# Age Composition and Magnitude of Striped Bass Winter Gill-net Catches in the Rappahannock River, 1967-1970 

George C. Grant

Virginia Institute of Marine Science
Victor G. Burrell Jr.
Virginia Institute of Marine Science
William H. Kriete
Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsbooks
Part of the Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons

## Recommended Citation

Grant, George C.; Burrell, Victor G. Jr.; and Kriete, William H., "Age Composition and Magnitude of Striped Bass Winter Gill-net Catches in the Rappahannock River, 1967-1970" (1971). VIMS Books and Book Chapters. 109.
https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsbooks/109

## PROCEEONGS

## OF THE

## TWENTY-FOURTH ANNUAL CONFFERECE SOUTHEASTERN ASSOCIATION OF GAME AND FISH COMMISSIONERS

September 27-30, 1970

ATLANTA, GEORGIA

## OFFICERS

BILLY JOE CROSS, President<br>Mississippi Game and Fish Commission<br>GEORGE T. BAGBY, Vice-President<br>Georgia Game and Fish Commission<br>JAMES W. WEBB, Secretary-Treasurer<br>South Carolina Wildlife Resources Department

## MEMBER STATES

Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia

Edited by JAMES W. WEBB

Printed at Columbia, S. C.

# AGE COMPOSITION AND MAGNITUDE OF STRIPED BASS WINTER GILL-NET CATCHES IN <br> THE RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, 1967-1970 ${ }^{12}$ 

by<br>George C. Grant, Victor G. Burrell, Jr., and William H. Kriete, Jr. Virginia Institute of Marine Science Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062


#### Abstract

The dependence of commercial fishing success on strong yearclasses of striped bass is demonstrated, using four years of catch records from a small group of cooperating gill-net fishermen. Age analysis of these Rappahannock River catches during 1969 and 1970 revealed that an approximate tripling of landings in 1970 was a result of selection for the dominant 1966 year-class.


## INTRODUCTION

The occasional appearance of unusually successful, or "dominant", yearclasses of certain fish species has been well-documented by fishery biologists. One such species is the striped bass, Morone saxatilis (Walbaum), an anadromous species of considerable commercial and recreational importance along the Atlantic coast. In recent history, the best known strong year-classes of striped bass were those of 1934 and 1958, both of which resulted in waves of heavy landings proceeding northward along the coast from Chesapeake Bay (Merriman, 1941; Raney, 1952; Shearer, Ritchie, and Frisbie, 1962; Schaefer, 1968).

The impact of dominant year-classes is clearly reflected in increased commercial landings. Koo (1970) has shown an apparent six-year cycle of abundance for the Chesapeake-spawned population from analysis of the 1930-1966 catch records. Heavy landings were attributed to the periodic appearances of dominant year-classes. A longer-term increase in abundance is also evident, with landings increasing nine-fold from 1934 to 1966.

The direct effect of strong year-classes of striped bass on the fortunes of individual fishermen or segments of the fishery is less well-known than is their effect on total landings. Vladykov and Wallace (1952) provided a detailed analysis of the catches of two pound-netters from 1930 to 1937. Compared with 1935 catches, landings tripled and quadrupled in 1936 and 1937, respectively. These increases were attributed to the dominant 1934 year-class. Similarly, a doubling of pound net catches in Maryland from 1941 to 1942 was caused by the dominant 1940 year-class (Tiller, 1950). Murphy (1960) found that haul seine catches doubled in the summer of 1959, coincident with a slight reduction in effort, and consisted largely of 1956 and 1957 year-classes. Continuance of that study for an additional year or two would have been interesting in view of the known abundance of the 1958 year-class.

This paper will examine the effect of a strong year-class of striped bass on the landings recorded by four gill-net fishermen on the Rappahannock River, Virginia. Their cooperation in providing confidential records and allowing sampling of their catches is gratefully acknowledged.
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## METHODS AND MATERIALS

## Catch Data and Fishery Description

Data for landings utilized in this paper were obtained from log-books maintained by four stake gill-netters from March 1967 to April 1970. Daily entries, in pounds by species, were made by each fisherman.

Catch data have not been converted to a per-unit-effort base, because total effort within this segment of the fishery has remained essentially constant over the period being considered. The location of nets have also remained the same, extending along seven nautical miles of the Rappahannock River where the average river width is 1.6 nautical miles.

