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## 1. Abstract

In this report we calculate transient probabilities $p_{i}(t \mid n$ at time 0$)$ for all $i$, of an $M / M / 1$ queue, based on task of time $t, n$ customers at time 0 , the arrival rate $\lambda$, and the service rate $\mu$. Using these probabilities we can arrive at the expected system time $E(T(a))$ of the task and the queue if the task is completed after the customer receives service, and the expected wait time $E(T(b))$ of the task and the queue if the customer finishes his task and then returns to the queue. We discover when completing the additional task first becomes more efficient based on various $n, t, \mu$, and $\lambda$.

## 2. Problem

We have an $M / M / 1$ queueing system. A customer arrives and sees $n$ people in the system. This customer must receive service from the server and has an additional task of fixed length $t$. The customer has two choices:
(a) Join the queue first, then do the task
(b) Do the task first, then join the queue

We will determine at what point the second option becomes more desirable based on arrival rate $\lambda$, service rate $\mu$, number of customers seen initially $n$, and the length of time of the additional task $t$.

## 3. Notation

The following notation will be used throughout the report

- $t$ is the length of time it takes to complete the additional task.
- $n$ is the number of people in line at time 0 .
- $i$ is the number of people in line after you return from your additional task.
- $E(T(a))$ is the expected time to complete your tasks if you do your additional task after.
- $E(T(b))$ is the expected time to complete your tasks if you do your additional task first.
- $\rho$ is the ratio $\frac{\lambda}{\mu}$.
- $L$-Expected number of customers in an $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M} / 1$ queue $L=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}$.
- $W$-Expected time spent in an $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M} / 1$ queue $W=\frac{1}{\mu-\lambda}$.


## 4. Introduction

We assume that we have an $M / M / 1$ queueing system and interarrival and service time are exponentially distributed (no memory property).

Definition 1. An $M / M / 1$ queue is a single server queueing system with the following properties:
(1) Arrivals are a Poisson process (interarrival times are exponential)
(2) Service times are exponential
(3) There is one server
(4) The capacity of the system is infinite

Definition 2. Steady state is defined as the long run average state of the system also known as the equilibrium.

## 5. Base Case

We can assume $t$ is large enough so that when returning to the queue the system is in steady state (equilibrium). Assume you had $n$ customers when you fist observed the queue. We will compare $E(T(a))$ and $E(T(b))$ to decide when doing the additional task first becomes the more efficient option.
(1) $E(T(a))=n\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu}+t$
(2) $E(T(b))=t+E(W)=t+\frac{1}{\mu-\lambda}$

We compare when $E(T(a))>E(T(b))$ (at this point we will choose option b).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(T(a))>E(T(b)) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \\
& n\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu}+t>t+\frac{1}{\mu-\lambda} \\
& n \quad n+1>\frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda}{\mu}} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \quad n-\rho n-\rho>0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \quad n(1-\rho)>\rho \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \quad n>L .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see that if the number of people in line first observed $n$, is less than the expected number of people in line $L$, we will wait to do our additional task. At the point when the number of people in line is exactly the expected number we are indifferent to choosing option a or option b. Finally, when we observe more people than the expected
number we will do our additional task and then return to the queue. This observation is true only when our queue is in equilibrium.

## 6. General Case

This case does not assume $t$ is large, when you return to the queue it is not necessarily in equilibrium. Assume $n$ is the number of customers initially observed and $i$ is the number of customers observed after returning to the queue.
(a) $E(T(a))=n\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu}+t$,
(b) $E(T(b))=t+E\left(W^{*}\right)=t+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{i}(t \mid \mathrm{n}$ at time 0$) \frac{i+1}{\mu}$.

There are many different ways to evaluate $p_{i}(t \mid \mathrm{n}$ at time 0$)$, and many different researcher have proposed methods they claim to be faster computationally than others. We look at two different methods in particular and discover one that best suits our needs. The two we focus on were developed by Sharma [1] and Conolly and Langaris [2], but there have been methods created such as A.M.K. Tarabia (2002) [3], L. Kleinrock (1975) [4]. As well as many others.

The formula by Sharma [1] can be used to evaluate $p_{i}(t \mid \mathrm{n}$ at $\mathrm{t}=0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i}(t \mid \mathrm{n} \text { at time } 0) & =(1-\rho) \rho^{i}+e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \rho^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \sum_{m=0}^{i+k+n}(k-m) \frac{(\mu t)^{m-1}}{m!}\right) \\
& +e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\lambda t)^{i+k-n}(\mu t)^{k}\left(\frac{1}{k!(i+k-n)!}-\frac{1}{(i+k)!(k-n)!}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice there are some issues with negative factorials in this formula (for the purpose of our calculations). We will use an equivalent formula that removes any problems involving these factorials. Developed by Conolly and Langaris [2] this is a generalized version of Sharma and Shobha (where our initially state $n$ can be any number, not just 0 ).

