Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management

Monica Verma *et al.*; Sch J Econ Bus Manag, 2016; 3(7):382-390 © SAS Publishers (Scholars Academic and Scientific Publishers) (An International Publisher for Academic and Scientific Resources)

e-ISSN 2348-5302 p-ISSN 2348-8875

Performance Evaluation of Portfolio using the Sharpe, Jensen, and Treynor Methods

Dr. Monica Verma¹, Mr. Jayshil R. Hirpara²

¹Sr. Assistant Professor, Faculty of Management, Marwadi Education Foundation's Group of Institutions, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

²Student of MBA, Marwadi Education Foundation's Group of Institutions, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

*Corresponding Author Dr. Monica Verma

Email: monica.vm.75@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper attempts to get an insight and to construct an optimal portfolio empirically using Sharpe's single index model, Further, we evaluate portfolio and market returns using Sharpe, Jensen and Treynor Ratio. The study is based on secondary data collected from www.nseindia.com and www.riskcontrol.com. Taking Nifty- 50 as the Market Performance Index (MPI) and considering weekly closing share prices of all the stocks for the period between 1st January 2015 to 31st December 2015, the cut- off rate has been computed and those securities are selects to construct an optimal portfolio whose excess return to beta ratio is greater than the cut-off rate. Our study shows that the composition of the optimal portfolio would be 0.80 % of fund invested in Infosys 0.20 % of fund invested in Bank of Baroda. Evaluation of Portfolio and market return by Sharpe, Jensen and Treynor Ratio show market returns is lower than that of the securities. And Treynor measure has a positive return on portfolio, however other ratios consistently giving negative return in 2015. **Keywords:** Sharpe Ratio, Portfolio Optimization, Jensen's Alpha, Treynor Ratio, systematic risk, unsystematic risk, diversified portfolios, Beta, Cut-off Rate, Expected Return on security, Risk free rate

INTRODUCTION

The rational investors never ignore the risk factor while taking investment decisions. The investors prefer to invest in a group of securities which is known as a portfolio in order to diversify the risk. There are different investment avenues for investors to invest. While some investment avenues involve huge risk others may be either less risky or risk less avenues. Therefore, it is essential to educate the investor about the investment alternatives and the risk and return from those investments. Modern portfolio theory provides a well developed paradigm to form a portfolio with the highest expected return for a given level of risk tolrance.

The Theoretical Insight

The portfolio performance evaluation determines how a particular investment portfolio has performed relative to some comparison benchmark. The performance evaluation methods generally fall into two categories, namely conventional (Benchmark Comparison and Style Comparison) and risk-adjusted methods (While there are many such methods, the most notables are the Sharpe ratio, Treynor Ratio, Jensen's alpha, and Treynor Squared).

Harry Markowitz, in early 1950's developed a comprehensive model in which he made a simple assertion that almost all investors invest in multiple securities for obtaining benefits from the investment in

portfolio consisting of different stocks. In this model he formulated the fundamental theorem of mean- variance portfolio framework which explains trade- off between mean and variance each representing expected returns and risk of portfolio, respectively. Although Markowitz's theory uses only mean variance to describe the characteristics of return, his theory about the structure of portfolio became a cornerstone of modern portfolio theory [1]. While Markowitz's model is viewed as a classic attempt to develop a comprehensive technique to incorporate first the concept of diversification of investments in a portfolio as a risk reduction mechanism, it has many limitations. One of the most noteworthy limitation being complexity in computation as the number of securities in the portfolio increase. To this direction, in 1966 William F. Sharpe has developed simplified Single Index Model (SIM) by taking cue from Markowitz's concept of index for generating covariance terms. This model gives estimate of a security's return as well as of the value of index. Sharpe further extended the model and introduced the capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) to solve the problem behind the determination of correct, arbitrage- free fair equilibrium price of an asset. The CAPM specifies the expected return in terms of the risk-free rate, systematic risk, and the market risk premium. The main problems with this ratio is that, firstly, it relies on the notions that risk equals volatility (volatility is bad) and it treats all volatility the same. Secondly, if applied to a single fund in isolation, the Sharpe ratio ignores the correlation of the fund with the other investments in the portfolio, and so it may not correspond in any meaningful way to the desirability of the fund as an investment. If the Sharpe ratio of a fund is higher than that of the investor's total investment portfolio, we may still be able to conclude that the investor should be interested in the fund. However, if it is lower, we cannot draw any conclusions without knowing about the correlations. Thirdly, it may also be inappropriate when returns are highly non-normal. Furthermore, if the returns distributions are highly skewed, such as when options may be traded, the Sharpe ratio can be misleading. Despite these limitations, the Sharpe ratio is used in practice as a measure of portfolio performance as its principal advantage is that it is directly computable from any observed series of returns without need for additional information surrounding the source of profitability and observes both systematic and idiosyncratic risk.

Treynor [2] provides an alternative reward- to – risk ratio. **The Treynor ratio** named after Jack L. Treynor computes the risk premium per unit of systematic risk. The risk premium is same as defined in the Sharpe measure. The difference in this method is that it uses the systematic risk of the portfolio as the risk parameter and it cannot be eliminated through diversification. It is measured by the parameter known as 'beta'

While the Sharpe ratio measures the risk premium of the portfolio over the portfolio risk, or its standard deviation, Treynor's ratio, compares the portfolio risk premium to the systematic risk of the portfolio as measured by its beta. It is a measure of the return per unit risk.

Like the Sharpe ratio, the Treynor ratio does not quantify the value added. It is a ranking criterion only. A ranking of portfolios based on the Treynor Ratio is only useful if the portfolios under consideration are sub-portfolios of a broader, fully diversified portfolio. If this is not the case, portfolios with identical systematic risk, but different total risk, will be rated the same. But the portfolio with a higher total risk is less diversified and therefore has a higher unsystematic risk which is not priced in the market.

An alternative method of ranking portfolio management is Jensen's alpha. Developed by Michael C. Jensen (1968), **Jensen's alpha** is based on the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) of Sharpe [3], Lintner [4], and Mossin [5]. It quantifies the added return as the excess return above the security market line in the capital asset pricing model. The alpha represents the amount by which the average return of the portfolio deviates from the expected return given by

the CAPM. Hence, alpha is determined by the fundamental values of the companies in the portfolio in contrast to beta, which measures the portfolio's return due to its volatility. The alpha can be greater than, less than, or equal to zero. An alpha greater than zero suggests that the portfolio earned a rate of return in excess of the expected return of the portfolio. Despite being the most popular performance measures in academic studies it too has some disadvantages.

