Edith Cowan University [Research Online](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/)

[ECU Publications Post 2013](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013)

2020

Reversible and irreversible adsorption of bare and hybrid silica nanoparticles onto carbonate surface at reservoir condition

Zain-UL-Abedin Arain

Sarmad Al-Anssari Edith Cowan University

Muhammad Ali Edith Cowan University

Shoaib Memon

Masood Ahmed Bhatti

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013?utm_source=ro.ecu.edu.au%2Fecuworkspost2013%2F9093&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Engineering Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/217?utm_source=ro.ecu.edu.au%2Fecuworkspost2013%2F9093&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/114?utm_source=ro.ecu.edu.au%2Fecuworkspost2013%2F9093&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[10.1016/j.petlm.2019.09.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.petlm.2019.09.001)

Arain, Z. U. A., Al-Anssari, S., Ali, M., Memon, S., Bhatti, M. A., Lagat, C., & Sarmadivaleh, M. (2020). Reversible and irreversible adsorption of bare and hybrid silica nanoparticles onto carbonate surface at reservoir condition. Petroleum, 6(3), 277-285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petlm.2019.09.001> This Journal Article is posted at Research Online. https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013/9093

Authors

Zain-UL-Abedin Arain, Sarmad Al-Anssari, Muhammad Ali, Shoaib Memon, Masood Ahmed Bhatti, Christopher Lagat, and Mohammad Sarmadivaleh

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/24056561)

Petroleum

journal homepage: <http://www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/petroleum>

Reversible and irreversible adsorption of bare and hybrid silica nanoparticles onto carbonate surface at reservoir condition

Z[a](#page-2-0)in-UL-Abedin Arain^a, Sarmad Al-Anssari^{a[,b,](#page-2-1)[c](#page-2-2),*}, Muhammad Ali^{a,c}, Shoaib Memon^a, M[a](#page-2-0)sood Ahmed Bhatti^d, Christopher Lagat^a, Mohammad Sarmadivaleh^a

^a*Department of Petroleum Engineering, WA School of Mines: Minerals, Energy and Chemical Engineering, Curtin University, 26 Dick Perry Avenue, 6151, Kensington, Australia*

^b*Department of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq*

^c*School of Engineering, Edith Cowan University, 270 Joondalup Drive, Joondalup, WA, 6027, Australia*

^d*Premier Oilfield Solutions, Australia*

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Nanofluid Silica nanoparticles Wettability Contact angle Surface treatment Adsorption Salinity Carbonate reservoir Carbonate

ABSTRACT

Realistic implementation of nanofluids in subsurface projects including carbon geosequestration and enhanced oil recovery requires full understanding of nanoparticles (NPs) adsorption behaviour in the porous media. The physicochemical interactions between NPs and between the NP and the porous media grain surface control the adsorption behavior of NPs. This study investigates the reversible and irreversible adsorption of silica NPs onto oil-wet and water-wet carbonate surfaces at reservoir conditions.

Each carbonate sample was treated with different concentrations of silica nanofluid to investigate NP adsorption in terms of nanoparticles initial size and hydrophobicity at different temperatures, and pressures. Aggregation behaviour and the reversibility of NP adsorption onto carbonate surfaces was measured using dynamic light scattering (DLS), scanning electron microscope (SEM) images, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscope (EDS), and atomic force microscope (AFM) measurement.

Results show that the initial hydrophilicity of the NP and the carbonate rock surface can influence the NPs adsorption onto the rock surfaces. Typically, oppositely charged NP and rock surface are attracted to each other, forming a mono or multilayers of NPs on the rock. Operation conditions including pressure and temperature have shown minor influence on nano-treatment efficiency. Moreover, DLS measurement proved the impact of hydrophilicity on the stability and adsorption trend of NPs. This was also confirmed by SEM images. Further, AFM results indicated that a wide-ranging adsorption scenario of NPs on the carbonate surface exists. Similar results were obtained from the EDS measurements. This study thus gives the first insight into NPs adsorption onto carbonate surfaces at reservoirs conditions.

1. Introduction

Inorganic fillers, particularly silica nanoparticles (NPs), have a wide potential application in many industries including cosmetics, food products, drug delivery, and geological industries including aquifer decontamination, carbon capture and storage, and enhanced oil recovery (EOR) [\[1\]](#page-9-0). In the oil and gas industry, once primary and secondary oil recovery methods can no longer produce sufficient amount of hydrocarbon, EOR (also called tertiary recovery method) can be utilized for more hydrocarbon production [[2](#page-9-1)]. Nanofluid flooding is regarded as a potential EOR technique in oil-wet reservoirs. Nanofluids, dispersion of NPs in a base fluid [\[3\]](#page-9-2), have been suggested to facilitate oil displacement from the porous media [\[4,](#page-9-3)[5](#page-9-4)]. Reduction of water contact angle on the oil-wet solid surfaces in addition to the interfacial tension reduction is the main NPs mechanisms in EOR [[6](#page-9-5),[7](#page-9-6)]. In this context, NPs (i.e. silicon dioxide; $SiO₂$) can enhance hydrocarbon recovery via rendering the wettability of oil-wet surfaces to water-wet $[1,8]$ $[1,8]$ $[1,8]$ $[1,8]$ $[1,8]$ which in turn promotes the spontaneous imbibition of brine into the low-permeability rock [\[9\]](#page-9-8). Although NPs efficiency as wettability modifier is limited by the adsorption of these fine particles onto the fluid-fluid interface $[10]$ $[10]$ and solid surface $[11]$ $[11]$, there is a dramatic lack of understanding about NPs adsorption onto rock surfaces at reservoirs

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petlm.2019.09.001>

 $\frac{N}{2}$

Peer review under responsibility of Southwest Petroleum University.

[∗] Corresponding author. Department of Petroleum Engineering, WA School of Mines: Minerals, Energy and Chemical Engineering, Curtin University, 26 Dick Perry Avenue, 6151, Kensington, Australia,

E-mail address: sarmad.al-anssari@graduate.curtin.edu.au (S. Al-Anssari).

Received 1 May 2019; Received in revised form 7 July 2019; Accepted 4 September 2019

^{2405-6561/} Copyright © 2020 Southwest Petroleum University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B. V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

conditions. According to the available literature, no previous study concerning nanoparticle adsorption was conducted at subsurface pressure, temperature, and salinity.

