

Effect of temperature on the growth of the commercially fished common whelk (Buccinum undatum, L.): a regional analysis within the Irish Sea Emmerson, Jack; Hollyman, P.R.; Bloor, Isobel; Jenkins, Stuart

Fisheries Research

DOI: 10.1016/j.fishres.2019.105437

Published: 01/03/2020

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Emmerson, J., Hollyman, P. R., Bloor, I., & Jenkins, S. (2020). Effect of temperature on the growth of the commercially fished common whelk (Buccinum undatum, L.): a regional analysis within the Irish Sea. *Fisheries Research*, 223, [105437]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2019.105437

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Journal: Fisheries Research
2	
3	Title:
4	Effect of temperature on the growth of the commercially fished common whelk (<i>Buccinum undatum</i> ,
6	L.). a regional analysis within the firsh Sea.
7	Authors:
8	Emmerson, J.A., Hollyman, P.R., Bloor, I.S.M., Jenkins, SR.
9	
10	Address:
11	School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Askew Street, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, LL59 5AB, UK.
12	British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0ET, UK
13	Encil, i annuan Changer es al
14	Keywords : commercial fishery, statolith age stock assessment mollusc gastronod conservation
16	
17	Abstract
18	In response to increased demand in Asian markets, the commercial fishery for the common whelk
19	(Buccinum undatum, L.) has expanded in north-eastern Europe. In the Irish Sea region (ICES Area 20
20	VIIa), increased effort and landings have raised concerns about long-term stability of the fishery
21	amongst stakeholders. Fisheries in Welsh waters and the Isle of Man territorial sea are now subject to
22	an increased minimum landing size according to the best available scientific data. This study addresses
23	key knowledge gaps by investigating the size-at-age relationship of the species across the latitudinal
24	extent of the fisheries management region. Our findings show that growth parameters, modelled using
25	growth rings observed in the statolith, vary between populations and show a broadly latitudinal pattern.
26	Thermal-time (expressed as sea bottom temperature degree-days) showed a significant negative linear
27	relationship with the asymptotic size reach by whelk populations ($L_{\!\scriptscriptstyle \infty}\!)$, where whelk grew to a larger
28	maximum size in cooler waters. Other parameters, including maximum growth rates and the age at
29	which growth rate begins to decrease, showed clear trends with sea-bottom temperature but linear
30	modelling failed to detect significant relationships, where warmer waters increase the rate of growth in
31	the early life stages of whelk but cooler waters allow growth to continue until later in life. Whilst there
32	are substantial requirements to further validate and refine the relationship between growth and sea-
33	bottom temperature, extrapolation of these data to other regions in Northeast Europe may provide a

valuable tool in approximating important life-history characteristics in stock assessments, such as L_{∞} , age-at- L_{50} and age-at-recruitment.

36

37 **1.0 Introduction**

38 The common whelk (Buccinum undatum, Linnaeus, 1758) is a neo-gastropod mollusc that is found in 39 the subtidal waters of the North Atlantic to depths of 1400 m (Mercier & Hamel, 2008). The species is 40 widely distributed on the Atlantic continental shelf and is frequently found in densities that support 41 commercial fisheries in both Europe and America (Emmerson, et al., 2018; Borsetti, et al., 2018). A 42 small scale fishery has existed in Europe for over a century, with recorded annual landings in England 43 and Wales of 4500 t in 1911 (Dakin, 1912). European waters are the principal area of production (FAO, 44 2017) and the fishery has undergone significant economic and geographical expansion in response to 45 emerging Asian markets, with global landings increasing from 7000 t yr⁻¹ to over 35000 t yr⁻¹ between 46 1990 and 2014 (FAO, 2017).

47

Whelk are the 6th most economically important shellfish species in the UK, with total UK landings 48 49 (20,800 t) equating to a value of £22.7 million in 2017 (MMO, 2018). What was once a small-scale 50 inshore fishery is now characterised by a fleet increasing in average length and fishing capacity. For 51 UK vessels under 12 m in length, whelk has overtaken crab and lobster as the most important shellfish 52 species by volume caught in the 'pot and trap' sector (Lawrence, et al., 2017). For larger vessels (> 12 53 m) they now rank as the second most important by volume. The same data highlight that over the last 54 decade, the economic significance of whelk has also increased. Among the five most valuable species 55 from 2008 to 2017 in the '10-12 m pots & traps' sector, catch data shows the relative economic 56 contribution of whelk increase by 10%, whereas, European lobster and edible crab value increased 57 merely by 5% and Nephrops decreased by half (SEAFISH Economics, 2018). Regionally, the Irish Sea 58 (ICES Area VIIa) has an estimated 447% increase in the total landed weight of whelk between 2000 59 and 2016 by British registered vessels, with the most significant increases being recorded in the Isle of 60 Man territorial sea (ICES rectangle 37E5) and Welsh waters (ICES rectangles 33E5, 34E5, 35E5) 61 (Emmerson, et al., 2018). In the Irish Sea, whelk are the third most valuable shellfish resource after 62 Nephrops and scallops (Pecten maximus), worth £8.5 million in 2017 at first-sale value (16.5% of the

total value of all species landed by UK vessels in ICES VIIa) (MMO, 2018). The value of whelks
landed into Wales by UK vessels was greater than all other species combined in 2017 (MMO, 2018).

65

66 The sustainability of whelk fisheries has been questioned by both fisheries scientists and industry 67 stakeholders (see Nicholson & Evans, 1997; McIntyre et al., 2015). It is clear, for example, that the 68 widely adopted EU minimum landing size (MLS) (45 mm total shell length; TSL) fails to protect 69 juvenile individuals (individuals below the size of functional maturity; L_{50}) from harvest in many 70 regions of the British Isles (Shelmerdine, et al., 2007; Haig, et al., 2015; Emmerson, et al., 2018). The 71 uncertainty, knowledge gaps and localised variation in basic biological parameters such as maturity 72 (Haig, et al., 2015; McIntyre, et al., 2015), fecundity (Valentinsson, 2002) and mortality 73 (Laptikhovsky, et al., 2016), combined with low resolution data on fishing effort and catch has meant 74 that a conceptual framework for stock assessments has not yet been developed. As a consequence, the 75 fishery is considered 'data-poor' and there are no biologically referrenced catch or effort restrictions. 76 Fisheries management responses to apparent whelk population declines have therefore been 77 precautionary in approach when compared to management decisions for other commercially important 78 species (Fahy et al., 2000; Fahy et al., 2005; Shrives et al., 2015).

