

Research Article

Permanence of a Single Species System with Distributed Time Delay and Feedback Control

Yali Shen,¹ Fengqin Zhang,¹ and Kai Wang²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Yuncheng University, Yuncheng 044000, China

² Department of Medical Engineering and Technology, Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi 830011, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Kai Wang, wangkaimath@sina.com

Received 29 February 2012; Accepted 30 April 2012

Academic Editor: Jinhua Lü

Copyright © 2012 Yali Shen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the permanence of a class of single species system with distributed time delay and feedback controls. General criteria on permanence are established in this paper. A very important fact is found in our results; that is, the feedback control is harmless to the permanence of species.

1. Introduction

Ecosystems in the real world are continuously disturbed by unpredictable forces which can result in changes in the biological parameters such as survival rates. Of practical interest in ecology is the question of whether or not an ecosystem can withstand those unpredictable disturbances which persist for a finite period of time. In the language of control variables, we call the disturbance functions as control variables. During the last decade, many scholars did works on the feedback control ecosystems. Motivated by those work especially [1], we consider the following single species system with distributed time delay and feedback control:

$$\begin{aligned}x'(t) &= x(t) \left\{ a(t) - b(t)x(t - \tau(t)) - c(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 H(s)x(t+s)ds - h(t)u(t) - r(t)u(t - \lambda(t)) \right\}, \\u'(t) &= -d(t)u(t) + e(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 H(s)x^2(t+s)ds,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where $x(t)$ is the density of the species at time t , $u(t)$ is the control variable; $a(t)$, $b(t)$, $c(t)$, $d(t)$, $e(t)$, $h(t)$, $r(t)$, $\tau(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$ are defined on $R_+ = [0, +\infty)$ and are bounded and

continuous functions; $b(t)$, $c(t)$, $d(t)$, $e(t)$, $h(t)$, $r(t)$, $\tau(t)$, and $\lambda(t)$ are nonnegative for all $t \in R_+$. Further, we assume that the delay kernels $H(s)$ are nonnegative integral functions defined on $R_- = (-\infty, 0]$ such that $\int_{-\infty}^0 H(s)ds = 1$ and $\sigma = \int_{-\infty}^0 sH(s)ds < +\infty$.

We denote by BC_+ the space of all bounded continuous functions $\phi : R_- \rightarrow R_+$ with norm $|\phi| = \sup_{s \in R_-} |\phi(s)|$. In this paper, we always assume that all solutions of system (1.1) satisfy the following initial condition:

$$x(s) = \phi(s), \quad u(s) = \psi(s) \quad \forall s \in R_-, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\phi(s) \in BC_+$ and $\psi(s) \in BC_+$.

Let $(x(t), u(t))$ be the solution of system (1.1) satisfying initial condition (1.2). We easily prove $x(t) > 0$ and $u(t) > 0$ in maximal interval of the existence of the solution. For the sake of convenience, the solution of system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2) is said to be positive.

In addition, for a function $g(t)$ defined on set $I \subset R$, we denote

$$g^0 = \sup_{t \in I} g(t), \quad \underline{g} = \inf_{t \in I} g(t). \quad (1.3)$$

In the theory of mathematical biology, systems such as (1.1) are very important in a single species system in time-fluctuating environments, the effect of time delays and feedback controls. We see that there has been a series of articles which deal with the dynamical behaviors of the autonomous, periodic, and general nonautonomous population growth systems with feedback controls, for example [1–12] and reference cited therein. In [1] the authors proposed the following single species model with feedback regulation and distributed time delay of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= x(t) \left\{ a(t) - b(t) \int_0^\infty H(s)x(t-s)ds - c(t)u(t) \right\}, \\ u'(t) &= -d(t)u(t) + e(t) \int_0^\infty H(s)x^2(t-s)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

By using the continuation theorem of coincidence theory, a criterion which guarantees the existence of positive periodic solution of system (1.4) is obtained. In [2], the authors obtain sufficient condition which guarantees the global attractivity of the positive solution of system (1.4) by constructing a suitable Lyapunov functional. The aim of this paper is to establish new sufficient conditions on the permanence for all positive solutions of system (1.1) by improving the method given in [13–15].