Recently, there have been several studies on the application of silica NPs to render the oil-wet rock surfaces water-wet for the enhanced oil recovery. Moghaddam et al. [[12\]](#page-9-11) have compared the effectiveness of different NPs on wettability alteration of oil-wet calcite surfaces. Their results showed that $SiO₂$ nanoparticles are more efficient in terms of contact angle reduction. Zhang et al. [[13](#page-9-12)] proposed that NPs mutually experience reversible and irreversible adsorption on the solid surfaces. In their study, nanofluid was injected into columns packed with a crushed sedimentary rock at ambient condition. The NPs concentration in the effluent stream showed that NPs adsorbed onto the solid surfaces until the adsorption capacity was reached i.e. when the NPs in the effluent equals to that in the injection stream. Subsequent injection of DIwater into the columns led to dramatic desorption of nanoparticle, which was indicated by the significant concentration of NPs in the effluent stream. More recently, Al-Anssari et al. [[14\]](#page-9-13) reported the influence of nanofluid concentration and treatment temperature on the reduction of water contact angle on nano-treated calcite samples. Using technologies including scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), and atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements, the researchers investigated the adsorption of silica NPs onto calcite samples and the formation of nanotextured surfaces.

Understanding of adsorption behaviour of NPs on rock-solid surfaces is one of the critical issues in the oil and gas industry. Physicochemical interactions between NPs and treated surfaces [\[15](#page-9-14)] and between NPs itself [\[16](#page-9-15)] are the main controlling factors for the potential irreversibility of NPs adsorption in the porous media [[17](#page-9-16)[,18](#page-9-17)]. Typically, physicochemical interactions are mainly controlled by the surfaces charge [\[3\]](#page-9-2). While the surfaces charge depends on the surface type, and condition [[19\]](#page-9-18) and the composition of formation brine [[20](#page-9-19)[,21](#page-9-20)]. Theoretically, the Derjaguin – Landau – Verwey – Overbeek (DLVO) theory can demonstrate the effect of physicochemical interactions on NPs behaviour. The theory suggested that the interaction forces between particles and between the particle and the surface control NPs aggregation, deposition, adsorption, adhesion, and desorption [\[22](#page-9-21)]. In this context, DLOV force is the algebraic summation of the attraction and repulsive forces. The attraction force is the van der Waals force and the repulsive force is the electrostatic force $[21]$ $[21]$. In addition, in case of NPs adsorption, more forces can be considered within DLVO theory including hydrophilic and lipophilic forces [[23\]](#page-9-22), repulsive steric forces [[24\]](#page-9-23), and magnetic forces [[25\]](#page-9-24).

To-date, a limited number of studies have demonstrated the interactions between NPs and solid surfaces. Furthermore, all the previous studies were conducted at ambient condition ignoring the potential impacts of subsurface severe condition on the adsorption scenarios of silica NPs. Moreover, all previous studies have used NPs concentration in the effluent as an indication for NPs adsorption or retention in the porous media. Lecoanet et al. [\[26](#page-9-25)], for example, have assessed the transport of NPs in an artificially designed porous media. They reported that NPs display broadly diverse mobility behaviour. Further, surfacemodified NPs (hydrophobic) exhibited maximum mobility. Rodriguez Pin et al. [[27\]](#page-9-26) investigated the withholding of silica NPs after injection into sedimentary rocks. They have reported breakthroughs of effluent NP referring to significant mobility in the porous media.

Despite studies concerning NPs behaviour on solid surfaces in the lab at ambient condition, challenges in using NPs in real fields are of high potential due to the complex reservoirs conditions such as heterogeneous formations, high pressure, temperature, and salinity [[1](#page-9-0)]. This study, thus, investigated the fundamental aggregation, adsorption, and desorption properties of bare and hybrid (silanized) silica NPs onto oil-wet and water-wet carbonate surfaces at different pressures, temperatures, and initial hydrophilicity of NPs. This series of operation conditions help to cover all the potential scenarios in the oil production industry. Dynamic light scattering (DLS), atomic force microscopy (AFM), scanning electron microscope (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscope (EDS) were used to investigate NP-carbonate surface interactions at the nanoscale. These methods can directly evaluate the interactions of NPs with solid surfaces and their molecular arrangement and order.

2. Materials and methodology

2.1. Materials

Iceland spar (pure calcite, from WARD'S Natural Science) was used as representative for carbonate reservoir. Atomic force microscopy (model DSE 95–200) was used to measure the topography of the calcite samples and the root means square (RMS) surface roughness ranged between 18 and 32 nm, which is very smooth. Toluene (99 mol%, Chem-supply), n-hexane (> 95 mol%, Sigma-Aldrich), acetone and methanol (99.9 mol%, Rowe Scientific) were used to clean calcite samples at different stages. Also, to avoid any contaminant from the air, nitrogen (> 99.99 mol%, BOC) was used as drying agent after each cleaning or nano-treatment step.

Stearic acid (\geq 98.5%, Sigma Aldrich) was used to shift the wettability of the original calcite surface to oil-wet [\[28](#page-9-27)]. A stearic acid solution (0.01 M) was initially prepared by dissolving 0.285 g of stearic acid in 100 mL of n-decane (> 99 mol%, from Sigma-Aldrich). Sodium chloride (≥99.5 mol%, Scharlan) and deionized (DI) water (Ultrapure from David Gray; conductivity = 0.02 mS/cm) were used to formulate brine solutions (1–20 wt% NaCl).

Silicon dioxide (Insoluble) NPs (porous spherical, purity = 99.5 wt %, density = $2200 - 2600 \text{ kg/m}^3$, molecular mass = 60.08 g/mole , Sigma Aldrich) with two different initial sizes (5–10 nm and 20–25 nm) were used separately to formulate nanofluids.

Fig. 1. Attachment of (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane to silica nanoparticle surfaces [\[30](#page-9-28)].

In addition, 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane $(H_2N(CH_2)_3Si(OC_2H_5)_3$ from Sigma Aldrich; Mol wt = 221.37) were used to change the hydrophobicity of hydrophilic silica nanoparticles to a hydrophobic condition [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)) [\[29](#page-9-29),[30\]](#page-9-28).