79

80 An understanding of size-at-age and longevity of exploited marine species are fundamental in most 81 stock assessment models. For effective fisheries management to take place, scientists must be able to 82 understand changes of stock size and structure in response to dynamic anthropogenic (primarily fishing 83 mortality) and environmental factors (Hilborn & Walters, 1992). Using a size-at-age relationship to 84 estimate parameters such as age-at-recruitment and age-at-first-spawning are especially valuable for 85 evaluating existing management measures that are typically defined using size based metrics, as in the 86 case of whelk fisheries. Modelling the growth parameters of a marine species allows scientists, 87 managers and industry to formulate informed harvesting strategies with a medium-to-long term 88 perspective.

89

A reliable assessment of age and longevity in whelk populations is problematic for fisheries scientists (Hollyman, et al., 2017b), primarily due to the heterogeneity between populations even over small spatial scales (Shelmerdine, et al., 2007; Haig, et al., 2015). Age determination of this species and other marine gastropods has typically been estimated by counting the growth rings on the operculum,

94 an organic 'shield' on the posterior edge of the animals foot (Santarelli & Gros, 1985). However, issues 95 of precision, accuracy and reliability (see Kideys, 1996) has meant that the methodology is viewed as 96 suboptimal, with < 20% of samples displaying clear readable rings (Hollyman, 2017a). Novel 97 techniques examining the statolith, which are an accretory calcareous particle typically $< 300 \ \mu m$ and 98 integral to the animals central nervous system, have been developed to assess age and growth of 99 gastropod molluscs (Barroso, et al., 2005; Chatzinikolaou & Richardson, 2007). This has led to a 100 significantly improved degree of accuracy, precision and reliability in determining the age and growth 101 parameters of whelk (Hollyman, et al., 2018). Observing annual periodicity of growth rings in the 102 statoliths of whelk has also been validated using controlled laboratory tank-rearing experiments 103 (Hollyman, et al., 2018a) and by direct chemical analysis of the calcium carbonate structures 104 themselves (Hollyman, et al., 2017b).

105

106 Body temperature (T_b) affects the behaviour and physiology of ectotherms (Huey & Stevenson, 1979; 107 Angilletta Jr., et al., 2002), including aspects such as locomotion (Bennett, 1980; Young, et al., 2006), 108 sensory input (Werner, 1976), and rates of feeding and growth (Navaro & Iglesias, 1995). Hollyman 109 (2017a) observed clear variation in growth rates and seasonality of growth in whelk across the British 110 Isles. Across this latitudinal range, warmer sea bottom temperatures in the south stunted L_{∞} (the 111 theoretical average maximum size of the sampled population) and whelk in cooler waters to the north 112 were hypothesised to have a greater time-window within which shell growth could occur, maximising 113 L_{∞} values. Variable growth rates were highlighted by Haig et al. (2015) as a potential key driver in 114 localised variation in size-at-maturity (L₅₀) and size-structure of whelk populations sampled in South 115 Wales. Haig et al. (2015) also hypothesised that these observations were likely a consequence of local 116 temperature regimes. Whelk experience a large range of sea temperatures across the geographical range 117 of the species (0 - 22 °C; Smith, et al., 2013). Physiological processes are bound by critical thermal 118 limits, beyond which the processes cease, defined as the critical minimum (CT_{min}) and critical 119 maximum (CT_{max}) T_b that permit performance (Angilletta Jr., et al., 2002). The thermal performance 120 curve of whelk is not known but typically may be asymmetric with maximum performance of 121 individuals occurring at some intermediate temperatures (Angilletta Jr., et al., 2002), otherwise known 122 as a thermal preferendum (Sharpe & DeMichele, 1977).

124 Degree-days are an increasingly popular method for explaining variation in growth and development of 125 marine organisms (Chezik, et al., 2014), despite only accounting for 5% of all temperature related 126 growth studies between 1980 and 2006 (Neuheimer & Taggart, 2007). Neuheimer and Taggart (2007) 127 found strong linear functions of the DD metric can explain > 92% of the variation in size-at-age data 128 among forty-one data sets representing species from a variety of marine and freshwater environments 129 as well as laboratory studies. Since the metabolic functioning of whelk, a boreal species, is expected to 130 decrease linearly from a *thermal preferendum*, we model temperature as a function of DD (Sharpe & 131 DeMichele, 1977; Angilletta Jr., et al., 2002).

132

This study presents a regional analysis of data from statolith samples collected in the Irish Sea, specifically from within Welsh waters and the Isle of Man territorial sea. Spatial comparisons of the size-at-age relationships are coupled with satellite-derived sea-bottom temperature data in an attempt to empirically explain the relationship between temperature and growth parameters for this geographical area.

138

139 **2.0 Materials and methods**

140 **2.1 Biological samples**

141 Whelk were collected by industry participants from exploited fishing grounds in both the Isle of Man 142 territorial sea and Welsh waters (Figure 1) using industry-standard Fish-tec[©] pots (see Emmerson et al., 143 2018 for details). Samples were collected in the Isle of Man during autumn 2016, whilst samples in 144 Wales were collected through 2016 to spring 2017. The whelks were not 'graded' according to MLS to 145 ensure that the maximum range of size classes were available for analysis. They were stored in 146 freezers at -20°C upon landing. Precise spatial details of the sampling locations are not presented in 147 agreement with the participants' requests to protect commercially sensitive data. After thawing, the 148 TSL of each whelk was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm using Vernier callipers.

149 <Figure 1>

152

153 2.2 Statolith analysis

¹⁵⁰ Figure 1. A map of the Irish Sea showing the general locations where whelk (*Buccinum undatum*) were sampled. A 151 = Isle of Man, B = Amwlch, C = Nefyn, D = Bardsey Island, E = Carmarthen Bay and F = Swansea Bay.

154 One statolith from each specimen was extracted using the methodology described by Hollyman, et al. 155 (2018a). The statoliths were mounted onto separate microscope slides using CrystalbondTM 509 156 thermoplastic resin and imaged under a Meiji Techno MT8100 microscope with a Lumenera Infinity 3 157 microscope camera at 20x magnification. Extracted statoliths were visually assessed according to the 158 rankings described by Hollyman et al. (2018) (see figure 3 in Hollyman et al. (2018) for a visual 159 comparison of the quality and clarity rankings of statolith rings; StR) and only specimens ranked 3 or 4 160 were used for subsequent analysis. Photomicrographs were analysed using ImageJ v1.48 (Ferreira & 161 Rasband, 2012) to count and measure the width of each statolith ring (StR) starting with the hatching 162 ring (Figure 2). After initial training by PH, the agreement in age determination by StR between the

two readers was 89.2%.

