

Vol. 1 • No. 1 • November 2020

Page (Hal.) : 92 – 100

ISSN (online) : 2746 - 4482

ISSN (print) : 2746 - 2250

© LPPM Universitas Pamulang

JL.Surya Kencana No.1 Pamulang, Tangerang Selatan – Banten

Telp. (021) 7412566, Fax (021) 7412491

Email : humanisproceedings@gmail.com

Special Issue :

ICoMS2020The 1st International Conference on
Management and Science

Website. :

<http://www.openjournal.unpam.ac.id/index.php/SNH>

Effect Of Giving Reward and Motivation to Employee Productivity In PT. Sinar Kencana Jaya In Surabaya

Aidil Amin Effendy^{1*}, Denok Sunarsi², Lily Setyawati Kristianti³, Laila Irawati⁴,
Wahyitno⁵

Universitas Pamulang, Jalan Surya Kencana No. 1, Tangerang Selatan, 15417, Indonesia
Student Of Doctoral Program In Management Science, Universitas Pasundan,
Bandung, Jawa Barat, Indonesia

Email: ¹ aidil00967@unpam.ac.id; ² denoksunarsi@unpam.ac.id; ³ dosen02521@unpam.ac.id;
⁴ laila.irawati08@yahoo.com; ⁵ wahyitno@yahoo.com

Abstract. This study aims to determine the effect of reward and motivation on employee productivity at PT. Sinar Kencana Jaya in Surabaya. The method used is explanatory research with analysis techniques using statistical analysis with regression testing, correlation, determination and hypothesis testing. The results of this study, the giving of rewards has a significant effect on employee productivity by 42.1%, hypothesis testing obtained $t_{count} > t_{table}$ or $(7,809 > 2,000)$. Motivation has a significant effect on employee productivity by 46.8%, hypothesis testing is obtained $t_{count} > t_{table}$ or $(8,595 > 2,000)$. Giving rewards and motivation simultaneously have a significant effect on employee productivity with the regression equation $Y = 9.184 + 0.396X_1 + 0.391X_2$ and the contribution of influence is 54.5%, hypothesis testing obtained $F_{count} > F_{table}$ or $(35.945 > 2.760)$.

Keywords: Rewarding, Motivation, Employee Productivity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of the business world from time to time shows extraordinary competition at the end of this decade. The era of globalization followed by technology and information has penetrated not only large companies but also small companies and even individual businesses. Efforts to improve from various sides of the company are continuously made so that the company can survive and even develop so that the company's goals can be achieved appropriately and well. Significant development cannot be achieved if employees, as the key drivers of an organization, are not productive. Employee productivity is high in an organization in the field of goods or services, is a hope for all parties. So it is clear that human resources are assets that must be considered and cannot be ignored. With regard to employee productivity, one indicator that must be met is how the company can provide rewards and motivation fairly so that employees are motivated to carry out work activities seriously, feel satisfaction and feel comfortable at

work.

Giving rewards is one of the functions of human resources as a form of positive attention from a company of encouragement, participation, which has the aim of motivating, encouraging, encouraging participation so that employees are able to provide the best results for the company. Such is the large role of reward for employees because the amount of reward is sometimes a reflection of work productivity given by employees to companies based on responsibility, a high sense of belonging to the company, and vice versa, the company appreciates the employees' work well and repays them in the form of rewards that are useful and can be felt directly by karaywan. It is expected that giving good rewards to employees can reduce actions that lead to obstruction of organizational goals, therefore, rewarding must be managed properly because it will help the company achieve its goals. Conversely, without sufficient rewards, work productivity decreases, it is not uncommon for employees to engage in disciplinary action, go on strike, take physical actions, and in the end the employee will leave the company.

On the other hand, the company needs to provide motivation for employees as a form of coaching, discipline, coaching, supervision, so that employees are disciplined and comply with the rules set by the company. Punishment is nothing but a threat, a punishment that aims to improve employee performance so that employees can avoid violations that are not desired together. So important is the punishment that it is an indicator that cannot be missed in human resource management activities. Implementation of motivation that is not in place will cause employee productivity to decrease. On the other hand, the fair and controlled implementation of punishment will increase employee productivity at work.