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we will introduce the following assumptions:

(H₁) there exists a constant $\omega > 0$, such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\omega} a(s)ds > 0; \quad (2.1)$$

(H₂) there exists a constant $\beta > 0$, such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\beta} b(s) ds > 0; \quad (2.2)$$

(H₃) there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\gamma} c(s) ds > 0; \quad (2.3)$$

(H₄) there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\lambda} d(s) ds > 0; \quad (2.4)$$

(H₅) there exists a constant $\zeta > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\zeta} e(s) ds > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

First, we consider the following nonautonomous logistic equation

$$x'(t) = x(t)(a(t) - b(t)x(t)), \quad (2.6)$$

where functions $a(t), b(t)$ are bounded and continuous on R_+ . Furthermore, $b(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. We have the following result which is given in [15] by Teng and Li.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that assumptions (H₁)-(H₂) hold. Then,*

(a) *there exist positive constants m and M such that*

$$m \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \leq M \quad (2.7)$$

for any positive solution $x(t)$ of (2.6);

(b) *$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (x^{(1)}(t) - x^{(2)}(t)) = 0$ for any two positive solutions $x^{(1)}(t)$ and $x^{(2)}(t)$ of (2.6).*

Further, we consider the following nonautonomous linear equation

$$u'(t) = r(t) - d(t)u(t), \quad (2.8)$$

where functions $r(t)$ and $d(t)$ are bounded continuous defined on R_+ , and $r(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. We have the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that assumptions (H_4) hold. Then,*

- (a) *there exists a positive constant U such that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) \leq U$ for any positive solution $u(t)$ of (2.8);*
- (b) *$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (u^{(1)}(t) - u^{(2)}(t)) = 0$ for any two positive solutions $u^{(1)}(t)$ and $u^{(2)}(t)$ of (2.8).*

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is very simple, we hence omit it here.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that assumption (H_4) holds. Then for any constants $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M > 0$ there exist constants $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $T = T(M) > 0$ such that for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|u_0| \leq M$, when $|r(t)| < \delta$ for all $t \geq t_0$, one has*

$$|u(t, t_0, u_0)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall t \geq t_0 + T, \quad (2.9)$$

where $u(t, t_0, u_0)$ is the solution of (2.8) with initial condition $u(t_0) = u_0$.

The proof of Lemma 2.3 can be found as Lemma 2.4 in [16] by Wang et al.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $x(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative and bounded continuous function, and let $H(s) : \mathbb{R}_- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an integral function satisfying $\int_{-\infty}^0 H(s) ds = 1$. Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) &\leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 H(s)x(t+s) ds \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 H(s)x(t+s) ds \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Lemma 2.4 is given in [17] by Montes de Oca and Vivas.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that assumption (H_1) holds and (H_2) or (H_3) holds. Then there exists a positive constant $M > 0$ such that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) < M, \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) < M, \quad (3.1)$$

for any positive solution $((x(t), u(t)))$ of system (1.1).

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a positive solution of the first equation system (1.1). Since

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} \leq a(t)x(t) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $t > 0$ as long as the solution exists and the function $a(t)$ is bounded and continuous on R_+ . We can obtain that the solution exists for all $t \in R_+$. For any $t \geq 0, s \leq 0$, and $t + s \geq 0$, by integrating (3.2) from $t + s$ to t , we have

