

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/37511>

This paper must be cited as:

Santos Figueroa, LE.; Moragues Pons, ME.; Marques Raposo, MM.; Batista, RMF.; Costa, SPG.; Susana P. G. Costa; Ferreira, RCM... (2012). Synthesis and evaluation of fluorimetric and colorimetric chemosensors for anions based on (oligo)thienyl-thiosemicarbazones. *Tetrahedron*. 68(35):7179-7186. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2012.06.021.



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2012.06.021>

Copyright Elsevier

# Synthesis and evaluation of fluorimetric and colorimetric chemosensors for anions based on (oligo)thienyl-thiosemicarbazones

Luis E. Santos-Figueroa,<sup>a,b</sup> María E. Moragues,<sup>a,b</sup> M. Manuela M. Raposo,\*<sup>c</sup> Rosa M. F. Batista,<sup>c</sup> R. Cristina M. Ferreira,<sup>c</sup> Susana P. G. Costa,<sup>c</sup> Félix Sancenón,<sup>a,b</sup> Ramón Martínez-Máñez,\*<sup>a,b</sup> Juan Soto<sup>a</sup>, José Vicente Ros-Lis,<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centro de Reconocimiento Molecular y Desarrollo Tecnológico (IDM). Unidad Mixta Universidad Politécnica de Valencia-Universidad de Valencia. Universidad Politécnica de Valencia. Camino de Vera s/n, Valencia 46022, España

<sup>b</sup> CIBER de Biotecnología, Biomateriales y Nanomedicina (CIBER-BBN)

<sup>c</sup> Centro de Química, Universidade do Minho, Braga, Portugal

## 1. Introduction


The development of new molecular-based receptors able to detect anions, cations, or neutral molecules has recently gained importance due to the importance of detecting some target species in biological and environmental samples. In these systems the receptors are able to transform host-guest interactions into a measurable signal which allows analyte sensing via electrochemical or optical modulations.<sup>1</sup> In this field apart of the interest in developing fluorescent probes, chromogenic sensing has gained attention due to the possible semi-quantitative detection to the “naked-eye” or using very simple instrumentation.<sup>2</sup> Optical chemosensors for metal cations have been developed for more than two decades,<sup>3</sup> whereas in contrast anionic chromogenic probes have only recently been investigated.<sup>4,5</sup> In particular, the supramolecular chemistry of anions has advanced a great deal in the last years and today a number of receptors for anion binding have been described. In most cases they are based on hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions or coordination with suitable metal complexes.<sup>6</sup> In this area hydrogen bonding ligands are attractive because they show the advantage of being directional allowing discrimination between anions of different hydrogen-bonding requirements or geometries.<sup>7</sup> Among neutral anion binding groups thioureas and thiourea-containing fragments have been widely used for the formation of complexes with anions.<sup>8</sup> In particular thiourea derivatives have been intensively studied as anion receptors and a number of ligands containing different number of thiourea moieties and thioureido NH protons with different acidities have been described.<sup>9</sup> A means of tuning the acidity of thioureido NH protons is to introduce electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents.<sup>10</sup> On the other hand among molecules containing thiourea fragments the use of thiosemicarbazones has gained interest recently as potential receptors. For instance Schiff base compounds containing thiosemicarbazone groups have also grown in the areas of biology and chemistry due to their fungicidal, bactericidal, antiviral<sup>11</sup> and antitumor properties.<sup>12</sup> Recently, we have demonstrated that (oligo)thiophenes, electronically connected to recognition sites, are efficient  $\pi$ -conjugated bridges for the fluorimetric and/or colorimetric sensing of certain anions (e.g., F<sup>-</sup>, CN<sup>-</sup>) and cations (H<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Pd<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>).<sup>13</sup> Moreover recently thiosemicarbazones have also gained attention as anion receptors. In fact we and others have recently demonstrated that  $\pi$ -conjugated heterocyclic derivatives, containing thiosemicarbazone moieties are suitable systems for the colorimetric and fluorimetric sensing of anions.<sup>14</sup>

Taking into account our interest in the development of probes for anions and inspired in this previous work on the use of thiosemicarbazones as binding sites we report herein the synthesis and characterization of new (oligo)thienyl-thiosemicarbazones. These derivatives contain heteroaromatic  $\pi$ -conjugated systems (instead of the more commonly used aryl group) and have been tested as anion chemosensors.

## 2. Results and Discussion.

### 2.1. Synthesis and characterization

The new compounds **3a-d** with thiophene, bithiophene and terthiophene  $\pi$ -conjugated bridges were synthesized in moderate to good yields (41-77%) through Schiff-base condensation of heterocyclic aldehydes **1a-d** with 4-phenyl-3-thiosemicarbazide in methanol at room temperature (see Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Synthesis of the thienyl-thiosemicarbazone receptors **3a-d**.

Table 1. Yields, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and IR data of the oligothieryl-thiosemicarbazone receptors **3a-d**.