PT. Sinar Kencana Jaya is a business company engaged in construction, trade, procurement of goods and services, this company has an important role in improving the quality and quality of both human resources and the output produced. The phenomenon that has occurred in the last 2 (two) years has shown a fluctuating and unstable productivity, even a downward trend. In the pre-research carried out, it turned out that there was employee dissatisfaction with the treatment of rewards and punishments so that employees were not motivated and did not work optimally as expected. Of the 63 respondents stated that 60% of employees experienced a decrease in motivation which had an impact on employee productivity due to weak application of rewards and motivation to employees. 70% said that the company seemed discriminatory in giving penalties for employees who made mistakes, and 60% of employees said that the inconsistency of the company in giving rewards and punishments made their work motivation decrease and automatically decreased work productivity.

The purpose of this study was to partially determine the effect of reward on employee productivity, to partially determine the effect of motivation on employee productivity, to determine simultaneously the effect of reward and motivation on employee productivity.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Population

The population in this study amounted to 63 respondents PT. Sinar Kencana Jaya in Surabaya

2.2 Sample

The sampling technique in this study was saturated samples, where all members of the population were sampled. Thus the sample in this study amounted to 63 respondents.

2.3 Type of Research

The type of research used is associative, where the aim is to determine the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable either partially or simultaneously

2.4 Method

In analyzing the data used instrument test, classical assumption test, regression, coefficient of determination and hypothesis testing

3. RESEARCH METHODS/METHODOLOGY

- 3.1 To find out the conditions of work discipline at McDonald's Pondok Gede Branch.
 3.2 To determine the condition of employee performance at McDonald's Pondok Gede Branch.
 3.3. To determine the effect of work discipline on employee performance at McDonald's Pondok Gede Branch.
- a. To find out the conditions of work discipline at McDonald's Pondok Gede Branch.
 b. To determine the condition of employee performance at McDonald's Pondok Gede Branch.
 c. To determine the effect of work discipline on employee performance at McDonald's Pondok Gede Branch

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RESEARCH RESULT

1. Descriptive Analysis

In this test, it is used to determine the minimum and maximum score, mean score and standard deviation of each variable. The results are as follows:

Table 1. Results of Descriptive Statistics Analysis

Descriptive Statistics					
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Giving rewards (X1)	63	31	48	38.05	4.286
Motivation (X2)	63	31	45	38.35	3.686
Employee productivity (Y)	63	33	46	39.27	3.686
Valid N (listwise)	63				

Giving rewards obtained a minimum variance of 31 and a maximum variance of 48 with a mean score of 3.80 with a standard deviation of 4.286.

Motivation obtained a minimum variance of 31 and a maximum variance of 45 with a mean score of 3.83 with a standard deviation of 3.686.

Employee productivity obtained a minimum variance of 33 and a maximum variance of 46 with a mean score of 3.92 with a standard deviation of 3.686.

1. Verification Analysis.

This analysis aims to determine the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable. The test results are as follows:

a. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

This regression test is intended to determine changes in the dependent variable if the independent variable changes. The test results are as follows:

Table 2. Results of Multiple Linear Regression Testing

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	9.184	3.623		2.535	.014
	Giving rewards (X1)	.396	.086	.461	4.587	.000
	Motivation (X2)	.391	.100	.391	3.898	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Employee productivity (Y)

Based on the test results in the table above, the regression equation $Y = 9.184 + 0.396X1 + 0.391X2$ is obtained. From this equation it is explained as follows:

- 1) A constant of 9,184 means that if there is no reward and motivation, there is an

employee productivity value of 9,184 points.

2) The regression coefficient of giving rewards is 0.396, this figure is positive, meaning that every time there is an increase in reward giving of 0.396, employee productivity will also increase by 0.396 points.

3) The motivation regression coefficient is 0.391, this number is positive, meaning that every time there is an increase in motivation of 0.391, the employee productivity will also increase by 0.391 points.

a. Correlation Coefficient Analysis

Correlation coefficient analysis is intended to determine the level of strength of the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable either partially or simultaneously. The test results are as follows:

Table 3. Results of Correlation Coefficient Testing of Rewarding Employee Productivity.

