Kent Academic Repository Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Meijaard, Erik and Brooks, Thomas and Carlson, Kimberley and Slade, Eleanor M. and Ulloa, John Garcia and Gaveau, David L. A. and Lee, Janice S.H. and Santika, Truly and Juffe-Bignoli, Diego and Struebig, Matthew J. and Wich, Serge A. and Ancrenaz, Marc and Koh, Lian Pin and Zamira, Nadine and Abrams, Jesse F. and Prins, Herbert and Sendashonga, Cyriaque and Murdiyarso,

DOI

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00813-w

Link to record in KAR

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/84676/

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk**

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html





1	The environmental impacts of palm oil in
2	context
3	Erik Meijaard ^{1,2,3*} , Thomas Brooks ^{4,5,6} , Kimberly M. Carlson ^{7,8} , Eleanor M. Slade ⁹ , John Garcia Ulloa ¹⁰ ,
4	David L.A. Gaveau ¹¹ , Janice Ser Huay Lee ⁹ , Truly Santika ^{1,2} , Diego Juffe-Bignoli ^{2,12} , Matthew J.
5	Struebig ² , Serge A. Wich ^{13,14} , Marc Ancrenaz ^{1,15} , Lian Pin Koh ¹⁶ , Nadine Zamira ¹⁷ , Jesse. F. Abrams ^{18,19} ,
6	Herbert H.T. Prins ²⁰ , Cyriaque N. Sendashonga ²¹ , Daniel Murdiyarso ^{10,22} , Paul R. Furumo ²³ , Nicholas
7	Macfarlane ⁴ , Rachel Hoffmann ²⁴ , Marcos Persio ²⁵ , Adrià Descals ²⁶ , Zoltan Szantoi ^{27,28} , Douglas Sheil ²⁹
8	¹ Borneo Futures, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei Darussalam.
9	² Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK.
10	³ School of Biological Sciences, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Australia.
11	⁴ Science and Knowledge Unit, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
12	⁵ World Agroforestry Center (ICRAF), University of The Philippines Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines.
13	⁶ Institute for Marine & Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia.
14	⁷ Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Management, the University of Hawai'i at
15	Mānoa, HI, USA.
16	⁸ Department of Environmental Studies, New York University, New York, NY, USA.
17	⁹ Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University of Singapore, Singapore.
18	¹⁰ Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.
19	¹¹ Center for International Forestry Research, Bogor, Indonesia.
20	¹² UN Environment Programme World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), Cambridge,
21	UK.

- ¹³ School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK.
- ¹⁴ Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The
- 24 Netherlands.
- ¹⁵ Kinabatangan Orang-Utan Conservation Programme, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.
- ¹⁶ Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore.
- 27 ¹⁷ Rainforest Alliance, Washington, DC, USA.
- 28 ¹⁸ Department of Ecological Dynamics, Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Berlin,

29 Germany.

- 30 ¹⁹ Global Systems Institute and Institute for Data Science and Artificial Intelligence, University of
- 31 Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom.
- 32 ²⁰ Animal Sciences Group, Wageningen University, the Netherlands.
- ²¹ IUCN Policy and Programme Group, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- 34 ²² Department of Geophysics and Meteorology, IPB University, Bogor, Indonesia.
- 35 ²³ Earth System Science, Stanford University, CA, USA.
- 36 ²⁴ Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, UK.
- 37 ²⁵ Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Pará, Belém, Brazil.
- ²⁶ Centre de Recerca Ecològica i Aplicacions Forestals, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, Spain.
- ²⁷ European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy.
- 40 ²⁸ Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa.
- 41 ²⁹ Department of Ecology and Natural Resource Management, Norwegian University of Life Sciences,
- 42 Ås, Norway.

43 *e-mail: emeijaard@gmail.com

44 Abstract

45	Delivering the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) requires balancing demands on land between
46	agriculture (SDG 2) and biodiversity (SDG 15). The production of vegetable oils, and in particular
47	palm oil, illustrates these competing demands and trade-offs. Palm oil accounts for $40\%^1$ of the
48	current global annual demand for vegetable oil as food, animal feed, and fuel (210 million tons ²
49	(Mt)), but planted oil palm covers less than 5-5.5% ³ of total global oil crop area (ca. 425 Mha) ⁴ , due
50	to oil palm's relatively high yields ⁵ . Recent oil palm expansion in forested regions of Borneo,
51	Sumatra, and the Malay Peninsula, where >90% of global palm oil is produced ⁵ , has led to substantial
52	concern around oil palm's role in deforestation. Oil palm expansion's direct contribution to regional
53	tropical deforestation varies widely, ranging from 3% in West Africa to 47% in Malaysia ⁶ . Oil palm is
54	also implicated in peatland draining and burning in Southeast Asia. Documented negative
55	environmental impacts from such expansion include biodiversity declines, greenhouse gas
56	emissions, and air pollution. However, oil palm generally produces more oil per area than other oil
57	crops ⁷ , is often economically viable in sites unsuitable for most other crops, and generates
58	considerable wealth for at least some actors ⁸ . Global demand for vegetable oils is projected to
59	increase by 46% by 2050 ⁹ . Meeting this demand through additional expansion of oil palm versus
60	other vegetable oil crops will lead to substantial differential effects on biodiversity, food security,
61	climate change, land degradation, and livelihoods. Our review highlights that, although substantial
62	gaps remain in our understanding of the relationship between the environmental, socio-cultural and
63	economic impacts of oil palm, and the scope, stringency and effectiveness of initiatives to address
64	these, there has been little research into the impacts and trade-offs of other vegetable oil crops.
65	Greater research attention needs to be given to investigating the impacts of palm oil production
66	compared to alternatives for the trade-offs to be assessed at a global scale.

Over the past 25 years, global oil crops have expanded rapidly, with major impacts on land use⁹. The
land used for growing oil crops grew from 170 million ha (Mha) in 1961 to 425 Mha in 2017⁴ or ~30%
of all cropland world-wide¹⁰. Oil palm, soy, and rapeseed together account for >80% of all vegetable
oil production with cotton, groundnuts, sunflower, olive, and coconut comprising most of the
remainder (Table 1, Figure 1). These crops, including soy (125 Mha planted area⁴) and maize (197
Mha planted area⁴), are also used as animal feed and other products.

Oil palm is the most rapidly expanding oil crop. This palm originates from equatorial Africa where it has been cultivated for millennia, but it is now widely grown in Southeast Asia. Between 2008 and 2017, oil palm expanded globally at an average rate of 0.7 Mha per year⁴, and palm oil is the leading and cheapest edible oil in much of Asia and Africa. While it has been estimated that palm oil is an ingredient in 43% of products found in British supermarkets¹¹, we lack comparable studies for the prevalence of other oils.

79 As a wild plant, the oil palm is a colonising species that establishes in open areas. Cultivated palms 80 are commonly planted as monocultures, although the tree is also used in mixed, small-scale and 81 agroforestry settings. To maximize photosynthetic capacity and fruit yields, oil palm requires a warm 82 and wet climate, high solar radiation, and high humidity. It is thus most productive in the humid 83 tropics, while other oil crops, except coconut, grow primarily in subtropical and temperate regions 84 (Table 1). Moreover, because oil palm tolerates many soils including deep peat and sandy substrates, 85 it is often profitable in locations where few other commodity crops are viable. The highest yields from planted oil palm have been reported in Southeast Asia⁵. Yields are generally lower in Africa¹² 86 and the Neotropics⁵, likely reflecting differences in climatic conditions including humidity and cloud 87 cover¹², as well as management, occurrence of pests and diseases, and planting stock¹³. 88 89 Palm oil is controversial due to its social and environmental impacts and opportunities. Loss of

90 natural habitats, reduction in woody biomass, and peatland drainage that occur during site

preparation are the main direct environmental impacts from oil palm development¹⁴. Such 91 92 conversion typically reduces biodiversity and water quality and increases greenhouse gas emissions, and, when fire is used, smoke and haze^{5,15}. Industrial oil palm expansion by large multi-national and 93 94 national companies is also often associated with social problems, such as land grabbing and conflicts, labour exploitation, social inequity¹⁶ and declines in village-level well-being¹⁷. In producer countries, 95 96 oil palm is a valued crop that brings economic development to regions with few alternative 97 agricultural development options⁸, and generates substantial average livelihood improvements when smallholder farmers adopt oil palm¹⁸. Here we review the current understanding of the 98 99 environmental impacts from oil palm cultivation and assess what we know about other oil crops in 100 comparison. Our focus is on biodiversity implications and the environmental aspects of 101 sustainability, and we acknowledge the importance of considering these alongside socio-cultural, 102 political, and economic outcomes.

