

Research Journal of Pharmacognosy (RJP) 2(1), 2015: 41-46
Received: Oct 2014
Accepted: Nov 2014



Original article

Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of *Origanum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare* essential oil from Iran

M. Vazirian¹, M. Mohammadi¹, M.H. Farzaei², G. Amin¹, Y. Amanzadeh^{1*}

¹Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

²Department of Traditional Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

Background and Objectives: Essential oils are very complex mixture of components and their composition may vary in different species or varieties or even within the same variety. *Origanum vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare* is one of the most distributed subspecies within the genus *Origanum* and has been found to be a poor-oil, categorized in cymyl, bornane or sabinyl chemotypes with higher proportion of sesquiterpenes. In this experiment, the Iranian sample was studied for the chemical composition of the oil and evaluation of its antioxidant activity. **Methods:** Essential oil was obtained by hydro-distillation and analyzed by GC/MS for determination of components. Antioxidant activity was evaluated by radical scavenging ability (DPPH method) and reducing power (FRAP assay). **Results:** The sample belonged to “thymol” chemotype with the main components as thymol (37.13%), gamma-terpinene (9.67%), carvacrol (9.57%), carvacrol methyl ether (6.88), *cis*-alpha-bisabolene (6.80%), eucalyptol (3.82%), *p*-cymene (3.58%) and elemol (2.04%). The oil of plant showed very strong antioxidant activity (IC₅₀=2.5 µg/mL in DPPH method), which was stronger than the standard antioxidants (Vit E and BHA, *p*<0.05) and it demonstrated good reducing power (467.25 µmole Eq FeSO₄.7H₂O/mg of the oil in FRAP assay). **Conclusion:** The data suggests the plant as a good potential natural antioxidant preservative.

Keywords: antioxidant, DPPH, essential oil, FRAP, *Origanum vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare*

Introduction

Essential oils are very complex mixtures of components, while their composition may vary between different species or varieties or even within the same variety, related to different cultivation, origin, vegetative stage and growing seasons of the sources [1-6]. Beside therapeutic values of many of the oils, some of them are considered antioxidant and antimicrobial agents for preservation of drugs and foods [1].

The genus *Origanum* (Lamiaceae), with about 40 known species, is native to Mediterranean, Euro-Siberian and Irano-Siberian regions [2]. The species have been used since ancient times for treating various ailments such as digestive disorders, menstrual problems, spasmodic conditions, whooping and convulsive cough, etc. [2]. Beside the extensive usage of the herb in pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries, the intra-

specific essential oil variability of the species has been observed in a number of publications [7].

The two main species growing in Iran are *O. vulgare* L. and *O. marjorana* L. [8]. Searching the literature for biological activities of these species, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities were found to be the most evaluated effects [9-18] with some other effects like improving spatial learning [19], lowering blood pressure, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, anti-mutagenic, cytotoxic [8] and anticancer in the next rates [20]. *Origanum vulgare* ssp. *vulgare* is one of the most widely distributed subspecies [2] with some reports about its chemical composition and pharmacological effects in different parts of the world [7,21,22]. Based on previous studies, it was concluded that the species from the northern hemisphere (including *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare*) are poor sources of volatiles (compared to southern subspecies) and are often composed of cymyl-compounds, bornane type (e.g. borneol, camphor, camphene), acyclic (mainly linalool and linalyl acetate) and sabinyl compounds (e.g. sabinene) with a larger contribution of sesquiterpenes [2,7,21]. In the present study, the chemical composition of *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare* has been analyzed by means of GC/MS to more broaden the information about chemical pattern of the species. In addition, the antioxidant activity has been measured by DPPH and FRAP methods.

Experimental

Plant material

The aerial parts of *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare* were collected from Noshahr, Mazandaran province (Iran) in July, 2012. They were then dried in shade at room temperature and were cut just before extraction of the essential oil. Voucher specimen (TEH-6760) was prepared for authentication and a sample was deposited at the Herbarium of Department of Pharmacognosy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Essential oil extraction and analysis

The crashed sample was extracted for essential oil by Clevenger type apparatus and separation and detection of chemical components was performed using an Agilent 6890 GC apparatus,

equipped with a HP-5MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm) and an Agilent 5973 mass detector. The temperature of the oven column was 50 °C (isothermal for 5 min), then at rate of 10 °C/min raised to 240 °C with a final hold time of 3 min. Carrier gas was helium at a flow of 0.8 mL/min. The injector was set at 290 °C. Ionization energy was 70 eV and mass scan range between 50-550 amu. Characterization was achieved based on calculating Kovats index using a homologous series of *n*-alkanes (C8-C25) and by comparing the mass spectra with those in Wiley library and the literature data [23].

