Hindawi Publishing Corporation PPAR Research Volume 2011, Article ID 256186, 10 pages doi:10.1155/2011/256186

# Research Article

# Consequences of PPAR $\alpha$ Invalidation on Glutathione Synthesis: Interactions with Dietary Fatty Acids

# Najoua Guelzim,<sup>1,2</sup> Jean-François Huneau,<sup>1,2</sup> Véronique Mathé,<sup>1,2</sup> Annie Quignard-Boulangé,<sup>1,2</sup> Pascal G. Martin,<sup>3</sup> Daniel Tomé,<sup>1,2</sup> and Dominique Hermier<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INRA, UMR914 Nutrition Physiology and Ingestive Behavior, F-75005 Paris, France <sup>2</sup> AgroParisTech, UMR914 Nutrition Physiology and Ingestive Behavior, F-75005 Paris, France <sup>3</sup> INRA, UR66 ToxAlim, Laboratoire de Pharmacologie et Toxicologie, Toulouse, France

Correspondence should be addressed to Dominique Hermier, dominique.hermier@agroparistech.fr

Received 26 April 2011; Revised 26 June 2011; Accepted 11 July 2011

Academic Editor: Stephane Mandard

Copyright © 2011 Najoua Guelzim et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Glutathione (GSH) derives from cysteine and plays a key role in redox status. GSH synthesis is determined mainly by cysteine availability and *y*-glutamate cysteine ligase (*y*GCL) activity. Because PPAR $\alpha$  activation is known to control the metabolism of certain amino acids, GSH synthesis from cysteine and related metabolisms were explored in wild-type (WT) and PPAR $\alpha$ -null (KO) mice, fed diets containing either saturated (COCO diet) or 18:3 n-3, LIN diet. In mice fed the COCO diet, but not in those fed the LIN diet, PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency enhanced hepatic GSH content and *y*GCL activity, superoxide dismutase 2 mRNA levels, and plasma uric acid concentration, suggesting an oxidative stress. In addition, in WT mice, the LIN diet increased the hepatic GSH pool, without effect on *y*GCL activity, or change in target gene expression, which rules out a direct effect of PPAR $\alpha$ . This suggests that dietary 18:3 n-3 may regulate GSH metabolism and thus mitigate the deleterious effects of PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency on redox status, without direct PPAR $\alpha$  activation.

# 1. Introduction

PPAR*α* is a major regulator of the macronutrient metabolism, especially during the fed-to-fasting transition [1]. Formerly, PPAR*α* has been involved in the regulation of lipid metabolism, including cellular uptake of fatty acids, intracellular fatty acid binding and activation, microsomal *ω*-oxidation, *β*-oxidation and ketogenesis, and synthesis of lipoproteins [2, 3]. Later on, PPAR*α* effects have been shown to extend to a number of target genes involved in the metabolism of glucose, glycerol and glycogen, and bile acids, as well as in inflammation, detoxification, and hepatocarcinogenesis [4, 5]. More recently, PPAR*α* has also been shown to play a role in amino acid metabolism, through the regulation of a number of hepatic target genes involved in transamination, deamination, and urea synthesis [6–8].

Beyond nutritional situations, interest in PPAR $\alpha$  effects on amino acids metabolism can also be considered in light of the involvement of specific amino acids in physiopathological processes associated with the metabolic syndrome. We

have recently shown that PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency decreases whole body nitric oxide (NO) synthesis from arginine, suggesting a beneficial effect of PPAR $\alpha$  on vascular function [9]. Cysteine is a second amino acid of which metabolism might be of importance in the context of metabolic syndrome. Indeed, cysteine is the rate-limiting substrate for the synthesis of glutathione (GSH) [10], a major endogenous antioxidant, protecting cells from reactive oxygen species (ROS). Most of the GSH is utilized in antioxidant defence via the glutathione peroxidase (GPX) enzyme family to neutralize ROS and protect the body from their noxious effect [11]. GSH synthesis is a two-step process. The first rate-limiting step is the condensation of cysteine and glutamate to y-glutamylcysteine and is catalyzed by y-glutamate cysteine ligase (yGCL). While GSH synthesis occurs in every tissue, the liver plays a prominent role in whole body GSH flux [12]. A growing number of studies support a link between glutathione synthesis and utilization and the metabolic syndrome. Alterations in glutathione status and utilisation are long-recognized hallmarks of metabolic syndrome-associated oxidative stress [13–17]. In parallel, fuelling glutathione synthesis through an extra cysteine supply has been shown to alleviate insulin resistance and oxidative stress in animal models of the metabolic syndrome [18, 19].

Despite that PPAR $\alpha$  activation enhances ROS generation by activating fatty acid and  $\beta$ - and  $\omega$ -oxidation, it can also promote ROS clearance through increased expression and/or activity of antioxidant enzymes, such as catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) [20–23]. Accordingly, PPAR $\alpha$ deficiency decreases the activity of the same enzymes [23]. However, little is known regarding the role of PPAR $\alpha$ in hepatic metabolism of GSH. In fasted mice, PPARa deficiency reduced hepatic GSH level and GPX activity [23]. Consistently, fibrate treatment increased erythrocyte GPX activity in human [24] and hepatic GSH content in the mouse [25]. A few studies have also addressed the effect of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), natural PPAR $\alpha$ ligands, on GSH metabolism. In a rat model of chronic heart failure, n-3 fatty acid treatment increased cardiac yGCL content and activity, increased total and reduced glutathione, and decreased oxidized glutathione [26]. As concerns the destruction of ROS, the effects of n-3 PUFA supplementation in the rat are inconsistent, with GPX activity being decreased [27] or not changed [28]. In cultured human fibroblasts, DHA (22:6 n-3) induced expression and activity of yGCL, as well as intracellular GSH content [29]. However, none of these studies provided a direct evidence for a role of PPAR $\alpha$ in the modulation of GSH metabolism by n-3 fatty acids.

The first and main objective of the present study was to investigate the effects of PPAR $\alpha$  invalidation in the regulation of GSH metabolism by using wild-type (WT) and PPAR $\alpha$ deficient mice (KO). We have shown previously in the mouse that a number of hepatic genes known to be regulated essentially via PPAR $\alpha$  were upregulated by  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (ALA, 18:3 n-3) as they are by its long-chain derivatives. This was observed in WT mice fed rather high-fat diets [30] but also in WT mice fed low-fat diets, albeit to a lesser extent [31]. For these reasons, as a secondary objective, we aimed to assess the contribution of dietary n-3 PUFA to PPAR $\alpha$  activation, by exposing mice to contrasted diets, containing mostly either saturated FA or ALA. We explored GSH synthesis from cysteine, as well as hepatic thiol content and mRNA levels of enzymes involved in the protection against oxidative damages.