103 DEFORESTATION AND OIL PALM EXPANSION

104 A remote sensing assessment found that oil palm plantations covered at least 19.5 Mha globally in 105 2019 (Figure 2), of which an estimated 67.2% were industrial-scale plantings and the remainder 106 smallholders³. With 17.5 Mha, Southeast Asia has the largest area under production, followed by 107 South and Central America (1.31 Mha), Africa (0.58 Mha) and the Pacific (0.14 Mha). However, the 108 actual area under oil palm production could be 10–20% greater than the area detected from satellite 109 imagery, i.e. 21.5–23.4 Mha, because young plantations (< ca. 3 years), open-canopy plantations, or 110 mixed-species agroforests were omitted³. Estimates suggest that the proportion of oil palm area under smallholder cultivation (typically less than 50 ha of land per family¹⁹) varies from 30–60% in 111 parts of Malaysia and Indonesia¹⁷ to 94% in Nigeria⁵. 112

113 The overall contribution of oil palm expansion to deforestation varies widely and depends in part on 114 assessment scope (temporal, spatial) and methods. We reviewed 23 studies that reported land use

or land cover change involving oil palm (Table S1 and S2). In Malaysian Borneo, oil palm was an
important contributor to overall deforestation²⁰. Here, new plantations accounted for 50% of
deforestation from 1972 to 2015 when using a 5-year cut-off to link deforestation and oil palm
development²¹ (Figure 3, Figure S2, Table S3). In contrast, one global sample-based study suggested
that between 2000 and 2013, just 0.2% of global deforestation in "Intact Forest Landscapes" was
caused by oil palm development²².

121 The degree to which oil palm expansion has replaced forests (defined as naturally regenerating 122 closed canopy forests) varies with context. From 1972 to 2015, around 46% of new plantations 123 expanded into forest, with the remainder replacing croplands, pasturelands, scrublands (including secondary forest regrowth), and other land uses⁵. Individual studies reported forest clearance 124 125 ranging from 68% of tracked oil palm expansion in Malaysia and 44% in the Peruvian Amazon, to just 126 5–6% in West Africa, Central America, and South America excluding Peru (Figure 3). In general, oil 127 palm expansion in the Neotropics is characterized by the conversion of previously cleared lands instead of forests^{23,24}, although the extent to which oil palm displaces other land uses into forests 128 129 remains uncertain. In Indonesia and Malaysian Borneo, industrial plantation expansion and associated deforestation have declined since ca. 2011^{6,25}. However, smallholder plantings developed 130 131 to support demand by industrial palm oil mills may be increasing. To date, only two studies have 132 clearly differentiated between forest clearing by smallholders and industrial plantations (Table S2). 133 In Peru, 30% of smallholder plantings resulted in deforestation²⁶, while in Sumatra, Indonesia 39% of 134 smallholder expansion was into forest²⁷. While we still lack broader understanding of the deforestation impacts of smallholders²⁷, recent studies from Indonesian Borneo show that like 135 136 industrial actors, smallholders sometimes convert fragile ecosystems such as tropical peatlands into 137 oil palm plantations²⁸. Other oil crops have not yet been mapped globally with similar levels of 138 accuracy, precluding detailed assessments and comparisons.

139 OIL PALM'S DIRECT IMPACTS ON SPECIES

The International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species²⁹ 140 141 documents 321 species for which oil palm is a reported threat, significantly more than for other oil 142 crops (Figure 4, Table 1). Species threatened by oil palm made up 3.5% of the taxa threatened by 143 annual and perennial non-timber crops (9,088 species) and 1.2% of all globally threatened taxa 144 (27,159 species) in 2019 (Supplementary Materials, Table S4). These species include orangutans 145 Pongo spp., gibbons Hylobates spp. and the tiger Panthera tigris. Species threat lists, however, are 146 incomplete as most plant groups have not been comprehensively assessed, and the focus of threat 147 studies may be biased toward certain oil crops. For example, perennial crops (oil palm, coconut, 148 olive) might be more easily identified as a threat to a species than annual crops, because perennial 149 crops facilitate long-term studies that are more difficult with annual crops that may not be planted 150 every year. Also, the IUCN Red List focuses on threats in the recent past, and is thus biased toward 151 crops with recent rapid expansion. Better information is needed for all oil crops about where they 152 are grown, and how their expansion has affected and could affect natural and semi-natural 153 ecosystems and biodiversity. We note that because coconut is primarily grown in tropical island 154 nations it stands out as a particular threat for rare and endemic species with small ranges³⁰ (Table 1). 155 Oil palm plantations contain lower species diversity and abundance for most taxonomic groups when compared to natural forest^{31,32}. Plant diversity in some plantations is less than 1% of that in 156 157 natural forests³¹, but because oil palm is perennial, associated plant diversity may exceed that of 158 annual oil crops (Table 1). One study found 298 plant species in the oil palm undergrowth³³, and another found 16 species of fern on oil palm trunks³⁴, while a meta-analysis of plant diversity in a 159 160 range of annual crops, including oil crops, found between one and 15 associated plant species³⁵. 161 Plant diversity in any oil croplands also depends on management choices such as tillage, weeding 162 and the use of herbicides or other chemicals.

Recorded mammal diversity in oil palm is 47–90% lower than in natural forest^{36,37}, and strongly
 depends on the proximity of natural forests. Oil palm plantations generally exclude forest specialist

species^{38,39}, which are often those species of greatest conservation importance. For example, forest-165 166 dependent gibbons (Hylobatidae) cannot survive in stands of monocultural oil palm, but can make use of interspersed forest fragments within an oil palm matrix³¹. Some species, although unable to 167 168 survive solely in oil palm, will utilise plantations. For instance, planted oil palm in Malaysian Borneo supported 22 of the 63 mammal species found in forest habitats³⁶, and 31 of 130 bird species⁴⁰, most 169 170 of them relatively common species. Oil palm in Guatemala and Brazil supported 23 and 58 bird 171 species, respectively^{39,41}, while 12 species of snakes were found in a Nigerian oil palm plantation⁴². 172 Various species will enter plantations to feed on oil palm fruit, including Palm-nut Vultures *Gypohierax angolensis*⁴³ and Chimpanzee *Pan troglodytes*⁴³ in Africa and porcupines (Hystricidae), 173 174 civets (Viverridae), macaques (Cercopithecidae), elephants (Elephantidae) and orangutans in Southeast Asia⁴⁴. The highest diversity of animal species in oil palm areas, however, is generally 175 found in the wider landscape that includes remnant patches of native vegetation^{45,46}. Factors that 176 177 are likely to positively influence biodiversity values in both industrial-scale and smallholder 178 plantations include higher landscape heterogeneity, the presence of large forest patches and 179 connectivity among these⁴⁷, and the plant diversity and structure of undergrowth vegetation. For 180 example, in palm areas where there is systematic cattle grazing, bird and dung beetle abundance and diversity increase^{48,49}. 181

182 Oil palm cultivation involves the introduction and spread of invasive species including the oil palm itself (noted in Madagascar and Brazil's Atlantic Forests⁵⁰), as well as non-native cover crops and 183 184 nitrogen-fixing plants (e.g., Mucuna bracteata or Calopogonium caeruleum). Similarly, management 185 of oil palm plantations can increase the local abundance of species such as Barn Owls Tyto alba, introduced into plantations to control rodents⁵¹. Oil palm plantations also support pests such as the 186 187 Black Rat Rattus rattus, pigs Sus spp., and beetles such as the Asiatic Rhinoceros Beetle Oryctes rhinoceros and the Red Palm Weevil Rhynchophorus ferrugineus⁵². Such species can impact palm oil 188 189 production negatively, for example in reducing oil palm yields through damage to the palm or fruit

190	predation ⁵³ . They also have a range of local effects, both positive and negative for biodiversity,
191	including animals that prey on them, such as snakes, owls, monkeys and cats ⁵⁴ , while the extra food
192	provided by oil palm fruits can increase pig populations resulting in reduced seedling recruitment in
193	forests neighbouring oil palm ⁵⁵ .