CO2 (99.9 mol% from BOC, gas code-082) was used to increase the pressure in the treatment cell to the desired value during surface treatment of calcite with nanofluid.

2.2. Modification of nanoparticles hydrophobicity via silanization

Hydrophobicity of NPs is an essential factor influencing NPs adsorption on a solid surface. Consequently, the adsorption behavior of both hydrophilic and hydrophobic silica NPs was investigated. The hydrophobicity of NPs can be modified by changing the surface coating chemicals. To accomplish this, surface modification of silica NPs was performed by silanization, which is the reaction of solid surface with silane [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-3-0). In general, chemical reaction with silane agent is a practical method to change the hydrophobicity of silica to more hydrophobic condition [[29–31\]](#page-9-29).

Experimentally, 1 g silica NPs was dispersed in 50 mL ethanol via a sonicator (300 VT Ultrasonic Homogenizer/BIOLOGICS) for 300 s to formulate NPs suspension. Moreover, a pre-hydrolyzed solution also formulated via dissolution of 0.7336 g (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane into a solution of 14.82 mL ethanol and 0.18 g $H₂O$ [[32\]](#page-9-30). The amount of silane, ethanol, and water was controlled by the number of hydroxyl groups moles that situated on 1 g of silica NPs [\[33](#page-9-31)[,34](#page-9-32)]. In this context, each (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane molecule requires three molecules of water for complete hydrolysis [[31\]](#page-9-33). Drops of concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl) were used to maintain the acidity of the modification solution [[30\]](#page-9-28) at pH below the isoelectric point of silica nanoparticles which is around 1–2 [\[35](#page-10-0)]. The silanization formulation was mixed magnetically for 20 min and pipetted to the NPs suspension, and then obtained mixture was magnetically stirred for another 24 h, at ambient condition. Eventually, treated NPs were centrifuged and impregnated with ethanol for 1 day to remove the reversibly absorbed silane compounds and headed 70 °C for 24 h for drying to produce dry hydrophobic (hybrid) NPs.

2.3. Formulation of equilibrated brine and nanofluids

The equilibrium between aqueous phase, carbonate, and $CO₂$ is essential to avoid the dramatic dissolution of calcite and the subsequent changes in surface charge and morphology during nano-treatment [\[14](#page-9-13)]. In addition, the formation brine is naturally at equilibrium with calcite and $CO₂$ inside carbonate reservoirs [36].

Different salt concentrations were dissolved in DI water using magnetic starrier (1500 RPM, Across International) to formulate brine at varied salinity. These different brines were used as a base-fluid for the nanofluid. On the other hand, nanosuspensions were prepared via sonication of silica NPs in brine using ultrasonic homogenizer [\[32](#page-9-30)]. Different weights of dry SiO₂ hydrophilic (bare) or hydrophobic (hybrid) NPs (0.002, 0.004, 0.01, 0.014, 0.02 g) were dispersed in 20 ml of brine at various salinity (0–5 wt% NaCl) to formulate nanodispersions at various NP loads (0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10 wt% SiO₂). Each suspension was sonicated for 120 s to assure a suitable homogeneity. Note that once meet water, NPs tends to rapidly aggregate due to the high surface energy [\[16](#page-9-15),[37\]](#page-10-2). Effective mixing is the only way to break down these aggregates and leave the NPs individually suspended. Also, to avoid the potential dissolution of calcite surface during nano-treatment, CO2, calcite, and nanodispersion were equilibrated in a mixing reactor ([Fig. 2\)](#page-4-0). In this context, the nanosuspension was mixed with carbon dioxide and off-cuts of calcite in the equilibrator at the prescribed pressure and temperature for each experiment for 1 h [\[14](#page-9-13)].

Fig. 2. Schematic of the high pressure-high temperature equilibrator: (1) equilibration vessel, (2) the agitator, (3) pH electrode, (4) pH meter, (5) pressure gauge, (6) thermometer, (7) brine or nanofluid pump, (8) $CO₂$ pump, (9) valve.

Characteristically, equilibrium is established when no more $CO₂$ dissolves in the nanofluid [[38\]](#page-10-3) which was indicated by constant pH.

2.4. Preparation of carbonate surface

Surface cleaning processes are fundamental in surface treatment investigations since any remaining contaminants can impact the surface charges [[39\]](#page-10-4) and consequently the adsorption scenario of NPs. Thus, calcite samples were washed with equilibrated water to remove any carbonate dust on the surface. Subsequently, the samples were dried for 60 min at 90 °C and then exposed to air plasma for 10 min (using a Diemer Yocto instrument) to remove any potential organic contaminants [[40\]](#page-10-5).

2.5. Modification of original calcite with stearic acid

To simulate all the potential scenarios inside oil reservoirs including oil-wet and water-wet rocks, some calcite samples were treated with stearic acid to achieve oil-wet substrates. This helps in the study of the adsorption phenomena of NPs on both water-wet (hydrophilic) and oilwet (hydrophobic) carbonate formations. Here, the cleaned calcite samples were first submerged for 30 min in 2 wt% equilibrated NaCl brine at $pH = 4$ to support the later adsorption of acid on calcite surface. Typically, ionization of carboxylic acid groups and the availability of positive sites on the carbonate surface are controlled by the pH and ionic strength of the aqueous phase (equations (1) – (4)) [[41\]](#page-10-6). Subsequently, the samples were dried with ultra-pure nitrogen to remove the excess brine from the surface and eventually immersed in 0.01 M steric acid at ambient conditions for 7 days. Mechanistically, carboxylate molecules from stearic acid solution are adsorbed on the positive sites of the calcite surface.

$$
CaCO3 (solid) + H2O(aqueous) \rightleftharpoons Ca2+ + HCO3- + OH-
$$
 (1)

Fig. 3. Experimental configuration for high pressure high temperature nanotreatment; (1) syringe pump-liquids, (2) valve, (3) heating tape, (4) thermocouple, (5) high pressure-temperature vessel, (6) calcite substrate, (7) sample holders, (8) pressure relief and drainage valve, (9) collector, (10), stand, (11) nanofluid and flushing liquids feed system, (12) syringe pump-CO₂, (13) CO₂ source.

