**Research Article** 

# ANALYSE THE RELATIONSHIP OF PRIVATIZATION TO ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE & EFFICACY OF MELLAT BANK (STUDY CASE: THE ADINISTRATION OF WEST AZARBAIJAN STATE)

Farshid Pashazadeh<sup>1</sup> and <sup>\*</sup>Yousef Pashazadeh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Administration, Mahabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahabad, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Public Administration, Urmia Branch, Islamic Azad University, Urmia, Iran <sup>\*</sup>Author for Correspondence

# ABSTRACT

This survey was conducted with aim of analyzing the impact of privatization on organizational performance & efficacy of Mellat Bank of west Azarbaijan state. It is considered as an applied research in nature. The statistical univese in this research includes all of personnels in the administration at that mellat bank which their number is 192. Sampling is done randomly, and reliability of questionnaire is achieved using Cronbach Alpha coefficient. Even though its degree is, 0/926 & 0/901 to questionnaire of performing standard & organizational efficacy & for privatization, respectively. The data which were collected, were analyzed upon research hypothese and because using from descriptive statistics such as: mean, frequency and standard deviation & person correlation test to inferential statistics. The main hypothesis of research shows that, there is meaningful relationship between privatization and performance with organizational effectiveness among personnels at Mellat Bank the relationship between privatization and bimensions of orfanizational effectiveness(personnels motivation, personnels organization creativity, the degree of organizational clearness among personnels performanc, the aspect of organizational support of personnels performance, the aspect of powering regarding personnels performance, teaction aspect of personnels performance) is studied in secondary hypotheses. According the achiened conclusion, privatization has meaningful effect on improving the performance & organizational effectiveness, and results concerning secndary hypotheses showed, there is meaning ful relation between privatization and any of dimensions of organization effectineness (p < 0/05).

Keywords: Privatization, Performance, Organizational Effectiveness (Efficacy), Mellat Bank

# **INTRODUCTION**

For the first time, the new theory on privatization as economy policy, was applied by Germany federal republic in 1957. At that time, the government sold the main part of vagon folex share to investors at private sector. In 1980, the next great movement, at privatization, i. e, privatization of tclecame done by margaret tacher & privatization in the large banks of France done by shirak. To extend of privatization toward countries in other continent, Japan and Mexico made that public tele- communicational companies become private (Megginson, 2005). The main other share in the process of universe privatization, was, the failure of communist regame in eastern Europe & former union soviet. Recently, countries like china & Koba and also many developing countries have begun to establish the privatization with the aim of simulation the economic development, and during 10 years between 1984 & 1994 it was transferred a capital amount to \$ 468 miliard from public sector to private sector (Filipovic, 2005).

In general, privatization means, ways to reduce the role of government & to get its responsibility to private sector and following from market logic in all economic decisions. From this point of view, going away from policy concerning keep the sone certain activities which they in the power of government, to more develop by public sector(demonopolization). It refers to relative position decrease of public sector and giving more responsibility to private sector. Introducing new institutions at private sector can make struggle in a situation that a public sector had the right of monopolization. The public institutions will have to become the place of trading and they react regarding codes & market forces. Some times, the process of demonopolization is described as an parallel & complement activity at the fromework of

# **Research Article**

privatization. Furthermore, privatization becomes apparent when the governments decide to lower their commitment concerning the regalate & manage the prive actors's behavior in economy. The purpose of following policies on deleting extra regulations is thar, the regulating system which has limition, becomes less important (Seyed, 2010).

In Iran, high amount of government in the economy, to participate of public companies in nen- essential economic activities, low competition of economy in national & international level, undeveloping of active private sector at capitalization are as, weak ness of capital market to private sector, lack of suitable distribution resources & opportunities between public & non- public sector, after the and of forced war & executing the first plan on 5 years developing, so, the privatization was presented with serious since 1990 and the Government tried to give the responsibily of some pant of economy activities at public companies into non- public sectors. But privatization in an organized way and based on certain & clear regalations centralized- based of privatization organization as a performing organization which we have conidered, actually it was begun since 2001 with executing the third chapte of third program law and in forth program law followed as a suitable complementary for mentioned law, too. The Bank is one of the institions in Iran which the process of privatization for executing the law of privatization was conducted in it.

In this study, researcher aims to study the effect of privatization in organizational efficacy of Administration at Mellat bank in west Azarbaijan state. Also, those results will be considered that senior managers at banks and also other public bank which are going to private part of services, had used of them.