$$x(t + s) \geq x(t) \exp \int_t^{t+s} a(u) du. \quad (3.3)$$

For any $t > \tau^0$, where $\tau^0 = \max\{\sup_{t \in R_+} \tau(t) \geq 0, \sup_{t \in R_+} \lambda(t) \geq 0\}$, by (3.3) we can directly from the first equation of (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} &\leq x(t) \left(a(t) - b(t)x(t) \exp \int_t^{t-\tau(t)} a(u) du - c(t) \left(\int_{-t}^0 H(s) \exp \int_t^{t+s} a(u) du ds \right) x(t) \right) \\ &= x(t)(a(t) - \theta(t)x(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\theta(t) = b(t) \exp \int_t^{t-\tau(t)} a(u) du + c(t) \int_{-t}^0 H(s) \exp \int_t^{t+s} a(u) du ds$. Since for any $t \geq \tau^0$ and $s \in R_-$

$$\int_t^{t-\tau(t)} a(u) du \geq -\tau^0 a^0, \quad \int_t^{t+s} a(u) du \geq sa^0. \quad (3.5)$$

We have

$$d(t) \geq b(t) \exp(-\tau^0 a^0) + c(t) \int_{-t}^0 H(s) \exp(a^0 s) ds. \quad (3.6)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= b(t) \exp(-\tau^0 a^0) + c(t) \int_{-t}^0 H(s) \exp(a^0 s) ds, \\ g(t) &= b(t) \exp(-\tau^0 a^0) + c(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 H(s) \exp(a^0 s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since $0 < a^0$, we have

$$0 < \int_{-\infty}^0 H(s) \exp(a^0 s) ds < \int_{-\infty}^0 H(s) ds < \infty, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (g(t) - f(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} c(t) \int_{-\infty}^{-t} H(s) \exp(a^0 s) ds = 0.$$

Hence, assumption (H₂) or (H₃) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\sigma} f(s) ds &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_t^{t+\sigma} g(s) ds + \int_t^{t+\sigma} (f(s) - g(s)) ds \right] \\ &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\sigma} g(s) ds > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where the constant $\sigma = \beta$ or γ . Since $\theta(t) \geq f(t)$ for all $t \geq \tau^0$, we finally obtain

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\delta} \theta(s) ds \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\delta} f(s) ds > 0. \quad (3.10)$$

We consider the following auxiliary equation:

$$\frac{dy(t)}{dt} \leq y(t)(a(t) - \theta(t)y(t)), \quad (3.11)$$

then by conclusion (a) of Lemma 2.1 and inequality (3.10) we obtain that there exist a constant M_1 such that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) \leq M_1$ for any solution of (3.11) with initial condition $y(0) > 0$. Let $y^*(t)$ be the solution of (3.11) with initial condition $y^*(\tau^0) = x(\tau^0)$, then by the comparison theorem, we have from (3.4) that

$$x(t) < y^*(t), \quad \forall t > \tau^0. \quad (3.12)$$

Thus, we finally obtain that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) < M_1. \quad (3.13)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.4, for any positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $T > 0$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 H(s)x^2(t+s) ds < M_1^2 + \varepsilon, \quad \forall t > T. \quad (3.14)$$

Considering the second equation of the system (1.1), we have

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} \leq -d(t)u(t) + e(t)(M_1^2 + \varepsilon). \quad (3.15)$$

We consider the following auxiliary equations:

$$\frac{dv(t)}{dt} = -d(t)v(t) + e(t)(M_1^2 + \varepsilon), \quad (3.16)$$

then, by assumption (H₄) and conclusion (a) of the Lemma 2.2, we obtain that there is a constant M_2 such that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) \leq M_2$ for any solution of (3.16) with initial condition $v(0) > 0$. Let $v^*(t)$ be the solution of (3.16) with initial condition $v^*(T') = u(T')$ and $T' > T$, then, by comparison theorem, we have from (3.15) that

$$u(t) \leq v^*(t), \quad \forall t > T'. \tag{3.17}$$

Thus, we finally obtain that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) < M_2. \tag{3.18}$$

Choose the constant $M = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$, then we finally obtain

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) < M, \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) < M. \tag{3.19}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that assumptions (H₁)–(H₅) hold. Then there exists a constant $\eta_x > 0$ which is independent of the solution of system (1.1) such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \geq \eta_x, \tag{3.20}$$

for any positive solutions $(x(t), u(t))$ of system (1.1).