$$
CH_3(CH_2)_{16}COOH \rightleftharpoons CH_3(CH_2)_{16}COO^- + H^+ \tag{2}
$$

$$
CaCO_{3(solid)} + H_2O_{(pH=4)} \rightleftharpoons Ca^{2+} + HCO_3^- + OH^- + 2H^+ \rightleftharpoons Ca^{2+} + H_2CO_3 + H_2O
$$
\n(3)

$$
Ca^{2+} + 2(CH_3(CH_2)_{16}COO^{-}) \rightleftharpoons Ca(CH_3(CH_2)_{16}COO)_2
$$
\n(4)

2.6. Nano-treatment of carbonate surfaces

To investigate the adsorption behaviour of silica NPs on different calcite samples at reservoirs conditions, nano-treated samples were prepared by submerging of calcite substrate in a nanofluid at designed exposure time, temperature and pressure. To accomplish this, each clean calcite sample (original or modified with stearic acid) was vertically rested in the nano-treatment vessel ([Fig. 3](#page-5-0)). Equilibrated nanofluids were pumped directly from the equilibrator into the treatment vessel which maintained at the same temperature and pressure of the equilibrator using syringe pump (Teledyne D-260, pressure accuracy of 0.1% FS). A constant immersion ratio of 10 g nanofluid for each 1 g of calcite was used to achieve duplicated interaction environment between calcite and nanoparticles. Further, the pressure inside the equilibrator and the nano-treatment vessel was increased using a high precision syringe pump (Teledyne D-500, pressure accuracy of 0.1% FS) to the desired value (0.1, 10, 20 MPa). Also, a heating tape was used to maintain the temperature at the pre-designed values (296, 323, or 343 K).

2.7. Characterization of NPs stability and adsorption

Adsorption of NPs on a solid surface is key to the success of nanofluid in subsurface applications. Thus, adsorption characteristics and particularly the ratio between reversibly and irreversibly adsorbed NPs were investigated using several techniques including atomic force microscopy (AFM, instruments model DME 95–200, Semilab), scanning electron microscope (SEM, Phenom XL, PHENOMWORLD), and energy

Z.-U.-A. Arain, et al. Petroleum 6 (2020) 277–285

Fig. 4. Photograph of the appearance of nano-suspensions (0.05 wt% bare SiO₂ dispersed in DI-water at $pH = 6.25$) at different times (h) after sonication process.

dispersive X-ray spectroscope (EDS, Phenom XL, PHENOMWORLD). The nano-treated surfaces were exposed to different solvents including DI water and brine in different tests. After each step, the substrate was dried with N_2 gas, then EDS, AFM, and θ measurements applied to study the irreversibility of NPs adsorption. Before that, stability and aggregation behaviour of NPs in the liquid phase were investigated via particle size distribution (PSD) using dynamic light scattering (DLS, Zetasizer, ZS Malvern). DLS used in this study is a laser-based technique and is very sensitive to the opacity of the fluid. Subsequently, relatively dilute nanofluids (0.05 wt% SiO2) were used to conduct this measurement.

3. Results and discussions

NPs efficiency as EOR agent at reservoirs condition may be different from that evaluated at ambient conditions using pure rock samples [[28\]](#page-9-27). In this context, reservoirs conditions including temperature, pressure, and salinity can impact rocks properties [\[42](#page-10-7)] and potentially NPs stability [\[3\]](#page-9-2). Furthermore, rock heterogeneity can limit the mobility and distribution of NPs through the formation [[42,](#page-10-7)[43\]](#page-10-8). The presented and discussed data in this section provide the first insight into these potentials.

3.1. Stability-aggregation characterization of NPs

Characteristics of the formulated nanosuspensions including NPs zeta potential, suspensions acidity, and salinity can impact the stability and aggregation rate of NPs [[18,](#page-9-17)[37](#page-10-2)[,44](#page-10-9)]. Thus, different nanofluid composed of 0.1 wt% bare or hybrid NPs dispersed in base fluids under

Fig. 5. Average particle size (APS) measurements for both bare and hybrid silica nanoparticles dispersed in DI-water as a function of time.

constant acidity ($pH = 6.25$) was formulated. The stability was monitored visually ([Fig. 4](#page-5-1)) and by particle size distribution (PSD) mea-surement ([Figs. 5 and 6](#page-6-0)).

The surface of bare silica NPs is appreciably negatively charged owing to the dissociation of the surface silanol (SiOH) groups [\[31](#page-9-33)]. Although, the pH of the suspension was 6.25 ± 0.25 which is way above the isoelectric point of silica suspension (IEP of $SiO₂$ occurs at $pH = 2-3$ $pH = 2-3$) [3], visual evaluation of bare silica nanofluid showed a dramatic precipitation and sedimentation after only 6 h with total phase separation after less than 3 days even when the NPs were dispersed in DI-water [\(Fig. 4](#page-5-1)). Here, the instability of nanofluid was characterized by the clarity of the liquid phase (base fluid) rather than the sediment height considering the impact of water content in the precipitant on sediment height. Characteristically, the relatively high surface energy of NPs owing to the highest surface area to particle size ratio [\[10](#page-9-9)] is the main reason for the observed aggregation activities of NPs.

Average particle size (APS) measurements, based on particle size distribution (PSD) measurements were conducted on nanofluid samples. To assure an identical condition and thus measurements consistency, all samples were taken from the same point of nanofluid container, just below the top of the container. Samples were taken after different intervals from the end of sonication process. At these times, samples were taken from a point just below the upper surface of the nanofluid. PSD measurement on silica nanofluid reveals the formation of nano-aggregates directly after preparation of nanodispersion ([Fig. 5](#page-6-0)).