Privatization is a comprehensive & varied term which refers to give the responsibility of per forming control or financial control of institutions in the fovernment ownership o in to private sector or in other words privatization toward deleting any kind of control & mediate in conducting the offer & demand mechanism. The most important aim(goal) which privatization is seeking in most countries, is to inhance the effectiveness in economy using giving the economy activities in to self- organize mechanism at the free- market sector. In general, we should say, privatization is a part of mpre comprehensive economic policy which now, is seeking under titles as economic releasing or joining to world economy from some governments, seriously. Also international institutions like, international monetary closet or world bank are viewed as pertinacions defenders at this new- coming policy. The privatization means changing the governing situation on public sector activities in a way beside preserving the main frame work of a activitios. The sitution of mentioned sector chenged absolutely and situation & market atmasphere influence on performance of company in a way so that motivation and private sector be. The basis of action (Otchere and Chan, 2003).

The aime of privatization which are presented, social- politycs procedures and running, it is based on giving economy institutions into private sector and its most important aims are: demonopolization at economy, to participate more & more pepole (private sectoo) in positive & determinitive consequences. After gaining victory of islamic revolution of Iran, area of public economy activities were spreaded due to different reasons. As a result of this, public activities faced with unefficacy at the end of 136 decades, and for this reason, limiting the economy activities of government & privatization were the firist aims in the firist planning of development (Megginson, 2005).

One of main discussions organpzatinal theory, is the organizational offectiveness which it views as a criteria to assess the organizational performance. The importance of miyed procedure in human- sciences makes that scientists study organization subjects at three- level, I. e, definition, theory & research (Zaki, 2004). In one hand, there is no comprehensive & certain definition about effectiveness, because, to achieve it. Is a difficult issue (Zareii, 2005). Orjanizational effectiveness is an extent which an organization gets to its aims in it (Rabinz, 1999). In this study, criteria that are measureing organization efficacy achieved from the study which was done by Li & choii and include qhole understanding of organization members on degree of organization success, market share, degree of plofit, devlopment rate, organization innovations compared to other. How science is getting share in organizational effectiveness, it is an issue which institutions want to know to explain their performance (Argote and Lngram, 2000).

# **Research Article**

Organization efficacy isn't a unify & single concept, but it is a complicated subject that includers preferences & varied expectations(Katsikea *et al.*, 2011). Organization efficacy is a way which through it, organizations assess they way of successful achieve in their commission by organization procedures (Qiu and Lin, 2011). in simple words, usually, organization effectiveness is in an extent frome which an organization meets its goals (Kim *et al.*, 2011). Kambel (1997), in him reviewing on conducted researches around otganization effectiveness, stated that criteria for assessing the organization efficacy should be created in a way that we could use them in order to compare of organizations, to assess(measure) the effect of done toward development of organization & to detemine those characteristics in the organization they're related with organization efficacy as a base concep. Meaningfully. We can refer to these criteria as: general effectivenell, efficacy, quality, devlopment, motivation, to contral, flexibility & abjustment, management duty skills, repar ation, applying foom environmental resources, value of human resources, edncation & development (Kaplan and Norton, 2008).

Necessity important in their position due to their key role in economy. According to loin theory (1997), the structure of banks ownership & their base role in national economy, is a vital variance in the pooces of financial development & economic growth. The main duty at banking is to guarantee this issue so that resources & finanancial eredits go toward poojects with more efficacies & deposits, until it will holp to future growth. Also the role of government is finaneial system is to guarantee this issues, that banks do this vital duty with mone efficiency, through regulation and solf supervision rpriin poecise there fore it isn't surprisingly, that in developing countries, there are many public banks. The privatisation of banks, is the biggest challenges which most Governments meet with is in all of world. Government resists against eyitting from banks & credit systems and lowering of their mediation from other honds, public banking system in almost every covntry whose banks public ownership is comprehensive, is a dangerous work. in any way, if the government aims at creating an economy with more efficiently & more expensive markets, so towering of government impact on decisions about credit allocation is very important (Rezaiian, 2008).

Thoso who suggest the issue of privatization at banks, believe that, to improve of banking system beside from benfits that has for banks , it makes the whole growth on economy & improvement in other areas & more suitoble allocation of resources into economy activities. As beili said , today one of the main and interest concepts in economy are the discussions on privatization (Rahimi, 2006). Despite this fact that privatizations are conducted in countries which this issve is aperforming finoncial & low process view to level of development & social systams on privatisation, and government in many covntries perform it to make changes in the economy & administrative system of the country. Privatizatiou wordtefers to chonge in equilibrium between government & mank and in interest of manket.

