The petrological evolution of the Late Paleozoic A-type granites of the

Wentworth plutonic complex of the Cobequid Shear Zone

By

Angeliki Papoutsa

A thesis Submitted to Saint Mary's University, Halifax, Nova Scotia in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Masters in Applied Science

December, 2011, Halifax, Nova Scotia

© Angeliki Papoutsa, 2011

Approved \_\_\_\_\_

Dr Georgia Pe-Piper Professor

Approved \_\_\_\_\_

Dr Trevor MacHattie

Department of Natural Resourses

Approved \_\_\_\_

Dr Victor Owen

Professor

Approved \_\_\_\_\_

Dr David Piper

Geological Survey of Canada

Approved

Dr Cristian Suteanu

Professor

Approved \_\_\_\_\_

Dr Brendan Murphy

Professor

Date December 12, 2011



Library and Archives Canada

Published Heritage Branch

395 Wellington Street Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada Bibliothèque et Archives Canada

Direction du Patrimoine de l'édition

395, rue Wellington Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada

Your file Votre référence ISBN: 978-0-494-83408-4

Our file Notre référence ISBN: 978-0-494-83408-4

#### NOTICE:

The author has granted a nonexclusive license allowing Library and Archives Canada to reproduce, publish, archive, preserve, conserve, communicate to the public by telecommunication or on the Internet, loan, distrbute and sell theses worldwide, for commercial or noncommercial purposes, in microform, paper, electronic and/or any other formats.

The author retains copyright ownership and moral rights in this thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission.

In compliance with the Canadian Privacy Act some supporting forms may have been removed from this thesis.

While these forms may be included in the document page count, their removal does not represent any loss of content from the thesis.

# **Canad**<sup>#</sup>

## AVIS:

L'auteur a accordé une licence non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque et Archives Canada de reproduire, publier, archiver, sauvegarder, conserver, transmettre au public par télécommunication ou par l'Internet, prêter, distribuer et vendre des thèses partout dans le monde, à des fins commerciales ou autres, sur support microforme, papier, électronique et/ou autres formats.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur et des droits moraux qui protege cette thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

Conformément à la loi canadienne sur la protection de la vie privée, quelques formulaires secondaires ont été enlevés de cette thèse.

Bien que ces formulaires aient inclus dans la pagination, il n'y aura aucun contenu manquant.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS** 

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	iii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY AND OBJECTIVES	1
1.2. THESIS ORGANIZATION	2
CHAPTER 2: REGIONAL GEOLOGY	3
2.1. GEOLOGICAL SETTING	3
2.2. GEOLOGICAL SETTING OF THE WENTWORTH PLUTON	5
2.3. PETROGRAPHY AND GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE WENTWORTH	
PLUTON	7
2.3.1. Gabbro	7
2.3.2. Granite	8
2.4. DEFORMATION ASSOCIATED WITH THE EMPLACEMENT OF THE	
LATE PALEOZOIC PLUTONS	9
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	12
3.1. GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSES	13
3.2. OPTICAL MICROSCOPY	14
3.3. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE (SEM)	15
3.4. ELECTRON MICROPROBE	15
CHAPTER 4: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN REE-Y-Nb-Th	
MINERALS AND THE EVOLUTION OF AN A-TYPE GRANITE,	
WENTWORTH PLUTON, NOVA SCOTIA	17
4.1. ABSTRACT	17
4.2. INTRODUCTION	18
4.3. GEOLOGICAL SETTING	19
4.4. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND SAMPLES	25
4.5. SILICATE MINERALS	26
4.5.1. Allanite-(Ce) and REE-epidote	26
4.5.2. Chevkinite-(Ce)	28
4.5.3. Altered (?)Chevkinite-(Ce)	33
4.5.4. Zircon	35
4.5.5. Hingganite-(Y)	35
4.5.6. Thorite	38
4.6. OXIDE MINERALS	38

4.6.1. Samarskite-(Y)	38
4.6.2. Fersmite	38
4.6.3. Aeschynite/Polycrase-(Y)	40
4.6.4. Titania mineral	44
4.7. SULPHIDE MINERALS	44
4.7.1. Pyrite	44
4.8. CARBONATE MINERALS	46
4.8.1. Hydroxylbastnäsite-(Ce)	46
4.9. DISCUSSION	46
4.9.1. Stability conditions and origin of minerals present	48
4.9.2. Relationship of minerals to host-rock chemistry	55
4.9.3. Fluorine abundance	56
4.9.4. Relationship to pluton evolution	58
4.10. CONCLUSIONS	61
4.11. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	62
4.12. CHAPTER 4 APPENDIX	64
CHAPTER 5: THE GEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF THE A-TYPE GRANITES OF THE WENTWORTH PLUTONIC COMPLEX:	
EVIDENCE FROM GEOCHEMICAL DATA	75
5.1. ABSTRACT	75
5.2. INTRODUCTION	76
5.3. GEOLOGICAL SETTING	79
5.3.1. Cobequid Highlands	79
5.3.2. Avalonian country rock	81
5.3.3. The Wentworth Pluton	82
5.4. METHODS	83
5.5. GEOCHEMICAL TRENDS OF THE WENTWORTH GRANITES	85
5.6. DISCUSSION	94
5.6.1. Geochemical differences between the early and late granites	94
5.6.2. Origin of the high-Mg granitic group	95
5.6.3. Nd isotope evidence for the source of the Wentworth granites	95
5.6.4. Trace element patterns of granitic magmas derived from partial	
melting of tonalite	97
5.6.5. The origin of high fluorine content of the Wentworth early	
granites	97
5.6.6. Tectonic implications of the petrogenetic model	99
5.7. CONCLUSIONS	100
5.8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	102

5.9. CHAPTER 5 APPENDIX	103
CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION	113
6.1. FROM NEOPROTEROZOIC TO LATE PALEOZOIC: DERIVATION OF	
PARENT MAGMA	113
6.2. LATEST DEVONIAN: MAGMA EVOLUTION AND EMPLACEMENT	
OF THE EARLY WENTWORTH GRANITES	115
6.3. EARLY CARBONIFEROUS (TOURNAISIAN): GABBROIC	
INTRUSION AND FORMATION OF THE WENTWORTH LATE GRANITES	116
6.4. EARLY CARBONIFEROUS (VISEAN): HYDROTHERMAL	
ALTERATION OF THE GRANITES	117
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS	119
CHAPTER 8: REFERENCES	121

### The petrological evolution of the Late Paleozoic A-type granites of the Wentworth plutonic complex of the Cobequid Shear Zone

By

#### Angeliki Papoutsa

#### Abstract

The Wentworth Pluton is a bimodal intrusion which consists principally of a 362 Ma, Atype granite, part of which was remelted by a major gabbro intrusion, resulting in the formation of late granites REE- rare metal mineralization is widespread in and around the Wentworth Pluton The Wentworth granites were examined geochemically and petrographically in order to determine the geological processes responsible for their distinct geochemistry The granites were derived from partial melting of subductionrelated trondhjemites, in the lower Avalonian crust, triggered by asthenospheric upwelling in the Late Paleozoic The parent, REE-enriched magma formed early granites with REE-magmatic minerals Anatexis of these granites resulted in the release of volatiles and rare metals in hydrothermal fluids, reflected by a variety of hydrothermal REE-rich minerals This study has introduced new evidence on the petrogenesis of Atype granites and demonstrated the genetic relationship between the REE mineralization and the granites of the Wentworth Pluton

December 12, 2011

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to start by thanking my supervisor Georgia Pe-Piper and David Piper for having me here in Canada and providing me with such an interesting project. It was my privilege to have them as my mentors these two years, not only for their significant academic guidance but also for their dedicated care and support. I'm very thankful to them for developing my scientific thought and expanding my horizons in igneous petrology

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr Victor Owen, Dr Trevor MacHattie and Dr Cristian Suteanu, members of my supervisory committee, for their informative conversations, and for the time they spent editing this thesis Sincere thanks to Dr Brendan Murphy for acting as my external examiner, and for his significant contribution to the improvement of this thesis

Thank you to Randolph Corney, for all the times I asked for your help and you provided with no complaint A special thanks to Dr Vassilis Tsikouras for introducing me to Georgia Pe-Piper, who he spoke very highly of, and for all his support during the time I had to make the decision to leave Greece For his friendship and continuous guidance since I got involved with geology, I am most grateful

To all my friends I met in Canada, I feel blessed to be surrounded by people like you Finally, I would like to thank my mother, for her support and for all the time she spent keeping me company on weekends while I was locating grains under the microscope Thanks for sharing my enthusiasm for geology and even though you didn't want me to leave, you let me come here to Canada and study the subject that I love the most

## List of abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description		
A1	rift-related anorogenic granites		
A2	arc-related anorogenic granites		
Aes-Y	Aeschynite-(Y)		
AFM diagram	Alkalies-FeO-MgO diagram		
Aln	Allanite		
Атр	Amphibole		
Ар	Apatite		
Arf	Arfvedsonite		
ASI	Aluminum Saturation Index		
BSE image	Back Scattered Electron image		
Bt	Biotite		
c	calcic		
CAG	Continental Arc granites		
CCG	Continental Collision granites		
CEUG	Continental Epeirogenic Uplift granites		
Che	Chevkinite		
Chl	Chlorite		
CHUR	Chondritic Uniform Reservoir		
срх	Clinopyroxene		
EDS	Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy		
EMP	Electron Microprobe		
Ер	Epidote		
Fed	Ferro-edenite		
Fers	Fersmite		
Fl	Fluorite		
Fsp	Feldspar		
Fwn	Ferro-winchite		
gbr	gabbro		
H-bas	Hydroxylbastnasite		
ны	Hornblende		
HDEHP	Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid		
Hem	Hematite		
Hing	Hingganite		
HLBL	Hart Lake-Byers Lake		
HREE	Heavy Rare Earth Elements		
IAG	Island Arc Granites		
ICP-MS	Ion Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer		
Ilm	Ilmenite		
INAA	Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysi		
Ktf	Katophorite		

# List of abbreviations

LIF	Lithium Fluoride crystal
LIFH	Lithium Fluoride- high intensity crystal
LP	Late Paleozoic
LREE	Light Rare Earth Elements
MALI	Modified alkali-lime index
Mgt	Magnetite
Nb-min	Niobium mineral
NP	Neoproterozoic
ORG	Orogenic granites
Opq	Opaque mineral
PETJ	Polyethylene terephthalate high reflectivity crystal
Pl	Plagioclase
POG	Post-orogenic granites
Ру	Pyrite
Qz	Quartz
Rbk	Riebeckite
Rc Fsp	Re-crystallized feldspar
REE	Rare Earth Elements
RRG	Rift related granites
S	sodic
Sam	Samarskite
sec	secondary mineral
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
s-c	sodic-calcic
Syn-COLG	Syn-collisional granites
ТАР	Thallium acid phthallate crystal
ТАРН	Thallium acid phthallate-high intensity crystal
TD-ICP	Total Digestion Ion Coupled Plasma
Tna	Titania mineral
Tnt	Titanite
Trt	Thorite
VAG	Volcanic Arc granites
WDS	Wavelength Dispersive Spectroscopy
WPG	Within-plate granites
XRF	X-Ray Fluoresence
Zrn	Zircon

#### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1. Purpose of the study and objectives**

The Wentworth Pluton is a complex bimodal intrusion, the felsic part of which consists of two generations of granites and is the most alkaline magmatic body, in a series of late Devonian to early Carboniferous plutons, related to the Cobequid Shear Zone. The petrology and geochemistry of the late plutons in the Cobequid Highlands has been thoroughly studied over the last few decades and the regional tectonic setting is well understood. However, it is still unclear why the Wentworth Pluton presents such a distinct geochemical character. The purpose of this study is to investigate this complicated magmatic system and identify the cause of the principal variations in the geochemistry of the late Devonian Wentworth granites. Some questions this research aims to answer are the following.

- Do syn- or post-gabbro granites and early granites in the Wentworth pluton have the same source and geochemistry as each other? If not what are the geochemical processes that created the syn- and post-gabbro granites? Examine mechanisms of
  - a) Anatexis of pre-existing granite at middle to upper crustal levels
  - b) Chemical diffusion of elements between mafic and felsic magmas
  - c) Mechanical mixing
  - d) Continuation of lower crust melting
- 2) Within the observed geochemical variability, what are the implications for mineralization?
  - a) Are there REE accessory minerals in the granites? Do they relate to the igneous system?
  - b) How Th is distributed in the pluton and how does this relate to mineralization?

#### **1.2 Thesis organization**

The main body of this thesis consists of chapters 4 and 5 In chapter 4, the accessory minerals present in the Wentworth granites that contain rare earth elements and rare metals are investigated. This study reveals that there are significant amounts of REEs in this intrusion, reflected in a wide variety of magmatic and hydrothermal mineral phases. Significant evidence is provided from this study for several stages of REE-mineral crystallization/precipitation during the geological evolution of the granites and also illustrates the REE-rich nature of the original granitic magma from the presence of primary magmatic REE phases.

Chapter 5 investigates the petrogenetic history of the Wentworth Pluton granites through their whole-rock geochemistry Specific geochemical characteristics and isotopic data from these rocks provide evidence about the origin and evolution of the granites. In this study a petrogenetic model is proposed for the granites that suggests a possible source for the granitic magma and explains a) the REE enrichment of the original granitic magma, b) the distinct alkaline character of the intrusion reflected in the presence of sodic amphiboles, c) the geological processes responsible for certain geochemical differences between the early and late granites, and d) the implications of this model to the tectonic environment

Both chapters were prepared as separate manuscripts for publication Therefore it is unavoidable that some repetition of key figures and text occurs in this thesis, particularly in the introductory parts of these chapters since each one was written as to stand-alone

#### **CHAPTER 2: REGIONAL GEOLOGY**

#### 2.1. Regional Setting

The Cobequid Highlands are located on the northern part of the Appalachian orogenic belt, just north of the boundary between the Avalon and Meguma terranes (Calder, 1998, Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002) This boundary is marked by the northern part of the Cobequid-Chedabucto fault zone, in which strike-slip motion took place during Late Paleozoic Distributed shear on this zone in Late Devonian and Early Carboniferous has been termed the Cobequid Shear Zone (Pe-Piper et al., 2002)

Within the Cobequid Highlands the Cobequid Shear zone consists of a series of faults The Cobequid Fault marks the margin of the Cobequid Highlands horst (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002) The Rockland Brook Fault was the major fault during Late Paleozoic and is located in the eastern part of the Highlands whereas the Kirkhill Fault is in the western part and is considered to be an offset continuation of the Rockland Brook Fault (Koukouvelas et al, 2006) The northwestern margin of the Cobequid Highlands coincides with the Spicers Cove Fault (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002)

The Cobequid Highlands are underlain by Neoproterozoic rocks, Silurian to Lower Devonian sedimentary rocks and Late Paleozoic plutons and their extrusive equivalents (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002) The emplacement of the Late Paleozoic plutons is related to the development of Cobequid Shear Zone. The plutons are mainly granite, gabbro and diorite bodies. In the western Cobequid Highlands the plutons appear to be related to the Kirkhill and Cobequid Faults. These intrusions are the Cape Chignecto, Hanna Farm, West Moose River and North River plutons (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002). In the eastern Cobequid Highlands the Late Paleozoic plutonism is represented by the Pleasant Hills, Wyvern-Gilbert Hills and Wentworth plutons

The intrusion of the Late Paleozoic plutons in the Cobequid Highlands is related to the extension of the Magdalen Basin, which led to the underplating of gabbroic melts beneath the central and eastern part of the highlands, during Middle to Late Devonian and Early Carboniferous (Marillier and Reid, 1990) The large volume of mafic rocks indicates that the extension of the basin played an important role in the pluton emplacement The intrusion of the plutons was synchronous with the deposition of the Horton Group (Piper et al., 1993, Dunning et al., 2002)

The igneous activity in the Cobequid Highlands during Latest Devonian (Strunian) to Early Carboniferous (Early Tournaisian) was focused along the Rockland Brook Fault and the Kirkhill Fault (Dunning et al, 2002) The mafic magmatism of Late Tournaisian to Early Visean resulted to the intrusion of mafic dykes which cut Horton Group near the Cobequid Fault

The Neoproterozoic rocks of the Avalon terrane evolved along the active margin of Gondwana and during the Middle Paleozoic were involved in Appalachian orogen (Nance et al, 2002) The evolution of the Avalon terrane is characterized by the development of an arc (760-650 Ma), its transition to a platform, rifting from Gondwana in early Ordovician, and the accretion of the Avalon terrane to Laurentia during the development of the Appalachian orogen in late Ordovician-early Silurian The evolution of the Avalonian arc took place upon a juvenile basement comprising mantle-derived material, the isotopic signature of which was inherited by successive generations of magmas which represent a mixture of juvenile basement and Avalonian crust (Nance et al, 2002)

#### 2.2 Geological setting of the Wentworth pluton

East of the Pleasant Hills and the Wyvern plutons lies the Wentworth plutonic complex (Fig 2-1) Pe-Piper (1998) introduced the term Wentworth Pluton to include the Folly Lake gabbro/diorite pluton in the southwestern part and the Hart Lake-Byers lake granite pluton of Donohoe and Wallace (1982), located in the northeastern part. This was done because it is not possible to map them as two distinct units. Rather there are extensive areas where the two rock units show mingling and cross-cutting relationships (Pe-Piper et al., 1997).

The southwestern Wentworth plutonic complex consists of gabbro-diorite cut by medium-grained granite in globular pods, irregular sheets, net-veined zones, and linear dykes, some of which are pegmatitic. The northeastern part of the pluton narrows eastwards and consists principally of equigranular alkali granite which (Fig 2-1), passing to a kilometer-wide zone of Fountain Lake rhyolites (Koukouvelas et al , 2002, Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002). The gabbroic and granitic parts of the Wentworth pluton are separated by a zone in which granite and gabbro occur in sub-equal abundances (Koukouvelas et al , 2002, Pe-Piper, 2007).

The presence of mafic enclaves in the granites, with mixing and mingling textures at the contact with the granite, indicates that there was a period when the two magmas were immiscible (Koukouvelas et al, 2002) Furthermore, in the transition zone it is the granite that most commonly intrudes the gabbro, indicating that this late granite postdates the gabbroic intrusion. It is argued, by the presence of hybrid rocks, that the later



Figure 2-1 Detailed (a) and simplified (b) geological map of the late Paleozoic

Wentworth Pluton of the Cobequid Highlands Inset in map (a) shows the location of

Avalon and Meguma terranes

gabbroic magma remelted and assimilated parts of the early granite, resulting in the formation of the late granites with slightly different composition (Koukouvelas et al, 2002)

Field relationships show that the gabbro of the Wentworth pluton is younger than the main Cobequid shear zone plutons This is sustained by a  $357\pm 2Ma$  Ar-Ar (hornblende) age and two Ar-Ar biotite ages of  $353\pm 2$  Ma and  $355\pm 2$  Ma for this mafic intrusion (Pe-Piper et al , 2004) On the other hand, the granite yielded a 360-365 Ma U-Pb (zircon) age (Doig et al , 1996) and Ar-Ar (sodic- amphibole) age of  $368\pm 4$  Ma (Pe-Piper et al , 2004) (Table 2-1) The original reported Ar-Ar ages of Pe-Piper et al (2004) have been corrected in this thesis for recent improvement in the intercalibration of U-Pb and Ar-Ar time scales (Kuiper et al , 2008) (Table 2-1)

#### 2.3 Petrography and geochemistry of the Wentworth pluton

#### 2.3.1. Gabbro

The Folly Lake gabbro of the Wentworth pluton is fine to coarse grained with subophitic texture and contains augite, plagioclase and amphibole as major minerals. The augite grains are anhedral to subhedral and commonly rimmed with actinolite (Pe-Piper, 1998). Actinolite alteration of the pyroxene is variable. The plagioclase crystals are euhedral to subhedral, appear zoned and moderately to strongly altered to clay minerals. The amphibole is either hornblende or actinolite. The hornblende, where present, occurs as anhedral to subhedral olive-green grains with biotite overgrowths and actinolite alteration around the edges. Greenish-blue actinolite fine-grained aggregates, are also common in some types of gabbros. These aggregates probably formed at the expense of hornblende, since hornblende relics can be seen in these aggregates.

consist of magnetite and pyrite while accessory minerals include apatite, titanite, biotite, zircon, quartz, chlorite and epidote (Pe-Piper, 1998)

Compositionally, the mafic rocks in the Wentworth plutonic complex possess composition which classifies them as ferro-gabbro (Koukouvelas et al , 2002) On an AFM diagram (after Irvine and Baragar, 1971), they plot in the Fe-rich and Mg-rich tholente field and the calc-alkaline field (Pe-Piper, 1998) The gabbros plot in the within plate basalt field of a  $T_1O_2$  vs  $Zr/P_2O_5$  diagram (Floyd and Winchester, 1975), similar to the olivine-normative continental tholentes of the West Moose River pluton (Pe-Piper, 1998, Pe-Piper et al, 1991)

#### 2.3.2 Granite

The Hart Lake-Byers Lake granite of the Wentworth pluton consists mainly of medium grained alkali feldspar granite which contains 50-60% K-feldspar, biotite, amphibole, and quartz never less than 25% Some granites appear to be plagioclase-rich and relatively equigranular, while others contain at least 20% amphibole and are mostly medium to coarse grained (Pe-Piper, 1998)

The granite of the main phase of the Hart Lake-Byers Lake granite contains sodic amphibole, contains about 76% SiO<sub>2</sub>, high F (> 500 ppm), and moderate Li (>20 ppm) (Koukouvelas et al, 2002) A few granites, interpreted as synchronous with the gabbro have particularly high Zr contents (>500 ppm) Many of syn- and post-gabbro granites are alkalic, with >75 ppm Y Some granites that occur as pods within the gabbro are geochemically distinct, with a wide range of SiO<sub>2</sub> contents, relatively low Y, Zr, Li and F and the amphibole, where present, is hornblende Similar low-Y, low-Li granites occur within the Hart Lake–Byers Lake granite and have rather higher TiO<sub>2</sub> contents than the alkalı Hart Lake-Byers Lake granites of the main phase, with the same  $S_1O_2$  content (Koukouvelas et al, 2002)

#### 2.4 Deformation associated with the emplacement of the Late Paleozoic plutons

The Late Paleozoic plutons of the Cobequid Highlands are bounded by major faults Structures within the plutons suggest that movement in the Cobequid Shear Zone played an important role in the pluton emplacement (Pe-Piper et al , 1998, Koukouvelas et al , 2002) The plutons adjacent to the Cobequid Shear Zone show vertical boundaries in map view, and in cross-section most plutons are generally southward dipping (Koukouvelas et al , 2006)

All Late Paleozoic plutons are asymmetric and developed within the north fault block of a component fault of the Cobequid Shear Zone Many of these plutons show evidence of ductile deformation, some of which is syn-magmatic (Pe-Piper et al , 1993) Within the plutons, steeply dipping foliation planes are found close to the plastic zone of the fault and moderately dipping foliation planes to the north (Koukouvelas et al , 2002) All plutons are defined by tectonic south-dipping contacts with the southern contact steeper that the northern (Koukouvelas et al , 1996) Structural data support intrusion by wedging, and many intrusive zones have been affected by sinistral strike-slip shear zones Internal lithological contacts are parallel to major faults

Syn-intrusion deformation, with foliated plutonic phases cut by less deformed phases, is largely restricted to two faults within the Cobequid Highlands, the Kirkhill fault in the west, and the Rockland Brook fault in the east (Koukouvelas et al, 2002) Ductile foliation in the fault zones is cut by brittle shears, principally along the Cobequid

Fault, which experienced major late Carboniferous dextral strike-slip motion (Murphy et al, 2011)

The Wentworth pluton is in direct contact with the Rockland Brook Fault at its southern margin Deformed granites and gabbros show evidence of deformation resulting from dextral shear (Miller et al., 1995) Rare sinistral kinematic indicators in the deformed portions of these plutons, reflect antithetic shearing, local folding, or complex ductile deformation within an overall dextral regime (Miller et al., 1995) Syn-magmatic deformation of the Wentworth pluton resulted in the development of small scale shear zones in the central and southern part of the pluton and most of which are dextral Synmagmatic foliation is related to the finite flattening by the magma emplacement whereas the lineation relates to the finite stretching during this event (Koukouvelas et al, 2002) In contrast with the regional scale shear zones, the small scale ones are neither cross cut nor related to brittle faults (Koukouvelas et al., 2002) Wrench deformation along the contact between the early granites and the gabbros controlled a horizontal gabbroic magma flow reflected in areas of almost horizontal lineations north of the Folly Lake gabbro (Koukouvelas et al, 2002) The syn-magmatic foliation close to the southern boundary of the pluton dips southward almost parallel to the solid-state foliation of the Rockland Brook Fault Almost all major contacts of different units in the pluton were active faults The plutonic complex was compartmentalized into smaller blocks with faults parallel to the Rockland Brook Fault during the final stages of emplacement (Koukouvelas et al., 2002)

Rock Unit	Geochronology control	Original age	Corrected age*	I Sample Position (UTM)		Reference
Wentworth gran	<u>ites</u>			Northing	Easting	
	U-Pb (Zrn)	365		n a	n a	Doig et al , 1996
	U-Pb (Zrn)	361		n a	n a	Doig et al, 1996
	U-Pb (Zrn)	360		n a	na	Doig et al, 1996
	U-Pb (Zrn)	364		n a	na	Doig et al, 1996
	U-Pb (Zrn)	362		5048870	449984	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
	Ar-Ar (amph)	365	368	n a	na	Pe-Piper et al, 2004
Wentworth gabb	<u>pros</u>					
	Ar-Ar (bt)	350	353	n a	n a	Pe-Piper et al, 2004
	Ar-Ar (hbl)	354	357	na	na	Pe-Piper et al, 2004
	Ar-Ar (bt)	352	355	n a	na	Pe-Piper et al, 2004
	U-Pb (Zrn)	360		5044814	457020	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
	U-Pb (Zrn)	362		5044305	457394	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
Late dykes						
Late gabbro dvke	U-Pb (Zrn)	345		5043380	453375	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
<u>Coeval volcanic</u>	<u>rocks</u>					
Upper Byers						
Brook rhyolites	U-Pb (Zrn)	358		5047821	474489	Dunning et al, 2002
Upper Diamond						
Brook Fm	U-Pb (Zrn)	354		5049804	470847	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
Mid-Diamond Brook Fm Lower	U-Pb (Zrn)	354		5050458	4 <b>7</b> 0586	Dunning et al , 2002
Diamond Brook	U-Pb (Zrn)	350		5050749	<b>47078</b> 0	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
Late dykes Late gabbro dvke Coeval volcanic Upper Byers Brook rhyolites Upper Diamond Brook Fm Mid-Diamond Brook Fm Lower Diamond Brook Fm.	Ar-Ar (hbl) Ar-Ar (bt) U-Pb (Zrn) U-Pb (Zrn) U-Pb (Zrn) <b>rocks</b> U-Pb (Zrn) U-Pb (Zrn) U-Pb (Zrn) U-Pb (Zrn)	<ul> <li>354</li> <li>352</li> <li>360</li> <li>362</li> <li>345</li> <li>345</li> <li>358</li> <li>354</li> <li>354</li> <li>354</li> <li>354</li> </ul>	357 355	n a n a 5044814 5044305 5043380 5047821 5049804 5050458 5050749	n a n a 457020 457394 453375 474489 470847 470586 470780	Pe-Piper et al , 2004 Pe-Piper et al , 2004 Pe-Piper and Piper, 199 Pe-Piper and Piper, 199 Pe-Piper and Piper, 199 Dunning et al , 2002 Pe-Piper and Piper, 199 Dunning et al , 2002

# Table 2-1 Ages of rock units within the Wentworth Pluton

Notes Corrected ages (\*) refer to all Ar-Ar ages as a result of new intercalibrations in Ar-Ar dating (Kuiper et al, 2008, Murphy et al, 2011)

#### **CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY**

The Cobequid Highlands have been studied and mapped for several years In this study, the majority of the samples from the Wentworth Pluton are located in the 1 50000 scale geological maps of Pe-Piper and Piper (2005) and their geochemical data are reported in Pe-Piper (1998) The archived samples are located in Saint Mary's University and include, thin sections, polished thin sections, hand specimens, rock slabs and powders Additional fieldwork was done for this thesis for certain areas of the Wentworth Pluton that were lacking sample representation

The Wentworth Pluton includes mafic, intermediate and felsic rocks Since the subject of this thesis is the petrological study of the granitoid rocks of the Wentworth pluton, only intermediate to felsic samples are included (SiO<sub>2</sub>> 64%) These rocks, using archived field notebooks, were further classified into types based on their relative age according to field relations. A few granite outcrops in the northern part of the pluton have been dated at ~368 Ma (Doig et al , 1996, Pe-Piper et al , 2004) Similar uniform outcrops of granite cut by gabbro are considered to be part of the early granitic phase Granites with lobate contacts with the gabbro and abundant mafic enclaves are taken as synchronous with the mafic intrusion. Bodies of granite that either cut the Wentworth gabbros or occur in it as globular pods or irregular sheets are considered to post-date the gabbroic intrusion. When field relations for a sample were sufficiently clear for its classification then the sample is characterized as a "definite" sample of one of the previous types. When the relative age of a sample was not clear from field relations in the same outcrop, but its age was assumed from the geology of nearby outcrops, then the sample is classified as a "probable" sample of a certain type