194 Management within oil palm areas to retain riparian reserves and other set-asides containing 195 natural forest may contribute to pollination and pest control within the plantation, although they

196 may also harbour pests and disease⁵⁶. Studies to date suggest overall limited, or neutral, effects of

such set-asides on pest control services, spill over of pest species, or oil palm yield⁵⁷. There are also

- 198 plenty of unknowns, for example, the African beetle *Elaiedobius kamerunicus* has been introduced
- as an effective oil palm pollinator and is now widely naturalised in Southeast Asia and America
- 200 where it also persists in native vegetation and visits the inflorescences of native palms but its

201 impacts, if any, are unexamined (DS pers. obs.). No systematic analysis has been conducted to assess

202 the impact of non-native and invasive species associated with other oil crops.

203 Smallholder plantations tend to be smaller and more heterogeneous than industrial developments,

which potentially benefits wildlife, but this remains poorly studied³². A handful of studies indicate

205 that smallholdings support a similar number of, or slightly more, bird and mammal species than

206 industrial plantations, e.g. ⁵⁸. However, species in smallholder plantations may be more exposed to

207 other pressures, such as hunting, when compared to industrial plantations⁵⁸.

208 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Oil palm plantations have a predominantly negative net effect on ecosystem functions when
 compared to primary, selectively logged or secondary forest¹⁵. The clearance of forests and drainage
 of peatlands for oil palm emits substantial carbon dioxide⁵⁹. Oil palms can maintain high rates of
 carbon uptake⁶⁰ and their oil can potentially be used to substitute fossil fuels, and thus contribute

towards sustainable energy (SDG 7) and climate change response (SDG 13). Yet, biofuel from oil

palm cannot compensate for the carbon released when forests are cleared and peatlands drained
over short or medium time-scales (<100 years)⁶¹. Moreover, the carbon opportunity cost of oil palm,
which reflects the land's opportunity to store carbon if it is not used for agriculture, is not very
different from annual vegetable oil crops⁶¹ (Table 1).

Oil palm plantations, and the production of palm oil, can also be sources of methane⁶² and nitrous 218 oxide⁶³, both potent greenhouse gases that contribute further to climate change, although the 219 former is sometimes used as biogas, reducing net greenhouse gas release⁶⁴. Other emissions 220 221 associated with oil palm development include elevated isoprene production by palm trees, which 222 influences atmospheric chemistry, cloud cover and rainfall, although how this affects the 223 environment remains unclear⁶⁵. In addition, there is some evidence that emissions of other organic compounds, e.g., estragole and toluene⁶⁶, are also higher in oil palm plantations than in forest, but 224 225 these emissions appear minor compared to isoprene⁶⁷.

226 Forest loss and land use conversion to oil palm impact the local and regional climate, although the extent of these impacts remains debated⁶⁸. For example, increased temperatures and reduced 227 228 rainfall recorded over Borneo since the mid-1970s are thought to relate to the island's declining 229 forest cover which is partly due to the expansion of oil palm, with climate changes being greater in areas where forest losses were higher⁶⁹. Indeed, oil palm plantations tend to be hotter, drier and 230 231 less shaded than forests due to their less dense canopy, and often have higher evapotranspiration 232 rates than forests⁷⁰. A drier hotter climate increases the risk of fire and concomitant smoke pollution, especially in peat ecosystems⁷¹. In addition to human health consequences (e.g., 233 respiratory diseases, conjunctivitis), such fires can impact wildlife⁷² and atmospheric processes. For 234 example, aerosols from fires can scatter solar radiation, disrupt evaporation, and promote drought⁶⁸. 235 236 Few of these relationships are well-studied.

237 Conversion of natural forests to oil palm plantations increases run-off and sediment export due to loss or reduction of riparian buffers, reduced ground cover, and dense road networks⁷³. Streams 238 239 flowing through plantations tend to be warmer, shallower, sandier, more turbid, and to have 240 reduced abundances of aquatic species such as dragonflies (Anisoptera) than streams in forested areas⁷⁴. Fertilizers, pesticides, and other chemicals used on plantations also impact water quality and 241 aquatic habitats⁷⁵. The effluent from most modern mills is minimized, but release into local rivers 242 243 has caused negative impacts to people and to aquatic and marine ecosystems⁷⁶. Some hydrological 244 impacts may be viewed as positive: for example, construction of flood-control channels and 245 sedimentation ponds for palm oil effluent can benefit some water birds⁷⁷. 246 Drainage of peatlands and other wetlands to establish oil palm disrupts hydrological cycles, potentially impacting neighbouring forests and other habitats⁷⁸. The protection and restoration of 247 248 riparian buffers and reserves within oil palm plantations is therefore key to preserving water quality, 249 with recent research also showing the importance of these landscape features for biodiversity and ecosystem function⁷⁹. Riparian reserve widths required by law in many tropical countries (20–50 m 250 251 on each bank) can support substantial levels of biodiversity, maintain hydrological functioning, and improve habitat connectivity and permeability for some species within oil palm⁷⁹. However, research 252 253 is urgently needed regarding minimum buffer width and size requirements under different contexts, 254 for different taxa, and for different oil crops.

255 THE FUTURE OF OIL PALM

Demand for agricultural commodities is growing. Some predict that palm oil production will
accelerate across tropical Africa⁸⁰. However, due to current socio-cultural, technical, political and
ecological constraints only around one-tenth of the potential 51 million ha in the five main
producing countries in tropical Africa is likely to be profitably developed in the near future¹³,
although this might change as technological, financial and governance conditions improve⁸¹. The

expansion of oil palm in the Neotropics is also uncertain because of greater challenges the sector
faces compared to Southeast Asia, including lower yields, high labour costs, volatile socio-political
contexts, and high investment costs⁵. Although the importance of these factors varies from country
to country, in general the expansion of the palm oil industry in the Americas depends heavily on
economic incentives and policies, and access to international markets.

266 Meeting the growing demand for palm oil, while adhering to new zero deforestation policies⁸², and 267 consumer pressure to be more sustainable, will likely require a combination of approaches, including increasing yields in existing production areas especially those managed by smallholders⁹, and 268 planting in deforested areas and degraded open ecosystems such as man-made pastures⁶⁰. These 269 270 strategies span a land-sparing and land-sharing continuum, with higher-yielding oil palm cultivation sparing land and perhaps reducing overall impacts on biodiversity³⁸, although intermediate 271 272 strategies on the sparing-sharing continuum may be better at meeting broader societal goals⁸³. 273 Irrespective of the optimal strategy, replanting with high-yielding palms or implementing land 274 sharing agroforestry techniques are challenging for smallholders, who often lack resources and 275 technical knowledge, and may not be able to access improved varieties required to increase yields⁸⁴. 276 In such situations, provision of technical support from government agencies, non-government 277 organisations or private companies may help smallholders choose intensification over clearing more 278 land to increase palm oil production¹².