This formula (we will call formula 1.1) is used to do all calculations in this report:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i}(t) & =(1-\rho) \rho^{i}+e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \rho^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \sum_{m=0}^{k+i+n+1}(k-m) \frac{(\mu t)^{m-1}}{m!}\right) \\
& +e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \rho^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k+1}(\mu t)^{k+\max (i, n)}}{k!}\left(\frac{(\lambda t)^{-\min (i, n)-1}}{(k+|n-i|)!}-\frac{(\mu t)^{\min (i, n)+1}}{(k+n+i+2)!}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To evaluate these large summations we use the programming language R. We cap off these summations at values between 50 and 80 and claim this does not affect our final answer to any significance.

Since R cannot do factorials over 170 we rearrange formula 1.1 so that $R$ has enough memory to evaluate these large factorials. The memory limit can be avoided by rearranging the formula in a way that will avoid direct computation of the factorials. Factorials will be paired up with a variable whose exponent is equal to the factorial. i.e. the calculation of expressions in the form: $\frac{x^{m+2}}{(m+2)!}$.

Focusing on what is inside the final summation of formula 1.1 we have:

$$
\frac{(\lambda t)^{k+1}(\mu t)^{k+\max (i, n)}}{k!}\left(\frac{(\lambda t)^{-\min (i, n)-1}}{(k+|n-i|)!}-\frac{(\mu t)^{\min (i, n)+1}}{(k+n+i+2)!}\right),
$$

we can rearrange this to give us
$\frac{(\mu t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k}(\mu t)^{\max (i, n)}(\lambda t)^{-\min (i, n)}}{(k+|n-i|)!}-\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)(\mu t)(\mu t)^{k}(\mu t)^{\max (i, n)}(\mu t)^{\min (i, n)}}{(k+n+i+2)!}$.
To remove the max and min functions we can separate into cases $i<n$ and $i \geq n$. Which gives us the following:

## CASE $\mathbf{i}<\mathbf{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(\mu t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k}(\mu t)^{n}(\lambda t)^{-i}}{(k+n-i)!}-\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)(\mu t)(\mu t)^{k}(\mu t)^{n}(\mu t)^{i}}{(k+n+i+2)!} \\
& =\frac{(\mu t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k+n-i}}{(k+n-i)!}\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^{n}-\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\mu t)^{k+n+i+2}}{(k+n+i+2)!}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## CASE $\mathbf{i} \geq \mathbf{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(\mu t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k}(\mu t)^{i}(\lambda t)^{-n}}{(k+i-n)!}-\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)(\mu t)(\mu t)^{k}(\mu t)^{i}(\mu t)^{n}}{(k+n+i+2)!} \\
& =\frac{(\mu t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k+i-n}}{(k+i-n)!}\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^{i}-\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\mu t)^{k+i+n+2}}{(k+i+n+2)!}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This allows us to create a function in R that does division before exponents and factorials. By doing division first R can handle the value computationally since these values do not grow as quickly. This new function can calculate factorials (that large exponentials are being divided by) of almost any size, without exhausting R's memory power.

Using this new (computationally acceptable by R ) formula we can evaluate these probabilities and expected wait times for different values of $n, i, \mu, \lambda$, and $t$.

We can put all of this together to give us the entire formula that we will be using for our calculations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(T(b)) & =t+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{i}(t \mid \mathrm{n} \text { at time } 0) \frac{i+1}{\mu} \\
& =t+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left((1-\rho) \rho^{i}+e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \rho^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \sum_{m=0}^{k+i+n+1}(k-m) \frac{(\mu t)^{m-1}}{m!}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \rho^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k+1}(\mu t)^{k+\max (i, n)}}{k!}\left(\frac{(\lambda t)^{-\min (i, n)-1}}{(k+|n-i|)!}-\frac{(\mu t)^{\min (i, n)+1}}{(k+n+i+2)!}\right)\right) \frac{i+1}{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Breaking it into cases and rearranging to be computationally acceptable to $R$ we get:

## CASE $\mathbf{i}<\mathbf{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(T(b)) & =t+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left((1-\rho) \rho^{i}+e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \rho^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \sum_{m=0}^{k+i+n+1} \frac{k-m}{\mu t} \frac{(\mu t)^{m}}{m!}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \rho^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(\mu t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k+n-i}}{(k+n-i)!}\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^{n}-\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\mu t)^{k+n+i+2}}{(k+n+i+2)!}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)\right)\right) \frac{i+1}{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\underline{\text { CASE } i \geq n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(T(b)) & =t+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left((1-\rho) \rho^{i}+e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \rho^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \sum_{m=0}^{k+i+n+1} \frac{k-m}{\mu t} \frac{(\mu t)^{m}}{m!}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \rho^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(\mu t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k+i-n}}{(k+i-n)!}\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^{i}-\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{(\mu t)^{k+i+n+2}}{(k+i+n+2)!}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)\right)\right) \frac{i+1}{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These formulas were coded into R to compute the expected wait times for different cases of option $b$.

