brought to you by CORE

155N: 1662-7482, Vols. 58-60, pp 691-696 doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.58-60.691 © 2011 Trans Tech Publications, Switzerland

Passive control for uncertain stochastic time-delay systems

Cheng Wang^a and Huanbin Liu^b

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Huanggang Normal University, Huanggang 438000, China ^awangc80@163.com, ^blhb@hgnu.edu.cn

Key words: uncertain stochastic systems; time-delay; passivity; linear matrix inequality (LMI)

Abstract. This paper investigates the problems of delay-dependent passive analysis and control for uncertain stochastic systems with time-varying delay and norm-bounded parameters uncertainties. Delay-dependent stochastic passive condition for the uncertain stochastic time-delay systems is obtained based on Laypunov-Krasovkii functional approach. On the basis of this condition, a delay-dependent passive controller is presented. Sufficient condition for the existence of desired controller is formulated in terms of linear matrix inequality. Finally, a numerical example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Introduction

Stability of stochastic time-delay systems have received considerable research since stochastic phenomenon typically exhibits in branches of science and engineering applications in recent years[1-6]. Time-delays often appear in practical systems, such as manufacturing systems, telecommunication and economic systems, ect., oftentimes causing instability and poor performance. Several kinds of methods about ability problem for time-delay systems have been considered. These methods can be classified into two categories as delay- dependent methods and delay-independent ones. Since the introduction of the notion of positive realness in system and control theory, many results have been developed (see [7-10]). The objective of passive control is to design controllers such that the closed-loop system is stable and passive. In [11-12], delay-dependent sufficient conditions for passivity for a class of uncertain Markovian jump systems with multiple mode-dependent time-delays and a memoryless state-feedback controller are derived. However, up to now, the delay-dependent passive control problem for uncertain stochastic systems with time-delays has not been adequately addressed yet, which still remains an interesting research topic.

This paper is concerned with the problem of stochastically passive control for $It\hat{o}$'s stochastic system with time-varying norm-bounded parameter uncertainties. Using $It\hat{o}$'s differential formula and the Lyapunov stability, we derive a sufficient condition for passivity in terms of delay-dependent linear matrix inequality. We also derive a delay-dependent passive controller via linear matrix inequality. The proposed results can be easily checked by resorting to available software packages. A numerical example is exploited to demonstrate the effectiveness of the method.

Problem formulation

Consider the following uncertain stochastic time-delay system (Σ) described by *Itô* 's differential equation

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = [A(t)x(t) + A_1(t)x(t - h(t)) + B(t)u(t) + B_1(t)v(t)]dt + \\ [E(t)x(t) + E_1(t)x(t - h(t)) + B_2(t)v(t)]d\omega(t) \\ z(t) = Cx(t) + Dv(t) \\ x(t) = \varphi(t), \ \forall t \in [-h, 0] \end{cases}$$
(1)

All rights reserved. No part of contents of this paper may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of Trans Tech Publications, www.ttp.net. (#70214892, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, USA-05/10/16,23:57:11)

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the control input, $v(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the disturbance input which belongs to $L_2[0,\infty)$, $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ is the controlled output, $\varphi(t)$ is a real-valued initial vector function that is continuous on the interval [-h,0]. h(t) is nonnegative differential time-varying

function which denotes the time delays and satisfies $0 \le h(t) \le h < \infty$, $h(t) \le d < 1$. $\omega(t)$ is one-dimensional Brownian motion defined on a complete probability space (Ω, F, P) , which satisfies $E\{dw(t)\}=0$, and $E\{dw^2(t)\}=dt$. A(t), $A_1(t)$, B(t), $B_1(t)$, $B_2(t)$, E(t) and $E_1(t)$ are matrix functions with time-varying uncertainties described as $A(t) = A + \Delta A(t)$, $A_1(t) = A_1 + \Delta A_1(t)$, $B(t) = B + \Delta B(t), \ B_1(t) = B_1 + \Delta B_1(t), \ E(t) = E + \Delta E(t), \ E_1(t) = E_1 + \Delta E_1(t), \ B_2(t) = B_2 + \Delta B_2(t),$ where A, A_1 , B, B_1 , B_2 , E, and E_1 are known constant matrices while uncertainties $\Delta A(t)$, $\Delta A_1(t)$, $\Delta B(t)$, $\Delta B_1(t)$, $\Delta B_2(t)$, $\Delta E(t)$, and $\Delta E_1(t)$ are assumed to be norm bounded, i.e.,