		Giving rewards (X1)	Employee productivity (Y)
Giving rewards (X1)	Pearson Correlation	1	.656**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
Employee productivity (Y)	Pearson Correlation	.656**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	

Based on the test results obtained a correlation value of 0.656, which means that rewards have a strong relationship with employee productivity.

Table 4. Results of Testing the Motivation Correlation Coefficient of Employee Productivity.

		Motivation (X2)	Employee productivity (Y)
Motivation (X2)	Pearson Correlation	1	.621**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
Employee productivity (Y)	Pearson Correlation	.621**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	

Based on the test results obtained a correlation value of 0.621, meaning that motivation has a strong relationship with employee productivity.

Table 5. Results of Correlation Coefficient Testing of Rewards and Motivation

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.738 ^a	.545	.530	2.527

a. Predictors: (Constant), Motivation (X2), Giving rewards (X1)

Based on the test results obtained a correlation value of 0.738 means that simultaneous reward and motivation have a strong relationship to employee productivity.

b. Analysis of the coefficient of determination

Analysis of the coefficient of determination is intended to determine the percentage of influence of the independent variable on the dependent variable either partially or simultaneously. The test results are as follows:

Table 6. Test Results of the Determination Coefficient of Rewarding Employee Productivity.

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.649 ^a	.421	.414	2.679

a. Predictors: (Constant), *Giving rewards* (X1)

Based on the test results, it was found that the value of determination was 0.421, meaning that the reward had an effect of 42.1% on employee productivity.

Table 7. Results of Testing the Motivation Determination Coefficient of Employee Productivity.

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.684 ^a	.468	.462	2.568

a. Predictors: (Constant), Motivation (X2)

Based on the test results obtained a determination value of 0.468, meaning that motivation has an influence contribution of 46.8% on employee productivity.

Table 8. Results of Testing the Coefficient of Determination of Rewards and Motivation for Employee Productivity.

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.738 ^a	.545	.530	2.527

a. Predictors: (Constant), Motivation (X2), *Giving rewards* (X1)

Based on the test results, it was found that the determination value was 0.545, meaning that the simultaneous reward and motivation contributed 54.5% to employee productivity, while the remaining 45.5% was influenced by other factors.

c. Hypothesis testing

Partial hypothesis test (t test)

Hypothesis testing with the t test is used to determine which partial hypothesis is accepted.

The first hypothesis: There is a significant influence between the provision of rewards on employee productivity.

Table 9. Hypothesis Test Results of Rewarding Employee Productivity.

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	17.517	2.774		6.316	.000
	<i>Giving rewards</i> (X1)	.565	.072	.649	7.809	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Employee productivity (Y)

Based on the test results in the table above, the value of t count > t table or (7,809 > 2,000) is obtained, thus the first hypothesis that is proposed is that there is a significant effect between reward giving on employee productivity is accepted.

Table 10. Results of Motivation Hypothesis Test on Employee Productivity.

Coefficients^a

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		

1	(Constant)	14.019	2.926		4.791	.000
	Motivation (X2)	.653	.076	.684	8.595	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Employee productivity (Y)

Based on the test results in the table above, the value of t count > t table or (8,595 > 2,000) is obtained, thus the second hypothesis that is proposed that there is a significant influence between motivation on employee productivity is accepted.

Simultaneous Hypothesis Test (Test F)

Hypothesis testing with the F test is used to determine which simultaneous hypothesis is accepted.

The third hypothesis There is a significant influence between reward and motivation on employee productivity.

Table 11. Hypothesis Test Results of Rewards and Motivation on Employee Productivity.

		ANOVA ^a				
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	459.180	2	229.590	35.945	.000 ^b
	Residual	383.232	60	6.387		
	Total	842.413	62			

Based on the test results in the table above, it is obtained that the value of F count > F table or (35.945 > 2.760), thus the third hypothesis that is proposed that there is a significant effect between reward and motivation on employee productivity is accepted.