## 7. Calculations

The following tables are expected wait times comparing both option a (do additional task after) $E(T(a))$ and option b (do additional task first) $E(T(b))$. See other report for inner calculations of $p_{i}(t \mid n$ at time 0$)$. Those calculations are for specific values of i (the number of people observed when we return to the queue). We need to sum over all $i$, in order to achieve the expected wait times.

In the tables below the values of $t$ are organized by page, the tables represent different values of $\mu$, the rows different values of $n$, the columns $\lambda$, and each column shows both the expected wait time of option a , and that of option b . The shaded boxes represent the point at which option b becomes more desirable (leaving to do our additional task and returning to the queue). Notice that when $\rho$ is held constant, change $\mu$ and $\lambda$ gives different expected wait times.

There is an interesting pattern in the calculations to notice. Comparing expected values of $\lambda=\frac{19}{20}, \mu=1, t=2$ and $\lambda=\frac{19}{10}, \mu=2, t=1$ we can see that the second cases is twice as fast as the first (doubled service time, doubled interarrival time and halved time of additional task). As suspected the expected time of the queue in the first case is double that of the second. This can be seen by comparing the tables when $t=1$ and $t=2$ (with the exception of rounding errors).

| Table for $\mu=2, t=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{10} L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=1 L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{2} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{10} L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=2 \\ & \rho=1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 0.51 | 0.510495 | 0.51 | 0.514951 | 0.51 | 0.517426 | 0.51 | 0.519406 | 0.51 | 0.519901 |
| $n=1$ | 1.01 | 1.000599 | 1.01 | 1.005099 | 1.01 | 1.007598 | 1.01 | 1.009598 | 1.01 | 1.010098 |
| $n=2$ | 1.51 | 1.500501 | 1.51 | 1.505001 | 1.51 | 1.507501 | 1.51 | 1.509501 | 1.51 | 1.510001 |
| $n=3$ | 2.01 | 2.0005 | 2.01 | 2.005 | 2.01 | 2.0075 | 2.01 | 2.0095 | 2.01 | 2.01 |
| $n=4$ | 2.51 | 2.5005 | 2.51 | 2.505 | 2.51 | 2.5075 | 2.51 | 2.5095 | 2.51 | 2.51 |
| $n=5$ | 3.01 | 3.0005 | 3.01 | 3.005 | 3.01 | 3.0075 | 3.01 | 3.0095 | 3.01 | 3.01 |
| $n=6$ | 3.51 | 3.5005 | 3.51 | 3.505 | 3.51 | 3.5075 | 3.51 | 3.5095 | 3.51 | 3.51 |
| $n=7$ | 4.01 | 4.0005 | 4.01 | 4.005 | 4.01 | 4.0075 | 4.01 | 4.0095 | 4.01 | 4.01 |
| $n=8$ | 4.51 | 4.5005 | 4.51 | 4.505 | 4.51 | 4.5075 | 4.51 | 4.5095 | 4.51 | 4.51 |
| $n=9$ | 5.01 | 5.0005 | 5.01 | 5.005 | 5.01 | 5.0075 | 5.01 | 5.0095 | 5.01 | 5.01 |
| $n=10$ | 5.51 | 5.5005 | 5.51 | 5.505 | 5.51 | 5.5075 | 5.51 | 5.5095 | 5.51 | 5.51 |
| $n=30$ | 15.51 | 15.5005 | 15.51 | 15.505 | 15.51 | 15.5075 | 15.51 | 15.5095 | 15.51 | 15.51 |