Formyl thiophene	Product	Yield (%)	$\delta_{\text{H}}$ (ppm) <sup>a</sup>			IR <sup>b</sup> (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	
			(CH=N)	(C=N-NH)	(S=C-NH)	(=C-H)	(NH)
<b>1a</b>	<b>3a</b>	66	8.14	10.29	9.11	3141	3297
<b>1b</b>	<b>3b</b>	77	8.02	9.60	9.07	3122	3341
<b>1c</b>	<b>3c</b>	50	8.07	10.49	9.09	3127	3329
<b>1d</b>	<b>3d</b>	41	7.97	9.35	9.09	---	3310

<sup>a</sup> For the NH proton of the (oligo)thienyl-thiosemicarbazone receptors **3a-d** (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);

<sup>b</sup> IR was recorded in Nujol

Aldehyde **1a** was commercially available whereas aldehydes **1c** and **1d** were synthesized as reported elsewhere.<sup>15</sup> The 2-((5-formylthien-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile **1b** was synthesized through reaction of thiophene-2,5-dicarbaldehyde with malononitrile in dry DMF with a catalytic amount of piperidine. Purification of the crude product by column chromatography on silica with increasing amounts of diethyl ether in light petroleum as eluent, gave the pure compound in 22% yield. All the compounds were completely characterized by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, IR, MS, EA or HRMS and the data obtained were in full agreement with the proposed formulation (see Table 1).

The most characteristic signals in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of this family of thiosemicarbazones were those corresponding to N-H and CH=N protons. <sup>1</sup>H NMR studies in deuterated chloroform showed CH=N protons in the 7.97-8.14 ppm range whereas thiourea-N-H protons were found in the 9.07-9.11 and 9.35-10.49 ppm interval for N-H adjacent to the phenyl ring and for the N-H adjacent to the CH=N moiety, respectively. When four compounds are considered the highest variation in  $\delta$  were found for the N-H protons located in the vicinity of the CH=N moiety adjacent to thiophene ( $\Delta\delta = 0.94$  ppm). Moreover, the N-H protons adjacent to the phenyl ring were the less affected ( $\Delta\delta = 0.04$  ppm).

## 2.2. Spectroscopic Behaviour of 3a-d.

Acetonitrile solutions ( $C = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$  moldm<sup>-3</sup> at 25 °C) of the thiosemicarbazone-functionalised receptors **3a-d** showed an intense absorption band ( $\log \epsilon \approx 4.4$ ) in the 338-425 nm region (see Table 2). Compound **3a** containing thiosemicarbazone moiety surrounded by a phenyl and a thienyl ring, showed an absorption band at 338 nm. The change of a hydrogen at the thienyl ring by a better electron acceptor moiety such as a dicyanovinyl group (receptor **3b**) induced a red shift from 338 to 425 nm, whereas an electron donor such as a ethoxy group (receptor **3c**) induced a minor red shift from 338 to 381 nm. Moreover the presence of two additional thienyl rings on the framework of **3a** (receptor **3d**) induced a bathochromic shift of the band (from 338 to 396 nm) which is most likely a consequence of the extension of the conjugation.


**Table 2.** Spectroscopic Data for Compounds **3a-d**.

Receptor	$\lambda_{\text{ab}}$ LH (nm)	$\lambda_{\text{ab}}$ L <sup>-</sup> (nm) <sup>a</sup>	Log $\epsilon$ (LH)	$\lambda_{\text{em}}$ LH (nm)	$\Delta\lambda_{\text{em}}$ L <sup>-</sup> (nm) <sup>a</sup>	$\Phi$	$\Delta\lambda_{\text{ab-em}}$ LH (nm)	$\Delta\nu_{\text{ab-em}}$ LH (cm <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>3a</b>	338	397	4.25	439	447	0.0064	101	6806
<b>3b</b>	425	626	4.35	560	539	0.0019	135	5672
<b>3c</b>	381	515	4.51	483	482	0.065	102	5542
<b>3d</b>	396	503	4.39	489	517	0.088	93	4802

<sup>a</sup> Measured upon addition of 100 equivalents of fluoride anion.


## 2.3. UV-Vis Studies Involving Anions.

The UV-Vis behaviour of receptors **3a-d** in acetonitrile solutions ( $C = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$  moldm<sup>-3</sup>) was studied at 25 °C in the presence of the anions fluoride, chloride, bromide, iodide, cyanide, nitrate, acetate, perchlorate, hydrogen sulfate and dihydrogen phosphate. For all the receptors the presence (up to 100 equiv) of chloride, bromide, iodide, hydrogen sulfate and nitrate induced insignificant changes in the UV-Vis spectra strongly suggesting that no coordination takes place. This behaviour contrast with that observed in the presence of basic anions such as fluoride, cyanide, acetate, and dihydrogen phosphate (see figure 1).



**Figure 1.** Color changes of **3c** (top) and **3d** (bottom) solution ( $5 \times 10^{-4}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) seen in the presence of 10 equiv. of F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, I<sup>-</sup>, CN<sup>-</sup>, AcO<sup>-</sup>, ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>


For instance UV-vis titrations of receptors **3a-d** with fluoride showed an intensity decrease and a small bathochromic shift of the absorption band together with a simultaneous growth of a new red-shifted band. Moreover both the position of the new band and the relative intensity of the absorption band of the receptor with respect to the band upon addition of fluoride were dependent on the receptor used. For instance, the behaviour observed in the presence of F<sup>-</sup> for receptors **3c** and **3d** is shown in Figures 2 and 3.



**Figure 2.** UV-Vis titration of receptors **3c** ( $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) with fluoride (top) and acetate (bottom) anions (0-30 equiv) in acetonitrile.