164 <Figure 2>

Figure 2. An example of a photomicrograph of a statolith (sampled in the Isle of Man territorial sea, June 2016). Red lines indicate the growth rings observed, with the centremost arrow showing the hatching ring. The blue arrow at the extremity of the statolith structure shows the edge of the statolith and is not counted as an annual growth ring.

- 169
- 170

171 **2.3 Temperature data**

Sea bottom temperature (SBT) data for each sampling location were extracted from the Global Ocean
Physics Reanalysis Products "GLOBAL-REANALYSIS-PHY-001-030", which was acquired through

174 the Copernicus Marine Environmental Monitoring Service (CMEMS) (<u>http://copernicus.eu</u>).

175

The data provides global ocean eddy-resolving (1/12° horizontal resolution and 50 vertical levels) reanalysis covering the altimetry era 1993-2016. It is based largely on the current real-time global forecasting CMEMS system. Observations are assimilated by means of a reduced-order Kalman filter. Along track altimeter data (Sea Level Anomaly), satellite Sea Surface Temperature, Sea Ice Concentration and *in situ* temperature and salinity vertical profiles are jointly assimilated. The global ocean output files are displayed on a standard regular grid at 1/12° (approximatively 8 km), with the most relevant grid square to the sampling location being extracted from the overall time series.

- 184 The annual sum of degree-days (with a base temperature, T_0 , near to the minimum temperature in the
- 185 coldest extremes of the species; *B. undatum:* 0 °C) can be used to explore the relationship between

186 physiological processes and temperature. Degree-days are an expression of thermal-time (Chezik, et al., 197

187 2014) or thermal opportunity for growth (Chezik, et al., 2015), where the degree-day for a single day

188 (DD; $^{\circ}C \cdot days$) is expressed as:

$$DD = \frac{(T_{Max} + T_{Min})}{2} - T_0$$

Equation 1.

Equation 2.

Equation 3.

189

190

191 Data were filtered to include SBT for the period 2010-2016. The time series of daily mean SBT data 192 for each location were then calculated as Annual Degree-Days (°C) (aDD) (equation 2). The number of 193 measurements for each region were equal.

$$aDD = \frac{\sum DD}{time_{years}}$$

194

195

196 2.4 Modelling growth and L_{∞}

197 TSL-at-age data of each specimen was calculated using a formula calculated by Hollyman (2017a, p.

198 183), which estimates y (size TSL; mm) to be a function of x (statolith width; μ m) such that:

$$y = 43.439x^{0.4259}$$
 ($R^2 = 0.96, n = 1719$)

199

The measurements from each ring within each statolith sample were then modelled using equation 3 to create an account of growth for each individual whelk. These data were analysed by location and modelled using equation 4 to estimate the average size at each age (ring) within the sampled populations.

204

This pre-analytical calculation was necessary to fill data gaps brought about by difficulties in sampling small whelks under the 45 mm MLS, so that growth curves could be modelled with a greater goodnessof-fit to size-at-age data. Importantly, this technique avoided the unrealistic application of TSL ≤ 0 mm at age t₀ (hatching), which would result in incorrect asymptotic estimates (Hollyman, et al., 2018).

Using R (R Core Team, 2017), the size and age data for each observation were modelled using a nonlinear least squares regression model fitted with a gompertz growth curve (Tjørve & Tjørve, 2017),

which captures the sigmoidal relationship of growth in *Buccinum* and other marine gastropods more than other models (see (Hollyman, 2017a), whereby:

 $TSL_t = L_{\infty} e^{-e^{-k_G(t-T_i)}}$

Equation 4.

214

TSL_t is the size of a whelk shell at time t, L_{∞} is the theoretical average maximum size of the sampled population, $k_{\rm G}$ is the growth-rate coefficient (which affects the slope), and T_i represents time at inflection of growth (i.e. where the curve is orientated against the x-axis, or when growth begins to slow).

219

The estimated coefficient value of L_{∞} , k_G and T_i for each sampled population was then plotted against aDD for each location. Further, aDD was also modelled against maturity (L_{50}) estimates taken from existing literature (Haig et al., 2015; Hollyman, *unpublished data;* Emmerson et al., 2018). The relationship between estimated coefficients and aDD were tested using linear regression to assess whether any significant linear relationship exists.

225

226 **3.0 Results**

A total of 1,796 individual statoliths were examined (2,973 ring observations) with a size range from 25.9 mm to 109.4 mm TSL across six locations (figure 3). The oldest whelk sampled had 8 clear growth rings (excluding the hatching ring).

230 <Figure 3>

Figure 3. Size-frequency histograms of whelk (*Buccinum undatum*) sampled at Amwlch (n=287), Bardesy Island (n = 279), Isle of Man (IOM) (n = 185), Nefyn (n = 278), Saundersfoot (Carmarthen Bay) (n = 278) and Swansea Bay (n = 304).

234 235

The pattern of growth of whelks differed significantly by location (figure 4, table 1). L_{∞} ranged between 59.9 mm in Swansea and 116.8 mm in Isle of Man samples. The variation in L_{∞} follows a broadly latitudinal trend, with the larger maximum size of whelk being observed in samples in the northern Irish Sea. In contrast, the growth rate k_G decreased with increasing latitude, meaning that whelks in the south grew at a faster rate (max = 1.04, Carmarthen Bay) than in the north (min = 0.44, Isle of Man). 242

247

- 243 <Figure 4>
- Figure 4. The modelled size-at-age relationship of *Buccinum undatum* by sample site in Welsh waters and the Isle of Man territorial sea. Points represent mean TSL at $Age \pm S.E$.
- 246 <Table 1>
- 248 The satellite data show clear seasonal variability in temperature at each location (figure 5). The lowest
- 249 minimum temperature was in the Isle of Man (5.8 °C) and highest minimum temperature in Carmarthen
- 250 Bay (6.9 °C). The lowest maximum temperature was also recorded in the Isle of Man (16.5 °C), whilst
- the highest maximum temperature was in Swansea Bay (18.4 °C) between 2010-2016 (figure 5).
- 252 <Figure 5>
- Figure 5. The daily sea-bottom-temperature (SBT ° C) at each location sampled for whelk in the Irish Sea for the period 2010-2016.
- 255

The average aDD for each location varied between 4004 (Isle of Man, coolest) and 4267 (Swansea Bay, warmest). This equates to an average daily difference of 0.72 °C between the warmest and coolest locations.