The extent to which biofuel demand by international markets will drive oil palm expansion remains unclear. There is resistance from environmental non-governmental organizations and governments, including the European Union, the second-largest palm oil importer after India⁵, to the use of palm oil as a biofuel to replace fossil fuels and meet climate change mitigation goals. Such resistance is related to the high CO₂–emissions from oil palm-driven deforestation and associated peatland development⁸⁵. Nonetheless, if oil palm is developed on low carbon stock lands, estimates suggest it may have lower carbon emissions per unit of energy produced than other oil crops like European

rapeseed⁸⁶. Consistent and comparable information on the extent and consequences of other oil
 crops is urgently required to encourage more efficient land use⁶¹.

288 GOVERNANCE OPTIONS

289 Efforts to address the impacts of oil palm cultivation and palm oil trade have been the focus of 290 several initiatives. For example, the two main producer countries have set up the Malaysian 291 Sustainable Palm Oil and Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil certification schemes, which mandate that 292 oil palm producers comply with a set of practices meant to ensure social and environmentally 293 responsible production. International concerns related to deforestation have been addressed 294 through the High Carbon Stock and High Conservation Value approaches⁸⁷, which are methodologies 295 that guide identification and protection of lands with relatively intact forest or value for biodiversity, 296 ecosystem services, livelihoods and cultural identity. These frameworks are used by producers to 297 meet the requirements of palm oil sustainability initiatives including certification under the 298 Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) standard. This standard was recently expanded to 299 include protection, management, and restoration of riparian areas within certified plantations, a 300 prohibition on new planting on peat, and compliance with the standard is now being used to meet corporate zero-deforestation commitments⁵. There is evidence for positive impacts of RSPO 301 302 certification achieved through improved management practices, including changes in agrochemical 303 use, improved forest protection, and reduced fires and biodiversity losses, although these effects remain small^{88,89}. 304

Many producers and traders of palm oil have now committed to "zero deforestation". A 2017 crosscommodity survey⁹⁰ found that companies in the palm oil sector have the highest proportion of nodeforestation commitments across four commodity supply chains (palm oil, soy, timber and cattle) linked to global deforestation. Although most of these commitments have been made by retailers and manufacturers⁹⁰, oil palm growers have also made such pledges. In 2018, 41 of the 50 palm oil

deforestation, with 29 of them pledging to adhere to zero deforestation practices⁹¹. These commitments have been identified as a factor in declining expansion of oil palm in Malaysia and Indonesia^{6,25}, although low commodity prices have likely also contributed⁶. Such private supply chain initiatives like certification and zero-deforestation commitments may be most effective in reducing environmental impacts when leveraged with public and institutional support such as plantation moratoria for certain areas and national low-carbon rural development strategies⁹², as has been demonstrated, for example, in Brazilian soy production⁹³.

producers with the largest market capitalization and land areas had committed to address

318 LAND USE TRADE-OFFS AMONG VEGETABLE OILS

310

319 While the environmental impacts of oil palm on natural ecosystems are overwhelmingly negative, 320 such impacts also need to be considered in relation to other land uses, including competing 321 vegetable oil commodities, all of which have their own implications for biodiversity, carbon 322 emissions and other environmental dynamics (Table 1). Global vegetable oil production is expected to expand at around 1.5% per year between 2017 and 2027⁹⁴, while use is projected to expand at 323 324 1.7% per year globally between 2013 and 2050 from a baseline of 165 million tons (Mt), including for use in food, feed and biofuel⁹. Unless demand for oil decelerates, this implies an additional 325 326 production of an average of 3.86 Mt of vegetable oil per year. If this production was delivered by oil palm alone, yielding ca. 4 tons of crude palm oil per ha^{5,7}, 31.3 Mha of additional vegetable oil 327 328 production land would be needed between 2020 and 2050. If, the addition instead all came from soy, yielding about 0.7 tons of oil per ha⁹, 179 Mha of extra land, or nearly six times as much, would 329 be required. This simple calculation glosses over nuances of substitutability⁹⁵ or differential yield 330 331 increases among crops, but illustrates the magnitude of differences between land needed by oil 332 palm and other oil crops⁹⁶.

333 Understanding impacts is, however, not just a matter of comparing current and projected 334 distributions and yields of different crops and thus land needs, but also requires clarifying how each 335 hectare of land converted to an oil crop impacts both the environment and people. For example, soy 336 is known to have a large negative impact on biodiversity, with few vertebrates occurring in this annual monoculture crop⁹⁷, and is responsible for loss of high biodiversity savanna and forest 337 ecosystems in South America⁹⁸. Thus, sustainable development, including simultaneous delivery of 338 339 SDGs 2 on agriculture and 15 on biodiversity (alongside contributions to SDG 7 on energy and SDG 13 on climate), must consider the wider trade-offs posed by sourcing global vegetable oils⁹⁹. One key 340 341 uncertainty is the extent to which demand can be met by increasing yields within established 342 vegetable oil croplands. An additional uncertainty is whether other options, for example microalgalderived lipids¹⁰⁰, may soon offer viable alternatives to meet demand for biofuel. 343

344 THE WAY FORWARD

345 The expansion of oil palm has had large negative environmental impacts and continues to cause deforestation in some regions. Nevertheless, oil palm contributes to economic development⁵, has 346 347 improved welfare for at least some people¹⁷, and can be consistent with at least some conservation goals especially when compared to other oil crops⁸¹. There remain substantial gaps in our 348 349 understanding of oil palm and the interaction between environmental, socio-cultural and economic 350 impacts of the crop, and the scope, stringency and effectiveness of governance initiatives to address 351 these⁵. None of these concerns and trade-offs are unique to oil palm: they also apply to other vegetable oil crops^{30,98}, as well as other agricultural products¹⁰¹. Indeed, all land uses and not just 352 those in the tropics have impacts on their environment⁸, that can either be prevented or restored¹⁰². 353 354 Pressure on the palm oil industry has, however, apparently resulted in more research on the impacts 355 of palm oil production compared to other oils resulting in an urgent need to better study these 356 alternatives.

- 357 In a world with finite land and growing demands, we must consider global demands for food, fuel
- 358 and industrial uses hand-in-hand with environmental conservation objectives. Oil palm's high yields
- 359 mean that it requires less land to meet global oil demand than other oil crops. However, minimising
- 360 overall vegetable oil crop impacts requires evaluation for their past, current and projected
- 361 distribution and impacts, and review of their yields and global trade and uses. This information is
- 362 needed to enable better planning and governance of land use for all oil crops, matching risks and
- 363 opportunities with local conditions and realities, and to optimize the simultaneous delivery of the
- 364 SDGs.