Evaluation of antioxidant activity by DPPH and FRAP methods

Free radical scavenging capacity was evaluated by DPPH method, as previously described in literature with minor modifications [24]. Based on a pre-examination, four concentrations of 0.5, 5, 10 and 50 μL/mL of the essential oil were prepared in methanol. Vitamin E (129 μg/mL) and BHA (220 μg/mL) were used for comparison as standard antioxidants, respectively, all in triplicate. Different prepared solutions (1 mL of each) were mixed with a freshly prepared 40 μg/mL (methanol) DPPH and after 30 min the absorbance were read at 517 nm against proper blank. The percent of inhibitions were calculated as:

$$I \% = [(A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}) / A_{\text{blank}}] \times 100$$

Where A_{blank} is the absorption of control. The concentration in which 50% of inhibition was achieved (by calculations based on inhibition percentage against concentration of sample) was considered as IC_{50} and reported as means ± SD.

For determination of the reducing power, 1.5 mL of FRAP reagent (5 mL of TPTZ + 5 mL $FeCl_3$ + 50 mL of acetate buffer with a pH of 3), which is a labile solution and should be prepared just before the experiment, was added to the tubes. The mixture was heated at 37 °C for 5 min. Fifty μL of the prepared samples including a concentration of 0.19 mg/mL of the essential oil and five concentrations of aqueous solutions of

FeSO₄·7H₂O (125, 250, 500, 750 and 1000 μmol/L (for plotting the calibration curve) were added to the mixture. The change in the absorbance of the sample (in triplicate) was recorded after 30 min at 593 nm against proper blank (in which FRAP reagent was absent). The results were expressed as μmol FeSO₄·7H₂O equivalents per mg of the sample [25].

Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test for multiple comparisons of means ($p \leq 0.05$).

Results and Discussion

Essential oil composition

The yield of the oil was 0.5% (v/w) with a pale yellow color and pungent odor. As shown in table 1, analysis of the essential oil resulted in identification of 37 compounds, representing 95.84% of the total oil, while thymol (37.13%), gamma-terpinene (9.67%), carvacrol (9.57%), carvacrol methyl ether (6.88), *cis*-alpha-bisabolene (6.80%), eucalyptol (3.82%), *p*-cymene (3.58%) and elemol (2.04%) were the predominant components. The most abundant chemical structure within components was oxygenated monoterpenes (59.25%), followed by monoterpene hydrocarbons (18.71%), oxygenated sesquiterpenes (12.86%) and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (5.02%).

In another study, focusing on variation in chemical composition of the essential oil of *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare*, collected from Chaloos (Mazandaran province, Iran) in flowering and seeding stages, linalyl acetate (27.2%), gamma-terpinene (16.5%), 3-octanone (10.9%), beta-pinene (8.4%) and carvacrol (6.4%) were the main components of the flowering stage and carvacrol (23.2%), α-pinene (15.8%), beta-pinene (10.7%) and trans-caryophyllene (5.3%) were the main compounds of seeding stage. This study relates this subspecies to cymyl and acyclic types [26]. Among four chemotypes found for *O. vulgare*

subsp. *vulgare* by Italian scientists (i.e. *p*-cymene, terpinene-4-ol, thymol and β-caryophyllene) [27],

Table 1. Chemical composition of the essential oil from aerial parts of *Origanum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare*.