Receptor **3c** in acetonitrile was yellow due to the band at 381 nm. Upon addition of increasing quantities of fluoride this band progressively decreased while a new absorption at 515 nm ( $\Delta\lambda = 134$  nm) increased in intensity (see top of Figure 2). This induced a colour modulation from pale yellow to orange. This was in agreement with the expectation that the coordination of an anion in a donor group in a push-pull system will induce a

bathochromic shift. Receptor **3d** showed a similar behaviour with fluoride; i.e. the band at 396 nm suffered a small hypochromic effect and a new absorption band at 503 nm ( $\Delta\lambda = 107$  nm) grew in intensity (see top of Figure 3). As can be seen, it is apparent from the figure that the ratio between both bands was different for receptors **3c** and **3d**. Also it was clear that for a certain receptor the change observed depends on the anion used in the titration experiments. It was observed that fluoride and cyanide anions induced UV-Vis changes for all the receptors whereas acetate and hydrogen phosphate displayed a poorer response and only gave noticeable changes with **3b** and **3c** (see for instance the bottom of Figures 2 and 3). When receptors **3a** and **3d** were compared it was found that **3a** was able to induce some changes with acetate, although to a much lesser extent than **3b** and **3c**.







**Figure 3.** UV-Vis titration of receptors **3d** ( $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) with fluoride (top) and acetate (bottom) anions (0-30 equiv) in acetonitrile.

The changes observed in the UV-Vis spectrum upon fluoride addition was attributed to the formation of hydrogen-bonding complexes with the thiosemicarbazone groups that eventually resulted in a deprotonation.<sup>16,17</sup> The formation of hydrogen-bonding complexes was reflected in relatively small variations in the absorption band of the receptor whereas deprotonation processes was related with the appearance of a new absorption band at longer wavelengths.<sup>18</sup> Moreover a close view of the results indicated that the final response of the receptors **3a-d** toward the tested anions is dependent on the functional groups attached to the thiosemicarbazone group that modulated the acidity of the N-H protons. In our case the UV-Vis studies suggested that the acidity of the receptors follow the order **3b** >

**3c** > **3a** > **3d**. In fact, whereas **3b** and **3c** were able to display colour changes (deprotonation) with fluoride, cyanide, acetate and dihydrogen phosphate, receptors **3a** and **3d** only showed significant colour modulations in the presence of fluoride and cyanide.

#### 2.4. Stability constants.

As stated above, in the interaction of basic anions with the semithiocarbazono-containing receptors **3a-d** two different behaviours were observed: (i) hydrogen bonding interactions and (ii) deprotonation (see equations 1 and 2). In order to complete the characterization of **3a-d** coordination and deprotonation processes were studied via the evaluation of the corresponding stability constants that were determined by UV-Vis spectroscopic titrations between receptors **3a-d** and the fluoride and acetate anions, using the software HypSpec V1.1.18. The obtained data were adjusted to two consecutive equilibriums corresponding to coordination and deprotonation (see equations 1 and 2) and the results are shown in Table 3.



From Table 3 it can be observed that, as a general trend, the logarithms of the stability constants measured for both equilibriums with fluoride were higher than those obtained for acetate when using receptors **3a**, **3b** and **3c**. This was in agreement with the results detailed above and with the more basic character of fluoride in acetonitrile when compared with acetate. It can be observed in Table 3 that the stability constants for the formation of the corresponding hydrogen-bonding complexes were, for fluoride, at least one order of magnitude larger than the stability constants for the deprotonation and about two orders of magnitude for acetate. Table 3 also shows that

deprotonation constants were more important for fluoride than for acetate. The stability constants determined in this study are similar than those reported for other thiosemicarbazones receptors but lower than those found for other urea/thiourea receptors functionalised with benzene.<sup>19, 20, 21</sup>

**Table 3.** Logarithms of the Stability Constants Measured for the Interaction of Receptors **3a-d** with Fluoride and Acetate

	F <sup>-</sup>		AcO <sup>-</sup>	
	LH+A ⇌ LH·A <sup>-</sup>	LH·A <sup>-</sup> +A <sup>-</sup> ⇌ L+A <sub>2</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	LH+A ⇌ LH·A <sup>-</sup>	LH·A <sup>-</sup> +A <sup>-</sup> ⇌ L+A <sub>2</sub> H <sup>-</sup>
<b>3a</b>	3.46(4)	2.75(3)	1.29(3)	<sup>a</sup>
<b>3b</b>	5.39(8)	4.19(4)	3.46(2)	1.52(7)
<b>3c</b>	3.62(6)	2.06(8)	2.36(5)	0.48(2)
<b>3d</b>	3.55(6)	1.06(6)	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> No reliable results were obtained.

### 2.5. Fluorogenic studies involving anions.

Fluorescence studies in acetonitrile solutions of the receptors upon addition of increasing amounts of the corresponding anion were also carried out. Receptors were excited in the pseudo-isobestic points observed in the course of UV-vis titrations. All receptors display a broad and unstructured emission band. Quantum yields in acetonitrile (see Table 2) ranged from quite low (receptor **3a**,  $\Phi = 0.0064$ ) to medium (compound **3d**,  $\Phi = 0.088$ ). The addition of the anions chloride, bromide, iodide, hydrogen sulfate and nitrate to receptors **3a-d** resulted in negligible changes in the emission intensity profiles. In contrast, the fluorescence emission in presence of fluoride, cyanide, acetate and dihydrogen phosphate changed significantly.