259

When the size-at-age parameters are plotted against the average aDD value for each location, there are clear negative trends in the data for all parameters with the exception of k_G which is positive (figure 6). Linear regression analysis shows a significant effect of aDD on L_{∞} ($R^2 = 0.72$, p-value = 0.03) and is described by equation 5a. Linear regression was unable to model the effect of aDD on other growth variables to the same statistical certainty, where p = 0.07 for K_G and T_i and p = 0.11 for L_{50} . Extrapolating the significant linear model of L_{∞} over the aDD data available presents a graphical display of the estimated maximum TSL for whelk populations in the Irish Sea (figure 7).

5a) $L_{\infty} = 696 - 0.15 aDD$; $R^2 = 0.72$, p = 0.03 *

268	5b) $L_{50} = 317 - 0.06 \text{ aDD}; \text{ R}^2 = 0.63, \text{ p} = 0.11$	
269	5c) $K_G = -5.7 + 0.002 \ aDD$; $R^2 = 0.60, p = 0.07$	
270	5d) $T_i = 21.7 - 0.001 \text{ aDD}$; $\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.59$, $p = 0.07$	
271		Εc

Equations 5a - 5d.

273

274 <Figure 6>

275 276 277 278 279 280 Figure 6. Scatterplots showing the relationship between estimated L_{x_2} Growth rate (k_G) , Age at Gompertz inflection (T_i ; years) and functional maturity (L_{50}) of whelk (Buccinum undatum) and sea-bottom-temperature (SBT) expressed as annual average degree-days (aDD) in the Irish Sea. The black lines represent the linearly modelled relationships (equation 5a - 5d) while the grey area shows the standard error in the model. Error bars around the point show the standard error in the parameter estimations. N.B no maturity data is available for Carmarthen Bay samples.

281

282

283

284 <Figure 7>

285 286 Figure 7. The aDD \sim L_o relationship for whelk, Buccinum undatum, extrapolated throughout the data range of average annual sea bottom temperature (2010-2016) for ICES Area VIIa.

- 287 288 **4.0 Discussion**
- 289

290 291

292 Using growth rings observed in statoliths, the size-at-age relationship was modelled for whelk 293 populations in the Isle of Man territorial sea and Welsh waters using specimens harvested by 294 commercial fishers on exploited fishing grounds. The modelled growth parameters varied by location, 295 with a clear latitudinal trend of increasing asymptotic size with latitude in the Irish Sea. The largest 296 potential size (on average) of whelk populations varied from a maximum of 116.8 mm TSL (Isle of 297 Man) in the north to 59.9 mm TSL (Swansea Bay) in the south, a pattern analogous with observations 298 by Hollyman, et al. (2018) where samples were taken across a geographic range from Shetland to 299 Jersey. This pattern is also observed in the size-distribution data for Irish Sea whelk presented in 300 Emmerson et al. (2018). Whilst we observe large variation in size and growth of whelk over latitudinal 301 scales, similar levels of variation have been observed in size-at-maturity and population structure at 302 local levels, suggesting the growth of whelk may vary significantly over local scales (Haig et al., 2015). 303 Studies examining the life history of this species have consistently alluded to or hypothesised that sea-304 bottom temperature is the fundamental environmental driver of variation (see Bell & Walker, 1998; 305 McIntyre et al., 2015; Hollyman, et al, 2018). This study is the first to test the relationship between 306 growth parameters and SBT using observed data from statoliths sampled throughout the latitudinal 307 extent of a fisheries management area together with SBT derived from modelled satellite data.

309 A significant negative linear relationship between temperature, expressed as aDD, and L_{∞} was found 310 for the species on a regional scale in the Irish Sea (ICES Area VIIa), where the average maximum size 311 of an individual within a population decreases with increasing average annual sea-bottom temperature. 312 The Swansea Bay area experienced the greatest aDD of 4267 between 2010 and 2015 with populations 313 showing L_{∞} of 59.9 mm TSL. Cooler waters in North Wales around the Llyn peninsula (aDD = 4174) 314 and Anglesey (aDD = 4017) meant whelk there reached a larger L_{∞} , 89.6 mm and 90.9 mm TSL 315 respectively. The coolest waters were in the Northern Irish Sea around the Isle of Man (aDD = 4004)316 where whelk grow to the greatest maximum size observed in this study ($L_{\infty} = 116.8$ mm TSL). The 317 variation in estimated size-at-age produced by the gompertz model (figure 4) was larger in the Isle of 318 Man sample than in other samples. Intra-site analysis showed that this increased variation resulted from 319 cross-sampling across several distinct whelk populations on the east coast of the Isle of Man, with 320 'Northeast' and 'East' sites exhibiting significantly different size structures (see Emmerson, et al., 321 2018). Low sample size for each site prevented separate analysis of statoliths, highlighting the need for 322 spatially specific sampling at local scales, particularly where localised hydrographic and bathymetric 323 variations affect ambient temperature. Significant differences in growth rate between the northeast area 324 of the Isle of Man and the rest of the territorial sea have also been observed in king scallop populations 325 (Dignan, et al., 2014).

326

327 Other Gompertz growth coefficients (K_G and T_i), i.e. the maximum rate of growth and the point of 328 growth rate inflection, showed marginally non-significant linear relationships with aDD (p = 0.07 for 329 both coefficients). Increased sampling may change the outcome of these analyses, since visual 330 interpretation of the data (figure 6) shows that there is a trend between aDD and both K_G and T_i . The 331 maximum rate of growth (K_G) showed a strong positive correlative trend with aDD, where populations 332 of whelk in Swansea Bay and Carmarthen Bay (South Wales) had the highest coefficient value, 0.98 333 and 1.04 respectively. These values indicate that the warmer sea bottom temperature, whilst limiting 334 the maximum potential size of whelk, also accelerates the rate of growth of individual during early life-335 stages. The slowest growth-rate coefficient value was observed in cooler waters around the Isle of Man 336 $(K_G = 0.44)$. The point at which this gompertz growth rate begins to decrease from the maximum (T_i) 337 showed a negative correlative trend with aDD. Growth of whelks in warm waters such as Swansea Bay

begins to decrease at age 0.94 years, whereas in the Isle of Man growth rate begins to decrease at 2.81

339340

years.