When the portfolio is well diversified all three methods - Sharpe, Treynor, and Jensen - will give the same ranking of performance. When the portfolio is not well diversified or when it represents the total wealth of the investor, the appropriate measure of risk is the standard deviation of returns of the portfolio, and hence the Sharpe ratio is the most suitable. When the portfolio is well diversified, however, a part of the total risk has been diversified away and the systematic risk is the most appropriate risk metric. Both Treynor ratio and Jensen's alpha can be used to assess the performance of well-diversified portfolios of securities. These two ratios are also appropriate when the portfolio represents a sub-portfolio or only a part of the client's portfolio.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Sharpe's Single Index Model gives an easy mechanism for constructing an optimal portfolio of stocks (as number of inputs required in SIM is less than in Markowitz's model when arriving at risk and returns) for a rational investor by analyzing the reason behind the inclusion of securities in the portfolio with their respective weights Mandal [6]. Goetzmann et al. [7] show that by selling put options at fair market prices one can generate very high Sharpe ratios without investment skill. Average return is more closely related to the beta measured with respect to a stock market index than to the beta measured with respect to consumption growth [8]. Multiple Index Model would give more robust results if we use country level data to evaluate the risk return trade off faced in agriculture enterprise selection. The greater the Sharpe's ratio of portfolio, the better will be the performance of it. Returns on either individual securities or on portfolio comprising of securities of different companies listed in Nifty 50 stocks under various sector are asymmetrical and heterogeneous [9]. Both Sharpe and Treynor ratio can be based on either ex ante or ex post excess return and standard deviation [10] expost ratios are most useful for evaluating past investments performance and for making decisions about future portfolio allocation. However, for decision making purpose ex ante ratios are preferred. Chen & Lee [11] examined the statistical distribution of Sharpe, Treynor, and Jensen measures and shows that the empirical relationship between these measures and their risk proxies is dependent on the

sample size, the investment horizon and market conditions. Cumby and Glen [12], Grinblatt and Titman [13] have provided evidence of the application of performance evaluation techniques. Dileep & Rao [14] suggest that Indian investors can apply Sharpe's Single Index Model and can construct a portfolio for improving the expected returns on their investment, it is applicable and sustainable to get better returns in secondary market

Need For Study

Every investor not only is confused but also in dilemma while deciding about the proportion of investment to be made in each security. To help investors get out of such chaotic situations the Sharpe's Single Index model may be used to construct an optimal portfolio. This helps the investor to find a portfolio that best suits his needs. The present study is undertaken to prove that by applying this model an individual can construct a portfolio with maximum return for a given level of risk.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To Construction Portfolio by Sharpe's Single Index Model and analyse its return.
- To Evaluate Portfolio by Sharpe, Jensen and Treynor Ratio.
- To Optimize Performance of Portfolio.
- To compute investment Proportion of security included in Portfolio.

METHODOLOGY

The study is based on secondary data procured www.nseindia.com www.riskcontrol.com. For the purpose of the study, Nifty-50 is taken as the Market Performance Index (MPI). With weekly closing share prices of all the stocks for the period between 15 January 2015 to 31st December 2015 (1 Year) are taken into account for the purpose of computing daily return of each security as well as calculating the daily market return. Taking the computed mean daily return of each security and that of the market, the proposed method formulates a unique cut off rate and selects those securities whose "excess-return to beta" ratio is greater than or equals to the cut off rate. Further the portfolio was kept on hold for three months i.e. January to March and thereafter we constructed a revised portfolio by including the new securities added to Nifty-50 on 1 April 2016 with a view to know the impact of new securities on the portfolio. To arrive at the optimal portfolio, the proportion of investment in each of the selected securities in the optimal portfolio is computed on the basis of its beta value, unsystematic risk, risk free rate of return, excess-return to beta ratio and the cut off rate. Different journals, periodicals, conference

proceedings, books and other relevant documents have been consulted to supplement the theory as well as the data. All calculations have been done using MS Excel The available data have been analyzed and interpreted by using different statistical and financial tools and techniques, charts, diagrams etc. statistical tools

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

To arrive at optimal portfolio by applying Single index model (which security and in what proportion it is included) we at first, calculate excess return to beta ratio $[(Ri-Rf)/\beta]$ for each security under consideration and then ranked all the security based on excess return to beta, from highest to lowest. Thereafter, calculated cutoff rate Ci

$$\mathrm{Ci} = \frac{\sigma_m^2 \Sigma_i^i = 1 \, (Ri - Rf) \beta i / \sigma^2_{\,\mathrm{ei}}}{1 + \sigma_m^2 \Sigma_i^i = 1 \, \beta_i^2 / \sigma^2_{\,\mathrm{ei}}}$$

all the securities having $[(Ri-Rf)/\beta] \ge Ci$ will be part of portfolio and securities having $[(Ri-Rf)/\beta] < Ci$ will not be part of portfolio.

Table 1 and Table 2 show Excess Return to Beta and Cut-off rate respectively. Securities are ranked based on excess return to beta ratio from Highest to lowest, this ranking represent the desirability of including that security in portfolio (Table 1).

Table 2 represents the Ci of Nifty-50. The cumulative of (Ri- Rf) β/σ^2_{ei} and $\beta i^2/\sigma^2_{ei}$ are necessary for the calculation of Ci. The Ci value goes on increasing from 0.000876935 to 0.002531264 and thereafter, starts declining. Therefore, the value of 0.002531264 is considered as the Cut-off Point. The securities which come after the cut-off point will not be considered for the Optimal Portfolio Construction. In other words, Securities having $[(Ri-Rf)/\beta] \geq Ci$ will be part of portfolio and securities having $[(Ri-Rf)/\beta] < Ci$ will not be part of portfolio. Hence, we have considered two securities in portfolio i.e. Bank of Baroda and Infosys.

Consideration of New Securities

From April, National Stock Exchange (NSE) included Aurobindo Pharma Ltd, Bharti Infratel Ltd, Eicher Motor Ltd, and Tata Motors DVRs. To make room for the new inclusions, Cairn India Ltd, Punjab National Bank, and Vedanta Ltd were removed from the index. (All changes effective April 1, 2016.). With this the benchmark NSE index has 51 members. Table3, shows the Revised Excess Returns to Beta and Table 4 shows the revised Optimal Portfolio Construction.

