

Mathematical Sciences Letters An International Journal

# Semi-Analytical Solution for the Multicell Spheroid model for Vascular Tumor Growth

N. H. Sweilam<sup>1</sup> and S. A. Ghwail<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

Received: 47 Jul. 2014, Revised: 23 Sep. 2014, Accepted: 5 Oct. 2014 Published online: 1 Jan. 2015

**Abstract:** The homotopy analysis method is used to obtain semi-analytic solutions for the mathematical model describing a solid tumor growth in the initial a vascular stage of growth. During a vascular tumor growth, the balance between cell proliferation and cell loss determines whether the colony expands or progress. We focus on the chemical inhibition of mitosis within multicell spheroids. The main assumption of modeling the diffusion of a growth inhibitory factor (GIF) within a multicell spheroid and its possible effects on cell mitosis and proliferation.

Keywords: Homotopy analysis method, Tumor growth

## **1** Introduction

A vahcular tumor growth models studied extensively in the last three decade, see for example, ([5], [7], [8]). Mathematical model for a vascular tumor growth and development that spans three distinc scales; cellular level, subcellular level, extracellular level, for more details see ([1]-[4]). Although, almost all studies reach similar conclusions that a vascular tumor can only grow up to a limited size, the saturation mechanisms that are assured in different models are not same ([5]-[6]), depending on nutrients concentration tumor cells are supposed to be in one of the three stages; proliferating, resting or dead. While the tumor expands, the nutrient concentration at the center falls below critical level. The cell proliferation rate will be decrease which causes a slow growth rate. Eventually, these interior cells can die off, creating what is known as a necrotic core. Although a significant progress in modeling tumor has been achieved by now, most of these models are based on numerical approach. Hence, an approach other than numerical approach such as semi-exact solutions is needed

Recently, some principles of the physics and It is known that approximate analytical method such as Homotopy Analysis Method(HAM) which advised by Shi-Jun Liao in ([15]-[18]) has been successfully applied to solve many types of nonlinear problems in science and

# 2 Basic idea of HAM

Consider the following general differential equation:

$$F(u(t)) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where F is a nonlinear operator, u(t) is an unknown function. For simplicity, we ignore all boundary and initial conditions, which can be treated in the similar ways in [12], [15], [17].

engineering by many authors, see ([1], [13], [14], and the references cited therein). The aim in this work is to employ HAM for establish an infinite series solutions for the mathematical model describing a solid tumor growth in the initial a vascular stage, for more details on the HAM method for linear and nonlinear differential equations, see([11]-[12]), [15], ([27]-[28]), and the references cited therein. We can pointed out here that , HAM contains the auxiliary parameter  $\hbar$ , which provides us with a simple way to adjust and control the convergence region of solution series[18], moreover, the approximate solutions can be obtained using a few number of iterations ([27]-[28]).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author e-mail: suzy.future@gmail.com

#### 2.1 Zeroth-order deformation equation

Liao ([15]-[18]), constructed the so-called zeroth-order deformation equation

$$(1-q)L[\Phi(t;q) - u_0(t)] = q\hbar F[\Phi(t;q)],$$
(2)

where *L* is an auxiliary linear operator,  $u_0(t)$  is an initial guess,  $\hbar$  is an auxiliary parameter and  $q \in [0, 1]$  is the embedding parameter. Obviously, when q = 0 and q = 1, it holds, respectively

$$\Phi(t;0) = u_0(t), \qquad \Phi(t;1) = u(t).$$
 (3)

Thus, as q increasing from 0 to 1, the solution  $\Phi(t;q)$  various from  $u_0(t)$  to u(t). Expanding  $\Phi(t;q)$  in Taylor series with respect to the embedding parameter q, one has

$$\Phi(t;q) = u_0(t) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(t)q^m,$$
(4)

where

$$u_m(t) = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m \Phi(t;q)}{\partial q^m} \Big|_{q=0}.$$
 (5)

Assume that the auxiliary linear operator, the initial guess and the auxiliary parameter  $\hbar$  are selected such that the series (4) is convergent at q = 1, then at q = 1 and by (20), the series (4) becomes

$$u(t) = u_0(t) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(t).$$
 (6)

#### 2.2 The mth-order deformation equation

Define the vector

$$\mathbf{u}_n(t) = [u_0(t), u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t)].$$
(7)

Differentiating equation (2) m times with respect to the embedding parameter q, then setting q = 0 and dividing them by m!, finally using (5), we have the so-called mth-order deformation equations

$$L[u_m(t) - \delta_m u_{m-1}(t)] = \hbar \mathfrak{R}_m(\mathbf{u}_{m-1}), \qquad (8)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R}_m(\mathbf{u}_{m-1}) = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{\partial^{m-1} F[\boldsymbol{\Phi}(t;q)]}{\partial q^{m-1}}\Big|_{q=0}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\delta_m = \begin{cases} 0, & m \le 1; \\ 1, & m > 1. \end{cases}$$
(10)