Results showed that the PSD of bare NPs dramatically increased with time reaching a plateau (i.e. after 35–40 min from the end of

Fig. 6. Average particle size (APS) measurements, for both bare and hybrid silica NPs, dispersed in DI-water as a function of distance from the top interface. Nanofluids were left for 45 min then samples were taken.

sonication) then unexpectedly decreased with time. Different PSD trend, however, was observed for the hybrid silica nanofluid. Significantly smaller aggregates were formulated with time and continued to grow over the test period (60 min). Typically, the aggregation of NPs after sonication in the liquid phase was consistent with the reported data in the literature $[3,16]$ $[3,16]$ $[3,16]$ $[3,16]$. Nevertheless, the later decreased in APS was questionable. Further investigation was carried out to study this phenomenon. The APS was measured for each nanofluid using samples taken from six different points at a different depth of the nanofluid [\(Fig. 6\)](#page-6-1). To achieve this, 6 duplicated tubes were filled with the exact same nanofluid. Then a syringe with a long nick needle was used to take samples from each tube from the desired height of the fluid. A similar approach has been conducted in our earlier work [\[3\]](#page-9-2). This was to help measure aggregates size distribution in the nanofluid at different heights.

[Fig. 6](#page-6-1) indicates that aggregates sizes of bare NPs were significantly bigger in the lower parts of the samples. The average size of these aggregates can reach very large sizes on the bottom side of the nanofluid (i.e. \approx 3.7 µm in the bottom), which appears to be out of the nanoscale. The observations are consistent with those in the literature [[15](#page-9-14)[,18](#page-9-17)]. Considering the efficient sonication processes which most likely produces homogeneous nano-suspension, the variation of nanoaggregated size at different heights of the nanofluids is possibly related to the precipitation of larger aggregates from the top to the bottom side of the sample. Typically, the heavier weight of large aggregated promotes the precipitation of these aggregates. This explains the sudden reduction of aggregate size after 35–45 min [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-6-0) due to the downward mobilization of heavier clusters to the bottom by the effect of gravity leaving the sprightly and swift (smaller) once on the top.

3.2. Reversible and irreversible adsorption of NPs

Adsorption and the potential desorption of NPs on a solid surface is a complicated phenomenon. Nano-treatment conditions including pressure and temperature have a direct impact on NPs settlement onto the solid surface and consequently the quality of surface treatment. Thus, it is crucial to investigate the effect of treatment conditions on the adsorption-desorption behavior of NPs on the carbonate surface. To accomplish this, calcite samples were treated with the same nanofluid at different temperatures and pressures and the adsorption behavior was investigated by AFM, EDS measurements, and SEM images.

3.2.1. AFM measurement

Although the used calcite was smooth (18–54 nm), adsorption of nanoparticles may change the surface roughness and influence the morphology of the sample [[1](#page-9-0)]. [Fig. 7](#page-7-0) shows the dramatic effect of nanotreatment conditions on NPs adsorption and thus surface roughness of carbonate surfacers.

Immersing pure calcite sample in nanofluid at 70 °C and 0.1 MPa will raise the surface roughness to around 2490 nm [\(Fig. 7](#page-7-0), A). On the other hand, nano-treatment of the similar sample with identical nanofluid but at 25 °C and 15 MPa can only raise the surface roughness up to 396 nm. These results revealed a vital impact of nano-treatment operation condition on NPs adsorption and thus on the change in surface roughness [\(Fig. 7](#page-7-0), B). Also, hybrid NPs adsorption on calcite was examined ([Fig. 7C](#page-7-0)). Thus, the effect of nano-treatment on surface morphology and roughness were addressed after each treatment step ([Table 1](#page-8-0)) to understand the nature of NPs adsorption on carbonate surfaces.

[Table 1](#page-8-0) reports the statistics for the effect of NPs adsorption on calcite surface roughness. Although adsorption of bare NPs at ambient pressure can significantly increase carbonate surface roughness [[8](#page-9-7)],

$$
(a)
$$

Fig. 7. Atomic Force Microscope of nano-treated calcite samples using bare NPs: A) at 70 °C and 0.1 MPa, B) 25 °C and 15 MPa, and C) using hybrid NPs at 25 °C and 15 MPa.

treating the samples with nanofluid at high pressure showed insignificant influence on surface morphology. Further, nano-treatment with hybrid NPs has the minor influence of surface roughness indicating a uniform nano-coating of the carbonate surface. Mechanistically, the rapid aggregation of bare NPs and the subsequent accelerated precipitation of the formed aggregates leads to dramatic sedimentation of these nano or possibly micro-aggregates on solid surfaces [[3](#page-9-2)[,8\]](#page-9-7). Mostly, these aggregates reversibly attached to the surface after precipitation by gravity effect [[7](#page-9-6)]. On the other hand, the limited aggregation of hybrid NPs keeps these nano-structure suspended in the liquid phase. Subsequently, hybrid NPs can only reach the solid surface via a Brownian motion to adsorb irreversibly into the surface. This limited adsorption of hybrid NPs forms a uniform mono or double nano-layers [[15](#page-9-14)[,18](#page-9-17)]. This phenomenon was confirmed by the ultimately limited change in surface roughness after treatment with hybrid silica nanofluid.

The last three rows of [Table .1](#page-8-0) provide data about the sole effect of temperature on nano-treatment at reservoirs pressure (15 MPa), and low NPs concentration (0.05 wt%). Keeping all other variables constant (Pure calcite, bare, 15 MPa, 0.05 wt% NPs), the increase in temperature from 23 to 70 results in surface roughness increase from 116 to 236 nm. This change in surface roughness is very limited referring to slight adsorption of NPs. On the other hand, at ambient pressure (0.1 MPa), and relatively high NPs concentration (0.1 wt%) the effect of temperature increase was more significant and surface roughness increased from 1270 to 2500 nm. This more significant changes in surface roughness at ambient pressure is mainly related to the dissolution of the carbonate surface [\[19](#page-9-18)[,21](#page-9-20)] rather than the adsorption of NPs.

3.2.2. EDS measurements

EDS results [\(Table 2\)](#page-8-1) provides data about adsorption of silica (Si) NPs after immersing with the same nanofluid (0.05 wt% bare or hybrid $SiO₂$) but at different pressure, temperature and initial surface wetness of calcite. Consistent with the outcomes of AFM measurements, regarding treatment with hybrid silica nanofluid, traces amount of Si was detected on all the tested points on carbonate sample. Note that, five different points were selected for EDS measurements at the tested surface of each sample and the average was calculated. Characteristically, the low measured Si ratio proves the absence of large aggregates and indicate the formation of thin NPs-layer. Experimentally, significantly higher Si ratios were detected after treatment with bare silica nanofluid with relatively high variation between different points. These high ratios result from the accumulation of large silica-aggregates [[13\]](#page-9-12). In this case, most of the NPs are reversibly attached to each other and not adsorbed directly on carbonate surface [[1](#page-9-0)].