365 LITERATURE CITED

- USDA. Oil Seeds: World Markets and Trade. November 2019. (Foreign Agricultural Service,
 United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, 2019).
- 3682USDA-FAS. Oilseeds: World Markets and Trade. Circular Series FOP 8-10 August. (United369States Department of Agriculture Foreign Agricultural Service, Washington, DC, 2010).
- 370 3 Descals, A. *et al.* High-resolution global map of smallholder and industrial closed-canopy oil
 371 palm plantations. Preprint at <u>https://essd.copernicus.org/preprints/essd-2020-159/</u>.
 372 doi:10.5194/essd-2020-159 (2020).
- FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Data. <u>http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home</u>. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 2019).
- 375 5 Meijaard, E. et al. Oil Palm and Biodiversity A Situation Analysis. DOI:
 376 10.2305/IUCN.CH.2018.11.en. (IUCN Oil Palm Task Force, 2018).
- Gaveau, D. L. A. *et al.* Rise and fall of forest loss and industrial plantations in Borneo (2000–2017). *Cons. Lett.* 0, e12622, doi:10.1111/conl.12622 (2019).
- Johnston, M., Foley, J. A., Holloway, T., Kucharik, C. & Monfreda, C. Resetting global
 expectations from agricultural biofuels. *Env. Res. Lett.* 4, 014004, doi:10.1088/17489326/4/1/014004 (2009).
- 382 8 Meijaard, E. & Sheil, D. The Moral Minefield of Ethical Oil Palm and Sustainable 383 Development. *Front. Forests Glob. Change* **2**, doi:10.3389/ffgc.2019.00022 (2019).
- Byerlee, D., Falcon, W. P. & Naylor, R. L. *The Tropical Oil Crop Revolution: Food, Feed, Fuel, and Forests.* (Oxford University Press, 2017).
- 38610Ramankutty, N. *et al.* Trends in Global Agricultural Land Use: Implications for Environmental387Health and Food Security. *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.* **69**, 789-815, doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-388042817-040256 (2018).
- Independent. The guilty secrets of palm oil: Are you unwittingly contributing to the devastation of the rain forests?. <u>https://www.independent.co.uk/environment/the-guilty-</u>
 <u>secrets-of-palm-oil-are-you-unwittingly-contributing-to-the-devastation-of-the-rain-</u>
 1676218.html. (2009).
- Woittiez, L. S., van Wijk, M. T., Slingerland, M., van Noordwijk, M. & Giller, K. E. Yield gaps in
 oil palm: A quantitative review of contributing factors. *Europ. J. Agron.* 83, 57-77,
 doi:10.1016/j.eja.2016.11.002 (2017).

- Feintrenie, L., Gazull, L., Goulaouic, R. & Miaro III, L. Spatialized production models for
 sustainable palm oil in Central Africa: Choices and potentials. Presented at Scaling Up
 Responsible Land Governance. Annual World Bank Conference on Land and Poverty,
 Washington DC, March 14-18, 2016. (2016).
- 400 14 Sheil, D. *et al.* The impacts and opportunities of oil palm in Southeast Asia. What do we 401 know and what do we need to know? *CIFOR Occ. Paper*, no. 51 (2009).
- 40215Dislich, C. *et al.* A review of the ecosystem functions in oil palm plantations, using forests as403a reference system. *Biol. Rev.* 92, 1539-1569, doi:10.1111/brv.12295 (2017).
- 40416Li, T., M. Evidence-based options for advancing social equity in Indonesian palm oil:405Implications for research, policy and advocacy. (Center for International Forestry Research406(CIFOR), 2018).
- 407 17 Santika, T. *et al.* Does oil palm agriculture help alleviate poverty? A multidimensional
 408 counterfactual assessment of oil palm development in Indonesia. *World Dev.* 120, 105-117,
 409 doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.04.012 (2019).
- 41018Krishna, V., Euler, M., Siregar, H. & Qaim, M. Differential livelihood impacts of oil palm411expansion in Indonesia. Agric. Econ. 48, 639-653, doi:10.1111/agec.12363 (2017).
- 41219RSPOSmallholdersTaskForce.Smallholders.Retrievedfrom413https://rspo.org/smallholders#definition. (2012).
- Gaveau, D. L. A. *et al.* Four decades of forest persistence, loss and logging on Borneo. *PLOS ONE* 9, e101654, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101654 (2014).
- 416 21 Gaveau, D. L. A. *et al.* Rapid conversions and avoided deforestation: examining four decades
 417 of industrial plantation expansion in Borneo. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 32017, doi:10.1038/srep32017
 418 (2016).
- Potapov, P. *et al.* The last frontiers of wilderness: Tracking loss of intact forest landscapes
 from 2000 to 2013. *Sc. Adv.* **3**, e1600821, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1600821 (2017).
- Vijay, V., Pimm, S. L., Jenkins, C. N. & Smith, S. J. The Impacts of Oil Palm on Recent
 Deforestation and Biodiversity Loss. *PLOS ONE* 11, e0159668,
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159668 (2016).
- Furumo, P. R. & Aide, T. M. Characterizing commercial oil palm expansion in Latin America:
 land use change and trade. *Env. Res. Lett.* **12**, 024008, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa5892
 (2017).
- 427 25 Austin, K. G., Schwantes, A., Gu, Y. & Kasibhatla, P. S. What causes deforestation in 428 Indonesia? *Env. Res. Lett.* **14**, 024007, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaf6db (2019).
- 42926Gutiérrez-Vélez, V., H. et al. High-yield oil palm expansion spares land at the expense of430forests in the Peruvian Amazon. Env. Res. Lett. 6, 044029, doi:10.1088/1748-4319326/6/4/044029 (2011).
- 43227Lee, J. S. H. *et al.* Environmental Impacts of Large-Scale Oil Palm Enterprises Exceed that of433Smallholdings in Indonesia. *Cons. Lett.* **7**, 25-33, doi:10.1111/conl.12039 (2014).
- 43428Schoneveld, G. C., Ekowati, D., Andrianto, A. & van der Haar, S. Modeling peat- and435forestland conversion by oil palm smallholders in Indonesian Borneo. *Env. Res. Lett.* 14,436014006, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaf044 (2019).
- 43729IUCN. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2019-2.438https://www.iucnredlist.org. (Gland, Switzerland, 2019).
- 439 30 Meijaard, E., Abrams, J. F., Juffe-Bignoli, D., Voigt, M. & Sheil, D. Coconut oil, conservation
 440 and the conscientious consumer. *Curr. Biol.* **30**, R757-R758, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.059
 441 (2020).
- Foster, W. A. *et al.* Establishing the evidence base for maintaining biodiversity and
 ecosystem function in the oil palm landscapes of South East Asia. *Phil. Trans Roy. Soc. B: Biol. Sc.* 366, 3277, doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0041 (2011).