#### 3.1. Geochemical analyses

A total of 98 analytical samples were used for the purpose of this study The analytical samples were prepared for geochemical analyses in the Geology Department of Saint Mary's University The samples were first cut into slabs using a Contempo Lapidary 18-inch slab saw and they were trimmed using a Felker AR-40 trim saw to remove weathered surfaces The slabs were cut into chips of approximately 1 cm diameter, using a Wards hydraulic rock trimmer and then were washed with de-ionized water, using a 75-1970 Ultramet sonic cleaner to remove any loose contaminants The chips then were dried and pulverized, using a shatter box with an iron bowl at the Minerals Engineering Centre of Dalhousie University

All the major elements and certain trace elements (Ba, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Th, Pb, Ga, Zn, Cu, Ni, V, Cr and Co) of the analytical samples were determined by X-ray fluorescence analyses (XRF), whereas instrumental neutron activation analyses (INAA) were performed for the REEs and specific trace elements (Ba, Co, Cr, Cs, Hf, Sb, Sc, Ta, Th, and U) During this study 25 selected, previously analyzed, samples were sent for trace element analyses and 9 new samples were sent for complete whole-rock geochemical analyses The major and trace elements of the new analyses reported here were performed by Activation Laboratories according to their code 4Lithoresearch and Code 4B1 packages Code 4Lithoresearch combines lithium metaborate/tetraborate fusion ICP whole rock analysis for major elements (code 4B) with trace elements determination by ICP-MS (code 4B2) Code 4B1 includes total digestion ICP (TD-ICP)

for certain trace elements such as Ni, Cu, Sn, Ag, Cd and S for analysis with better precision than those of ICP-MS

Furthermore, 35 archived rock powders were sent for fluorine analyses These powders were fused with sodium carbonate and potassium nitrate flux in nickel crucibles The crucible and fused melt were leached with distilled water and filtered into 100 ml volumetric flasks The filtrate solution was buffered and the fluoride was measured by standard addition using a specific ion electrode

Most of the Sm-Nd data used in this thesis and the way they were analyzed are reported by Pe-Piper and Piper (1998), but eight additional Sm-Nd analyses were made of selected granites from the Wentworth Pluton on the basis of relative age, at Activation Laboratories For these samples, rock powders were dissolved in a mixture of HF, HNO<sub>3</sub> and HClO<sub>4</sub> Before decomposition, the sample was totally spiked with <sup>149</sup>Sm-<sup>146</sup>Nd mixed solution REE were separated using conventional cation-exchange techniques Sm and Nd were separated by extraction chromatography on HDEHP covered Teflon powder Accuracy of the measurements of Sm, Nd contents is  $\pm 0.5\%$  <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd ratios are relative to the value of 0.511860 for the La Jolla standard Analyses were performed on Triton-MC mass-spectrometer

#### **3.2. Optical microscopy**

Thin sections and polished thin sections are available for the majority of the studied samples. However new ones were cut in Saint Mary's University for all the samples that lacked either a thin or a polished thin section. To produce small pieces for the thin sections, slabs of these rocks were cut by an Ingram 137-U thin section cut-off saw and then by an Ingram 400-U thin section grinder to achieve the desirable thickness.

of 30  $\mu$ m. The thin sections were polished using a Logitech LP30 optical lapping and polishing machine

A total of 40 thin and 57 polished sections were first examined with a petrographic microscope using polarized and reflected light, in order to determine the mineralogy and the rock textures of the samples. The microscope is a Nicon Eclipse E400 POL microscope, with a PixelINK PL-A686C camera. Images were processed using PixelInk Capture OEM imaging software. Coordinates of the grains located on the petrographic microscope were recorded as to allow relocation of the grains on the scanning electron microscope (SEM) and the electron microprobe (EMP)

#### 3.3. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)

All polished thin sections were carbon-coated at the Regional Electron Microprobe Center at Dalhousie University The samples were analyzed by electron dispersion spectroscopy (EDS) using a LEO 1450 VP SME scanning electron microscope with a maximum resolution of 3 5 nm at 30 kV and a detection limit > 0 1% The SEM uses a conventional high vacuum with a cooling system of liquid nitrogen to  $-180^{\circ}$ C A tungsten filament supplies electrons to produce back-scattered electron images of the grains A copper standard was used for the calibration of the microscope

#### **3.4. Electron microprobe**

All WDS geochemical analyses of the studied minerals were done, using a JEOL-8200 electron microprobe with five wavelength spectrometers and a Noran 133 eV energy dispersion detector. The operating conditions were at 15kV of accelerating voltage with a 20nA beam current, a beam diameter of 1 micron and duration of analysis approximately 11 minutes. Analyzing REE and rare metals with the microprobe requires avoiding peak interference The peak overlaps observed between the determined elements were those of Er-Nb, Ti-Hf, Zr-P, Hf-Ho, Er-Hf, Hf-Er and F-Ce As a first step to deal with this problem, the apparent intensities of these elements were measured in standards that do not contain the elements whose peaks are being overlapped. Using these intensities, peak-overlap correction factors were then calculated as to estimate the real concentrations of measured elements WDS perform quantitative analyses whereas the EDS perform qualitative analyses and along with the fact that the standards used in the probe were more similar to the analyzed grains than the copper standard of the SEM, make the probe analyses more accurate than those from the SEM

All geochemical data were processed using MINPET software for Windows, whereas calculations for geochemical modeling were done in Microsoft Excel Geographic plots were created with ArcGIS software and further editing of maps and diagrams was done using Corel software package for Windows

# CHAPTER 4: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN REE-Y-Nb-Th MINERALS AND THE EVOLUTION OF AN A-TYPE GRANITE, WENTWORTH PLUTON, NOVA SCOTIA

#### 4.1 Abstract

The Wentworth Pluton in the Eastern Cobequid Highlands consists principally of metaluminous to peralkaline A-type granite (~362 Ma), a large part of which was remelted by a major gabbro intrusion (~357 Ma) Magmatic minerals like allanite-(Ce), chevkinite-(Ce), zircon, and hingganite-(Y) and post-magmatic mineral phases, such as REE-epidote, samarskite, aeschynite-(Y), fersmite, thorite, and hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce), were identified The presence of fluorine, kept the rare metals in solution and changed the behavior of the REE, increasing the solubility of monazite and xenotime and thus the rare earths and rare metals remained in the magmatic system for prolonged periods. The fractionation of allanite-(Ce) and chevkinite-(Ce) led to a magma enriched in HREE, from which hingganite-(Y) crystallized during late magmatic stages The remelting of the early granite led to fluorine and sulfur release in volatile phases, which circulated with hydrothermal fluids, thus mobilizing the REEs and rare metals Reduction of fluorine activity during the late to post-solidus crystallization resulted in the precipitation of HREEs and rare metals in samarskite, thereby enriching the residual hydrothermal fluids in LREEs Post-magmatic LREE-minerals such as hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) either replaced earlier minerals or precipitated from these hydrothermal fluids Carbonate fluids involved in a late regional hydrothermal circulation along the Cobequid-Chedabucto fault (320-315 Ma) resulted in titanium mobility and the formation of titania minerals and probably of aeschynite-(Y) This unusual mineral assemblage, in addition to the complex geological history of the pluton, provides a unique opportunity to correlate the formation

of these minerals to different stages of pluton evolution and thus provide an insight to the conditions under which each mineral was formed

#### 4.2 Introduction

A-type granites usually occur in extensional settings and have a distinct alkaline geochemical signature (Loiselle and Wones, 1979) Enrichment in rare earth elements (REE) and rare metals, such as Y, Nb, Ta, Th and U, is common in these rocks REE and rare metal-rich minerals like allanite, monazite, chevkinite, xenotime, cerite, synchysite and gadolinite are commonly found in A-type granites worldwide (Forster, 2000, Wood and Ricketts, 2000, Jiang, 2006, Vlach and Gualda, 2007, Saveleva and Karmanov, 2008)

Accessory minerals in granitic rocks are essential carriers of rare metals and rare earth elements Their internal zoning, compositional variations and alterations provide a valuable source of information concerning the host magmatic and post magmatic evolution including fractionation, rock-fluid interaction and metamorphic overprint (Uher et al , 2009) The accessory minerals in granitic rocks show more chemical and textural variability than the major minerals Their crystallization is sensitive to various environmental parameters and therefore they are a useful indicator of the magmatic history of the rock in which they are hosted (Wang et al , 2001)

The Wentworth pluton is known to have REE mineralization (MacHattie, 2009) The granites and their volcanic equivalents in the northeast are generally enriched in fluorine and uranium (Gower, 1988) Associated mineralization includes fluorite-zircontitanite-calcite-allanite veins, with highly anomalous REE concentrations, hosted by the granite in the north-eastern part of the pluton (Gower, 1988) The variety of REE and rare metal-minerals in the Wentworth pluton is unusual. The occurrences of Nb-REE-Y oxides from A-type granites worldwide and the understanding of their formation are still limited. The complex geological history of the Wentworth pluton provides a rare opportunity to correlate mineral assemblages to magmatic evolution. The purpose of this study is to identify and characterize the REE and rare metal minerals present and relate their textural features to the different relative ages of the host rocks. Comparison of whole-rock and mineral geochemistry is then used to infer the conditions under which each mineral is found.

#### 4.3 Geological setting

The Cobequid Highlands are located in the southern part of the Canadian Appalachians, just north of the boundary between the Avalon and Meguma terranes (Calder, 1998; Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002). This boundary is marked by the Cobequid-Chedabucto fault zone, along which strike-slip motion took place during the Late Paleozoic (Murphy et al., 2011). In the Late Devonian and Early Carboniferous, granite plutons with lesser gabbros intruded along a regional shear zone that encompassed the entire Cobequid Highlands (Pe-Piper, 2007). Coeval volcanism in the Cobequid Highlands is represented by felsic pyroclastic rocks (Byers Brook Formation) that are geochemically similar to the granites, and by basalt flows (Diamond Brook Formation) that are geochemically similar to gabbros in the Wentworth pluton (Dessureau et al., 2000).

The Wentworth pluton is located in the eastern part of the Cobequid Highlands (Fig.4-1). The northeastern part of the pluton consists of the Hart Lake-Byers Lake (HLBL) granite, which is separated from the Folly Lake gabbro in the southwest by a





Wentworth pluton area with the geographic distribution of the studied samples that contain REE and rare metal accessory minerals, (c) geochronological data from the studied formations combined with the stratigraphy of the associated volcanic rocks Ages from Doig et al (1996) and Pe-Piper et al (2004), recalibrated as in Murphy et al (2011).

С

zone in which younger granite and gabbro are predominant (Koukouvelas et al, 2002) The Wentworth pluton includes all the granite and the gabbro phases

Field relationships show that the Folly Lake gabbro is younger than the HLBL granite (Koukouvelas et al , 2002) The Folly Lake gabbro yielded a  $357\pm4$  Ma 40Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar (hornblende) age (Pe-Piper et al , 2004, Murphy et al, 2011) (Fig 4-1b), whereas the HLBL granite has yielded a  $362\pm2$  Ma U-Pb (zircon) age (Doig et al , 1996) (Fig 4-1b)

The age of the equivalent volcanic rocks is constrained by U-Pb zircon ages of 358±1 Ma from the top of the Byers Brook Formation and 355±3 Ma from the middle of the Diamond Brook Formation (Fig 4-1b) Palynomorphs show that minor interbedded sediment in the Byers Brook Formation is of late Famennian age and in the Diamond Brook Formation is of mid Tournaisian age (Dunning et al 2002) (Fig 4-1b)

Part of the granite near the Folly Lake gabbro of the Wentworth pluton was evidently remelted, resulting in bodies of "late" granite with slightly different compositions from the HLBL granite. Some of these bodies have textures indicating magma mixing with gabbro (Pe-Piper, 2007). The Folly Lake gabbro consists of gabbro– diorite cut by this "late" medium-grained granite in globular pods, irregular sheets, netveined complexes, and linear dykes, some of which are pegmatitic. Mafic enclaves are common in the granites and their presence indicates mixing with gabbro (Koukouvelas et al., 2002).

The southern margin of the Wentworth pluton is in direct contact with the Rockland Brook Fault (Fig 4-1), which was a major dextral shear zone at the time of pluton emplacement (Miller et al, 1995, Koukouvelas et al, 2002) Syn-magmatic deformation of the Wentworth pluton is widespread, and almost all major contacts of different units in the pluton were active faults (Koukouvelas et al, 2002) The plutonic complex was compartmentalized into smaller blocks with faults parallel to the Rockland Brook Fault during the final stages of emplacement Later, between 315 and 320 Ma, there was a major phase of brittle faulting and mineralization associated with E-W faulting on the Chedabucto fault (Fig 4-1a) and its continuation along the Cobequid Fault (Murphy et al, 2011)

The Wentworth granites are fine to coarse grained monzogranites and syenogranites (Pe-Piper, 2007) Individual samples may be amphibole, amphibole-biotite or biotite bearing Amphiboles are sodic, sodic-calcic or calcic, any of which can coexist with biotite Most granites are equigranular, however some samples are porphyritic or granophyric (interpreted as high level granites) Most granite samples of the Wentworth pluton show brittle deformation and alteration is represented by secondary minerals like white mica, epidote, quartz, albite, chlorite, actinolite and riebeckite Hydrothermal quartz and epidote are also present in veinlets

The A-type granite of the Wentworth pluton is the most alkaline of a series of Late Paleozoic metaluminous to mildly peralkaline (Fig 4-2) plutons along the Cobequid shear zone (Pe-Piper, 2007) The aluminum saturation index ((A/NK) = molar  $Al_2O_3/(Na_2O+K_2O)$ ), Maniar and Picolli, 1989) of the Wentworth Pluton granites ranges between 0 8 to 1 16, close to the boundary between metaluminous and peralkaline compositions (Table 4-1, Fig 4-2) The Fe-index (FeOt/FeOt+MgO, Frost et al , 2001) of these rocks is between 0 75 and 0 99, which classifies them as ferroan (Table 4-1, Fig 4-3), typical for A-type granites



Figure 4-2: Alkalinity diagram ANK vs. ACNK of the analyzed samples from the Wentworth pluton (fields after Maniar and Piccoli, 1989).



Figure 4-3 Fe-index (FeOt/(FeOt+MgO) vs S1O<sub>2</sub> (Frost et al , 2001)

The HLBL granite, the early phase of the Wentworth Pluton, has a composition of about 76% SiO<sub>2</sub>, high F (>500 ppm), and moderate Li (>20 ppm) (Koukouvelas et al , 2002) This early granite shows subtle differences in bulk geochemistry from the syn- and post-gabbro granites for the same SiO<sub>2</sub> content, F tends to be higher in the early granite (Koukouvelas et al 2002, Pe-Piper 2007) Some granites that occur as pods within the gabbro are geochemically distinct, with a wide range of SiO<sub>2</sub> contents, relatively low F and the amphibole, where present, is homblende. The particularly low F may indicate that melting of the early granite by the gabbro, resulted in the release of F in volatile phases (Koukouvelas et al , 2002).

#### 4.4 Analytical methods and samples

Samples were located on the 1 50000 maps of Pe-Piper and Piper (2005) The studied samples are classified into types according their location and field relations with the gabbro (Table 4-1) Uniform outcrops of granite cut by gabbro are considered to be of the early granitic phase (HLBL granite) Granites with lobate contacts with the gabbro and hybrid enclaves are taken as synchronous with the mafic intrusion Bodies of granite that either cut the Folly Lake gabbro or occur in it as globular pods or irregular sheets are considered to be of the late granitic phase When field relations were clear, sample classification is characterized as "definite" When the relative age of a sample was not clearly defined from field relations in the same outcrop, but was assumed from the geology of nearby outcrops, then the sample is classified as "probable"

A total of 40 thin and 57 polished sections were first examined with a petrographic microscope using polarized and reflected light, in order to determine the mineralogy and the rock textures of the samples Minerals that were not identified using

the petrographic microscope were analyzed in carbon-coated slides by electron dispersion spectroscopy (EDS) using a LEO 1450 VP SME scanning electron microscope with a maximum resolution of 3.5 nm at 30 kV and a detection limit > 0.1% The EDS analyses from the SEM revealed groups of minerals that were further analyzed using a JEOL-8200 electron microprobe with five wavelength spectrometers and a Noran 133 eV energy dispersion detector. The operating conditions were at 15kV of accelerating voltage with a 20nA beam current and a beam diameter of 1 micron. The standards used and detection limits are listed in Table 4-2. Analyzing REE and rare metals with the microprobe requires avoiding peak interference. The overlaps we had to deal with were those of Er-Nb, Ti-Hf, Zr-P, Hf-Ho, Er-Hf, Hf-Er and F-Ce. As a first step to deal with this problem the apparent intensities of these elements were measured in standards that do not contain the elements whose peaks are being overlapped (Table 4-2). Using these intensities, peak-overlap correction factors were calculated as to estimate the real concentrations of measured elements (Table 4-2). From the 57 polished sections that were examined, only 12 samples contained REE-rare metal-rich minerals

#### **4.5 Silicate minerals**

#### 4.5.1. Allanite-(Ce) and REE-epidote

Allanite-(Ce) is found principally in the HLBL granite but also in some late granites (Table 4-1) In thin section, allanite-(Ce) appears dark yellow to brown and occurs a) as large euhedral, isolated crystals (Fig 4- 4A and B), and b) as inclusions in amphibole crystals (Fig 4-5) Most of the allanite-(Ce) grains are inhomogeneous and some of them present optical (progressive) zoning, whereas others show more irregular optical and compositional variation Microprobe analyses (Table 4-3) of euhedral,



Figure 4-4: Microphotographs (A and C) and BSE images (B and D) of allanite (aln) and REE-rich epidote (REE-ep). Images A and B show a euhedral magmatic allanite replaced by hydroxylbastnäsite-(Ce) (H-bas)from sample 7658 (granite intruding the Byers Brook Formation). REE-rich epidote in images C and D (sample 6419,probable HLBL granite) engulfs a grain of hingganite (Hing). Hingannite optically looks like zircon but in the BSE image is much brighter.
independent grains show a total of about 20 wt% LREE, 10 wt% FeOt, 10 wt%  $Al_2O_3$ and 8 wt% CaO REE patterns for allanite-(Ce) show enrichment in LREE relative to HREEs, with a positive Eu anomaly and a negative Sm anomaly (Fig 4- 6A) and some variability in the relative amounts of different LREEs

Anhedral overgrowths and interstitial grains that look optically similar to allanite-(Ce) were also analyzed These show lower amounts of LREE (15 wt%) and higher amounts of CaO (15 wt%), FeOt (18 wt%) and  $Al_2O_3$  (15-20 wt%) (Table 4-3, Fig 4-6a) compared with analyses of euhedral allanite-(Ce) They classify as REE-rich epidotes based on the nomenclature of Armbruster et al (2006) (Table 4-3)

# 4.5.2. Chevkinite-(Ce)

Chevkinite-(Ce) occurs in four granite samples that appear to be synchronous with or post-date the gabbroic intrusion (Table 4-1) In thin section, most chevkinite-(Ce) forms dark red, close to opaque, euhedral crystals associated with zircon, Fe-Ti oxides, feldspar and quartz (Figs 4-7 and 4- 8) A magmatic ferro-edenite grain forms an interlocking texture with chevkinite-(Ce) (Fig 4-7E and F) and some similar grains contain inclusions of chevkinite-(Ce) (Fig 4-8C and D)

Chevkinite-(Ce) is enriched in LREE, particularly Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (20 wt%), and contains moderate amounts of ThO<sub>2</sub> (up to 5 wt %) and Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (up to 4 6 wt%) (Table 4-4) The main characteristics of REE patterns for chevkinite-(Ce) are similar to those described for allanite but the REE concentrations are higher LREE are enriched relative to HREEs with a positive Eu anomaly and a negative Sm anomaly (Fig 4- 6B) Chevkinite-(Ce) patterns vary less than those of allanite-(Ce), only La and Er seem to present small variations (Fig 4-6B) In places chevkinite-(Ce) crystals are surrounded by a yellowish,



Figure 4-5: Microphotograph (A) and BSE image (B) of a magmatic allanite which appears as an inclusion in an amphibole crystal (Amp) surrounded by quartz (Qz) and feldspar (Fsp) (sample 7658, granite intruding the Byers Brook Formation).







Figure 4-7: Microphotographs (A, C and E) and BSE (Backscattered electron) image (B, D and F) of chevkinite crystals (Che). Images A to D are from sample 6518 (probable post-gabbro granite), whereas images E and F are from sample 7710 (late fine grained granitic dyke).



Figure 4-8: Microphotographs (A and C) and BSE images (B and D) of chevkinite (sample 7710, late fine-grained granitic dyke). Images A and B show chevkinite occurring as an independent grain whereas images C and D present chevkinite as an inclusion in amphibole.

continuous phase (Fig 4-8) and the core appears corroded (Fig 4-8E and F) Compared to the core, the surrounding phase has less  $Ce_2O_3$  (18 2 wt%) and more Ti, Al and Fe Chemical analyses (Table 4-4, analysis 122) indicate that this yellow mineral phase is also chevkinite-(Ce) but is depleted in LREE and enriched in Ti and Fe

# 4.5.3. Altered (?)Chevkinite-(Ce)

A crystal of an Fe-rich mineral was found in a syn-gabbro granite (sample 6490) The crystal is strongly fractured and appears inhomogeneous Fractures within this grain do not continue or cross cut adjacent grains suggesting that they are not of tectonic origin but they indicate a change of volume during mineral replacement X-ray compositional mapping (Fig 4-9) shows that although this mineral is a REE mineral with optical properties of chevkinite, there are significant compositional differences between it and chevkinite The Fe concentration is three times higher (30 wt %) than that of chevkinite and the REE amounts are lower Cerium shows a random distribution of high concentration spots throughout the grain (Fig 4-9d) that could be remnants of what was once a Ce-rich phase that is now completely altered Areas of high Ce correspond to areas of low Fe (Fig 4-9b) Probe analyses show large chemical variations even over distances of a few microns, with very low totals, making the identification of individual phases impossible Titanium is higher in the core, which has the widest fractures (Fig 4-9a) and corresponds to areas of low Ca (Fig 4-9e) This grain is also tentatively identified as altered chevkinite-(Ce) on the basis of optical properties and the absence of other large euhedral Ce-rich accessory minerals



Figure 4-9: X-ray compositional maps (A-E) and BSE image (F) of an altered crystal of an unidentified mineral (sample 6490, syn-gabbro granite, definite). The crystal shows clear zoning for Ti and Ca ( images A and E respectively). whereas Fe shows more patchy concentration rather than zoning.

## 4.5.4. Zircon

Zircon is a common accessory mineral in the studied granites Most zircon crystals are euhedral. Some are optically and compositionally zoned, with Hf showing large variation (Table 4-5). Zircons in HLBL granite sample (9832) have dusty appearance, appear fractured and contain inclusions rich in Th (Fig. 4-10). Other zircons (sample 6518) are bounded by fractures and have an optically clear core surrounded by a dusty, discontinuous, fractured, porous zircon depleted in Zr and enriched in Th (Fig. 4-11). This overgrowth is relatively rich in Y (up to 3.6 wt%), Hf (up to 2.6 wt%) and Th (Table 4-5). All analyzed zircons contain small amounts of REE, particularly the medium and heavy REEs

## 4.5.5. Hingganite-(Y)

Hingganite-(Y) is found in only one sample of post-gabbro granite (6419, Table 4-1) In thin section it has similar optical properties to zircon but in the BSE images appears brighter Both analyzed grains of hingganite-(Y) are adjacent to a much larger grain of secondary allanite, which occurs as a clot adjacent to another undetermined alteration mineral (Fig 4-4C and D) The hingganite-(Y) is enriched in middle REE and particularly Gd and Dy, relative to the remaining REEs (Fig 4-6C) REE patterns show a negative Eu anomaly and a slight depletion of LREEs relative to the HREEs (Fig 4-6C) It contains Y up to 31 wt% (Table 4-6) Hingganite-(Y) grains are zoned showing a rimward slight depletion of Y and enrichment in Ce (Table 4-6, analyses 145 and 146) Low totals ( $\leq 87\%$ ) are attributed to undetermined Be



Figure 4-10: Microphotos (A) and BSE images (B) of magmatic zircon (Zrn) from the HLBL granite (9832) containing Th-rich inclusions (bright areas in BSE image). Numbers indicate analyses (Table 4-7).



Figure 4-11: Microphotographs (A and B) and BSE image (C) of two zircon inclusions in feldspar (sample 6518 probable post-gabbro granite). (Rc Fsp= recrystallized contact between the two feldspar crystals, Fr= fractures). Numbers indicate analyses (Table 4-5).

## 4.5.6. Thorite

Thorite was found in one sample of syn-gabbro granite (6490) as a small independent grain (10  $\mu$ m) showing interlocking texture with zircon (Fig 4-12F), but occurs more commonly as inclusions in the zircon (Fig 4-10) It is enriched in FeOt (9.4 wt%), Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (7.7 wt%) and shows moderate enrichment in Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (2.1 wt%) Small amounts of REE are present (<1 wt%) (Table 4-7)

### 4.6. Oxide minerals

## 4.6.1. Samarskite-(Y)

Samarskite-(Y) is a radioactive, Y-rich, Nb-oxide, found in four of the studied samples of various ages (Table 4-1) Samarskite-(Y) occurs both as isolated euhedral rhombic crystals (sample 9827A) (Fig 4-12A and B) and as patches in crystals together with another Nb-oxide, fersmite (Fig 4-12C and D) In thin section, it occurs as euhedral crystals that are yellow to pale green (Fig 4-12A) One samarskite-(Y) crystal (sample 9827A) contains an inclusion of euhedral zircon (Fig 4-12A and B) The mineral is classified as samarskite-(Y) based on the strong dominance of Y over REEs (Table 4-8) It contains up to 25 wt% Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and up to 53 wt% Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and almost equal amounts of Gd and Dy (3-5 wt% as oxides) The HREEs are represented mostly by Yb (Table 4-8) LREE are also present, Nd being the dominant element (up to 4 wt% Nd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (Table 4-8) The REE patterns of samarskite show a negative Eu anomaly and HREE enrichment relative to the LREEs (Fig 4-6D)

## 4.6.2. Fersmite

Fersmite grains were found in four samples, principally from the HLBL granite (Table 4-1) Fersmite occurs as small ( $<10 \mu m$ ) isolated grains (sample 4636) (Fig 4-



Figure 4-12: Microphotographs (A and C) and BSE images (B, D, E and F) of samarskite (Sam) and fersmite (Fers) grains. Images A and B are samarskites from sample 9827A (late, fine-grained granitic dyke). Images C and D are samarskite and fersmite from sample 9831 (probable HLBL granite). Image E is fersmite from sample 4636 (HLBL granite) and F is a BSE image of samarkite grains together with thorite (Trt) from sample 6490 (syn-gabbro granite). Numbers indicate analyses (Table 4-8).

12E), inclusions in fluorite which grew between fractured feldspar crystals (sample 7658) (Fig 4-13), and patches associated with samarskite (samples 9831 and 9832) (Fig 4-12C and D) Grains with fersmite and samarskite patches are dark brown and dusty Microprobe analyses (Table 4-8) show that samarskite and fersmite contain the same amounts of niobium, but fersmite, is depleted in Y(5 5 wt% Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), and enriched in U and Th (6 3 and 13 wt% as oxides, respectively) Furthermore, fersmite is enriched in LREE and particularly Ce (close to 4 wt% Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (Table 4-8) whereas samarskite has high concentrations of middle and heavy REEs (Fig 4-6E) LREE enrichment is also indicated by REE patterns of this mineral (Fig 4-6E), with most analyses showing a positive Ce anomaly

## 4.6.3. Aeschynite/Polycrase-(Y)

Another Y-rich mineral was identified in one sample of the HLBL granite (sample 9832) In thin section, it is dark brown and appears dusty (Fig 4-14) It occurs associated with clots of titanite grains, a titania mineral, and secondary REE-rich epidote, or associated with fractures In places aeschynite-(Y) appears engulfing titanite grains (Fig 14) Microprobe analyses (Table 4-9) show that TiO<sub>2</sub>, Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (42 wt%, 23 wt% and 12 wt% respectively) are the major components. It is a moderately enriched in middle REE, particularly Gd and Dy (3 8 wt% and 4 8 wt% as oxides respectively) and contains smaller amounts of LREE and HREE (Table 4-9, Fig 4-6F). The chemistry of this mineral resembles aeschynite-(Y) or its chemical equivalent polycrase-(Y). Th is more abundant than U (Fig 4-15), which is a characteristic of the aeschynite group minerals (Ewing, 1975). Furthermore the analyzed grains are enriched in HREEs relative to the LREEs (Table 4-9), which is another characteristic for the aeschynite group (Škoda



Figure 4-13: Microphotograph (A) and BSE image (B) of a Nb-oxide (Nb-min) (an: 128,129) occurring as an inclusion in fluorite (Fl) (sample 7658, granite intruding the Byers Brook Formation).



Figure 4-14: Microphoto (A) and BSE image (B) of aeschynite-(Y) (Aes-Y) in a clot of titanite (Tnt) crystals associated with titania mineral (Tna) (analysis 237, Table 4-9) (sample 9832, probable HLBL granite).