#### **3** Mathematical formulation

We formulate this model in mathematical equation which describe the diffusion, production and degradation of the growth inhibitory factor GIF ([6], [7]) within the spheroid, It can be written as,

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 C + f(C) + \lambda S(r) \tag{11}$$

where C = C(r,t) is the concentration of GIF within the spheroid occupying the region  $R^3$  and  $\lambda$  is the inhibitor production rate (molecules per unit volume per second). Shymko and Glass [22], and Adam [2] use the function  $f(C) = -\gamma C$ , where  $\gamma$  is the depletion rate or decay constant, various forms for the source function S(r)have been used. In the original model in [22], the GIF is assumed to be produced at a constant rate throughout the tissue, yielding the uniform source function[7]:

$$S(r) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}, & 0 \le r \le R; \\ 0, & r > R. \end{cases}$$
(12)

Then the model will be,

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 C - \gamma C + \lambda S(r), \qquad r \in \Omega, \qquad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial r} = 0, \qquad r = 0, \qquad (14)$$

$$D(r)\frac{\partial C}{\partial r} + PC = 0 \quad on \quad \partial \Omega, \qquad P > 0, \qquad (15)$$

$$C(r,0) = 0, \qquad r \in \Omega. \tag{16}$$

Initially, we assume that production of GIF is via the uniform source function S(r). Considering the spherical geometry described in the introduction and assuming radial symmetry, the above system reduces to

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 D \frac{\partial C}{\partial r}) - \gamma C + \lambda S(r), \qquad r \le R, \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial r} = 0, \qquad r = 0, \qquad (18)$$

$$D(r)\frac{\partial C}{\partial r} + PC = 0 \quad on \quad r = R, \qquad P > 0, \qquad (19)$$

$$C(r,0) = 0,$$
  $r = R^3.$  (20)

Before continuing with an analysis of the above system, it is appropriate to recast them in terms of dimensionless variables. Denoting by R and t, the radius of the spheroid GIF concentration and as reference time. The system now becomes, upon dropping the tildes for notational convenience,

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 D \frac{\partial C}{\partial r}) - B^2 C + a B^2 (1 - r^2), \qquad r \le R,$$
(21)

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial r} = 0, \qquad \qquad r = 0, \qquad (22)$$

$$D(r)\frac{\partial C}{\partial r} + PC = 0 \quad on \quad r = R, \qquad P > 0, \qquad (23)$$

$$C(r,0) = 0,$$
  $r = R^3,$  (24)

where B = kR,  $k^2 = \frac{\gamma}{D}$ ,  $a = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma\theta}$  and  $\eta = \frac{\gamma D^{1/2}}{P}$ . With this non-dimensionlisation, we see that once the parameters for a particular spheroid are determined, the only undetermined parameter is the radius *R*. the solutions to the above equation can therefore be monitored for different size of spheroids *R*. Let r = x and using the transformation

$$u(x,t) = xC(x,t).$$
(25)

Then,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = C + x \frac{\partial C}{\partial x},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 2 \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = x \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}.$$
(26)

Substitute (25)-(26) into(21), we claim

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - B^2 u + a B^2 x (1 - x^2), \qquad (27)$$

subject to the initial conditions u(x,0) = 0.

## 4 Method of solution

In this section, we apply HAM to obtain the approximate solution to the problem of vascular tumor growth. Consider above eqn(27).

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - B^2 u + a B^2 x (1 - x^2),$$

with initial conditions u(x,0) = 0. By means of homotopy analysis method we choose the linear operator

$$L[\varphi(x,t;q)] = \frac{\partial \varphi(x,t;q)}{\partial t},$$
(28)

where the operator *L* satisfies the relation L[c] = 0 for some arbitrary constant *c* also we define the non-linear operator as

$$N(\varphi(x,t;q)) = \frac{\partial \varphi(x,t;q)}{\partial t} - D \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(x,t;q)}{\partial x^2}$$
(29)

$$+ B^{2} \varphi(x,t;q) - aB^{2}x(1-x^{2}), \qquad (30)$$

we can construct the zeroth-order deformation equation in the form

$$(1-q)L[\varphi(x,t;q) - u_0(x,t)] = q\hbar N(\varphi(x,t;q)).$$
 (31)

Obviously when 
$$q = 0$$
 and  $q = 1$ , we obtain  
 $\varphi(x,t;0) = u_0(x,t), \qquad \varphi(x,t;1) = u(x,t).$ 