A different general behaviour was found depending on the anion and the receptor used in the studies. Figure 4 shows the changes observed in the emission of **3a** in the presence of increasing amounts of fluoride or acetate. In presence of fluoride, an enhancement of the fluorescence intensity upon the addition of moderate amounts of fluoride followed by a quenching of the emission band at higher anion concentrations and the shift of the final band at longer wavelengths was observed. This behavior is in agreement with the changes observed in the absorption titrations and the coordination plus deprotonation equilibriums. Thus enhancement of the fluorescence emission is attributed to the formation of the corresponding hydrogen-bonding complex (equation 1), whereas further quenching and shift of the band is related with the formation of the deprotonated species.

Figure 4 (bottom) also shows the emission behavior found for **3a** in the presence of acetate. In this case only an enhancement of the fluorescence was found in agreement with the formation of hydrogen-bonding complex (note that no clear deprotonation was found for **3a** with acetate). A similar emission behavior in the presence of fluoride and acetate was found when using receptors **3b**.


**Figure 4.** Emission changes in **3a** ( $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) in the presence of fluoride (top) and acetate (bottom) anions. Emission spectra of the receptor in the presence of 0, 1, 10, 30 and 100 eq. of the corresponding anion.

This behavior observed for **3a** and **3b** contrasts with the emission behavior found for **3c** and **3d**. For these latter receptors a quenching of the fluorescence was observed in the presence of increasing amounts of both fluoride and acetate. Taking into account that all four receptors show a similar UV-vis behavior with anions the strong difference in emission properties should most likely be attributed to the presence of two and three thienyl groups in **3c** and **3d** that would favour deactivations paths that

were not active in compounds **3a** and **3b** which contain only one thiophene moiety.

### 2.6. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic studies in the presence of anions.


UV-Vis and fluorescence studies of thiosemicarbazone receptors **3a-d** displayed a varied response in the presence of anions related with hydrogen bonding interactions and deprotonation of the receptors. In order to study in more detail this dual coordination/deprotonation process the interaction of receptor **3d** with fluoride anion was investigated by means of <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration experiments in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>.



**Scheme 3.** Proposed mode for the fluoride-induced deprotonation of receptor **3d**.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **3d** showed resonances for the benzene ring at 7.20 (1H, broad triplet, H<sub>p</sub>), 7.39-7.36 (2H, broad multiplet, H<sub>m</sub>), 7.58 (2H, broad doublet, H<sub>o</sub>) ppm, whereas protons of the two 2,5-disubstituted thiophene rings (H<sub>d</sub>, H<sub>e</sub> and H<sub>f</sub>, H<sub>g</sub>) appeared as doublets at 7.31(H<sub>f</sub>) and at 7.49 (H<sub>g</sub>) ppm for the first ring and as a broad multiplet in the 7.39-7.36 ppm range (H<sub>d</sub> and H<sub>e</sub>) for the second. Protons of the third 2-monosubstituted thienyl ring appeared as a double doublet at 7.11 (H<sub>b</sub>), a doublet at 7.54 (H<sub>c</sub>) which overlapped with a doublet at 7.35(H<sub>a</sub>). Finally, the imine proton (-CH=N) appeared as a broad singlet at 8.30 (H<sub>i</sub>) ppm and the N-H protons of the thiosemicarbazone group also were broad singlets at 11.88 (H<sub>k</sub>) and 9.84 (H<sub>l</sub>) ppm.

In the presence of fluoride (5 equivalents) the most remarkable observation was the disappearance of the H<sub>k</sub> and H<sub>l</sub> signals. Moreover the observed variation in the chemical shifts  $\Delta\delta$  (ppm) over the course of the titration with fluoride for other protons in **3d** is shown in Figure 5.



**Figure 5.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR shifts for the protons of receptor **3d** in the presence of increasing quantities of fluoride anion (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>).

As could be seen, H<sub>a</sub>-H<sub>g</sub> protons showed minor changes, whereas, in contrast, remarkable shifts were observed for H<sub>o</sub> and H<sub>p</sub>, suggesting that deprotonation occurs in the N-H group closer to the phenyl group. Fabbrizzi *et. al.* and ourselves have observed in closely related thioureas a similar behaviour; i.e. the deprotonation apparently occurs in the protons of the nitrogen attached to the phenyl ring from NMR studies.<sup>22</sup>

## 2.7. Quantum Mechanical Studies.

The hydrogen bond donating or accepting ability of a molecule at a particular site can be known by studying the deprotonation energy in gas-phase using quantum chemical calculations via the subtraction of the energy of the receptor alone from that of the deprotonated form. Following this concept, calculations were carried out using a PM3 semiempirical model that was applied to all the receptors **3a-d**. As these thiosemicarbazone receptors contain two N-H groups, the deprotonation studies were performed assuming that both protons could be eliminated. The results of this study are shown in Table 3. Data strongly suggests that the most acidic proton is H<sub>k</sub> (see Scheme 3). As it can be observed there is not an agreement between the data obtained from the theoretical calculations and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR titrations that suggested that deprotonation occurs at the H<sub>i</sub> proton.

Despite this contradiction, theoretical calculations agree with the chromogenic behaviour observed for the receptors. Quantum mechanical studies indicated that the dicyanovinyl derivative **3b**, is the most acidic followed by the receptor **3c**. In fact the theoretical studies indicated that the acidity of the receptors follow the order **3b** > **3c** > **3a** > **3d** (see Table 4). This is in agreement with the chromo-fluorogenic behavior of the receptors (vide ante) and with the expected basicity of anions in acetonitrile (i.e. F<sup>-</sup> > CN<sup>-</sup> > AcO<sup>-</sup> > H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, SCN<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, I<sup>-</sup>); i.e. the most acidic receptors **3b** and **3c** showed colour changes in the presence of fluoride, cyanide, acetate and dihydrogen phosphate, whereas the less acidic **3a** and **3d** ligands are only able to show a remarkable colour modulation in the presence of the most basic anions fluoride and cyanide.

