{\frac{V_L}{K} + V_S}$$
(12)

The final fractional uptake of the solid body (sheet), defined as the final amount of attracted solvent to its initial value in the solution is given by [16]:

$$\frac{M_{\infty} - M_0}{M_0^L} = \frac{V_S C_{\infty}}{V_L C_0^L} - \frac{M_0}{M_0^L} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} - \frac{M_0}{M_0^L}$$
(13)

 $M_{\infty}$  and  $M_0$  are the final and initial amounts of solvent in the solid and  $M_0^L$  is the initial mass of solvent.

## 2-2. Estimation of effective diffusivities

Solutes and moisture effective diffusivities could be estimated by fitting of the first six terms of Fourier series of the analytical solution of Fick's law, Eq. (5), to the experimental data of starch and protein loss and water gain. The amounts of the solutes loss and water gain by the samples are calculated in dimensionless form as follows:

$$\overline{\varphi}_{s} = \frac{SL - SL_{\infty}}{SL_{\circ} - SL_{\infty}} = 1 - \frac{SL}{SL_{\infty}}$$
(14)

$$\overline{\varphi}_{w} = \frac{WG - WG_{\infty}}{WG_{\circ} - WG_{\infty}} = 1 - \frac{WG}{WG_{\infty}}$$
(15)

in which:

$$SL = \frac{C_{0s} - C_s}{C_{0s}} \tag{16}$$

$$WG = \frac{C_w - C_{0w}}{C_{0w}}$$
(17)

Values of  $\alpha$  are estimated by Eqs. (10) and (13) for the solutes and the moisture. Also, the values of  $q_n s$  are determined by solution of the Eq. (6) up to n=6.

#### 3. Materials and methods

#### **3-1.** Sample preparation

Potatoes were purchased from a specified market in Rasht, situated in the north of Iran. This market was chosen as the single place of supplying further potatoes, in order to maximize reproducibility of results. After washing and drying with absorbent tissue paper, the potatoes were kept in a plastic bag in a refrigerator at 4°C for more than 24 h before experiment in order to equilibrate the moisture content. The potatoes were removed from the refrigerator and left to equilibrate at room temperature. They were then cut into disks using a laboratory scale slicer, without removing the skin, so that only the cut surfaces were available for mass transfer and thus to ensure the assumption of infinite slab geometry (Fig. 1). Both the thicknesses and diameters of the potato samples were measured at ten points, using a micrometer of  $\pm 0.02$  mm accuracy. To ensure the homogeneity of the samples, slices with the average thickness of 1.5±0.2 mm, and average diameter of 6±0.1 cm were chosen for the experiments.





Figure 1. Potatoes sliced by laboratory scale slicer.

#### **3-2.** Apparatus

Leaching process was carried out in sealed glass containers inserted into a temperature and agitation controlled shaker incubator (Model: Heidolph UNIMAX 1010, Germany). A schematic figure of this device has been illustrated in Fig. 2.



**Figure 2.** Schematic diagram of temperature and agitation controlled shaker incubator.

Experiments were conducted at the three temperatures of 30°C, 45°C and 55°C. For all of the experiments, agitation condition of 160 shakes per minute was used and maintained constant. In each experiment, a limited volume of solvent (600 mL) was inserted into the apparatus and after attainment of steady temperature, the potato samples of known weights were immersed in it (Fig. 3). Leaching process was allowed to proceed for

5 h under constant conditions and after different process duration times (i.e. 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 300 min) some specified samples were weighed and put back immediately. Also, 10 mL of the solution was pulled out by a pipet for measuring the amount of extracted starch and protein. At the end of the process the samples were removed from the solution, rinsed and blotted using blotting paper, to eliminate the surface moisture and starch, and then were weighed. After that, they were analyzed for their moisture. All experiments were done in triplicate and the average values were reported.



**Figure 3.** Samples in shaker incubator during the process at 55°C.

#### 3-3. Analysis

The initial weight of the dry matter in potatoes was  $23.52\pm0.54$  (g/100g), determined by drying 15 samples in an electrical oven, until reaching the constant weight at 80°C using the oven method according to AOAC [17]. Total amount of extracted solid was determined by drying 10 mL of the extract after different time durations in the electric oven. For measuring the amount of extracted protein from the

tissues, 0.5 mL of the solution was analyzed calorimetrically using biuret method, by measuring the absorbance value at 540 nm immediately in a Cary 50 Bio UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Varian Australia, certified/evaluated as: CSA 1010-1 UL 3101-1) [18]. Total amount of extracted starch was determined by subtraction of the amount of extracted protein from the total extracted solid. It should be noted that the amount of extracted starch is much more than other extracted solids.