Therefore, as the embedding parameter q increases from 0 to 1,  $\varphi(x,t;q)$  varies from the initial guess  $u_0(x,t)$ to the solution u(x,t). Expanding  $\varphi(x,t;q)$  in Taylor series with respect to q

$$\varphi(x,t;q) = u_0(x,t) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^m u_m(x,t),$$
 (32)

where

$$u_m(x,t) = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m \varphi(x,t;q)}{\partial q^m}|_{q=0}.$$
 (33)

Now, we define the vector  $u(x,t) = [u_0(x,t), u_1(x,t), ...]$ . The *mth*-order deformation equation is

$$L[u_m(x,t) - \delta_m u_{m-1}(x,t)] = \hbar R_m(\vec{u}_{m-1}), \quad (34)$$

with initial conditions  $u_m(x,0) = 0$ , where

$$R_m(\overrightarrow{u}_{m-1}) = \frac{\partial u_{m-1}(x,t)}{\partial t} - D \frac{\partial^2 u_{m-1}(x,t)}{\partial x^2}$$
(35)

$$+ B^{2}u_{m-1}(x,t) - aB^{2}x(1-x^{2}).$$
(36)

Now, the solution of the *mth*-order deformation equation becomes

$$u_m(x,t) = \delta_m u_{m-1}(x,t) + \hbar \int_0^t R_m(\vec{u}_{m-1}) dt, \quad (37)$$

then the approximate solution will take the following

$$u(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + \dots$$
(38)

#### 5 Existence and Convergence of HAM

To investigate the influence of  $\hbar$  on the convergence of the solution series given by HAM, we first plot the so-called  $\hbar$ -curve of u(x,t). According to the  $\hbar$ -curve, it is easy to discover the valid region of  $\hbar$  at  $-1.5 \le \hbar \le 2$  (see figure 1).

We use four terms in evaluating the approximate solution,

$$u_0(x,t) = aB^2\hbar x(1-x^2)t,$$
(39)

$$u_1(x,t) = -aB^2\hbar^2 x(3D + \frac{B^2}{2}(1-x^2))t^2 - (1-x^2)t,$$
(40)

$$u_2(x,t) = aB^4\hbar^3 x(2D + \frac{B^2}{6}(1-x^2))t^3,$$
(41)

$$u_3(x,t) = -a\frac{B^6}{4}\hbar^4(3D + \frac{B^2}{6}(1-x^2))t^4.$$
 (42)

It is noted that our approximate solutions converge at  $(-2 \le \hbar \le 2)$  see figure 1. Figures 2 and 3 show the results for HAM for various values of R, which show the development of a spheroid from its early stages of growth



Fig. 1:  $\hbar$  curve of GIF at t = 10, a = 76.764,  $D = 5 \times 10^{-7}$ , R = 0.02,  $\gamma = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ .



Fig. 2: Approximate solution of GIF for  $\hbar = -1.5$ , t = 10, a = 76.764,  $D = 5 \times 10^{-7}$ , R = 0.02,  $\gamma = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ .



Fig. 3: Approximate solution of GIF in 3D for  $\hbar = -1.5$ , a = 76.764,  $D = 5 \times 10^{-7}$ , R = 0.02,  $\gamma = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ .

to its diffusion - limited size of a stable radius of 0.2 cm. Our results in agreement with [7] where the threshold value for the GIF concentration is C = 1. Thus if the concentration of GIF is greater than 1 in any region within the spheroid, then mitosis will be inhibited (necrotic core) to be distinguished. Fig 1 shows that the model predicts that the onset of necrosis occurs in the center of spheroids are (C = 1 at r = 0).

# **6** Conclusions

In this work, HAM is adapted to obtain series solutions with a high degree of accuracy for the nonlinear mathematical model describing a solid tumor growth in the initial a vascular stage of growth. No discretizations, linearization , small perturbations or restrictive assumption are needed when we apply this method.

It may be concluded that this methodology is very powerful and efficient technique in finding semi-exact solutions for wide classes of problems. It is also worth noting to point out that the advantage of this methodology shows a fast convergence of the solutions by means of the auxiliary parameter  $\hbar$ . We can conclude that HAM is easy to apply for both linear or nonlinear differential systems.