This fishery employs, for the most part, two different classes of gill-nets: 1) a "perch" net ( $31 / 4$ " $-31 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ stretch mesh) used early in the season, December- February, primarily for white perch, Morone americanus, but catching significant numbers of striped bass, and 2) so-called "shad nets" ( 4 "-5" mesh) that are substituted beginning in late February or early March, in anticipation of the spring run of American shad, Alosa sapidissima. The constant location of nets eliminates most of the bias indicated by Craig (1930) who found that catches of shad were inversely correlated with catches of striped bass, because California fishermen changed their location and habits of fishing when shad were abundant.

## Catch Sampling

Catches from this gill-net fishery were sampled semi-monthly while nets were in operation during the winters of 1969 and 1970. Samples of approximately 50 striped bass were measured. Age was determined from scales removed from the region above the lateral line and below the gap between the two dorsal fins (Merriman, 1941).

## RESULTS

## Landings, 1967-1970

Striped bass landings for the selected Rappahannock River fishery are summarized in Table 1. Differences between catches of individual fishermen within months are largely due to the number of nets fished, so that relative success from month to month is quite consistent. Records were not obtained before March 1967, therefore data for winter 1967 are incomplete. Because ice frequently prevents fishing during January and February, the best months for consistent fishing and for comparison of striped bass abundance are March and April. Total landings for these two months in the years of record were as follows: 1967-14,434 lbs., 1968-29,432 lbs., 1969-17,508 lbs., and 1970-66,543 lbs. Reduced catches in March and April 1969 followed large landings early in the season. Total catches in winter 1970 were approximately triple those of the previous two fishing seasons.

## Age and Size Composition, 1969-1970

Sampling of this fishery was initiated in January 1969. Figure 1 shows the magnitude of catches during the two seasons of sampling and the age composition of the catch within semi-monthly sampling periods. The 1966 year-class contributed over $80 \%$ of the total number of striped bass during the period of maximum landings (March 1970), as it did throughout the last half of the preceding fishing season.

Younger year-classes (primarily the 1967 year-class) contributed most to catches during the first half of the 1970 season, when small-mesh "perch nets" were in use. Replacement of these nets with "shad nets" coincided with the heavy catches of 1966 year-class striped bass. The final sample, conversely, contained a large percentage of fish from the year-classes 1965 and older (oldest 1957), resulting from an experimental employment of two large-mesh gill-nets ( $8^{\prime \prime}$ and $9^{\prime \prime}$ stretch mesh).

Size selection by the various nets employed is evident from the change in mean length of striped bass caught through the season (Table 2). In 1969, the mean length increased from about 350 mm to nearly 430 mm when shad nets ( $4^{\prime \prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ ) were substituted for perch nets ( $31 / 4 "-31 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ ), and in 1970 from 390 mm to 476 mm . A similar jump in mean length of the 1966 year-class component of catches occurred in 1969. These lengths increased from about 360 mm to 420 mm . Shad nets caught faster-growing members of the 1966 year-class than did smaller-mesh nets, as is evidenced by the mean back-calculated lengths at Age I. This effect, however, was not as evident in the 1970 season after a further year's growth by the 1966 year-class. "Perch nets" simply caught fewer of these now larger striped bass.
Estimated Contribution by Weight of the 1966 Year-class to Total Landings
Since landings are reported only in pounds and our age and size data are in numbers of individuals, some conversion from numbers to weight is necessary before attributing change in total landings to abundance of any particular yearclass. Table 3 lists the mean lengths of striped bass within year-classes throughout the sampling period and their calculated mean weights. The length-weight relationship of male striped bass published by Mansueti (1961) for Marylandcaught fish has been used for these fish of unknown sex, since his equation for females and striped bass of unknown sex somewhat overestimates weights of striped bass (unknown sex) in Virginia waters. Length-weight relationships for Virginia populations have not yet been published.

During the winter 1969 season, including only those semi-monthly periods in which samples were taken, the 1966 year-class accounted for an estimated 22,446 pounds, or over $70 \%$ of the total landings of 31,326 pounds reported during those periods. Landings of the 1966 year-class more than doubled in the following season. An estimated 49,834 pounds, or nearly $60 \%$ of a total 85,351 pounds, was landed in the periods sampled.