| Table for $\mu=1, t=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{20} \quad L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{2} L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{4} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{20} L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=1 \\ & \rho=1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 1.01 | 1.010498 | 1.01 | 1.014975 | 1.01 | 1.017463 | 1.01 | 1.019453 | 1.01 | 1.01995 |
| $n=1$ | 2.01 | 2.00055 | 2.01 | 2.00505 | 2.01 | 2.00755 | 2.01 | 2.00955 | 2.01 | 2.01005 |
| $n=2$ | 3.01 | 3.0005 | 3.01 | 3.005 | 3.01 | 3.0075 | 3.01 | 3.0095 | 3.01 | 3.01 |
| $n=3$ | 4.01 | 4.0005 | 4.01 | 4.005 | 4.01 | 4.0075 | 4.01 | 4.0095 | 4.01 | 4.01 |
| $n=4$ | 5.01 | 5.0005 | 5.01 | 5.005 | 5.01 | 5.0075 | 5.01 | 5.0095 | 5.01 | 5.01 |
| $n=5$ | 6.01 | 6.0005 | 6.01 | 6.005 | 6.01 | 6.0075 | 6.01 | 6.0095 | 6.01 | 6.01 |
| $n=6$ | 7.01 | 7.0005 | 7.01 | 7.005 | 7.01 | 7.0075 | 7.01 | 7.0095 | 7.01 | 7.01 |
| $n=7$ | 8.01 | 8.0005 | 8.01 | 8.005 | 8.01 | 8.0075 | 8.01 | 8.0095 | 8.01 | 8.01 |
| $n=8$ | 9.01 | 9.0005 | 9.01 | 9.005 | 9.01 | 9.0075 | 9.01 | 9.0095 | 9.01 | 9.01 |
| $n=9$ | 10.01 | 10.005 | 10.01 | 10.005 | 10.01 | 10.0075 | 10.01 | 10.0095 | 10.01 | 10.01 |
| $n=10$ | 11.01 | 11.0005 | 11.01 | 11.005 | 11.01 | 11.0075 | 11.01 | 11.0095 | 11.01 | 11.01 |
| $n=30$ | 31.01 | 31.0005 | 31.01 | 31.005 | 31.01 | 31.0075 | 31.01 | 31.0095 | 31.01 | 31.01 |
| Table for $\mu=\frac{1}{2}, t=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{40} L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{4} L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{8} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{40} \quad L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} \lambda & =\frac{1}{2} \\ \rho & =1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 2.01 | 2.010499 | 2.01 | 2.014988 | 2.01 | 2.017481 | 2.01 | 2.019476 | 2.01 | 2.019975 |
| $n=1$ | 4.01 | 4.000525 | 4.01 | 4.005025 | 4.01 | 4.007525 | 4.01 | 4.009525 | 4.01 | 4.010025 |
| $n=2$ | 6.01 | 6.0005 | 6.01 | 6.005 | 6.01 | 6.0075 | 6.01 | 6.0095 | 6.01 | 6.01 |
| $n=3$ | 8.01 | 8.0005 | 8.01 | 8.005 | 8.01 | 8.0075 | 8.01 | 8.0095 | 8.01 | 8.01 |
| $n=4$ | 10.01 | 10.0005 | 10.01 | 10.005 | 10.01 | 10.0075 | 10.01 | 10.0095 | 10.01 | 10.01 |
| $n=5$ | 12.01 | 12.0005 | 12.01 | 12.005 | 12.01 | 12.0075 | 12.01 | 12.0095 | 12.01 | 12.01 |
| $n=6$ | 14.01 | 14.0005 | 14.01 | 14.005 | 14.01 | 14.0075 | 14.01 | 14.0095 | 14.01 | 14.01 |
| $n=7$ | 16.01 | 16.0005 | 16.01 | 16.005 | 16.01 | 16.0075 | 16.01 | 16.0095 | 16.01 | 16.01 |
| $n=8$ | 18.01 | 18.0005 | 18.01 | 18.005 | 18.01 | 18.0075 | 18.01 | 18.0095 | 18.01 | 18.01 |
| $n=9$ | 20.01 | 20.0005 | 20.01 | 20.005 | 20.01 | 20.0075 | 20.01 | 20.0095 | 20.01 | 20.01 |
| $n=10$ | 22.01 | 22.0005 | 22.01 | 22.005 | 22.01 | 22.0075 | 22.01 | 22.0095 | 22.01 | 22.01 |
| $n=30$ | 62.01 | 62.0005 | 62.01 | 62.005 | 62.01 | 62.0075 | 62.01 | 62.0095 | 62.01 | 62.01 |