Table 1: Calculation of Excess Return to Beta

| | | Table | | Excess Return to |) Deta | | 1 |
|-----|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| Sr. | Company Name | Mean Return | Unsystematic | Excess Return | Beta | Excess Return | Rank |
| No. | | Ri | Risk σ^2_{ei} | Ri-Rf | β | to Beta (Ri-Rf)/β | |
| 1 | ACC | -0.000601172 | 0.000548005 | -0.076925892 | 0.911558078 | -0.084389458 | 33 |
| 2 | ADANIPORTS | -0.001881672 | 0.000348003 | -0.078206392 | 1.29777536 | -0.060261887 | 11 |
| 3 | AMBUJACEM | -0.001881072 | 0.001660281 | -0.078349323 | 1.190531034 | -0.0658104 | 18 |
| 4 | ASIANPAINT | | | | 1.153551609 | | 16 |
| 5 | AXISBANK | 0.003678352 | 0.000621267 | -0.072646368 | 1.329190774 | -0.062976262 | 8 |
| 6 | | -0.001815103 | 0.001182901 | -0.078139823 -0.075938518 | 1.019827639 | -0.058787515 | 29 |
| 7 | BAJAJAUTO | 0.000386202 | 0.000671997 | | | -0.07446211 | 29 |
| 8 | BANKBARODA | -0.036221061 | 0.047437363 | -0.112545781 | -1.654720333 0.805721733 | 0.068014987 -0.095665613 | 41 |
| 9 | BHARTIARTL BHEL | -0.000755144 | 0.00146885 | -0.077079864 | | -0.093663613 | 19 |
| 10 | BOSCHLTD | -0.007487847 | 0.001249334 | -0.083812567 | 1.254068629 | | 3 |
| | | 0.000111627 | 0.001414914 | -0.076213093 | 1.562212081 | -0.048785369 | 50 |
| 11 | BPCL | 0.006103158 | 0.001165098 | -0.070221562 | 0.501003731 | -0.140161754 | |
| 12 | CAIRN | -0.010572446 | 0.001805568 | -0.086897166 | 0.796532426 | -0.109094323 | 46 |
| 13 | CIPLA | 0.000799287 | 0.000769426 | -0.075525433 | 1.111165519 | -0.067969561 | 22 |
| 14 | COALINDIA | -0.002591194 | 0.001386516 | -0.078915914 | 0.762796694 | -0.103456025 | 44 |
| 15 | DRREDDY | -0.000154143 | 0.001447535 | -0.076478863 | 1.034133198 | -0.073954558 | 28 |
| 16 | GAIL | -0.002909941 | 0.001557931 | -0.079234661 | 1.076855921 | -0.07357963 | 27 |
| 17 | GRASIM | 0.001971833 | 0.000275559 | -0.074352887 | 0.916537958 | -0.081123631 | 31 |
| 18 | HCLTECH | -0.011399981 | 0.010697202 | -0.087724701 | 0.942161098 | -0.093110087 | 39 |
| 19 | HDFC | 0.002343363 | 0.00055854 | -0.073981357 | 1.430131598 | -0.051730454 | 5 |
| 20 | HDFCBANK | 0.002484248 | 0.000150667 | -0.073840472 | 0.839198531 | -0.087989277 | 35 |
| 21 | HEROMOTOCO | -0.002625961 | 0.000754024 | -0.078950681 | 0.86195864 | -0.091594512 | 36 |
| 22 | HINDALCO | -0.011022166 | 0.002333455 | -0.087346886 | 1.286046898 | -0.067918896 | 21 |
| 23 | HINDUNILVR | 0.002633683 | 0.001121827 | -0.073691037 | 0.795466816 | -0.092638732 | 37 |
| 24 | ICICIBANK | -0.005561948 | 0.000791941 | -0.081886668 | 1.302097619 | -0.062888271 | 15 |
| 25 | IDEA | -0.000828716 | 0.002414944 | -0.077153436 | 0.883686272 | -0.087308628 | 34 |
| 26 | INDUSINDBK | 0.003985475 | 0.000456845 | -0.072339245 | 1.16974839 | -0.061841714 | 14 |
| 27 | INFY | -0.010731609 | 0.009750775 | -0.087056329 | -0.224412026 | 0.387930767 | 1 |
| 28 | ITC | -0.002180064 | 0.000992167 | -0.078504784 | 0.725522526 | -0.108204475 | 45 |
| 29 | KOTAKBANK | -0.010453117 | 0.009312243 | -0.086777837 | 1.452520967 | -0.059742915 | 10 |
| 30 | LT | -0.002936062 | 0.00066405 | -0.079260782 | 1.113238366 | -0.071198392 | 26 |
| 31 | LUPIN | 0.005034824 | 0.001616841 | -0.071289896 | 0.745711624 | -0.095599819 | 40 |
| 32 | M&M | 0.000254547 | 0.001064432 | -0.076070173 | 1.260615456 | -0.060343678 | 12 |
| 33 | MARUTI | 0.006168187 | 0.000963344 | -0.070156533 | 0.588771748 | -0.119157438 | 48 |
| 34 | NTPC | 0.000918634 | 0.000922395 | -0.075406086 | 0.765881522 | -0.098456594 | 43 |
| 35 | ONGC | -0.006680091 | 0.000950333 | -0.083004811 | 1.169695883 | -0.070962728 | 24 |
| 36 | PNB | -0.012317023 | 0.001598115 | -0.088641743 | 1.245983143 | -0.071142009 | 25 |
| 37 | POWERGRID | 0.000549294 | 0.000584197 | -0.075775426 | 0.919671345 | -0.082394028 | 32 |
| 38 | RELIANCE | 0.002496627 | 0.000715201 | -0.073828093 | 1.051286883 | -0.0702264 | 23 |
| 39 | SBIN | -0.005949242 | 0.000725576 | -0.082273962 | 1.377446961 | -0.059729314 | 9 |
| 40 | SUNPHARMA | 0.000208194 | 0.001752066 | -0.076116526 | 1.464652621 | -0.051968996 | 6 |
| 41 | TATAMOTORS | -0.004056294 | 0.001659264 | -0.080381014 | 1.300470299 | -0.061809189 | 13 |
| 42 | TATAPOWER | -0.003363458 | 0.000686516 | -0.079688178 | 1.473019018 | -0.05409854 | 7 |
| 43 | TATASTEEL | -0.008059771 | 0.002022932 | -0.084384491 | 0.907682988 | -0.092966919 | 38 |
| 44 | TCS | -0.000520425 | 0.00063736 | -0.076845145 | 0.615442316 | -0.124861653 | 49 |
| 45 | TECHM | -0.030063763 | 0.038803873 | -0.106388483 | 0.966846789 | -0.110036548 | 47 |
| 46 | ULTRACEMCO | 0.001245346 | 0.000634893 | -0.075079374 | 1.1723464 | -0.064041971 | 17 |
| 47 | VEDL | -0.015677115 | 0.003686441 | -0.092001835 | 1.184483632 | -0.077672525 | 30 |
| 48 | WIPRO | 0.000426008 | 0.000704209 | -0.075898712 | 0.783640234 | -0.096854027 | 42 |
| 49 | YESBANK | -0.000391059 | 0.001035744 | -0.076715779 | 1.535315198 | -0.049967446 | 4 |
| 50 | ZEEL | 0.002802363 | 0.000938154 | -0.073522357 | 1.093685247 | -0.067224421 | 20 |
| | Source: Comp | outed | | *Risk Fre | e Rate: 0.076324 | <u>12</u> | |