## 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals and Diets. Male PPAR $\alpha$ -deficient mice [32] were supplied by the ToxAlim laboratory (UR66, INRA, Toulouse), in which several additional rounds of backcrossing have been performed initially to increase the C57BL/6J genetic background and to generate the animals used [33]. Wild type male C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Charles River (L'Arbresle, France). *In vivo* studies were conducted under European Union guidelines for the use and care of laboratory animals.

Twenty-eight 6-7-week-old mice were bred in INRA's facility in Paris and housed collectively on wood litter, at

 $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C under 12-h light/dark cycles (light on at 06:00 am). They were fed *ad libitum* a standard pelleted diet (Teklad 20-18S, Harlan, Gannat, France) and acclimated to local conditions for 4 weeks. At 10-11 weeks of age, mice were fed during 8 weeks one of the two experimental diets differing in their fatty acid profile (LIN or COCO diet, as described below). They had free access to food and tap water. Food consumption (as assessed per collective cage and expressed relatively to the mean body weight of mice in each cage) and individual body weight were recorded weekly.

Diets were provided as pellets by UPAE-INRA (Jouy-en-Josas, France) as described previously [31]. The calculated composition (in weight) of the two diets was 21.0% protein, 69.2% carbohydrate, 4.8% lipid, 4.0% vitamins, and 4.0% minerals. The experimental diets were isoenergetic, with lipids providing 11.3% of total energy intake. The choice of a low fat diet was based on the results of a previous nutrigenomic study of some of the present authors, showing significant effects of PPARa deficiency on lipid and xenobiotic metabolism in mice fed the same diets as in the present study [31]. Besides, in our previous studies, Cyp4a14 gene, exhibiting a PPRE sequence and being specifically activated by PPAR $\alpha$  pure agonists [34], was significantly more expressed in WT mice than in KO mice fed a low fat diet rich in 18:3 n-3 [9, 31]. This indicated that even a low dietary amount of n-3 PUFA was able to activate PPARa, which justified the choice of the dietary conditions. Oils used for experimental diet preparation were hydrogenated coconut oil for a saturated FA-rich diet (SFA, COCO diet) and linseed oil for a ALA-rich oil (LIN diet). Their fatty acid composition was (in weight %): 99.6% SFA, 0.3% monounsaturated FA (MUFA), and 0.1% PUFA for the COCO diet; 9.0% SFA, 18.0% MUFA, and 72.9% PUFA (58% ALA) for the LIN diet [31].

2.2. Experimental Design. At the end of the experimental period, mice were fasted for 5 h, then weighed and anesthetized with combined xylazine/ketamine solution. Blood was taken by cardiac puncture, and mice were then killed by exsanguination. Plasma was separated by centrifugation  $(1,700*g, 20 \text{ min}, 4^{\circ}\text{C})$  and aliquots were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The abdominal cavity was then opened, and the liver was removed and weighed. Several liver samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Epididymal adipose tissue (EpAT, visceral localization) and inguinal adipose tissue (IngAT, subcutaneous localization) were removed and weighed.

2.3. Biochemical Analyses. Blood glucose concentration was determined with an Accu-Chek glucometer (Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France). Plasma cholesterol, triglycerides, and uric acid were determined by colorimetric enzymatic methods using commercial kits (Bio-Merieux, Craponne, France), adapted for use in a 96-well microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Saint-Grégoire, France). Plasma amino acids were determined by ion-exchange chromatography with postcolumn ninhydrine derivatisation on an Amino-tac JLC-500/V (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan). Plasma concentrations

Serum amyloid A (SAA)

Gene name	Abbreviation	Ref Seq	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Glutathione peroxidase 1 (GPX1)	Gpx1	NM_008160	GACACCAGGAGAATGGCAAGA	ACCATTCACTTCGCACTTCTCA
Cysteine dioxygenase (CDO)	Cdo	NM_033037	GATACATGCCACGCCTTTGA	CCTGAAGTTGTAAATGGAGTCCTGAT
Catalase (CAT)	Cat	NM_009804	GCCAGAAGAGAAAACCCACAGACT	CACTGAACAAGAAAGAAACCTGATG
Glutamate cysteine ligase $(\gamma GCL)$ , catalytic subunit	Gclc	NM_010295	GGAGGCGATGTTCTTGAGACTCT	CCTTCGATCATGTAACTCCCATACT
Glutamate-cysteine ligase $(\gamma GCL)$ , modifier subunit	Gclm	NM_008129	GGCCTCCTGCTGTGTGATG	GCCTCAGAGAGCAGTTCTTTCG
Superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1)	Sod1	NM_011434.1	GTGCAGGGAACCATCCACTT	GTCCTGACAACACAACTGGTTCA
Superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2)	Sod2	NM_013671	GCTCTGGCCAAGGGAGATG	TGATTAATATGTCCCCCACCATT
CD68 antigen (CD68)	Cd68	NM_009853.1	CATCAGAGCCCGAGTACAGTCTACC	AATTCTGCGCCATGAATGTCC
Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (MCP1)	Ccl2	NM_011333.3	GGCTCAGCCAGATGCAGTTAA	CCAGCCTACTCATTGGGATCA
Serum amvloid A (SAA)	Saa	NM_009117.3	GCGAGCCTACACTGACATGA	TTTTCTCAGCAGCCCAGACT

TABLE 1: Primer sequences used in quantitative RT-PCR analysis.

All primer sequences were designed using Primer Express (Applied Biosystems) software and were from Eurogentec (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium).

of adiponectin, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), leptin, and insulin were determined using multiplexed immunoassays (Millipore-Linco Research, St. Charles, USA) on a Bioplex-200 analyzer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Marnes-la-Coquette, France).

2.4. Gene Expression. Total RNA was extracted from a liver sample using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA), and synthesis of cDNA was performed on 400 ng of total RNA using a high capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit, based on the use of both oligodT and hexamers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). The primers listed in Table 1 were used for quantitative PCR on a 7300 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems), as described previously [35]. Gene expression was determined using the  $2^{-\Delta Ct}$  formula where  $\Delta Ct = (Ct \text{ target gene} - Ct 18S).$ 

2.5. Hepatic Thiol Concentrations. Total low-molecular weight thiols (cysteine, GSH, and cysteinylglycine (CysGly)) were analyzed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as described previously, with slight modifications [36]. Briefly, 50 mg of liver samples were homogenized in 950  $\mu$ L of 0.1 M phosphate buffer and centrifuged (3,000\*g, 5 min). A small volume of supernatant was removed for subsequent protein assay with the Pierce bicinchoninic acid (BCA) Assay Kit (Pierce, Rockford, USA). Sixty  $\mu$ L of the supernatant were reduced with 0.66 vol of triphenylphosphine (10% in dimethylformamide) deproteinized by 2 vol of 10% TCA and derivatized by 3% 4-(aminosulfonyl)-7-fluoro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazole at pH 9.0. After 1 hour incubation at 4°C, derivatization was stopped by adding 25 µL of 4 mM HCL. N-acetylcysteine was added in every sample as an internal standard. Thiol separation was achieved at 45°C on a Kromasil c18 column (15 cm × 4.6 mm id.,  $3.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) with a mobile phase consisting in 90% 100 mM citrate buffer pH 4.0 and 10% methanol. External standards of GSH, cysteine, and CysGly were used for the identification and quantification of thiols in liver homogenates. Results were normalized for liver weight or protein content.