 $[\Delta A(t) \ \Delta A_1(t) \ \Delta B(t) \ \Delta E(t) \ \Delta E_1(t) \ \Delta B_1(t) \ \Delta B_2(t)] = MF(t)[N_1 \ N_2 \ N_3 \ N_4 \ N_5 \ N_6 \ N_7]$ (2) with known constant matrices $M, N_i (i = 1, \dots, 7)$, and unknown matrix function F(t) having Lebesgue-measurable elements and satisfying $F^{T}(t) \cdot F(t) \leq I$.

Throughout the paper we shall use the following definitions.

Definition 1 For all admissible uncertainties (2), the uncertain stochastic time-delay system (1) (u(t) = 0, v(t) = 0) is said to be stochastically mean-square stable if there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, satisfying sup $\{E \mid \varphi(t) \mid\} < \delta(\varepsilon)$, we have $E\{||x(t)||^2\} < \varepsilon$, and is said to be stochastically -h < t < 0

mean-square asymptotically stable if for any initial conditions, $\lim_{t \to \infty} E\{||x(t)||^2\} = 0$ holds.

Definition 2 The uncertain stochastic system (1) with u(t) = 0 is said to be stochastically passive with dissipation rate γ if for any $v(t) \in L_2[0,\infty)$, under zero initial state condition, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $E\{\int_0^t v^T(s)z(s)ds\} \ge -2\gamma E\{\int_0^t v^T(s)v(s)ds\}$, for all t > 0.

Given the system (1) and a prescribed dissipation rate $\gamma > 0$, determine the memoryless state feedback controller such that the corresponding closed-loop system is stochastically stable and stochastically passive with dissipation rate γ .

Lemma 1([13]) For any constant symmetric matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $R = R^T > 0$, vector function x(t): $[0,h] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that integrations in the following are well defined, then

$$-h\int_{t-h}^{t} x^{T}(s)Rx(s)ds \leq -\int_{t-h}^{t} x^{T}(s)ds \cdot R \cdot \int_{t-h}^{t} x(s)ds$$

Lemma 2(12) Given appropriately dimensioned matrices ψ , H, G with $\psi = \psi^T$, then $\psi + HF(t)G + G^TF^T(t)H^T < 0$, holds for all F(t) satisfying $F^T(t)F(t) \le I$ if and only if for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $\psi + \varepsilon H H^T + \varepsilon^{-1} G^T G < 0$.

Passivity for uncertain stochastic systems with time-delay

In the following theorem, a LMI method is used to solve the delay-dependent passivity problem for the uncertain stochastic system (1) with u(t) = 0, and a sufficient condition is derived ensuring the mean-square stochastic stability and stochastic passivity.

Theorem 1 For given scalars $\gamma, h, \gamma \ge 0, h > 0$, the uncertain time-delay stochastic system (1) with u(t) = 0 is stochastically passive for all admissible uncertainties (2), if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices $X, Z, H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and scalars $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0$, such that the following linear matrix inequality

	$\int \phi_{11}$	A_1Z	0	ϕ_{14}	XE^{T}	ϕ_{16}	XN_1^T	XN_4^T	X		
	*	-(1-d)Z	0	0	ZE_1^T	hZA_1^T	ZN_2^T	ZN_5^T	0		
	*	*	-H	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	*	*	*	ϕ_{44}	B_2^T	hB_1^T	N_6^T	$N_7^{\scriptscriptstyle T}$	0		
$\phi =$	*	*	*	*	ϕ_{55}	0	0	0	0	< 0	(3)
	*	*	*	*	*	ϕ_{66}	0	0	0		
	*	*	*	*	*	*	$-\mathcal{E}_1 I$	0	0		
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	$-\varepsilon_2 I$	0		
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	$-Z_{\perp}$		

holds, where $\phi_{11} = AX + XA^T + \varepsilon_1 MM^T$, $\phi_{14} = B_1 - XC^T$, $\phi_{16} = hXA^T + \varepsilon_1 hMM^T$, $\phi_{44} = -\gamma I - D - D^T$, $\phi_{55} = -X + \varepsilon_2 MM^T$, $\phi_{66} = -H + \varepsilon_1 h^2 MM^T$.