**Table 4.** Stabilization Energies Calculated for the Deprotonation of the Receptors **3a-d**

Receptors	E <sub>(L)</sub> - E <sub>(LH)</sub> (Kcal/mol)	
	R=N-NH-C(S)-N-Ph <sup>a</sup>	R=N-N-C(S)-NH-Ph <sup>b</sup>
<b>3a</b>	-4.81	-12.3
<b>3b</b>	-10.54	-19.85
<b>3c</b>	-6.39	-14.19
<b>3d</b>	-0.57	-3.46

<sup>a</sup> Deprotonation at the H<sub>i</sub> proton (see Scheme 3)

<sup>b</sup> Deprotonation at the H<sub>k</sub> proton (see Scheme 3)

## 3. Conclusions.

A family of novel heterocyclic thiosemicarbazone containing thiophene groups, derivatives **3a-b**, has been prepared, characterized and their interactions with anions was studied through UV-Vis, fluorescence, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and quantum chemical calculations. Two different chromo-fluorogenic behaviours in the presence of anions in acetonitrile were observed. The more basic anions fluoride and cyanide were able to induce dual coordination-deprotonation for all **3a-d** receptors studied, whereas acetate and dihydrogen phosphate showed poorer coordination ability and deprotonation was only observed on the more acidic receptors **3b** and **3c**. Hydrogen bonding interactions resulted in a small bathochromic shift, whereas deprotonation was indicated by the appearance of a new band at longer wavelengths. Colour changes from pale-yellow to yellow-red

were observed. In fluorescence studies it was apparent that hydrogen bonding interactions were visible through the enhancement or the decay of the emission band according to the number of thienyl rings at the π-conjugated bridges. Quantum mechanical studies suggested that the acidity of the receptors follows the order **3b** > **3c** > **3a** > **3d**, which was in agreement with the experimental observed behaviour.

## 4. Experimental section

### 4.1. Materials and methods:

Thin layer chromatography was carried out on 0.25 mm thick pre-coated silica plates (Merck Fertigplatten Kieselgel 60F254). All melting points were measured on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Unity Plus Spectrometer at an operating frequency of 300 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 75.4 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C or a Bruker Avance III 400 at an operating frequency of 400 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 100.6 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C, using the solvent peak as internal reference. The solvents are indicated in parenthesis before the chemical shift values (δ relative to TMS and given in ppm). IR spectra were run on a FTIR Perkin-Elmer 1600 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were carried out on a Leco CHNS 932 instrument. Mass spectrometry analyses were performed at the C.A.C.T.I. - Unidad de Espectrometría de Masas of the University of Vigo, Spain, on a Hewlett Packard 5989 A spectrometer for low resolution spectra and a VG Autospec M spectrometer for high resolution mass spectra. All the solvents were of spectrophotometrical grade. The aldehyde **1a** and 4-phenyl-3-thiosemicarbazide **2** were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich reagents and used without further purification. The synthesis of the aldehydes **1c** and **1d** was reported elsewhere.<sup>15</sup>

### 4.2. General procedure for the synthesis of 2-((5-formylthiophen-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile **1b**

To a solution of malononitrile (0.094g, 1.4 mmol) and thiophene-2,5-dicarbaldehyde (0.2g, 1.4 mmol) in dry DMF (15 mL), was added piperidine (1 drop). The solution was heated at 120 °C during 2 h. After cooling the mixture the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 2-((5-formylthiophen-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile **1b** which was purified by column chromatography on silica with increasing amounts of diethyl ether in light petroleum as eluent.

2-((5-formylthiophen-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile **1b**. Orange solid (22%). Mp: 161.1-165.3 °C. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) ν 2221 (CN), 1663 (C=O), 1571, 1432, 1215, 1069, 795 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.83 (br d, 1H, J = 3.9 Hz, 4-H), 7.80-7.90 (m, 2H, 3-H and =CH), 10.03 (s, 1H, CHO). MS (EI) m/z (%): 188 (M<sup>+</sup>, 60), 187 (100), 159 (11), 115 (9). HRMS: (EI) m/z (%) for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS; calcd 188.0044; found 188.0049.

### 4.3. General procedure for the synthesis of heterocyclic phenylthiosemicarbazones **3a-d**:

Equal amounts (0.4 mmol) of the appropriate aldehyde and thiosemicarbazide were dissolved in MeOH (30 mL) at room temperature. A solution was obtained, which was stirred overnight. Compounds precipitated as microcrystalline solids, which were collected by suction filtration, washed with cold MeOH and diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. Further recrystallization steps using CHCl<sub>3</sub> - petroleum ether mixtures were performed if necessary.

4-phenyl-1-((thiophen-2-yl)methylene)thiosemicarbazone **3a**<sup>23</sup> was obtained as a yellow solid (66%). Mp: 185.6-186.9 °C. <sup>1</sup>H

NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.07-7.10 (m, 1H, 4'-H), 7.25-7.29 (m, 1H, 4-H), 7.32 (dd,  $J$  = 3.9 and 0.9 Hz, 1H, 3'-H), 7.39-7.48 (m, 3H, 3- and 5- and 5'-H), 7.66 (br d,  $J$  = 9.0 Hz, 2H, 2- and 6-H), 8.11 (s, 1H, -CH=N), 9.11 (s, 1H, S=C-NH), 10.29 (s, 1H, C=N-NH) ppm. IR (Nujol)  $\nu$  3297(NH), 3141(=C-H), 1588, 1547, 1521, 1505, 1445, 1386, 1311, 1268, 1220, 1204, 1068, 1041, 922, 855, 817, 784, 763, 742, 726, 712, 701, 687, 622, 541 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (FAB):  $m/z$  (%) = 262 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 228 (3), 168 (17). FAB-HRMS: calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> 262.0475; found 262.0467.