## DISCUSSION

Strong year-classes of striped bass increase the catch of selective-gear fisheries, as they have been shown to do for landings as a whole (Koo, 1970) and for non-selective gear, such as pound nets (Tiller, 1950; Vladykov and Wallace, 1952) and haul seines (Murphy, 1960). The 1966 year-class contributed over $80 \%$ by numbers and by weight to peak catches in March 1970 by four gill-net fishermen in the Rappahannock River. Contribution of the 1966 year-class to landings in winter 1970, when landing were nearly triple those of the previous year, was nearly $60 \%$ by weight.

Gill-net fishermen are in the best position to maximize catches of such dominant year-classes, providing they have knowledge of year-class abundance, average length at different ages, expected growth from one year to the next, and the relation between gill-net mesh size and mean length of striped bass caught. Trent and Hassler (1968) have shown a linear relationship between gill-net mesh size and length of male striped bass. Mean lengths expected to be caught in the nets commonly employed in the Rappahannock fishery are as follows: $31 / 4 "-338 \mathrm{~mm}, 4^{\prime \prime}-403 \mathrm{~mm}, 5$ " -490 mm . Comparison of these expected lengths with mean lengths of our samples in Table 2 shows close agreement. Furthermore, it is apparent that peak catches occurred when the 1966 year-class approached a mean length close to that expected to be optimally caught in $5^{\prime \prime}$-mesh nets. A further year's growth will reduce catches of 1966 year-class striped bass if $5^{\prime \prime}$ gill-nets are again employed in 1971. Assuming a significant return of the 1966 year-class in the winter of 1971 and growth to a mean fork length of approximately 550 mm , catches would be maximized by use of gill-nets with 53/4" stretch mesh.

TABLE 1
LOG-BOOK RECORDS OF LANDINGS BY MONTHS FOR INDIVIDUAL GILL-NET FISHERMEN, RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, 1967-1970. STRIPED BASS CATCHES IN POUNDS.

| Month and Year | Fisherman |  |  |  | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |  |
| Mar 1967 | 2,340 | 2,391 | 2,691 | 1,925 | 9,275 |
| Apr | 1,383 | 1,234 | 1,549 | 993 | 5,159 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 14,434* |
| Jan 1968 | 1,294 | --- | --- | 1,625 | 2,919 |
| Feb | --- | --- | --- | 935 | 935 |
| Mar | 2,316 | 6,776 | 7,291 | 7,518 | 23,901 |
| Apr | 780 | 1,378 | 1,656 | 1,717 | 5,531 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 33,286 |
| Jan 1969 | 2,442 | 2,458 | 5,263 | 2,952 | 13,115 |
| Feb | --- | 654 | 522 | 647 | 1,823 |
| Mar | 771 | 3,286 | 3,010 | 4,943 | 12,010 |
| Apr | 1,179 | 739 | 1,621 | 1,959 | 5,498 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 32,446 |
| Dec 1969 | --- | --- | 2,287 | 1,997 | 4,284 |
| Jan 1970 | 530 | 1,003 | 2,003 | 1,478 | 5,014 |
| Feb | --- | 5,927 | 8,307 | 6,237 | 20,471 |
| Mar | 5,344 | 11,009 | 12,475 | 15,853 | 44,681 |
| Apr | 1,713 | 5,887 | 6,960 | 7,302 | 21,862 |
|  | rariy |  |  |  | 96,312 |



FIGURE 1. Total landings (A) and age composition (B) of striped bass in the sampled gill-net fishery, Rappahannock River, Virginia, during the winters of 1969 and 1970.

## TABLE 2

MEAN FORK LENGTHS (IN MILLIMETERS) OF ENTIRE SAMPLES AND THEIR 1966 YEAR-CLASS COMPONENT, WITH BACK-CALCULATED LENGTHS AT AGE I FOR THE 1966 YEAR-CLASS