| Table for $\mu=2, t=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{10} L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=1 L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{2} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{10} \quad L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=2 \\ & \rho=1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 1.5 | 1.522018 | 1.5 | 1.754062 | 1.5 | 1.906524 | 1.5 | 2.038862 | 1.5 | 2.073256 |
| $n=1$ | 2 | 1.596451 | 2 | 1.887858 | 2 | 2.070984 | 2 | 2.226246 | 2 | 2.266132 |
| $n=2$ | 2.5 | 1.808363 | 2.5 | 2.170012 | 2.5 | 2.384894 | 2.5 | 2.562223 | 2.5 | 2.607197 |
| $n=3$ | 3 | 2.153335 | 3 | 2.563924 | 3 | 2.799015 | 3 | 2.989674 | 3 | 3.037638 |
| $n=4$ | 3.5 | 2.585416 | 3.5 | 3.020842 | 3.5 | 3.265545 | 3.5 | 3.462307 | 3.5 | 3.511611 |
| $n=5$ | 4 | 3.060552 | 4 | 3.505963 | 4 | 3.754349 | 4 | 3.953381 | 4 | 4.003175 |
| $n=6$ | 4.5 | 3.55277 | 4.5 | 4.001514 | 4.5 | 4.251084 | 4.5 | 4.45083 | 4.5 | 4.50077 |
| $n=7$ | 5 | 4.050648 | 5 | 4.500345 | 5 | 4.750243 | 5 | 4.950184 | 5 | 5.000171 |
| $n=8$ | 5.5 | 4.550137 | 5.5 | 5.000071 | 5.5 | 5.250049 | 5.5 | 5.450037 | 5.5 | 5.500034 |
| $n=9$ | 6 | 5.050026 | 6 | 5.500013 | 6 | 5.750009 | 6 | 5.950007 | 6 | 6.00006 |
| $n=10$ | 6.5 | 5.550005 | 6.5 | 6.000002 | 6.5 | 6.250002 | 6.5 | 6.450001 | 6.5 | 6.500001 |
| $n=30$ | 16.5 | 15.55 | 16.5 | 16 | 16.5 | 16.25 | 16.5 | 16.45 | 16.5 | 16.5 |
| Table for $\mu=1, t=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{20} L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{2} L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{4} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{20} L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} \lambda & =1 \\ \rho & =1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 2 | 2.031805 | 2 | 2.334745 | 2 | 2.514676 | 2 | 2.663844 | 2 | 2.701809 |
| $n=1$ | 3 | 2.408805 | 3 | 2.787255 | 3 | 3.004367 | 3 | 3.181041 | 3 | 3.225587 |
| $n=2$ | 4 | 3.15062 | 4 | 3.577266 | 4 | 3.816829 | 4 | 4.009556 | 4 | 4.057873 |
| $n=3$ | 5 | 4.072583 | 5 | 4.516834 | 5 | 4.764317 | 5 | 4.962587 | 5 | 5.012189 |
| $n=4$ | 6 | 5.054198 | 6 | 5.503061 | 6 | 5.752572 | 6 | 5.952238 | 6 | 6.002162 |
| $n=5$ | 7 | 6.050664 | 7 | 6.500476 | 7 | 6.750396 | 7 | 6.950342 | 7 | 7.00033 |
| $n=6$ | 8 | 7.050091 | 8 | 7.500065 | 8 | 7.250053 | 8 | 7.950046 | 8 | 8.000044 |
| $n=7$ | 9 | 8.050011 | 9 | 8.500008 | 9 | 8.750006 | 9 | 8.950005 | 9 | 9.000005 |
| $n=8$ | 10 | 9.050001 | 10 | 9.5 | 10 | 9.75 | 10 | 9.95 | 10 | 10 |
| $n=9$ | 11 | 10.05 | 11 | 10.5 | 11 | 10.75 | 11 | 10.95 | 11 | 11 |
| $n=10$ | 12 | 11.05 | 12 | 11.5 | 12 | 11.75 | 12 | 11.95 | 12 | 12 |
| $n=30$ | 32 | 31.05 | 32 | 31.5 | 32 | 31.75 | 32 | 31.95 | 32 | 32 |
| Table for $\mu=\frac{1}{2}, t=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{40} \quad L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{4} L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{8} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{40} \quad L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} \lambda & =\frac{1}{2} \\ \rho & =1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 3 | 3.039419 | 3 | 3.400324 | 3 | 3.605267 | 3 | 3.771312 | 3 | 3.8131 |
| $n=1$ | 5 | 4.260014 | 5 | 4.68467 | 5 | 4.922077 | 5 | 5.112695 | 5 | 5.16044 |
| $n=2$ | 7 | 6.082114 | 7 | 6.527664 | 7 | 6.77548 | 7 | 6.973865 | 7 | 7.023478 |
| $n=3$ | 9 | 8.053808 | 9 | 8.503236 | 9 | 8.752958 | 9 | 8.952754 | 9 | 9.002705 |
| $n=4$ | 11 | 10.05037 | 11 | 10.50031 | 11 | 10.75028 | 11 | 10.95026 | 11 | 11.00026 |
| $n=5$ | 13 | 12.05003 | 13 | 12.50002 | 13 | 12.75002 | 13 | 12.95002 | 13 | 13.00002 |
| $n=6$ | 15 | 14.05 | 15 | 14.5 | 15 | 14.75 | 15 | 14.95 | 15 | 15 |
| $n=7$ | 17 | 16.05 | 17 | 16.5 | 17 | 16.75 | 17 | 16.95 | 17 | 17 |
| $n=8$ | 19 | 18.05 | 19 | 18.5 | 19 | 18.75 | 19 | 18.95 | 19 | 19 |
| $n=9$ | 21 | 20.05 | 21 | 20.5 | 21 | 20.75 | 21 | 20.95 | 21 | 21 |
| $n=10$ | 23 | 22.05 | 23 | 22.5 | 23 | 22.75 | 23 | 22.95 | 23 | 23 |
| $n=30$ | 63 | 62.05 | 63 | 62.5 | 63 | 62.75 | 63 | 62.95 | 63 | 63 |