 $UO_{2}$  (wt. %) Figure 4-15:Canonical discrimination diagram for aeschynite and euxenite group minerals (fields after Ewing (1976). Analyses of aeschynite-(Y) from the Wentworth Pluton plot close to the boundary between these two fields.

and Novák, 2007) However due to the metamict nature of these minerals and their minor chemical differences, microprobe analyses alone cannot precisely determine the identity of this mineral. As the main elemental characteristics resemble those described for aeschynite-(Y) (i e, HREE enrichment and Th>U), in the present study we will refer to it as aeschynite-(Y).

### 4.6.4. Titania mineral

A single grain of titanium oxide was found in one sample from the HLBL granite (9832) It is dark brown, almost opaque, bounded by fractures and is associated with a clot of titanite grains hosting aeschynite-(Y) (Fig 4-14) It contains 2.8 wt% Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (Table 4-9), traces of Fe, Ca and Ta, but there is an almost complete absence of REE (0.2 wt%) (Table 4-9) Due to the lack of XRD data, we cannot determine which polymorph of TiO<sub>2</sub> (rutile, anatase or brookite) has been formed in our sample, and therefore we will refer to it as a titania mineral

### 4.7. Sulphide minerals

## 4.7.1. Pyrite.

Pyrite was found in one sample probably from the early HLBL granite (9820, not listed in Table 4-1) It occurs either as small inclusions in euhedral hematite, or as anhedral grains rimmed by hematite (Fig 4-16) The pyrite contains up to 49 wt% Fe and 52 wt% S with small amounts of Co (up to 1 5 wt%), traces of Se and Au are also present In addition, pyrite is abundant in the Folly Lake gabbro



Figure 4-16: Microphotos (A and C) and BSE images (B and D) of pyrite (Py) found in

the Wentworth granites surrounded by hematite (Hem).

#### 4.8 Carbonate minerals

## 4.8.1. Hydroxylbastnäsite-(Ce)

Hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) is a F-bearing carbonate mineral which was found in one sample of granite intruding the Byers Brook Formation (sample 7658) Hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) is formed around the rims of euhedral crystal of allanite-(Ce) (Fig 4-17D) In thin section, hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) has a yellowish color and appears dusty (Fig 4-4A and B) In BSE images hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) is brighter than the surrounded allanite, since it has close to 60 wt% LREEs Microprobe analyses (Table 4-10) have low totals due to the presence of hydroxyl and carbon that were not determined by the microprobe The analyzed hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) contains up to 32 wt% Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 15 wt% La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 11 wt% Nd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and smaller amounts of the remaining REEs (Table 4-10) REE patterns show LREE enrichment relative to the HREEs, with positive Eu and Ho anomalies and negative Sm and Dy anomalies (Fig 4- 6G)

# 4.9. Discussion

Peralkaline granitic rocks are commonly associated with economical to subeconomical deposits of rare earth elements and trace metals with high field strength (Schmitt et al , 2002) The high oxidation number and their large ionic radii make the REEs incompatible with common minerals in igneous rocks and as a result they remain in solution until the last stages of magmatic evolution, concentrated in residual melts that form pegmatites and alkali granites. The difference in ionic radii between the LREE and the HREE makes the former even more incompatible than the latter, explaining the enrichment in LREE in highly fractionated granitic rocks. High field strength elements, due to their high charge, ionic radii and low initial concentration, do not participate easily.



Figure 4-17: X-ray compositional maps (A-E) and BSE image (F) of magmatic allanite with hydroxylbastnäesite-(Ce) rim and replacement zones (white in Fig. 4-15F) (sample 7658, granite intruding the Byers Brook Formation). The crystal appears inhomogeneous and shows clear zoning for Ce and Ca (images D and E respectively). The highest relative abundance of Ce is along the rim of the crystal where hydroxylbastnäsite-(Ce) has been formed.

in substitutions in common minerals Elements like Zr, Ti and REE show a more compatible behavior in common granitic melts, but in alkaline melts they tend to concentrate in late magmatic stages due to the presence of fluorine (Keppler, 1993) Fractionation can segregate and concentrate them in residual melts where they form their own minerals such as zircon, monazite, allanite and titanite, if the concentrations are high enough

# 4.9.1. Stability conditions and origin of the minerals present

Euhedral **allanite** crystallizes between 760-770 °C under oxygen fugacities close to NNO and at 7 kbar pressure (Vasquez and Reid, 2004) and the composition of the analyzed grains (Table 4-3) is characteristic of magmatic crystallization (Meintzer and Mitchell, 1988) Secondary overgrowths and anhedral crystals (Fig 4c and d) with less LREE are REE-rich epidotes During post-magmatic alteration mobility of LREE can result to the formation of REE-rich epidote after allanite's breakdown (Rolland et al , 2003) Therefore given these geochemical and textural data, we consider the REE-rich epidotes of post-magmatic origin

The REE titanosilicate **chevkinite-(Ce)** is the dimorph of periierite-(Ce) The composition of the grains reported here plot in the chevkinite field of the discrimination diagram (Fig 4-18) proposed by MacDonald et al (2002) Chevkinite-(Ce) is always magmatic (Vlach and Gualda, 2007) It is stable in water rich melts at high temperatures (600-1000 °C at 1-4 kbar), under oxygen fugacities lower than FQM to higher than NNO (MacDonald et al , 2002) The magmatic origin of chevkinite-(Ce) in the Wentworth granite can be demonstrated by its presence as inclusions in ferro-edenite (Fig 4-8C and D), which itself has been interpreted as a magmatic amphibole by Pe-Piper (2007), and



Figure 4-18: Plot of chevkinite grains from the Wentworth granites on a FeO vs CaO discrimination diagram (after Macdonald and Belkin, 2002).

by the interlocking texture between these two minerals (Fig 4-7E and F) Scallet and Macdonald (2001) reported that the stability field of chevkinite-(Ce) increases at high temperatures and oxidizing conditions. In peralkaline granites, it probably crystallizes under conditions close to water saturation (Vlach and Gualda, 2007). Chevkinite-(Ce) crystallizes at higher temperatures than allanite-(Ce) and was not found in any of the early HLBL granites (Table 4-1), indicating that the thermal effect of the later gabbro intrusion was crucial for the crystallization of this mineral in the anatectic later granites.

Jiang et al (2006) suggested that chevkinite-(Ce) can be replaced by allanite-(Ce) and ilmenite during hydrothermal alteration. The Fe-Ti- rich (Table 4-4), yellow alteration halo observed around many of the chevkinite-(Ce) grains (Fig. 4-7 and 4-8) in the Wentworth granite samples is interpreted as an early stage of chevkinite-(Ce) breakdown. The altered chevkinite-(Ce) also in sample 6490 (Fig. 4-9) provides evidence of enrichment in Ti and depletion in Ca during hydrothermal alteration. This Ti enrichment is associated with the widest fractures ( $10\mu$ m), interpreted as a result of volume loss during alteration. Loss of Ce presumably occurred earlier, as it affected the entire grain and not just the Ti-rich core (Fig. 4-9).

Small euhedral crystals of **hingganite-(Y)** occur adjacent to a larger anhedral crystal of secondary REE-rich epidote (Fig 4-4C and D) This mode of occurrence suggests that the hingganite-(Y) predates the epidote and therefore is late magmatic Yttrium serves as a proxy for the middle and heavy REEs, since they have similar chemical properties based on their almost identical ionic radii (Krauskopf and Dennis, 2003) Magmatic enrichment in such elements could be expected after the fractionation of allanite-(Ce) and chevkinite-(Ce) which serve as LREE sinks

**Samarskite-(Y)** occurs as euhedral small crystals (Fig 4-12A and B), one of them containing an inclusion of euhedral zircon, and is therefore interpreted as late magmatic. It has similar chemical composition as fergusonite Ercit (2005) introduced discrimination diagrams for Nb-Y-REE oxides based on statistical models from chemical alteration trends Canonical variables that can be used in the discrimination diagrams are calculated for chemical analyses. None of the analyses from the Wentworth Pluton plot within the fergusonite field (Fig 4-19). Therefore, the Nb-Y-REE-mineral in the Wentworth Pluton granitic samples is considered samarskite-(Y), not fergusonite.

**Fersmite** differs from samarshite-(Y) in the absence of Y and the predominance of LREE (Table 4-8) Each mineral is found as independent grains, but where both minerals coexist, the Y-HREE-rich samarshite-(Y) has altered partially to the LREE-rich fersmite. This is indicated by specific textural evidence such as a) most samarshite-(Y) patches are enclosed by fersmite (Fig. 4-12C and D), and b) fersmite appears in more corroded and fractured parts of the grain where hydrothermal alteration could have been more intense (Fig. 4-12C and D)

The close association of three titanium minerals in one of the studied samples from the Wentworth granites provides a unique opportunity for the study of Ti behavior These minerals are **aeschynite-(Y)**, **polycrystalline titanite and a titania mineral** (**TiO**<sub>2</sub>) and are located together as a composite grain (Fig 4-14). This mode of occurrence suggests that titanium was mobilized at least locally, so as to form different mineral phases Titanium can be mobilized and transferred by complexing with carbonate ions in hydrothermal systems (Parnell, 2004) Furthermore the chemical stability of Timinerals can be affected by high temperatures and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> rich fluids (Rabbia et al , 2009



Figure 4-19: Plot of canonical variables CV1 % vs. CV2 % for Nb oxides from the Wentworth granite, in a three-group model introduced by Ercit (2005). CV1=0.106 Ca - 0.077 Fe + 0.220 Y + 0.280 LREE + 0.137 HREE + 0.100 U + 0.304 Ti + 0.097 Nb + 0.109 Ta- 12.81. CV2=-0.113 Ca - 0.371 Fe - 0.395 Y - 0.280 LREE - 0.265 HREE-0.182 U- 0.085 Ti- 0.166 Nb- 0.146Ta + 17.29

and references therein) From textural evidence alone, it is difficult to determine the paragenetic sequence between titanite and the titania mineral (Fig 4-14), however considering that they are hosted in alkaline, Ca-poor igneous rocks some assumptions can be made. Clark and Williams-Jones (2004) state that rutile can replace titanite only in rocks with Ca-rich bulk compositions and high carbon values, which cannot apply in the Wentworth A-type granites due to their low Ca. On the other hand, Tilley and Eggleton (2005) describe the complete breakdown of titanite to anatase due to hydrothermal alteration. Leaching of titanite from mineralizing fluids can result in the formation of titania minerals as described in Abraham and Spooner (1995) in shear zone- related igneous rocks. Therefore we interpret that the titania mineral in this sample is a product of titanite alteration.

The relationship between titanite and aeschynite-(Y) is more complex. The formation of this Y-TI-Nb oxide is still poorly understood and there is only one report of coexistence of titanite and Y-REE-Ti oxides, specifically yttrobetafite (Liferovich and Mitchell, 2005). In the Wentworth samples, aeschynite-(Y) occurs only together with and in places engulfing polycrystalline titanite (Fig. 4-14). This might imply that aeschynite-(Y) post-dates titanite. Breakdown of titanite to a titania mineral would release Ca and Si Addition of REEs and Y from hydrothermal fluids to the residual Ti could form an Y-REE-Ti oxide such as aeschynite-(Y), which has a few % more TiO<sub>2</sub> than the surrounding titanite

Textural evidence from the grain of altered (?)chevkinite (Fig 4-9) suggests REE mobility prior to that of Ti as discussed earlier. This may also apply to the relationship between the titania mineral and aeschynite-(Y). It is thus suggested that the formation of

aeschynite-(Y), which involves REE mobility, was after titanite and prior to titania mineral, requiring greater Ti-mobility

The alteration of allanite-(Ce) to the F-bearing carbonate **hydroxylbastnäsite**-(Ce) (Fig 4- 4A and B) and the presence of fluorite (Fig 4-13) suggest the presence of Frich fluids The hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) found around the rims of primary allanite-(Ce) (Fig 4-4) contains about 60 wt% REE in total (Table 4-10), which is almost twice the concentration of REE in the original allanite Furthermore the presence of secondary REE-rich epidote (Table 4-3) indicates removal of LREE during alteration (Rolland et al . 2003) Such LREE mobility can be influenced by HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> in fluids (Rolland et al , 2003, Parnell, 2004) Even though the presence of this carbonate mineral indicates a contribution of CO<sub>2</sub> in the hydrothermal fluids, the importance of CO<sub>2</sub> to REE mobility in the Wentworth pluton is questionable. The analyzed grain has low La/Nd ratios (1 3 to 1 4, Table 4-10) and such ratios are related to fluids with amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> below detection limits (Rolland et al , 2003). It is therefore more likely that SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>-rich fluids were responsible for LREE transportation in the hydrothermal system at that stage

The oxidation of **pyrite** to hematite (Fig 4-16) could account for the release of  $SO_4$  in the hydrothermal fluids. The presence of hematitic rims around pyrite indicates the breakdown of the latter in temperatures above 450 °C, resulting in the release of sulfur as a volatile phase (Bhargava et al , 2009). The presence of pyrite in the Folly Lake Gabbro and also in the Byers Brook Formation, the volcanic equivalents of the Wentworth granites (Piper et al , 1999) indicates that there was sulfur present in the parent magma during emplacement of the early granites that could mobilize the LREEs when released in the hydrothermal system.

Zirconium is generally considered an immobile element and is highly stable in nonmagmatic environments, however it can be mobile under low temperature hydrothermal conditions and high pressure metamorphism (Tomaschek et al , 2003, Rubatto et al , 2008) High F concentrations can also promote Zr mobility (Rubin et al , 1989) An indication of Zr mobility in the Wentworth granites is the distinct optical and compositional zoning of the zircons in sample 6518 (Fig 4-11) The rims of these zircons have less Zr than the core (Table 4-5) and appear porous and fractured These overgrowths may record Zr mobility in the presence of F-rich fluid Furthermore the enrichment in Th in the fractured rims of these zircons (Fig 4-11) is interpreted as a result of hydrothermal alteration and, together with the inclusions of thorite, observed in fractured magmatic zircons with dusty appearance (Fig 4-10), imply the presence of Th in hydrothermal fluids

# 4.9.2. Relationship of minerals to host-rock chemistry

Chevkinite-(Ce) is widely interpreted to occur in rock associations produced from evolved peralkaline magmas (Troll et al , 2003, Vlach and Gualda, 2007) In contrast, allanite-(Ce) is the typical REE-rich mineral in many metaluminous and peraluminous granites (Vlach and Gualda, 2007) The aluminum saturation index ((A/NK) = molar Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/(Na<sub>2</sub>O + K<sub>2</sub>O)) Maniar and Piccoli, 1989) of all samples from the Wentworth pluton that contain chevkinite-(Ce) ranges between 1 00 and 1 10, very similar to that of the allanite-(Ce) bearing samples that ranges between 1 00 and 1 16 (Table 4-1) All these samples that contain either chevkinite-(Ce) or allanite-(Ce), plot in the lower part of the metaluminous field, close to peralkaline field of Maniar and Piccoli (1989), with no significant variation. This indicates that it was temperature and not the alkalinity of the parent magma that determined which of these two minerals was formed Magmatic allanite-(Ce) and chevkinite-(Ce) are not known to coexist (Vlach and Gualda, 2007), and this general rule applies to the Wentworth granite

# 4.9.3. Fluorine abundance

Fluorine abundance appears to be an important factor in the formation of REE and rare metal minerals Uher et al (2009) related the presence of REE-Nb-rich minerals like hingganite, and samarskite to the presence of late-stage, F-rich fluids The separation between HREEs and LREEs depends on their affinity to form complexes with F (Aurisicchio et al , 2001) Fluorine complexes with HREE are more stable compared to those with LREE, which can be mobilized by  $SO_4^{2-}$ -rich fluids (Rolland et al , 2003) According to Aurisicchio et al (2001), as the activity of Y and HREEs decreases then the LREEs precipitate from the fluids to form LREE- enriched, late minerals (Fig 4-20)

The presence of F-rich fluids is also suggested by the geochemical features of amphiboles from the Wentworth pluton (Pe-Piper, 2007) Magmatic amphiboles from the early HLBL granites differ from those of the late granites only in having higher fluorine content Breakdown of magmatic amphiboles can lead to the release of fluorine as volatile phase (Schonenberger et al , 2006) The lack of fluorine in the magmatic amphiboles from the late granites suggests that the anatectic process resulted in loss of F in hydrothermal circulation, so that it was less concentrated in the magmas that produced the syn- and post-gabbro granites (Fig 4-20) These late F-rich fluids are presumably related to the mobilization of rare metals and HREEs and the formation of fluorite

The high amounts of fluorine in the early magmatic amphiboles (Pe-Piper, 2007) also indicate that fluorine was in high concentrations in the parent magma Fluorine has



Figure 4-20: Summary of the magmatic processes during the evolution of the Wentworth Pluton related to the formation of the studied minerals. The left part of this figure describes the evolution of REE-minerals and amphiboles present in the granites, from magmatic to hydrothermal stages. Details on geochemical processes and the evolution of hydrothermal fluids are explained in the right part of the figure. Fed=ferroedenite, Fwn=ferrowinchite, Ktp=Katophorite, Arf=arfvedsonite, Tnt=titanite, Trt=thorite, Ep =epidote, Aln=allanite (abreviations after Whitney and Evans, 2010). Sam-Y=samarskite-(Y), Hing-Y=hingganite-(Y), Che=chevkinite, Fers=fersmite, H-bas=hydroxylbastnäsite (abreviations after Orris and Grauch, 2002). Tna= titania mineral. the ability to keep zirconium in solution for longer periods and changes the behavior of REEs in the granitic systems increasing the solubility of monazite and xenotime (Keppler, 1993) Zircon is the major sink for rare metals like Th and therefore they were kept in solution, reaching the late stages of magmatic evolution and enriching residual melts

## 4.9.4. Relationship to pluton evolution

The magmatic and hydrothermal processes that formed the minerals presented here started with the crystallization of the early HLBL granite of the Wentworth pluton This was followed a few million years later by partial melting, which led to the complete anatexis of some of the early granite by the Folly Lake gabbro intrusion (Fig 4-21) During the crystallization of the anatectic syn- and post-gabbro granites, the availability of heat from the gabbroic magma resulted in the crystallization of chevkinite-(Ce) rather than allanite-(Ce) Anatexis resulted in the syn- and post-gabbro granitic magma being depleted in F, Nb, and Th (Koukouvelas et al , 2002, Pe-Piper, 2007) The depletion in these elements after the anatexis suggests that during the partial melting phase some volatiles were released to form a hydrothermal system that was able to transfer some incompatible elements (Fig 4-21) Such volatiles were fluorine, released from the breakdown of amphibole, and sulfur from the decomposition of pyrite Such volatile release may have resulted from reheating of the crystallized early granite, not enough as to cause anatexis but sufficient to mobilize volatiles

The observation of the HREE-rich minerals such as samarskite-(Y) altered to LREE-rich minerals (Fig 4-12C and D) suggests preferential F-complexing of HREE promoting crystallization of HREE minerals, leaving the hydrothermal fluids enriched in



Figure 4-21: Summary of the geological evolution of the Wentworth pluton combined with the paragenetic sequence of the rare earth and rare metal accessory minerals found in the Wentworth granite. Geological evolution synthesized from Pe-Piper and Piper (2002); Koukouvelas et al. (2002); Pe-Piper et al. (2004) and Murphy et al. (2011). Solid lines in the paragenetic sequence represent definite times of formation whereas dashed lines represent probable ones.

less compatible LREE complexed with sulfur (Fig 4-20) It was likely these S-rich hydrothermal fluids that removed LREE from altered (?)chevkinite (Fig 4-9) Resetting of mica ages along the Rockland Brook Fault persisted for about 15 million years after the last major gabbro intrusion (Pe-Piper et al , 2004) suggesting that the observed sequence of mineral alteration could have occurred over a period of tens of millions of years (Fig 4-21)

The evidence of hydrothermal alteration within the pluton cannot be precisely correlated with the phases of hydrothermal circulation Nevertheless, some tentative interpretations can be made. Only the 320–315 Ma hydrothermal event appears associated with significant carbonates (e.g. siderite and ankerite at Londonderry, immediately south of the Folly Lake gabbro. Wright, 1975). Thus aeschynite-(Y) and titanite alteration to titania mineral might date from this event when the amount of  $CO_2$  in the fluids was sufficient enough as to promote Ti mobility. Evidence from the carbonate hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) is ambiguous. The presence of carbonate suggests high HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, but the La/Nd ratios suggest low HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Fig. 4-21). This suggests that the formation of hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) is not necessarily related to the late hydrothermal event with carbonate fluids, but could be related to an earlier hydrothermal system related to the granite emplacement.

The main characteristics of many REE-rare metal systems are alkaline magmatism and metasomatism, presence of sodic amphibole and close or direct association with carbonate and metasedimentary rocks (Schmitt et al , 2002, Jiang, 2006, Ridolfi et al , 2006, Saveleva and Karmanov, 2008) Only a few reported REE and rare metal systems involve the effects of more than one intrusive phase. In the Bergslagen

mining region in Sweden, the mineralization is restricted to marbles and felsic metavolcanic rocks that have been intruded by at least two generations of plutonic rocks and hydrothermally altered (Holtstam and Andersson, 2007) Similarities with the Wentworth pluton are the occurrence of sulfide mineralization and the association of Frich minerals with the REEs. The Amis Complex in Namibia is another example of a mineralized, late phase that intruded older anorogenic granites (Schmitt et al , 2002). As the mineralization is hosted only in that late phase, the importance of anatexis to the mineralization is not clear. The Wentworth pluton on the other hand hosts REE and rare metal minerals in the granites of both the older and younger phases. To the author's knowledge, no analogues have been found in the literature of anatexis producing principally silicate minerals and later oxide-dominated hydrothermal minerals

#### 4.10. Conclusions

Based on their respective stability fields and crystallization conditions, the presence of the REE and rare metal accessory minerals in the Wentworth granite indicate that they were formed in several stages during the geological evolution of the pluton

The presence of fluorine in the parent magma kept Zr in solution for prolonged periods and along with it, rare metals like Y, Th and Nb Furthermore, fluorine changed the behavior of REEs so that monazite-xenotime saturation was never achieved and allanite-(Ce) was formed in the early Wentworth granite Heat supplied by the Wentworth gabbro led to the formation of chevkinite-(Ce) in the syn and post-gabbro granites Fractionation of allanite-(Ce) and chevkinite-(Ce) led to magma enrichment in middle and heavy rare earths so that hingganite-(Y) was formed during the late magmatic stages Remelting of the early granite caused F, and S release from the breakdown of amphibole and pyrite These components circulated through hydrothermal fluids that may have persisted for at least 15 Ma, based on mica resetting along the Rockland Brook Fault (Pe-Piper et al , 2004) Yttrium and middle and heavy REE were mobilized by Fcomplexing and were the first rare metals to precipitate from these fluids, forming samarskite-(Y) in late to post-magmatic stages. This caused relative LREE enrichment in the fluid that was influenced by the presence of sulfur LREEs then precipitated, forming post-magmatic REE-rich epidote, fersmite and most probably hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) Carbonate and sulphide-rich fluids were involved in a regional phase of hydrothermal circulation along the Cobequid-Chedabucto fault at 320-315 Ma. These fluids may have been responsible for a late stage of T1 and REE mobilization aeschynite-(Y) and titanite alteration to titania mineral could be related to this late event. Correlation between mineral formation and the evolving stages in the Wentworth Pluton provide the record of a unique mineralizing system which was affected by anatectic processes and changed from mainly magmatic to hydrothermal

### 4.11. Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr David Piper for his invaluable help during fieldwork and for his significant contribution in improving the clarity of this work Sincere thanks to Dr Pavel Uher and the anonymous reviewer for their comments and suggestions that greatly improved the manuscript Dr Filippo Ridolfi's assistance in the understanding of the chemistry of fluorocarbonates was greatly appreciated We also thank Dan MacDonald for his help and support during microprobe analyses at the Regional Electron Microprobe Center at Dalhousie University and Drs Victor Owen and Trevor MacHattie for the informative discussions and comments Part of our analyses

was performed at the facilities of the Regional Analytical Centre at Saint Mary's University This project was supported by NSERC grants to Dr Georgia Pe-Piper
## 4.12. CHAPTER 4: APPENDIX

All tables with data cited in chapter 4 can be found in this section following similar format to the general style of peer-reviewed journals, as required for manuscript submission

Sample	Type (Based on relative age)	Mineral association	ANK	Fe-index	Minerals analyzed
4636	HLBL granıte, definite	Qz,Pl,Kfs, Amp (s,s-c),Zrn, qz (sec), clay minerals (sec), REE- ep, Ilm, Mgt	1 16	0 75	Allanite-(Cc), ilmenite, titanian magnetite, titaniferous magnetite, fersmite
9831	HLBL granite, probable	Qz,Pl,Kfs, Bt, Amp (s,s- c),Zm, Opq, Ap, Aln, Sam-Y, Fers, Qz(sec), mica (sec), clay muercals (sec)	1 04	098	Allanıte, samarskıte, fersmite
9832	HLBL granite, probable	Qz,PI,Kfs, Bt, Amp (s,s- c),Zm, Opq, Ap, Aln, Aes-Y, Sam-Y, Fers, Tna, Qz(sec), mica (sec), clay minerals (sec)	1 06	095	Allanite-(Ce), zircon, aeschynite-(Y), samarskite-(Y), fersmite, niobian rutile
7658	Granite intruding the Byers Brook Fm	Qz,Pł,Kfs, Bt, Amp (s,s- c),Zm, Opq, Ap, Qz(sec), Aln, Aes, Bsn, mica (sec), clay minerals (sec)	098	091	Allanite-(Ce), fersmite, hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce)
5056	Syn-gabbro granite definite	Qz,Pl,Kfs, Bt, Amp (c),Zrn, Ilm, Mgt, Ap, Qz(sec), Mica (sec), clay minerals (sec)	0 84	0 83	Ilmenite, titaniferous magnetite, magnetite
6490	Syn-gabbro granite definite	Qz,Pl,Kfs, Amp (s,s-c),Zrn, Che, mgt, Qz (sec), clay minerals (sec)	1 04	0 97	Chevkmte-(Ce), thorite, titaniferous magnetite, magnetite, samarskite-(Y)
6419	Large post- gabbro bodies of granite, probable	Qz,Pl,Kfs, Amp (s),Zrn,Che, REE-ep, Hng, Opq, mica (sec)	1 11	0 99	Chevkinite-(Ce), allanite-(Ce), zircon, hingganite-(Y)
6518	Large post- gabbro bodies of granite, probable	Qz,Pl,Kfs, Amp (s,s-c),Zm, Chv, Ilm, Mgt, Bt (sec), Chl (sec)	114	0 99	Chevkinite-(Ce), zircon, ilmenite, magnetite
7710	Late fine grained granite dyke	Qz,Pl,Kfs, Amp (s,s-c), Chv, Zm, Ilm, Mgt, Ep (sec)	1 08	0 96	Chevkinite-(Ce), ilmenite, titanian magnetite, titaniferous magnetite
9827 a	Late fine grained granite dyke	Qz,Pl,Kfs, Bt, Amp (s,s- c),Zm, Opq, Ap, Qz(sec), Aln, Sam-Y, mica (sec), clay minerals (sec)	094	09	Allanıte-(Ce), samarskıte-(Y)
9827b	Late fine grained granite dyke	Qz,PI,Kfs, Bt, Amp (s,s- c),Zm, Mag, Ap, Qz(sec), mica (sec), clay minerals (sec), Hem	1 02	0 92	Magnetite, hematite

Table 4-1 Petrology and geochemistry of the studied samples from the Wentworth pluton

Notes

1) ANK= alkalinity index  $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O+K_2O), after Maniar and Piccoli, 1989), 2)$  Fe-index= FeOt/ (FeOt+MgO, after Frost et al, 2001), 3) Mineral abbreviations (after Whitney and Evans, 2010) Qz= quartz, Pl= plagioclase, Kfs= K-feldspar, Bt=biotite, Amp=amphibole, Zrn=zircon, Opq= opaque minerals, Ap=apatite, Chl=chlorite, Ep=epidote, (sec)= secondary mineral, (s)=sodic, (s-c)= sodic-calcic, (c)=calcic

Element	Line	Peak Position	Standard	Crystal	Detection limit (wt%)	Correction Factors (peak overlap
D	Ka	107 307	Monazita	DCTI	0.003	correction)
r Nh	nu La	197 327	Columbito	DETI	0.005	
NU Ta	La	105 407		LIEN	0.000	
1d Ci	Lu Ka	77 521	l di italite Sanidina		0 000	
31 T.	ru Ka	77 531 89 907	Sanudine	DET	0.003	
11	Ka Ma	00 207		PEIJ	0.028	II-HI U U0429
1N 	Ma	132 000		PEIJ	0 000	
U	мβ	119 104	002	PETJ	0 000	
Zr	Lα	194 590	Zirconia	PETJ	0 000	Zr-P 0 00110
Hf	Lα	109 527	Zirconia	LIFH	0 000	Hf-Ho 0 05675 and Hf- Er 0 53361
Y	Lα	70 180	YAG	TAP	0 000	
AI	Lα	90 712	Sanidine	TAP	0 014	
La	Lα	185 485	LaPO₄_MAX	LIF	0 002	
Ce	Lα	178 226	CePO₄_MAX	LIF	0 000	
Pr	Lβ	157 292	REE3 (Drake and Weill, 1972)	LIFH	0 002	
Nd	Lβ	150 924	REE2 (Drake and Weill, 1972)	LIFH	0 000	
Sm	Lβ	139 251	REE2 (Drake and Weill, 1972)	LIFH	0 001	
Eu	Lα	147 767	REE1 (Drake and Weill, 1972)	LIFH	0 005	
Gd	Lβ	128 794	REE1 (Drake and Weill, 1972)	LIFH	0 000	
Dy	La	132 811	REE4 (Drake and Weill, 1972)	LIF	0 000	
Ho	La	128 629	REE4 (Drake and Weill, 1972)	LIFH	0 000	
Er	La	124 120	REE4 (Drake and Weill, 1972)	LIF	0 000	Er-Nb 0 08450 and Er Hf 0 00263
Yb	Lα	116 276	REE2 (Drake and Weill, 1972)	LIF	0 001	1110 00200
Fe	Κα	199 338	Magnetite53	LIF	0 002	
Mn	Κα	146 214	Pyrolusite	LIF	0 010	
Ca	Κα	107 711	F-apatite	PETJ	0 000	
F	Κα	199 338	F-apatite	TAPH	0 010	F-Ce 0 05096