- 32 Savilaakso, S. *et al.* Systematic review of effects on biodiversity from oil palm production. *Env. Evidence* 3, 4, doi:10.1186/2047-2382-3-4 (2014).
- Germer, J. U. Spatial undergrowth species composition in oil palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) in
 West Sumatra, Kommunikations-, Informations- und Medienzentrum der Universität
 Hohenheim, (2003).
- 450 34 Sato, T., Itoh, H., Kudo, G., Kheong, Y. S. & Furukawa, A. Species Composition and Structure
 451 of Epiphytic Fern Community on Oil Palm Trunks in Malay Archipelago. *Tropics* 6, 139-148,
 452 doi:10.3759/tropics.6.139 (1996).
- 453 35 Letourneau, D. K. *et al.* Does plant diversity benefit agroecosystems? A synthetic review.
 454 *Ecol. Appl.* 21, 9-21, doi:10.1890/09-2026.1 (2011).
- 455 36 Wearn, O. R., Carbone, C., Rowcliffe, J. M., Bernard, H. & Ewers, R. M. Grain-dependent 456 responses of mammalian diversity to land use and the implications for conservation set-457 aside. *Ecol. Appl.* **26**, 1409-1420, doi:10.1890/15-1363 (2016).
- 45837Pardo, L. E. *et al.* Land management strategies can increase oil palm plantation use by some459terrestrial mammals in Colombia. *Scient. Rep.* **9**, 7812, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44288-y460(2019).
- 461 38 Phalan, B., Onial, M., Balmford, A. & Green, R. E. Reconciling Food Production and
 462 Biodiversity Conservation: Land Sharing and Land Sparing Compared. *Science* 333, 1289463 1291, doi:10.1126/science.1208742 (2011).
- 464 39 Almeida, S. M. *et al.* The effects of oil palm plantations on the functional diversity of 465 Amazonian birds. *J. Trop. Ecol.* **32**, 510-525, doi:10.1017/S0266467416000377 (2016).
- 46640Edwards, D. P. *et al.* Selective-logging and oil palm: multitaxon impacts, biodiversity467indicators, and trade-offs for conservation planning. *Ecol. Applic.* 24, 2029-2049,468doi:10.1890/14-0010.1 (2014).
- 469 41 Nájera, A. & Simonetti, J. A. Can oil palm plantations become bird friendly? *Agrofor. Syst.* 80,
 470 203-209, doi:10.1007/s10457-010-9278-y (2010).
- 471 42 Akani, G. C., Ebere, N., Luiselli, L. & Eniang, E. A. Community structure and ecology of snakes
 472 in fields of oil palm trees (*Elaeis guineensis*) in the Niger Delta, southern Nigeria. *Afr. J. Ecol.*473 46, 500-506, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2028.2007.00885.x (2008).
- 474 43 Humle, T. & Matsuzawa, T. Oil palm use by adjacent communities of chimpanzees at Bossou 475 Nimba Mountains, 25, and West Africa. Int. J. Primatol. 551-581, 476 doi:10.1023/B:IJOP.0000023575.93644.f4 (2004).
- 477 44 Ancrenaz, M. *et al.* Of pongo, palms, and perceptions A multidisciplinary assessment of 478 orangutans in an oil palm context. *Oryx* **49**, 465–472, doi:10.1017/S0030605313001270 479 (2015).
- 480 45 Mitchell, S. L. *et al.* Riparian reserves help protect forest bird communities in oil palm 481 dominated landscapes. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **55**, 2744-2755, doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13233 (2018).
- 46 Deere, N. J. *et al.* Implications of zero-deforestation commitments: Forest quality and hunting pressure limit mammal persistence in fragmented tropical landscapes. *Cons. Lett.*484 **13**, e12701, doi:10.1111/conl.12701 (2020).
- 485 47 Knowlton, J. L. *et al.* Oil palm plantations affect movement behavior of a key member of
 486 mixed-species flocks of forest birds in Amazonia, Brazil. *Trop. Cons. Sc.* 10,
 487 1940082917692800, doi:10.1177/1940082917692800 (2017).
- 48848Tohiran, K. A. *et al.* Targeted cattle grazing as an alternative to herbicides for controlling489weeds in bird-friendly oil palm plantations. *Agron. Sust. Dev.* **37**, 62, doi:10.1007/s13593-490017-0471-5 (2017).
- 49 49 Slade, E. M. *et al.* Can cattle grazing in mature oil palm increase biodiversity and ecosystem
 492 service provision? . *The Planter* **90**, 655-665 (2014).
- 49350IUCN. Global Invasive Species Database (GISD). Species profile *Elaeis guineensis*. Available494from: http://www.iucngisd.org/gisd/species. [Accessed 27 February 2018]. (2015).

- 49551Wan, H. The introduction of barn owl (*Tyto alba*) to Sabah for rat control in oil palm496plantations. Planter **76**, 215-222 (2000).
- 497 52 Bessou, C. *et al. Sustainable Palm Oil Production project synthesis: Understanding and* 498 *anticipating global challenges.* (Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), 2017).
- 499 53 Puan, C. L., Goldizen, A. W., Zakaria, M., Hafidzi, M. N. & Baxter, G. S. Relationships among
 500 rat numbers, abundance of oil palm fruit and damage levels to fruit in an oil palm plantation.
 501 *Intergr. Zool.* 6, 130-139, doi:10.1111/j.1749-4877.2010.00231.x (2011).
- 50254Holzner, A. *et al.* Macaques can contribute to greener practices in oil palm plantations when503used as biological pest control. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, R1066-R1067, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.09.011504(2019).
- 505 55 Luskin, M. S. *et al.* Cross-boundary subsidy cascades from oil palm degrade distant tropical 506 forests. *Nature Comms.* **8**, 2231, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01920-7 (2017).
- 50756Mayfield, M. M. The importance of nearby forest to known and potential pollinators of oil508palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.; Areceaceae) in southern Costa Rica. *Econ. Botany* **59**, 190,509doi:10.1663/0013-0001(2005)059[0190:TIONFT]2 (2005).
- 57 Woodham, C. R. *et al.* Effects of replanting and retention of mature oil palm riparian buffers
 511 on ecosystem functioning in oil palm plantations. *Front. Forests Glob. Change*,
 512 doi:10.3389/ffgc.2019.00029 (2019).
- 513 58 Azhar, B. *et al.* The influence of agricultural system, stand structural complexity and 514 landscape context on foraging birds in oil palm landscapes. *Ibis* **155**, 297-312, 515 doi:10.1111/ibi.12025 (2013).
- 516 59 Wijedasa, L. S. *et al.* Denial of long-term issues with agriculture on tropical peatlands will 517 have devastating consequences. *Glob. Change Biol.* **23**, 977-982, doi:10.1111/gcb.13516 518 (2016).
- 51960Quezada, J. C., Etter, A., Ghazoul, J., Buttler, A. & Guillaume, T. Carbon neutral expansion of520oil palm plantations in the Neotropics. Sc. Advan. 5, eaaw4418, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw4418521(2019).
- 522 61 Searchinger, T. D., Wirsenius, S., Beringer, T. & Dumas, P. Assessing the efficiency of changes
 523 in land use for mitigating climate change. *Nature* 564, 249-253, doi:10.1038/s41586-018524 0757-z (2018).
- 52562Reijnders, L. & Huijbregts, M. A. J. Palm oil and the emission of carbon-based greenhouse526gases. J. Cleaner Prod. 16, 477-482, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2006.07.054 (2006).
- Murdiyarso, D., Van Noordwijk, M., Wasrin, U. R., Tomich, T. P. & Gillison, A. N.
 Environmental benefits and sustainable land-use options in the Jambi transect, Sumatra. J. *Veget. Sc.* 13, 429-438, doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02067.x (2002).
- 530 64 Harsono, S. S., Grundmann, P. & Soebronto, S. Anaerobic treatment of palm oil mill 531 effluents: potential contribution to net energy yield and reduction of greenhouse gas 532 emissions from biodiesel production. J. Cleaner Prod. 64, 619-627, 533 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.07.056 (2014).
- Hewitt, C. N. *et al.* Nitrogen management is essential to prevent tropical oil palm plantations
 from causing ground-level ozone pollution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sc. USA* **106**, 18447,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.0907541106 (2009).
- 53766Misztal, P. K. *et al.* Direct ecosystem fluxes of volatile organic compounds from oil palms in538South-East Asia. Atmos. Chem. Phys. **11**, 8995-9017, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8995-2011 (2011).
- 539 67 Guenther, A. *et al.* The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1
 540 (MEGAN2. 1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions.
 541 (2012).
- 542 68 Ellison, D. *et al.* Trees, forests and water: Cool insights for a hot world. *Glob. Env. Change* 43,
 51-61, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.01.002 (2017).

- 544
 69
 McAlpine, C. A. et al. Forest loss and Borneo's climate. Env. Res. Lett. 13, 044009,