1-((5-(2,2-dicyanovinyl)thiophen-2-yl)methylene)-4-phenylthiosemicarbazone **3b** was obtained as a red solid (77%). Mp: 185.0-186.0 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.22-7.28 (br t,  $J$  = 7.2 Hz, 1H, 4-H), 7.37 (br d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 2H, 3- and 5-H), 7.52 (br d,  $J$  = 7.2 Hz, 2H, 2- and 6-H), 7.82 (br s, 1H, 4'-H), 7.87 (br s, 1H, 3'-H), 7.96 (s, 1H, -CH=N), 8.02 (s, 1H, (s, 1H, -CH=C(CN)<sub>2</sub>), 9.08 (s, 1H, S=C-NH), 9.60 (s, 1H, C=N-NH) ppm. IR (Nujol)  $\nu$  3341 (NH), 3122 (=C-H), 2223 (CN), 1596, 1579, 1568, 1540, 1517, 1498, 1261, 1198, 1097, 1061, 915, 811, 748, 705, 690, 610 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (FAB):  $m/z$  (%) = 338 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 306 (9). FAB-HRMS: calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> 338.0529; found 338.0526.

1-((5-(5-ethoxythiophen-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)methylene)-4-phenylthiosemicarbazone **3c** was obtained as a brown solid (50%). Mp: 171.3-171.6 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.44 (t,  $J$  = 7.2 Hz, 3H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.14 (q,  $J$  = 7.2 Hz, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.15 (d,  $J$  = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 4''-H), 6.91 (d,  $J$  = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 3''-H), 6.93 (d,  $J$  = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 3'-H), 7.15 (d,  $J$  = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 4'-H), 7.25-7.32 (m, 1H, 4-H), 7.43 (br t,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 2H, 3- and 5-H), 7.67 (br d,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 2H, 2- and 6-H), 8.07 (s, 1H, -CH=N), 9.09 (s, 1H, S=C-NH), 10.50 (s, 1H, C=N-NH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 14.6 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 69.6 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 105.7 (C4''), 122.2 (C3''), 122.9 (C3'), 123.0 (C2'), 124.7 (C2 and C6), 126.3 (C4), 128.8 (C3 and C5), 132.4 (C4'), 134.8 (C5'), 137.6 (CH=N), 137.8 (C1), 141.7 (C2''), 165.7 (C5''), 175.0 (C=S) ppm. IR (Nujol)  $\nu$  3329 (NH), 3127 (=C-H), 1588, 1550, 1509, 1483, 1465, 1384, 1322, 1274, 1245, 1206, 1079, 1039, 912, 873, 779, 764, 743, 729, 703, 692, 614 cm<sup>-1</sup>. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>OS<sub>3</sub> (387.54): calcd. C 55.79, H 4.42, N 10.84, S 24.82; found C: 56.21, H 4.51, N 10.51; S 25.09.

4-phenyl-1-((5-(5-(thiophen-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)methylene)thiosemicarbazone **3d** was obtained as a orange solid (41%). Mp > 216 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.05-7.18 (m, 8H, 4-H and thienyl-H), 7.21 (t, 2H, 3- and 5-H), 7.70 (d, 2H, 2- and 6-H), 7.97 (s, 1H, -CH=N), 9.09 (s, 1H, S=C-NH), 9.35 (s, 1H, C=N-NH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 124.6, 124.7, 125.2, 125.3, 125.6, 125.9, 126.1, 128.1, 128.6, 132.3, 134.8, 135.8, 136.3, 137.4, 137.6, 138.3, 139.0, 175.5 (C=S) ppm. IR (Nujol)  $\nu$  3310 (NH), 1742, 1586, 1546, 1463, 1456, 1377, 1307, 1270, 1202, 1169, 1156, 1076, 1059, 967, 922, 892, 783, 722, 703, 514 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (FAB):  $m/z$  (%) = 426 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 424 (98), 394 (47), 338 (47), 288 (11). FAB-HRMS: calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub> 426.0221; found 426.0218.

#### 4.4. Physical measurements:

Stock solutions of the anions (F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, I<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, AcO<sup>-</sup>, BzO<sup>-</sup>, CN<sup>-</sup> as tetrabutylammonium salts) were prepared at 10<sup>-2</sup> and 10<sup>-3</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup> in acetonitrile. The concentrations of ligands used in these measurements were ca. 1.2 x 10<sup>-4</sup> and 1.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR experiments were carried out in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> as solvent because these tests required high concentrations (10<sup>-1</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) of the products. At these high concentrations the receptors showed low solubility in acetonitrile.

In fluorimetric titrations, all receptors were excited in wavelength of the pseudo-isobestic points observed in the course of UV-Vis titrations with fluoride anion. The electronic absorption spectra were obtained on a Perkin Elmer Instruments Lambda 35 UV/visible spectrometer and fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Quanta Master 40 steady state fluorescence spectrofluorometer from Photon Technology Internation (PTI); all in quartz cuvettes (1 cm). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR titrations spectra were acquired with Varian 300 spectrometer.