Privatization is a means for inhancing efficincy (finaneial & social) in the operations of an econamy institution (Shafiabadi, 2010). Statistics & figuris show that's in sectors with most privatization at the world during (2004-2005) ,rhere are bank, transpov tation, tele– communacation & competitive parts and these three area hone allocated almost 90 percent of value on giving credit.

## **Research Hypotheses**

# Main Hypotheses

There is a meaningful relationship between privatization and performance to organization effectiveness among personneels at mellat bank.

## Sub Ordinate Hypothesis

- 1. There is meanigful relationship between privatization & personnel
- 2. Motivation at mellatbank.
- 3. There is meaningful relationship between prvatjzatani & personnels organization creativity at mellat bank.

4. there is meaningful relationship between privatization and dogree of personnels organizational clearness at mellat bank.

5. there is meaningful relationship between privatization and personnels org anizational supporte asoeet at mellat bouk.

# **Research Article**

6. there is meaninj ful relationship between privatization and personnels per for mance powering aspectat mellat bank.

7. there is meaning ful relationship between privatization and personnels performance reaction aspect.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Methods

This research is an applied research and its method is descriptive-Measuring the researcher tries to asing questionnqire, To collect the data analyzes idea, thrughts and personnels view at mellat bank an studying the effect of privatization an performing & organizatirnal effectivenesl.

It is used from statistical-descriptive and inferential methods in order to anelyze the data which were collected of anestionnares there fore, it is used foom descriptive statistics(frequency table, simple diagram chart, and circular chant). It is used from person correlatian methad at kalmograph inferential statistics level, and the questionnaire which was used in this research it is Achio questionnaireExploitation parto it is used of hersi & blanchard Questionnaire and this questionnaire has brawn in Order to assis to managers in determining reason forBeing operational problems at creating change strategies for Resolving this issue so it is used from Achio questionnaire to gather date from statiatical universe and for the purpose of testing regulated hypothesis in the present study.

The credity of content in this questionnirer has affirmed by techinque professors & clear- sighted persons confident coefficient by using cronbach Alpha method was computed thoough statistical softwara spss 20, and it is summaried in below table for questionnaires and show, that, the questionnaire Has confidence or in other word, it has good reliability.

Numbey of buoys	Coronbach Alpha	Under- analyse componenlts
30	0/926	Performance & organizational
		efficacy of Mellat bank
16	0/901	privatization

 Table 1: Cronbach Alpha coefficients for questionnaire according to differentiation of components

Distribution of frequency & percenet of respondents according sexulity in this war that: 90/1 percent of under study personnels ane male and 9/9 percent female.

Based on current informationand differentiation of age ftoups between 1 to 50, have the biggest of frequency and they're included %50 of respondents, and the lowest degree of frequency is in the age groups the 20- 30 years old and they only compose 5/7 respondents. Based on record of service, of respondents to questionnaires, 50, the most amount of frequency which are at the record of service between 16- 23 years old, they are composed 43/8 percent of total respondents.

Also, according to prusent information, we could that, 41/1 percent of under- study personnels have diploma, 10/9 percent upper degree then diploma & %38 have incentiate's degree & %9/9 have M.A degree & upper. View to consider the maritual status, the most number of personnels who were answered to questionnaires, were married and the amount of frequency were 179 individuals and they compose the total number of personnels amount to %93/7.

Table 2: Results os klomogrov- Esmirnov test for ind	lepentent & dependent variables
--	---------------------------------

Periformance & organizational efficacy of Mellat bank	privatization	Variables
192	192	Number of questionnaires
0/675	0/789	Kologoph- Esmiranophz
0/75	0/56	Meaningful level

Results of table 2 show that in the test of normalizing related data distribution, so, distribution os privatization and performance is normal to organizational efficacy at Mellat bank.

# **Research Article**

### Interential Analyze

Test of Bresearch Hypothes

Main hypothesis: there is meaningful relationship between privatization and performance to organizational efficacy of Mellat bank's personnls.