Available Online: http://saspjournals.com/sjebm

Table 2: Calculation of Cut-off

| Company Name | (Ri-Rf)/β | (Ri-Rf) β / σ^2_{ei} | Cumulative | $\beta i^2/\sigma^2_{ei}$ | Cumulative | Ci |
|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| INFY | 0.387930767 | 2.003582975 | 2.003582975 | 5.164795236 | 5.164795236 | 0.000876935 |
| BANKBARODA | 0.068014987 | 3.925846268 | 5.929429243 | 57.72031228 | 62.88510751 | 0.000870933 |
| BOSCHLTD | -0.048785369 | -84.14720209 | -78.21777284 | 1724.845046 | 1787.730154 | -0.019230775 |
| | | | | 2275.845621 | 4063.575775 | |
| YESBANK | -0.049967446 | -113.7181929 | -191.9359657 | | | -0.030258689 |
| HDFC | -0.051730454 | -189.4279086 | -381.3638743 | 3661.825733 | 7725.401507 | -0.03811735 |
| SUNPHARMA | -0.051968996 | -63.63019403 | -444.9940684 | 1224.387591 | 8949.789098 | -0.039627654 |
| TATAPOWER | -0.05409854 | -170.982457 | -615.9765254 | 3160.574356 | 12110.36345 | -0.042806004 |
| AXISBANK | -0.058787515 | -87.8033726 | -703.779898 | 1493.57176 | 13603.93521 | -0.044308789 |
| SBIN | -0.059729314 | -156.1904779 | -859.9703759 | 2614.971916 | 16218.90713 | -0.046488655 |
| KOTAKBANK | -0.059742915 | -13.53558189 | -873.5059578 | 226.5637997 | 16445.47093 | -0.046649024 |
| ADANIPORTS | -0.060261887 | -61.13080241 | -934.6367602 | 1014.418983 | 17459.88991 | -0.047348594 |
| M&M | -0.060343678 | -90.09055712 | -1024.727317 | 1492.957679 | 18952.84759 | -0.048262343 |
| TATAMOTORS | -0.061809189 | -62.9997051 | -1087.727022 | 1019.261155 | 19972.10875 | -0.048882869 |
| INDUSINDBK | -0.061841714 | -185.2242045 | -1272.951227 | 2995.133762 | 22967.24251 | -0.050420229 |
| ICICIBANK | -0.062888271 | -134.6368466 | -1407.588074 | 2140.889611 | 25108.13212 | -0.051394852 |
| ASIANPAINT | -0.062976262 | -134.8878674 | -1542.475941 | 2141.884306 | 27250.01642 | -0.052234892 |
| ULTRACEMCO | -0.064041971 | -138.6360452 | -1681.111986 | 2164.768558 | 29414.78498 | -0.053041331 |
| AMBUJACEM | -0.0658104 | -138.1009048 | -1819.212891 | 2098.466288 | 31513.25127 | -0.053834264 |
| BHEL | -0.06683252 | -84.13016032 | -1903.343051 | 1258.820709 | 32772.07198 | -0.054301074 |
| ZEEL | -0.067224421 | -85.7112549 | -1989.054306 | 1275.001757 | 34047.07374 | -0.054754661 |
| HINDALCO | -0.067918896 | -48.1398579 | -2037.194164 | 708.784458 | 34755.85819 | -0.055006598 |
| CIPLA | -0.067969561 | -109.0699858 | -2146.26415 | 1604.688688 | 36360.54688 | -0.055544938 |
| RELIANCE | -0.0702264 | -108.5212957 | -2254.785445 | 1545.306266 | 37905.85315 | -0.056109504 |
| ONGC | -0.070962728 | -108.3212937 | -2356.950006 | 1439.693255 | 39345.5464 | -0.056623234 |
| PNB | -0.071142009 | | | | | |
| LT | | -69.11024048 | -2426.060247 | 971.4406704 | 40316.98707 | -0.056954344 |
| GAIL | -0.071198392 | -132.8756544 | -2558.935901 | 1866.273241 | 42183.26032 | -0.05755222 |
| | -0.07357963 | -54.76771107 | -2613.703612 | 744.3325127 | 42927.59283 | -0.05781611 |
| DRREDDY | -0.073954558 | -54.63725146 | -2668.340864 | 738.7949201 | 43666.38775 | -0.05807561 |
| BAJAJAUTO | -0.07446211 | -115.2447997 | -2783.585663 | 1547.697212 | 45214.08496 | -0.058609604 |
| VEDL | -0.077672525 | -29.56093993 | -2813.146603 | 380.5842531 | 45594.66921 | -0.058761148 |
| GRASIM | -0.081123631 | -247.3050788 | -3060.451682 | 3048.496194 | 48643.16541 | -0.06009988 |
| POWERGRID | -0.082394028 | -119.289295 | -3179.740977 | 1447.790554 | 50090.95596 | -0.060716205 |
| ACC | -0.084389458 | -127.9593827 | -3307.70036 | 1516.295819 | 51607.25178 | -0.061382335 |
| IDEA | -0.087308628 | -28.23230845 | -3335.932668 | 323.3621815 | 51930.61396 | -0.061536985 |
| HDFCBANK | -0.087989277 | -411.2818985 | -3747.214567 | 4674.227521 | 56604.84148 | -0.063636759 |
| HEROMOTOCO | -0.091594512 | -90.25200388 | -3837.466571 | 985.3429161 | 57590.1844 | -0.064096891 |
| HINDUNILVR | -0.092638732 | -52.25295836 | -3889.719529 | 564.050882 | 58154.23528 | -0.064363282 |
| TATASTEEL | -0.092966919 | -37.8630467 | -3927.582576 | 407.2744068 | 58561.50969 | -0.064554757 |
| HCLTECH | -0.093110087 | -7.72639401 | -3935.30897 | 82.98127834 | 58644.49097 | -0.06459365 |
| LUPIN | -0.095599819 | -32.87997801 | -3968.188948 | 343.9334746 | 58988.42444 | -0.064767706 |
| BHARTIARTL | -0.095665613 | -42.28131476 | -4010.470263 | 441.9698295 | 59430.39427 | -0.064988999 |
| WIPRO | -0.096854027 | -84.45968905 | -4094.929952 | 872.0307418 | 60302.42501 | -0.065433012 |
| NTPC | -0.098456594 | -62.61106844 | -4157.54102 | 635.9255993 | 60938.35061 | -0.065765205 |
| COALINDIA | -0.103456025 | -43.41586353 | -4200.956884 | 419.6552441 | 61358.00585 | -0.066013756 |
| ITC | -0.108204475 | -57.40664165 | -4258.363525 | 530.538517 | 61888.54437 | -0.066362586 |
| CAIRN | -0.109094323 | -38.33497907 | -4296.698504 | 351.3929774 | 62239.93735 | -0.066595316 |
| TECHM | -0.110036548 | -2.650801482 | -4299.349306 | 24.09019123 | 62264.02754 | -0.06661153 |
| MARUTI | -0.119157438 | -42.87789583 | -4342.227202 | 359.8423766 | 62623.86992 | -0.066902859 |
| TCS | -0.124861653 | -74.20260334 | -4416.429805 | 594.2785614 | 63218.14848 | -0.067428734 |
| BPCL | -0.140161754 | -30.19597849 | -4446.625783 | 215.4366489 | 63433.58513 | -0.067667185 |
| | -0.140101/34 | -30.1737/049 | -+++0.023763 | 413.4300409 | 05455.50515 | -0.00/00/103 |
| Source: Computed | *Risk | Free Rate: 0.0763 | 32472 | *Market Variance 0.000438675 | | |
| Computed | 1. Market + | | | | | |