#### 4.5. Theoretical studies:

Quantum chemical calculations at semiempirical level (PM3, within restricted Hartree-Fock level) were carried out in vacuum with the aid of Hyperchem V6.03. The Polar-Ribiere algorithm was used for the optimization. The convergence limit and the RMS gradient were set to 0.01 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The stability constants were estimated with the HypSpec Software V1.1.18 with data of titration of receptors with selected anions.

#### 5. Acknowledgments

We thank the Spanish Government (project MAT2009-14564-C04-01) and the Generalitat Valenciana (project PROMETEO/2009/016) for support. Thanks are due to the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (Portugal) and FEDER-COMPETE for financial support through the Centro de Química - Universidade do Minho, Project PEst-C/QUI/UI0686/2011 (F-COMP-01-0124-FEDER-022716) and a Post-doctoral grant to R.M.F. Batista (SFRH/BPD/79333/2011). The NMR spectrometer BrukerAvance III 400 is part of the National NMR Network and was purchased within the framework of the National Program for Scientific Re-equipment, with funds from FCT. The authors are also indebted to program "Acções Integradas Luso-Espanholas/CRUP", for the bilateral agreement number E-144/10. Thanks also to Fundación Carolina and UPNFM-Honduras for a doctoral grant to L.E. Santos-Figueroa and the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación for an FPU grant to M.E. Moragues.

#### References

- (a) Fabrizzi, L.; Poggi, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1995**, 197-202; (b) Lloris, J.M.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Padilla-Tosta, M.E. Pardo, T.; Soto, J.; Beer, P.D.; Cadman, J.; Smith, D.K., *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1999**, 14, 2359-2369; (c) De Silva, A. P.; Fox, D. B.; Huxley, A. J. M.; Moody, T. S. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 205, 41-57; (d) Beer, P. D. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 689-696; (e) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Biyikal, M.; Hecht, M.; Rurack, K. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, 21, 12588-12604; (f) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Biyikal, M.; Hecht, M.; Rurack, K., *Anal. Bional. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, 399, 55-74.
- (a) Löhr, H. G.; Vögtle, F. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1985**, 18, 65-72; (b) Inouye, M. *Color. Non-Text. Appl.* **2000**, 238-274; (c) Amendola, V.; Bonizzoni, M.; Estebán-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Sancenón, F.; Taglietti, A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2006**, 250, 1451-1470.
- (a) De Silva, A. P.; Gunaratne, H. Q. N.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Huxley, A. J. M.; McCoy, C. P.; Rademacher, J. T.; Rice, T. E. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 1515-1566; (b) Prodi, L.; Bolletta, F.; Montalti, M.; Zaccaroni, N. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 205, 59-83; (c) Valeur, B.; Leray, I. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 205, 3-40; (d) Rurack, K. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* **2001**, 57, 2161-2195; (e) De Silva, A. P.; McCaughan, B.; McKinney, B. O. F.; Querol, M. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 1902-1913; (f) Callan, J. F.; De Silva, A. P.; Magri, D. C. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, 61, 8551-8588; (g) Formica, M.; Fusi, V.; Giorgi, L.; Micheloni, M. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2012**, 256, 170-192.
- (a) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 103, 4419-4476; (b) Moragues, M. E.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, 40, 2593-2643; (c) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F. *J. Fluoresc.* **2005**, 15, 267-285; (d) Beer, P. D.; Gale, P. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, 40, 486-516; (e) Suksai, C.; Tuntulani, T. *Chem.*