341 Whelk populations found at higher latitudes in the northern hemisphere generally have a larger 342 maximum body size than those living further south (Hollyman, 2017a), despite initial slower growth 343 rates. This is a common pattern among many ectotherms, such as the scallop Pecten maximus 344 (Chauvaud, et al., 2012). Chauvaud et al. (2012) observed that low annual growth rates in northern 345 populations are not due to low daily growth rates, but rather a fewer number of days (thermal window) 346 within which scallop growth could occur, which was also hypothesised in the case of whelk by 347 Hollyman (2017a). Chauvaud et al. (2012) also showed that, "despite initial lower annual growth 348 performance ... differences in asymptotic size (L_{∞}) as a function of latitude resulted from persistent 349 annual growth performances in the north and sharp declines in the south". Classical growth models 350 have failed to capture this dynamic in long-lived ectotherms that have thermally constrained growing 351 seasons (Chauvaud, et al., 2012), such as whelk. The growth observed in the data for whelk in the Irish 352 Sea point to Bergmans rule, "a general trend of animal sizes to increase with latitude" (Blackburn, et 353 al., 1999). Clearly, higher ambient temperature drives rapid growth in whelk in the early life-stages of 354 the species with larger time-windows for growth, but they reach a smaller asymptotic maximum size 355 earlier in life. These patterns are captured in the behaviour of the gompertz curve, which explained 356 growth in *Buccinum* with a greater R^2 and goodness-of-fit values than other analyses (Hollyman, et al., 357 2018). We also hypothesise that as well as being temperature drive, the time-window for growth 358 decreases with age in whelks, which is consistent with the notion that as they mature there is a trade-off 359 between growth and reproduction or defence, resulting in a decrease in the length of growing season 360 with age (Stearns, 1992; Lankford, et al., 2001). Chauvaud et al. (2012) were able to demonstrate with 361 scallops that the decrease in the length of growing seasons with age is more rapid at lower latitudes, a 362 hypothesis that may also be reflected in the data presented here.

363

364 It is important that data and models explaining species life-history are incorporated into fisheries 365 management where possible. Whelk fisheries in both the Isle of Man and Wales are considered "data 366 poor" though they are managed primarily with an MLS informed by size-at-maturity studies (Haig et 367 al., 2015; Emmerson et al., 2018). Viewing the analyses in this study in the context of other work on 368 whelk in Welsh waters and the Isle of Man (see Haig et al., 2015; Hollyman, 2017a; Emmerson et al., 369 2018) suggests that, on average, populations reach functional maturity (L_{50}) by age 4. It may therefore 370 be possible to also estimate reproductive characteristics, upon which MLS is ideally referenced, using 371 solely temperature data if the temperature~growth parameters are further investigated and the 372 relationship is substantiated with additional data. Broadly speaking, management of whelk fisheries in 373 the Northeast Atlantic should follow a latitudinal pattern of increasing MLS with latitude. The EU 374 minimum conservation reference size (MCRS) of 45 mm TSL may well be sufficient to protect 375 spawning stock according to L₅₀ estimates in parts of the English Channel (McIntyre, et al., 2015), but 376 it is insufficient in the Irish Sea where L_{50} has been shown to be up to 75 mm TSL (Emmerson, et al., 377 2018). As whelk fisheries continue to expand throughout the British Isles, it is essential that 378 management is both evidence-based and regionalised.

379

380 Within the context of the Irish Sea region, a linear relationship sufficiently explains the variation in the 381 SBT-L_{∞} relationship (p = 0.03) and provides good cause to suspect the relationship can be explain other 382 growth coefficient factors (K_G and T_i). However temperature in the Irish Sea varies over a relatively 383 narrow range compared to the temperatures experienced by whelk over the full geographical extent of 384 the species. Whelk are highly eurythmic and have been reported to survive in temperatures from below 385 0 °C to above 22 °C (Smith, et al., 2013). We hypothesize a non-linear relationship between 386 temperature and L_{∞} of this species over its geographical distribution with asymptotic limits to the size 387 of whelk in populations as average SBT approaches the lower and upper critical thermal limits (Figure 388 8). Indeed, anecdotal evidence supports this hypothesis, such that preliminary observations in the 389 Orkney Islands (northern Scotland) show $TSL_{\infty} \approx 140$ mm at aDD = 3,465 (M. Coleman, *unpublished* 390 *data*). A broad-range study to explore this relationship would also reveal whether populations of whelk 391 exhibit ecotypical characteristics, where subpopulations may have undergone genotypic adaptions to 392 specific environmental conditions that affect life-history traits such as growth. It is possible that, 393 following successful validation of the DD~growth relationship in further studies by combining both 394 laboratory and field-based methodologies, the growth rate of this species can be modelled throughout 395 its distribution based solely on open source satellite-based temperature data. However, more work is 396 required to validate the relationship in the first instance.

398 <Figure 8>

400

399 Figure 8. A hypothetical illustration of the relationship between degree days (°C) and L_{∞} for the common whelk (Buccinum undatum).

401

402 It is anticipated that refinement of the aDD variable according to critical thermal limits of the species, 403 which are currently unknown, may result in the analytical models showing a statistical significance in 404 the temperature \sim growth relationship for all parameters. This study analysed the growth parameters of 405 whelk against a single aDD value (the average annual DD for the period 2010-2016), however further 406 investigations looking into the parameters that define DD over time (the maximum rate of increase in 407 DD (DDr), the point of inflection in DD (DDi) during the year) are warranted. That is to say, the 408 mechanistic effect of temperature on whelk may be such that aDD, which calculates the average annual 409 thermal input into the environment, has limited explanatory power. Instead, for example, it may be that 410 it is the *rate* of thermal input within specific season that provides greater statistical power in explaining 411 growth. In order to precisely analyse these hypotheses and to observe localised hydrographic 412 anomalies, temperature data would have to be generated from direct observations using temperature 413 loggers fixed to the seabed as opposed to the low resolution satellite data used in this study. Another 414 method that may further validate the temperature \sim growth relationship would be laboratory-based 415 studies, which control the temperature of the environment as a fixed variable in order to fully 416 understand the specific thresholds that drive and limit the energetic input into shell growth, as well as 417 the species specific thermal preferendum. In contrast to this study, which conducted a post-hoc analysis 418 using available temperature data, controlling for temperature as part of the experimental design would 419 add greater certainty to the relationship. The importance of understanding the environmental context of 420 sampling locations (fishing grounds) has been highlighted previously (Hollyman, et al., 2018). Indeed, 421 any specific thermal preferendum that exists to encourage energetic investment in shell growth for this 422 species would necessitate an experimental design that controlled for specific thermal parameters as 423 well as other confounding variables (e.g. food availability (Nasution & Roberts, 2004), predatory 424 pressure and aquatic chemistry). Given the opportunistic post-hoc nature of this study, and that the 425 analysis was performed on aggregated one-dimensional temperature data (aDD), it is encouraging that 426 a clear and significant relationship is observed (figure 7).