Available Online: http://saspjournals.com/sjebm

Table 3: Revise Calculation of Access Return to Beta (as on or after 1st April)

| Table 3: Revise Calculation of Access Return to Beta (as on or after 1st April) | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|------|--|
| Sr. No. | Company Name | Mean Return | Unsystematic Risk | Excess Return | Beta | Excess Return | Rank | |
| 1 | ACC | -0.000601172 | 0.000548005 | -0.076925892 | 0.911558078 | to Beta -0.084389458 | 34 | |
| 2 | ADANIPORTS | -0.001881672 | 0.001660281 | -0.078206392 | 1.29777536 | -0.060261887 | 12 | |
| 3 | AMBUJACEM | -0.001881072 | 0.001000281 | -0.078200392 | 1.190531034 | -0.0658104 | 20 | |
| 4 | ASIANPAINT | 0.003678352 | 0.000673429 | -0.072646368 | 1.153551609 | -0.062976262 | 18 | |
| 5 | | | | | | | 33 | |
| | AVISDANIZ | -0.004177702 | 0.010924473 | -0.080502422 | 0.969494386 | -0.08303547 | 8 | |
| 6 7 | AXISBANK | -0.001815103 | 0.001182901 | -0.078139823 | 1.329190774 | -0.058787515 | 30 | |
| 8 | BAJAJAUTO | 0.000386202 | 0.000671997 | -0.075938518 | 1.019827639 | -0.07446211 | | |
| 9 | BANKBARODA | -0.036221061 | 0.047437363 | -0.112545781 | -1.654720333 | 0.068014987 | 2 | |
| | BHARTIARTL | -0.000755144 | 0.00146885 | -0.077079864 | 0.805721733 | -0.095665613 | 42 | |
| 10 | BHEL | -0.007487847 | 0.001249334 | -0.083812567 | 1.254068629 | -0.06683252 | 21 | |
| 11 | BOSCHLTD | 0.000111627 | 0.001414914 | -0.076213093 | 1.562212081 | -0.048785369 | 3 | |
| 12 | BPCL | 0.006103158 | 0.001165098 | -0.070221562 | 0.501003731 | -0.140161754 | 50 | |
| 13 | CIPLA | 0.000799287 | 0.000769426 | -0.075525433 | 1.111165519 | -0.067969561 | 24 | |
| 14 | COALINDIA | -0.002591194 | 0.001386516 | -0.078915914 | 0.762796694 | -0.103456025 | 45 | |
| 15 | DRREDDY | -0.000154143 | 0.001447535 | -0.076478863 | 1.034133198 | -0.073954558 | 29 | |
| 16 | EICHERMOT | 0.002044854 | 0.001520202 | -0.074279866 | 1.191780397 | -0.062326806 | 16 | |
| 17 | GAIL | -0.002909941 | 0.001557931 | -0.079234661 | 1.076855921 | -0.07357963 | 28 | |
| 18 | GRASIM | 0.001971833 | 0.000275559 | -0.074352887 | 0.916537958 | -0.081123631 | 31 | |
| 19 | HCLTECH | -0.011399981 | 0.010697202 | -0.087724701 | 0.942161098 | -0.093110087 | 40 | |
| 20 | HDFC | 0.002343363 | 0.00055854 | -0.073981357 | 1.430131598 | -0.051730454 | 5 | |
| 21 | HDFCBANK | 0.002484248 | 0.000150667 | -0.073840472 | 0.839198531 | -0.087989277 | 36 | |
| 22 | HEROMOTOCO | -0.002625961 | 0.000754024 | -0.078950681 | 0.86195864 | -0.091594512 | 37 | |
| 23 | HINDALCO | -0.011022166 | 0.002333455 | -0.087346886 | 1.286046898 | -0.067918896 | 23 | |
| 24 | HINDUNILVR | 0.002633683 | 0.001121827 | -0.073691037 | 0.795466816 | -0.092638732 | 38 | |
| 25 | ICICIBANK | -0.005561948 | 0.000791941 | -0.081886668 | 1.302097619 | -0.062888271 | 17 | |
| 26 | IDEA | -0.000828716 | 0.002414944 | -0.077153436 | 0.883686272 | -0.087308628 | 35 | |
| 27 | INDUSINDBK | 0.003985475 | 0.000456845 | -0.072339245 | 1.16974839 | -0.061841714 | 15 | |
| 28 | INFRATEL | 0.004748394 | 0.001880036 | -0.071576326 | 0.480744929 | -0.148886284 | 51 | |
| 29 | INFY | -0.010731609 | 0.009750775 | -0.087056329 | -0.224412026 | 0.387930767 | 1 | |
| 30 | ITC | -0.002180064 | 0.000992167 | -0.078504784 | 0.725522526 | -0.108204475 | 46 | |
| 31 | KOTAKBANK | -0.010453117 | 0.009312243 | -0.086777837 | 1.452520967 | -0.059742915 | 11 | |
| 32 | LT | -0.002936062 | 0.00066405 | -0.079260782 | 1.113238366 | -0.071198392 | 27 | |
| 33 | LUPIN | 0.005034824 | 0.001616841 | -0.071289896 | 0.745711624 | -0.095599819 | 41 | |
| 34 | M&M | 0.000254547 | 0.001064432 | -0.076070173 | 1.260615456 | -0.060343678 | 13 | |
| 35 | MARUTI | 0.006168187 | 0.000963344 | -0.070156533 | 0.588771748 | -0.119157438 | 48 | |
| 36 | NTPC | 0.000918634 | 0.000922395 | -0.075406086 | 0.765881522 | -0.098456594 | 44 | |
| 37 | ONGC | -0.006680091 | 0.000950333 | -0.083004811 | 1.169695883 | -0.070962728 | 26 | |
| 38 | POWERGRID | 0.000549294 | 0.000584197 | -0.075775426 | 0.919671345 | -0.082394028 | 32 | |
| 39 | RELIANCE | 0.002496627 | 0.000715201 | -0.073828093 | 1.051286883 | -0.0702264 | 25 | |
| 40 | SBIN | -0.005949242 | 0.000725576 | -0.082273962 | 1.377446961 | -0.059729314 | 10 | |
| 41 | SUNPHARMA | 0.000208194 | 0.001752066 | -0.076116526 | 1.464652621 | -0.051968996 | 6 | |
| 42 | TATAMOTORS | -0.004056294 | 0.001659264 | -0.080381014 | 1.300470299 | -0.061809189 | 14 | |
| 43 | TATAMTRDVR | -0.002377097 | 0.001836704 | -0.078701817 | 1.323407231 | -0.059469085 | 9 | |
| 44 | TATAPOWER | -0.003363458 | 0.000686516 | -0.079688178 | 1.473019018 | -0.05409854 | 7 | |
| 45 | TATASTEEL | -0.008059771 | 0.002022932 | -0.084384491 | 0.907682988 | -0.092966919 | 39 | |
| 46 | TCS | -0.000520425 | 0.00063736 | -0.076845145 | 0.615442316 | -0.124861653 | 49 | |
| 47 | TECHM | -0.030063763 | 0.038803873 | -0.106388483 | 0.966846789 | -0.110036548 | 47 | |
| 48 | ULTRACEMCO | 0.001245346 | 0.000634893 | -0.075079374 | 1.1723464 | -0.064041971 | 19 | |
| 49 | WIPRO | 0.000426008 | 0.000704209 | -0.075898712 | 0.783640234 | -0.096854027 | 43 | |
| 50 | YESBANK | -0.000391059 | 0.001035744 | -0.076715779 | 1.535315198 | -0.049967446 | 4 | |
| 51 | ZEEL | 0.002802363 | 0.000938154 | -0.073522357 | 1.093685247 | -0.067224421 | 22 | |
| J 1 | Source: Comp | | 3.000/30134 | | | | 22 | |
| <u> </u> | Source: Computed *Risk Free Rate: 0.07632472 | | | | | | | |