DISCUSSION OF RESEARCH RESULTS

1. Effect of reward on employee productivity

Reward giving has a significant effect on employee productivity with a correlation of 0.656 or has a strong relationship with an influence contribution of 42.1%. Hypothesis testing obtained t value > t table or (7,809 > 2,000). Thus, the first hypothesis proposed that there is a significant effect between reward giving on employee productivity is accepted.

2. The Effect of Motivation on Employee Productivity

Motivation has a significant effect on employee productivity with a correlation of 0.621 or has a strong relationship with an influence contribution of 46.8%. Hypothesis testing obtained t value > t table or (8,595 > 2,000). Thus, the second hypothesis proposed that there is a significant effect between motivation on employee productivity is accepted.

3. The Effect of Rewards and Motivation on Employee Productivity

The provision of rewards and motivation has a significant effect on employee productivity by obtaining the regression equation $Y = 9.184 + 0.396X_1 + 0.391X_2$, the correlation value is 0.738 or has a strong relationship with the contribution of the influence of 54.5% while the remaining 45.5% is influenced by other factors. . Hypothesis testing obtained the value of F count > F table or (35.945 > 2.760). Thus, the third hypothesis proposed that there is a significant effect between reward and motivation on employee productivity is accepted.

CONCLUSION

- a. Reward giving has a significant effect on employee productivity with an influence contribution of 42.1%. Hypothesis test obtained $t \text{ value} > t \text{ table}$ or $(7,809 > 2,000)$.
- b. Motivation has a significant effect on employee productivity with an influence contribution of 46.8%. Hypothesis test obtained $t \text{ value} > t \text{ table}$ or $(8,595 > 2,000)$.
- c. Giving rewards and motivation have a significant effect on employee productivity with the contribution of the effect of 54.5% while the remaining 45.5% is influenced by other factors. Hypothesis testing obtained the value of $F \text{ count} > F \text{ table}$ or $(35.945 > 2.760)$.

REFERENCES

- Algifari. (2015). "Analisis Regresi untuk Bisnis dan Ekonomi". Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Arikunto, Suharsimi (2014). "Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek". Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Edi Sutrisno (2016). Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group.
- Effendy, A., & Sunarsi, D. (2020). Persepsi Mahasiswa Terhadap Kemampuan Dalam Mendirikan UMKM Dan Efektivitas Promosi Melalui Online Di Kota Tangerang Selatan. Jurnal Ilmiah MEA (Manajemen, Ekonomi, & Akuntansi), 4(3), 702-714. <https://doi.org/10.31955/mea.vol4.iss3.pp702-714>
- Fandy Tjiptono (2017), Service Quality and Satisfiation. Jakarta: Edisi tiga. Andi.
- Freddy Rangkuti (2016) Strategi Promosi Yang Kreatif, Edisi Pertama, Cetakan Pertama Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama
- Freed Luthans (2016) Organizational Behavior, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Gerry Dessler (2016) Human Resources Management, Prenticehall, London: International Inc.
- Griffin R.W., & Ronald, J.E. (2003). Dasar-Dasar Pemasaran. Jakarta: Raja
- Handoko (2016) Manajemen Personalia dan Sumberdaya Manusia. Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Haque, MG., Munawaroh, Sunarsi, D., (2020). Analysis of SMEs Culinary Marketing Strategy During Covid 19 Pancemic: A Study at "Sate Bebek Cilegon" Resto in Cilegon, Banten. International Journal of Education, Information Technology, and Others. Vol.3. Issue 2
- Hasibuan (2016) "Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia". Haji Masagung. Jakarta.
- Henry Simamora (2005), Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia, STIE YKPN Bandung.
- Hurriyati, Ratih. 2015. Bauran Pemasaran dan Loyalitas Konsumen. Alfabeta, Bandung.
- Imam Ghozali (2017). "Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program SPSS". Edisi Kelima. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Undip.
- Istijanto (2014) "Riset Sumber Daya Manusia". Jakarta: PT. Gramedia Pustaka