428 This study presents the first comparison of growth rates of sampled whelk populations throughout the 429 latitudinal extent of a fisheries management region (ICES VIIa), using data modelled from statolith 430 analysis. A linear trend was observed between SBT, expressed as aDD, and L_{∞} whereby warmer waters 431 limited maximum shell size. When extrapolated throughout the entire geographical extent of ICES 432 VIIa, the data broadly mirrors the reported parameters in other studies. Further verification of the 433 temperature \sim growth relationship for this species is required, combining both broad geographical 434 collaborations and controlled laboratory methodologies. Validating this relationship may lead to broad-435 scale extrapolations throughout the species distribution, which would provide an increadibly valuable 436 and timely resource to fisheries managers who would otherwise depend on dedicated sampling studies 437 to understand the life-history parameters of whelk stocks, which are currently recognised as being data-438 poor and potentially over exploited.

439

440 Acknowledgments

441 This project would not have been possible without the help of the Welsh Fisherman's Association 442 (WFA), the Manx Fish Producers Organisation (MFPO) and other members of the fishing industry that 443 voluntarily provided biological samples. The project was funded in Wales by the Welsh Government 444 and in the Isle of Man by the Isle of Man Government's Department for Environment, Food & 445 Agriculture (DEFA). We would also like to extend our gratitude to all those who responsible for 446 directing and ovseeing projects associated with this research, including Michel Kaiser, Chris 447 Richardson and Charlotte Colvin. We would also like to thank Claire Lambden for assistence in 448 extracting statoliths from samples.

449

451 **References**

- 452
- 453 Angilletta Jr., M. J., Niewiarowski, P. H. & Navas, C. A., 2002. The evolution of thermal physiology in 454 ectotherms. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, Volume 27, pp. 249-268.
- 455 Barroso, C. M., Nunes, M., Richardson, C. A. & Moreira, M. H., 2005. The gastropod statolith: a tool 456 for determining the age of Nassarius reticulatus. *Marine Biology*, Volume 146, pp. 1139-1144.
- 457 Bell, M. C. & Walker, P., 1998. Size at maturity in common whelks (Buccinum undatum, L.) in 458 England and Wales. *ICES Document CM1998*, CC(9), pp. 1-24.
- Bennett, A. F., 1980. The thermal dependence of lizard behaviour. *Animal Behaviour*, Volume 28, pp.
 752-762.
- Blackburn, T. M., Gaston, K. J. & Loder, N., 1999. Geographic gradients in body sizes: a clarification
 of Bergman's rule.. *Diversity and Distributions*, Volume 165-174, p. 5.
- 463 Borsetti, S. et al., 2018. Spatial variation in life history characteristics of waved whelk (Buccinum 464 undatum, L.) on the U.S Mid-Atlantic continental shelf. *Fisheries Research*, Volume 198, pp. 129-137.
- 465 Chatzinikolaou, E. & Richardson, C. A., 2007. Evaluating growth and age of the netted whelk
- 466 Nassarius reticulatus (Gastropoda: Nassariidae) using statolith growth rings. *Marine Ecology Progress* 467 Series, Volume 342, pp. 163-176.
- 468 Chauvaud, L. et al., 2012. Variation in Size and Growth of the Great Scallop (Pecten maximus) along a 469 Latitudinal Gradient. *PLoS One*, 7(5), p. e37717.
- Chezik, K. A., Lester, N. P. & Venturelli, P. A., 2014. Fish growth and degree-days I: selecting a base
 temperature for a within-population study. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture Science*,
 Volume 71, pp. 47-55.
- Chezik, K. A., Lester, N. P. & Venturelli, P. A., 2015. Fish growth and degree-days II: selecting a base
 temperature for an among-population study. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*,
 Volume 71, pp. 1303-1311.
- 476 Dakin, W., 1912. Memoirs on Typical British Marine Plants and Animals: Buccinum (the Whelk).
 477 *Liverpool Mrine Biology Committee Memoirs*, Volume 20, p. 123.
- 478 Dignan, S., Bloor, I. S., Murray, L. G. & Kaiser, M. J., 2014. Management evaluation report of a
- 479 *limited king scallop (Pecten maximus) fishery within Ramsey Bay fisheries management zone*, Bangor
 480 University: Fisheries and Conservation Science Report No. 34.
- Emmerson, J. A., Haig, J. A., Bloor, I. S. & Kaiser, M. J., 2018. The complexities and challenges of
 conserving common whelk (Buccinum undatum, L.) fishery resources: Spatio-temporal study of
 variable population demographics within an environmental context. *Fisheries Research*, Volume 204,
 pp. 125-136.
- Fahy, E. et al., 2005. *Fishery-associated changes in the whelk Buccinum undatum stock in the southwest Irish Sea, 1995-2003,* Dublin: Irish Fisheries Investigations Number 15.
- 487 Fahy, E., Masterson, E., Swords, D. & Forrest, N., 2000. *A second Assessment of the whelk fishery*
- Buccinum undatum in the Southwest Irish Sea with Particular Reference to its History of Management
 by Size Limit, Dublin: Marine Institute.
- 490 FAO, 2017. Species Fact Sheets: Buccinum undatum. [Online]
- 491 Available at: http://www.fao.org/fishery/species/2659/en
- 492 [Accessed 27 01 2017].
- 493 Ferreira, T. & Rasband, W., 2012. ImageJ User Guide 1.46r, s.l.: ImageJ/Fiji.