2.6. Hepatic yGCL Activity. Liver yGCL activity was assessed in liver homogenate using a fluorescence-based method as described previously [37]. Briefly, a cytosolic fraction was prepared from a 50 mg liver homogenate by successive centrifugation (10,000\*g, 10 min, 4°C and 15,000\*g, 5 min, 4°C). Fifty  $\mu$ L of this cytosolic fraction were preincubated for 5 min at 37°C with 1 vol of reaction medium consisting in (final concentration): 133 mM Tris, 13.3 mM ATP, 6.66 mM glutamic acid, 0.66 mM serine, 0.66 mM EDTA, 6.66 mM sodium borate and 13.3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The reaction was started by the addition of  $50 \,\mu\text{L}$  of cysteine (0.66 mM, final concentration) and stopped after 20 minutes at 37°C with 50 µL of 200 mM sulfosalicylic acid followed by centrifugation at 2,000 ×g. For derivatization,  $20 \,\mu\text{L}$  of the resulting supernatant were incubated with  $180 \,\mu\text{L}$  of 10 mM 2,3-naphthalenedicarboxaldehyde (NDA) solution, to form NDA- $\gamma$ -glutamylcysteine. Fluorescence intensity ( $\varepsilon_{ex}$  $472 \text{ nm} - \varepsilon_{\text{em}} 528 \text{ nm}$ ) was measured on a fluorescence plate reader (CytoFluor 4000, Applied Biosystems) and quantified using standard curves of NDA-GSH. Results were corrected for initial GSH content and normalized for liver weight or protein concentration of the cytosolic fraction.

2.7. Statistical Analyses. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM. They were analysed using the SAS program (SAS Institute, Cary, USA). Differences between treatments and interactions were tested with a two-way ANOVA with genotype and diet

	WT		K	0		P values			
	COCO	LIN	COCO	LIN	ANOVA	Genotype (G)	Diet (D)	Interaction G*D	
Body weight (g)	$29.5\pm0.5^{\rm b}$	$29.6\pm0.5^{\rm b}$	$36.7 \pm 1.0^{a}$	$32.7 \pm 1.5^{b}$	0.0001	0.0001	0.0379	0.0261	
Liver weight (g)	$1.07\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	$1.07\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	$1.55\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	$1.04\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	< 0.0001	0.0004	0.0001	0.0001	
Liver weight (%)	$3.88\pm0.10^b$	$3.88\pm0.25^{b}$	$4.50\pm0.12^{a}$	$3.71\pm0.12^{b}$	0.0007	0.1403	0.0112	0.0123	
EpAT weight (%)	$2.31\pm0.16^{b}$	$2.58\pm0.19^{ab}$	$3.47\pm0.28^{a}$	$2.91\pm0.40^{ab}$	0.0013	0.0038	0.5062	0.0720	
IngAT weight (%)	$1.46\pm0.19^{b}$	$1.78\pm0.12^{ab}$	$2.50\pm0.23^{a}$	$1.79\pm0.22^{ab}$	0.0063	0.0090	0.3293	0.0102	
Blood glucose (g/L)	$1.94\pm0.18^{b}$	$1.83\pm0.21^{b}$	$1.48\pm0.17^{ab}$	$1.17\pm0.12^{\rm a}$	0.0001	0.0001	0.1892	0.4690	
Plasma TG (mg/dL)	$0.25\pm0.02^{a}$	$0.30\pm0.03^{ab}$	$0.39\pm0.01^b$	$0.36\pm0.05^{ab}$	0.0231	0.0039	0.7881	0.3064	
Plasma CT (mgd/L)	$0.75\pm0.02^{b}$	$0.62\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	$1.20\pm0.07^{\rm a}$	$0.84\pm0.11^{\rm b}$	0.0001	0.0001	0.0015	0.0823	

TABLE 2: Markers of PPARα deficiency in WT and PPARα-null (KO) mice fed diets containing either saturated FA (COCO diet) or ALA (LIN diet) for 8 weeks.

Values are means  $\pm$  standard errors for 7 mice per group. Mean values within a row sharing a same superscript letter, or without superscript letter, were not significantly different at P < 0.05.

as factors, using the GLM procedure. When the genotype and/or diet factor was significant, differences between means were tested for significance using the *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer procedure. Significance level was set at P < 0.05.

### 3. Results

3.1. Markers of PPARa Deficiency in Relation to Dietary *Treatment (Table 2).* Body weight was higher in the KO mice. Throughout the 8 weeks of the experiment, individual daily food intake (in g, and as estimated from total food consumed per cage) was higher in KO mice, but was similar to the WT mice when corrected for the body weight  $(130 \pm 14 \text{ mg/g})$ body weight per day, whatever the genotype or the diet). This indicated that the higher body weight of the KO groups did not differ primarily from a higher food intake. In WT mice, the fatty acid composition of the diets did not affect the markers of the metabolic syndrome. PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency resulted in higher body and liver weights, as well as in adipose tissue proportion than in WT mice. Post-hoc analysis showed that this genotype effect was significant only in the COCO-fed mice, and not in the LIN-fed ones. In contrast, there was no overall effect of PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency on liver proportion but a significant genotype \* diet interaction, so that post-hoc analyses revealed that the liver proportion was significantly increased by PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency the COCO-fed group, and not in the LIN-fed one. Similarly, the higher plasma concentrations of triglyceride and cholesterol found in the KO mice were more pronounced in the COCOfed group than in the LIN-fed one. In contrast, when compared to their WT counterparts, KO mice exhibited a lower glycemia, this effect being more pronounced in mice fed the LIN diet.

#### 3.2. GSH Metabolism Related Parameters

3.2.1. Hepatic Thiols Status (Table 3). Hepatic GSH concentration and pool varied according to the experimental conditions, with a strong interaction between the genotype and the diet (P < 0.001). In WT mice, GSH concentration and pool were 40% higher in those fed the LIN diet than in those fed the COCO diet. PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency resulted in increased concentration and pool of GSH in the COCO-fed mice, while it did not affect the LIN-fed diet ones. Hepatic concentration and pool of cysteine and CysGly were much lower than those of GSH and were neither affected by PPAR $\alpha$ deficiency nor by the diet.