Knowing the amounts of solid losses at the time duration t (SL<sub>t</sub>), water gain (WG<sub>t</sub>) was calculated by the following mass balance equation:

$$WG_t = \frac{(m_t - m_0) + SL_t}{m_0} \times 100$$
(18)

In which  $m_0$  and  $m_t$  are the initial weight of the potato samples and their weights after process time duration of t.

## 4. Results and discussion

The equilibrium values of water gain (WG $_{\infty}$ ) and solute loss  $(SL_{\infty})$ at different temperatures were obtained by maintaining some samples in distilled water, inserted into the shaker incubator for more than 36 h under constant temperature and mixing conditions to ensure that the equilibrium was reached. It was assumed that the equilibrium conditions had been attained when three subsequent measurements of the sample mass at intervals of 2 h gave identical results. Table 1 shows the equilibrium starch and protein losses and equilibrium moisture gain at different temperatures. It can be seen that equilibrium values for all three the components have increased with increasing

the temperature.

After obtaining the final equilibrium values of solutes loss and moisture gain by the potato samples, effective diffusivities of solutes and moisture were estimated by fitting the experimental data of starch and protein loss and moisture gain to the solution of the Fick's second law of diffusion, according to Eq. (5). Estimated values of  $\alpha$ , K and q<sub>n</sub>s at different temperatures were given in Table 2 for protein, starch and moisture.

After finding the values of  $\alpha,\,K$  and  $q_ns,\,a$  Table 1

non-linear regression analysis was applied using MATLAB software (Version 7.10.0; R2010a) to fit Eq. (5) to the experimental data. The goodness of fit was determined by various statistical parameters such as coefficient of correlation, R<sup>2</sup>, and root mean square error (RMSE) values. Also, mean relative errors (MRE) between the predictions the model of and the experimental data were estimated. These parameters were obtained using the following equations [19]:

Equilibrium solute losses and	moisture gain at	t different temperatures.
-------------------------------	------------------	---------------------------

Temperature (°C)	$\mathbf{PL}_{\infty}$	$\mathbf{StL}_{\infty}$	$\mathbf{WG}_{\infty}$
30	$0.753 \pm 0.022^{a}$	$7.960\pm0.402$	$28.245 \pm 1.023$
45	$0.994 \pm 0.390$	$9.505\pm0.250$	$35.667 \pm 1.451$
55	$1.101\pm0.045$	$11.212 \pm 0.185$	$43.123 \pm 1.053$

<sup>a</sup> Average value ± Maximum error of measurements

#### Table 2

Values of  $\alpha$ , K and  $q_n s$  at different temperatures.

Component	Temperature (°C)	α	K	$q_1$	$q_2$	<b>q</b> 3	<i>q</i> 4	<b>q</b> 5	$q_{6}$
Protein	30	0.3464	17.3200	2.4399	5.2177	8.1927	11.2468	14.3359	17.4428
	45	0.5150	11.6512	2.2769	5.0777	8.0896	11.1677	14.2724	17.3900
	55	0.6038	9.9377	2.2132	5.0305	8.0567	11.1431	14.2529	17.3738
Starch	30	0.7791	7.7015	2.1161	4.9654	8.0128	11.1106	14.2271	17.3526
	45	1.0961	5.4742	1.9990	4.8966	7.9680	11.0777	14.2013	17.3314
	55	1.6098	3.7272	1.8886	4.8400	7.9321	11.0517	14.1809	17.3146
Water	30	17.7258	0.3385	1.6059	4.7243	7.8612	11.0007	14.1412	17.2820
	45	13.8618	0.4328	1.6154	4.7276	7.8632	11.0021	14.1423	17.2829
	55	11.3011	0.5309	1.6252	4.7311	7.8652	11.0036	14.1434	17.2839

$$\overline{\varphi}_{Mean} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \overline{\varphi}_{i,Exp}$$
(19)

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\overline{\varphi}_{i,Exp} - \overline{\varphi}_{i,\Pr\,edict})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\overline{\varphi}_{i,Exp} - \overline{\varphi}_{Mean})^{2}}$$
(20)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (\overline{\varphi}_{i,Exp} - \overline{\varphi}_{i,Predict})^2}$$
(21)

$$MRE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{\overline{\varphi_{i,Exp}} - \overline{\varphi_{i,Predict}}}{\overline{\varphi}_{i,Predict}}$$
(22)

In these relationships N is the number of the experimental data and  $\overline{\varphi}_{i,Exp}$  and  $\overline{\varphi}_{i,Pr\,edict}$ are the experimental and predicted dimensionless concentrations, respectively. The estimated effective diffusivities of starch, protein and moisture, as well as fitting goodness parameters of RMSE, MRE and R<sup>2</sup>, were given in Table 3. Diffusivities are in the range of  $0.273 \times 10^{-10}$ - $1.577 \times 10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s, in agreement with the literature data for diffusivities in fruits and vegetables [20].