- Soc. Rev.* **2003**, 32, 192-202; (f) Xu, Z.; Chen, X.; Kim, H. N.; Yoon, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, 39, 127-137.
5. (a) Schmidtchen, F. P.; Berger, M. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 1609-1646; (b) Gale, P. A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, Ed. Special issue: 35 years of Synthetic Anion Receptor Chemistry **2003**, 240, 1-2; (c) Bondy, C. R.; Loeb, S. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 240, 77-99; (d) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2006**, 250, 3081-3093.
  6. (a) Gale, P. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2006**, 39, 465-475; (b) Yoon, J.; Kim, S. K.; Singh, N. J.; Kim, K. S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, 35, 355-360; (c) Blondeau, P.; Segura, M.; Pérez-Fernández, R.; De Mendoza, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, 36, 198-210; (d) Fitzmaurice, F. J.; Kyne, G. M.; Douheret, D.; Kilburn, J. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2001**, 841-864.
  7. (a) Li, F.; Carvalho, S.; Delgado, R.; Drew, M. G. B.; Félix, V. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, 39, 9579-9587; (b) Lin, Y. -S.; Tu, G. -M.; Lin, C. -Y.; Chang, Y. -T.; Yen, Y. -P. *New J. Chem.* **2009**, 33, 860-867.
  8. For papers of urea-based receptors see: (a) Makuc, D.; Hiscock, J. R.; Light, M. E.; Gale, P. A.; Plavec, J.; Beils. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 7, 1205-1214; (b) Lin, Y. -S.; Zheng, J. -X.; Tsui, Y. -K.; Yien, Y. -P.; *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* **2011**, 79, 1552-1558; (c) Odago, M. O.; Colabello, D. M.; Lees, A. J. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, 66, 7465-7471; (d) Coll, C.; Aznar, E.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Marcos, M.D.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J.; Amorós, P.; Cano, J.; Ruiz, E. *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2010**, 16, 10048-10061; (e) Devaraj, S.; Saravanakumar, D.; Kandaswamy, M. *Sensors Act. B Chem.* **2009**, 136, 13-19; (f) Li, Z.; Wu, F. -Y.; Guo, L.; Li, A. -F.; Jiang, Y. B. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* **2008**, 112, 7071-7079; (g) Ros-Lis, J. V.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J.; Rurack, K.; Weißhoff, H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 17, 2449-2458; (h) Nie, L.; Li, Z.; Han, J.; Zhang, X.; Yang, R.; Liu, W. -X.; Wu, F. -Y.; Xie, J. -W.; Zhao, Y. -F.; Jiang, Y. -B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, 69, 6449-6454; (i) Jose, D. A.; Kumar, D. K.; Ganguly, B.; Das, A. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, 6, 3445-3448; (j) Jiménez, D.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, 43, 2823-2825; (k) Agostini, A.; Mondragón, L.; Coll, C.; Aznar, E.; Marcos, M.D.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J.; Pérez-Payá, E.; Amorós, P., *ChemistryOpen*, **2012**, 1, 17-20.
  9. For recent examples see: (a) Krishnamurthi, J.; Ono, T.; Amemori, S.; Komatsu, H.; Shinkai, S.; Sada, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 1571-1573; (b) Piątek, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 4745-4747; (c) He, X.; Herranz, F.; Cheng, E. C. -C.; Vilar, R.; Yam, V. W. -W. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, 16, 9123-9131; (d) Jun E. J.; Swamy, K. M. K.; Bang, H.; Kim, S.-J.; Yoon, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 3103-3106.
  10. (a) Camiolo, S.; Gale, P. A.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Light, M. E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2003**, 1, 741-744; (b) Gunnlaugsson, T.; Kruger, P. E.; Jensen, P.; Pfeffer, F. M.; Hussey, G. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, 44, 8909-8913; (c) Esteban-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 70, 5717-5720; (d) Evans, L. S.; Gale, P. A.; Light, M. E.; Quesada, R. *New J. Chem.* **2006**, 30, 1019-1025.
  11. (a) Withnall, J.; Howard, J.; Ponka, P.; Richardson, D. R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, 103, 14901-14906; (b) Zhang, H. -J.; Qin, X.; Liu, K.; Zhu, D. -D.; Wang, X. -M.; Zhu, H. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2011**, 19, 5708-5715.
  12. See for example: Tian, Y. -P.; Duan, C. -Y.; Zhao, C. -Y.; You, X. -Z. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, 36, 1247-1252.
  13. (a) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, 9, 3201-3204. (b) Costa, S. P. G.; Oliveira, E.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 5258-5261. (c) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 6575-6578. (d) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Nuñez, C.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 22, 362-366. (e) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, 67, 7106-7113. (f) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Talanta* **2011**, 85, 2470-2478.
  14. (a) Raposo, M. M. M.; García-Acosta, B.; Ábalos, T.; Calero, P.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Ros-Lis, J. V.; Soto, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 75, 2922-2933; (b) Amendola, V.; Boiocchi, M.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Mosca, L. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, 14, 9683-9696.
  15. (a) Raposo, M. M. M.; Kirsch, G. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, 59, 4891-4899. (b) Batista, R. M. F.; Costa, S. P. G.; Belsley, M.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, 64, 9230-9238.
  16. For recent examples see: (a) Aldrey, A.; Nuñez, C.; García, V.; Bastida, R.; Lodeiro, C.; Macías, A. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, 66, 9223-9230; (b) Atta, A. K.; Ahn, I. -H.; Hong, A. -Y.; Heo, J.; Kim, C. K.; Cho, D. -G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, 53, 575-578; (c) Amendola, V.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Mosca, L.; Schmidtchen, F. -P. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, 17, 5972-5981; (d) Amendola, V.; Bergamaschi, G.; Boiocchi, M.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Milani, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, 16, 4368-4380.
  17. For recent examples see: (a) Kim, T. H.; Choi, M. S.; Sohn, B. -H.; Park, S. -Y.; Lyoo, W. S.; Lee, T. S. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 2364-2366; (b) Amendola, V.; Fabbrizzi, L. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 513-531; (c) Caltagirone, C.; Mulas, A.; Isaia, F.; Lippolis, V.; Gale, P. A.; Light, M. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 6279-6281; (d) Pérez-Casas, C.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 2275-2284; (e) Dos Santos, C. M. G.; McCabe, T.; Watson, G. W.; Kruger, P. E.; Gunnlaugsson, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 9235-9244; (f) Dydio, P.; Zielinski, T.; Jurczak, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 74, 1525-1530; (g) Xu, Z.; Kim, S. K.; Han, S. J.; Lee, C.; Kociok-Kohn, G.; James, T. D.; Yoon, J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 3058-3065.
  18. Amendola, V.; Esteban-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2006**, 39, 343-353.
  19. Boiocchi, M.; Del Boca, L.; Estebán-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Monzani, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 16507-16514.
  20. Boiocchi, M.; Del Boca, L.; Estebán-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Monzani, E. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2005**, 11, 3097-3104.
  21. Esteban-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Monzani, E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, 3, 1495-1500.
  22. Bonizzoni, M.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Taglietti, A.; Tiengo, F. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 3567-3574.
  23. Mapathy, P.; Budhkar, A.P.; Dorai, C.S. *Ind. J. Chem.* **1986**, 63, 714-721.