Proof. Let $(x(t), u(t))$ be a solution of system (1.1); from Theorem 3.1 there exists a T_0 such that for all $t > T_0$ we have $x(t) \leq M, u(t) \leq M$. According to the assumption (H₁) we can choose positive constants $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that, for all $t \geq T_0$, we have

$$\int_t^{t+\delta} (a(s) - b(s)\varepsilon - 2c(s)\varepsilon - h(s)\varepsilon - r(s)\varepsilon) ds \geq \varepsilon. \tag{3.21}$$

Consider the following equation:

$$\frac{dv(t)}{dt} = -d(t)v(t) + e(t)(\alpha_0 + \alpha_0^2), \tag{3.22}$$

where $\alpha_0 > 0$ is a parameter. By Lemma 2.3, for given in above $\varepsilon > 0$ and positive constant M (Theorem 3.1), there exist constants $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\varepsilon)$ and $\tilde{T}_0 = \tilde{T}_0(M) > 0$ such that for any $t_0 \in R_+$ and $0 \leq v_0 \leq M$, when $e(t)(\alpha_0 + \alpha_0^2) < \delta_0$, for all $t \geq t_0$, we have

$$0 \leq v(t, t_0, u_0) < \varepsilon \quad \forall t \geq t_0 + \tilde{T}_0, \tag{3.23}$$

where $v(t, t_0, u_0)$ is the solution of (3.22) with initial condition $v(t_0) = v_0$. Hence, we can choose a positive constant $\alpha_0 \leq \min\{\varepsilon, \delta_0/2(e^0 + 1)\}$ such that, for all $t \geq T_0$,

$$\int_t^{t+\delta} (a(s) - b(s)\alpha_0 - 2c(s)\alpha_0 - h(s)\varepsilon - r(s)\varepsilon) ds \geq \alpha_0. \quad (3.24)$$

We first prove that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \geq \alpha_0. \quad (3.25)$$

In fact, if (3.25) is not true, then there exists a constant $T_1 \geq T_0$ such that $x(t) < \alpha_0$ for all $t \geq T_1$. Choose constants $\tau_1 > \tau_0$, $\tau_2 > \tau_1$, such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-\tau_1} H(s) ds < \frac{\alpha_0}{M_0^2}, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau_2} H(s) ds < \frac{\alpha_0}{M_0}, \quad (3.26)$$

where $M_0 = \sup\{x(t+s) : t \in R_+, S \in R_-\}$, then for $t \geq T_1 + \tau_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &= -d(t)u(t) + e(t) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\tau_1} + \int_{-\tau_1}^0 \right) H(s)x^2(t+s) ds \\ &\leq -d(t)u(t) + e(t) \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{M_0^2} M_0^2 + \alpha_0^2 \right) \\ &\leq -d(t)u(t) + e(t) (\alpha_0 + \alpha_0^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Let $v(t)$ be the solution of (3.22) with initial condition $v(T_1 + \tau_1) = u(T_1 + \tau_1)$, then by the comparison theorem we have

$$u(t) \leq v(t), \quad \forall t \geq T_1 + \tau_1. \quad (3.28)$$

In (3.23), we choose $t_0 = T_1 + \tau_1$ and $v_0 = u(T_1 + \tau_1)$, since $(\alpha_0 + \alpha_0^2)e(t) < \delta_0$ for all $t \geq T_1 + \tau_1$, we have

$$v(t) = v(t, T_1 + \tau_1, v(T_1 + \tau_1)) < \varepsilon \quad \forall t \geq T_1 + \tau_1 + \tilde{T}_0. \quad (3.29)$$

Hence, we further have

$$u(t) < \varepsilon \quad \forall t \geq T_1 + \tau_1 + \tilde{T}_0. \quad (3.30)$$