| Sample <br> Date | Entire Sample |  |  | 1966 Year Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | At capture |  |  | At Age I |  |
|  | N | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | (t.05)S.E. | N | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | t. 05(S.E.) | x | t.05(S.E.) |
| 3 Jan 69 | 50 | 339.8 | $\pm 8.8$ | 30 | 355.9 | $\pm 10.0$ | 118.7 | $\pm 8.7$ |
| 17 Jan 69 | 50 | 322.9 | $\pm 8.9$ | 23 | 340.9 | $\pm 12.8$ | 106.2 | $\pm 9.6$ |
| 11 Feb 69 | 50 | 373.6 | $\pm 14.2$ | 37 | 381.8 | $\pm 11.4$ | 130.4 | $\pm 12.1$ |
| 21 Feb 69 | 49 | 356.0 | $\pm 11.0$ | 41 | 357.7 | $\pm 8.0$ | 118.3 | $\pm 7.9$ |
| 6 Mar 69 | 49 | 429.6 | $\pm 11.8$ | 42 | 420.4 | $\pm 10.3$ | 151.9 | $\pm 9.1$ |
| 19 Mar 69 | 49 | 421.2 | $\pm 12.0$ | 44 | 412.6 | $\pm 10.2$ | 148.9 | $\pm 9.6$ |
| 2 Apr 69 | 50 | 429.1 | $\pm 15.4$ | 42 | 416.9 | $\pm 11.3$ | 136.8 | $\pm 8.9$ |
| 8 Dec 69 | 50 | 358.7 | $\pm 14.4$ | 7 | 434.0 | $\pm 40.2$ | 110.3 | $\pm 28.0$ |
| 17 Dec 69 | 50 | 390.3 | $\pm 19.2$ | 12 | 487.0 | $\pm 15.2$ | 130.0 | $\pm 14.7$ |
| 16 Feb 70 | 50 | 390.0 | $\pm 13.9$ | 13 | 442.3 | $\pm 22.3$ | 122.2 | $\pm 14.0$ |
| 4 Mar 70 | 50 | 476.6 | $\pm 15.8$ | 41 | 477.7 | $\pm 10.1$ | 135.1 | $\pm 8.1$ |
| 16 Mar 70 | 50 | 463.3 | $\pm 11.0$ | 41 | 470.5 | $\pm 11.6$ | 123.0 | $\pm 7.6$ |
| 10 Apr 70 | 50 | 544.4 | $\pm 48.2$ | 24 | 484.5 | $\pm 13.1$ | 134.9 | $\pm 11.9$ |

TABLE 3
CONVERSION OF AGE FREQUENCY AND MEAN LENGTHS TO WEIGHT WITHIN SEMI-MONTHLY PERIODS
OF SAMPLING
Estimated
Contribution
Total Lndgs.
$\begin{array}{r}3841 \\ 1740 \\ 5581 \\ \hline 245\end{array}$
$\stackrel{7}{2}$

|  | 仡 |  | \% | . 7 | , | 42.09 | 317 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 50 | --- | --- | 45.83 | 100.00 | 7534 |
| Feb. 1-15 | 1965 | 3 | 482.7 | 3.349 | 10.05 | 13.19 | 43 |
|  | 1966 | 37 | 381.8 | 1.570 | 58.09 | 76.22 | 248 |
|  | 1967 | 10 | 310.7 | 0.807 | 8.07 | 10.59 | 34 |
|  | Total | 50 | --- | --- | 76.21 | 100.00 | 325 |
| Feb 16-28 | 1965 | 2 | 457.5 | 2.818 | 5.64 | 9.02 | 135 |
|  | 1966 | 41 | 357.7 | 1.270 | 52.07 | 83.26 | 1247 |
|  | 1967 | 6 | 310.5 | 0.805 | 4.83 | 7.72 | 116 |
|  | Total | 49 | --- | --- | 62.54 | 100.00 | 1498 |
| Mar 1-15 | 1964 | 2 | 482.5 | 3.349 | 6.70 | 5.88 | 333 |
|  | 1965 | 5 | 485.6 | 3.419 | 17.10 | 15.00 | 849 |
|  | 1966 | 42 | 420.4 | 2.148 | 90.22 | 79.13 | 4476 |
|  | Total | 49 | --- | --- | 114.02 | 100.01 | 5658 |
| Mar 16-31 | 1964 | 1 | 505.0 | 3.881 | 3.88 | 3.62 | 230 |
|  | 1965 | 4 | 495.0 | 3.638 | 14.55 | 13.57 | 862 |
|  | 1966 | 44 | 412.6 | 2.018 | 88.79 | 82.81 | 5261 |
|  | Total | 49 | --- | --- | 107.22 | 100.00 | 6353 |
| Apr 1-15 | 1962 |  | 588.0 | 6.350 | 6.35 | 5.38 | 235 |
|  | 1964 | 4 | 511.8 | 4.054 | 16.22 | 13.75 | 602 |
|  | 1965 | 2 | 476.0 | 3.206 | 6.41 | 5.43 | 238 |
|  | 1966 | 42 | 416.9 | 2.089 | 87.74 | 74.36 | 3255 |
|  | 1967 | 1 | 358.0 | 1.276 | 1.28 | 1.08 | 47 |
|  | Total | 50 | --- | -- | 118.00 | 100.00 | 4377 |