EDS results also confirm the effect of pressure and temperature on NP adsorption. In this context, results show that the increase in temperature until 50 °C has no significant effect on the adsorption of bare NPs. In this context, Hamouda and Gomari [\[19](#page-9-18)] revealed that below 50 °C, the carbonate surface entirely positively charges. However, with further increase in temperature (\leq 50 °C), the adsorption of such bare NPs decreases with temperature and reached a minimum value at 70 °C (the highest tested temperature). Mechanistically, the change in surface charge of carbonate from positive to zero and then negative as temperature increased [[19\]](#page-9-18) is the main reason for this reduction in NPs adsorption with a potential desorption process of already attached NPs at this higher temperature range. In contrast, the adsorption of hybrid NPs increases with temperature on both oil-wet and water-wet carbonate surfaces. Basically, hybrid NP carries no surface charge [\[27](#page-9-26)] and the reduction of carbonate surface charge with temperature support the deposition of hybrid NPs.

3.2.3. SEM images

NPs adsorption was evaluated with a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and images showed the presence of silica clusters on both oil-wet and pure calcite surfaces [\(Fig. 7](#page-7-0)) when treated with bare silica

The surface roughness of pure and nano-treated carbonate surface with different nanoparticles at different treatment conditions.

*Root mean square, roughness measured by atomic force microscopy.

nanodispersion at ambient and high pressure.

SEM images indicated a partial agglomeration of bare silica NPs into larger clusters confirming the APS results in [Figs. 5 and 6](#page-6-0). Moreover, the size of clusters decreases with pressure [\(Fig. 8](#page-9-34) A and B). Typically, the effect of CO_2 -pressure on the pH of the nanofluid explains the formation of these larger clusters. Characteristically, the original pH of silica nanofluid was 6.25 which is far enough from the isoelectric point (IEP, $pH = 2-3$) of silica nanofluid [\[14](#page-9-13)]; however, the increase in CO₂pressure brings the pH to a value close to the IEP leading to an accelerated agglomeration process between neighboring NPs. Mechanistically, the low or zero repulsive force between particles at this low pH (e.g. at or near the isoelectric point IEP) increases the aggregation rate after each collision between particles $[3,20]$ $[3,20]$ $[3,20]$ $[3,20]$. Consequently, the more and larger clusters will be formed and electrochemically (irreversibly) adsorbed or gravitationally precipitated (reversibly) on carbonate surface.

Furthermore, immersing these nano-treated surfaces in DI-water at the same pressure led to smaller nano-silica cluster, which confirmed the degradation and detachment of nanoparticles from silica agglomerates and re-dispersing in the water phase due to the break of equilibrium condition.

4. Conclusions

Nanofluid is a dispersion of nanoparticles (NPs) in a base fluid. Nanofluid flooding is a potential approch for enhanced oil recovery (EOR). To give the first insight on NPs adsorption onto carbonate surface at the subsurface condition, this study has conducted a series of dynamic light scattering (DLS), scanning electron microscope (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscope (EDS), and atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements. It was found that only a limited amount of hybrid (silanized) silica NPs could be adsorbed by oil-wet and waterwet calcite surface at ambient [[15,](#page-9-14)[18\]](#page-9-17) and reservoirs conditions. Also, the average particle size (APS) measurement which based on particle size distribution measurement, showed a limited aggregation of hybrid NPs once dispersed in the liquid phase [[3](#page-9-2)[,20](#page-9-19)]. This stable behaviour of hybrid NPs supports the formation of a monolayer NP cluster on the treated surfaces. In contrast, the dramatic agglomeration of bare silica NPs when dispersed in the base fluid result in large silica aggregates [[16\]](#page-9-15). Additionally, immersing the carbonate (calcite) sample in baresilica nanofluids result in precipitation of large silica clusters on the solid surface. This was proved by the significant increase in surface roughness when measured using AFM. Most of bare NPs clusters, however, are reversibly adsorbed on calcite surface and easy to get

Table 2

Chemical characterization of carbonate surfaces that treated with different nanofluids base on the average of five different points on each surface.

Fig. 8. SEM images of nano-treated oil-wet carbonate surface: (A) hydrophilic NP at 0.1 MPa, (B) hydrophilic NP at 20 MPa, (C) nano-treated sample after immersing DI-water. The amorphous looking material is the nano-clusters and the small pyramid looking structures are the dissolved carbonate surface.

detached when flushed with solvent (i.e. DI-water). It was also found that at high pressure, small nano-clusters were distributed more uniformly along the nano-treated substrate. Further, EDS measurements proved the presence of silica in all the tested points with narrow and adequate ratios. Nevertheless, at ambient pressure, significantly large silica clusters were separately distributed on the nano-treated surfaces with very wide differences in silica ratios (1.2–5.2% Si). Moreover, although temperature values below 50 °C showed no significant effect on nanoparticle adsorption, increasing the temperature above 55 °C decreases silica adsorption and thus the effect of nanofluid on surface wettability. Further, flushing the nano-treated surfaces with DI-water can lead to dramatic desorption of adsorbed bare NPs from the surface. We conclude that the attachment of NPs, after nanofluid injection into carbonate surface, are mostly reversible adsorption process.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petlm.2019.09.001) [doi.org/10.1016/j.petlm.2019.09.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petlm.2019.09.001)