| Number | compound | RI _S | RI _R | RT | (%) |
|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| 1 | alpha-Thujene | 918 | 931 | 10.818 | 0.431 |
| 2 | alpha-Pinene | 925 | 939 | 11.135 | 0.409 |
| 3 | Sabinene | 967 | 976 | 13.214 | 0.774 |
| 4 | beta-Pinene | 969 | 980 | 13.314 | 0.225 |
| 5 | unknown | 980 | 978 | 13.827 | 0.453 |
| 6 | unknown | 984 | 965 | 14.018 | 0.378 |
| 7 | beta-Myrcene | 987 | 991 | 14.208 | 0.628 |
| 8 | alpha-Terpinene | 1012 | 1018 | 15.456 | 1.018 |
| 9 | <i>p</i> -Cymene | 1025 | 1026 | 16.117 | 3.583 |
| 10 | Eucalyptol (1,8 Cineole) | 1032 | 1033 | 16.434 | 3.823 |
| 11 | <i>cis</i> -beta-Ocimene | 1038 | 1040 | 16.747 | 1.037 |
| 12 | <i>trans</i> -beta-Ocimene | 1048 | 1050 | 17.254 | 0.314 |
| 13 | gamma-Terpinene | 1065 | 1062 | 18.122 | 9.668 |
| 14 | unknown | 1068 | - | 18.280 | 0.257 |
| 15 | alpha-Terpinolene | 1086 | 1088 | 19.169 | 0.181 |
| 16 | Linalool | 1099 | 1098 | 19.846 | 0.322 |
| 17 | E,Z-Alloocimene | 1127 | 1129 | 21.231 | 0.181 |
| 18 | Geijerene | 1139 | 1150 | 21.802 | 0.262 |
| 19 | Borneol | 1163 | 1165 | 23.003 | 0.067 |
| 20 | Terpinene-4-ol | 1175 | 1177 | 23.606 | 0.261 |
| 21 | alpha-Terpineol | 1189 | 1189 | 26.076 | 1.200 |
| 22 | Carvacrol, methyl ether | 1251 | 1241 | 27.207 | 6.880 |
| 23 | Thymol | 1319 | 1289 | 30.301 | 37.129 |
| 24 | Carvacrol | 1332 | 1298 | 30.883 | 9.573 |
| 25 | alpha-Copaene | 1380 | 1374 | 33.020 | 0.237 |
| 26 | beta-Bourbonene | 1390 | 1387 | 33.437 | 0.315 |
| 27 | <i>trans</i> -Caryophyllene | 1427 | 1417 | 35.013 | 1.948 |
| 28 | unknown | 1433 | - | 35.267 | 0.161 |
| 29 | <i>trans</i> -alpha-Bergamotene | 1438 | 1432 | 35.484 | 0.153 |
| 30 | <i>trans</i> -beta-Farnesene | 1459 | 1454 | 36.341 | 0.416 |
| 31 | Germacrene D | 1486 | 1484 | 37.483 | 1.055 |
| 32 | unknown | 1500 | - | 38.028 | 0.465 |
| 33 | unknown | 1513 | - | 38.541 | 0.678 |
| 34 | gamma-Cadinene | 1519 | 1513 | 38.774 | 0.293 |
| 35 | delta-Cadinene | 1523 | 1522 | 39.117 | 0.603 |
| 36 | <i>cis</i> -alpha-bisabolene | 1556 | 1506 | 40.233 | 6.805 |
| 37 | Elemol | 1563 | 1548 | 40.524 | 2.041 |
| 38 | Spathulenol | 1587 | 1577 | 41.471 | 0.554 |
| 39 | Caryophyllene oxide | 1592 | 1582 | 41.651 | 0.668 |
| 40 | Muurolol | 1650 | 1644 | 43.851 | 1.750 |
| 41 | alpha-Eudesmol | 1661 | 1652 | 44.253 | 0.364 |
| 42 | Intermedeol | 1666 | 1665 | 44.443 | 0.376 |
| 43 | alpha-Bisabolol | 1687 | 1685 | 45.236 | 0.298 |
| | Identified | 95.842 | | | |
| | Unknown | 2.392 | | | |
| Total | Oxygenated monoterpenes | 59.25% | | | |
| | Hydrocarbon monoterpenes | 18.71% | | | |
| | Oxygenated sesquiterpenes | 12.86% | | | |
| | Hydrocarbon sesquiterpenes | 5.02% | | | |

RI_S: Retention index of sample; RI_R: Retention index of reference; RT: Retention Time.

the plant could be placed to thymol chemotype and seems different from most found chemotypes

in other parts of the world including Lithuania [22,27], Turkey [2], Italy [28], Corsica and Austria [7], which have been reported to be β -caryophyllene or cymyl-sabinyl types, rich in β -caryophyllene, sabinene, spathulenol and germacrene D. The oil of the plant was also rich in phenolic compounds, which comprised about 53% of the total oil.