Table 4-2	Conditions and	standards use	d for micro	probe analyses

Sample	4636	6419	6419	7658	9827A	9827A	9831	9832
Mineral	ep	ер	ep	ер	aln	ain	aln	aln
Analysis	99	87	88	73	239	240	215	230
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0 02	bd	bd	0 02	0 04	0 08	bd	bd
Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0 07	0 06	bd	bd	0 04	0 0 1	bd	0 09
Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0 15	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	0 04	0 00
SIO <sub>2</sub>	34 94	34 97	35 87	33 88	31 13	31 05	33 15	32 73
TIO2	0 57	Ьd	bd	0 10	0 05	034	0 11	0 23
ZrO₂	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bot
ThO <sub>2</sub>	0 09	0 03	0 11	bd	0 13	011	0 06	bd
UO₂	0 10	0 01	0 01	0 09	bd	bd	0 06	bd
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	14 45	14 49	19 42	16 14	14 71	14 45	16 79	18 29
Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 28	0 29	1 40	0 11	0 50	073	0 04	0 24
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1 76	288	2 24	3 25	5 16	4 4 4	4 68	5 26
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4 61	5 72	3 63	7 66	11 10	11 27	14 10	9 83
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 57	0 53	0 41	0 88	1 18	1 26	1 09	0 95
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2 34	2 15	1 56	261	4 09	4 2 3	2 56	2 57
Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 51	0 43	0 33	0 35	0 64	0 82	013	0 22
Eu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	011	0 13	0 13	0 22	0 31	0 28	0 23	0 19
Gd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 22	0 25	0 52	0 12	0 48	0 59	0 03	0 11
Dy <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 21	0 08	0 33	0 20	0 47	0 66	0 22	0 17
H0 <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	bd	bd	0 06	bd	bd	0 09	bd	bd
$Er_2O_3$	bd	0 04	0 01	0 15	0 09	0 20	bd	bd
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	bd	Ьd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd
FeOt	19 60	18 16	14 39	16 48	15 93	14 81	14 31	12 20
MnO	0 1 1	0 07	0 12	0 34	0 77	1 19	0 42	0 42
CaO	16 47	15 77	16 77	14 33	10 15	10 26	10 81	12 67
F	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bđ	bd
Total	97 19	96 04	97 30	96 94	96 96	96 95	98 82	96 17
Norm	Σ=8	SI=3	Si=3	Si=3	Σ=8	Σ <b>≖</b> 8	SI=3	Si=3
SI	3 029	3 000	3 000	3 000	3 0 2 9	3 036	3 000	3 000
	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000
<u>22</u>	3.029	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.029	3.036	3 000	3.000
11	1 505	1 5 2 9	1 070	1 7 7 7	1 6 9 7	1 665	1 95 4	3 010
Ai Eo	1 305	1 3 5 6	1 0 2 6	1 262	1 207	1 000	1 1 2 2	2 010
re Mn	1 440	1 300	1 0 0 0 8	0.076	1 297	0.000	1 122	0.033
ΣM	3 000	2 911	3 014	3 012	3 050	3 000	3 017	3 011
Ca	1 559	1 522	1 546	1 394	1 058	1 075	1 085	1 265
La	0 057	0 096	0 071	0 109	0 185	0 160	0 162	0 181
Ce	0 149	0 189	0 114	0 255	0 396	0 403	0 484	0 336
Pr	0 018	0 017	0 013	0 029	0 042	0 045	0 037	0 032
Nd	0 074	0 069	0 048	0 085	0 142	0 148	0 086	0 085
Sm	0 015	0 013	0 010	0 011	0 021	0 028	0 004	0 007
Eυ	0 003	0 004	0 004	0 007	0 010	0 009	0 007	0 005
Gd	0 007	0 007	0 015	0 004	0 015	0 019	0 001	0 003
Dy	0 006	0 002	0 009	0 006	0 015	0 021	0 007	0 005
Но	0 000	0 000	0 002	0 000	0 000	0 003	0 000	0 000
Er	0 000	0 001	0 000	0 004	0 003	0 006	0 000	0 000
Yb	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000
Th	0 002	0 001	0 002	0 000	0 003	0 002	0 001	0 000
U	0 002	0 000	0 000	0 002	0 000	0 000	0 001	0 000
Nb	0 003	0 002	0 000	0 000	0 002	0 001	0 000	0 004
Та	0 004	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 001	0 000
Zr	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000
Y	0 013	0 014	0 064	0 005	0 026	0 038	0 002	0 012
<b>SREE</b>	0 330	0 400	0 290	0 510	0 830	0 840	0 790	0 660
ΣΔ	1 912	1 938	1 899	1 910	1 917	1 958	1 877	1.937

Representative microprobe analyses of silicate minerals Table 4-3 Allanite-(Ce) and REE-rich epidote

Notes b d<sup>-</sup> below detection limit Elements calculated in the basis of 8 cations. When calculations yielded Si> 3 05 them it is normalized to Si-=3 (Ambruster et al., 2006)

Sample	6518	6518	6518	6518	6518	7710	7710	7710
Analysis	71	72	74	80	82	70	89	122
P205	0 04	0 02	0 05	0 04	0 05	0 05	0 05	0 37
Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0 75	0 80	0 78	0 79	0 55	2 16	0 97	3 10
$Ta_2O_5$	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	b d
SIO <sub>2</sub>	20 06	19 97	20 43	20 37	20 32	19 82	20 38	18 26
TIO <sub>2</sub>	19 15	18 72	18 30	18 66	18 62	16 88	18 09	19 89
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	0 70	0 40	0 66	0 46	0 55	0 33	0 38	0 46
HfO <sub>2</sub>	bd	0 114	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	0 09
ThO <sub>2</sub>	0 41	0 16	0 45	0 34	0 30	0 88	0 38	1 72
UO,	bd	0 06	bd	bd	bd	0 10	0 11	0 04
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 12	0 13	0 13	0 11	0 10	0 11	0 12	0 21
Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>1</sub>	0 56	0 58	0 59	0 63	0 67	0 76	0 76	1 02
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	10 69	10 90	10 67	10 69	10 81	10 90	10 32	10 20
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	21 03	21 06	20 32	20.90	20 53	20 77	21 12	18 23
Pr <sub>1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	1 95	1 74	1 87	1.85	1 97	1.85	2 10	1 67
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	8.83	8 98	8 96	8 54	8 64	7 76	9.22	6 28
Sm <sub>0</sub>	1 20	1 00	0.92	0.94	0.88	0.90	1 24	0.82
Eu-O-	0.60	0.55	0.61	0.57	0.57	0.63	0.67	0.43
Gd.O.	0.57	0.54	0.77	0.72	0.46	0.57	0 70	0.61
Dv <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0 17	0 2 0	0.26	0 20	0 21	037	0.20	0.01
Ho.O.	ь	0-00 hai	520 Ka	50	10 U J 1 h d	دد <del>ر</del> امط	0.50	د ت سا
Fr 0	013	50	0.04	0.00	0.05	00 1. at	00	00 53
E12O3	U 12		004 5-1	0.00	000	. D Cl 	007	 
T D <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	11.07	11 61	10.00	10.70	10.00	11 (2)	11 50	00
FeUt M-O	11 07	11 01	10 88	10 /9	10 80	11 62	11 58	1198
MgO MgO	00	004 bd	0.05	0.02	5001	0.02	007	0.03
()(I)() ()()()	2 79	261	2 61	2 60	264	7 66	2 4 2	3 16
CaU E	270 hd	201	201	209	2 04 hd	2.50	2 4 3 h d	510
Total	100 784	100 267	99 272	99.47	98 845	99 061	101 047	08.80
Y	0 060	0 064	0 065	0 069	0 073	0 084	0 083	0 111
Th	0 019	0 007	0 021	0 016	0 014	0 042	0 017	0 080
La	0 802	0 823	0 809	0 808	0 822	0 838	0 776	0 769
Ce	1 566	1 580	1 529	1 5 <del>69</del>	1 549	1 585	1 577	1 364
Pr	0 144	0 130	0 140	0 138	0 144	0 140	0 156	0 124
Nd	0 641	0 657	0 658	0 625	0 636	0 577	0 671	0 458
Sm	0 084	0 071	0 065	0 066	0 062	0 064	0 087	0 058
Eu	0 041	0 038	0 042	0 036	0 040	0 045	0 047	0 030
Gd	0 039	0 037	0 049	0 050	0 031	0 039	0 047	0 042
Dy	0 011	0 020	0 017	0 020	0 020	0 022	0 020	0 022
Ho	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000
Er	0 007	0 000	0 002	0 004	0 004	0 000	0 004	0 000
Yb	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000
Ca	0 605	0 573	0 575	0 590	0 583	0 571	0 531	0 692
Sum A	4.019	3.999	3 972	3.991	3.979	4 007	4.016	3.748
Fe	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000
Mn	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000
Mg	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000
Sum B	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Fe	0 881	0 998	0 870	0 850	08/2	1 024	0 975	1 047
MN Ma	0 001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.004	0.000	0 004
wig T.	0.000	0.0012	0 014	0 000	0 003	0 0 20	0 775	1 000
1 I A 3	0 928	0 004	0 020	0 0 75	0 035	0 040	0 //3	1 02/
7,	0 029	0.030	0 051	0.025	0.025	0.02/	0 028	0 030
NID	0 0 00	0.040	0 000	0 040	0 055	0 034	0 000	0 2945
Ta	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000	0 400
Sum C	1 072	2 039	1 221	1 890	1 207	1 963	1 976	2 400
TL(D)	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000
Si	4 077	4 090	4 199	4 177	4 186	4 130	4 157	3 731
				· • • • •				

# Representative microprobe analyses of silicate minerals Table 4-4 Chevkinite-(Ce)

Notes b d= below detection limit Analysis 122 represents a yellowish alteration halo around chevkinite Formula calculated in the basis of 22 oxygens

Samola	6410	6/10	6519	6519	6510	0933
Analysis	120*	121	154	155	157	107*
P-Or	bd	0.28	h d	0.21	0.51	0.39
NboOr	hd	hd	bd	b d	bd	0.00
Ta-Or	bd	0.06	bd	hd	0 10	bd
SIO	30.31	30.58	32.61	31.69	30 16	30.99
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.02	bd	bd	h d	bd	bd
710-	67 34	63.88	63 74	59.40	54 79	60.47
HfO <sub>2</sub>	0.81	1 24	0.85	0 92	2 5 2	1 66
ThO	0.00	0 13	500 5 d	0.44	4 01	1 22
	0.11	010	0.03	0 35	0.22	0.36
	bd	b.d	500 5 d	0.00	0.01	b.d
×1203	0.23	1 28	0.48	269	206	2 42
1203	023 bd	120 hd	0 10 hd	200 hd	2.90 b.d	2 42 b.d
	0.07	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01
	0.01	0.00	0.03	003	000 hd	500
	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.15	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0 15	0.02
Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	00	D 0	010	011	0.12	0.00
Eu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.00	0.04	003	0.04	0.05	0.02
Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.22	010	00	0.08	017	0.35
Uy <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	010	0.09	014	0.31	0.25	0 43
HO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Dd	0.08	D G	0.03	010	013
Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.03	0.36	0 10	0 43	0 44	0.66
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 04	0 32	013	0 37	0 48	0.96
FeOt	0 04	0 06	0.06	0 17	0 60	0 19
MnO	ьd	0 03	bd	0 01	0 06	bd
CaO	0.02	0.04		0 05	0.08	100 51
	99.35	90 72	96 04	97.30	9/ 00	0.010
	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.010
Ta	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002
S	0.000	0.967	1.016	1 009	0.001	0.000
τ.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000
Zr	1.032	0.985	0.969	0.922	0.875	0.929
Hf	0.007	0.011	0.008	0.008	0.024	0.015
Th	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.003	0 030	0.009
U	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.002	0.003
Al	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
Y	0.004	0.022	0.003	0.045	0.052	0.041
La	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Ce	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
Pr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000
Nd	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
Sm	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000
Eu	0 0 0 0 0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
Gd	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.004
Dy	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.004
Ho	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0 001
Er	0.000	0.004	0.001	0.004	0.005	0.007
Yb	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.005	0.009
Fe	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.005	0.017	0.005
Mn	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0 000
Ca	0 000	0.001	0 000	0.002	0.003	0.003
Total	2.003	2.002	1.999	2.013	2.013	2.010

Representative microprobe analyses of silicate minerals Table 4-5. Zircon

Notes 1) \*=analysis with good totals, 2)b d= below detection limit Formula calculated in the basis of 4 oxygen atoms

	14010	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Sample	6419	6419	6419	6419
Analysis	86	143	145	146
P2O5	0.00	0 00	0 00	0 00
Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	bd	bđ	bd	bd
Ta₂O₅	0 00	0 00	0 01	0 00
SIO <sub>2</sub>	26 38	25 98	24 61	25 03
TIO2	0 00	0 00	0 04	0 03
ThO <sub>2</sub>	0 00	0 04	0 12	0 12
UO2	0 04	0 00	0 09	0 11
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	bd	bd	bd	bd
Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	26 43	31 30	18 22	18 29
La₂O3	0 82	0 26	0 95	1 05
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4 40	1 77	7 56	7 84
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 83	0 33	1 68	173
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5 50	3 37	10 36	10 77
Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2 27	1 59	2 62	2 66
Eu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 31	0 14	0 68	072
Gd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4 46	5 01	4 50	4 56
Dy <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4 56	5 04	3 83	3 83
Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1 59	1 61	1 37	1 38
Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1 90	2 72	1 38	1 62
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1 04	1 42	0 35	0 35
FeOt	4 95	3 85	6 01	6 06
CaO	1 17	0 91	0.81	0 81
Total	86 66	85 35	85 17	86 98
P	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000
Si	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000
Sum T	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000
Tı	0 000	0 000	0 005	0 004
Th	0 000	0 001	0 005	0 004
U	0 001	0 000	0 003	0 004
Y	1 081	1 263	0 813	0 801
La	0 023	0 007	0 029	0 032
Ce	0 124	0 049	0 232	0 236
Pr	0 023	0 009	0 051	0 052
Nd	0 151	0 091	0 310	0 316
Sm	0 060	0 042	0 076	0 075
Eu	0 008	0 004	0 0 1 9	0 020
Gd	0 114	0 126	0 125	0 124
Dy	0 113	0 123	0 103	0 101
Ho	0 039	0 039	0 037	0 036
Er	0 046	0.065	0 036	0 042
YD O-	0.024	0.033	0.009	0.009
Ca Cum t	0 193	U 148	0 146	0 143
SUM A	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000
re	0.314	U 248	0 408	0 405

## Representative microprobe analyses of silicate minerals Table 4-6 Hingganite-(Y)

Notes 1)b d= below detection limit, 2) n d = not determined Formula calculated on the basis of 10 oxygens,  $S_1+P = 2$  atoms, Ca+Y+REE=2 atoms, Be=2 atoms according to the theoretical formula of hingganite However Be was not determined

Sample	6490	9832	9832
Analysis	97	199	210
P2O5	4 47	0 22	071
Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	7 72	6 78	0 15
Ta₂O₅	bd	bd	bd
SIO2	12 83	18 57	17 20
TIO <sub>2</sub>	3 26	0 32	0 02
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	n d	11 31	1 39
HfO <sub>2</sub>	n d	0 47	0 29
ThO <sub>2</sub>	49 43	40 53	58 67
UO.	0.76	1 4 1	3 53
ALO	1.02	0.33	0 15
Y.Q.	2 12	2 93	1 64
1203	0.12	0.07	0.13
	0.37	0.07	0.20
06203	0.00	0.27	020
	0.09	0.01	50
	0.45	018	Da
Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 19	Da	סמ
Eu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 01	bd	0 01
Gd₂O₃	0 33	0 17	0 46
Dy <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 49	0 39	0 52
Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 10	0 17	0 18
Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	bd	0 00	0 31
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 14	0 46	0 2 1
FeOt	9 42	1 38	1 67
MnO	bd	0 12	0 05
CaO	0 30	1 36	1 86
F	bd	0 34	0 56
Total	93 64	87 62	89 67
P	0 180	0.009	0.033
Nb	0 166	0.149	0.004
Та	0 000	0.000	0.000
SI	0 610	0.899	0.957
Τı	0 117	0.011	0.001
Al	0 057	0.019	0.010
Zr	0 000	0.267	0.038
Hf	0 000	0.006	0.005
Th	0 535	0.447	0.743
U	0 008	0.015	0.044
Ŷ	0 054	0.076	0.049
La	0 002	0.001	0.003
Ce	0 006	0.005	0.004
Pr	0 001	0.000	0.000
Nd	0 008	0.003	0.000
Sm	0 003	0 000	0.000
Eu	0 000	0.000	0.000
Gd	0 005	0.003	0.009
Dy	0 008	0.006	0.009
Ho	0 002	0.003	0.003
Er	0 000	0.000	0.005
Yb	0 002	0.007	0.004
Fe	0 374	0.056	0.078
Mn	0 000	0.005	0.002
Ca	0 000	0 071	0.111
Sum	2.138	2.057	2.110

Representative microprobe analyses of silicate minerals. Table 4-7: Thorite

Notes

1)b d= below detection limit, 2) n d = not determined Formula calculated on the basis of 4 oxygens

Mineral	Sam	Sam	Sam	Sam	Sam		Fers	Fers	Fers
Sample	6490	9827A	9831	9831	9832		4636	9832	9832
Analysis	98*	174	242	243	231*		103*	213	214*
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	bd	bd	bd	bd	0 01		bd	0 01	Ьd
Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	48 32	42 25	47 35	47 44	53 06		45 20	53 23	55 56
Ta₂O₅	0 45	2 73	0 29	0 10	0 61		8 22	3 87	3 76
SIO <sub>2</sub>	0 51	0 37	bđ	0 02	2 25		8 76	0 17	0 14
TIO <sub>2</sub>	1 13	1 43	0 31	0 41	1 61		9 51	6 55	6 44
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	bd	bd	bd	0 01	bd		bd	0 41	0 76
HfO <sub>2</sub>	bd	bd	0 09	bd	0 07		bd	0 17	bd
ThO <sub>2</sub>	5 13	5 77	1 62	1 85	0 18		1 83	0 34	0 38
UO₂	2 36	3 04	0 69	0 92	0 59		5 75	3 34	3 34
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	bd	bd	bd	bd	0 56		bd	bd	bd
Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22 27	23 69	21 36	21 16	22 27		1 75	0 32	0 27
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 20	bd	0 27	0 29	0 35		0 57	1 17	1 30
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1 97	0 45	1 98	2 18	2 35		2 56	4 22	3 84
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 30	0 10	0 47	0 55	0 37		0 44	0 43	0 34
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2 06	1 36	3 86	4 08	2 35		1 61	1 95	1 59
Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 86	0 99	2 16	2 28	0 95		0 47	0 39	0 36
Eu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 06	0 01	0 11	0 17	0 23		0 08	0 17	0 14
Gd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2 43	3 66	5 91	5 60	1 98		0 41	0 39	0 26
Dy <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3 23	3 74	5 75	5 73	2 14		0 38	0 42	0 45
H0 <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1 10	1 67	2 56	2 56	0 75		0 02	bd	0 09
Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	bd	bd	bd	Ьd	Ьd		bd	bd	bd
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2 67	2 92	1 19	1 13	1 30		0 06	bd	bd
FeOt	0 43	0 67	0 02	0 09	1 78		0 15	2 53	0 60
MnO	bd	bd	bd	bd	011		bd	0 48	0 47
CaO	1 30	1 51	0 27	0 27	0 92		10 26	14 37	17 68
F	0 09	0 18	bd	0 23	D 13		0 21	0 81	0 90
Total	96 84	96 48	96 25	96 98	96 86		98 15	95 40	98 31
Р	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	Nb	1 384	1 476	1 519
Nb	0 993	0 900	1 009	1 006	1 004	Та	0 151	0 065	0 062
Та	0 006	0 035	0 004	0 001	0 007	Ti	0 484	0 302	0 293
SI	0 023	0 018	0 000	0 001	0 094	AI	0 0 000	0 0 000	0 0 000
Τı	0 039	0 051	0 011	0 014	0 051	Fe	0 008	0 130	0 0 3 0
Sum B	1.060	1.003	1.024	1.023	1.156	Sum B	2.028	1.972	1.905
Zr	0 000	0 0 000	0 000	0 000	0 0 000	Th	0 028	0 005	0 005
Hf	0 000	0 0 00	0 001	0 000	0 001	U	0 087	0 0 4 6	0 045
Th	0 053	0 062	0 017	0 020	0 002	Y	0 063	0 126	0 009
U	0 024	0 032	0 007	0 010	0 005	La	0 013	0 026	0 029
Al	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 000	0 028	Ce	0 063	0 095	0 085
Y	0 539	0 594	0 536	0 528	0 496	Ca	0 7 4 4	0 944	1 1 46
La	0 003	0 000	0 005	0 005	0 005	Sum A	0.999	1.242	1.319
Ce	0 033	0 008	0 034	0 037	0 036	Matur			
Pr	0 005	0 002	0 008	0 009	0 006	))*=analus	is with pood a	otals. 2)h d=	below
Nd	0 033	0 023	0 065	0 068	0 035	detection lu	nit, 3) n d - r	not determine	ed, 4)
Sm	0 013	0 016	0 035	0 037	0 014	Sam=samar	skite, 5)Fers=	fersmite El	ements
EU	0.001	0 000	0.002	0.003	0.003	calculated in	n the basis of	4 oxygens to atoms for fer	mite
Ga	0 037	0.057	0 092	0.087	0 028	Sandiskie	ara o oxygen	atoms for let	arne
Uy	0.047	0.057	0 087	0.087	0 029				
H0	0.016	0.025	0.038	0.038	0 010				
E	0 000	0 000	0000	0 000	0 000				
rD Fe	0.037	0.042	0.017	0.016	0.017				
re Ma	0.017	0.026	0.001	0.004	0.062				
MD	0 000	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.004				
	0.063	00/6	0.013	0.014	0.041				
SumA	0.921	1.020	0.960	0.963	0.821				

## Representative microprobe analyses of oxides Table 4-8 Samarskite-(Y) and fersmite

		, ,			
Mineral	Aes-Y	Aes-Y	Aes-Y	Aes-Y	Tna
Analysis	9832 232	9832 736	2632	3832 218	2832
D.O.	200	200			100
Nh-O.	5	100	50 S	CU U	10 D
TanOr	р Ч		0.04	120	0.08
SiO <sub>2</sub>	4 45	1 73	1 60	1 45	0 12
TiO <sub>2</sub>	39 03	42 90	45 36	45 67	91 60
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	pq	pq	þq	pq	0 02
HO <sub>2</sub>	þq	рq	pq	pq	0 21
ThO <sub>2</sub>	1 33	0 39	0 13	017	0 02
uo,	0 27	0 19	0 13	þq	0 02
AI <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 34	0 07	0 11	0 08	pq
Y203	20 10	24 45	25 74	25 17	pq
La2O3	0 02	p q	p q	p g	р 9
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	pq	pq	pq	pq	p Q
Pr <sub>2</sub> O3	0 16	0.05	p q	pq	נס
N0203	1 47 0 05	ck 0	0 20	061	ο τ ο 1
	210	3 2	600	100	0.06
Gd,O,	474	4 43	3 23	391	0 04
Dvo	4 23	4 45	3 69	4 24	0 03
Ho2O3	1 78	188	1 36	1 60	0 05
Er <sub>2</sub> 0,	1 67	1 78	2 85	2 53	pq
Yb2O3	1 53	155	2 66	2 57	0 02
FeOt	1 85	146	143	1 45	1 24
MnO	0 15	0 02	0 05	0 02	0 01
CaO	1 12	0 56	0 42	0 31	0 28
iu. I	0 21	0 06	0 11	0 05	0 01
Total	95 10	94 62	95 03	95 13	96 65
A :	0 021	0 005	/00 0	200.0	0000
> -	00000	0 000	0 /04	0 691	
5 6					0000
ی م	0 003	0 001	0000	00000	00000
PN	0 026	0 018	0 012	0 015	000 0
Sm	0 017	0 018	0 007	0 011	000 0
Eu	0 003	0 000	0 000	0 000	000 0
PO	0 081	0 077	0 055	0 067	000 0
<u>à</u> :	0/0 0	2/0 0	190.0	0/0.0	00000
Ê ů	670.0	150 0	0.046	0 041	
۹ ج	0 024	0 025	0.042	0 040	000 0
Fe Fe	0 081	0 065	0 062	0 063	0 014
Mn	0 006	0 001	0 002	0 001	000 0
Ca	0 062	0 031	0 023	0 017	0 004
Sum A	1 005	1 056	1 043	1 048	
٩.	0 002	000 0	0 001	0 002	00000
QN ,	0 225	0 158	0 118	0 098	0 018
				0 0 05	
ō⊢	1 510	1500	1 757	177 1	0 064
Zr	00000	00000	000 0	0000	00000
Ŧ	000 0	0 000	000 0	0 000	0 001
ТЪ	0 016	0 005	0 002	0 002	000 0
D	0 003	0 002	0 002	0 000	000 0
Sum B	1 995	1 944	1 957	1 952	
Total	3 000	3 000	3 000	3 000	1 005

Representative microprobe analyses of oxides Table 4-9 Aeschynte-(Y) and Titania muneral

Notes 1)  $No^{-}$  analysis number, 2,b d= below detection limit, 3) n d = not determined, 4,Min-mineral analyzed, 5). Aes-Y  $^{-}$  aesolymite -(Y),6). Tha-intarnamineral Calculations for esochymite were done in the basis of 2 B-cations and for rutile in the basis of 2

73

Sample	7658	7658
Analysis	148	149
P2O5	0 00	0 00
Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0 03	0 03
Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0 56	0 56
SIO <sub>2</sub>	1 74	1 43
TIO2	1 47	1 27
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	0 00	0 00
HfO₂	0 81	0 88
ThO <sub>2</sub>	3 34	4 43
UO2	0 43	0 51
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 63	0 50
Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 00	0 00
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	14 30	13 47
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	29 00	29 9 <del>9</del>
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2 91	2 89
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	10 25	10 23
Sm2O3	1 40	1 45
Eu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1 16	1 16
Gd2O3	1 27	1 37
Dy <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 61	0 59
Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 2 1	0 24
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 06	0 12
FeOt	1 62	1 47
MnO	0 05	0 07
CaO	4 48	4 62
F	0 08	0 11
CO2-Calc	23 49	23 29
SUM	99 90	100 68
0 = F	-0 03	-0 05
Total	99 87	100 63
Th	0 026	0 034
Y	0 000	0 000
La	0 181	0 168
Ce Dr	0.365	0 372
Pr	0 0 36	0.036
NU Sm	0 120	0 124
SIII	0.01/	0.017
Gđ	0.014	0.015
Dv	0 007	0.006
Ho	0 002	0 003
Yb	0 001	0 001
Fe	0 047	0 042
Са	0 165	0 168
Total	1 000	1 000

Representative microprobe analyses of carbonate minerals Table 4-10 Hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce)

#### Notes

1) No=analysis number 2)b d<sup>-</sup> below detection limit 3) n d = not determined Calculations were done in the basis of one cation carbon concentrations were calculated based on charge balance (Ridolfi et al 2006)

## CHAPTER 5: THE GEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF THE A-TYPE GRANITES OF THE WENTWORTH PLUTONIC COMPLEX: EVIDENCE FROM GEOCHEMICAL DATA.