For any $t \geq T_1 + \tau_1 + \tilde{T}_0 + \tau_2$, from the first equation of (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= x(t) \left\{ a(t) - b(t)x(t - \tau(t)) - c(t) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\tau_2} + \int_{-\tau_2}^0 \right) H(s)x(t+s)ds - h(t)u(t) - r(t)u(t - \lambda(t)) \right\} \\ &\geq x(t)(a(s) - b(s)\alpha_0 - 2c(s)\alpha_0 - h(s)\varepsilon - r(s)\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Integrating (3.31) from $T_1 + \tau_1 + \tilde{T}_0 + \tau_2$ to $t \geq T_1 + \tau_1 + \tilde{T}_0 + \tau_2$ we obtain

$$x(t) \geq x(T_1 + \tau_1 + \tilde{T}_0 + \tau_2) \exp \left\{ \int_{T_1 + \tau_1 + \tilde{T}_0 + \tau_2}^t (a(s) - b(s)\alpha_0 - 2c(s)\alpha_0 - h(s)\varepsilon - r(s)\varepsilon)ds \right\}. \tag{3.32}$$

Obviously, inequality (3.24) implies that $x(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which leads to a contradiction.

Now, we prove the conclusion of Theorem 3.2 to hold. Assume that it is not true, then there exists a sequence $\{Z^{(m)}\} = \{(\varphi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)})\}$ of initial functions of system (1.1) such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t, Z^{(m)}) < \frac{\alpha_0}{(m+1)^2} \quad \forall m = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3.33}$$

where $(x(t, Z^{(m)}), u(t, Z^{(m)}))$ is the solution of system (1.1) with initial condition

$$x(s) = \varphi^{(m)}(s), \quad u(s) = \psi^{(m)}(s) \quad \forall s \in R_-. \tag{3.34}$$

From (3.25) and (3.33), we obtain that for every m there are two time sequences $\{s_q^{(m)}\}$ and $\{t_q^{(m)}\}$, satisfying

$$0 < s_1^{(m)} < t_1^{(m)} < s_2^{(m)} < t_2^{(m)} < \dots < s_q^{(m)} < t_q^{(m)} < \dots, \tag{3.35}$$

$$s_q^{(m)} \rightarrow \infty, \quad t_q^{(m)} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.36}$$

$$x(s_q^{(m)}, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) = \frac{\alpha_0}{m+1}, \quad x(t_q^{(m)}, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) = \frac{\alpha_0}{(m+1)^2}, \tag{3.37}$$

$$\frac{\alpha_0}{(m+1)^2} \leq x(t, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{m+1} \quad \forall t \in (s_q^{(m)}, t_q^{(m)}). \tag{3.38}$$

Let $M_m = \sup\{x(t + s, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) : t \in R_+, S \in R_-\}$. For each $m = 1, 2, \dots$ we can choose a constant $\tau_1^{(m)} > \tau^0$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-\tau_1^{(m)}} H(s)x(t + s, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)})ds \leq M_m \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau_1^{(m)}} H(s)ds < \alpha_0. \tag{3.39}$$

By Theorem 3.1, there exists a constant M such that for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists a $T_1^{(m)} > T_0$ such that

$$x(t, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) \leq M, \quad u(t, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) \leq M \quad \forall t > T_1^{(m)}. \quad (3.40)$$

Further, from (3.36) there is an integer $K_1^{(m)} > 0$ such that $s_q^{(m)} > T_1^{(m)} + \tau_1^{(m)}$ for all $q \geq K_1^{(m)}$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence, for any $t \in (s_q^{(m)}, t_q^{(m)})$ and $q \geq K_1^{(m)}$ by (3.39) and (3.40) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dx(t, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)})}{dt} \\ & \geq x(t, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) \left\{ a(t) - b(t)M - c(t) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\tau_1^{(m)}} + \int_{-\tau_1^{(m)}}^0 \right) H(s)x(t+s)ds - h(t)M - r(t)M \right\} \\ & \geq x(t, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) (a(t) - b(t)M - c(t)(\alpha_0 + M) - h(t)M - r(t)M) \\ & \geq -\gamma_1 x(t, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