Proof: Choose a Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional candidate for system (1) as follows

$$V(t) = x^{T}(t)Px(t) + \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} x^{T}(s)Qx(s)ds + h \int_{-h}^{0} \int_{t+\theta}^{t} y^{T}(\alpha)Ry(\alpha)d\alpha d\beta$$
(4)

where P, Q and R are symmetric positive definite matrices to be chosen.

For convenience, let $y(t) = A(t)x(t) + A_1(t)x(t - h(t))$, and

$$f(t) = A(t)x(t) + A_1(t)x(t - h(t)) + B_1(t)v(t), \ g(t) = E(t)x(t) + E_1(t)x(t - h(t)) + B_2(t)v(t)$$

Then by using the *Itô*'s differential rule along the system (1) with v(t) = 0 and u(t) = 0 and lemma1, we have

$$LV(t) = 2x^{T}(t)Py(t) + g^{T}(t)Pg(t) + x^{T}(t)Qx(t) - (1 - d)x^{T}(t - h(t))Qx(t - h(t)) + h^{2}y^{T}(t)Ry(t) - \int_{t-h}^{t}y^{T}(s)ds \cdot R \cdot \int_{t-h}^{t}y(s)ds = \xi^{T}(t)\Sigma_{1}\xi(t)$$
(5)
where $\Sigma_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} & 0 \\ * & \Sigma_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -R \end{bmatrix}$, $\xi^{T}(t) = [x^{T}(t) \ x^{T}(t - h(t)) \ \int_{t-h}^{t}y^{T}(\alpha)d\alpha]$, and
 $\Sigma_{11} = PA(t) + A^{T}(t)P + Q + E^{T}(t)PE(t) + h^{2}A^{T}(t)RA(t),$
 $\Sigma_{12} = PA_{1}(t) + E^{T}(t)PE_{1}(t) + h^{2}A^{T}(t)RA_{1}(t), \Sigma_{22} = -(1 - d)Q + E_{1}^{T}(t)PE_{1}(t) + h^{2}A_{1}^{T}(t)RA_{1}(t)$ If $\Sigma_{1} < 0$, then $LV(t) < 0$. It remains to show that $\Sigma_{1} < 0$. Based on Schur complement formula,

If $\Sigma_1 < 0$, then LV(t) < 0. It remains to show that $\Sigma_1 < 0$. Based on Schur complement formula, $\Sigma_1 < 0$ is equal to

$$\Sigma_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} PA(t) + A^{T}(t)P + Q & PA_{1}(t) & 0 & E^{T}(t)P & hA^{T}(t)R \\ * & -(1-d)Q & 0 & E_{1}^{T}(t)P & hA_{1}^{T}(t)R \\ * & * & -R & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & -P & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -R \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(6)

Define $P^{-1} = X, Q^{-1} = Z, R^{-1} = H$. Now, premultiplying and postmultiplying (6) by $diag(P^{-1}, Q^{-1}, R^{-1}, P^{-1}, R^{-1})$, respectively, then using lemma 2 and Schur complement formula, result in

$$\Sigma_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} & A_{1}Z & 0 & XE^{T} & \phi_{16} & XN_{1}^{T} & XN_{4}^{T} & X \\ * & -(1-d)Z & 0 & ZE_{1}^{T} & hZA_{1}^{T} & ZN_{2}^{T} & ZN_{5}^{T} & 0 \\ * & * & -H & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \phi_{55} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \phi_{66} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_{1}I & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_{2}I & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_{2}I & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_{2}I & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

$$(7)$$

Obviously, according to (3), we can get $\Sigma_3 < 0$, which, by Definition 1, shows that the system (1) (u(t) = 0, v(t) = 0) is stochastically mean-square asymptotically stable.