### 5.1. Abstract

A-type granites comprise a distinct category of granitoids, the origin of which may be the result of anhydrous melting of lower granulitic crust, partial melting of granodiorites and tonalites, or extreme differentiation of a mafic magma. This study examines the geochemistry of the REE-enriched A-type granites of the Wentworth Pluton to test known petrogenetic models and provide further evidence on the genesis of this type of granitoids. The granite of the Wentworth Pluton in the Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia, is a latest Devonian intrusion (~362 Ma) into predominantly juvenile Neoproterozoic, subduction-related, basement. The granites were remelted by a major gabbro intrusion (~357 Ma) producing late granites with slightly different geochemistry Gabbro resulted from inferred asthenospheric upwelling, related to the extension of the Late Paleozoic Magdalen Basin

The geochemical characteristics of these granites have been investigated by whole-rock geochemical and Sm-Nd isotope analyses. Specific geochemical features of the Wentworth granites include higher F abundance in the early granites rather than the late ones, small degrees of fractionation, bimodal distribution of Mg and Cr and relatively primitive isotopic signatures ( $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  (360) 1 1 to 3 6). Similar  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ (360) values are found in Neoproterozoic gabbros and quartz diorites, but more felsic Neoproterozoic rocks are more negative

75

Anatexis of the pre-existing granites is interpreted to have resulted in the release of fluorine from the early granites, resulting in the formation of F-depleted granitic rocks Co-variation of Mg and Cr indicates that the high-Mg granites resulted from mixing of the original low-Mg granitic magma with pristine gabbro. Modeling of batch partial melting of a feldspar-dominated rock with a REE composition similar to that of the Neoproterozoic quartz diorites, shows that the resulting melt would have REE concentrations similar to those of the Wentworth granites. Therefore we conclude that the Wentworth granites have been formed by melting of plagioclase-rich felsic lithologies in the lower crust such as trondhjemites formed during Neoproterozoic subduction-related gabbro underplating. Partial melting of these rocks would produce alkaline granitic magmas with limited fractionation and primitive isotopic characteristics, such as the Atype granites of the Wentworth Pluton. This study shows that partial melting of lower crustal underplated intermediate rocks, from an old episode of subduction, can give distinctive A-type granites with a narrow range of  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  that reflects the  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  in the earlier subduction system

#### **5.2. Introduction**

The term "A-type granite" was initially introduced by Loiselle and Wones (1979) and refers to granites that were generated along continental rift zones with mildly alkaline geochemistry and crystallized under low water fugacities. The fact that this type of granite is richer in Fe, K, rare metals and REEs compared to other type of granitoids (Whalen et al., 1987) implies a distinctive petrogenesis

When the term was introduced, the first petrogenetic model suggested that A-type granites derive from fractionation of mantle-derived alkali basalt with or without crustal

contribution When a crustal source was involved it was hypothesized to be granulitic lower crust Based on geochemical criteria, the term A-type granite was applied in a wider range of granitoid rocks that would include not only anorogenic but post-orogenic granites as well (Maniar and Piccoli, 1989) However the main concept for the petrogenesis of these rocks remained the same, that A-type granites result from partial melting of anhydrous granulitic residue in the lower crust at high temperatures and that they are a subgroup of I-type granites (Whalen et al , 1987)

Creaser et al (1991), however, demonstrated that partial melting of granulitic crust cannot produce A-type magmas, because such a melt would be depleted in Si, Fe and K and enriched in Ca and Al compared to the protolith, such geochemical features are not found in A-type granites Partial melting of tonalitic to granodioritic crust instead can produce A-type magmas (Creaser et al , 1991, Frost and Frost, 2010) Experimental results suggest that the dehydration melting of calc-alkaline granitoids in the shallow crust gives rise to A-type granitic melts (Douce, 1997) The high temperatures required for such melting imply the involvement of hot mafic magma close to the Earth's surface, which can also have a chemical contribution (Douce, 1997) Metaluminous A-type granites can also form by differentiation of a tholeitic basalt magma, whereas peralkaline granites may form by differentiation of transitional basalt (Frost and Frost, 2010)

The Wentworth Pluton is a late Devonian- early Carboniferous A-type granite pluton in the Cobequid Highlands of northern Nova Scotia, eastern Canada The oldest rocks of the pluton are  $\sim$ 362 Ma granites, which were intruded at  $\sim$ 357 Ma by a 30 x 8 km gabbro body, resulting in anatexis of the early granite forming a later granite with slightly different geochemistry (Koukouvelas et al , 2002, Koukouvelas et al , 2006, Murphy et al , 2011) The supracrustal equivalent of the pluton is a 4 km thick pile of felsic pyroclastic rocks and tholentic basalt flows (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002) Both early and late granites have significant amounts of REEs and rare metals represented in a wide variety of accessory minerals (Papoutsa and Pe-Piper, submitted) The pluton intrudes Neoproterozoic Avalon terrane rocks of the northern Appalachians It formed along the bounding shear zone of the transtentional pull-apart Carboniferous-Permian Magdalen Basin (Hibbard and Waldron, 2009)

The tectonic setting of the Wentworth pluton is well understood from previous work (Koukouvelas et al , 2002, Koukouvelas et al ,2006) The anatexis of early granite allows some geochemical processes to be constrained. The Avalon terrane country rocks consist of Neoproterozoic juvenile crust formed in a subduction setting, with well known geochemical characteristics (Murphy and Nance, 2002). Any petrogenetic model must account for the strong REE enrichment of the Wentworth pluton. The particular geological setting provides constraints on the evolution of this A-type pluton. The specific objectives of this study are 1) to determine what geochemical features of A-type granites are found in the Wentworth pluton and how they differ between the early and late granites, 2) to determine the source of the granitic magma from radiogenic isotope studies, 3) to determine the petrogenetic role of the large gabbro intrusion and its inferred lower crustal equivalents and 4) establish a general petrogenetic model that links the evolution of this A-type granite pluton to its tectonic setting.

#### 5.3. Geological setting

#### 531 Cobequid Highlands

The Cobequid Highlands are located in the Avalon terrane just north of the Cobequid Fault, which separates this terrane from the Meguma terrane (Fig 5-1) The Cobequid Highlands are underlain by Neoproterozoic rocks, minor Silurian to Lower Devonian sedimentary rocks and Late Paleozoic plutons and their extrusive equivalents (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002)

Within the Cobequid Highlands the Cobequid Shear Zone consists of a series of late Paleozoic faults that were active during latest Devonian to earliest Carboniferous The Late Paleozoic plutons were emplaced in the actively deforming Cobequid Shear Zone and consist mainly of granite, gabbro and diorite bodies. The Rockland Brook Fault, which was the major fault of the Cobequid Shear Zone, is located in the eastern part of the Highlands separating the Neoproterozoic Jeffers Block to the north from the Bass River Block to the south. The Cobequid Fault marks the margin of the Cobequid Highlands horst that was uplifted at 315 Ma along the Cobequid-Chedabucto Fault Zone (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002)

In the Late Devonian, the Rheic Ocean was subducted beneath Nova Scotia producing the voluminous South Mountain Batholith and satellite plutons (Clarke et al, 1997) Towards the end of the Devonian, incipient closure of the Rheic Ocean at promontories led to orogen-parallel dextral strike slip faulting (Hibbard and Waldron, 2009), resulting in distributed extension in the Magdalen Basin at a step-over zone Crustal thinning continued through at least the early Carboniferous, accompanied by underplating of a thick gabbroic layer beneath the Gulf of St Lawrence (Marillier and



Figure 5-1 Geological map of the late Paleozoic Wentworth Pluton of the Cobequid Highlands Inset shows the location of the Avalon and Meguma terranes

Verhoef, 1989, Marillier and Reid, 1990) This magma reached the surface through the basin-margin faults to give flood basalts >1 km thick in the Fisset Brook Formation of western Cape Breton Island and the Fountain Lake Group of the Cobequid Highlands (Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998)

All late Paleozoic granites in the Cobequid Highlands have A-type affinities, whereas the gabbros are olivine tholentes (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002) Synchronous with the plutons are the volcanic rocks of the Fountain Lake Group. The felsic members of this group are the rhyolites of the Byers Brook Formation, whereas the mafic rocks are represented by the Diamond Brook Formation. The rhyolites also have A-type affinities and the basalts are Fe-rich continental flood basalts (Dessureau et al., 2000) Geochemical similarities between the rhyolites and the granites, and the basalts and the gabbros suggest that the Fountain Lake Group is the extrusive equivalent of the Late Paleozoic plutons

#### 5 3 2 Avalonian country rock

The Avalon terrane of the northern Appalachians in mainland Nova Scotia is characterized by Neoproterozoic (650-570 Ma) arc-related sequences that formed on the margin of Gondwana (Murphy and Nance, 2002), uncomformably overlain by thin lower Paleozoic supracrustal rocks The terrane comprises a series of collisional blocks including I-type plutons, coeval volcanic rocks, minor oceanic crust and clastic sedimentary successions (Pe-Piper and Murphy, 1989, Murphy, 2002) No crustal basement is known, but crustally-derived felsic rocks suggest derivation from sources with Nd depleted mantle model ages (T<sub>DM</sub>) between 0.96 and 1.2 Ga (Murphy and Nance, 2002) In the Cobequid Highlands, the Jeffers Block comprises volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks with small high-level plutons, whereas the Bass River Block consists mostly of plutonic rocks

The mafic facies of the Jeffers Block show characteristics of alkaline rocks such as relatively high Ti, Nb and have moderate amounts of REE (Pe-Piper and Piper, 1989) The late Neoproterozoic mafic rocks have been divided into three types, based on their geochemistry The first type includes Ti-rich porphyritic basalts with high Ni and Cr and low La/Ce ratios These basalts show a LREE enrichment which is characteristic of basalts related to subduction The second type includes Ti-depleted basaltic andesites that are poor in Ni, and Cr (Pe-Piper and Piper, 1989) All the felsic rocks are enriched in K, Ba, Th and Nb, whereas they appear to be depleted in Sm and Y These rocks show substantial fractionation of LREEs The third type consists of calk-alkaline rocks that resemble within plate lavas and mafic rocks that are depleted in Nb (Pe-Piper and Piper, 1989)

#### 5 3 3 The Wentworth Pluton

The Wentworth Pluton consists of a granitic part in its northeastern part and a gabbroic part in the southwestern part (Fig 5-1) (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002) The latter is younger (357±4 Ma, Pe-Piper et al , 2004, Murphy et al , 2011) than the other mafic intrusions in the Cobequid Highlands and post-dates the main emplacement of the Wentworth Pluton granites (362±2 Ma, Doig et al , 1996). This later gabbro intrusion and heat provided by the underplated mafic melts, resulted in the partial melting to anatexis of the older Wentworth granite, forming syn- and post-gabbro granites with slightly different geochemistry (Koukouvelas et al , 2002) Mafic enclaves are common in the granites and some of them show the characteristics of mixing and mingling between a mafic and felsic magma.

The A-type granites of the Wentworth pluton are the most alkaline rocks among all Late Paleozoic granites of the Cobequid Highlands (Pe-Piper, 2007) The early granite of the Wentworth pluton consists mainly of K-feldspar-rich medium grained granite which contains sodic amphibole, 76% SiO<sub>2</sub>, high F, and moderate Li (Koukouvelas et al , 2002) A few granites, interpreted as synchronous with the gabbro, have particularly high Zr contents Many of the syn- and post-gabbro granites contain >75 ppm Y Some have high Li, but all samples reported by Koukouvelas et al (2002) have <400 ppm F Some late granites that occur as pods within the gabbro are geochemically distinct, with a wide range of SiO<sub>2</sub> contents, relatively low Y, Zr, Li and F and the amphibole, where present, is hornblende Similar low-Y, low-Li granites occur within the main Wentworth granite and have rather higher TiO<sub>2</sub> contents than the alkali granites of the early phase, with the same SiO<sub>2</sub> content (Koukouvelas et al , 2002)

#### 5.4. Methods

More than a hundred granodioritic and granitic samples (64 to 79 wt% silica) have been analyzed from the Wentworth pluton. The samples were classified into relative ages based on field relationships with the gabbro. Uniform outcrops of granite cut by gabbro are considered to be of the early granitic phase, as are dated granites >358 Ma. Granites with lobate contacts with the gabbro and hybrid enclaves are taken as synchronous with the mafic intrusion. Bodies of granite that either cut the Wentworth gabbro or occur in it as globular pods or irregular sheets are considered to post-date the gabbroic intrusion. When field relations for a sample were sufficiently clear for its classification then the sample is characterized as a "definite" sample of one of these types. When the relative age of a sample was not clear from field relations in the same outcrop, but was assumed from the geology of nearby outcrops, then the sample is classified as a "probable" sample of a certain type

The major and trace elements were analyzed in two ways 1)at the Regional Geochemical Centre, Saint Mary's University, by XRF and Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis and 2) at the Activation Laboratories using their code 4Lithoresearch and Code 4B1 packages These packages combine lithium metaborate/tetraborate fusion ICP whole rock analysis with trace elements by ICP-MS All the whole-rock geochemical data are given as supplementary data (Table S1) They were processed using MINPET 2 2 software for Windows and the plotted samples are recalculated to 100% on volatilefree basis Samples with outlying values in geochemical plots are not included in the diagrams of elemental classification

Most of the Sm-Nd data used in this study were those reported by Pe-Piper and Piper (1998), but eight additional analyses were made of selected granites from the Wentworth Pluton (Table S2) These new analyses were made by Activation Laboratories Rock powders for Sm-Nd studies were dissolved in a mixture of HF, HNO<sub>3</sub> and HClO<sub>4</sub> Before decomposition, the sample was totally spiked with <sup>149</sup>Sm-<sup>146</sup>Nd mixed solution REE were separated using conventional cation-exchange techniques Sm and Nd were separated by extraction chromatography on HDEHP covered Teflon powder Accuracy of the measurements of Sm and Nd contents is  $\pm 0.5\%$  <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd ratios are relative to the value of 0.511860 for the La Jolla standard Analyses were performed on Triton-MC mass-spectrometer

84

#### 5.5. Geochemical trends of the Wentworth granites

In general, the geochemistry of the Wentworth granites does not show significant variations with relative age (Figs 5-2 to 5-4) According to elemental classification diagrams of Whalen et al (1987) for granitoid rocks, the Wentworth granites are A-type granites (Fig 5-2) Geochemically the granites are metaluminous to peralkaline (Fig 5-3A), and plot as within plate granites in the tectonic discrimination diagram of Pearce et al (1984) (Fig 5-3B) However there is no clear discrimination between A1 (rift-related) and A2 (post collisional) groups in Eby's (1992) diagram for A-type granites (Fig 5-3C) The Wentworth granites plot as post orogenic in the diagram of Maniar and Piccoli (1989) (Fig 5-3D) In the classification scheme of Frost et al (2001), the Wentworth Pluton granites range from magnesian to ferroan (Fig 5-4A), even though A-type granites are typically ferroan (Frost and Frost, 2010) However, the alkalinity index of these rocks ranges from alkalic-calcic to alkalic, which is within the range for A-type granites (Fig 5-4C)

A striking feature in the major elements of the Wentworth Pluton granites is the bimodal distribution of MgO (Fig 5-5a) The granites, regardless of relative age, plot within two distinct groups in terms of MgO abundance (Fig 5-5b) High-MgO granites tend to be enriched in TiO<sub>2</sub>, Cr and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figs 5-5c, e and f respectively) compared to the low-MgO group The concentration of fluorine, however, does not correlate with either group (Fig 5-5d)

In terms of relative age, fluorine, for the same  $S_1O_2$ , generally appears in higher concentrations in the early Wentworth granites (Fig 5-6a and c) Fluorine also shows a positive correlation with  $T_1O_2$  especially for syn- and post-gabbro granites and late dykes



Figure 5-2: Elemental discrimination diagrams after Whalen (1987), showing the A-type nature of the Wentworth granites. I=granites derived from igneous protoliths, S= granites derived from sedimentary protoliths, M=granites derived from melting of subducted crust or overlying mantle, A= anorogenic granites.



Figure 5-3: Classification diagrams for granitoid rocks showing the A-type affinity of the Wentworth granites. A) Alkalinity diagram (ANK= Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Na<sub>2</sub>O+K<sub>2</sub>O), ACNK= Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/(CaO+Na<sub>2</sub>O+K<sub>2</sub>O)) after Maniar and Piccoli (1989). B) Tectonic discrimination diagram after Pearce (1984); syn-COLG= syn-collisional granites, WPG= within-plate granites, VAG= volcanic arc granites, ORG= orogenic granites. C) Tectonic discrimination diagram after Eby (1992); A1= rift-related anorogenic granites, A2= post-collisional (arc-related) anorogenic granites. D) Tectonic discrimination diagram after Maniar and Piccoli (1989); IAG= island arc granitoids, CAG= continental arc granitoids, CCG= continental collision granitoids, POG= postorogenic granitoids, RRG= rift-related granitoids, CEUG= continental epeirogenic uplift granitoids.



Figure 5-4: Geochemical classification diagrams after Frost et al. (2001) for the Wentworth Pluton granitoid rocks. A) Fe-index: FeO/(FeO+MgO). B) ASI (Aluminum Saturation Index): Al/(Ca-1.67P+Na+K), C) MALI (Modified alkali-lime index): Na<sub>2</sub>O+ K<sub>2</sub>O-CaO. Symbols as in Figure 5-3.



Figure 5-5: Geochemical trends in the Wentworth granites. Symbols in (a) are based on relative age, whereas symbols in figures (b) to (f) are based on MgO abundance.

and pods (Fig 5-6b) Furthermore, fluorine seems to correlate positively with REEs for granites of all relative ages except late granitic dykes and pods (Fig 5-6c) All Wentworth granites show positive correlation of REEs with TiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig 5-6d) and even stronger correlation of MREEs with Y (Fig 5-6e) Titanium shows a negative correlation with SiO<sub>2</sub> and is in higher concentrations in the early Wentworth granites (Fig 5-6f)

Most of the granitic samples of all ages from the Wentworth Pluton present a similar REE pattern, showing significant LREE enrichment of 100 to 700 times more than the standard chondritic levels and flat HREE patterns with a strong Eu anomaly (Fig 5-7a) A few samples show different REE patterns. One of these patterns shows strong fractionation of both LREE and HREEs with a slight or even positive Eu anomaly (Fig 5-7b). Both samples that present such a pattern are from dykes and pods, either syn- or post-gabbro. Another pattern that has been observed is similar to the main REE pattern but with a progressive enrichment in HREEs instead of the typical flat pattern (Fig 5-7c). Samples of this REE pattern are from late dykes and pods and one possible Wentworth early granite (sample 9820), all three samples are of the low-MgO group.

The epsilon Nd values, calculated for 360 Ma, range from +1 15 to +3 60 (Table S2) These data are compared with  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  values recalculated to 360 Ma from Late Neoproterozoic rocks of the region, which might represent either a source or contaminant of the granitic magmas In a  $f_{Sm/Nd}$  versus  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  diagram ( $f_{Sm/Nd}$  fractional difference in the Sm/Nd ratio between the sample and the CHUR) (Fig 5-8a), the Wentworth granites appear on the lower right quadrant together with the Wentworth gabbros The late Neoproterozoic intermediate and felsic rocks plot on the lower left quadrant (Fig 5-8a) Epsilon Nd values of the Wentworth Pluton granites do not correlate with MgO, however

90



Figure 5-6: Selected geochemical plots for the Wentworth granites showing variation trends in trace elements. Symbols as in Figure 5-3.



Figure 5-7: REE patterns for the Wentworth granites. (a) General REE pattern for most granitic samples; (b) two strongly REE fractionated samples from late granite dykes, (c) three low-Mg samples with a slight HREE enrichment. Symbols as in Figure 5-3.



Figure 5-8:  $f_{Sm/Nd}$  vs  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  diagram (a) and  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  vs MgO diagram (b) for Neoproterozoic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands and Late Paleozoic rocks of the Wentworth Pluton. NP= Neoproterozoic rocks, LP= Late Paleozoic rocks.

the Late Paleozoic and Late Neoproterozoic mafic rocks show two different trends The Late Paleozoic mafic rocks show no variation in  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  as MgO increases, whereas the Late Neoproterozoic mafic rocks show a linear positive trend from low  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  values, more negative than those of more felsic Late Neoproterozoic rocks at low Mg content, to higher values that are within the range of the Wentworth granites at high Mg content (Fig 5-8b)

#### 5.6. Discussion

In this section, first the noteworthy geochemical features of the Wentworth granites are evaluated Second, the Sm-Nd isotope data are used to constrain the petrogenetic origin of the granite magmas. Then, this petrogenetic hypothesis is tested by modeling two of the prominent features of the Wentworth granite the enrichment in REE (Table 5-1) and the abundance of fluorine. Finally, we consider the tectonic implications of our petrogenetic model and its implications for the conditions under which A-type granites similar to the Wentworth Pluton can form

#### 5 6 1 Geochemical differences between the early and late granites

A distinct geochemical difference between the Wentworth granites of different relative age is the abundance of fluorine. In general, the Wentworth early granites have higher amounts of F than the late (syn- and post-gabbro) granites (Fig. 5-6a) Furthermore, the same geochemical trend has been inferred from the geochemistry of amphiboles in the Wentworth Pluton (Pe-Piper, 2007). The amphiboles from the Wentworth early granites are richer in F than those present in the late granites. This implies that the parent magma was also rich in F, so that the element would be incorporated in the mineral structure during amphibole crystallization (Martin, 2007). The smaller amounts of fluorine in the syn- and post gabbro granites suggest that during the anatexis of the early granites by the heat provided by the gabbroic intrusion, fluorine was released during the breakdown of the amphiboles, and escaped as a volatile phase in the hydrothermal system that was developed after the pluton emplacement (Pe-Piper et al., 2004)

#### 562 The origin of the high-Mg granitic group

The amounts of Mg measured in the Wentworth granites are within the range of other A-type granites described in the literature (Collins et al , 1982, Landenberger and Collins , 1996, Tollo et al , 1996, Whalen et al , 1996, Jung et al , 1998, Dall'agnol et al , 1999, Wu et al , 2002, Oliveira et al , 2009) However the published geochemical data for most A-type granitic suites resemble the low-Mg group of the Wentworth granites. The correlation of Mg with Cr and Ti and their relative enrichment in intermediate rocks (Fig 5-5b-f) indicates the contribution of a mafic component that introduced these geochemical features to the granites by mixing. Therefore the high-Mg group is interpreted to have formed by mixing of gabbro with initially low-Mg granites. This implies that a gabbroic magma was present throughout the emplacement of the pluton and was not restricted to the ~357 Ma gabbro body.

## 5 6 3 Nd isotope evidence for the source of the Wentworth granites

In general, crustal derived felsic rocks have low to negative  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  values, in contrast to higher positive values in more primitive mafic rocks (Rollinson, 1993) The small  $\varepsilon_{Nd(360)}$  range of the Wentworth granites (1 15 to 3 6) (Table 5-2) implies their origin from an isotopically homogeneous source There is no geochemical evidence for significant mixing of low-Mg granite with primitive gabbroic magma, which had  $\varepsilon_{Nd(360)}$  of 0 9 to 5 37 High-Mg and low-Mg granites do not show a particular variation in  $\varepsilon_{Nd(360)}$  (Fig 5-8b) Neither is there evidence for significant crustal contamination of the granitic magma by Neoproterozoic crust, most of which has  $\varepsilon_{Nd(360)}$  of -0 6 to -5 (Fig 5-8a) (Zachariah et al , 1997) The high  $\varepsilon_{Nd(360)}$  of the granites suggests derivation from mantle-derived rocks This might be either by extreme differentiation of a primitive mafic magma or by partial melting of mantlederived intermediate rocks

The possibility of an origin through extreme fractionation of a mafic magma is unlikely for the Wentworth granites since the >5 km thickness of the Wentworth granites would require a very large amount of gabbro to be fractionated (Frost and Frost, 2010) Moreover, possible intermediate fractionation products such as tonalites are rare and those that are known show textural evidence for an origin by mixing of granite with gabbro (Pe-Piper et al., 1996)

Partial melting of mantle-derived rocks with relatively primitive isotopic signature, therefore appears to be the most likely source of the granitic magma (Fig 5-8a) Partial melting of intermediate rocks of tonalite to granodiorite composition will yield a granitic magma (Creaser et al , 1991, Douce, 1997, Frost and Frost, 2010) The strong negative Eu anomaly and lack of HREE fractionation in the Wentworth granites suggests partial melting in the stability field of plagioclase, with little or no involvement of garnet (Fig 5-7a) Of the 13 Nd isotope analyses available for Neoproterozoic rocks in the Cobequid Highlands, one gabbro and two quartz diorite enclaves have relatively primitive  $\varepsilon_{Nd(360)}$  between 2.5 to 3.6 (equivalent to  $\varepsilon_{Nd(600)}$  between 4.5 and 5.7), a range of

96

 $\varepsilon_{Nd(360)}$  similar to most Wentworth granites These enclaves are interpreted to be representative of mafic rocks underplating the lower crust during Neoproterozoic subduction If such underplated rocks included minor more silicic fractions of tonalitic composition, then partial melting of these intermediate rocks as a result of heat from Devono-Carboniferous gabbroic magmas would be a possible source of the Wentworth granites

### 5 6 4 Trace element patterns of granitic magmas derived from partial melting tonalite

The hypothesis that the Wentworth granite magma resulted from partial melting of Neoproterozoic tonalite bodies within a predominantly gabbroic underplated lower crust was tested by examining the concentrations of REEs Neoproterozoic gabbros and quartz diorites with primitive  $\epsilon_{Nd}$  have similar rather flat REE patterns with 70-150 times chondrite enrichment (Fig 5-9a) A co-magmatic tonalite protolith is assumed to have similar REE abundance Batch melting modeling of varying degrees of partial melting of such tonalite with varying proportions of clinopyroxene, amphibole, plagioclase and Kfeldspar show that the observed REE patterns in the Wentworth granite (Fig 5-7a) would be produced only by partial melting of a rock with predominant plagioclase (Fig 5-9b and Table 5-1) This suggests that rather than a tonalite, the source rock might be more leucocratic, closer in a composition to a trondhjemite or plagiogranite. In that case, some of the enrichment in REE might have been a consequence of Neoproterozoic fractionation rather than Late Paleozoic partial melting

#### 565 The origin of high fluorine content of the Wentworth early granites

The abundance of fluorine in the granites may also constrain the source Fluorine is present in basaltic magmas and correlates positively with K (Aoki et al, 1981)



Figure 5-9<sup>•</sup> (a) REE patterns for Late Paleozoic and Neoproterozoic mafic rocks (Pe-Piper and Piper, 1989, Pe-Piper, 1998), demonstrating the limited range of REE amounts in these rocks (b) REE patterns of the Wentworth early granites compared to the REE patterns derived from the batch partial melting model summarized in Table 5-1

Fractionation of hydrous basaltic magma, concentrates F in residual fluids together with K and Ba, which then crystallize out in amphiboles, principally pargasite. The presence of pargasite in Neoproterozoic mafic rocks (Pe-Piper, 1988) is evidence for such F concentration in Neoproterozoic mafic magmas. If this magma underplated gabbro and its differentiates at the base of the crust, this lower crust would be enriched in F *5 6 7 Tectonic implications of the petrogenetic model* 

The Wentworth granites represent a late Paleozoic felsic intrusion with limited fractionation, over Neoproterozoic predominantly juvenile crust formed during subduction. The isotopic signature of the granites indicates a derivation through partial melting of juvenile Neoproterozoic intermediate to silicic rocks. Therefore the protoliths should be felsic enough as to produce a granitic melt without further fractionation of the produced melt. Crustal thinning and rise of asthenosphere in the late Devonian of the Magdalen Basin produced tholeiitic mafic magmas that were the heat source for partial melting of these fertile intermediate to felsic rocks in the Neoproterozoic lower crust (Fig. 5-10b).

Underplated mafic melts derived from the mantle wedge during subduction would underplate the lower crust to produce a section similar to that recognized in suprasubduction ophiolites (Fig 5-10a) The underplated crust in supra-subduction ophiolites consists principally of gabbro, but may include minor amounts of fractionates ranging from quartz diorite to plagiogranite (Bonev and Stampfli, 2009) These intermediate to felsic rocks thus inherit the primitive isotopic signature and incompatible elements from the mantle-derived mafic melts Such rocks include plagiogranites, a leucocratic variety of tonalite, however plagiogranite is always related to oceanic crust (Mirza and Sabah,


Figure 5-10: Proposed petrogenetic model.

2007) A trondhjemite, on the other hand, can be of continental origin as well (Nilsen et al , 2003) Furthermore a trondhjemite, which has biotite as a mafic mineral, could produce a K-rich granitic magma, compared to a plagiogranite that contains amphibole Moreover, a derivation from a trondhjemitic source with mostly sodic plagioclase (oligoclase), could also account for the high sodium amounts in the Wentworth granites, mineralogically reflected by the presence of sodic amphiboles

Several petrogenetic models have been suggested for the origin of the A-type granites. This study shows that partial melting of lower crustal underplated intermediate rocks from an old episode of subduction gives distinctive A-type granites with a narrow range of  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  that reflects the  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  in the earlier subduction system. A range of tectonic processes could produce the necessary heat source to cause partial melting. In the case of the Wentworth Pluton, the heat source appears to be upwelling asthenosphere. It is unknown, however, whether this upwelling resulted from crustal thinning by extension, or from a slab detachment during final closure of the Rheic Ocean.