- 494 Goodwin, D. H., Flessa, K. W., Schone, B. R. & Dettman, D. L., 2001. Cross-calibration of daily
- 495 growth increments, stable isotope variation, and temperature in the Gulf of California bivalve mollusc
- 496 Chione cortzi: Implications of paleoenvironmental analysis. *Palaios*, Volume 16, pp. 387-398.
- Haig, J. A. et al., 2015. Temporal and spatial variation in size at maturity of the common whelk
 (Buccinum undatum). *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 72(9), pp. 2707-2719.
- Heath, M. R. et al., 2012. Review of climate change impacts on marine fish and shellfish around the UK and Ireland. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, Volume 22, pp. 337-367.
- Hilborn, R. & Walters, C. J., 1992. *Quantitative Fisheries Stock Assessment: Choices, Dynamics and Uncertainty.*. New York: Chapman & Hall.
- Hollowed, A. B. et al., 2013. Projected impacts of climate change on marine fish and fisheries. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 70(5), pp. 1023-1037.
- 505 Hollyman, P. R., 2017a. *Age, growth and reproductive assessment of the whelk, Buccinum undatum, in* 506 *coastal shelf seas.* PhD Thesis, Bangor University, School of Ocean Sciences.
- Hollyman, P. R. et al., 2017b. Micro-scale geochemical and crystallographic analysis of Buccinum
 undatum statoliths reveal annual periodicity of visible growth rings. *Chemical Geology*.
- 509 Hollyman, P. R. et al., 2018. Age and growth rate estimations of the commercially fished gastropod 510 Buccinum undatum. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*.
- 511 Hollyman, P. R. et al., 2018a. Statoliths of the whelk Buccinum undatum: a novel age determination 512 tool. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, Volume 598, pp. 261-272.
- 513 Huey, R. B. & Stevenson, R. D., 1979. Integrating thermal physiology and ecology of ectotherms: a discussion of approaches. *American Zoologist*, Volume 19, pp. 357-366.
- Kideys, A. E., 1996. Determination of age and growth of Buccinum undatum L. (Gastropoda) off
 Douglas, Isle of Man.. *Helgol Meeresunters*, Volume 50, pp. 353-368.
- 517 Lankford, T. E., Billerbeck, J. M. & Conover, D. O., 2001. Evolution of intrinsic growth and energy
- acquisition rates. II. Trade-offs with vulnerability to predation in Menidia menidia. *Evolution*, Volume
 55, pp. 1873-1881.
- Laptikhovsky, V. et al., 2016. A novel approach for estimation of the natural mortality of the common
 whelk, Buccinum undatum (L.) and role of hermit crabs in its shell turnover. *Fisheries Research*,
 Volume 183, pp. 146-154.
- Lawrence, S., Motova, A. & Russell, J., 2017. *Quay Issues: Fleet Economic Performance Dataset* 2008-16, Edinburgh, UK: Seafish Economics.
- Lonsdale, D. J. & Levinton, J. S., 1985. Latitudinal differentiation in copepod growth: an adaption to temperature. *Ecology*, Volume 66, pp. 1397-1407.
- Lonsdale, D. J. & Levinton, J. S., 1989. Energy budgets of latitudinally separated Scottolana canadenis
 (Copepoda: Harpacticoida). *Limnology and Oceanography*, Volume 34, pp. 324-331.
- McIntyre, R., Lawler, A. & Masefield, R., 2015. Size of maturity of the common whelk, Buccinum
 undatum: Is the minimum landing size in England too low?. *Fisheries Research*, Volume 162, pp. 5357.
- 532 Mercier, A. & Hamel, J. F., 2008. Nature and role of newly described symbiotic associations between
- sea anemone and gastropods at bathayal depths in the NW Atlantic. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, Volume 358, pp. 57-69.

- 535 MMO, 2018. UK and foreign vessels landings by UK port and UK vessels landing abroad, Newcastle-536 upon-Tyne: Marine Management Organisation.
- 537 Nasution, S. & Roberts, D., 2004. Laboratory trials on the effects of different diets on growth and 538 survival of the common whelk, Buccinum undatum L. 1758, as a candidate species for aquaculture. 539 Aquaculture International, Volume 12, pp. 509-521.
- 540 Navaro, E. & Iglesias, J. I., 1995. Energetics of reproduction related to environmental variability in 541 bivalve molluscs. Haliotis, Volume 24, pp. 43-55.
- 542 Neuheimer, A. B. & Taggart, C. T., 2007. The growing degree-day and fish size-at-age: the overlooked 543 metric. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, 64(2), pp. 375-385.
- 544 Nicholson, G. J. & Evans, S. M., 1997. Anthropogenic impacts on the stocks of the common whelk 545 Buccinum undatum (L.). Marine Environmental Research, Volume 44, pp. 305-314.
- 546 Peck, L. S. et al., 2009. Animal temperature limits and ecological relevence: effects of size, activity and 547 rates of change. Functional Ecology, 23(2), pp. 248-256.
- 548 Pörtner, H. O., Peck, L. S. & Somero, G. N., 2007. Thermal limits and adaption in marine Antasctic
- 549 ectotherms: an integrative view. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B, Volume 550 362, pp. 2233-2258.
- 551 R Core Team, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for 552 Statistical, Vienna, Austria.
- 553 Richardson, C. A., Saurel, C., Barroso, C. M. & Thain, J., 2005. Evaluation of the age of the red whelk 554 Neptuna antiqua using statoliths, opercula and elemnt ratios in the shell. Journal of Experimental 555 Marine Biology and Ecology, Volume 325, pp. 55-64.
- 556 Rueden, C. T., Schindelin, J. & Hiner, M. C., 2017. ImageJ2: ImageJ for the next generation of 557 scientific image data. BMC Bioinformatics, 18(529).
- 558 Santarelli, L. & Gros, P., 1985. Age and growth of the whelk Buccinum undatum L. (Gastropoda: 559 Prosobranchia) using stable isotopes of the shell and operculum striae. Oceanologica Acta, Volume 8, 560 pp. 221-229.
- 561 SEAFISH Economics, 2018. Seafish fleet economic performance dataset 2007-2017, Edinburgh, UK: 562 Seafish Economics.
- 563 Sharpe, P. J. & DeMichele, D. W., 1977. Reaction kinetics of poikilotherm development. Journal of 564 *Theoretical Biology*, 64(4), pp. 649-670.
- 565 Shelmerdine, R. L., Adamson, J., Laurenson, C. H. & Leslie, B., 2007. Size variation of the common 566 whelk, Buccinum undatum, over large and small spatial scales: Potential implications for micro-567 management within the fishery. Fisheries Research, Volume 86, pp. 201-206.
- 568 Shrives, J. P., Pickup, S. E. & Morel, G. M., 2015. Whelk (Buccinum undatum L.) stocks around the 569 Island of Jersey, Channel Islands: Reassessment and implications for sustainable management.
- 570 Fisheries Research, Volume 167, pp. 236-242.
- 571 Smith, K. E., Thatje, S. & Hauton, C., 2013. Thermal tolerance during early ontogeny in the common 572 573 whelk Buccinum undatum (Linnaeus 1785): Bioenergetics, nurse egg partitioning and developmental success. Journal of Sea Research, Volume 79, pp. 32-39.
- 574 Smith, K. E., Thatje, S. & Hauton, C., 2013. Thermal tolerance during early ontogeny in the common 575 whelk Buccinum undatum (Linnaeus 1785): Bioenergetics, nurse egg partitioning and developmental 576
- success. Journal of Sea Research, Volume 79, pp. 32-39.