To prove the stochastic passivity for uncertain stochastic system with time-delay (1)(u(t) = 0), we modify the Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional candidate (4) as

$$V_1(t) = x^T(t)Px(t) + \int_{t-h(t)}^t x^T(s)Qx(s)ds + h \int_{-h}^0 \int_{t+\theta}^t f^T(\alpha)Rf(\alpha)d\alpha d\beta$$
(8)

Similar to above progress, for $\eta^T(t) = [x^T(t) \ x^T(t - h(t)) \ \int_{t-h}^t f^T(\alpha) d\alpha \ v^T(t)]$, we have

$$LV_1(t) \le \eta^T(t) \Sigma_4 \eta(t), \tag{9}$$

where

$$\Sigma_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} & 0 & PB_{1}(t) + E^{T}(t)PB_{2}(t) + h^{2}A^{T}(t)RB_{1}(t) \\ * & \Sigma_{22} & 0 & h^{2}A_{1}^{T}(t)RB_{1}(t) + E_{1}^{T}(t)PB_{2}(t) \\ * & * & -R & 0 \\ * & * & * & h^{2}B_{1}^{T}(t)RB_{1}(t) + B_{2}^{T}(t)PB_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(10)

Considering

$$F(t) = LV_{1}(t) - 2v^{T}(t)z(t) - \gamma v^{T}(t)v(t)$$

= $LV_{1}(t) - 2v^{T}(t)Cx(t) - 2v^{T}(t)Dv(t) - \gamma v^{T}(t)v(t) \le \eta^{T}(t)\Sigma_{5}\eta(t)$ (11)

where

$$\Sigma_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} & 0 & PB_{1}(t) - C^{T} + E^{T}(t)PB_{2}(t) + h^{2}A^{T}(t)RB_{1}(t) \\ * & \Sigma_{22} & 0 & h^{2}A_{1}^{T}(t)RB_{1}(t) + E_{1}^{T}(t)PB_{2}(t) \\ * & * & -R & 0 \\ * & * & * & -\gamma I - D - D^{T} + h^{2}B_{1}^{T}(t)RB_{1}(t) + B_{2}^{T}(t)PB_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

Similarly, applying Schur complement and Lemma 2 show that $\Sigma_5 < 0$ is equivalent to $\phi < 0$. Therefore we have F(t) < 0. Then for zero initial state conditions, we can obtain

$$2E\{\int_{0}^{t} v^{T}(s)z(s)ds\} = E\{\int_{0}^{t} [LV_{1}(s) - F(s) - \gamma v^{T}(s)v(s)]ds\}$$

$$\geq E\{\int_{0}^{t} LV_{1}(s)ds\} - \gamma E\{\int_{0}^{t} v^{T}(s)v(s)]ds\} \geq \gamma E\{\int_{0}^{t} v^{T}(s)v(s)ds\},$$

which show the stochastic passiveness of system (1) by Definition 2.

Design of the passive controller for uncertain stochastic systems with time-delay

Applying theorem 1 in this section, we aim to derive easy-to-test condition for the solvability of the state-feedback design problem for uncertain stochastic system with time-delay. Again, an LMI approach will be used in order to facilitate the design procedure.

We seek a state feedback memoryless controller of

$$u(t) = Kx(t) \tag{13}$$

which achieves passivity of the closed-loop system. The main result is given in Theorem 2.

Theorem 2 Given scalars $\gamma \ge 0$ and h > 0. The uncertain time-delay stochastic system (1) is stochastically mean-square asymptotically stable for all admissible uncertainties (2), if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices $X, Z, H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, scalars $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, and matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ such that the following linear matrix inequality

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{11} & A_1 Z & 0 & \Phi_{14} & X E^T & \Phi_{15} & \Phi_{16} & X N_4^T & X \\ * & -(1-d) Z & 0 & 0 & Z E_1^T & h Z A_1^T & Z N_2^T & Z N_5^T & 0 \\ * & * & -H & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Phi_{44} & B_2^T & h B_1^T & N_6^T & N_7^T & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \Phi_{55} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & \Phi_{66} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_1 I & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_2 I & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_2 I & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -\zeta_2 I & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -Z \end{bmatrix}$$

holds, where $\Phi_{11} = AX + XA^T + BY + Y^TB^T + \varepsilon_1 MM^T$, $\Phi_{14} = B_1 - XC^T$, $\Phi_{16} = Y^TN_3^T + XN_1^T$, $\Phi_{15} = hXA^T + \varepsilon_1 hMM^T$, $\Phi_{44} = -\gamma I - D - D^T$, $\Phi_{55} = -X + \varepsilon_2 MM^T$, $\Phi_{66} = -H + \varepsilon_1 h^2 MM^T$. Then, the stochastically passive controller of system (1) is given by u(t) = Kx(t), and the gain matrix

can be chosen as $K = YX^{-1}$.