#### 5.7. Conclusions

The Wentworth pluton granites resemble other A-type granites described in the literature (Collins et al , 1982, Landenberger and Collins , 1996, Tollo et al , 1996, Whalen et al , 1996, Jung et al , 1998, Dall'agnol et al , 1999, Wu et al , 2002, Oliveira et al , 2009) but are unusual in the bimodal distribution of Mg and Cr The early and late granites differ in the abundance of fluorine, which is higher in the former

The isotopic data from the Wentworth granites present  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  values similar to the calculated  $\varepsilon_{Nd(360)}$  from Neoproterozoic mafic rocks, such as gabbros and quartz diorites, which represent the local Avalonian basement related to subduction. The granites,

however, have significantly lower  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  values than the late Paleozoic gabbros and basalts and at the same time, higher  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$  values than their Neoproterozoic equivalents. The granites were derived from partial melting of a suitably silicic juvenile source in the predominantly gabbroic lower crust, formed during Neoproterozoic subduction

As noted by previous studies, the later gabbro remelted some of the early granites The only consistent geochemical difference between the early and late granites is the abundance of fluorine High-Mg granites show enrichment in Cr and Ti, which increases towards more intermediate compositions, indicating that this enrichment was the result of mixing with small amounts of gabbro High-Mg granites include rocks from all relative ages with respect to the ~357 gabbroic intrusion. This implies that the mafic component was already present when the granitic magma was formed in the lower crust, supporting the hypothesis that A-type granites derive from partial melting of such rocks

Minor amounts of trondhjemite are inferred to have formed in the predominantly gabbroic juvenile lower crust developed during Neoproterozoic subduction Partial melting of this source, isotopically similar to the Neoproterozoic gabbros, was triggered by upwelling asthenospheric gabbroic magma in the latest Devonian, related to the extensional opening of the Magdalen Basin. This magma provided the necessary high temperatures for anhydrous melting of small bodies on trondhjemite in the lower crust. Partial melting of sodic plagioclase present in trondhjemite accounts for the REE enrichment in the Wentworth granites and the presence of sodic amphiboles, whereas the presence of biotite, a typical mafic mineral in trondhjemite, could also account for the high amounts of K in the granite magma. Fluorine in the produced melt resulted from partial melting of amphibole, presumably pargasite

102

We suggest more generally that tectonic conditions that lead to partial melting of juvenile subduction-related lower crust is likely to result in A-type granite magmatism

# 5.8.Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Randolph Corney in Saint Mary's University for his assistance during the preparation of the analytical samples This project was supported by NSERC research grants to Dr Georgia Pe-Piper

# 5.9. CHAPTER 5: APPENDIX

All tables and supplementary data cited in chapter 5 can be found in this section following similar format to the general style of peer-reviewed journals, as required for manuscript submission

	Starting composition (ppm)	Melt comp modal com	osition (ppm) positions,frac (F)= (	for various tion of prod ).3	assumed uced melt	Observed mean composition of
Element	Neoproterozoic quartz diorite	50% cpx, 50% pl, 0% amp	20% cpx, 60% pl, 20% amp	15% cpx, 60% pl, 25% amp	35% qz, 62% pl, 3% Ksfp	Wentworth granites (ppm)
La	23.30	28 36	37 89	40 44	49 55	46.12
Ce	54.00	52 17	61 26	62 03	128 55	110.92
Nd	21.41	14 03	14 83	14 49	54 51	44.42
Sm	4.63	2 11	2 1 1	2 03	12 33	10.58
Eu	1.54	0 42	0 40	0 39	0 56	0.56
Tb	0.70	0 23	0 25	0 24	1 94	1.98
Yb	2.42	0 95	1 0 1	0 98	7 04	8.31
Lu	0.41	017	0 2 1	0 21	1 19	1.24

Table 5-1 Batch partial melting modeling for selected modal compositions of lower crustal source rock

Notes Concentrations for these elements were calculated with the equation introduced by Wood and Fraser (1976) for batch melting Partition coefficients for the selected minerals are after Rollinson (1993) The sample selected as starting composition was chosen based on its isotopic similarities with the Wentworth granites However, only selected REEs were determined for that particular sample and therefore the modelled compositions do not cover the whole REE range, as determined for the Wentworth granites

Sample	SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt%)	<sup>147</sup> Sm/ <sup>144</sup> Nd	<sup>143</sup> Nd/ <sup>144</sup> Nd	E <sub>Nd(360)</sub>
4636	76.1	0.13378	0.51267	3.60
4641	70	0.12494	0.51260	2.52
5056	64.4	0.13313	0.51261	2.46
6419	7 <b>6.</b> 7	0.14737	0.51268	3.15
6490	76.2	0.17041	0 51264	1.15
6518	73.1	0.10240	0.51258	3.13
7658	72.6	0.11487	0.51256	2.24
7710	75.9	0.13595	0.51266	3.19

 Table 5-2
 New Sm-Nd isotope analyses for selected granitic samples from the Wentworth Pluton (Data in this table also shown in Table S2)

	Sample	29-8-5	31-3-2	35-5-1	35-7-1	36-2-1		36-5-1	36-7-1	44-9-2	4614	46 18	4623	4626	4630		4633	4634	4636	4640	4641	1
-	Relative age	no type	lete dykes	post-	early grants	post-gabbro,		probable	post-	no type	syn-gabbro	syn-gabbro,	post-gabbro,	late dykes	post-		post-	post-gebbro,	eerly grante,	late dykes	post-	
			and pods	gabbro	definite	probable		early grante	gebbro,		definité	definite	probable	and pods	gabbro		gelobro,	probable	ciefinite	and pods	gebbro	
-	Source	Pa Piner	De Diner	Pe-Piner	De Diner	Pe Diner	new date	Pe.Proer	Probable De-Dioar	Pa Pinar	De Diner	De Diner	De Poor	De Dener	Probable Pro Propr	new date	De Diner	De Diner	De Dree	De Diner	Processe De Drow	one data
		1996	1996	1996	1996	1996		1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	THEY COLLA	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	
	Analysis	XRF- INAA	XRF	XRF	XRF- INAA	XRF- INAA	ICP-MS	XRF- INAA	XRF	XRF	XRF- INAA	XRF- INAA	XRF- INAA	XRF- INAA	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF	XRF- INAA	XRF- INAA	XRF- INAA	XRF	ICP-MS
	Major elemen	KS (WC%)									,											
	510,	75 79	76 27	73 35	74 94	72 90		74 76	73 83	75 87	74 97	67 98	66 28	73 56	67 91		64 69	66 19	76 15	70 68	70.04	
	ALO.	12 09	12 37	13 10	11 86	13 76		11 79	13 13	1178	12 55	12.90	14.52	12.95	14 90		14.63	15 02	11 58	049 13.87	14 25	
	Fe.O.	1 68	0.66	2 14	2 79	1 85		185	2.04	1 90	2.25	5 01	5.07	2.51	4 57		5 87	5 12	2.53	3.49	3 48	
	Mino	0 04	0 02	0 04	0.05	0 03		0 03	0 03	0 03	0.04	0 11	0 09	0.04	0 11		0 11	0 13	0.04	0 07	0 07	
	MgO	0.77	0.67	0 82	0 63	0 89		073	106	0 64	0 82	2 27	1 50	0 98	0 81		1 52	0 91	0.62	1 01	1 06	1
	CaO	0.43	0 82	0 46	0 30	0 44		0 16	0 43	0.09	0 77	2 73	179	0 61	0 89		194	1 17	0 21	1 29	0 92	
	Na <sub>2</sub> O	3 92	2 78	4 29	3 44	4 00		3 91	4 10	3 51	3 66	3 72	4 31	3 68	4 67		4 96	5 19	4 45	4 85	2 07	
	K <sub>2</sub> O	4 56	5 56	5 11	4 77	5 55		4 98	4 79	4 83	5 32	4 20	4 71	5 31	5 63		4 92	5 71	4 65	4 39	5 36	
	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0 0 3	0.03	0.03	0.03	0 03		003	0.05	0 02	0 03	0 14	0 18	0.05	0.05		0 21	0.06	0.01	011	0 10	
-	Trace elemen	nts (pom)			10 20	1040		0.00	<u></u>	<u>v.4</u> v		0.50	10.70	0.50	10.40		<u>v v</u>	10 20		V +0	19.99	
	Ba	103	176	206	55	285	346 0	44	179	40	147	204	481	287	161	206 0	651	209	39	642	599	684 0
	Rb	127	138	254	237	240	2200	205	220	186	242	160	205	223	169	145.0	159	174	224	166	212	195.0
	Ϋ́	70	92	85	114	60	44 1	96	116	5 66	93	90	79	86	83	754	82	95	90	48	53	43.6
	25	142	643	292	548	212	231 0	306	308	303	710	827	453	325	850	1190.0	799	1087	552	295	324	396.0
	Nb	37	46	54	81	23	27 9	62	55	45	57	46	39	45	41	65 8	36	76	69	23	20	24 3
	n ph	13	39	20	21	17	15.0	15 A	24	18	25 h.d	11	11	24	18	22.0	/ <sub>7</sub>	15	16	14	41	27.0
3	Ga	21	23	25	30	20	180	23	22	24	25	21	24	24	29	27 0	26	31	30	19	19	18 0
	Zn	46	57	58	161	32	29 0	43	27	36	49	128	96	51	123	1150	119	111	131	51	72	60.0
	Cu	4	30	6	4	lod c	30	4	2	5 d	bd	13	bd	60	la d	70	60	o d	64	bd	bd	80
	v I	bd	9	4	2	21	< 5	bd	4	b di	1	81	40	10	5	< 5	31	5	2	15	15	11.0
	cr	59	33	32	31	21	< 20	38	31	41	33	66	25	24	26	< 20	27	17	32	32	34	< 20
	Co	6 d	bd	bd	50	bd	< 1	bd	bd	bd	b d	60	bd	bd	bd	< 1	bd	bd	bd	bd	bđ	20
	Ce I	28 71	nd	nd	158	107	4/9	138	nd	nd	146	131	132	121	nd	329.0	130	202	152	41 76	nd	407 870
	Pr	nd	nd	nd	n.d	nd	107	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	36 8	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	99
	Nd	38	nd	nd	nd	nd	36 2	66	nd	nd	63	57	57	48	n d	134.0	ଣ	86	68	33	nd	36 8
	Sm Fu	96	n d	nd	17 9	87	74	154	nd	nd	130	138	11 9	108	nd	235	135	163	153	79	nd	78
	Gd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	68	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n đ	nd	193	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	76
	то	21	n d	n di	35	16	12	31	nd	nd	24	20	18	21	n d	29	20	23	23	11	nd	13
	Dy	nd	nd	n đ	nd	nd	74	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	157	nd	in d	nd	nd	nd	78
	HO Er	nd	nd	ndi	Ind	nd	16	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	31	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	16
	Tm I	nd	nd	nd	ind	nd	076	nd	nd	nd	ad	nd	nd	nd	nd	145	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	076
	YD	69	n d	n d	12.4	58	52	10 1	nd	nd	99	91	72	88	n d	96	77	10 2	10 7	46	nd	51
	ω Co	1 09	nd	nd	1 99	0.85	0 74	1 28	nd	nd	1 54	1 47	1 12	1 34	nd	1 50	1 14	1 62	1 67	0 73	nd	0 72
	å	42	nd	nd	26	19		bd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd		nd	nd	nd	4	nd	
	Cs	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	46	22	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	n d	34	nd	nd	nd	24	nd	52
	Hr i	6 1	nd	nd	20 5	79	68	139	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n di	20 4	n.d	nd	nd	97	ndi	88
	S0	bd	nd	nd	Þđ	bd	< 0 2	bd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	< 0 2	nd	n d	n d	00	nd	< 0.2
	Sc .	2	nd	nd	1	3	nd	1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	7	nd	nd
	Ta No	24	nd	nd	70	26	27	63	Ind	nd	n.d	nd	nd	nd	Ind	32	nd	nd	nd	19	Ind	21
		n 0	n <b>G</b>			n d	- 0.6		n 0		nd	nd	n 0 - d	10		n 0 < 0.5	n 0	n d	nd	nq		n 0
	e l		n a		n d	nd	~ U D h d					n d	nd			- U 5 h d	n 0	nd	24	na		00 64
	Ge	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	14	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	20	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	15
	Th	17	ndi	n d	26	29	25 8	21	n di	n di	24	14	16	25	nd	179	11	18	20	20	nd	19 2
	U	bd	nd	nd	Ъd	bd	51	4 1	n đ	n di	61	33	28	44	n d	38	27	44	59	46	nd	46

Supplementary data Table S1. Whole-rock geochemical analyses of representative granitic rocks of the Wentworth Pluton

 In
 17
 nd
 nd
 nd
 20
 10
 10
 10
 12
 11
 18
 20
 20
 10
 192

 U
 bd
 nd
 nd
 bd
 11
 nd
 11
 18
 20
 20
 10
 192

 U
 bd
 nd
 nd
 bd
 14
 10
 13
 2.6
 14.4
 16
 2.5
 14.4
 15.9
 4.6
 nd
 4.6

 Note n
 nd
 nd
 nd
 nd
 6.1
 3.3
 2.6
 14.4
 16
 12.3
 14.4
 15.9
 4.6
 nd
 4.6

 Note n
 nd
 nd
 nd
 6.1
 3.3
 2.6
 14.4
 16
 18.7
 11.1
 18.4
 12.0
 12.4
 13.3
 12.4
 13.4
 10.4
 16.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4
 10.4<

	Sample	4642	4646		4648		4650	4652	4654	4656	4660		4663		4664		4665	4646	4644		4652		5056	
	Relative age	post	post		early		early grante,	early	post gabbro,	late dykes	post		post		early		early granite	post	early grant	<b>.</b>	early grant	le,	syn-gabbro	definite
		gabbro	gabbro		grante, probeble		cut by gor	grante probable	definite	and pods	gabbro		gabbro,		grante, grante		definite .	gabbro,	probable		probable			
	Source	Pe-Picer	Pe-Poer	new data	Pe Poer	new data	Pe-Poer	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pepper	new deta	Pa-Piper	new deta	Pe-Piper	new data	Pa-Picer	Pe-Piper	Pe Piper	new deta	Pe-Picer	new data	Pe-Piper	new data
		1996	1996		1996		1996	1996	1996	1996	1996		1996		1996		1996	1996	1996		1996		1996	
	Analysis	XRF	XRF	ICP MS	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF-INAA	XRF	XRF- INAA	XRF- INAA	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF	ICP MS	XRF	ICP MS	XRF INAA	XRF	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF	ICP-MS
	Major elemer	NES INCES	74.40		170.00		172.00		170.07	170.04	175.00		200.40		20.40				1		70.00		04.4B	
	540,	043	0 17		13 62		1303	/352	1/6 0/	/6 34	/5 38		7249		76 40		76 01	74 10	/4 13		/352		0443	
	A,0,	13 55	13 78		13 58		13 75	13 61	12 87	11 86	12 34		13 43		11 95		12 03	13 78	13 29		13 61		15 80	
	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	3 27	1 25		2 22		2 33	2 10	0 80	1 77	2 25		2 40		1 90		2 09	1 25	2 11		2 10		5 37	
	MnO	0.06	0 03		0.05		0.06	0.06	0 02	0 03	0.04		0.05		0.04		0 04	0 03	0 03		0.06		D 07	
	MgO	1 10	0 96		0.84		0.83	0 77	0.85	0 69	0 68		0 90		0 71		0.62	0 96	0 76		0 77		1 05	
	CaO	0 74	0 74		0 52		0 57	0 64	0 43	0 16	0 18		8 74		0 34		0 20	0 74	0.50		0 64		2 62	
	Na <sub>2</sub> O	3 59	4 30		395		384	4 06	3 77	3 31	3 99		4 09		4 05		4 16	4 30	4 07		4 05		3 44	
	R,O.	4 94	10.04		5 21		5 14	5 21	4 58	5 32	5 06		5.04		4 91		4 93	4 74	5 26		5 21		54/	
		0 80	0.20		0.40		0 20	0 20	0 60	0 00	0 20		0.60		0 50		0 10	0 20	0 20		0 20		0 20	
	Trace eleme	inagi sin																						
	Ba	555	376	445 0	168	223 0	204	248	133	57	113	142 0	190	254 0	52	57 0	37	376	212	277 0	248	316 0	669	752 0
	Sar I	89	119	112.0	34	37.0	39	258	1205	11	9	1620	252 51	230 U 54 0	8	1/10 90	189	119	280	430	37	232 V 38 0	148	150 0
	Ÿ	50	26	15 5	84	69 9	84	86	82	78	60	517	84	75 1	51	40 2	95	26	82	66 3	96	77 0	93	808
	2	250	97	100 0	269	328 0	296	268	218	276	369	436 0	306	403 0	311	375 0	364	97	253	355 0	268	274 0	579	879 0
	N6 Th	19	14	14 6	49	68 9	51	51	67	46	44	477	47	63 7	57	69 4	63	14	52	66 4	51	64 6	50	61 1
-	P0	23	bd	150	22	180	e	17	119	11	bd	210	13	22 0	136	105 0	43	bd	14	160	17	180	30	28 0
8	Ga	19	15	14 0	24	23 0	25	21	23	25	24	24 0	20	21 0	26	22 0	25	15	26	24 0	21	23 0	23	23 0
••	2n	50	24	170	37	28.0	32	34	33	40	90	970	60	57 0	62	<b>61 0</b>	114	24	48	450	34	25 0	66	62 0
	NI NI	9	7	50	4	30	9	8	17	7	6	30	8	40	10	80 60	5 d 7	7	7	30	8	30	6	60
	v	6	7	80	4	< 5	<b>i</b> !	1	4	bd	bd	< 5	8	80	bd	< 5	bd	7	7	60	1	< 5	49	45 0
	cr	40	41	< 20	24	< 20	40	27	24	34	21	< 20	24	< 20	49	20 0	37	41	26	< 20	27	< 20	12	< 20
	C0 ta	38	bd	< 1 50 0	50	< 1 67.4	bd	bd	5 d	6 d	160	< 1 40.0	bd	10	50	< 1	bd	50	60	10	bd	< 1	b d e d	370
	Če	67	nd	830	nd	157 0	136	nd	75	82	nd	135.0	nd	176 0	Ind	978	136	nd	nd	142.0	nd	144 0	nd	159 0
	Pr	00	nd	5 5	nd	157	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	132	nd	19 2	nd	10 5	nd	nd	nd	14.0	nd	18.5	nd	18 1
	Nd	31	nd	160	nd	538	62	nd	44	54	nd	499	nd	62 1	ndi	393	63	nd	nd	48 5	nd	64 7	nd	69 4
	em Eu	1 74	nd	0.51	0.0	0.78	0.96	8 Q 8 d	0.53	0.54	nd	0.69		0.83	n.a	049	14 2	n a n d		10.3	nd	0.79	nd	1 88
	Gđ	nd	n d	28	nd	11 2	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	107	nd	12 3	nd	78	nd	nd	nd	105	n.d	13 3	nd	15 3
	ть	10	nd	05	nd	21	20	٩đ	18	23	nd	19	nd	22	nd	14	25	nd	nd	19	nd	24	nd	26
	Dy I	nd	nd	27	nd	128	nd	nd	nd	nd	Ind	114	nd.	135	nd	85	nd	nd	in d	118	nd	14 2	nd	155
	Ē	nd	nd	17	nd	11	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	64	nd	80	nd	51	nd	nd	nd	73	nd	82	nd	86
	Tm	n d	nd	0 27	nd	1 28	nd	٩d	nd	nd	nd	1 05	nd	1 32	nd	0 86	nd	nd	nd	1 25	nd	1 33	nd	1 33
	Yb	43	nd	20	nd	81	85	nd	79	80	nd	70	nd	84	nd	57	97	nd	n d	84	nd	84	nd	85
	Co	4	nd	0.30	Ind	1 45	12	nd	1 18	1 20	Ind	0.80	nd	1.12	nd	0.90	143 n.d	na	nd	111	nd	1 18	nd	1 10
	à	bd	nd		nd		bd	n d	nd	nd	nd		n.d		nd		nd	nd	nd		nd		nd	
	Cs	47	nd	19	nd	60	56	nd	21	18	nd	15	n di	40	nd	33	nd	nd	n d	37	nd	54	nd	60
	Hr	83	nd	28	nd	86	10.5	n d	94	124	nd	115	nd	10 2	nd	10 9	nd	nd	nd	86	nd	70	nd	14 2
	50 50	bd	nd	< 0.2	nd	< 0 2	bd	nd	nd	nd	nd	< 0.2	nd	< 0 2	nd	< 0 2	nd	nd	nd	< 0 2	nd	< 0.2	n.d.	< 0.2
	а. Т.		nd	n a 1 4	10	n a 4 6	3	n a	2	1		22	na	13		47	na	na		n o 5 5	in d	10	n.u	n u 1.7
	Be		ad	nd .	a d	a d		nd	102	2.5	nd l	e / 0 d	nd	- J nd	nd	/ nd		a d		u D n d	24		nd	nd
	Cd	nd	nd	< C 5	nd	< 0 5	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	< 0.5	nd	< 0.5	nd	< 0 5	nd	nd	nd	< 0.5	nd	< 05	nd	< 0.5
	8	nd	nd	bø	nd	bd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	bd	nd	bo	nd	00	nd	nd	nd	bd	nd	bd	nd	bd
	Ge	nd	nd	15	nd	19	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	19	nd	17	nd	18	nd	nd	n đ	17	nd	19	ndi	15
	Th	23	nd	31 8	nd	30 5	26	nd	32	17	nd	165	nd	31.0	nd	15 9	18	nd	nd	25 5	nd	26 6	n đ	189

Supplementary data Table S1 Whole-rock geochemical analyses of representative granitic rocks of the Wentworth Pluton

 In
 23
 nd
 310
 nd
 310
 nd
 159
 16
 nd
 160
 nd
 160
 100
 160
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100

1	iample	5060		8518	6588	6590	6591		6688	6902		6971		7814		4615	4629	4631	4632	464.5	4651	4857	4858	
7	telative age	post gabbr	٩.	post gabbro,	ealy grante,	syn-gabbro	late fine-gr	bene	early granite	early grani		early grante	probable	early grante	cut by gbr	syn-	late dykes	post	post-	post	post	syn-	syn-gebbro,	probable
		probable		probable	definite	probable	granke dyk		probable	probable						gebbro. definite	and pods	gabbro. probable	geboro. probebie	gabbro, probabla	gabbro	gebbro. delicite		
1	Source	Pe-Piper	new deta	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe Piper	Pe-Piper	new data	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	new data	Pe-Piper	new data	Pe-Piper	new deta	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper,	Pe Piper	new deta
-		1996		1996	1996	1996	1996		1996	1996		1996		1996		1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	
	whally sis	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF INAA	XRF- INAA	XRF. INAA		KP-MS	XRF INAA	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF- INAA	ICP-MS	XRF- INAA	ICP-MS	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	ICP-MS
-	New Contractions	76 62		73 09	75 18	76 42	74 02		78.03	77 74		76 83		76 65		74 49	69.95	69 29	65 66	71 21	73 48	75 81	77 33	
1	no.	0 15		0 43	0 19	0 23	0 22		0.25	0 07		0 18		0 19		0 31	0 46	0 38	0.98	0 34	0 22	0 21	0 11	1
	4, <b>0</b> ,	11 80		11.74	12 84	10 34	13 27		11.42	12 25		11 53		11 BQ		12 55	14 19	14 68	14 29	14 50	13 45	12 32	11 64	
1	÷203	1 79		4 54	158	3 11	194		2 26	0.69		2 18		1 67		1 46	3 30	3 97	563	2 18	194	2 30	1 87	
	win0	0 02		0 10	0 02	0 01	0 03		0 07	0 02		0 05		0 03		0.04	0.06	0 07	0 12	0.06	0 03	0 04	0 03	
		0 00		0.02	0.00	0.00	0.09		0.07	0.00		0 07		0 03		1 01	1 16	0 94	162	1 00	1 04	070	0 72	
	4.0	3 02		4 25	3 55	2 49	3 90		4.58	3 92		3 77		3 37		3 21	4 41	4.69	4 67	104	4 28	3 57	3 42	
i	ço i	5 09		4 82	5 54	5 21	5 37		2 92	4 57		4 81		5 07		5 77	4 90	5 85	4 60	4 95	5 03	5 92	4 74	
I.	20,	0 01		0 02	0 02	0.03	0 03		0 03	0 01		0 02		0 02		0.05	0.09	0.03	025	0.08	0.04	0 02	0 01	
4	.OI	0.00		0 20	0 00	0.00	0 30		0 19	0 33		0 35		0 32		0 30	0 30	1.00	0 20	0.10	0 50	0.00	0.00	
ī	race element Ba	47	88 0	12	123	38	173	248 0	23	49	109.0	60	55 0	bot	68 0	187	468	140	640	570	158	125	24	47 0
1	65	201	1730	170	229	190	192	176 0	95	279	242.0	246	205 0	174	166 0	214	217	194	152	143	211	169	197	184.0
	ar /	7	60	12	16	18	31	33 0	18	8	80	14	130	33	22 0	84	76	20	116	152	30	13	9	110
2		276	267 0	2136	292	1290	294	3310	1011	143	1380	609	5690	262	280 0	1009	452	911	460	198	298	370	371	4160
1	to di	71	712	77	47	96	48	56 2	64	65	64 0	85	86.4	60	72 0	47	41	34	34	20	56	45	73	93 0
		16	~	21	25	27	28		17	27	~ ~	19		17	10.0	25	23	18	21	21	19	15	15	41.0
0	a la	20	22 0	34	21	20	21	190	22	25	240	33	290	27	24.0	21	22	26	24	18	23	25	26	250
$\mathbf{v}_i$	n n	47	58 0	138	16	135	53	53 0	52	46	17 0	122	107 0	40	32 0	39	61	106	78	36	27	70	56	52 0
	20	6	160	22	bd	10	bd	30	bd	14	14 0	15	90	6	50	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	5	17.0
	/	bd	20 : 55	bri	60	5 30	7	30 ≤5	5 N	64	20 ≤5	60	<5	14 15 d	20 < 5	14	15	2	8 39	16	4	b d	e b d	30 <5
	2	19	< 20	7	5	10	o	< 20	5	bđ	< 20	bd	< 20	12	< 20	32	32	31	25	39	34	34	32	< 20
	Co	bd	47 0	bd	bd	bd	bd	730	bd	bd	96 0	bd	76 0	bđ	78 0	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	ьd	bd	<1
		nd	315	232	92	109	nd	673 1360	104	n.d	258	54	48 2	122	590 1200	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		10	23.9
i	4	nd	90	nd	nd	nd	nd	16 0	nd	nd	71	nd	137	nd	15 5	nd	nd	nd	nd	n.d	nd	nd	nd	69
	d I	nd	33 6	176	70	103	nd	55 3	96	nd	24 7	56	52 9	n d	58 5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	25.2
	ann - Eur	na	82	301	130	0.65	nd nd	117	11 17	nd	/ 1	0.62	138 0.65	n d	137	nd		nd	n o n d	n d.	nd	ad	n d n d	007
	24	nd	80	n d	nd	nd	nd	110	nd	nd	78	nd	14 0	nd	13.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd.	nd	nd	nd	83
	пь	nd	15	3 3	19	40	nd	20	33	nd	16	24	26	nd	24	nd	nd	n d.	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	17
	by to	nd	96	nd	nd	nd	n d	123	nd	nd	105	nd	158	nd	146	nd	Ind	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	105
i	Er Er	nd	59	nd	nd	nd	nd	76	n.d	nd	65	nd	92	nd	84	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	64
	ſm	nd	0 98	nd	nd	n d	nd	1 29	nd	nd	1 13	n d	1 60	nd	1 40	nd	nd	nd	nd	ndi	nd	ndi	nd	1 06
	10 11	nd	65	2 10	81	173	nd	84	2.09		75	10 3	103	nd.	1 22	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	71
	Co	nd	0.00	nd	nd	n.d.	nd		nd	nd	0.30	nd	. 40	n đ		n.d	n d	n d.	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
	Cr	nd		n d	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd		n d		n d		n.d	nd	nđ	nd	n d	nd	nd	nd	
	CS ;	nd	23	nd	nd	nd	nd	19	nd	nd	31	nd	23	nd	13	nd	nd	n.d	n.d.	n.d	nd	nd	nd	23
	nii Dh	n <b>q</b>	- 0 7	n 0	n 0	n.a	n o	34		n a	- 02	10	14 2	n <b>o</b>	- 0 7	na	n 0 n 4	n a 	n d	n a 	10		n 9.	120
	BC	nd	< 0 2 n d	nd	nd	nd	nd	×0∠ nd	nd.	nd	< 0 2 n d	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Ta	nd	59	nd	nd	nd	nd	55	nd	nd	71	nd	83	nd	51	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	48
	Be	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n đ	n d	n d	nd	ndi	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	nd	nd
	Cd	n d	< 0.5	n d	nd	nd	nd	< 0 5	nd	nd	< 05	nd	< 0 5	ndt	< 0.5	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	n d	nd	n d	< 0.5
	6	nd	bd	n d	nd	nd	nd	bd	nd	nd	bd	nd	bd	nd	bd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	bđ
	ue Th	nd	19	17	n d 33	n d	nd	17	10	nd	17	n d 22	19	nd	22	Ind	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	n 0 n 1	176
	 U	nd	44	35	60	38 4	nd	59	50	nd	72	55	48	70	45	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	44

Supplementary data Table S1 Whole rock geochemical analyses of representative granitic rocks of the Wentworth Pluton

 Th
 n d
 182
 17
 23
 26
 n d
 263
 19
 n d
 30
 22
 19.9
 n d
 187
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d
 n d