where $\gamma_1 = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \{ |a(t)| + b(t)M + c(t)(\alpha_0 + M) + r(t)M + h(t)M \} > 0$. Therefore, for any $q \geq K_1^{(m)}$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$ integrating the above inequality from $s_q^{(m)}$ to $t_q^{(m)}$, we further have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha_0}{(m+1)^2} &= x(t_q^{(m)}, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) \geq x(s_q^{(m)}, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}) \exp\{-\gamma_1(t_q^{(m)} - s_q^{(m)})\} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0}{m+1} \exp\{-\gamma_1(t_q^{(m)} - s_q^{(m)})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Consequently,

$$t_q^{(m)} - s_q^{(m)} \geq \frac{\ln(m+1)}{\gamma_1} \quad \forall q \geq K_1^{(m)}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.43)$$

For any $q \geq K_1^{(m)}$ and $t > s_q^{(m)}$ we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)}} H(\theta - t)x(\theta, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)})d\theta \leq M_m \int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)} - t} H(s)ds, \\ & \int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)}} H(\theta - t)x^2(\theta, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)})d\theta \leq M_m^2 \int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)} - t} H(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_1^{(m)}}^{s_q^{(m)}} H(\theta - t)x(\theta, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)})d\theta &\leq M \int_{-\infty}^{s_q^{(m)}-t} H(s)ds, \\ \int_{T_1^{(m)}}^{s_q^{(m)}} H(\theta - t)x^2(\theta, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)})d\theta &\leq M^2 \int_{-\infty}^{s_q^{(m)}-t} H(s)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

For each $m = 1, 2, \dots$ by (3.36) there exists a $K_2^{(m)} \geq K_1^{(m)}$ and constant $L > \tau^0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_m \int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)}-s_q^{(m)}} H(s)ds &< M_m^2 \int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)}-s_q^{(m)}} H(s)ds \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{2}, \quad \forall q \geq K_2^{(m)}, \\ M \int_{-\infty}^{-L} H(s)ds &< M^2 \int_{-\infty}^{-L} H(s)ds \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

By (3.43) there exists a large enough \widehat{m}_1 such that

$$t_q^{(m)} - s_q^{(m)} \geq L + \delta + \widetilde{T}_0, \quad \forall m \geq \widehat{m}_1, q \geq K_2^{(m)}. \tag{3.46}$$

Hence, for any $m \geq \widehat{m}_1, q \geq K_2^{(m)}$ and $t \in [s_q^{(m)} + L, t_q^{(m)}]$, by (3.38), (??), and (3.45) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du(t, Z^{(m)})}{dt} &= -d(t)u(t, Z^{(m)}) + e(t) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)}} + \int_{T_1^{(m)}}^{s_q^{(m)}} + \int_{s_q^{(m)}}^t \right) H(\theta - t)x^2(\theta)d\theta \\ &\leq -d(t)u(t, Z^{(m)}) + e(t)M_m^2 \int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)}-t} H(\theta)d\theta + e(t)M \int_{-\infty}^{s_q^{(m)}-t} H(\theta)d\theta \\ &\quad + e(t) \frac{\alpha_0^2}{(m+1)^2} \int_{s_q^{(m)}}^t H(\theta - t)d\theta \\ &\leq -d(t)u(t, Z^{(m)}) + e(t) \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \frac{\alpha_0^2}{(m+1)^2} \right) \\ &\leq -d(t)u(t, Z^{(m)}) + e(t)(\alpha_0 + \alpha_0^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

Assume that $v(t)$ is the solution of (3.22) satisfying initial condition $v(s_q^{(m)} + L) = u(s_q^{(m)} + L)$, then we have

$$u(t, Z^{(m)}) \leq v(t), \quad \forall t \in [s_q^{(m)} + L, t_q^{(m)}], m \geq \widehat{m}_1, q \geq K_2^{(m)}. \tag{3.48}$$