Proof The result for system (1) with the passive control law of (13) follows immediately by Theorem 1. Firstly, replacing A by A + BK and ΔA by $\Delta A + \Delta BK$ in Σ_7 , then using Schur complement and Lemma 2, we can obtain LMI (14). This completes the proof.

A Numerical Example

In this section, we shall give an example to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method. Consider the stochastic time-delay system with norm-bounded parameter uncertainties as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & 0.5 \\ 0.1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.5 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, B_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0.2 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}, E_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, E_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & -0.5 \\ 0.1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & -0.8 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.1 \\ 0.3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, M = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, N_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, N_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, N_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & -0.8 \end{bmatrix}, M_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, N_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, N_{6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, N_{7} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (15)

For the given data d = 0.5, h = 0.9, $\gamma = 1$, we use Matlab LMI Toolbox and solve the LMI (25), the corresponding state-feedback controller gain is obtained as $K = \begin{bmatrix} 8.3163 & 6.5909 \\ 7.9206 & -11.4873 \end{bmatrix}$.

That is to say, we can obtain the state feedback control law such that the corresponding closed-loop system (15) is stochastically stable.

Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied the problems of stochastic passive analysis and state-feedback passive control of stochastic time-delay system with norm-bounded parameter uncertainties. The delay-dependent sufficient condition for guaranteeing the stochastic passivity of uncertain stochastic system with time-delay has been presented in terms of an LMI. Memoryless state feedback passive controller is designed such that the closed-loop system is stochastically stable and stochastically passive. Demonstrative example shows the effect of the proposed approach.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the 2011 Excellent Youth Project of Hubei Provincial Department of Education to Cheng Wang, and the Research Program of Huanggang Normal University (No. 10CB146).

References

- [1] S. Xu and T. Chen: Robust H_{∞} control for uncertain stochastic systems with state delay, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control Vol. 47 (2002), p. 2089-2094.
- [2] J.W. Xia, S.Y. Xu and Y. Zhou: Robust H_{∞} control for stochastic uncertain systems with time-delay, Control Theory and Applications Vol. 25 (2008), p. 943-946.
- [3] L.G. Wu, C.H. Wang and H.J. Gao: Stability of uncertain stochastic systems with time-varying delays based on parameter-dependent Laypunov functional, Control Theory and Applications Vol. 24 (2007), p. 607-612.
- [4] D. Yue, Q.L. Han: Delay-dependent exponential stability of stochastic systems with time-varying delay nonlinearity and Markovian switching, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control Vol. 50 (2005), p. 217-222.
- [5] H.Q. Lu and W.N. Zhou: Delay-dependent robust H_{∞} control for uncertain stochastic systems, Control and Decision Vol. 24 (2009), p. 76-80.
- [6] S. Xu, P. Shi and Y. Chu: Robust stochastic stabilization and H_{∞} control of uncertain neutral stochastic time-delay systems, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application Vol. 314 (2006), p. 1-16.
- [7] E. Fridman and U. Shaked: On delay-dependent passivity, IEEE Transactions Automatic Control, Vol. 47 (2002), p. 664-669.
- [8] B. Brogliato, R. Lozano and B. Maschke: *Dissipative Systems Analysis and Control: Theory and Application* (Springer-Verlag, London 2000).
- [9] H.J. Gao, T.W. Chen and T.Y. Chai: Passivity and passification for networked control systems, SIAM Journal on control and Optimization Vol. 46 (2007), p. 1299-1322.
- [10] W.H. Gui, B.Y. Liu and Z.H. Tang: A delay-dependent passivity criterion of linear neutral delay systems, Journal of Control Theory and Applications Vol. 4 (2006), p. 201-206.
- [11] W.H. Chen, Z.H. Guan and X.M. Lu: Passive control synthesis for uncertain Markovian jump systems with multiple mode-dependent time-delays, Asian Journal of Control Vol. 7 (2005), p. 135-143.
- [12]S. He and F. Liu: Observer-based passive control for nonlinear uncertain time-delay jump systems, Acta Mathematica Scientia Vol. 29 (2009), p. 334-343.
- [13]K.Q. Gu, V.L. Kharitonov and J. Chen: *Stability of Time-delay System* (Birkhauser, Boston 2003).