## References

- S. Abbasbandy, Homotopy analysis method for heat radiation equations, International and Communication of Heat Mass Transfer, 34, 380-387 (2007).
- [2] J. A. Adam, A simplified mathematical model of tumor growth, Math. Biosci. 86:183-211 (1987).
- [3] J. A. Adam, A mathematical model of tumor growth, I. Effects of geometry and Spatial non uniformity on stability, Math. Biosci. 86:183-211 (1987).
- [4] J. A. Adam, A mathematical model of tumor growth. III. Comparison with experiment, Math. Biosci. 86:213-227 (1987).
- [5] J.A. Adam, Corrigendum: A mathematical model of tumor growth by diffusion, Math. Biosci.94:155 (1989).
- [6] A. C. Burton, Rate of growth of solid tumors as a problem of diffusion, Growth 3:157-176 (1966).
- [7] M. A. J. Chaplain and N. F. Britton, On the concentration profile of a growth inhibitory factor in multicell spheroids, Math. Biosci.115:233-245 (1993).
- [8] M. A. J. Chaplain and A. M. Stuart, A mathematical model for the diffusion of tumour angiogenesis factor into the surrounding host tissue, IMA J. Math. Appl. Med. Biol. 8:191-220 (1991).
- [9] H. P. Greenspan, Models for the growth of a solid tumor by diffusion, Stud.A&. Math.51:317-340 (1972).
- [10] H. P. Greenspan, On the growth and stability of cell cultures and solid tumours, J. Theor. Biol.56:229-242 (1976).
- [11] I. Hashim, O. Abdulaziz and S. Momani, Homotopy analysis method for fractional IVPs, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 14, 674-684(2009).



- [12] J. Hilton, An Introduction to Homotopy Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1953).
- [13] M. Inc, On exact solution of Laplace equation with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions by the homotopy analysis method, Physics Letters A, 365, 412-415 (2007).
- [14] H. Jafari and S. Seifi, Solving a system of nonlinear fractional partial differential equations using homotopy analysis method, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 14, 1962-1969 (2009).
- [15] S. J. Liao, Beyond Perturbation: Introduction to the Homotopy Analysis Method, Champan& Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, (2003).
- [16] S. J. Liao, On the homotopy analysis method for non linear problems, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 147,499-513 (2004).
- [17] S. J. Liao, The Proposed Homotopy Analysis Techniquefor the Solution of Nonlinear Problems, PhD dissertation, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, (1992).
- [18] S. J. Liao, Notes on the homotopy analysis method-Some definitions and theorems, Commun. Nonlinear Sci.Numer.Simulat., 14, 983-997 (2009).
- [19] J. Landry, J. P. Freyer, and R. M. Sutherl and, A model for the growth of multicell spheroids, Cell Tissue Kinet. 15585-594 (1982).
- [20] D. L. S. McElwain and P. J. Ponzo, A model for the growth of a solid tumor with non-uniform oxygen consumption, Math. Biosci. 35:267-279 (1977).
- [21] S. A. Maggelakis and J. A. Adam, Mathematical model of prevascular growth of a spherical carcinoma, Math. Comp. Modelling 13:23-38 (1990).
- [22] R. M. Shymko and L. Glass, Cellular and geometric control of tissue growth and mitotic instability, J. Theor. Biol. 63:355-374 (1976).
- [23] R. M. Sutherland, Cell and environment interactions in tumor microregions: The multicell spheroid model, Science 240:177-184 (1988).
- [24] R. M. Sutherland and R. E. Durand, Growth and cellular characteristics of multicell spheroids, Recent Results Cancer Rex 95:24-49 (1984).
- [25] R. M. Sutherland, J. A. McCredie and W. R. Inch, Growth of multicell spheroids as a model of nodular carcinomas, J. Nutl.Cancer Inst. 46:113-120 (1971).
- [26] G. W. Swan, The diffusion of an inhibitor in a spherical tumor, Math. Biosci. 108:75-79 (1992).
- [27] N. H. Sweilam and M. M. Khader, Semi exact solutions for the bi-harmonic equation using homotopy analysis method, World Applied Sciences Journal, 13, 1-7 (2011).
- [28] M. M. Khader, N. H. Sweilam, Z. I. EL-Sehrawy and S.A.Ghwail, Analytical study for the nonlinear vibrations of multiwalled carbon nanotubes using homotopy analysis method, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 8, 1-10 (2014).



H. Sweilam. Nasser professor of numerical analysis at the Department Mathematics, of Faculty of Science, Cairo University. He was a channel system Ph.D. student between Cairo University, Egypt, and TU-Munich, Germany. He

received his Ph.D. in "Optimal Control of Variational Inequalities, the Dam Problem". He is the Head of the Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, since May 2012. He is referee and editor of several international journals, in the frame of pure and applied Mathematics. His main research interests are numerical analysis, optimal control of differential equations, fractional and variable order calculus, bio-informatics and cluster computing and ill-posed problems.



**S. A. Ghwail** received the Msc degree in Mathematics Department, Suez Canal University (Ismailia) -(2011). Her research interests are in the areas of Applied Mathematics including the mathematical methods and numerical techniques for

solving mathematical models in (Nano-technology, solitary waves,...).