References

- [1] [S. Al-Anssari, A. Barifcani, S. Wang, M. Lebedev, S. Iglauer, Wettability alteration of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref1) [oil-wet carbonate by silica nanofluid, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 461 \(2016\) 435–442.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref1)
- [2] [Y. Li, Oil recovery by low salinity water injection into a reservoir: a new study of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref2) [tertiary oil recovery mechanism, Transp. Porous Media 90 \(2\) \(2011\) 333–362.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref2)
- [3] [S. Al-Anssari, M. Arif, S. Wang, A. Barifcani, S. Iglauer, Stabilising nanofluids in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref3) [saline environments, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 508 \(2017\) 222–229.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref3)
- [4] [A. Nikolov, K. Kondiparty, D. Wasan, Nanoparticle self-structuring in a nanofluid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref4) [film spreading on a solid surface, Langmuir 26 \(11\) \(2010\) 7665–7670.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref4)
- [5] [K. Kondiparty, A. Nikolov, S. Wu, D. Wasan, Wetting and spreading of nanofluids on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref5) [solid surfaces driven by the structural disjoining pressure: statics analysis and ex](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref5)[periments, Langmuir 27 \(7\) \(2011\) 3324–3335.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref5)
- [6] [H. ShamsiJazeyi, C.A. Miller, M.S. Wong, J.M. Tour, R. Verduzco, Polymer-coated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref6) [nanoparticles for enhanced oil recovery, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 131 \(15\) \(2014\) 1–13.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref6)
- [7] [S. Al-Anssari, L.N. Nwidee, M. Ali, J.S. Sangwai, S. Wang, A. Barifcani, S. Iglauer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref7) [Retention of silica nanoparticles in limestone porous media, SPE/IATMI Asia Pacific](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref7) [Oil & Gas Conference and Exhibition, 17-19 October, Society of Petroleum](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref7) [Engineers, Jakarta, Indonesia, 2017.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref7)
- [8] [L.N. Nwidee, S. Al-Anssari, A. Barifcani, M. Sarmadivaleh, M. Lebedev, S. Iglauer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref8) [Nanoparticles influence on wetting behaviour of fractured limestone formation, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref8) [Pet. Sci. Eng. 149 \(2017\) 782–788.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref8)
- [9] [R. Gupta, K. Mohanty, Temperature effects on surfactant-aided imbibition into](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref9) [fractured carbonates, SPE J. 25 \(1\) \(2010\) 80–88.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref9)
- [10] [V.R. Dugyala, J.S. Muthukuru, E. Mani, M.G. Basavaraj, Role of electrostatic in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref10)[teractions in the adsorption kinetics of nanoparticles at fluid–fluid interfaces, Phys.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref10) [Chem. Chem. Phys. 18 \(7\) \(2016\) 5499–5508.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref10)
- [11] [H. Zhang, T.S. Ramakrishnan, A. Nikolov, D. Wasan, Enhanced oil recovery driven](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref11) [by nanofilm structural disjoining pressure: flooding experiments and micro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref11)[visualization, Energy Fuel. 30 \(4\) \(2016\) 2771–2779.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref11)
- [12] [N. Moghaddam, Rasoul, A. Bahramian, Z. Fakhroueian, A. Karimi, S. Arya,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref12) [Comparative study of using nanoparticles for enhanced oil recovery: wettability](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref12) [alteration of carbonate rocks, Energy Fuel. 29 \(4\) \(2015\) 2111–2119.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref12)
- [13] [T. Zhang, M.J. Murphy, H. Yu, H.G. Bagaria, K.Y. Yoon, B.M. Nielson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref13) [C.W. Bielawski, K.P. Johnston, C. Huh, S.L. Bryant, Investigation of nanoparticle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref13)

[adsorption during transport in porous media, SPE J. 20 \(04\) \(2015\) 667–677.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref13)