Table 3: Relation between privatization and performance to organization							
Affirmation of hypothesis	Determination coefficent	Person correlation	Meaningful level	Error degree	Perivatization		
H1	0/47	0/684	0/000	0/05	Performanie & organization effectivenees		

View to this, normalizing hypothesis is the condition for studying the relation between variances, and also according to results at table 9-1, so, person correlation coefficient test shows that, as, meaningful level degree of test between privatization to performance & organizational effectiveness of Mellat bank's personnels is lower than a = 0/05, therefore, null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis is accepted. In other words, there is meaningful relationship between privatization to performance & organizational effectiveness of Mellat bank's personnels, and degree of this correlation and relation equals to %687 and relation between two variables is in a direct way, i.e, there is too much relation between privatization with performance & organizational effectiveness of Mellat bank's personnels.

Frist subordinate hypothesis: there is meaningful relationship between privatization & personnels motivation at Mellat bank.

Table 4: Relation between privatizationg personnels, motivation of Mellat bank.							
Affirmation of hypothesis	Determination coefficinent	Meaningful level	Error degree	Person correlation coefficient	Privatization		
H1	0/47	0/000	0/05	0/689	Personnels motivation		

As normalizing hypothesis is the condition to study the relationship among variables, and according to table 4, so, person correlation coefficient test shows that, since, the degree of test meaningful level between privatization to perspnnels motivation is lower than a = 0/05 there for null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis is accepted. In other words, there is meaningful relationship between privatization personnels's organizational motivation andamount of correlation & relationship equals to %689 so, there is direct relation between two vorianle, i. e, there is too much relation between privatization with personnels motivation.

Second subordinate hypothesis:there is meaning ful relation ship between privatization & personnels organizational creativity os Mellat bank.

Table 5: Relation between orivatization and organizational creativity of personnels at Mellat bank						
Affirmation of hypothesis	Determination coefficient	Person correlation coefficint	Meaning level	ful	Error rate	Privatization
H1	0/37	0/605	0/000		0/05	Personnls organization creativity

As the normalization hypothesis is the condition for analyzing the relationship between variables, and also, according to table 5, person correlation coefficient test shows that, since meaningful level of test

# **Research Article**

between privatization to organization creativity is lower than a=0/05, so, null hypothesis is rejected & alternative hypothesis is accepted. In other words,, there is meaningful relationship between privatization to personnels organizational creativity and amount of correlation equals to %605 and there is direct(linear) relation between variables. This means there is too much relation between privatization with personnels's organizational creativity.

Third subordinate hypothesis: there is meaningful relationship between privatization & degree of organizational clarity on personnels performance at Mellat bank.

Table 6: Relationship	between	privatizational	and	organizational	clarity	degree of	personnels
performance							

Affirmation of hypothesis	Determination coeffinicent	Person correlation coefficient	Meaningful level	Error rate	Privatization
H1	0/23	0/478	0/000	0/05	

View to normal hypothesis is the condition to analyze the relation among variables and also according the results of table 7, person correlation coefficient test shows that, since amount of test meaningful level is lower than a=0/05 between privatization to degree of organizational clarity at personnels performance, so, null hypothesis is rejected and alterative hypothesis is accepted.

In other words, there is meaningful relationship between privatization to organizational clarity degree at personnels performance ane emount of this correlation and relation equals to %478, so that, there linear relation between two variables.

This means, there is relative much between privatization to organizational clarity degree at personnels performance.

Fourth subordinate hypothesis: ther is meaningful telatioship between privatization and organizational support aspect in personnels performance at Mellat bank.

Affirmation of hypothesis	Determination coefficient	Person correlation coefficient	Meaningful level	Error rate	Privatizational
H1	0/36	0/601	0/000	0/05	Organizational support aspect of personnels performance

# Table 8: Relation between privatization & organizational support aspect of personnels performance

Regarding to normal hypothesis is the condition to analyze the relations among variables, and also upon to results at table &, person correlation coefficient test shows that, because meaningful level of test is lower than A=0/05 between privatization with organizational supect in personnels performance, so, null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis is accepted.