In order to check the accuracy of the proposed model, predicted values of


solute loss and moisture gain by the model are shown in graphical form in comparison with the experimental data. Figures 4, 5 and the variations of predicted show 6 dimensionless concentrations of starch, protein and moisture, respectively, in comparison with the experimental data. Figures show that the model predictions the general trend followed of the experimental data. As it can be seen in these figures, solid loss and water gain increase rapidly at the early stages of process and then increase slowly and reach their final equilibrium values at the final stages of leaching. Figures show that most mass transfer occurs at the early stages of the process. Figures show that the rate of mass transfer (slope of the solid loss and moisture gain curves) increases by increasing the temperature. This fact could be explained by decrease in the viscosity of the water, swelling and plasticizing and destruction of the cell membrane structure with increasing the temperature [21].

#### Table 3


Component	Temperature (°C)	D <sub>e</sub> ×10 <sup>10</sup>	$\mathbb{R}^2$	MRE	RMSE
	30	$0.273 \pm 0.048$	0.941	0.356	0.526
Protein	45	$0.451 \pm 0.056$	0.970	0.150	0.173
FIOtem	55	$0.647\pm0.099$	0.972	0.230	0.287
	Whole the data		0.961	0.245	0.360
	30	$0.414\pm0.060$	0.977	0.187	0.374
Starch	45	$0.804 \pm 0.110$	0.986	0.133	0.164
Staren	55	$1.046\pm0.112$	0.985	0.121	0.137
	Whole the data		0.983	0.147	0.249
	30	$0.814\pm0.063$	0.978	0.092	0.122
Water	45	$1.144\pm0.069$	0.943	0.177	0.210
	55	$1.577\pm0.413$	0.949	0.227	0.272
	Whole the data		0.957	0.166	0.210

Effective diffusivities of protein, starch and water at different temperatures.

<sup>a</sup> Average value  $\pm$  Standard deviation



**Figure 4.** Comparison between predicted dimensionless concentrations of starch and experimental data.



**Figure 5.** Comparison between predicted dimensionless concentrations of protein and experimental data.




Figure 6. Comparison between predicted dimensionless concentrations of water and experimental data.

Fig. 7 shows the predicted values of dimensionless concentrations versus experimental data for starch, protein and water at different temperatures. The figure shows some scattering and dispersion in the experimental data, because experimental values were obtained from different potato samples (discontinuous method) with similar sizes. Also, some of the deviations from the experimental data could be attributed to the propagation of the estimation error of the diffusivity values, used in the mathematical model, in the final results.




Figure 7. Comparison between the predictions of the model and experimental data.

## 5. Conclusions

Extraction of starch and protein from potato during leaching in a batch extractor, using distilled water as the solvent, was modeled by defining a partition factor (K) as the ratio of the concentration on the surface of the body to that in the bulk of solution. The proposed model was validated by the experimental data, obtained from soaking of bulk of infinite slab shape potato samples in distilled water in a temperature and agitation controlled batch extractor at 30, 45 and 55°C. Results show a good agreement between the model predictions and experimental data.

## Nomenclature

- C Concentration (g/100g of fresh fruit)
- $D_e$  Effective diffusivity (m<sup>2</sup>/s)
- $D_{es}$  Effective diffusivity of solute (m<sup>2</sup>/s)
- $D_{ew}$  Effective moisture diffusivity (m<sup>2</sup>/s)
- K Partition factor
- 1 Half thickness of samples (m)
- m Mass of sample (g)
- Component extracted from or M absorbed by samples (g/100g fresh fruit)
- PL Protein loss (g/100g fresh fruit)
- $q_n$  Non-zero positive roots of Eq. (6):
- r Distance from center of coordinate (m)
- SL Solute loss (g/100g fresh fruit)
- StL Starch loss (g/100g fresh fruit)
- t Time (s)
- V Volume (m<sup>3</sup>)
- WG Water gain (g/100g fresh fruit)
- x Distance from the center of sample (m)

## Subscript

0	Initial	
exp	Experimental	
L	Liquid (solvent)	
n	nth term of the series	
predict	Predicted	
S	Solid or solute	
t	At the time t	
W	Water	
Greek letters		

 $\alpha$  A parameter defined in Eq. (7)

- φ Dimensionless concentration
- $\tau$  Dimensionless time (D<sub>e</sub>t/l<sup>2</sup>)
- $\infty$  At time  $\infty$  (equilibrium)

# References

[1] Treybal, R. E., Mass Transfer
 Operations, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., McGraw-Hill, Singapore,
 p. 717 (1981).