- [14] [S. Al-Anssari, S. Wang, A. Barifcani, M. Lebedev, S. Iglauer, Effect of temperature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref14) [and SiO2 nanoparticle size on wettability alteration of oil-wet calcite, Fuel 206](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref14) [\(2017\) 34–42.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref14)
- [15] [C.O. Metin, J.R. Baran, Q.P. Nguyen, Adsorption of surface functionalized silica](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref15) [nanoparticles onto mineral surfaces and decane/water interface, J. Nanoparticle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref15) [Res. 14 \(11\) \(2012\) 1246.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref15)
- [16] [C. Metin, L. Lake, C. Miranda, Q. Nguyen, Stability of aqueous silica nanoparticle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref16) [dispersions, J. Nanoparticle Res. 13 \(2\) \(2011\) 839–850.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref16)
- [17] [A.R. Petosa, D.P. Jaisi, I.R. Quevedo, M. Elimelech, N. Tufenkji, Aggregation and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref17) [deposition of engineered nanomaterials in aquatic environments: role of physico](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref17)[chemical interactions, Environ. Sci. Technol. 44 \(17\) \(2010\) 6532–6549.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref17)
- [18] [R. Mondragon, J.E. Julia, A. Barba, J.C. Jarque, Characterization of silica–water](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref18) [nanofluids dispersed with an ultrasound probe: a study of their physical properties](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref18) [and stability, Powder Technol. 224 \(2012\) 138–146.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref18)
- [19] [A.A. Hamouda, R.K.A. Gomari, Influence of temperature on wettability alteration of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref19) [carbonate reservoirs, SPE/DOE Symposium on Improved Oil Recovery, Society of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref19) [Petroleum Engineers, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA, 2006.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref19)
- [20] [R.I. Nooney, A. White, C. O'Mahony, C. O'Connell, S.M. Kelleher, S. Daniels,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref20) [C. McDonagh, Investigating the colloidal stability of fluorescent silica nanoparticles](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref20) [under isotonic conditions for biomedical applications, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 456](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref20) [\(2015\) 50–58.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref20)
- [21] [A. Alroudhan, J. Vinogradov, M.D. Jackson, Zeta potential of intact natural lime](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref21)[stone: impact of potential-determining ions Ca, Mg and SO4, Colloid. Surf.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref21) [Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 493 \(2016\) 83–98.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref21)
- [22] [G. Chen, X. Liu, C. Su, Transport and retention of TiO2 rutile nanoparticles in sa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref22)[turated porous media under low-ionic-strength conditions: measurements and me](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref22)[chanisms, Langmuir 27 \(9\) \(2011\) 5393–5402.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref22)
- [23] [E. Guzmán, L. Liggieri, E. Santini, M. Ferrari, F. Ravera, Effect of hydrophilic and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref23) [hydrophobic nanoparticles on the surface pressure response of DPPC monolayers, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref23) [Phys. Chem. C 115 \(44\) \(2011\) 21715–21722.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref23)
- [24] [R.W.N. Nugroho, T. Pettersson, K. Odelius, A. Höglund, A.-C. Albertsson, Force](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref24) [interactions of nonagglomerating polylactide particles obtained through covalent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref24) [surface grafting with hydrophilic polymers, Langmuir 29 \(28\) \(2013\) 8873–8881.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref24)
- [25] [L. He, M. Wang, J. Ge, Y. Yin, Magnetic assembly route to colloidal responsive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref25) [photonic nanostructures, Acc. Chem. Res. 45 \(9\) \(2012\) 1431–1440.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref25) [26] [H.F. Lecoanet, J.-Y. Bottero, M.R. Wiesner, Laboratory assessment of the mobility of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref26)
- [nanomaterials in porous media, Environ. Sci. Technol. 38 \(19\) \(2004\) 5164–5169.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref26) [27] [E. Rodriguez Pin, M. Roberts, H. Yu, C. Huh, S.L. Bryant, Enhanced migration of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref27)
- [surface-treated nanoparticles in sedimentary rocks, SPE Annual Technical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref27) [Conference and Exhibition, Society of Petroleum Engineers, 2009.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref27)
- [28] [S. Al-Anssari, M. Arif, S. Wang, A. Barifcani, M. Lebedev, S. Iglauer, Wettability of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref28) [nanofluid-modified oil-wet calcite at reservoir conditions, Fuel 211 \(2018\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref28) [405–414.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref28)
- [29] [J.W. Grate, K.J. Dehoff, M.G. Warner, J.W. Pittman, T.W. Wietsma, C. Zhang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref29) [M. Oostrom, Correlation of oil–water and air–water contact angles of diverse sila](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref29)[nized surfaces and relationship to fluid interfacial tensions, Langmuir 28 \(18\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref29) [\(2012\) 7182–7188.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref29)
- [30] [G. London, G.T. Carroll, B.L. Feringa, Silanization of quartz, silicon and mica sur](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref30)[faces with light-driven molecular motors: construction of surface-bound photo-ac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref30)[tive nanolayers, Org. Biomol. Chem. 11 \(21\) \(2013\) 3477–3483.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref30)
- [31] [P. Rostamzadeh, S.M. Mirabedini, M. Esfandeh, APS-silane modification of silica](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref31) [nanoparticles: effect of treatment's variables on the grafting content and colloidal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref31) [stability of the nanoparticles, J. Coat. Technol. Res. 11 \(4\) \(2014\) 651–660.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref31)
- [32] [S. Al-Anssari, A. Barifcani, A. Keshavarz, S. Iglauer, Impact of nanoparticles on the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref32) [CO2-brine interfacial tension at high pressure and temperature, J. Colloid Interface](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref32) [Sci. 532 \(2018\) 136–142.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref32)
- [33] [J.-Z. Ma, J. Hu, Z.-J. Zhang, Polyacrylate/silica nanocomposite materials prepared](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref33) [by sol–gel process, Eur. Polym. J. 43 \(10\) \(2007\) 4169–4177.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref33)
- [34] [W. He, D. Wu, J. Li, K. Zhang, Y. Xiang, L. Long, S. Qin, J. Yu, Q. Zhang, Surface](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref34) [modification of colloidal silica nanoparticles: controlling the size and grafting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref34) [process, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 34 \(9\) \(2013\) 2747–2752.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref34)
- [35] [Q.A. Bhatti, M.K. Baloch, S. Schwarz, G. Petzold, Effect of various parameters on the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref35) [stability of silica dispersions, J. Solut. Chem. 43 \(11\) \(2014\) 1916–1928.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref35)
- [36] [W. Stumm, J.J. Morgan, Aquatic Chemistry : Chemical Equilibria and Rates in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref36) [Natural Waters, third ed., Wiley New York, 1995.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref36)
- [37] [C.O. Metin, R.T. Bonnecaze, L.W. Lake, C.R. Miranda, Q.P. Nguyen, Aggregation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref37) [kinetics and shear rheology of aqueous silica suspensions, Appl. Nanosci. 4 \(2\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref37) [\(2012\) 169–178.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref37)
- [38] [R.M. El-Maghraby, C.H. Pentland, S. Iglauer, M.J. Blunt, A fast method to equili](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref38)[brate carbon dioxide with brine at high pressure and elevated temperature in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref38)[cluding solubility measurements, J. Supercrit. Fluids 62 \(2012\) 55–59.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref38)
- [39] [K. Ma, L. Cui, Y. Dong, T. Wang, C. Da, G.J. Hirasaki, S.L. Biswal, Adsorption of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref39) [cationic and anionic surfactants on natural and synthetic carbonate materials, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref39) [Colloid Interface Sci. 408 \(2013\) 164–172.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref39)
- [40] [S. Iglauer, A. Salamah, M. Sarmadivaleh, K. Liu, C. Phan, Contamination of silica](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref40)

[surfaces: impact on water–CO2–quartz and glass contact angle measurements, Int.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref40) [J. Greenhouse Gas Control. 22 \(2014\) 325–328.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref40)

- [41] [S. Hoeiland, T. Barth, A.M. Blokhus, A. Skauge, The effect of crude oil acid fractions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref41) [on wettability as studied by interfacial tension and contact angles, J. Pet. Sci. Eng.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref41) [30 \(2\) \(2001\) 91–103.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref41)
- [42] [M. Arif, S.A. Abu-Khamsin, S. Iglauer, Wettability of rock/CO2/brine and rock/oil/](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref42) [CO2-enriched-brine systems:Critical parametric analysis and future outlook, Adv.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref42) [Colloid Interface Sci. 268 \(2019\) 91–113.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref42)
- [43] [T. Rahman, M. Lebedev, A. Barifcani, S. Iglauer, Residual trapping of supercritical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref43) [CO2 in oil-wet sandstone, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 469 \(2016\) 63–68.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref43)
- [44] [B. Espinasse, E.M. Hotze, M.R. Wiesner, Transport and retention of colloidal ag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref44)[gregates of C60 in porous Media: effects of organic macromolecules, ionic compo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref44)[sition, and preparation method, Environ. Sci. Technol. 41 \(21\) \(2007\) 7396–7402.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-6561(19)30076-8/sref44)