Available Online: http://saspjournals.com/sjebm

Table 4: Revise Calculation of Cut-off Rate

| | | Table 4. Kevis | e Caiculation of o | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| Company Name | (Ri-Rf)/β | (Ri-Rf) β/σ^2_{ei} | Cumulative | $\beta i^2/\sigma^2_{ei}$ | Cumulative | Ci | |
| INFY | 0.387930767 | 2.003582975 | 2.003582975 | 5.164795236 | 5.164795236 | 0.000876935 | |
| BANKBARODA | 0.068014987 | 3.925846268 | 5.929429243 | 57.72031228 | 62.88510751 | 0.002531264 | |
| BOSCHLTD | -0.048785369 | -84.14720209 | -78.21777284 | 1724.845046 | 1787.730154 | -0.019230775 | |
| YESBANK | -0.049967446 | -113.7181929 | -191.9359657 | 2275.845621 | 4063.575775 | -0.030258689 | |
| HDFC | -0.051730454 | -189.4279086 | -381.3638743 | 3661.825733 | 7725.401507 | -0.03811735 | |
| SUNPHARMA | -0.051968996 | -63.63019403 | -444.9940684 | 1224.387591 | 8949.789098 | -0.039627654 | |
| TATAPOWER | -0.05409854 | -170.982457 | -615.9765254 | 3160.574356 | 12110.36345 | -0.042806004 | |
| AXISBANK | -0.058787515 | -87.8033726 | -703.779898 | 1493.57176 | 13603.93521 | -0.044308789 | |
| TATAMTRDVR | -0.059469085 | -56.70733104 | -760.487229 | 953.5598369 | 14557.49505 | -0.045167385 | |
| SBIN | -0.059729314 | -156.1904779 | -916.677707 | 2614.971916 | 17172.46697 | -0.047124969 | |
| KOTAKBANK | -0.059742915 | -13.53558189 | -930.2132889 | 226.5637997 | 17399.03077 | -0.047270241 | |
| ADANIPORTS | -0.060261887 | -61.13080241 | -991.3440913 | 1014.418983 | 18413.44975 | -0.047907121 | |
| M&M | -0.060343678 | -90.09055712 | -1081.434648 | 1492.957679 | 19906.40743 | -0.048744011 | |
| TATAMOTORS | -0.061809189 | -62.9997051 | -1144.434353 | 1019.261155 | 20925.66858 | -0.049317882 | |
| INDUSINDBK | -0.061841714 | -185.2242045 | -1329.658558 | 2995.133762 | 23920.80234 | -0.050749561 | |
| EICHERMOT | -0.062326806 | -58.23259353 | -1387.891152 | 934.3105617 | 24855.11291 | -0.051148192 | |
| ICICIBANK | -0.062888271 | -134.6368466 | -1522.527998 | 2140.889611 | 26996.00252 | -0.05200673 | |
| ASIANPAINT | -0.062976262 | -134.8878674 | -1657.415865 | 2141.884306 | 29137.88682 | -0.052754577 | |
| ULTRACEMCO | -0.064041971 | -138.6360452 | -1796.051911 | 2164.768558 | 31302.65538 | -0.053482182 | |
| AMBUJACEM | -0.0658104 | -138.1009048 | -1934.152815 | 2098.466288 | 33401.12167 | -0.054207233 | |
| BHEL | -0.06683252 | -84.13016032 | -2018.282976 | 1258.820709 | 34659.94238 | -0.054637476 | |
| ZEEL | -0.067224421 | -85.7112549 | -2103.994231 | 1275.001757 | 35934.94413 | -0.055057431 | |
| HINDALCO | -0.067918896 | -48.1398579 | -2152.134089 | 708.784458 | 36643.72859 | -0.055291635 | |
| CIPLA | -0.067969561 | -109.0699858 | -2261.204074 | 1604.688688 | 38248.41728 | -0.055793612 | |
| RELIANCE | -0.0702264 | -108.5212957 | -2369.72537 | 1545.306266 | 39793.72355 | -0.056323712 | |
| ONGC | -0.070962728 | -102.1645607 | -2471.889931 | 1439.693255 | 41233.4168 | -0.056808066 | |
| LT | -0.071198392 | -132.8756544 | -2604.765585 | 1866.273241 | 43099.69004 | -0.057399884 | |
| GAIL | -0.07357963 | -54.76771107 | -2659.533296 | 744.3325127 | 43844.02256 | -0.057660989 | |
| DRREDDY | -0.073954558 | -54.63725146 | -2714.170548 | 738.7949201 | 44582.81748 | -0.057917861 | |