- 577 Stearns, S. C., 1992. *The evolution of life histories*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 578 Tjørve, K. M. & Tjørve, E., 2017. The use of Gompertz models in growth analyses, and new
 579 Gompertz-model approach: An addition to the Unified-Richards family. *PLoS ONE*, 12(6), pp. 1-17.
- 580 Valentinsson, D., 2002. Reproductive cycle and maternal effects on offspring size and number in the 581 neogastropod Buccinum undatum. *Marine Biology*, 140(6), pp. 1139-1147.
- Werner, Y. L., 1976. Optimal temperatures for inner-ear performance in gekkonis lizards. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, Volume 195, pp. 319-352.
- 584 Young, J. S., Peck, L. S. & Matheson, T., 2006. The effects of temperature on walking in temperatre 585 and Arctic crustaceans. *Polar Biology*, 29(11), pp. 978-987.
- 586
- 587

Table 1. Summary of results from growth models for each location, including parameter estimates for model coefficients, where L_{∞} is estimated maximum shell size, k_G is the growth-rate coefficient and T_i is the point of inflection in the model curve. Parameter statistical significance shown by p-value (*** = <0.001).

Isle of Man L_{∞} 116.8 4.77 24.5 *** k_G 0.44 0.02 17.7 *** T_i 2.81 0.11 24.5 *** Anglesey L_{∞} 90.9 1.45 1.162.6 *** K_G 0.72 0.03 22.2 *** T_i 1.47 0.03 44.2 *** K_G 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** T_i 1.59 0.03 55.9 *** T_i 1.59 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.9 *** K_G 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** Bay $L_$	Model	Param	Est	S.E	t-value	sig.
Isle of Man $k_{\rm G}$ 0.44 0.02 17.7 *** T_i 2.81 0.11 24.5 *** Anglesey L_{∞} 90.9 1.45 1.162.6 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.72 0.03 22.2 *** T_i 1.47 0.03 44.2 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 ***		Γ^{∞}	116.8	4.77	24.5	***
T_i 2.81 0.11 24.5 *** Anglesey L_{∞} 90.9 1.45 1.162.6 *** k_G 0.72 0.03 22.2 *** T_i 1.47 0.03 44.2 *** L_{∞} 89.6 1.19 74.9 *** k_G 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** T_i 1.59 0.03 55.9 *** T_i 1.59 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** K_G 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** K_G 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** K_G 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***	Isle of Man	k _G	0.44	0.02	17.7	***
Anglesey L_{∞} 90.9 1.45 1.162.6 *** k_{G} 0.72 0.03 22.2 *** T_i 1.47 0.03 44.2 *** Nefyn L_{∞} 89.6 1.19 74.9 *** K_G 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** T_i 1.59 0.03 55.9 *** K_G 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** K_G 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** K_G 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** K_G 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** K_G 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***		T_i	2.81	0.11	24.5	***
Anglesey $k_{\rm G}$ 0.72 0.03 22.2 *** T_i 1.47 0.03 44.2 *** Nefyn L_{∞} 89.6 1.19 74.9 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** T_i 1.59 0.03 55.9 *** L_{∞} 91.5 0.99 92.0 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.99 1.77 33.7 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***		Γ^{∞}	90.9	1.45	1.162.6	***
T_i 1.47 0.03 44.2 *** \mathbf{Nefyn} \mathbf{L}_{∞} 89.6 1.19 74.9 *** k_{G} 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** T_i 1.59 0.03 55.9 *** \mathbf{L}_{∞} 91.5 0.99 92.0 *** \mathbf{K}_{G} 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** \mathbf{K}_{G} 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** \mathbf{K}_{G} 1.04 0.04 30.3 *** \mathbf{K}_{G} 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** \mathbf{K}_{G} 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***	Anglesey	k _G	0.72	0.03	22.2	***
Nefyn L_{∞} 89.6 1.19 74.9 *** $k_{\rm G}$ 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** T_i 1.59 0.03 55.9 *** L_{∞} 91.5 0.99 92.0 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***		T_i	1.47	0.03	44.2	***
Nefyn $k_{\rm G}$ 0.71 0.03 24.2 *** T_i 1.59 0.03 55.9 *** Bardsey L_{∞} 91.5 0.99 92.0 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.9 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***		Γ^{∞}	89.6	1.19	74.9	***
T_i 1.59 0.03 55.9 *** Bardsey L_{∞} 91.5 0.99 92.0 *** K_G 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** L_{∞} 81.9 1.49 54.9 *** K_G 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** L_{∞} 59.9 1.77 33.7 *** K_G 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***	Nefyn	k _G	0.71	0.03	24.2	***
Bardsey L_{∞} 91.5 0.99 92.0 *** k_{G} 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** Carmarthen k_G 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** Bay T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** K_G 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** K_G 1.04 0.04 30.3 *** K_G 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***		T_i	1.59	0.03	55.9	***
Bardsey $k_{\rm G}$ 0.74 0.03 26.2 *** T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** Carmarthen L_{∞} 81.9 1.49 54.9 *** $k_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** $K_{\rm G}$ 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***		L∞	91.5	0.99	92.0	***
T_i 1.96 0.04 54.6 *** Carmarthen L _∞ 81.9 1.49 54.9 *** Bay L_G 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** L _∞ 59.9 1.77 33.7 *** Swansea k_G 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***	Bardsey	k _G	0.74	0.03	26.2	***
Carmarthen Bay L_{∞} 81.91.4954.9*** K_G 1.040.0616.8*** T_i 1.140.0430.3*** L_{∞} 59.91.7733.7***Swansea k_G 0.980.0611.1***		T_i	1.96	0.04	54.6	***
Bay $k_{\rm G}$ 1.04 0.06 16.8 *** T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** L_{\infty} 59.9 1.77 33.7 *** K_G 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***	Carmarthen	L∞	81.9	1.49	54.9	***
T_i 1.14 0.04 30.3 *** L_{\infty} 59.9 1.77 33.7 *** Swansea k_G 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***	Rav	k _G	1.04	0.06	16.8	***
Swansea L_{∞} 59.9 1.77 33.7 *** $k_{\rm G}$ 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***	Day	T_i	1.14	0.04	30.3	***
Swansea $k_{\rm G}$ 0.98 0.06 11.1 ***		L^{∞}	59.9	1.77	33.7	***
	Swansea	k _G	0.98	0.06	11.1	***
T_i 0.94 0.08 13.5 ***		T_i	0.94	0.08	13.5	***













Figure 5 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 7 Click here to download high resolution image







Figure 8 Click here to download high resolution image