- Kartini Kartono (2011) *Pemimpin dan Kepemimpinan*, Jakarta: PT. Rajawali Grafindo Persada.
- Keller dan Armstrong (2017) "Prinsip-prinsip Pemasaran". Edisi Kedua Belas". Jilid Satu. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Kevin Keller dan Armstrong (2017) *Prinsip-prinsip Pemasaran*, Edisi Kedua Belas, Jilid Satu, Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Kharis, Ismu Fadli (2011). "Studi Mengenai Impulse Buying dalam Penjualan Online". Semarang : Skripsi Universitas Diponegoro
- Kotler & Keller (2016) "Manajemen Pemasaran". PT. Macaman Jaya Cemerlang. Jakarta.
- Kotler (2016) "Manajemen Pemasaran". Edisi Keempat belas, Jakarta: PT. Indeks.
- Lesmana, R., & Ayu, S. D. (2019). Pengaruh Kualitas Produk Dan Citra Merek Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Kosmetik Wardah PT Paragon Tehnology And Innovation. *Jurnal Pemasaran Kompetitif*, 2(3), 59-72.
- Lesmana, R., Widodo, A. S., & Sunardi, N. (2020). The Formation of Customer Loyalty From Brand Awareness and Perceived Quality through Brand Equity of Xiaomi Smartphone Users in South Tangerang. *Jurnal Pemasaran Kompetitif*, 4(1), 1-12.
- Lupiyadi, Rambat (2016) *Manajemen Pemasaran Jasa edisi 2* , Jakarta : Salemba Empat.
- Lupiyoadi (2016) *Manajemen Pemasaran Jasa*, Edisi 4, Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Mangkunegara, Anwar Prabu, 2008. "Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan, cetakan pertama". Penerbit: Remaja Rosdakarya, Bandung
- Mangkunegara, Prabu Anwar. (2016). *Evaluasi Kinerja SDM*. Cetakan ke tujuh, PT Refika Aditama: Bandung.
- Nitisemito, Alek.S, (2010), *Manajemen Personalialia*, Ghalia Indonesia, Jakarta.
- Philip Kotler (2017) *Manajemen Pemasaran*, Edisi Keempat Belas, Jakarta: PT. Indeks.
- Pranoto, P., Jasmani, J., & Marayasa, I. N. (2019). Pelatihan Digital Marketing Untuk Peningkatan Perekonomian Anggota Karang Taruna Al Barkah Di Kampung Cicayur-Tangerang. *Jurnal Pengabdian Dharma Laksana*, 1(2), 250-258.
- Prasada, D., Sunarsi, D., & Teriyan, A. (2020). Pengaruh Etos Kerja Dan Kompensasi Terhadap Komitmen Organisasi Pada DHL Logistic Di Jakarta. *JENIUS (Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia)*, 4(1), 51-60.
- Rivai Veithzal (2015) *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Untuk Perusahaan*. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Rivai Veithzal (2015) *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Untuk Perusahaan*. Penerbit PT Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta, 2010.

- Santoso, Singgih (2015). "Menguasai Statistik Multivariat". Jakarta: PT Elex Media Komputindo.
- Sedarmayanti (2016) Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia, Reformasi Birokrasi dan Manajemen Pegawai Negeri Sipil, Cetakan Kelima, Bandung: PT Refika Aditama.
- Siagian, S (2007). Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Sinamo, J. (2011). Delapan Etos Kerja Profesional. Jakarta: Institut
- Sudjana (2014) "Metode Statistika", Bandung: Tarsido.
- Sugiyono (2017), "Metode Penelitian Administrasi : dilengkapi dengan Metode R & D". Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suhartanto (2014). "Metode Riset Pemasaran". Bandung: Alfabeta
- Sunardi, N., Lesmana, R., & Tumanggor, M. (2018). Implementasi Manajemen Dalam Meningkatkan Iman Dan Taqwa Masyarakat Dusun Panyeredan, Cimanggu, Cisalak, Subang–Jawa Barat. *Jurnal Pengabdian Dharma Laksana*, 1(1), 131-140.
- Sunarsi, D. (2019). Penerapan MSDM Strategis Dalam Upaya Meningkatkan Kemampuan Organisasi dalam menyongsong Revolusi 4.0. *Jurnal Ilmiah MEA (Manajemen, Ekonomi, & Akuntansi)*, 3(1), 221-233. <https://doi.org/10.31955/mea.vol3.iss1.pp221-233>