#### Table 9: Relation between privatization & powering aspect of personnels performance

Affirmation of hypothesis	Determination coefficient	Person correlation coefficient	meaningful	Error rate	privatization
H1	0/12	0/345	0/001	0/05	Powering aspect of personnels performance

## **Research Article**

In other, there is meaningful relationship between privatization to organizational support aspect in personnels performance and its amount equals to 0/601 and there is lincar relation between two variables, this means, there is too clos velation between privatization and organizational support aspect in personnels performance. Fifth subordinate hypothesis: there is meaningful relationship between privatization and powering aspect at personnels performance at Mellat bank. Since normal hypothesis is the condition to analyze the relations between variables, and according table 9, person correlation coefficient test, shows that, because meaningful level of test, is lower than a=0/05 between privatization to powering aspect on is lower than a=0/05 between privatization to powering aspect on personnels performance, so, null hypothesis is rejected and aiternative hypothesis is accepted. In other words, there is meaningful relationship between privatization with powering aspect on personnels performance and its amount equals to 0/345 and there is linear relation between two rariables, this means, there is too clase relation between privatization with powering aspect on personnels performance and its amount equals to 0/345 and there is linear relation between two rariables, this means, there is too clase relation between privatization with powering aspect on personnels performance.

Sixth subordinate hypothesis: there is meaningful relationship between privatization and reaction aspect on personnels performance at Mellat bank.

Affirmation of hypothesis	determination	Person correlation	Meaningful level	Error degree	Privatization
HI	0/21	0/459	0/000		Reaction aspect of personnels performance

#### Table 10: Relation between privatizational and Reaction aspect of personnels performance

As normalizing hypothesis is the condition to analyze the relations between variable and according table 10, person correlation coefficient test, shows, because, meaningful level of test is lower than %0/05 between privatization with reaction aspect on personnels performance, so, null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis is accepted. In other words, there is meaninfful relationship between privatization to reaction aspect on personnels performance and its amount equals to %459 and there is linear relation between two variables. This means there is relative powerful relation between privatization with reaction aspect on personnels performance.

# Conclusion and Discussion

Results of this survey show that, there is meaningful relationship between privatization and performance with organizational effectiveness among vpersonnels at Mellat bank.

In subordinate hypothesis, it was considered there relations between privatization and aspects on organizational effectiveness(personnels motivation, personnels organizational creavity, defree of organizational clarity at personnels performance, organizational support aspect of personnels performance, reaction aspect of personnels performance).

According results, the process of privatization has meaningful effect on improving the performance & organizational efficacy and results of subordinate hypothesis showed, there is meaningful relation between privatization and ovy of organizational efficacy aspect.

In the process of privatization at banks, besides a bank system has benefits for itself, so it makes the whole & improvement in other sectors & it also allocated more suitable resources into economic activation, in a way, now, banks are one of the main and interest concept in economy & discussions in the issue of privatization. In fact, privatization, is a part of correction process, reconstructing and changing of public economy system through change the distribution system and monitoring the economic resources in one hand and reviewing at open system of national weath toward increasing capitalization motives zt non-public sector in other hand. There fore, privatization isn't transfer the public ownership into private sector and relasing economy activities in their from, only. But, the sovereignty of government is more sensitive & colory and with seeking aims like applying suitable method toward improving and suitable use from human & financial resources, not only, we consider the reduction of tenure of government at economic

# **Research Article**

activities, but also, we provide the apportunity to inhance the government improvement besides nonpublic sector growth, through increasing policy- making power & government monitoring, reduction of government wealth & using of active participation of people.

### REFERENCES

Argote L and Ingram P (2000). Knowledge Transfer: A Basis for Competitive Advantage in Firms. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision* 82(1) 50–69.

**Kaplan RS and Norton DP (2008).** *Execution Premium: Linking Strategy to Operation for Competitive Advantages* (Boston, MD: Harvard Business School Press).

**Kim JH, Kim CS and Kim JM (2011).** Analysis of the effect of leadership and organizational culture on the organizational effectiveness of radiological technologist's working environments. *Journal of Radiography* **17** 201-206.

Megginson W (2005). Economics of Bank Privatization. Journal of Banking & Finance 29 1931–1980.

**Otchere I and Chan J (2003).** Intra-industry Effects of Bank Privatization: A Clinical Analysis of the Privatization of the Commonwealth Bank of Australia. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **27** 949–975.

Qiu J and Lin Z (2011). A framework for exploring organizational structure in dynamic social networks. *Journal of Decision Support Systems* **51** 760-771.

**Rezayian Ali (2009).** Conflict and Negotiation (Advanced Organizational Behavior Management) (Semat) Tehran.

Robbins Stephen (2000). Organization Theory, translated by Mehdi Alvani (Safar publication) Tehran. Seyd Javadin Reza and Kimasi M (2011). Services Quality Management, second edition (Science Perspective) Tehran.

Zaki MA (2005). Organizational Efficiency. *The Second International Conference on Management*. Zarei Kh (2006). Education Efficiency. *Tadbir Journal* 166 60-62.