| Table for $\mu=2, t=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{10} L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=1 L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{2} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{10} \quad L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=2 \\ & \rho=1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 2.5 | 2.525505 | 2.5 | 2.84723 | 2.5 | 3.098214 | 2.5 | 3.333099 | 2.5 | 3.396155 |
| $n=1$ | 3 | 2.538566 | 3 | 2.912387 | 3 | 3.199772 | 3 | 3.464503 | 3 | 3.534942 |
| $n=2$ | 3.5 | 2.594355 | 3.5 | 3.068756 | 3.5 | 3.41114 | 3.5 | 3.715956 | 3.5 | 3.795749 |
| $n=3$ | 4 | 2.728046 | 4 | 3.324677 | 4 | 3.722051 | 4 | 4.063234 | 4 | 4.1511 |
| $n=4$ | 4.5 | 2.960145 | 4.5 | 3.66941 | 4.5 | 4.110151 | 4.5 | 4.477798 | 4.5 | 4.5713 |
| $n=5$ | 5 | 3.287219 | 5 | 4.080843 | 5 | 4.550232 | 5 | 4.934233 | 5 | 5.031096 |
| $n=6$ | 5.5 | 3.688387 | 5.5 | 4.535362 | 5.5 | 5.021102 | 5.5 | 5.413932 | 5.5 | 5.512556 |
| $n=7$ | 6 | 4.138035 | 6 | 5.014223 | 6 | 5.508189 | 6 | 5.905256 | 6 | 6.004704 |
| $n=8$ | 6.5 | 4.614986 | 6.5 | 5.50528 | 6.5 | 6.002945 | 6.5 | 6.401844 | 6.5 | 6.50164 |
| $n=9$ | 7 | 5.105432 | 7 | 6.001816 | 7 | 6.500985 | 7 | 6.900603 | 7 | 7.000533 |
| $n=10$ | 7.5 | 5.60182 | 7.5 | 6.500581 | 7.5 | 7.000307 | 7.5 | 7.400184 | 7.5 | 7.500162 |
| $n=30$ | 17.5 | 15.6 | 17.5 | 16.5 | 17.5 | 17 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 17.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{20} L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{2} L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{4} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{20} L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=1 \\ & \rho=1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 3 | 3.044037 | 3 | 3.508124 | 3 | 3.813048 | 3 | 4.077723 | 3 | 4.146512 |
| $n=1$ | 4 | 3.192902 | 4 | 3.775715 | 4 | 4.141968 | 4 | 4.452491 | 4 | 4.532265 |
| $n=2$ | 5 | 3.616727 | 5 | 4.340024 | 5 | 4.769789 | 5 | 5.124445 | 5 | 5.214395 |
| $n=3$ | 6 | 4.306669 | 6 | 5.127847 | 6 | 5.59803 | 6 | 5.979348 | 6 | 6.075276 |
| $n=4$ | 7 | 5.170833 | 7 | 6.041684 | 7 | 6.53109 | 7 | 6.924614 | 7 | 7.023221 |
| $n=5$ | 8 | 6.121103 | 8 | 7.011926 | 8 | 7.508697 | 8 | 7.906762 | 8 | 8.00635 |
| $n=6$ | 9 | 7.105539 | 9 | 8.003028 | 9 | 8.502168 | 9 | 8.90166 | 9 | 9.001554 |
| $n=7$ | 10 | 8.101296 | 10 | 9.00069 | 10 | 9.500486 | 10 | 9.900368 | 10 | 10.00034 |
| $n=8$ | 11 | 9.100273 | 11 | 10.00014 | 11 | 10.5001 | 11 | 10.90007 | 11 | 11.00007 |
| $n=9$ | 12 | 10.10005 | 12 | 11.00003 | 12 | 11.50002 | 12 | 11.90001 | 12 | 12.00001 |
| $n=10$ | 13 | 11.10001 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12.5 | 13 | 12.9 | 13 | 13 |
| $n=30$ | 33 | 31.1 | 33 | 32 | 33 | 32.5 | 33 | 32.9 | 33 | 33 |
| Table for $\mu=\frac{1}{2}, t=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{40} \quad L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{4} L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{8} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{40} \quad L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} \lambda & =\frac{1}{2} \\ \rho & =1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 4 | 4.063611 | 4 | 4.669489 | 4 | 5.029351 | 4 | 5.327689 | 4 | 5.403619 |
| $n=1$ | 6 | 4.817611 | 6 | 5.57451 | 6 | 6.008734 | 6 | 6.362082 | 6 | 6.451174 |
| $n=2$ | 8 | 6.301239 | 8 | 7.154531 | 8 | 7.633657 | 8 | 8.019113 | 8 | 8.115746 |
| $n=3$ | 10 | 8.145167 | 10 | 9.033668 | 10 | 9.528635 | 10 | 9.925174 | 10 | 10.02438 |
| $n=4$ | 12 | 10.1084 | 12 | 11.00612 | 12 | 11.50514 | 12 | 11.90448 | 12 | 12.00432 |
| $n=5$ | 14 | 12.10133 | 14 | 13.00095 | 14 | 13.50079 | 14 | 13.90068 | 14 | 14.00066 |
| $n=6$ | 16 | 14.10018 | 16 | 15.00013 | 16 | 15.50011 | 16 | 15.90009 | 16 | 16.00009 |
| $n=7$ | 18 | 16.10002 | 18 | 17.00002 | 18 | 17.50001 | 18 | 17.90001 | 18 | 18.00001 |
| $n=8$ | 20 | 18.1 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 19.5 | 20 | 19.9 | 20 | 20 |
| $n=9$ | 22 | 20.1 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 21.5 | 22 | 21.9 | 22 | 22 |
| $n=10$ | 24 | 22.1 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23.5 | 24 | 23.9 | 24 | 24 |
| $n=30$ | 64 | 62.1 | 64 | 63 | 64 | 63.5 | 64 | 63.9 | 64 | 64 |