The different results of the present study from those in other parts of the native regions and also in similar regions of Iran seemed similar to what had been previously observed for Sicilian Oregano (which has been concluded to be *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *hirtum*) [5]. Regarding the previously published data about chemical composition of *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare*, it seemed that despite being a poor-oil like other northern chemotypes, the mentioned *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare* belongs to a totally different chemotype (thymol) which has not been identified before within the same subspecies, with higher percentage of monoterpenes (77.96%) and the dominant oxygenated terpenoids.

Antioxidant activity

The essential oil demonstrated radical scavenging ability with IC_{50} 2.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$.

The Antioxidant Activity Index which has been introduced by Scherer & Godoy [29] and has been measured considering the following equation:

$$AAI = \frac{\text{DPPH stock concentration } (\mu\text{g}/\text{mL})}{IC_{50} (\mu\text{g}/\text{mL})} = 16$$

This above result introduced the species as showing very strong antioxidant activity for radical scavenging ability which could be attributed to the high phenolic content of the oil.

Comparison of the IC_{50} values for the sample and standard antioxidants revealed that the sample has shown stronger activity than BHA and Vitamin E in scavenging DPPH radicals ($p < 0.05$).

By measuring the absorbance values of different concentrations of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, the calibration

curve was plotted ($R^2=0.9961$). The obtained results were subsequently calculated as 467.25 $\mu\text{mole Eq FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{mg}$ of the oil.

It has been found that the reducing power of dietary plants depends mostly on sample's content in polyphenols, anthocyanins and ascorbic acid [25], while high reducing power of the oil could also be related to the high phenolic content.

It could be concluded that with a different chemical composition of the essential oil, Iranian *O. vulgare* subsp. *L. vulgare* may be categorized in "thymol" chemotype and by its very strong antioxidant activity, it could be considered as a good potential as a suitable natural antioxidant preservative.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content of the paper.

References

- [1] Stefanakis MK, Touloupakis E, Anastasopoulos E, Ghanotakis D, Katerinopoulos HE, Makridis P. Antibacterial activity of essential oils from plants of the genus *Origanum*. *Food Control*. 2013; 34: 539-546.
- [2] Sahin F, Gulluce M, Daferera D, Sokmen A, Sokmen M, Polissiou M, Ozer H. Biological activities of the essential oils and methanol extract of *Origanum vulgare* ssp. *vulgare* in the Eastern Anatolia region of Turkey. *Food Control*. 2004; 15: 549-557.
- [3] Vokou D, Kokkini S, Bessiere JM. Geographic variation of greek oregano (*Origanum vulgare* ssp. *hirtum*) essential oils. *Biochem Syst Ecol*. 1993; 21: 287-295.
- [4] Kokkini S, Karousou R, Vokou D. Pattern of geographic variation of *Origanum vulgare* trichomes and essential oil content in Greece. *Biochem Syst Ecol*. 1994; 22: 517-528.
- [5] Napoli EM, Curcuruto G, Ruberto G. Screening the essential oil composition of