In (3.23), we choose $t_0 = s_q^{(m)} + L$ and $v_0 = u(s_q^{(m)} + L)$, since $(\alpha_0 + \alpha_0^2)e(t) < \delta_0$ for all $t \geq s_q^{(m)} + L$, we have

$$v(t) = v\left(t, s_q^{(m)} + L, v\left(s_q^{(m)} + L\right)\right) < \varepsilon \quad \forall t \in \left[s_q^{(m)} + L + \tilde{T}_0, t_q^{(m)}\right]. \quad (3.49)$$

Hence, we further have

$$u\left(t, Z^{(m)}\right) < \varepsilon \quad (3.50)$$

for all $t \in [s_q^{(m)} + L + \tilde{T}_0, t_q^{(m)}]$, $q \geq K_2^{(m)}$ and $m \geq \hat{m}_1$.

For any $q \geq K_2^{(m)}$, $m \geq \hat{m}_1$, and $t \in [s_q^{(m)} + L + \tilde{T}_0, t_q^{(m)}]$, from (3.38)–(3.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dx(t, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)})}{dt} \\ & \geq x\left(t, \phi^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}\right) \left\{ a(t) - b(t)x(t - T(t)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - c(t) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)}} + \int_{T_1^{(m)}}^{s_q^{(m)}} + \int_{s_q^{(m)}}^t \right) H(s)x(t+s)ds - h(t)\alpha_0 - r(t)\alpha_0 \right\} \\ & > x(t) \left(a(t) - b(t) \frac{\alpha_0}{m+1} - c(t)M_m \int_{-\infty}^{T_1^{(m)}-t} H(\theta)ds - c(t)M \int_{T_1^{(m)}}^{s_q^{(m)}-t} H(\theta)ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. - c(t) \frac{\alpha_0}{m+1} \int_{s_q^{(m)}}^t H(\theta - t)ds - h(t)\varepsilon - r(t)\varepsilon \right) \\ & \geq x(t)(a(t) - b(t)\alpha_0 - 2c(t)\alpha_0 - h(t)\varepsilon - r(t)\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t_q^{(m)} - \delta$ to $t_q^{(m)}$, then by (3.24), (3.37) and (3.38) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha_0}{(m+1)^2} & = x\left(t_q^{(m)}, Z^{(m)}\right) \\ & \geq x\left(t_q^{(m)} - \delta, Z^{(m)}\right) \exp \int_{t_q^{(m)} - \delta}^{t_q^{(m)}} (a(s) - b(s)\alpha_0 - 2c(s)\alpha_0 - h(s)\varepsilon - r(s)\varepsilon) ds \quad (3.52) \\ & \geq \frac{\alpha_0}{(m+1)^2} \exp\{\alpha_0\} > \frac{\alpha_0}{(m+1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, this contradiction shows that there exists constant $\eta_x > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \geq \eta_x, \quad (3.53)$$

for any positive solutions $(x(t), u(t))$ of system (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

Applying Theorem 3.2 to system (1.4), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that assumptions (H_1) – (H_5) hold, then system (1.4) is permanent.*

Obviously, we will first consider system (1.1) which is more general than system (1.4). Moreover, Corollary 3.3 is a very good improvement of Theorem 2.1 in [2]. From Corollary 3.3, we find that it is established that a very weak sufficient condition for the permanent of system (1.4).

Remark 3.4. From Theorem 3.2 we directly see that for system (1.1) the feedback control is harmless to the permanence of system (1.1).

Remark 3.5. In this paper, the biological model about a single species is considered. However, complex networks have attracted increasing attention from various fields of science and engineering in recent years. Meanwhile, in some sense, the coupled species systems can be treated as a typical complex networks. The real world biological systems have more complex structures and relationships. Motivated by above work [18–21], our future work is that how to apply the current complex networks theory to improve the current work.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Sciences Foundation of China (11071283), the Sciences Foundation of Shanxi (2009011005-3), the Young Foundation of Shanxi Province (no. 2011021001-1), Research Project supported by Shanxi Scholarship Council of China (2011-093) and the Major Subject Foundation of Shanxi and Doctoral Scientific Research Fund of Xinjiang Medical University.