| Table for $\mu=2, t=10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{10} L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=1 L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{2} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{10} L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=2 \\ & \rho=1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 10.5 | 10.52632 | 10.5 | 10.98742 | 10.5 | 11.63752 | 10.5 | 12.50992 | 10.5 | 12.78102 |
| $n=1$ | 11 | 10.52632 | 11 | 10.99071 | 11 | 11.65906 | 11 | 12.56295 | 11 | 12.8439 |
| $n=2$ | 11.5 | 10.52632 | 11.5 | 10.99964 | 11.5 | 11.70751 | 11.5 | 12.67012 | 11.5 | 12.9681 |
| $n=3$ | 12 | 10.52632 | 12 | 11.01732 | 12 | 11.78786 | 12 | 12.83094 | 12 | 13.15061 |
| $n=4$ | 12.5 | 10.52634 | 12.5 | 11.04761 | 12.5 | 11.90434 | 12.5 | 13.04344 | 12.5 | 13.3872 |
| $n=5$ | 13 | 10.52641 | 13 | 11.09499 | 13 | 12.06007 | 13 | 13.30434 | 13 | 13.67269 |
| $n=6$ | 13.5 | 10.52662 | 13.5 | 11.16434 | 13.5 | 12.25686 | 13.5 | 13.60934 | 13.5 | 14.00132 |
| $n=7$ | 14 | 10.52718 | 14 | 11.26054 | 14 | 12.49509 | 14 | 13.95347 | 14 | 14.36707 |
| $n=8$ | 14.5 | 10.52856 | 14.5 | 11.38815 | 14.5 | 12.77372 | 14.5 | 14.33138 | 14.5 | 14.76397 |
| $n=9$ | 15 | 10.53163 | 15 | 11.55095 | 15 | 13.09049 | 15 | 14.73771 | 15 | 15.18639 |
| $n=10$ | 15.5 | 10.53791 | 15.5 | 11.75159 | 15.5 | 13.44209 | 15.5 | 15.16734 | 15.5 | 15.62918 |
| $n=30$ | 25.5 | 16.01065 | 25.5 | 20.5002 | 25.5 | 22.9998 | 25.5 | 24.99175 | 25.5 | 25.5 |
| Table for $\mu=1, t=10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{20} L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{2} L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{4} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{20} L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=1 \\ & \rho=1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 11 | 11.05261 | 11 | 11.89594 | 11 | 12.80307 | 11 | 13.8034 | 11 | 14.09062 |
| $n=1$ | 12 | 11.05287 | 12 | 11.92885 | 12 | 12.89569 | 12 | 13.96251 | 12 | 14.26791 |
| $n=2$ | 13 | 11.05451 | 13 | 12.01518 | 13 | 13.09974 | 13 | 14.27958 | 13 | 14.61373 |
| $n=3$ | 14 | 11.06104 | 14 | 12.177 | 14 | 13.42689 | 14 | 14.74492 | 14 | 15.11188 |
| $n=4$ | 15 | 11.08059 | 15 | 12.43435 | 15 | 13.88012 | 15 | 15.34198 | 15 | 15.74097 |
| $n=5$ | 16 | 11.12787 | 16 | 12.80163 | 16 | 14.4538 | 16 | 16.05002 | 16 | 16.47715 |
| $n=6$ | 17 | 11.22445 | 17 | 13.28506 | 17 | 15.13529 | 17 | 16.84675 | 17 | 17.29669 |
| $n=7$ | 18 | 11.39604 | 18 | 13.88189 | 18 | 15.90745 | 18 | 17.71086 | 18 | 18.17804 |
| $n=8$ | 19 | 11.66736 | 19 | 14.58157 | 19 | 16.7515 | 19 | 18.62364 | 19 | 19.10307 |
| $n=9$ | 20 | 12.05631 | 20 | 15.36823 | 20 | 17.64934 | 20 | 19.56989 | 20 | 20.05756 |
| $n=10$ | 21 | 12.56981 | 21 | 16.22375 | 21 | 18.58531 | 21 | 20.53808 | 21 | 21.03101 |
| $n=30$ | 41 | 31.5 | 41 | 36 | 41 | 38.5 | 41 | 40.4999 | 41 | 41 |
| Table for $\mu=\frac{1}{2}, t=10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{40} \quad L=\frac{1}{19} \\ \rho=\frac{1}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{1}{4} L=1 \\ \rho=\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{3}{8} L=3 \\ \rho=\frac{3}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=\frac{19}{40} \quad L=19 \\ \rho=\frac{19}{20} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} \lambda & =\frac{1}{2} \\ \rho & =1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ | $E(T(a))$ | $E(T(b))$ |
| $n=0$ | 12 | 12.1038 | 12 | 13.50217 | 12 | 14.67188 | 12 | 15.80222 | 12 | 16.10975 |
| $n=1$ | 14 | 12.12675 | 14 | 13.69883 | 14 | 15.01338 | 14 | 16.26888 | 14 | 16.60795 |
| $n=2$ | 16 | 12.23739 | 16 | 14.18445 | 16 | 15.73733 | 16 | 17.17395 | 16 | 17.55582 |
| $n=3$ | 18 | 12.54056 | 18 | 15.01281 | 18 | 16.83109 | 18 | 18.44828 | 18 | 18.87053 |
| $n=4$ | 20 | 13.14072 | 20 | 16.18262 | 20 | 18.23851 | 20 | 20.00269 | 20 | 20.45625 |
| $n=5$ | 22 | 14.09347 | 22 | 17.645 | 22 | 19.88188 | 22 | 21.74929 | 22 | 22.22391 |
| $n=6$ | 24 | 15.38611 | 24 | 19.32659 | 24 | 21.68413 | 24 | 23.61569 | 24 | 24.10294 |
| $n=7$ | 26 | 16.95431 | 26 | 21.1537 | 26 | 23.58288 | 26 | 25.5503 | 26 | 26.04438 |
| $n=8$ | 28 | 18.71517 | 28 | 23.06737 | 28 | 25.53489 | 28 | 27.52052 | 28 | 28.01796 |
| $n=9$ | 30 | 20.5944 | 30 | 25.02756 | 30 | 27.51376 | 30 | 29.50787 | 30 | 30.00684 |
| $n=10$ | 32 | 22.53849 | 32 | 27.01055 | 32 | 29.5051 | 32 | 31.50284 | 32 | 32.00245 |
| $n=30$ | 72 | 62.5 | 72 | 67 | 72 | 69.5 | 72 | 71.5 | 72 | 72 |