- wild Sicilian oregano. *Biochem Syst Ecol.* 2009; 37: 484-493.
- [6] Bisht D, Chanotiya CS, Rana M, Manoj S. Variability in essential oil and bioactive chiral monoterpenoid compositions of Indian oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) populations from northwestern Himalaya and their chemotaxonomy. *Ind Crop Prod.* 2009; 30: 422-426.
- [7] Brigitte L, Schmiderer C, Johannes N. Phytochemical diversity of *Origanum vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare* (Lamiaceae) from Austria. *Biochem Syst Ecol.* 2013; 50: 106-113.
- [8] Mombeyni T, Mombeyni M, Aghaei M. Evaluation of pharmacological effects of *Origanum* genus (*Origanum* spp). *J Med Plants.* 2008; 29: 18-35.
- [9] Licina BZ, Stefanovic OD, Vasic SM, Radojevic ID, Dekic MS, Comic LR. Biological activities of the extracts from wild growing *Origanum vulgare* L. *Food Control.* 2013; 33: 498-504.
- [10] Srihari T, Sengottuvelan M, Nalini N. Dose-dependent effect of oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant status in 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-induced rat colon carcinogenesis. *J Pharm Pharmacol.* 2008; 60: 787-794.
- [11] Castilho PC, Savluchinske-Feio S, Weinhold TS, Gouveia SC. Evaluation of the antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of essential oils, extracts and their main components from oregano from Madeira Island, Portugal. *Food Control.* 2012; 23: 552-558.
- [12] Souza EL, Stamford TL, Lima EO, Trajan VN. Effectiveness of *Origanum vulgare* L. essential oil to inhibit the growth of food spoiling yeasts. *Food Control.* 2007; 18: 409-413.
- [13] Nostro A, Blanco AR, Cannatelli MA, Enea V, Flamini G, Morelli I, Alonzo V. Susceptibility of methicillin-resistant staphylococci to oregano essential oil, carvacrol and thymol. *Fems Microbiol Lett.* 2004; 230: 191-195.
- [14] Botsoglou NA, Christaki E, Fletouris DJ, Florou-Paneri P, Spais AB. The effect of dietary oregano essential oil on lipid oxidation in raw and cooked chicken during refrigerated storage. *Meat Sci.* 2002; 62: 259-265.
- [15] Botsoglou NA, Grigoropoulou SH, Botsoglou E, Govaris A, Papageorgiou G. The effects of dietary oregano essential oil and α -tocopheryl acetate on lipid oxidation in raw and cooked turkey during refrigerated storage. *Meat Sci.* 2003; 65: 1193-1200.
- [16] Simitzis PE, Symeon GK, Charismiadou MA, Bizelis JA, Deligeorgis SG. The effects of dietary oregano oil supplementation on pig meat characteristics. *Meat Sci.* 2010; 84: 670-676.
- [17] Kulisic T, Radonic A, Katalinic V, Milos M. Use of different methods for testing antioxidative activity of oregano essential oil. *Food Chem.* 2004; 85: 633-640.
- [18] Mirzaee A, Jaber-Hafashani H, Madani A. Antioxidant activities, total phenols and total flavonoids assay of *Origanum vulgare*, *Teucrium polium* and *Thymus daensis*. *Med J Hormozgan.* 2011; 4: 285-294.
- [19] Abbasnejad M, Mirtajadini M, Afarinesh M, Hassibi N. Valuation of *Origanum vulgare* (leaves, stems and flowers) extract on spatial learning in male rats. *Physiol Pharmacol.* 2006; 10: 143-150.
- [20] Misharina TA, Burlakova EB, Fatkullina LD, Alinkina ES, Vorob'eva AK, Medvedeva IB, Kozachenko AI. Effect of oregano essential oil on the engraftment and development of *Lewis carcinoma* in F1 DBA C57 black hybrid mice. *Appl Biochem Micro.* 2013; 49: 432-436.
- [21] Baranauskiene R, Venskutonis PR, Dambrauskiene E. Harvesting time influences the yield and oil composition of *Origanum vulgare* L. ssp. *vulgare* and ssp. *hirtum*. *Ind Crop Prod.* 2013; 49: 43-51.

- [22] Mockute D, Bernotiene G, Judzentiene A. The essential oil of *Origanum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare* growing wild in Vilnius district (Lithuania). *Phytochemistry*. 2001; 57: 65-69.
- [23] Adams RP. *Identification of essential oil components by Gas chromatography/Mass spectrometry*. Carol Stream IL: Allured Publishing Corporation, 2007.
- [24] Ebrahimabadi AH, Mazoochi A, Jookar Kashi F, Djafari-Bidgoli Z, Batooli H. Essential oil composition and antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of the aerial parts of *Salvia eremophila* Boiss. from Iran. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2010; 48: 1371-1376.
- [25] Boudiaf K, Houcher Z, Sobhi W, Benboubetra M. Evaluation of antioxidant and anti-xanthine oxidoreductase activities of *Nigella sativa* Linn. seeds' extracts. *J Appl Biol Sci*. 2010; 4: 7-16.
- [26] Mockute D, Bernotiene G, Judzentiene A. The beta-ocimene chemotype of essential oils of the inflorescences and the leaves with stems from *Origanum vulgare* ssp. *vulgare* growing wild in Lithuania. *Biochem Syst Ecol*. 2003; 31: 269-278.
- [27] D'antuono LF, Galletti GC, Bocchini P. Variability of essential oil content and composition of *Origanum vulgare* L. populations from a North Mediterranean area (Liguria Region, Northern Italy). *Ann Bot-London*. 2000; 86: 471-478.
- [28] Scherer R, Godoy HT. Antioxidant activity index (AAI) by the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl method. *Food Chem*. 2009; 112: 654-658.