References

- [1] F. Yin and Y. Li, "Positive periodic solutions of a single species model with feedback regulation and distributed time delay," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 153, no. 2, pp. 475–484, 2004.
- [2] F. Chen, "Global stability of a single species model with feedback control and distributed time delay," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 178, no. 2, pp. 474–479, 2006.
- [3] L. J. Chen and X. D. Xie, "Permanence of an N-species cooperation system with continuous time delays with feedback controls," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, vol. 7, pp. 133–143, 2006.
- [4] F. D. Chen, J. H. Yang, L. J. Chen, and X. D. Xie, "On a mutualism model with feedback controls," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, vol. 12, pp. 34–38, 2011.
- [5] F. Chen, "Permanence of a single species discrete model with feedback control and delay," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 729–733, 2007.
- [6] L. Chen, L. Chen, and Z. Li, "Permanence of a delayed discrete mutualism model with feedback controls," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 50, no. 7-8, pp. 1083–1089, 2009.
- [7] X. X. Chen, "Almost periodic solutions of nonlinear delay population equation with feedback control," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 62–72, 2007.

- [8] M. Fan, K. Wang, P. J. Y. Wong, and R. P. Agarwal, "Periodicity and stability in periodic n -species Lotka-Volterra competition system with feedback controls and deviating arguments," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 801–822, 2003.
- [9] Y. Xia, J. Cao, H. Zhang, and F. Chen, "Almost periodic solutions of n -species competitive system with feedback controls," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 294, no. 2, pp. 503–522, 2004.
- [10] Y. Li and L. Zhu, "Positive periodic solutions for a class of higher-dimensional state-dependent delay functional differential equations with feedback control," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 159, no. 3, pp. 783–795, 2004.
- [11] W.-T. Li and L.-L. Wang, "Existence and global attractivity of positive periodic solutions of functional differential equations with feedback control," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 180, no. 2, pp. 293–309, 2005.
- [12] X. Feng and F. Zhang, "The permanence in a single species nonautonomous system with delays and feedback control," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2010, Article ID 195983, 11 pages, 2010.
- [13] Z. Teng, "Permanence and stability in non-autonomous logistic systems with infinite delay," *Dynamical Systems*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 187–202, 2002.
- [14] Z. D. Teng and L. S. Chen, "Positive periodic solutions of periodic Kolmogorov type systems with delays," *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 446–456, 1999 (Chinese).
- [15] Z. Teng and Z. Li, "Permanence and asymptotic behavior of the N -species nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra competitive systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 39, no. 7-8, pp. 107–116, 2000.
- [16] K. Wang, Z. Teng, and H. Jiang, "On the permanence for n -species non-autonomous Lotka-Volterra competitive system with infinite delays and feedback controls," *International Journal of Biomathematics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 29–43, 2008.
- [17] F. Montes de Oca and M. Vivas, "Extinction in two dimensional Lotka-Volterra system with infinite delay," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1042–1047, 2006.
- [18] Y. Chen, J. Lü, F. Han, and X. Yu, "On the cluster consensus of discrete-time multi-agent systems," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 517–523, 2011.
- [19] P. Wang, J. Lü, and M. J. Ogorzalek, "Global relative parameter sensitivities of the feed-forward loops in genetic networks," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 155–165, 2012.
- [20] J. Lü and G. Chen, "A time-varying complex dynamical network model and its controlled synchronization criteria," *IEEE*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 841–846, 2005.
- [21] J. Lü, X. Yu, G. Chen, and D. Cheng, "Characterizing the synchronizability of small-world dynamical networks," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems. I. Regular Papers*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 787–796, 2004.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