 545
 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaa4ff (2018).
- 546 70 Fan, Y. et al. Reconciling canopy interception parameterization and rainfall forcing frequency 547 in the community land model for simulating evapotranspiration of rainforests and oil palm 548 plantations in Indonesia. J. Advan. Model. Earth Syst. 11, 732-751, 549 doi:10.1029/2018MS001490 (2019).
- 550 71 Crippa, P. *et al.* Population exposure to hazardous air quality due to the 2015 fires in 551 Equatorial Asia. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 37074, doi:10.1038/srep37074 (2016).
- 552 72 Nichol, J. Bioclimatic impacts of the 1994 smoke haze event in Southeast Asia. *Atmosph. Env.* 553 **31**, 1209-1219, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00260-9 (1997).
- 554 73 Carlson, K. M. et al. Consistent results in stream hydrology across multiple watersheds: A 555 reply to Chew and Goh. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 120, 812-817, 556 doi:10.1002/2014JG002834 (2015).
- 55774Luke, S. H. *et al.* The effects of catchment and riparian forest quality on stream558environmental conditions across a tropical rainforest and oil palm landscape in Malaysian559Borneo. *Ecohydrol.* **10**, e1827, doi:10.1002/eco.1827 (2017).
- 56075Mayer, P. M., Reynolds, S. K., McCutchen, M. D. & Canfield, T. J. Meta-Analysis of Nitrogen561Removal in Riparian Buffers. J. Env. Qual. **36**, 1172-1180, doi:10.2134/jeq2006.0462 (2007).
- 56276Chellaiah, D. & Yule, C. M. Effect of riparian management on stream morphometry and563water quality in oil palm plantations in Borneo. Limnologica 69, 72-80,564doi:10.1016/j.limno.2017.11.007 (2018).
- 56577Sulai, P. et al. Effects of water quality in oil palm production landscapes on tropical566waterbirds in Peninsular Malaysia. Ecol. Res. **30**, 941-949, doi:10.1007/s11284-015-1297-8567(2015).
- Anda, M., Siswanto, A. B. & Subandiono, R. E. Properties of organic and acid sulfate soils and
 water of a 'reclaimed' tidal backswamp in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Geoderma* 149, 54doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.11.021 (2009).
- 57179Luke, S. H. *et al.* Riparian buffers in tropical agriculture: Scientific support, effectiveness and572directions for policy. J. Appl. Ecol. 56, 85-92, doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13280 (2019).
- Wich, Serge A. *et al.* Will Oil Palm's Homecoming Spell Doom for Africa's Great Apes? *Curr. Biol.* 24, 1659-1663, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.05.077 (2014).
- 57581Sayer, J., Ghazoul, J., Nelson, P. & Boedhihartono, A. K. Oil palm expansion transforms576tropical landscapes and livelihoods. Glob. Food Secur. 1, 114–119,577doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2012.10.003 (2012).
- 57882RSPO. RSPO and HCSA Collaborate to Implement No Deforestation in High Forest Cover579Landscapes.<u>https://rspo.org/news-and-events/news/rspo-and-hcsa-collaborate-to-</u>580implement-no-deforestation-in-high-forest-cover-landscapes. (2018).
- 58183Law, E. A. et al. Mixed policies give more options in multifunctional tropical forest582landscapes. J. Appl. Ecol. 54, 51-60, doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12666 (2017).
- 58384Budiadi *et al.* Oil palm agroforestry: an alternative to enhance farmers' livelihood resilience.584IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Env. Sc. **336**, 012001, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/336/1/012001 (2019).
- 58585Valin, H. *et al.* The land use change impact of biofuels consumed in the EU. Quantification of586area and greenhouse gas impacts. (ECOFYS Netherlands B.V., Utrecht, the Netherlands,5872015).
- Thamsiriroj, T. & Murphy, J. D. Is it better to import palm oil from Thailand to produce
 biodiesel in Ireland than to produce biodiesel from indigenous Irish rape seed? *Appl. Energy* **86**, 595-604, doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2008.07.010 (2009).
- 87 Rosoman, G., Sheun, S. S., Opal, C., Anderson, P. & Trapshah, R. The HCS Approach Toolkit.
 592 (HCS Approach Steering Group, Singapore, 2017).

593	88	Carlson, K. M. et al. Effect of oil palm sustainability certification on deforestation and fire in
594		Indonesia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 115, 121-126, doi:10.1073/pnas.1704728114 (2018).
595	89	Furumo, P. R., Rueda, X., Rodríguez, J. S. & Parés Ramos, I. K. Field evidence for positive
596		certification outcomes on oil palm smallholder management practices in Colombia. J.
597		Cleaner Prod. 245 , 118891, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118891</u> (2020).
598	90	Donofrio, S., Rothrock, P. & Leonard, J. Tracking Corporate Commitments to Deforestation-
599		free Supply Chains, 2017. (Forest Trends, Washington, DC, 2017).
600	91	SPOTT. Palm oil: ESG policy transparency assessments. <u>https://www.spott.org/palm-oil/</u> .
601		(2018).
602	92	Furumo, P. R. & Lambin, E. F. Scaling up zero-deforestation initiatives through public-private
603		partnerships: A look inside post-conflict Colombia. 62, 102055,
604		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102055</u> (2020).
605	93	Gibbs, H. K. et al. Brazil's Soy Moratorium. Science 347, 377, doi:10.1126/science.aaa0181
606		(2015).
607	94	OECD and FAO. OECD [®] FAO Agricultural Outlook 2018 [®] 2027. (2017).
608	95	Parsons, S., Raikova, S. & Chuck, C. J. The viability and desirability of replacing palm oil. Nat.
609		Sust. 3 , 412-418, doi:10.1038/s41893-020-0487-8 (2020).
610	96	Qaim, M., Sibhatu, K. T., Siregar, H. & Grass, I. Environmental, Economic, and Social
611		Consequences of the Oil Palm Boom. Ann. Rev. 12, 321-344, doi:10.1146/annurev-resource-
612		110119-024922 (2020).
613	97	VanBeek, K. R., Brawn, J. D. & Ward, M. P. Does no-till soybean farming provide any benefits
614		for birds? Agricult. Ecosyst. Env. 185, 59-64, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.12.007
615		(2014).
616	98	Green, J. M. H. et al. Linking global drivers of agricultural trade to on-the-ground impacts on
617		biodiversity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 116 , 23202, doi:10.1073/pnas.1905618116 (2019).
618	99	Strona, G. et al. Small room for compromise between oil palm cultivation and primate
619		conservation in Africa. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 115, 8811, doi:10.1073/pnas.1804775115
620		(2018).
621	100	Ajjawi, I. et al. Lipid production in Nannochloropsis gaditana is doubled by decreasing
622		expression of a single transcriptional regulator. Nature Biotech. 35, 647,
623		doi:10.1038/nbt.3865 (2017).
624	101	De Beenhouwer, M., Aerts, R. & Honnay, O. A global meta-analysis of the biodiversity and
625		ecosystem service benefits of coffee and cacao agroforestry. Agric. Ecosyst. Env. 175, 1-7,
626		doi:10.1016/j.agee.2013.05.003 (2013).
627	102	Strassburg, B. B. N. et al. Global priority areas for ecosystem restoration. Nature,
628		doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2784-9 (2020).
629	103	Payán, E. & Boron, V. The Future of Wild Mammals in Oil Palm Landscapes in the Neotropics.
630		<i>Front. Forests Glob. Change</i> 2 , doi:10.3389/ffgc.2019.00061 (2019).
631	104	Maddox, T., Priatna, D., Gemita, E. & Salampessy, A. The conservation of tigers and other
632		wildlife in oil palm plantations Jambi Province, Sumatra, Indonesia. ZSL Conservation Report
633		No.7. (The Zoological Society of London, London, UK, 2007).
634	105	Ancrenaz, M. et al. Pongo pygmaeus. IUCN Red List Threat. Sp., e.T17975A17966347 (2016).
635	106	Pangau-Adam, M., Mühlenberg, M. & Waltert, M. Rainforest disturbance affects population
636		density of the northern cassowary <i>Casuarius unappendiculatus</i> in Papua, Indonesia. <i>Oryx</i> 49 ,
637		735-742, doi:10.1017/S0030605313001464 (2014).
638	107	Alamgir, M. <i>et al.</i> Infrastructure expansion challenges sustainable development in Papua
639	100	New Guinea. <i>PLOS ONE</i> 14 , e0219408, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0219408 (2019).
640	108	Katiyar, R. <i>et al.</i> Microalgae: An emerging source of energy based bio-products and a
641		solution for environmental issues. <i>Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.</i> 72 , 1083-1093, doi:10.1016/j.meg.2016.10.028/2017)
642		doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.10.028 (2017).

Nomanbhay, S., Salman, B., Hussain, R. & Ong, M. Y. Microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic
biomass—a contribution to power Africa. *Energy Sust. Soc.* 7, 23, doi:10.1186/s13705-0170126-z (2017).