## 8. Graphical Analysis

The following set of graphs represent at what point option $b$ is more desirable. The horizonal lines drawn on each graph represent $L$; the average number of customers waiting in line. Notice, as in the calculations, the value of $\rho$ remains constant but the graphs change.

The following set of graphs represent when $\mu=2$.


This set of graphs represent when $\mu=1$. Notice there are does not need to be as many people in line before we choose option b. Since the service rate is slower it becomes more efficient to leave the queue to do our additional task first.


The last set of graphs represent when $\mu=\frac{1}{2}$. We can again notice that there needs to be less people in line before we leave the queue.


If we were to round $L$ up to the nearest person, say $L *$, in these cases we always choose to do our additional task before the number of people in line reaches $L *$. This observation is true for all $t$. If we extended the graphs to show points for large $t$, we would see that our points would never surpass $L *$. This argument is represented by the base cases shown in an above section. When t gets large enough the queue is in steady state and we proved that option b becomes more favorable when $n>L$. This explains why the points of the graph will
never be above $L *$. Another thing to notice is that these points will always reach $L *$ when $t$ is large enough.

The pattern that as $\mu$ increases (ceteris paribus) it takes more people in line before we leave to do our additional task can be seen by looking at the different sets of graphs. We can see that the customer is less likely to leave as the service time of the queue is increases.

It is also noticeable that as $\lambda$ increases approaching $\mu$ (ceteris paribus) it takes more people in line before we would leave to do our additional task. This is represented by the groups of graphs. We are less likely to leave if the arrival rate is high since there is a higher probability that more people join then exit the queue before we return.

The other variable to consider is $t$. As the length of time of our additional task increases the number of people in line $n$ also needs to be larger before we leave the queue. The longer our additional task takes the less likely we are to leave.

In the tables a relationship was observed between the expected wait times when the arrival rate, service rate, and time of additional task are all doubled. Since the expected times of option a and option b both double, the point at which you decided to do option b remains constant. This is represented in the graphs by many different cases: e.g. $\lambda=\frac{19}{10}, \mu=2, t=3$ and $\lambda=\frac{19}{20}, \mu=1, t=6$

## 9. Conclusion and Acknowledgement

Steady State:
Option b is always better as soon as $n>L$ (for any $\mu$ and $\lambda$ ).
General Case:
Option b will always be better before $n>L$ (for any $\mu$ and $\lambda$ ) because when t is large enough we reach steady state. As $\mu, \lambda$ or $t$ increase, it takes more people in line before option b is more efficient. If we double the speed of the system the expected times of option a and option b double. Since both expected system times double the point at which option b is more efficient remains the same.

Notice:
One would expect that we would always leave the line if the number of customers observed is less then the expected number of customers. Clearly this is not the case. When $\lambda=\frac{19}{10}, \mu=2, t=0.01$ our $L=19$ customers. We leave the line as soon as we observe 1 customer. This is significantly different then leaving only when we observe 19 customers. This observation is only true when our queue is in steady state. Although the point at which we leave the queue is always less than the
expected number of customer it does not necessarily have to be equal.
This research was partially funded by NSERC-Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (of Canada).
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