3.2.2. Hepatic yGCL Activity (Figure 1) and mRNA Levels of yGCL and CDO (Table 4). In WT mice, the fatty acid composition of the diets did not influence either specific or total yGCL activity. PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency significantly increased yGCL specific activity (Figure 1(a)) and total activity (Figure 1(b)). The *post-hoc* analysis showed that the effect of PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency on yGCL was significant only in the LIN-fed mice for the specific activity and in the COCO-fed mice for the total activity. Hepatic mRNA level of yGCL and CDO was not affected by either PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency or the diet (Table 4).

3.2.3. Plasma Amino Acid Concentrations (Table 5). Among amino acids related to cysteine metabolism, PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency was associated with a significantly higher plasma concentration of methionine and lower concentration of glycine and taurine. Plasma concentration of glutamic acid and cysteine was not affected by the genotype. None of the plasma concentrations was influenced by the diet.

#### 3.3. Oxidative Stress and Inflammatory Status

3.3.1. Hepatic mRNA Levels of Antioxidant Enzymes and of Inflammatory Markers (Table 4). Hepatic mRNA levels of the genes coding for GPx (Gpx1), CAT (Cat), and Cu/ZnSOD (Sod1) were not affected by PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency, while PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency significantly increased the mRNA level coding for

	WT		K	30	P values			
	COCO	LIN	COCO	LIN	ANOVA	Genotype (G)	Diet (D)	Interaction G*D
GSH (µmol/g of protein)	$3112\pm180^{b}$	$5138\pm412^{a}$	$5201\pm509^{a}$	$3892\pm382^{ab}$	0.0013	0.2815	0.3569	0.0003
Cysteine (µmol/g of protein)	274 ± 91.8	190 ± 66.0	211 ± 55.8	243 ± 55.8	0.8377	0.9432	0.7061	0.4144
CysGly (µmol/g of protein)	97.5 ± 5.80	83.6 ± 12.7	102 ± 20.5	93.5 ± 8.14	0.8012	0.6243	0.4461	0.8514
GSH (µmol/ liver)	$439\pm31.3^{b}$	$774 \pm 77.2^{\mathrm{ac}}$	$1031 \pm 118^{a}$	$544\pm80.7^{bc}$	0.0002	0.0367	0.3590	<.0001
Cysteine (µmol/ liver)	$40.2\pm16.0$	29.3 ± 10.6	41.3 ± 10.1	33.2 ± 7.62	0.8614	0.8304	0.4181	0.9034
CysGly (µmol/ liver)	$14.2 \pm 1.24$	13.1 ± 2.03	20.0 ± 3.49	12.9 ± 1.19	0.1456	0.2600	0.1099	0.2383

TABLE 3: Hepatic thiols concentrations and pools in WT and PPAR $\alpha$ -null (KO) mice fed diets containing either saturated FA (COCO diet) or ALA (LIN diet) for 8 weeks.

Values are means  $\pm$  standard errors for 7 mice per group. Mean values within a row sharing a same superscript letter, or without superscript letter, were not significantly different at P < 0.05.



FIGURE 1: Hepatic GCL activity in WT and PPAR $\alpha$ -deficient (KO) mice fed diets containing either saturated FA (COCO diet) or ALA (LIN diet) for 8 weeks. Values are expressed as nmol/mg of protein/h for specific activity (a) and as mmol/liver/h for total activity (b). They are means  $\pm$  standard errors for 7 mice per group, \*\*KO group significantly different from WT group P < 0.01. Columns sharing a same superscript letter, or without superscript letter, were not significantly different at P < 0.05.

MnSOD (Sod2). None of these mRNA levels was influenced by the diet, whatever the genotype.

CD68 mRNA level was significantly higher in KO mice than in WT ones. *Post-hoc* analysis showed that this genotype effect was borne by the mice fed the LIN diet essentially. SAA and MCP1 mRNA levels were also numerically higher in KO mice, but the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.0684 for SAA and 0.0829 for MCP1).

*3.3.2. Plasma Concentration of Uric Acid (Figure 2).* In WT mice, the fatty acid composition of the diets did not influence

TABLE 4: Hepatic mRNA levels of cysteine and glutathione metabolism key genes, and of inflammatory markers, in WT and PPARα-null (KO) mice fed diets containing either saturated FA (COCO diet) or ALA (LIN diet) for 8 weeks (arbitrary units).

	V	VТ	КО			P values		
	COCO	LIN	COCO	LIN	ANOVA	Genotype (G)	Diet (D)	Interaction G*D
Glutamate cysteine ligase (yGCLc), catalytic subunit	$0.22 \pm 0.04$	0.30 ± 0.07	$0.22\pm0.05$	0.13 ± 0.02	0.1583	0.0941	0.9836	0.1183
Glutamate cysteine ligase (yGCLm), modifier subunit	0.68 ± 0.11	$0.65\pm0.07$	$0.55\pm0.07$	0.63 ± 0.17	0.8840	0.5255	0.8070	0.6317
Cysteine dioxygenase (CDO)	$3.84\pm0.61$	$2.24\pm0.16$	$2.22\pm0.38$	3.92 ± 1.02	0.1193	0.8885	0.9780	0.0181
Glutathione peroxidase 1 (GPX1)	8.65 ± 1.58	9.32 ± 1.15	8.79 ± 1.55	$9.54 \pm 2.71$	0.9857	0.9272	0.7211	0.9844
Catalase (CAT)	$1.05\pm0.28$	$0.97\pm0.12$	$0.92\pm0.22$	$0.71\pm0.13$	0.6463	0.3327	0.4750	0.7445
Superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1)	64 <b>.</b> 9 ± 3.36	$61.2\pm3.68$	63.1 ± 3.95	69.8 ± 3.96	0.4529	0.3941	0.7101	0.2006
Superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2)	$5.09 \pm 1.06^{\rm b}$	$5.66\pm0.77^{bc}$	$10.1 \pm 0.63^{a}$	$8.49\pm0.7^{\text{ac}}$	0.0005	<0.001	0.517	0.1873
CD68 antigen (CD68)	$20.0\pm10.4^{ab}$	$8.75\pm8.28^{b}$	$30.9 \pm 11.9^{a}$	$29.1 \pm 14.8^{a}$	0.0121	0.0027	0.1717	0.3142
Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (MCP1)	$0.94\pm0.45$	0.77 ± 0.25	$1.45\pm0.28$	1.55 ± 1.77	0.3467	0.0898	0.7011	0.9267
Serum amyloid A (SAA)	$0.47\pm0.29$	$0.51\pm0.36$	2.13 ± 3.83	$2.70\pm2.69$	0.2919	0.0684	0.7926	0.7615

Gene expression was determined using the  $2^{-\Delta Ct}$  formula where  $\Delta Ct = (Ct target gene - Ct 18S)$ . Values are means  $\pm$  standard errors for 4–7 mice per group. Mean values within a row sharing a same superscript letter, or without superscript letter, were not significantly different at P < 0.05.