| BAJAJAUTO | -0.07446211 | -115.2447997 | -2829.415347 | 1547.697212 | 46130.51469 | -0.058446789 | |
| GRASIM | -0.081123631 | -247.3050788 | -3076.720426 | 3048.496194 | 49179.01088 | -0.059790204 | |
| POWERGRID | -0.082394028 | -119.289295 | -3196.009721 | 1447.790554 | 50626.80144 | -0.060408761 | |
| AUROPHARMA | -0.08303547 | -7.14420226 | -3203.153924 | 86.03795745 | 50712.83939 | -0.060445497 | |
| ACC | -0.084389458 | -127.9593827 | -3331.113306 | 1516.295819 | 52229.13521 | -0.061111558 | |
| IDEA | -0.087308628 | -28.23230845 | -3359.345615 | 323.3621815 | 52552.49739 | -0.061266051 | |
| HDFCBANK | -0.087989277 | -411.2818985 | -3770.627513 | 4674.227521 | 57226.72491 | -0.063365163 | |
| HEROMOTOCO | -0.091594512 | -90.25200388 | -3860.879517 | 985.3429161 | 58212.06783 | -0.063824988 | |
| HINDUNILVR | -0.092638732 | -52.25295836 | -3913.132476 | 564.050882 | 58776.11871 | -0.064091178 | |
| TATASTEEL | -0.092966919 | -37.8630467 | -3950.995522 | 407.2744068 | 59183.39312 | -0.064282518 | |
| HCLTECH | -0.093110087 | -7.72639401 | -3958.721916 | 82.98127834 | 59266.3744 | -0.064321386 | |
| LUPIN | -0.095599819 | -32.87997801 | -3991.601894 | 343.9334746 | 59610.30787 | -0.064495206 | |
| BHARTIARTL | -0.095665613 | -42.28131476 | -4033.883209 | 441.9698295 | 60052.2777 | -0.064716223 | |
| WIPRO | -0.096854027 | -84.45968905 | -4118.342898 | 872.0307418 | 60924.30844 | -0.065159631 | |
| NTPC | -0.098456594 | -62.61106844 | -4180.953966 | 635.9255993 | 61560.23404 | -0.065491311 | |
| COALINDIA | -0.103456025 | -43.41586353 | -4224.36983 | 419.6552441 | 61979.88929 | -0.065739245 | |
| ITC | -0.108204475 | -57.40664165 | -4281.776472 | 530.538517 | 62510.4278 | -0.066086975 | |
| TECHM | -0.110036548 | -2.650801482 | -4284.427273 | 24.09019123 | 62534.518 | -0.06610331 | |
| MARUTI | -0.119157438 | -42.87789583 | -4327.305169 | 359.8423766 | 62894.36037 | -0.066396236 | |
| TCS | -0.124861653 | -74.20260334 | -4401.507772 | 594.2785614 | 63488.63893 | -0.066924527 | |
| BPCL | -0.140161754 | -30.19597849 | -4431.703751 | 215.4366489 | 63704.07558 | -0.067163646 | |
| INFRATEL | -0.148886284 | -18.30281504 | -4450.006566 | 122.9315054 | 63827.00709 | -0.067315617 | |
| Source: | | | Į. | | | | |
| Computed | *Risk Free Rate: 0.07632472 | | | *Market Variance 0.000438675 | | | |
| Computed | | | | <u></u> | | | |

Available Online: http://saspjournals.com/sjebm

Formulating the Optimal Portfolio by Calculating the Percentage Invested in each Security:

As per this revise model, first 2 securities should be there in Optimal Portfolio. Proportion for these securities can be found out with the help of below mention formula

$$Xi = \frac{Zi}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Zj}$$

where,
$$Zi = \frac{\beta i}{\sigma_{ei}^2} * (\frac{Ri - Rf}{\beta i} - C *)$$

Table 5 represents the proportion of investment to be made in each company. The two

Company ranking 1 and 2 are selected for the optimal portfolio & shows that 80% of investment may be made in the Infosys stock (which means majority of the funds is to be invested on this company's stock), remaining 20% in Bank of Baroda..