- 647 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to emeijaard@gmail.com.
- 648 **Acknowledgements** The development of this situation analysis was supported by the IUCN project
- 649 "Global Commons: Solutions for a Crowded Planet", funded by the Global Environment Facility. DJB
- 650 received funding from the UK Research and Innovation's Global Challenges Research Fund under the
- Trade, Development and the Environment Hub project (project number ES/S008160/1). MP was
- 652 supported by the CNPq research productivity fellowships (#308403/2017-7). JGU was funded by
- 653 SNSF R4D-project Oil Palm Adaptive Landscapes.
- 654

655 Author contributions

- 656 EM, DS, and TB conceptualized this study and developed the initial manuscript, with KC, JGU, DG,
- 557 JSHL, DJB, SAW, MA, SW, LPK, JFA, ZS and AD assisting in the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation
- of the data and further writing. ES, TS, JA, HP, CS, DM, PF, NM, RH, MP, and MS provided substantial
- 659 input into the text revisions, and NZ, JA, DJB, KC, DG, AD and JFA designed the graphics.

660

661 **Competing Interests statement**

- None of co-authors in this study, except DJB, MP, and JGU, received funding for conducting this
- review, although the information was partly based on a study funded by the Global Environment
- 664 Facility. EM, TB, DG, MA, SW, LPK, JGU, KC, NM and DS are members of and have received funding
- from the IUCN Oil Palm Task Force, a group tasked by the IUCN members to investigate the
- 666 sustainability of palm oil. TB, DJB, MA, CS and NM work for conservation organizations and EM, MA
- and MP have done work paid by palm oil companies or the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil.

668 FIGURE LEGENDS

669

670 Figure 1. Main vegetable oil crops (see Table 1). (a) Harvested area from 1961 to 2017. (b)

671 Vegetable oil production from 1961 to 2014. Data from FAOSTAT⁴.

672

673	Figure 2. Maps of industrial and smallholder-scale oil palm from analysis of satellite imagery until
674	the second half of 2019 ³ , and examples of species it affects negatively: (a) <i>Panthera onca</i> (Near
675	Threatened) ¹⁰³ and <i>Ara macao</i> (Least Concern) ³⁹ ; (b) <i>Pan troglodytes</i> (Endangered) ⁸⁰ ; (c) <i>Panthera</i>
676	<i>tigris</i> (Endangered) ¹⁰⁴ , <i>Helarctos malayanus</i> (Vulnerable) ¹⁰⁴ , <i>Pongo pygmaeus</i> (Critically
677	Endangered) ¹⁰⁵ , <i>Casuarius unappendiculatus</i> (Least Concern) ¹⁰⁶ , and <i>Dendrolagus goodfellowi</i>
678	(Endangered) ¹⁰⁷ . The maps lack information on plantations < 3 years old and planted oil palm in
679	mixed agroforestry settings, but provide the most up-to-date estimates available. For each region
680	the percentages of intact (green) and non-intact forests (orange) are shown relative to the total
681	extent of forest ecosystems ²² .

682

683 Figure 3. Oil palm's estimated role in deforestation aggregated across studies, years, and regions. 684 Panel a depicts the contribution of oil palm to overall deforestation, while b shows the percentage 685 of all oil palm expansion that cleared forest (Supplementary Methods). There were no data for 686 Peru and South and Central America for panel a, and no global data for panel b. Southeast Asia (SE 687 Asia) excludes Indonesia and Malaysia, which are shown separately, while South America excludes 688 Peru. Each filled circle represents one time period from a single study, with individual studies 689 represented by distinct colours. The size of the circle corresponds to the relative number of area-690 years represented in that time period (larger circles represent a larger study area and longer time 691 period of sampling). Boxplot middle bars correspond to the unweighted median across study-time

- periods; lower and upper hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles of study-time periods; and
 whiskers extend from the upper (lower) hinge to the largest (smallest) value no further than 1.5
 times the interquartile range from the hinge (Figure S2, Tables S2 and S3).

696	Figure 4 - Species groups with more than 8 threatened species with the terms "palm oil" or "oil
697	palm" in the threats texts of the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Assessments ²⁹ . In total 321
698	species assessments had oil palm plantations as one of the reported threats (301 when excluding
699	groups with < 8 threatened species), which constitutes 3.5% of threatened species threatened by
700	annual and perennial non-timber crops (9,088 species) and 1.2% of all globally threatened species
701	(27,159 species) in 2019 (Supplementary Material and Table S4). CR = Critically Endangered; EN =
702	Endangered; VU = Vulnerable.

706 Table 1. Overview of the major oil crops, typical production cycle, yields, main production 707 countries, biomes in which impacts primarily occur, carbon emissions, the number of threatened species according to the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species²⁹ for which the specific crop is 708 709 mentioned as a threat, and the median species richness and median range-size rarity (amphibians, 710 birds and mammals) of species occurring within the footprint of each crop with first and third 711 quartile in brackets (IUCN Red List) (see Supporting Online Methods, Figure S1, Table S4). Carbon 712 emissions include carbon opportunity costs and production emissions⁶¹. "n/a" indicates that no 713 data are available.

Oil crop	Type of crop	Oil yield	Main oil	Main biome	Kg	# species	Median	Median
		(t ha-1)	production	impacted	CO2e/MJ	threatened	Species	range-size
		108,109	countries		61	by crop ²⁹	Richness	rarity (ha
							(number	ha⁻¹
							of	10e5) ²⁹
							species) ²⁹	
Oil palm	Perennial (25	1.9–4.8	Indonesia,	Tropical rainforest	1.2	321	472 [443,	36 [27,
Elaeis	years cycle)		Malaysia,				504]	57]
guineensis			Thailand					
Soybean	Annual (~6	0.4–0.8	China, USA,	Subtropical grass	1.3	73	278 [251,	10 [5, 14]
Glycine max	months		Brazil,	savanna,			462]	
	cycle),		Argentina	temperate steppe,				
	rotated with			and broadleaf				
	other crops			forest				
Rapeseed	Annual (~6	0.7–1.8	China,	Temperate steppe	1.2	1	227 [187,	4 [3, 10]
Brassica	months		Germany,	and broadleaf			308]	
napus and	cycle).		Canada	forest and taiga				
В.	Rotated with							
campestris	other crops							
Cotton	Annual (~6	0.3–0.4	China,	Subtropical	1.2	35	299 [234,	10 [7, 12]
Gossypium	months		India	monsoon, dry and			347]	
hirsutum	cycle).			humid forest and				
	Rotated with			temperate areas				
	other crops							
Groundnuts	Annual (4-5	0.5–0.8	China,	Subtropical	1.5	6	351 [308,	11 [7, 16]
or peanuts	months crop		India	monsoon, dry and			426]	
Arachis	cycle).			humid forest and				

hypogaea	Rotated with			temperate areas				
	other crops							
Sunflower	Annual (3-4	0.5–0.9	Ukraine,	Temperate steppe	1.0	1	189 [177,	3 [2, 9]
Helianthus	months crop		Russia	and broadleaf			222]	
annuus	cycle).			forest				
	Rotated with							
	other crops							
Coconut	Perennial (30	0.4–2.4	Philippines,	Tropical and	n/a	65	317 [264,	73 [35,
Cocos	– 50 y cycle)		Indonesia,	subtropical forest			414]	113]
nucifera			India					
Maize	Annual (5-6	0.1–0.2	USA, China,	Temperate steppe	0.7	131	273 [222,	9 [5, 20]
Zea mays	months crop			and broadleaf			427]	
	cycle).			forest				
	Rotated with							
	other crops							
Olive	Perennial,	0.3–2.9	Spain, Italy,	Mediterranean	n/a	14	n/a	n/a
Olea	long lived.		Greece	vegetation				
europaea	Sometimes							
	inter-cropped							