	WT		k	KO		<i>P</i> values				
	COCO	LIN	COCO	LIN	ANOVA	Genotype (G)	Diet (D)	Interaction G*D		
Cysteine	$16.3\pm3.64$	$15.0\pm2.12$	$17.2\pm2.71$	$17.6\pm3.87$	0.9574	0.6144	0.8946	0.7949		
Glycine	$231 \pm 17.3^{a}$	$219\pm14.26^{ab}$	$170\pm5.85^{b}$	$214 \pm 16.3^{ab}$	0.0236	0.0250	0.2603	0.0600		
L-glutamic acid	23.6 ± 1.20	23.9 ± 3.09	21.5 ± 1.38	$19.4 \pm 1.20$	0.3186	0.0800	0.6746	0.5300		
Methionine	$39.8 \pm 1.89$	$38.9\pm2.07$	$47.1\pm3.83$	$49.8\pm5.32$	0.0980	0.0150	0.8094	0.6253		
Taurine	$436\pm59.8$	$511\pm38.0$	$389 \pm 43.0$	$366\pm22.4$	0.1290	0.0403	0.5624	0.2797		

TABLE 5: Plasma concentrations of amino acid involved in cysteine metabolism in WT and PPAR $\alpha$ -null (KO) mice fed diets containing either saturated FA (COCO diet) or ALA (LIN diet) for 8 weeks.

Values are expressed in  $\mu$ M. Values are means  $\pm$  standard errors for 7 mice per group. Mean values within a row sharing a same superscript letter, or without superscript letter, were not significantly different at P < 0.05.

uric acid concentration. When compared to their WT counterparts, PPAR $\alpha$  KO mice exhibited a higher plasma uric acid concentration. However, due to a significant genotype\*diet interaction, this concentration was affected only in mice fed the COCO diet. to decrease (P = 0.0596) in response to PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency. In contrast, MCP1 concentration was not affected by the diet, but significantly decreased in PPAR $\alpha$ -deficient mice compared to WT mice.

3.3.3. Plasma Cytokines and Hormones (Table 6). Concentrations of leptin, insulin, and PAI1 were neither affected by the diet nor by the genotype. Independently of the genotype, adiponectine concentration was significantly higher in the LIN-fed mice diet than in the COCO-fed mice and tended

## 4. Discussion

PPAR $\alpha$  KO has been previously shown to affect fatty acid metabolism [33] and glucose homeostasis [1, 38]. In line with these observations, our experimental conditions

TABLE 6: Plasma hormones and cytokines concentrations in WT and PPARα-null (KO) mice fed diets containing either saturated FA (COCO diet) or ALA (LIN diet) for 8 weeks.

 WT
 KO
 P values

	WT		k	KO (O	P values				
	COCO	LIN	COCO	LIN	ANOVA	Genotype (G)	Diet (D)	Interaction G*D	
Insulin (ng/mL)	$1.68\pm0.36$	$2.87\pm0.54$	$2.66\pm0.37$	$1.83\pm0.77$	0.2300	0.9510	0.7145	0.0531	
Leptin (pg/mL)	$222\pm52.9$	$254\pm71.54$	$179\pm39.2$	$242\pm57.9$	0.7748	0.6541	0.4430	0.8041	
Adiponectine (mg/mL)	$8.11 \pm 1.03^{b}$	$13.6 \pm 1.37^{a}$	$6.95\pm0.45^{b}$	$10.7\pm0.81^{ab}$	0.0005	0.0596	0.0002	0.3990	
MCP 1 (pg/mL)	$20.2\pm4.55$	$18.3 \pm 1.75$	$10.3 \pm 1.89$	$13.8\pm1.69$	0.1040	0.0338	0.8119	0.4066	
PAI 1 (ng/mL)	$1.53\pm0.19$	$1.65\pm0.31$	$0.97\pm0.21$	$1.65\pm0.43$	0.2241	0.3203	0.1616	0.3120	

Values are means  $\pm$  standard errors for 7 mice per group. Mean values within a row sharing a same superscript letter, or without superscript letter, were not significantly different at P < 0.05.



FIGURE 2: Plasma concentrations of uric acid in WT and PPAR $\alpha$ -deficient (KO) mice fed diets containing either saturated FA (COCO diet) or ALA (LIN diet) for 8 weeks. Values are means  $\pm$  standard errors for 7 mice per group, \*KO group significantly different from WT group P < 0.05. Columns sharing a same superscript letter, or without superscript letter, were not significantly different at P < 0.05.

reproduced the characteristic phenotypic alterations associated with PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency, which are similar to some of those clustered in the metabolic syndrome, such as obesity, hepatic hypertrophy, hypertriglyceridemia, hypercholesterolemia, and glycemic dysregulation (Table 2). Because alteration of glutathione metabolism is a common feature of the metabolic syndrome [39], and since we and others have previously shown that PPAR $\alpha$  invalidation impacted some specific amino acid metabolic pathways [6–9, 40], investigating the effects of PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency on cysteine metabolism and GSH status was especially relevant.

4.1. Consequences of PPAR $\alpha$  Deficiency on GSH Metabolism. Under our experimental conditions, PPAR $\alpha$  invalidation was primarily associated with an overall increase in the hepatic pool of GSH (P < 0.0367, Table 3). The significant genotype \* diet interaction (P < 0.001) showed that this was true only in mice fed the COCO diet, as discussed below. An increase in GSH pool might reflect an increase in GSH synthesis and/or a decrease in GSH utilization (export and/or degradation). As concerns GSH synthesis, it is regulated primarily by yGCL activity, cysteine availability, and GSH feedback inhibition [41]. In PPAR $\alpha$ -deficient mice, the increase in hepatic GSH pool could be directly related to an enhanced synthesis from cysteine, as suggested by their higher total yGCL activity (Figure 1(b)). In parallel, PPAR $\alpha$  invalidation was accompanied by a lower plasma concentration of one of the GSH precursors, glycine, but also of taurine, whereas that of methionine increased (Table 5). In contrast, plasma concentration of cysteine was not affected, suggesting that cysteine availability was not limiting for GSH synthesis. Our results on taurine are consistent with the decrease in plasma taurine concentration and in CDO mRNA level in the adipose tissue of obese mice [42]. The blunting of cysteine to taurine flux in the adipose tissue may eventually result in a sparing of cysteine which could be used for glutathione synthesis. Because part of glycine synthesis involves methyl transfer from methionine, the decrease in glycine, together with the increase in methionine, may reflect alterations in one-carbon metabolism, as reported in subjects with nonalcoholic hepatosteatitis [43].