[2] Mestdagh, F., Wilde, T. D., Fraselle,
S., Govaert, Y., Ooghe, W., Degroodt, J. M.,
Verhe, R., Peteghem, C. V. and Meulenaer,
B. D., "Optimization of the blanching process to reduce acrylamide in fried potatoes", *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, **41**, 1648 (2008).

[3] Alvani, K., Qi, X., Tester, R. F. and Snape, C. E., "Physico-chemical properties of potato starches", *Food Chem.*, **125**, 958 (2011).

[4] Grommers, H. E. and Krogt, D. A.V. d., Potato Starch: Production, Modifications and Uses, in: BeMiller, J. and Whistler, R. (Eds.) Starch: Chemistry and Technology, Elsevier Inc., p. 511 (2009).

[5] Liu, Q., Donner, E., Tarn, R., Singh, J. and Chung, H. J., "Advanced Analytical Techniques to Evaluate the Quality of Potato and Potato Starch", *Elsevier Inc.*, 221 (2009).

[6] Singh, J., Kaur, L. and McCarthy, O. J., "Potato Starch and its Modification", *Elsevier Inc.*, 273 (2009).

[7] Vasanthan, T., Bergthaller, W., Driedger, D., Yeung, J. and Sporns, P., "Starch from Alberta potatoes: wet-isolation and some physicochemical properties", *Food Res. Int.*, **32**, 355 (1999).

[8] Løkra, S., Helland, M. H., Claussenc, I. C., Strætkverna, K. O. and Egelandsdalb, B., "Chemical characterization and functional properties of a potato protein concentrate prepared by large-scale expanded bed adsorption chromatography", *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, **41**, 1089 (2008).

[9] Hojnik, M., Skerget, M. and Knez, Z., "Extraction of lutein from Marigold flower petals - Experimental kinetics and modelling", *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, **41**, 2008 (2008)

[10] Pedreschi, F., Travisany, X., Reyes, C., Troncoso, E. and Pedreschi, R., "Kinetics of extraction of reducing sugar during blanching of potato slices", *J. Food Eng.*, **91**, 443 (2009).

[11] Yildirim, A., Öner, M. D. and Bayram, M., "Fitting Fick's model to analyze water diffusion into chickpeas during soaking with ultrasound treatment", *J. Food Eng.*, **104**, 134 (2011).

[12] Shi, J. and Maguer, M. L., "Mass transfer in cellular material at solid–liquid contacting interface", *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, **36**, 3 (2003).

[13] Chan, C.-H., Yusoff, R. and Ngoh, G. C., "Modeling and kinetics study of conventional and assisted batch solvent extraction", *Eng. Research Design*, 1169 (2013).

[14] Šimková, D., Lachman, J., Hamouz, K. and Vokál, B., "Effect of cultivar, location and year on total starch, amylose, phosphorus content and starch grain size of high starch potato cultivars for food and industrial processing", *Food Chem.*, **141** (4), 3872 (2013).

[15] Arroqui, C., Rumsey, T. R., Lopez, A. and Virseda, P., "Effect of different soluble solids in the water on the ascorbic acid losses during water blanching of potato tissue", *J. Food Eng.*, **47** (2), 123 (2001).

[16] Crank, J., The Mathematics of Diffusion, second ed., Oxford University Press, London, p. 56 (1975).

[17] AOAC, Official Methods of Analysis,13th ed., Association of Official AnalyticalChemists, Washington, D.C. p. 614 (1980).

[18] Gornall, A. G., Bardawill, C. J. and David, M. M., "Determination of serum proteins by means of the biuret reaction", *J. Biol. Chem.*, **177**, 751 (1948).

[19] Bevington, P. R. and Robinson, D. K., Data Reduction and Error Analysis for Physical Sciences, 3ed., McGraw-Hill Higher Education, p. 116 (2003).

[20] Mujumdar, A. S., Handbook of industrial drying, Second ed., Marcel Dekker Inc., USA, p. 82 (1995).

[21] Souraki, B. A., Ghaffari, A. and Bayat, Y., "Mathematical modeling of moisture and solute diffusion in the cylindrical green bean during osmotic dehydration in salt solution", *Food Bioprod. Process.*, **90**, 64 (2012).