	Sample	46628	6533	6370	6373	6419	6490	6686	5808	6970	5067	6110	6484	7359		7360		7372		7373		7374		74 19	7514
-	Relative age		post-	late dykes	late dykes	post-	syn-	post-	early	post-	syn-	syn-	syn-	late dykes		late dykes		post-		post		syn		early	early
			gebbro,	and pods	and pods	gabbro,	gebbro	gaboro, grobable	grante	gaobro, grobeble	gabbro, defete	gabbro, grobable	gabbro, defete	and pods		and pods		gabbro		gabbro		gabbro		grante,	grante,
•	Bource	Pe-Poer	Pe-Piper	PePper	Pe-Piner	Pe-Picer	Pe-Piper	Pe-Poer	Pe-Piper.	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pa-Picer	Pe-Poer	new date	Pe-Piper	new data	Pe-Piper	new data	Pe-Pner	new deta	Pe-Poer	new data	Pe-Poer	Pe Piper
		1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996		1996		1996		1996		1996		1996	1996
	Analysis	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	KP-MS	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF	<b>KPMS</b>	XRF	ICP-MS	XRF	XRF
-	Major elemen	5 (W(%)																							
	SIO,	72 14	76 35	77 87	67 24	76 79	76 21	73 59	73 58	74 67	77 06	75 40	77 24	76 63		77 53		76 20		75 72		75 44		75 08	73 67
	ALO.	14.37	11 98	11 72	13 57	11 13	12 59	13 49	13 60	12.93	11 35	11 50	11.95	0 14		12.45		11.99		12 09		11 86		12.83	14.45
	Fe.O.	1.65	1.62	1 75	7.69	2 82	1.67	2 88	2 57	2 18	1 78	2 78	1 21	0.77		0.49		1 82		2 35		3.08		1.94	1.18
	MnO	0.05	0 03	0.01	0 17	0.05	0 03	0 07	0 02	0.04	0 02	0.06	0 02	0.01		0 01		0.04		0 05		0 03		0.04	0.03
	MgO	1 11	0 00	0 00	0.06	0 02	0.04	0 02	0 15	0.09	0 00	0 11	0 07	0 03		0.06		0 31		0 26		0 25		0 03	0.08
	CaO	1 11	0 19	0.26	1 27	0 18	0.45	063	0 45	0 34	0 25	0 54	0 79	0 24		0 51		0 30		0 7 1		0 73		0 50	106
	Na <sub>2</sub> O	4 69	3 57	371	4 53	3 75	3 50	5 24	4 07	369	2 79	4 38	3 32	2 33		369		3 63		3 78		2 89		3 50	4 37
	K <sub>2</sub> O	4 61	5 15	4 38	4 66	4 59	5 31	3 75	4 87	5 39	5 11	4 70	4 85	7 06		4 60		4 81		4 69		5 49		5 50	3 61
	P;O;	0 07	0 00	0.01	0.06	0 01	0.02	0 02	0 02	0.03	0.01	0 03	0 03	0 02		0.02		0 03		0 03		0 03		0.02	0.04
-	Trace element	(s (ppm)	1040	040	10 13	0.40	0 20	V 23	0/4			0.30	10 40	10 2 9		10.30				19.29		10.32		10 20	19,99
•	Ba	650	bd	bd	157	52	128	579	209	257	45	40	109	452	620 0	Π	134 0	72	129 0	87	126 0	170	310 0	230	1092
	Rb	83	296	180	136	257	170	146	221	240	201	187	196	181	169 0	136	130 0	190	178.0	180	171 0	210	189 0	249	85
	awi Y	31	18	112	18	13	21 71	115	29	36 70	87	162	46	109	1100	36	380	23	260	34	360 785	113	100.0	23	122
	Zr	196	262	271	981	722	271	625	414	372	282	564	279	69	810	86	93.0	434	492 0	420	482.0	633	698 0	333	88
	Nb	19	92	87	74	106	66	62	44	61	61	85	68	22	26 3	20	22.4	68	680	57	64 0	58	667	56	12
<b></b>	Th I	6	28	22	11	21	14	18	25	18	19	20	12	16	24.0	24	12.0	18	14.0	15	*0.0	22	10.0	21	11
Ξ	Ga	12	26	27	39	34	26	26	22	27	22	30	24	23	170	15	130	32	240	20	24 0	26	22 0	21	15
$\cup$	2n	90	90	45	309	181	77	82	63	52	42	148	50	10	10 0	bd	50	34	37 0	61	62 0	49	50 0	61	9
	Cu	bđ	bd	5	27	40	23	17	31	8	bd	5	19	10	10	12	70	38	33 0	13	30	15	40	20	bd
	v	6	5	//	60	25	bd	50	50	ba	50	bd	10	5 d	30	5	30	17	40	17	110	17	60 120	bd	5 d
	Gr	218	60	44	6 4	18	bd	bd	bd	bd	39	14	14	b d	< 20	bd	< 20	7	< 20	10	< 20	8	< 20	bd	5
	Co	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bd	bdi	b đ	bd	bd	Ьd	bd	ьd	75 0	bd	96 0	ьd	107 0	0	630	0	81 0	bd	bd
		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	ndi	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	34 4	nd	108	nd	514	nd	609	nd	430	nd	nd
	Pr l	nd	nd	na	Ind		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	12/0	nd	283	n d n d	140	nd	1360	in d	92 1 14 7	nd	nd od
	Nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	29 2	nd	11.7	nd	52 1	nd	56 2	nd	57 9	nd	nd
	Sm	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	nd	nd	n.d	nd	55	nd	31	nd	126	nd	13 0	n d	15 7	nd	nd
	Eu	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	ndi	nd	nd	n.d.	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.96	nd	017	nd	0 57	nd	0.66	nd	0.90	nd	nd
	ТЪ	nd	nd	nd	nd	ad	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	07	nd	05	nd	24	nd	24	ad	32	nd	nd
	бу	nđ	nd	nd	n d.	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	nd	nd	37	n.d	32	nd	15 0	n d	14.4	n.d	19 6	nd	nd
	Ho	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	07	nd	06	nd	32	nd	30	nd	41	nd	nd
	er Tm	nd	n d	nd	Ind	nd	nd	nd	nd			nd	nd	nd	21	nd.	18		1 55		144	n d	11 2	nd	nd
	YD	nd	n.d	nd	n.d	n.d	nd	n.d.	nd	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	nd	nd	27	n.d.	25	nd	10 1	nd	9 2	nd	11 3	nd	nd
	Lu	n.d	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	ndi	ndi	nd	n đ	nd	n d	nd	0 38	ndi	0 38	nd	138	nd	1 29	nd	1 53	nd	n d
	Co Co	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	nđ	nđ	nd	nd	nd		nd		nd		nđ		nd		Ind	nd
	Cs :	in d	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	25	nd	17	nd	13	nd	18	nd	34	nd	ndi
	Hr	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	27	nd	36	nd	117	nd	11 0	n d	15 0	nd	nd
	Sb	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nď	n đ	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	< 0 2	nd	< 0 2	nd	< 0 2	nd	< 0 2	nd	< 0 2	nd	nd
	Sc	nd	ndi	nd	nd	nd	nd	ndi	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	пd	nd	nd	n di	ndi	nđ
	Ta	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	ndi	nd	nd	nd	nd	n đ	nd	37	nd	64	nd	72	nd	55	nd	62	nd	nd
	Be	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Cđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n.d	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	< 0.5	nd	< 0.5	nd	< 0.5	nd	< 0.5	nd	< 0.5	in d	nd
	5 Ce	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d.	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	Ind	nd	00	Ind	12	nd	0 d 1 7	nd	1 7	nd	5 d	nd	nd
	Th				nd				n d	ad	la d	ad	n d	nd	14.8	la d	23.5	ad	19.5	n a	17.3	n d	201	n d	nd I
	Ű	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	24	nd	22	nd	43	nd	44	nd	51	nd	nd

Supplementary data. Table SI. Whole-rock geochemical analyses of representative granitic rocks of the Wentworth Pluton

 Ind
 Ind</th

Sample	7629	7658	7630		7710	7704	78168		8001	8002	8005	8010	8051	8083A	8083B	8084	8085	8202	8203		8204	96 19	9820	9621
Relative age	post gabbro	post- gabbro,	lete dykes and pods		post- gabbro	eeriy granite definite	iate, fine- gramed		early grants probable	earty granite	early grante,	early grante,	darly grante,	eurly grante	sarly grants	early grante	no data	post gabbro	lata fine- grained		no deta	early grante,	early grante,	early grante,
Source	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	new data	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	new data	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper	Pe-Piper,	Pe-Piper	Pe-Peper	Pe-Piper	Pe	Pe-Piper	new deta	Pe-Piper	new data	new data	new data
Analysis	XRF	XRF	XRF	ICAMS	1990 XRF	XRE- INAA	1990 XRF	ICPMS	XRF	XRF	XRF	1990 XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	1396 XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	ICPMS	XRF	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS
Major elemen	#s /mt%)	<u></u>				1						<u>L'</u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	1.10	<u></u>					1,44			
SIO,	76 55	72 62	72 76		75 65	83 35	76 05		74 32	76 26	76 33	75 76	74 63	76 22	76 30	76 56	72 40	76 84	75 74		71 96	73 09	79 36	66 44
тю,	0 19	0 32	0 30		0 23	0 15	0.06		0 25	0 21	0 31	0 21	Q 19	0 14	0 13	0 10	0 28	0 15	0 26		0 37	059	0 07	0 94
Al <sub>2</sub> O,	12 21	13 60	13 87		11 91	7 97	13 43		13 01	11 56	11 61	11 50	12 79	12 55	12 41	11 74	13 09	12 60	12 47		14 96	13 29	10 60	13 62
Fe <sub>7</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1 73	2 72	2 39		2 54	2 08	0 52		1 89	2 53	2 91	2 47	1.66	1 49	1.40	1 49	2 66	1 75	3 02		2 19	2 41	1 97	4 85
Mino	0.04	0.06	0.05		0.04	0 07	0 02		0 02	0 05	0.04	0 03	0.03	0 02	0.01	0 02	0.04	0 03	0.03		0.06	0.06	0.01	0 07
MgO	0 22	0 24	0.25		0 09	0 12	0 02		0.06	0 23	0 14	0 14	0 13	0 07	0.06	0.06	0 17	0 04	0 10		0 45	0 71	0 11	1 25
CaO	0 48	0.90	0 97		0 40	1 17	0 75		0 45	0 50	0 43	0 41	0.45	0 44	0 34	047	0 51	0 23	0 38		1 19	198	0 10	3 16
K.O.	4 77	5 24	5 00		4 77	2 46	1 00		5 37	3/5	3 80	3 /0	5 05	5 14	5 00	1 00	a 14 a 13	4 30	4 87		1 08	4 17	0.04	4 74
P.O.	0.04	0 07	0.06		0.02	0.02	0.01		0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0 01	0.01	0 00	0.00	0.01		0.00	10 13	0.01	0 16
LOI	0 52	0 57	0 53		0 29	0 47	0 32		0 40	0 52	0 30	0 50	0 56	0 30	0 59	0 29	0 10	0 18	0.65		0 65	0.34	0 22	0.46
Trace elemen	nts (ppm)									•														
Ba i	185	365	363	395 0	76	157	0	167 0	329	93	192	83	299	274	222	291	891	25	260	70 0	7350	561 0	24 0	325 0
RD Sr	37	253	201	1820	203	50	148	1400	42	202	221	228	28/	284	296	366	191	109	203	23.0	163.0	124.0	44.0	169.0
Ŷ	72	82	73	60 2	88	130	60	487	76	82	122	98	67	52	41	75	58	52	120	106 0	430	52 2	136.0	74 3
27	245	383	407	394 0	699	867	62	67 0	326	614	843	566	218	232	218	174	553	323	650	696 0	224 0	596 0	630 0	346 0
Nb	47	47	44	51.4	57	56	122	159 0	53	72	67	75	41	36	34	92	90	96	96	61 2	370	286	1120	42 3
 in Ph	16	29	20	94.0	18	18	35	32.0	22	18	16	23	29	31	25	56	28	30	28	4.0	100	6.0	60	14.0
 Ga	21	24	22	20 0	31	14	29	260	26	28	27	29	25	26	25	26	23	29	29	290	23 0	18 0	28 0	25 0
 Zn	62	81	82	56 0	112	59	46	26 0	43	76	50	74	56	27	33	19	41	66	48	50 0	30.0	27 0	49 0	52 0
Cu	5	6	15	40	11	28	7	60	4	3	6	14	5	3	2	8	10	11	9	50	14 0	17.0	330	22.0
NI V	10	11	10	40	bd	50	9	10	5	2	bd	bd	6	5	8	20	20	20	19	30	220	24.0	30	120
à	50	bd	bc	< 20	7	bd	6 0	< 20	3	bd	1	7	7	4	2	15	14	12	12	< 20	60	< 20	< 20	20 0
Co	ьd	ъd	<b>b</b> 0	67 0	bd	bd	ьd	82 0	bd	bd	bdi	bd	bd	nđ	n d	nd	nd	nd	1	< 1	10	40	10	80
La I	nd	n d	nd	729	nd	nd	nd	25 6	17	9	85	38	24	36	5	38	33	32	91	105 0	38 0	67 5	37 3	43 1
Ce Pr	nd	nd	nd	1370	nd	Ind	ind	676	119	147	155	200	108	85	55	nd	nd	178	116	206 0	66 0	142 0	897	101 0
Nd	nd	nd	nd	53 2	nd	Ind		182	55	66	69	89	51	39	23	31	36	31	96	105 0	19.0	57 4	43.3	49 8
Sm	nd	nđ	nd	109	nd	nd.	ndi	46	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	nd	nd	nd	ndi	nd	23 2	nd	11 7	133	12 5
Eu	nd	nd	nd	1 03	nd	nd	ndi	0 37	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1 19	nd	1 39	0 53	1 30
		nd	ind Ind	104	Ind	ind ind	ind	51	na	in d	in d	na	in d	nd	nd	nd	nd	100	nd	231	in a	1.04	150	128
Dv	nd	Ind	in d	111	nd	nd	nd	76	nd	nd	nd		Ind	nd	ind i	nd	nd	ind	nd	21.8	nd	10 3	25 0	14 3
Ho	nd	nd	nd	23	nd	nd	nd	18	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n.d	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	43	nd	20	57	28
Ēr	nd	n di	nd	64	nd	nd	nd	57	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	117	nd	55	17.1	78
100	nd	nd	nd	107	Ind	nd	n d	1 12	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1 61	nd	0 81	2 82	1 12
Lu	n d	nd	nd	0 95	nd	nd	nd	1 24	nd	nd	Ind	n d	ind	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1 50	nd	0 82	3 21	1 10
Co	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	n d		72	81	115	101	94	69	76	nd	n.d	nd	nd		nd			
CT .	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd		nd	n d	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	n d	nd	nd	nd		nd		1	1
CS .	nd	nd	nd	18	nd	n.d	nd	24	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	21	nd	07	02	03
				91	n o	n a	na	37	na	n a			no	na	10		10	n a		- 0.2		1.00		La
50 50	ad		n d	- U 2 ad	ad	n a	ad	< U Z	16	le la	11	11	16	15	15	14	13	at l	nd	- 0 2 nd	180	20		100
Ta	Ind	n d	in d	43		ad		20.2	n d		lad .	ad .	ad	ad	ind.		1.0		nd	49	00	18	111.0	2.5
Be	Ind	nd	nd	nd	n d	lad .	ind .	nd	nd	Ind	ind	Ind	n d	nd	nd	n d	nd	ind	nd	nd	nd	20	10.0	20
Cd	nd	nd	nd	< 0.5	nd	nd	nd	< 0.5	Ind	nd	Ind	nd	nd	in d	nd	nd	nd	nd	1 0	< 0.5	Ind	< 0.5	- 05	< 0.5
8	nd	nd	nď	00	nd	nd	nd	ьd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	Ind	nd	Ind	nd	bd	nd	60	00	00
Ge	nd	nd	nd	14	nd	nd	nd	19	nd	n d	n.d	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	20	nd	14	21	18
Th	n d	n d	n d	30 2	nd	n.d	nd	365	n d	nd	nd	n d	n d	n d.	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	17 0	n d	12 1	31 6	34

Supplementary data Table S1. Whole rock geochemical analyses of representative granitic rocks of the Wentworth Pluton

 In
 Ind
 In

Sample	9822	9823	9824	9827A	982715	9830	9831	9832
Relative age	late dykes	late dykes	late dykes	late frie-	lata frie-	earty	sarly	early
	and pods	and pods	and pods	grained	graned	granite	grante,	grante,
		ł	l	granite dyke	grante dyke	probable	probable	probable
Source		new dece	new catta	new cata	New Calca	new certa	new ceta	
Analysis	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS
Major element	ts (wt%)	177.00	140.40	1	110.00	100.00	170.00	1 700 000
SIO,	// 09	1/19	108 19	11 23	/8 23	69 33	/8 38	1/3 63
ALO	11 87	12 23	10 50	0 21	1013	13.04	11 37	12 36
50.0	0.07	0.90	277	2.37	1.61	3.75	246	2.96
MinO	0.00	5.4	lam	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.06
1400	0.17	0.07	in es	0.05	0.12	0.00	0.04	10.11
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		0.00	1 60	0 23	0 13	244	0.14	0.20
NAG	2.53	2 34	3 27	3.86	340	3 76	3 90	3.92
KO	5 37	6 95	8 51	3 70	4 90	4 78	4 11	4 91
P.O.	0.01	0 01	0.08	0 02	0 02	0 13	bd	0 03
LOI	011	0.08	0 19	0 26	0 13	0.47	0.02	0.06
Trace eleme	nts (pom)							
Ba	462 0	291 0	795.0	1130	64 0	376 0	60 0	212 0
ND ST	137.0	133 0	107 0	1520	226.0	58 0	153 0	214.0
Y	7 2	4.3	17.2	80 3	156.0	53.5	1120	794
ż	147.0	74 0	233 0	503 0	273 0	357 0	541 0	501 0
Nb	27	21	21 2	57 7	56 4	33 8	66 4	52 1
Th								
Pb	20 0	170	19 0	40	60	23 0	27 0	20.0
Ga	190	17 0	22.0	32 0	31 0	22 0	30 0	24.0
2n Ou	13.0	23.0	10 0	120 0	10.0	330	42.0	14.0
N	70	60	80	60	50	e o	50	30
v	10.0	80	49 0	110	70	54 0	< 5	15.0
Cr	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
Co	10	30	60	30	20	22 0	< 1	10
La	69 6	32 5	26 4	73 2	33 2	35 1	472	52 2
Ce Pr	1350	5005	48 6	1/50	97 2	100	1230	129 0
Nd	39.0	17.8	17 3	64.8	333	39.6	57.6	49.5
Sm	51	25	33	139	84	99	165	11 7
Eu	1 04	0 61	1 72	0 43	0 33	1 32	0 38	0 57
Gd	29	16	30	12 2	80	10 1	170	11 3
10	03	02	05	24	17	18	35	23
by Ho	14	0.8	29	14 8	109	10.6	216	143
Er	07	04	17	94	69	5.6	13.1	87
Tm	0 09	0.05	0.24	1 54	1 16	0 79	2 10	1 35
Yb	0.6	03	16	10.9	83	49	14.2	89
Lu	0 10	0.04	0 26	1 77	1 45	0 76	2 32	1 35
60			1	1		1	1	
с.	0.	0.2	1.0	22	124	0.	0.0	<b>.</b> .
HV .	53	27	66	130	85	94	15.8	130
6h	202	1.02		1.02	1.02	202	202	1.02
Sc.	21	1.1	1.02	20	10	70	1 1 1	20
Ta	0.2	101	17	6.6	5.0	1.6	6.	147
Re	10		20	120	100	1.0	110	50
	-05	105	- 0.5	205	- 0.5	1.05	605	0.6
Cd					1 - 9 9	1-44	1	
Cd		0.0	0.0	5.4		10.1	0.0	1.
Cd S Ge	bd 13	00	00	6 d 2 3	bd 21	01	00	6 d

#### Supplementary data Table S1. Whole rock geochemical analyses of representative granitic rocks of the Wentworth Pluton

 U
 15
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12
 12</

Supplementary data	Table S2	Sm-Nd isotope data for	Wentworth Pluton,	equivalent volcanic,	and possible
		Neoproterozoic	source rocks	-	