In addition to an increase in synthesis rate, the higher hepatic GSH pool in KO mice could also result from a decreased utilization in antioxidant defences. Under our experimental conditions, the level of GPX1 mRNA, the major glutathione peroxidase isoform, was unaffected by PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency (Table 4). This is consistent with a previous study showing that GPX activity was not altered in fasted PPAR $\alpha$  KO mice [23] and suggests that fibrateenhanced GPX activity found in human erythrocytes [24] was PPAR $\alpha$  independent, and probably involved complex post-transcriptional regulations.

4.2. Interactions between Genotype and Diet. A secondary aim of this study was to investigate the impact of n-3 PUFA, the nutritional PPAR $\alpha$  ligands, on GSH metabolism. In WT mice, hepatic GSH concentration and pool are higher in mice fed the LIN diet than in those fed the COCO diet. This is consistent with previous studies suggesting that longchain n-3 PUFA may exert a beneficial action on oxidative stress by increasing total glutathione in a rat model of chronic heart failure [26] and in cultured human fibroblasts [29]. However, to our knowledge, the present study is the first evidence that, in comparison with saturated fatty acids, even a very low amount of ALA may exert the same effects on GSH metabolism as its long-chain derivatives. We have shown previously that even a very low intake of dietary ALA (identical to the present study) activates typical targets of PPARα, such as Cyp4a14 [9, 31]. However, the mechanisms by which ALA regulates GSH metabolism in WT mice remains speculative, since none of the genes studied, and in particular yGCL, exhibited a difference in mRNA level between the COCO and the LIN diet. Thus, even if typical target genes of PPAR $\alpha$  are activated by the LIN diet, rich in 18:3 n-3, it is not possible to conclude on a direct involvement of PPAR $\alpha$  into the regulation of GSH level by fatty acids. Other pleiotropic effects of fatty acids have to be investigated, such as modifications of membrane microdomain composition (thus modulating receptors and ion channels functioning) or regulation of downstream cell signalling pathways.

Unexpectedly, the impact of the dietary fatty acid profile on GSH metabolism was even more pronounced in KO mice. Indeed, *post-hoc* analysis showed that PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency increased total GSH content only in COCO-fed mice, and not in the LIN-fed mice. Specific activity and mRNA level of  $\gamma$ GCL were not affected by PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency in mice fed the COCO diet (Figure 1(a) and Table 4). Thus, their higher GSH content results not only from a difference in liver weight, which was 50% higher in this group than in the three other ones (Table 2), but also from their increased GSH concentration (Table 3), suggesting that the increased GSH pool in KO mice fed the COCO diet is diet specific. The mechanistic reasons why GSH concentration increased in KO mice when fed the COCO diet remain unclear, since yGCL specific activity was the same as in their WT counterparts, whereas GPx activity was not affected by PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency. It may be hypothesised that, secondary to PPAR $\alpha$  invalidation, exportation of GSH into plasma towards extrahepatic tissues is impaired in KO mice, which would functionally affect the antioxidative defences of the whole body. In contrast, GSH concentration and pool were not significantly affected by PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency in mice fed the LIN diet (Table 3). To our knowledge, the only other study having investigated the consequence of PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency on GSH metabolism reported a significant depletion (20–25%) in total hepatic GSH content in fasted KO mice fed a standard rodent chow [23]. The fatty acid composition of the diet did not figure in this study, but was probably, as usual in standard rodent chows, soy oil rich in n-6 PUFA. Taken together, our data and the previous ones suggest that a diet in which the lipid moiety is rich in saturated FA and poor in PUFA, such as the COCO diet, makes the liver GSH pool sensitive to PPAR $\alpha$ invalidation, whereas PUFA would protect the mice against the effects of PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency.

In addition to the changes in cysteine metabolism and GSH status, PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency seemed to alter some markers

of the oxidative and inflammatory status, in interaction with dietary fatty acids. Indeed, Sod2 (but not Sod1) mRNA level increased in KO mice, especially when fed the COCO diet (Table 4), which indicates an activation of antioxidant defences [44]. This is apparently inconsistent with the enhanced Sod2 expression by fenofibrate in mouse brain microvessels [45] and with the decrease in SOD activity in fasted PPAR $\alpha$  KO mice compared to the WT ones [23]. As discussed above for GSH content, these discrepancies may reflect an effect of the dietary fatty acid profile on the response to oxidative stress of PPAR $\alpha$  KO mice. In line with the higher Sod2 expression in PPAR $\alpha$  KO mice, we also observed an increase in the plasma concentration of uric acid, a widely recognized marker of oxidative stress [46], with the same diet \* genotype interaction: this concentration was 4-fold increased in KO mice fed the COCO diet, but not in those fed the LIN diet (Figure 2). To our knowledge, this is the first evidence of the impact of PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency of plasma uric acid concentration, in interaction with the dietary fatty acid profile. Altogether, these two markers (hepatic Sod2 mRNA level and plasma uric acid concentration) support the existence of a mild oxidative stress in PPAR KO mice, which is mitigated by the LIN diet. This is consistent with the previously reported prevention of hepatic steatosis in PPAR KO mice by ALA [30, 31]. Triglyceride accumulation in the liver is known to trigger oxidative stress, which in turn contributes to the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis [47]. Therefore, a decrease in fatty acid accumulation in response to ALA feeding is expected to mitigate the oxidative stress resulting from PPAR $\alpha$  invalidation.

While PPAR deficiency induced a mild oxidative stress, evidences for inflammation were less conclusive, since the plasma concentration of both the proinflammatory cytokine MCP1 and the anti-inflammatory adipokine, adiponectine, was decreased, whereas PAI1 concentration did not change (Table 6). In the liver, mRNA levels of CD68, SAA, and MCP1 increased in KO mice, but the difference with WT mice was significant for CD68 only. Taken together, these results suggest a mild inflammatory status in response to PPAR $\alpha$  invalidation. This is consistent with previous results reporting that obesity-induced inflammation is aggravated in PPAR-deficient mice [48]. Finally, whatever the genotype, the plasma concentration of adiponectine, an antiinflammatory adipokine, was higher in mice fed the LIN diet, suggesting an influence of the fatty acid profile of the diet, which is PPAR $\alpha$  independent.