Table 6 represents the proportion of investment, individual security returns and the returns on portfolio. The returns on portfolio are calculated based on the proportion of investment in each security. The highest return on portfolio is from the Bank of Baroda i.e. -0.007244212 and the lowest is Infosys i.e. -0.008585287. Total return from the optimal portfolio is -0.0158295.

Table 5: Proportion of Investments

| | Stock | Ci | Zi | Xi | Proportion |
|---|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| | INFY | 0.000876935 | -8.869887909 | 0.795212855 | 0.8 |
| ſ | BANKBARODA | 0.002531264 | -2.284217379 | 0.204787145 | 0.2 |

^{*}Computed

Table 6: Return on Portfolio and Individual Security

| Company | Proportion | Average Return | Return on Portfolio |
|-------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|
| INFY | 0.8 | -0.010731609 | -0.008585287 |
| BANKBARODA | 0.2 | -0.036221061 | -0.007244212 |
| Total Return on Portfol | -0.0158295 | | |

^{*}Computed

In Table 7, we have calculated Portfolio Return, Portfolio Standard Deviations, and Portfolio Beta by using Proportion of Investments. We found that an Optimal Portfolio gives negative return i.e. -

0.0158295. Standard deviation of Portfolio is 0.123194525, and Beta of Optimal Portfolio is - 0.510473687.

Table 7: Calculation of Average Return, S.D., and Beta of Portfolio

| Security | Proportion | Average Return | σ | β |
|------------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Nifty50 | - | -0.000594468 | 0.020944566 | 1 |
| INFY | 0.80 | -0.010731609 | 0.098857813 | -0.224412026 |
| BANKBARODA | 0.20 | -0.036221061 | 0.220541374 | -1.654720333 |
| Portfolio | - | -0.0158295 | 0.123194525 | -0.510473687 |

^{*}Computed

Table 8 shows an Optimal Portfolio by using Sharpe, Jensen, and Treynor Methods. On measuring portfolio and market return by Sharpe Ratio, we find that optimal portfolio had a negative return of -0.0748, and market return is also negative i.e. -3.673. As portfolio returns are higher than the market return it suggests that Investor cannot earn positive return from this Portfolio.

Using Jensen ratio, we find that the portfolio had negative return of -0.131 and Market has no return

(by definition). This Portfolio is risky and the investors should not invest for the same.

The Treynor measure, shows that Portfolio has positive yield of 0.181, whereas market has negative return of -0.077, and it has the best results.

On comparing it can be concluded that, Treynor measure had a positive return on portfolio, however other ratios consistently giving negative return in 2015.

Table 8: Comparison of Sharpe, Jensen, and Treynor measures

| Fund | Mean return | Standard | Beta | Sharpe | Jensen | Treynor | | |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| 1 unu | | deviation | | Measure | Measure | Measure | | |
| Portfolio | -0.0158295 | 0.123194525 | -0.510473687 | -0.748 | -0.131 | 0.181 | | |
| Market Index | -0.000594468 | 0.020944566 | 1 | -3.673 | 0.000 | -0.077 | | |
| Risk-free return | | 0.07632472 | | | | | | |

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the composition of the optimal portfolio would be:0.80 % of fund invested in Infosys and 0.20 % of fund invested in Bank of Baroda. When comparing individually, weekly average return of Nifty-50 is -0.000594 but weekly average return of selected security in portfolio is -0.010731609 and -0.036221061for Infosys and Bank of Baroda respectively. It indicates Market is giving higher return than security. Although, returns on hypothetic portfolio of two securities is-0.01582 which is comparatively lower than return of Nifty-50.

If we compare portfolio and market returns by all methods, the portfolio return as well as the market returns are lowest as per the Sharpe measure however, Portfolio had a highest and positive return by Treynor measures i.e. 0.181. But in market, Treynor measure give lowest and negative return. This clearly indicates that market investment was riskier than investment in Portfolio. People should avoid to go for investment in market.

REFERENCES

- Mishra DA; Optimization Of Return Under Risk Constraint: An Application on Indian Banks. International Conference On Financial Management and Economics, 2011.
- Treynor JL; How to Rate Management Of Investment Funds. 43 (January/ February), 1965; 63-75.
- 3. Sharpe WF; Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium Under Condition Of Risk. Journal Of Finance, 1964; 19: 425-442.
- 4. Lintner J; Volatility Of Risk Assets and the Selection of Risk Investments in Stock Portfolio and Capital Budget. Review of Economics and Statistics, 1965; 47: 13-37.
- Mossin J; Equilibrium in Capital Asset Market. Econometrica . 1966: 35: 768-783.
- Mandal N; Sharpe's Single Index Model & its Application to Construct Optimal Portfolio: An Emprical Study. Great Lake Herald, 2013; 7(1): 1-19.
- 7. Goetzmann WJ, Ingersoll SM, Welch I; Sharpening Sharpe Ratios. NBER Working Paper, 2005; 9116.
- 8. Gregory MN, Shapiro MD; Risk and Return: Consumption Beta versus Market Beta. Review of Economics and Statistics, 1986; 68(3): 452-459.

- 9. Saravanan A, Natarajan P; Optimal Portfolio Construction with Nifty Stocks (An Analytical Prescription for Investor). Advances in Management, 2012; 5(8): 47-53.
- 10. Sharpe; The Sharpe Ratio. Journal of Portfolio Management, 1994; 49-58.
- Chen SN, Lee CF; The Effect Of Sample Size, the investment Horizon, and Market Conditions on Validity of ComDosite Performance Measures: A Gleneralization. Working Paper, Urbana-Champaign, 1985.
- 12. Cumby RE, Glen JD; Evaluating the Performance of International Mutual Funds. The Journal Of Finance, 1990; 45 (2): 497-521.
- 13. Grinblatt M, Titman S; A Study on Monthly Mutual Fund Returns and Performance Evaluation Techniques. The Journal Of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 1994; 29(3): 419-444.
- 14. Dileep S, Kesava Rao GV; A study on suitability of William Sharpe's Single Index Model in Sensex in the Indian context, 2013; 1(1).