| Sample Period | Year Class | N | TABLE 3 (Continued) |  | Total Sample Wt. in Pounds | Percent Total Sample Wt. | Estimated Contribution in Pounds to Total Lndgs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean L. in mm . | Calc. Mean Wt. in Pounds* |  |  |  |
| (1969) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 1-15 | 1966 | 7 | 434.0 | 2.382 | 16.67 | 24.74 | 507 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1967 \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 387.7 \\ & 324.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.651 \\ & 0.927 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.76 \\ & 25.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.74 \\ & 38.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 753 \\ & 790 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total | 50 | --- | --- | 67.39 | 100.00 | 2050 |
| Dec. 16-31 | 1966 | 12 | 487.0 | 3.451 | 41.41 | 45.05 | 1007 |
|  | 1967 | 20 | 387.8 | I. 655 | 33.10 | 36.01 | 804 |
|  | 1968 | 18 | 328.7 | 0.968 | 17.42 | 18.95 | 423 |
|  | Total | 50 | --- | --- | 91.93 | 100.01 | 2234 |
| (1970) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 16-28 | 1965 | 1 | 443.0 | 2.540 | 2.54 | 2.92 | 514 |
|  | 1966 | 13 | 442.3 | 2.529 | 32.88 | 37.75 | 6643 |
|  | 1967 | 26 | 384.4 | 1.606 | 41.76 | 47.94 | 8436 |
|  | 1968 | 10 | 331.3 | 0.993 | 9.93 | 11.40 | 2006 |
|  | Total | 50 | --- | -- | 87.11 | 100.01 | 17,599 |
| Mar 1-15 | 1964 | 1 | 765.0 | 14.89 | 14.89 | 8.90 | 1557 |
|  | 1966 | 41 | 477.7 | 3.242 | 132.92 | 79.45 | 13,903 |
|  | 1967 | 7 | 446.3 | 2.606 | 18.24 | 10.90 | 1908 |
|  | 1968 | , | 355.0 | 1.244 | 1.24 | 0.74 | 130 |
|  | Total | 50 | --- | -- | 167.29 | 99.99 | 17,498 |
| Mar 16-31 | 1966 | 41 | 470.5 | 3.089 | 126.65 | 85.92 | 23,356 |
|  | 1967 | 9 | 430.1 | 2.306 | 20.75 | 14.08 | 3827 |
|  | Total | 50 | -- | -- | 147.40 | 100.00 | 27,183 |
| Apr 1-15 | 1957 | 1 | 947.0 | 29.64 | 29.64 | 8.56 | 1608 |
|  | 1958 | 2 | 940.0 | 28.96 | 57.92 | 16.72 | 3141 |
|  | 1960 | 1 | 912.0 | 26.29 | 26.29 | 7.59 | 1426 |
|  | 1961 | 3 | 885.7 | 23.87 | 71.61 | 20.67 | 3882 |
|  | 1963 | 1 | 840.0 | 20.13 | 20.13 | 5.81 | 1092 |
|  | 1964 | 2 | 659.0 | 9.181 | 18.36 | 5.30 | 996 |
|  | 1965 | 2 | 541.0 | 4.851 | 9.70 | 2.80 | 526 |
|  | 1966 | 24 | 484.5 | 3.395 | 81.48 | 23.52 | 4418 |
|  | 1967 | 13 | 429.1 | 2.290 | 29.77 | 8.59 | 1613 |
|  | 1968 | 1 | 380.0 | 1.548 | 1.55 | 0.45 | 85 |
|  | Total | 50 | --- | --- | 346.45 | 100.01 | 18,787 |
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