## 5. Conclusion

Under our experimental conditions, and in accordance with a previous study [23], PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency seemed to induce an oxidative and inflammatory stress in the liver, as evidenced by the higher values of hepatic GSH pool and concentration, total  $\gamma$ GCL activity, Sod2 mRNA level, and plasma uric acid concentration. However, the phenotypic consequences of PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency depended, as last partly, on the dietary fatty acid profile. Indeed, most increases observed in KO mice fed the COCO diet (hepatic GSH pool and concentration, total vGCL activity, Sod2 mRNA level, and plasma uric acid concentration) were alleviated, or even absent, in mice fed the LIN diet, suggesting that ALA would be protective against these effects of PPAR $\alpha$  invalidation. The reasons of this diet-based sensitivity remains unclear, but it is likely that it is not directly related to the PPAR $\alpha$  deficiency. More probably, it is secondary to the previously described effects of dietary fatty acids on hepatic steatosis in PPAR $\alpha$ KO mice [30, 31]. Indeed, this hepatic steatosis occurred in KO mice fed a SFA-containing diet, but was alleviated, and even absent, in those fed a PUFA-containing diet. It may thus be hypothesized that the beneficial effects of dietary PUFA on liver metabolism in KO mice, even in low amounts, may be accounted for by a protection against lipid accumulation, resulting in lower lipotoxicity and oxidative stress than with SFA.

## **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Thierry Pineau for the PPAR $\alpha$ deficient mice, which are a kind gift from Dr. Franck J. Gonzalez and are carefully bred by Colette Bétoulières (Toulouse). They gratefully acknowledge the contribution of Angélique Foucault-Simonin (Paris) for animal care and help with dissection and sampling. They also thank sincerely Pierre Weill and Guillaume Chesneau (Valorex company, La Messayais, Combourtillé, France) for providing linseed oil. G. Najoua is supported by a doctoral fellowship.

#### References

- S. Kersten, J. Seydoux, J. M. Peters, F. J. Gonzalez, B. Desvergne, and W. Wahli, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α mediates the adaptive response to fasting," *Journal* of *Clinical Investigation*, vol. 103, no. 11, pp. 1489–1498, 1999.
- [2] H. Keller, F. Givel, M. Perroud, and W. Wahli, "Signaling cross-talk between peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor/retinoid X receptor and estrogen receptor through estrogen response elements," *Molecular Endocrinology*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 794–804, 1995.
- [3] P. Lefebvre, G. Chinetti, J.-C. Fruchart, and B. Staels, "Sorting out the roles of PPARα in energy metabolism and vascular homeostasis," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 571–580, 2006.
- [4] S. Mandard, M. Muller, and S. Kersten, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α target genes," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 393–416, 2004.
- [5] S. Mandard, R. Stienstra, P. Escher et al., "Glycogen synthase 2 is a novel target gene of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 1145–1157, 2007.
- [6] S. Kersten, S. Mandard, P. Escher et al., "The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α regulates amino acid metabolism," *FASEB Journal*, vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 1971–1978, 2001.

- [7] D. Patsouris, S. Mandard, P. J. Voshol et al., "PPARα governs glycerol metabolism," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 94–103, 2004.
- [8] K. Sheikh, G. Camejo, B. Lanne, T. Halvarsson, M. R. Landergren, and N. D. Oakes, "Beyond lipids, pharmacological PPARα activation has important effects on amino acid metabolism as studied in the rat," *American Journal of Physiology—Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 292, no. 4, pp. E1157–E1165, 2007.
- [9] N. Guelzim, F. Mariotti, P. G. Martin, F. Lasserre, T. Pineau, and D. Hermier, "A role for PPARα in the regulation of arginine metabolismand nitric oxide synthesis," *Amino Acids*. In press.
- [10] D. L. Bella, L. L. Hirschberger, Y. Hosokawa, and M. H. Stipanuk, "Mechanisms involved in the regulation of key enzymes of cysteine metabolism in rat liver in vivo," *American Journal of Physiology—Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 276, no. 2, part 1, pp. E326–E335, 1999.
- [11] H. J. Forman, H. Zhang, and A. Rinna, "Glutathione: overview of its protective roles, measurement, and biosynthesis," *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, vol. 30, no. 1-2, pp. 1–12, 2009.
- [12] M. H. Stipanuk, "Role of the liver in regulation of body cysteine and taurine levels: a brief review," *Neurochemical Research*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 105–110, 2004.
- [13] A. C. Maritim, R. A. Sanders, and J. B. Watkins III, "Diabetes, oxidative stress, and antioxidants: a review," *Journal of Biochemical and Molecular Toxicology*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 24–38, 2003.
- [14] A. Galinier, A. Carriere, Y. Fernandez et al., "Site specific changes of redox metabolism in adipose tissue of obese Zucker rats," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 580, no. 27, pp. 6391–6398, 2006.
- [15] C. K. Roberts, R. J. Barnard, R. K. Sindhu, M. Jurczak, A. Ehdaie, and N. D. Vaziri, "Oxidative stress and dysregulation of NAD(P)H oxidase and antioxidant enzymes in diet-induced metabolic syndrome," *Metabolism*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 928–934, 2006.
- [16] F. Song, W. Jia, Y. Yao et al., "Oxidative stress, antioxidant status and DNA damage in patients with impaired glucose regulation and newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes," *Clinical Science*, vol. 112, no. 12, pp. 599–606, 2007.
- [17] P. Giral, N. Jacob, C. Dourmap et al., "Elevated y-glutamyltransferase activity and perturbed thiol profile are associated with features of metabolic syndrome," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 587–593, 2008.
- [18] C. Blouet, F. Mariotti, D. Azzout-Marniche et al., "Dietary cysteine alleviates sucrose-induced oxidative stress and insulin resistance," *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 1089–1097, 2007.
- [19] S. K. Jain, T. Velusamy, J. L. Croad, J. L. Rains, and R. Bull, "L-Cysteine supplementation lowers blood glucose, glycated hemoglobin, CRP, MCP-1, and oxidative stress and inhibits NF-κB activation in the livers of Zucker diabetic rats," *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 1633–1638, 2009.
- [20] T. Toyama, H. Nakamura, Y. Harano et al., "PPARα ligands activate antioxidant enzymes and suppress hepatic fibrosis in rats," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 324, no. 2, pp. 697–704, 2004.
- [21] I. Inoue, S. Noji, T. Awata et al., "Bezafibrate has an antioxidant effect: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  is associated with Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>-superoxide dismutase in the liver," *Life Sciences*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 135–144, 1998.
- [22] I. Inoue, S. Goto, T. Matsunaga et al., "The ligands/activators for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  (PPAR $\alpha$ )

and PPARy increase Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>-superoxide dismutase and decrease p22phox message expressions in primary endothelial cells," *Metabolism*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 3–11, 2001.

- [23] M. A. Abdelmegeed, K. H. Moon, J. P. Hardwick, F. J. Gonzalez, and B. J. Song, "Role of peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-α in fasting-mediated oxidative stress," *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 767–778, 2009.
- [24] I. Tkac, A. Molcanyiova, M. Javorsky, and M. Kozarova, "Fenofibrate treatment reduces circulating conjugated diene level and increases glutathione peroxidase activity," *Pharmacological Research*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 261–264, 2006.
- [25] S. L. Arnaiz, M. Travacio, A. J. Monserrat, J. C. Cutrin, S. Llesuy, and A. Boveris, "Chemiluminescence and antioxidant levels during peroxisome proliferation by fenofibrate," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 1360, no. 3, pp. 222–228, 1997.
- [26] Y. Fang, J. Favre, M. Vercauteren et al., "Reduced cardiac remodelling and prevention of glutathione deficiency after ω-3 supplementation in chronic heart failure," *Fundamental and Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 323–332, 2011.
- [27] T. R. Ramaprasad, V. Baskaran, T. P. Krishnakantha, and B. R. Lokesh, "Modulation of antioxidant enzyme activities, platelet aggregation and serum prostaglandins in rats fed spray-dried milk containing n-3 fatty acid," *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 280, no. 1-2, pp. 9–16, 2005.
- [28] H. Erdogan, E. Fadillioglu, S. Ozgocmen et al., "Effect of fish oil supplementation on plasma oxidant/antioxidant status in rats," *Prostaglandins Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 149–152, 2004.
- [29] K. Arab, A. Rossary, F. Flourié, Y. Tourneur, and J. P. Steghens, "Docosahexaenoic acid enhances the antioxidant response of human fibroblasts by upregulating *y*-glutamyl-cysteinyl ligase and glutathione reductase," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 18–26, 2006.
- [30] A. Morise, C. Thomas, J. F. Landrier, P. Besnard, and D. Hermier, "Hepatic lipid metabolism response to dietary fatty acids is differently modulated by PPAR $\alpha$  in male and female mice," *European Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 48, no. 8, pp. 465–473, 2009.
- [31] P. G. Martin, H. Guillou, F. Lasserre et al., "Novel aspects of PPARα-mediated regulation of lipid and xenobiotic metabolism revealed through a nutrigenomic study," *Hepatology*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 767–777, 2007.
- [32] S. S. Lee, T. Pineau, J. Drago et al., "Targeted disruption of the  $\alpha$  isoform of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gene in mice results in abolishment of the pleiotropic effects of peroxisome proliferators," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 3012–3022, 1995.
- [33] P. Costet, C. Legendre, J. Moré, A. Edgar, P. Galtier, and T. Pineau, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  isoform deficiency leads to progressive dyslipidemia with sexually dimorphic obesity and steatosis," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 273, no. 45, pp. 29577–29585, 1998.
- [34] M. Rakhshandehroo, L. M. Sanderson, M. Matilainen et al., "Comprehensive analysis of PPAR $\alpha$ -dependent regulation of hepatic lipid metabolism by expression profiling," *PPAR Research*, vol. 2007, Article ID 26839, 13 pages, 2007.
- [35] N. Chotechuang, D. Azzout-Marniche, C. Bos et al., "mTOR, AMPK, and GCN2 coordinate the adaptation of hepatic energy metabolic pathways in response to protein intake in the rat," *American Journal of Physiology—Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 297, no. 6, pp. E1313–E1323, 2009.

- [36] T. Santa, C. Aoyama, T. Fukushima, K. Imai, and T. Funatsu, "Suppression of thiol exchange reaction in the determination of reduced-form thiols by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection after derivatization with fluorogenic benzofurazan reagent, 7-fluoro-2,1,3benzoxadiazole-4-sulfonate and 4-aminosulfonyl-7-fluoro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazole," *Biomedical Chromatography*, vol. 20, no. 6-7, pp. 656–661, 2006.
- [37] C. C. White, H. Viernes, C. M. Krejsa, D. Botta, and T. J. Kavanagh, "Fluorescence-based microtiter plate assay for glutamate-cysteine ligase activity," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 318, no. 2, pp. 175–180, 2003.
- [38] S. Neschen, K. Morino, J. Dong et al., "n-3 fatty acids preserve insulin sensitivity in vivo in a peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-α-dependent manner," *Diabetes*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 1034–1041, 2007.
- [39] E. Hopps, D. Noto, G. Caimi, and M. R. Averna, "A novel component of the metabolic syndrome: the oxidative stress," *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 72–77, 2010.
- [40] M. Bunger, G. J. Hooiveld, S. Kersten, and M. Muller, "Exploration of PPAR functions by microarray technology a paradigm for nutrigenomics," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 1771, no. 8, pp. 1046–1064, 2007.
- [41] A. Pastore, G. Federici, E. Bertini, and F. Piemonte, "Analysis of glutathione: implication in redox and detoxification," *Clinica Chimica Acta*, vol. 333, no. 1-2, pp. 19–39, 2003.
- [42] N. Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, C. Shozawa, K. Sano et al., "Taurine (2-aminoethanesulfonic acid) deficiency creates a vicious circle promoting obesity," *Endocrinology*, vol. 147, no. 7, pp. 3276–3284, 2006.
- [43] S. Kalhan, J. Edmison, S. Marczewski et al., "Methionine and protein metabolism in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: evidence for lower rate of transmethylation of methionine," *Clinical Science*, vol. 121, no. 4, pp. 179–189, 2011.
- [44] L. Miao and D. K. S. Clair, "Regulation of superoxide dismutase genes: implications in disease," *Free Radical Biology* and Medicine, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 344–356, 2009.
- [45] G. Wang, X. Liu, Q. Guo, and S. Namura, "Chronic treatment with fibrates elevates superoxide dismutase in adult mouse brain microvessels," *Brain Research*, vol. 1359, pp. 247–255, 2010.
- [46] P. Strazzullo and J. G. Puig, "Uric acid and oxidative stress: relative impact on cardiovascular risk?" *Nutrition, Metabolism* and Cardiovascular Diseases, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 409–414, 2007.
- [47] B. A. Neuschwander-Tetri, "Hepatic lipotoxicity and the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: the central role of nontriglyceride fatty acid metabolites," *Hepatology*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 774–788, 2010.
- [48] W. V. Berghe, L. Vermeulen, P. Delerive, K. de Bosscher, B. Staels, and G. Haegeman, "A paradigm for gene regulation: inflammation, NF-κB and PPAR," *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, vol. 544, pp. 181–196, 2003.



The Scientific **World Journal** 



Gastroenterology Research and Practice





Journal of Diabetes Research



**Disease Markers** 



Immunology Research





Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com





BioMed **Research International** 



Journal of Ophthalmology

Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine













Research and Treatment





Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity



Stem Cells International



Behavioural Neurology