Near (nt%)Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not	Samula	SiO <sub>2</sub>	147 c 144 N. I	143	<b>r</b>	Deference
Neoproterozoic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands           Jeffers block           812B         61.8         0 14300         0 51231         3.93         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           1347         43.3         0 13170         0 51267         3.62         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           1347         43.3         0 13170         0 51267         3.62         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           2106         63.9         0 16790         0 51254         -0.59         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           2865A         66.5         0 20228         0 51219         -500         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3150         65.5         0 12568         0 51220         -1.99         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3150         64.5         0 13890         0 51240         -1.99         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           31517         71.1         0 08710         0 51223         -4.75         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4152         73.1         0 11665         0 51129         -22.64         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           Late paleozic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands         Fountain Lake Group basalis         2.17         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4232         46.9         0 14020         0 512	Sampie	(wt%)	Sm/ Nd	Nd/ Nd	<sup>&amp;</sup> N4(360)	Reference
Jeffers block           8128         61.8         0 14300         0 51221         -3.83         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           1347         43.3         0 13170         0 51221         -3.68         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           1347         43.3         0 13170         0 51267         3.62         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           1950         48.3         0 15230         0 51261         2.48         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           2106         63.9         0 16790         0 51254         -0.59         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3500         65.5         0 12568         0 51223         -4.70         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3135         50.4         0 14080         0 51224         -1.99         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3171         71.1         0 08710         0 51225         -0.66         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4156         70         1         11900         51235         -2.06         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4200         49.7         0 15030         0 51264         2.17         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4232         46.9         0 14020         0 51276         5.87         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           5010 </th <th>Neoproter</th> <th>ozoic rocks of</th> <th>the Cobequid</th> <th>Ilighlands</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Neoproter	ozoic rocks of	the Cobequid	Ilighlands		
B12B         61.8         0 14300         0 51231         -3.83         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           1347         43.3         0 15230         0 51221         -3.68         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           1347         43.3         0 15230         0 51267         3.62         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3709         54.2         0 13100         0 51261         2.48         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           2106         63.9         0 16790         0 51254         -0.59         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3500         65.5         0 12568         0 51223         -4.70         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3135         50.4         0 14080         0 51240         -1.99         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4152         73.1         0 11665         0 51129         -22.64         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4156         70.1         0 11900         0 51235         -3.05         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4232         46.9         0 14020         0 51276         5.37         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           5095         59.8         0 12700         0 51267         1.88         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           6061         616         0 14230	Jeffers blo					
449       73.2       0.09504       0.51221       -3.68       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         1347       43.3       0.13170       0.51267       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3709       54.2       0.13100       0.51261       2.48       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2106       63.9       0.16790       0.51264       -0.59       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3500       65.5       0.12568       0.51223       -4.70       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3150       47.5       0.13890       0.51240       -1.99       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3171       71.1       0.08710       0.51229       -1.75       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4152       73.1       0.11900       0.51235       -2.05       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late paleexcir crocks of the Cobequid Highlands       -       Fourtain Lake Group basatis       -       -         4200       49.7       0.15030       0.51267       1.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5010       616       0.14230       0.51256       0.98       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5014       616       0.14230       0.51276       4.48       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5035       5	812B	61.8	0 14300	0 51231	-3.93	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
1347       43.3       0 13170       0 51267       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         1950       48.3       0 15230       0 51270       3.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2106       63 9       0 16790       0 51261       2.48       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2865A       68.5       0 20228       0 51219       -9.00       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3150       47.5       0 13890       0 51240       -1.99       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3151       50.4       0 14080       0 51229       -1.75       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4152       73 1       0 11665       0 51129       -22.64       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4152       73 1       0 11665       0 51264       2.17       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late paleexoic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands       Fountain Lake Group basalts       2.05       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4232       46.9       0 14020       0 51276       1.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5016       61.6       0 14230       0 51266       0.98       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5016       61.6       0 15260       0.51273       3.43       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5053	449	73.2	0 09504	0 51221	-3.68	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
1950       48.3       0 15230       0 51270       3.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3709       54 2       0 13100       0 51261       2.48       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2106       63 9       0 16790       0 51224       -0.59       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3500       65 5       0 12568       0 51223       -4.70       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3150       47.5       0 13890       0 51240       -1.99       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3151       50.4       0 14080       0 51254       0.66       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3171       71.1       0 8710       0 51229       -1.75       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4152       73       1       0 11800       0 51235       -2.05       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         12420       49.7       0 15030       0 51264       2.17       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4200       49.7       0 15030       0 51276       1.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5010       61 6       0 14220       0 51276       3.43       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5010       61 6       0 14230       0 51273       3.43       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5010	1347	43.3	0 13170	0 51267	3.62	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
3709       54 2       0 13100       0 51261       2.48       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2106       63 9       0 16790       0 51254       -5.59       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2865A       68.5       0 20228       0 51223       -4.70       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3150       47.5       0 13890       0 51240       -1.99       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3135       50.4       0 14080       0 51224       -1.66       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3171       71.1       0 08710       0 51224       -1.75       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4155       70 1       0 11665       0 51129       -22.64       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late paleazoic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands       -705       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998       1998         4232       46.9       0 14020       0 51276       5.37       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5095       59.8       0 12700       0 51276       4.48       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       48.0       0 16130       0 51276       3.43       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       46.1       0 15060       0 51273       3.92       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       46.1	1950	48.3	0 15230	0 51270	3.25	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
2106       63 9       0 16790       0 51254       -0.59       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2865A       68.5       0 20228       0 51219       -9.00       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3150       65 5       0 12568       0 51223       -4.70       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3135       50.4       0 13890       0 51240       -1.99       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3135       50.4       0 14080       0 51229       -1.75       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4152       73 1       0 11665       0 51129       -2.05       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4155       70 1       0 11900       0 51226       -1.75       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4200       49.7       0 15030       0 51264       2.17       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5095       59.8       0 12700       0 51276       3.68       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5010       61 6       0 14230       0 51276       3.48       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       46 1       0 15060       0 51271       3.43       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       46 1       0 15060       0 51271       3.43       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       46 1 <td>3709</td> <td>54 2</td> <td>0 13100</td> <td>0 51261</td> <td>2.48</td> <td>Pe-Piper and Piper 1998</td>	3709	54 2	0 13100	0 51261	2.48	Pe-Piper and Piper 1998
2865A         68.5         0 20228         0 51219         -9.00         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3500         65 5         0 12568         0 51223         -4.70         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3150         47.5         0 13890         0 51240         -1.99         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3135         50.4         0 14080         0 51229         -1.75         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3171         71.1         0 08710         0 51229         -1.75         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4152         73 1         0 11665         0 51129         -2.264         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4156         70 1         0 15030         0 51264         2.17         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4200         49.7         0 15030         0 51256         0.98         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           5035         59.8         0 12700         0 51276         1.88         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3010         616         0 14230         0 51267         3.89         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           6061         46.1         0 15060         0 51273         3.43         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           6063         6069         0 14760	2106	63 9	0 16790	0 51254	-0.59	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
3500         65 5         0 12568         0 51223         4.70         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           Bass River Block         3130         47.5         0 13890         0 51240         -1.99         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3135         50.4         0 14080         0 51254         0.66         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3171         71.1         0 08710         0 51229         -1.75         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4156         70 1         0 11900         0 51225         -2.05         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           Late paleozoic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands         -         -         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           700         0 51278         5.37         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4232         46.9         0 14020         0 51276         4.48         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           5095         59.8         0 12700         0 51276         4.48         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3010         61 6         0 14230         0 51273         3.43         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           6061         46 1         0 15060         0 51273         3.92         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           6061         46 1         0 15060         0 51271         3.88 <td>2865A</td> <td>68.5</td> <td>0 20228</td> <td>0 51219</td> <td>-9.00</td> <td>Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998</td>	2865A	68.5	0 20228	0 51219	-9.00	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
Bass River Block         -1.99         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3135         50.4         0.14080         0.51240         -1.99         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           3135         50.4         0.14080         0.51229         -1.75         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           4152         73         1         0.11665         0.51129         -2.64         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           Late paleozoic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands         -205         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         1998           4200         49.7         0.15030         0.51264         2.17         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           5095         59.8         0.12020         0.51257         1.88         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           5010         61.6         0.14230         0.51266         0.98         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           6061         48.0         0.16130         0.51274         3.43         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           6061         46.1         0.15060         0.51273         3.92         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           7723         45.9         0.16510         0.51271         3.88         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           6387         46.9         0.14400         0.51270         3.62         Pe-Pipe	3500	65 5	0 12568	0 51223	-4.70	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
315047.5013890051240-1.99Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998313550.40140800512540.66Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998317171.10051229-1.75Pe-Piper and Piper, 19984152731011665051129-22.64Pe-Piper and Piper, 19984156701011900051235-2.05Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998420049.70150300512642.17Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998423246.90140200512785.37Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998509559.80127000512761.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 199850106160142300512764.48Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998503146.50151200512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606146.10150600512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606360690147600512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 199872345.90165100512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606446.80134100512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 199872345.90165100512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998638746.90144400512744.87 <td><b>Bass</b> Rive</td> <td>r Block</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	<b>Bass</b> Rive	r Block				
3135       50.4       0 14080       0 51254       0.66       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3171       71.1       0 08710       0 51229       -1.75       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4152       73 1       0 11665       0 51129       -22.64       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late paleozoic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands       -20.64       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Fountain Lake Group basalts       -20.0       9.7       0 15030       0 51264       2.17       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4232       46.9       0 14020       0 51257       1.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5095       59.8       0 12700       0 51266       0.98       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3010       61 6       0 14230       0 51276       4.48       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4601       48.0       0 15130       0 51273       3.92       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         503.4       71       0 15360       0 51271       3.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       461       0 15060       0 51273       3.92       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7723       45.9       0 16510       0 51270       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6761	3150	47.5	0 13890	0 51240	-1.99	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
3171       71.1       0 08710       0 51229       -1.75       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4152       73 1       0 11665       0 51129       -22.64       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4156       70 1       0 11900       0 51235       -2.05       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4100       49.7       0 15030       0 51264       2.17       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4232       46.9       0 14020       0 51257       1.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5095       59.8       0 12700       0 51256       0.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5010       61 6       0 14230       0 51256       0.98       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       48.0       0 16130       0 51273       3.43       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       46 1       0 15060       0 51273       3.92       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         723       45.9       0 16510       0 51267       2.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         723       45.9       0 16510       0 51274       4.87       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6761       46.8       0 14440       0 51270       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6761       46.9	3135	50.4	0 14080	0 51254	0.66	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
4152       73 1       0 11665       0 51129       -22.64       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4156       70 1       0 11900       0 51235       -2.05       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late paleozoic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands         Fountain Lake Group basalts         Support the Cobequid Highlands         Fountain Lake Group basalts         Support the Cobequid Highlands         Fountain Lake Group basalts         Support to 15030       0 51278       5.37       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Support to 16130       0 51273       3.43       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Support to 15120       0 51274       3.98       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Support to 15360       0 51273       3.92       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Support to 15360       0 51267       2.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Support to 15560       0 51261       2.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998 </td <td>3171</td> <td>71.1</td> <td>0 08710</td> <td>0 51229</td> <td>-1.75</td> <td>Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998</td>	3171	71.1	0 08710	0 51229	-1.75	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
415670 10 119000 51235-2.05Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Late paleozoic rocks of the Cobequid Highlands <i>Fountain Lake Group basalis</i> 420049.70 150300 512642.17Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998509559.80 127000 512571.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998501061 60 142300 512560.98Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998301061 60 142300 512764.48Pe-Piper and Piper, 199860148.00 161300 512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606146 10 150600 512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606960690 147600 512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90 165100 512743.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90 165100 512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998878746.90 144400 512703.62Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998676146.80 144400 512723.04Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998736146.90 152800 512632.72Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998638746.90 152602.52Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998736146.90 152800 512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998736146.90 152602.52new data63677.10 133780 512673.60new data646944.80 13333	4152	73 1	0 11665	0 51129	-22.64	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
Late paleozoic rocks of the Cobequid HighlandsFountain Lake Group basalts420049.70 150300 512642.17Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998423246.90 140200 512785.37Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998509559.80 127000 512560.98Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998501061 60 142300 512764.48Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998391946.50 151200 512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606148.00 161300 512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606146 10 150600 512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606960690 147600 512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90 165100 512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998805347.20 134100 512733.62Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998638746.90 144400 512703.62Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998638746.90 152800 512630.72Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Cate dykes736146.80 144340 512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998635747.30 152800 512673.60new data644944 80 139350 512673.60new data65664.40 133130 512673.60new data65664.40 133130 512673.60new data65664.4<	4156	70 1	0 11900	0 51235	-2.05	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
Fountain Lake Group basalts420049.70150300512642.17Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998423246.90140200512785.37Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998509559.80127000512571.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998501061.60142300512764.48Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998503.547.10153600512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606146.10150600512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606960690147600512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90165100512743.81Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998805347.20134100512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 199821145210135290512530.72Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998676146.80144400512703.62Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998736146.90152800512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998736146.90152800512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998736146.90152800512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998635747.30158440512693.04Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998635664.40<	Late pale	zoic rocks of	the Cobequid I	lighlands		
4200       49.7       0 15030       0 51264       2.17       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4232       46.9       0 14020       0 51278       5.37       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5095       59.8       0 12700       0 51257       1.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         5010       61.6       0 14230       0 51256       0.98       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         3919       46.5       0 15120       0 51273       3.43       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       46.1       0 15060       0 51273       3.92       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       46.1       0 15060       0 51267       2.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7723       45.9       0 16510       0 51273       3.92       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth and Wyvern gabbros       5053       47.2       0 13410       0 51270       2.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2114       52.1       0 13529       0 51273       0.72       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         214       52.1       0 13529       0 51271       4.67       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         214       52.1       0 15280       0 51263       0.72       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998	Fountain	Lake Group l	basalts	-		
423246.90140200512785.37Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998509559.80127000512571.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998501061.60142300512560.98Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998391946.50151200512764.48Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998460148.00161300512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 199850.3-547.10153600512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606146.10150600512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606960690147600512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90165100512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Wentworth and Wyvern gabbros505347.20134100512703.62Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998638746.90144400512703.62Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Cate dykes736146.90152600512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 199878143.40152800512633.60Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998635747.30152800512692.78Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998635747.30152800512692.78Pe-Piper and Piper, 19986454700124	4200	49.7	0 15030	0 51264	2.17	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
509559.80127000512571.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998391946.50161200512560.98Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998460148.00161300512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 199850-3-54710153600512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 199860614610150600512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606960690147600512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90165100512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90165100512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 199822145210135290512530.72Pe-Piper and Piper, 199822145210135290512632.72Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998638746.90144400512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 19986464480139350512693.04Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998635747.30152800512692.78Pe-Piper and Piper, 19986454480139350512673.60new data635747.30152800512692.78Pe-Piper and Piper, 19986454700124940512692.52 <td>4232</td> <td>46.9</td> <td>0 14020</td> <td>0 51278</td> <td>5.37</td> <td>Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998</td>	4232	46.9	0 14020	0 51278	5.37	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
S01061.60.142300.512560.98Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998391946.50.151200.512764.48Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998460148.00.161300.512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 199850.3-547.10.153600.512743.98Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606146.10.150600.512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606960690.147600.512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90.165100.512744.81Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Wentworth and Wyvern gabbros505347.20.134100.512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998221452.10.135290.512530.72Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998638746.90.144400.512703.62Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Cate dykes736146.80.144100.512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Late dykes736146.90.152800.512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Cate dykes736146.90.152800.512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Cate dykes736146.90.152800.512693.04Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Cate dykes736146.90.152800.512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Cate dykes736146.90.152800.512632.52new data635747.30.158440.512692.78Pe-Piper and	5095	59.8	0 12700	0 51257	1.88	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
391946.50151200512764.48Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998460148.00161300512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 199850-3-54710153600512733.98Pe-Piper and Piper, 199860614610150600512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606960690147600512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90165100512814.81Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90165100512814.81Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90134100512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 199822145210135290512530.72Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998638746.90144400512703.62Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Late dykes736146.80144100512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Late dykes736146.90152800512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998635747.30152800512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998636676.10133780512673.60new data636676.10133780512612.46new data643676.1013378051	5010	61 6	0 14230	0 51256	0.98	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
460148.00161300512733.43Pe-Piper and Piper, 199850-3-54710153600512743.98Pe-Piper and Piper, 199860614610150600512733.92Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998606960690147600512672.88Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998772345.90165100512814.81Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Wentworth and Wyvern gabbros505347.20134100512744.87Pe-Piper and Piper, 199822145210135290512530.72Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998638746.90144400512703.62Pe-Piper and Piper, 199867614680144100512724.02Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998Late dykes736146.90152800512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998635747.30152800512632.25Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998636676.10133780512673.60new data643676.10133780512612.46new data6456740133730512612.46new data645770147370512683.15new data6458720174170512662	3919	46.5	0 15120	0 51276	4.48	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
80-3-5       47 1       0       15360       0       51274       3.98       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6061       46 1       0       15060       0       51273       3.92       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6069       6069       0       14760       0       51267       2.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7723       45.9       0       16510       0       51281       4.81       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth and Wyvern gabbros       5053       47.2       0       13410       0       51274       4.87       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2214       52       0       13529       0       51253       0.72       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6387       46.9       0       14440       0       51270       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late dykes       7361       46.9       0       15280       0       51263       3.04       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         A649       44       0       13335       0       51267       3.60       new data         6357       47.3       0       15280       0       51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth plu	4601	48.0	0 16130	0 51273	3.43	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
6061       461       0 15060       0 51273       3.92       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6069       6069       0 14760       0 51267       2.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7723       45.9       0 16510       0 51281       4.81       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth and Wyvern gabbros       5053       47.2       0 13410       0 51274       4.87       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2214       521       0 13529       0 51253       0.72       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6387       46.9       0 14440       0 51270       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6761       46 8       0 14410       0 51272       4.02       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late dykes       7361       46.9       0 15280       0 51269       3.04       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7081       43.4       0 14434       0 51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4649       44 8       0 13378       0 51267       3.60       new data         6357       47.3       0 15240       0 51260       2.52       new data         6365       64.4       0 13313       0 51261       2.46       new data         6419	50-3-5	47 1	0 15360	0 51274	3.98	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
6069       6069       0 14760       0 51267       2.88       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7723       45.9       0 16510       0 51281       4.81       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth and Wyvern gabbros       5053       47.2       0 13410       0 51274       4.87       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2214       52 1       0 13529       0 51253       0.72       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6387       46.9       0 14440       0 51270       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6761       46 8       0 14410       0 51272       4.02       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late dykes       7361       46.9       0 15280       0 51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7081       43.4       0 14434       0 51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6357       47.3       0 15844       0 51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6366       76.1       0 13378       0 51267       3.60       new data         6456       76.1       0 13378       0 51267       3.60       new data         6556       64.4       0 13313       0 51261       2.46       new data         6419	6061	46 1	0 15060	0 51273	3.92	Pe-Piper and Piper 1998
7723       45.9       0 16510       0 51281       4.81       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth and Wyvern gabbros       5053       47.2       0 13410       0 51274       4.87       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2214       52 1       0 13529       0 51253       0.72       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6387       46.9       0 14440       0 51270       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6761       46 8       0 14410       0 51272       4.02       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late dykes       7361       46.9       0 15280       0 51269       3.04       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7081       43.4       0 14434       0 51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4649       44 8       0 13935       0 51261       2.66       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4636       76.1       0 13378       0 51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth pluton gramites       4636       76.1       0 13378       0 51267       3.60       new data         6451       70       0 12494       0 51260       2.52       new data         6419       76 7       0 14737       0 51268       3.15       new data	6069	6069	0 14760	0 51267	2.88	Pe-Piper and Piper 1998
Wentworth and Wyvern gabbros       Solar       Perpiper and Piper, 1998         5053       47.2       0 13410       0 51274       4.87       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2214       52 1       0 13529       0 51253       0.72       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6387       46.9       0 14440       0 51270       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6761       46 8       0 14410       0 51272       4.02       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late dykes       7361       46.9       0 15280       0 51269       3.04       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4649       44 8       0 13935       0 51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6357       47.3       0 15844       0 51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth pluton granites       4636       76.1       0 13378       0 51267       3.60       new data         4641       70       0 12494       0 51260       2.52       new data         6419       76 7       0 14737       0 51268       3.15       new data         6490       76 2       0 17041       0 51268       3.13       new data         6518       73 1       0 10240       0 51256	7723	45.9	0 16510	0 51281	4.81	Pe-Piper and Piper 1998
5053       47.2       0       13410       0       51274       4.87       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         2214       52       1       0       13529       0       51253       0.72       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6387       46.9       0       14440       0       51270       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6761       46       8       0       14410       0       51272       4.02       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998 <i>Late dykes</i> 7361       46.9       0       15280       0       51269       3.04       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7081       43.4       0       14334       0       51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6357       47.3       0       15844       0       51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth pluton gramites       4636       76.1       0       13378       0       51267       3.60       new data         6356       64.4       0       13313       0       51261       2.46       new data         6419       76       0       1737       0       51268       3.15       new data         64518	Wentwort	h and Wyvern	anbhras			····
2214       521       0       13529       0       51214       10       19214       10       19214       10       19214       10       19214       10       19214       10       19214       10       19214       10       19214       10       19214       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       1	5053	47 2	0 13410	0 51274	4 87	Pe-Piper and Piper 1998
6387       46.9       0       14440       0       51272       3.62       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6761       46       8       0       14410       0       51272       4.02       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late dykes       7361       46.9       0       15280       0       51269       3.04       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7081       43.4       0       14344       0       51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4649       44       8       0       13935       0       51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6357       47.3       0       15269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth pluton granites       4636       76.1       0       13378       0       51267       3.60       new data         4636       76.1       0       12494       0       51260       2.52       new data         5056       64.4       0       13313       0       51261       2.46       new data         6419       76       0       14737       0       51268       3.15       new data         6518       73       1       0	2214	52.1	0 13529	0 51253	0.72	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
6761       46.8       0 14410       0 51272       4.02       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Late dykes       7361       46.9       0 15280       0 51263       3.04       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7081       43.4       0 14434       0 51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4649       44.8       0 13935       0 51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6357       47.3       0 15844       0 51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4636       76.1       0 13378       0 51267       3.60       new data         4636       76.1       0 13378       0 51267       3.60       new data         5056       64.4       0 13313       0 51261       2.46       new data         6490       76 2       0 17041       0 51268       3.15       new data         6490       76 2       0 17041       0 51258       3.13       new data         6518       73 1       0 10240       0 51256       2.24       new data         7710       75.9       0 13595       0 51266       3.19       new data         7814       76.7       0 13820       0 51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Pi	6387	46.9	0 14440	0 51270	3.62	Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998
Oto       O	6761	46.8	0 14410	0.51272	4.02	Pe-Piper and Piper 1998
7361       46.9       0       15280       0       51269       3.04       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         7081       43.4       0       14434       0       51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4649       44       8       0       13935       0       51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6357       47.3       0       15844       0       51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         Wentworth pluton granites       4636       76.1       0       13378       0       51267       3.60       new data         4641       70       0       12494       0       51260       2.52       new data         6419       76       7       0       14737       0       51268       3.15       new data         6490       76       2       0       17041       0       51268       3.13       new data         6518       73       1       0       10240       0       51256       2.24       new data         7710       75.9       0       13595       0       51261       3.16       new data         7814       76.7       0       13820	I ato duko	400	0.4410	001272		retiper and riper, 1996
7081       43.4       0 10230       0 51263       2.25       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4649       44 8       0 13935       0 51271       4.05       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6357       47.3       0 15844       0 51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         6357       47.3       0 13378       0 51267       3.60       new data         4636       76.1       0 13378       0 51260       2.52       new data         4641       70       0 12494       0 51260       2.52       new data         6419       76 7       0 14737       0 51268       3.15       new data         6490       76 2       0 17041       0 51268       3.15       new data         6518       73 1       0 10240       0 51256       2.24       new data         7710       75.9       0 13595       0 51266       3.19       new data         7814       76.7       0 13820       0 51257       1.36       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         35-7-1       74 9       n d       0 51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-5-1       74 8       n d       0 51261       ~1.0       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998	7361		0 15280	0 51269	3.04	Pe-Piper and Piper 1008
7081       43.4       0       14737       0       51203       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       1213       12133       1213       1213 <t< td=""><td>7081</td><td>40.9</td><td>0 14434</td><td>0 51263</td><td>2.04</td><td>De Diner and Diner 1998</td></t<>	7081	40.9	0 14434	0 51263	2.04	De Diner and Diner 1998
4039       44.8       0       10503       0       51271       4.03       Perper and Piper, 1998         6357       47.3       0       15844       0       51269       2.78       Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998         4636       76.1       0       13378       0       51267       3.60       new data         4641       70       0       12494       0       51260       2.52       new data         6419       76       7       0       14737       0       51264       1.15       new data         6490       76       0       1737       0       51264       1.15       new data         6518       73       1       0       10240       0       51256       3.13       new data         7658       72       6       0       11487       0       51256       3.19       new data         7814       76.7       0       13820       0       51261       ~1.6       Pe-Piper and Piper       1998         35-7-1       74       9       n d       0       51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Piper       1998         36-5-1       74       8       n d       0       5126	4649	44.8	0 13035	0 51271	4.05	De Diper and Diper, 1998
Wentworth pluton granites         4636       76.1       0       13378       0       51267       3.60       new data         4641       70       0       12494       0       51260       2.52       new data         5056       64.4       0       13313       0       51261       2.46       new data         6419       76       7       0       14737       0       51268       3.15       new data         6490       76       2       0       17041       0       51264       1.15       new data         6518       73       1       0       10240       0       51258       3.13       new data         7658       72       6       0       11487       0       51256       3.19       new data         7814       76.7       0       13820       0       51267       1.36       Pe-Piper and Piper       1998         35-7-1       74       9       n d       0       51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Piper       1998         36-5-1       74       8       n d       0       51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper       1998         36-2-1 <td< td=""><td>6357</td><td>473</td><td>0 15844</td><td>0.51269</td><td>2 78</td><td>Pe-Piper and Piper 1998</td></td<>	6357	473	0 15844	0.51269	2 78	Pe-Piper and Piper 1998
4636       76.1       0 13378       0 51267       3.60       new data         4641       70       0 12494       0 51260       2.52       new data         5056       64.4       0 13313       0 51261       2.46       new data         6419       76 7       0 14737       0 51268       3.15       new data         6490       76 2       0 17041       0 51264       1.15       new data         6518       73 1       0 10240       0 51258       3.13       new data         7658       72 6       0 11487       0 51256       2.24       new data         7710       75.9       0 13595       0 51266       3.19       new data         7814       76.7       0 13820       0 51257       1.36       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         35-7-1       74 9       n d       0 51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-5-1       74 8       n d       0 51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-2-1       72 9       n d       0 51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998	Wentwort	h niuton gran	ites	0 0 / 203	2	rear per and riper, root
4641       70       0       12494       0       51260       2.52       new data         5056       64.4       0       13313       0       51261       2.46       new data         6419       76       7       0       14737       0       51268       3.15       new data         6490       76       2       0       17041       0       51264       1.15       new data         6518       73       1       0       10240       0       51258       3.13       new data         7658       72       6       0       11487       0       51256       2.24       new data         7710       75.9       0       13595       0       51266       3.19       new data         7814       76.7       0       13820       0       51261       ~1.6       Pe-Piper and Piper       1998         35-7-1       74       9       nd       0       51261       ~1.0       Pe-Piper and Piper       1998         36-5-1       74       8       nd       0       51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper       1998         36-2-1       72.9       nd       0       51262<	4636	76 1	0 13378	0 51267	3.60	new data
6419       76       7       0 14737       0 51261       2.46       new data         6419       76       7       0 14737       0 51268       3.15       new data         6490       76       2       0 17041       0 51264       1.15       new data         6490       76       2       0 17041       0 51264       1.15       new data         6518       73       1       0 10240       0 51258       3.13       new data         7658       72       6       0 11487       0 51256       2.24       new data         7710       75.9       0 13595       0 51266       3.19       new data         7814       76.7       0 13820       0 51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         35-7-1       74       9       n d       0 51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-5-1       74       8       n d       0 51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-2-1       72.9       n d       0 51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998	4630	70.1	0 12494	0 51260	2 52	new data
3030       0.4.4       0.10310       0.01201       1.4.5       new data         6419       76.7       0.14737       0.51268       3.15       new data         6490       76.2       0.17041       0.51268       3.15       new data         6518       73.1       0.10240       0.51258       3.13       new data         758       72.6       0.11487       0.51266       2.24       new data         7814       76.7       0.13820       0.51267       1.36       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         35-7-1       74.9       n.d       0.51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-5-1       74.8       n.d       0.51261       ~1.0       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-2-1       72.9       n.d       0.51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998	5056	64 4	0 12313	0 51261	2 46	new data
6490       76 2       0 17041       0 51264       1.15       new data         6490       76 2       0 17041       0 51264       1.15       new data         6518       73 1       0 10240       0 51258       3.13       new data         7658       72 6       0 11487       0 51256       2.24       new data         7710       75.9       0 13595       0 51266       3.19       new data         7814       76.7       0 13820       0 51257       1.36       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         35-7-1       74 9       n d       0 51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-5-1       74 8       n d       0 51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-2-1       72 9       n d       0 51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998	6410	767	0 14737	0 51268	3 15	new data
6518       73 1       0 10240       0 51264       3.13       new data         7658       72 6       0 11487       0 51256       2.24       new data         7710       75.9       0 13595       0 51266       3.19       new data         7814       76.7       0 13820       0 51257       1.36       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         35-7-1       74 9       n d       0 51261       ~1.1       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-5-1       74 8       n d       0 51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998         36-2-1       72 9       n d       0 51262       ~2.5       Pe-Piper and Piper 1998	6490	76.2	0 17041	0 51264	1 15	new data
7658         72 6         0 11487         0 51266         2.24         new data           7710         75.9         0 13595         0 51266         3.19         new data           7814         76.7         0 13820         0 51257         1.36         Pe-Piper and Piper 1998           35-7-1         74 9         n d         0 51261         ~1.1         Pe-Piper and Piper 1998           36-5-1         74 8         n d         0 51261         ~1.0         Pe-Piper and Piper 1998           36-2-1         72 9         n d         0 51262         ~2.5         Pe-Piper and Piper 1998	6518	73 1	0 10240	0 51258	3 13	new data
7710         75.9         0         13595         0         51206         3.19         new data           7814         76.7         0         13820         0         51261         -1.36         Pe-Piper and Piper         1998           35-7-1         74.9         n.d         0         51261         ~1.1         Pe-Piper and Piper         1998           36-5-1         74.8         n.d         0         51262         ~2.5         Pe-Piper and Piper         1998           36-2-1         72.9         n.d         0         51262         ~2.5         Pe-Piper and Piper         1998           36-2-1         72.9         n.d         0         51262         ~2.5         Pe-Piper and Piper         1998	7659	72.6	0 11487	0 51256	2.74	new data
7814         76.7         0         13820         0         51267         1.36         Pe-Piper and Piper         1998           35.7-1         74.9         n.d         0         51261         ~1.1         Pe-Piper and Piper         1998           36-5-1         74.8         n.d         0         51261         ~1.0         Pe-Piper and Piper         1998           36-2-1         72.9         n.d         0         51262         ~2.5         Pe-Piper and Piper         1998	7710	75 9	0 13595	0 51266	3 19	new data
35-7-1         74.9         n.d         0.51261         ~1.0         Periper and Piper 1998           36-5-1         74.8         n.d         0.51261         ~1.0         Pe-Piper and Piper 1998           36-5-1         74.8         n.d         0.51261         ~1.0         Pe-Piper and Piper 1998           36-5-1         74.8         n.d         0.51262         ~2.5         Pe-Piper and Piper 1998           36-2-1         72.9         n.d         0.51262         ~2.5         Pe-Piper and Piper 1998	7814	767	0 13820	0 51257	1 36	Pe-Piner and Piner 1009
36-5-1         74.8         n.d         0.51261         ~1.0         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           36-5-1         74.8         n.d         0.51261         ~1.0         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998           36-2-1         72.9         n.d         0.51262         ~2.5         Pe-Piper and Piper, 1998	35 7 1	74.0	n d	0 51261	~11	De Diner and Diner 1008
<b>36-2-1 72.9 nd 0.51262 -2.5</b> Pe-Piper and Piper 1998	36 5 1	74 9	nd	0 51261	~1.1	De Diper and Diper 1996
	3671	790 770	nd	0 51201	~2.5	De Diper and Diper 1008
7700 763 [] 17080 [] 17080 [] 17080 3 1 5 De Dissar per Dissar (DOV	7700	763	0 12490	0 51262	3 15	De-Diper and Diper 1000
6074 70 7 0 13690 0 51261 2.21 Pe-Diper and Piper 1998	6074	70.7	0 13690	0 51261	2.21	Pe-Piper and Piper 1998

#### **CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION**

The most important points discussed in the previous chapters are summarized in this part of the thesis Geochemical and mineralogical evidence from both studies are presented here unified, and compared with the existing literature as to provide the complete sequence of geological processes that formed the Wentworth granites and are responsible for their distinct geochemical character

#### 61 From Neoproterozoic to Late Paleozoic derivation of parent magma

Samarium-Neodymium isotope data from the Wentworth A-type granites suggest that they are derived from partial melting of a juvenile lower crust, with limited contamination by more evolved crustal material Furthermore, the limited range of  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values of these granites (1 1 to 3 6) indicates an origin from an isotopically homogeneous source

During Neoproterozoic subduction, the oldest rocks of the Avalonian juvenile crust were formed, represented in the surface by the arc sequences of the plutonic and volcanic rocks from the Jeffers Block (Pe-Piper and Piper, 1989) Compared to these rocks, the Wentworth granites present more isotopic similarities with the mafic lithologies rather that the felsic ones (Table S2) On the other hand, the Late Paleozoic gabbros and basalts have more primitive isotopic characteristics than their Neoproterozoic equivalents (Table S2) These indicate that the Wentworth Pluton was formed by melts derived from a source in the lower crust rather than Neoproterozoic crustal rocks Rising of the asthenosphere from the mantle wedge, during subduction, resulted in the underplating of primitive melts in the base of the crust. The presence of pargasite in Neoproterozoic mafic rocks (Pe-Piper, 1988) is evidence for F concentration in Neoproterozoic mafic magmas (Aoki et al., 1981). If this magma underplated gabbro at the base of the crust, this lower crust would also be enriched in F

Underplating of mafic magmas in the base of the Avalonian juvenile, F-enriched crust, created a crustal section similar to that recognized in supra-subduction ophiolites This section consists of gabbro and minor amounts of its trondhjemitic fractionates These felsic products would, thus, inherit the primitive isotopic signature of their gabbroic source

During Late Paleozoic, underplating of mafic melts during the extension of the Magdalen Basin (Marillier and Reid, 1990) and the synchronous extrusion of primitive flood basalts of substantial thickness (Dessureau et al , 2000) imply upwelling of the asthenosphere. This provided the necessary high temperatures for anhydrous melting of the lower crust, during Late Paleozoic. Frost and Frost (2010) argued that partial melting of a tonalitic to granodioritic source-rock could give rise to A-type granitic magmas. The hypothesis that partial melting of the trondhjemitic fractionates is the source of the parent magma of the Wentworth granites, was tested in this study through geochemical modeling. This geochemical model was based on the REE abundances of a produced melt, derived from batch partial melting (Wood and Fraser, 1976) of lower crustal lithologies (Table 5-1). As feldspar-dominated rocks, trondhjemites would give rise to a REE-enriched granitic magma with limited further fractionation. Furthermore the high amounts of sodic plagioclase (oligoclase) (Nilsen et al , 2003), the presence of biotite and fluorine would result in the production of an alkaline F-rich melt. This melt would also present the relatively primitive isotopic characteristics, passed on from their mantlederived source rocks

6 2 Latest Devonian Magma evolution and emplacement of the early Wentworth granites

The emplacement of the granitic parent magma in Late Paleozoic was almost synchronous with the gabbroic underplating beneath the Gulf of St Lawrence, related to the extension of the Magdalen Basin (Marillier and Verhoef, 1989) and with mafic intrusions in the Cobequid Highlands (Pe-Piper et al , 2004, Murphy et al , 2011) Furthermore, continental flood basalts were extruded from the Cobequid Shear Zone before and after the emplacement of the granites (Dessureau et al , 2000) Mixing of the granitic magma with pristine gabbro is inferred by a striking, bimodal distribution of Mg and co-variation of Cr and Ti in the Wentworth granites The fact that both low-Mg and high-Mg granites are F-enriched confirms that a mafic contribution was present from the onset of the plutonism.

The Rockland Brook Fault was the major fault of the Cobequid Shear Zone during the Late Paleozoic (Miller et al , 1995) and the close spatial relationship between this fault and the Wentworth Pluton indicates that it was the major pathway for magma (Piper et al , 1993) The abundance of fluorine in the parent magma kept the REEs and rare metals in solution for prolonged periods by increasing the solubility of REE phosphates such as monazite and xenotime (Keppler, 1993) The high sodium amount in the parent granitic melt resulted in the formation of primary sodic amphiboles such as arfvedsonite, ferrorichterite and katophorite, in which fluorine incorporated as well (Pe-Piper, 2007) The removal of fluorine from the magma during amphibole crystallization led to the fractionation of allanite and zircon with small amounts of Y, Hf and HREE

6 3 Early Carboniferous (Tournaisian) Gabbroic intrusion and formation of the Wentworth late granites

Geochronological data indicate that the gabbroic part of the Wentworth pluton is younger than the granites (Doig et al , 1996, Pe-Piper, 2004, Murphy et al , 2011) and it has been demonstrated that the gabbro remelted the early granites of the Wentworth Pluton (Koukouvelas et al , 2002) This later intrusion provided the necessary high temperatures for the crystallization of chevkinite-Ce, rather than allanite, in the late granites After the crystallization of allanite and chevkinite, the granitic magma became enriched in the middle and heavy REEs and thus hingganite-(Y) crystallized during late magmatic stages

During the anatexis of the early granites, the breakdown of the sodic amphiboles resulted in fluorine release (Pe-Piper, 2007, Schonenberger et al , 2006)) Furthermore, reheating of pyrite, present in the granites, resulted in its decomposition to hematite with release of  $SO_4$  as a volatile phase (Bhargava et al , 2009) Fluorine and sulfur escaped the magma through the hydrothermal system which was developed after the emplacement of the late granites, mobilizing the LREE and HREE respectively (Rolland et al , 2003) Anatexis led to the late granitic magma being depleted in F (Koukouvelas et al , 2002)

6 4 Early Carboniferous (Tournaisian to Visean) Hydrothermal alteration of the granites

After the emplacement of the gabbro and the formation of the late Wentworth granites, the developed hydrothermal system circulated the REEs and rare metals by complexing with fluorine and sulfur Fluorine complexes with HREEs are more stable compared to those with LREE, which can be mobilized with sulfur (Rolland et al , 2003) This resulted in the formation of a variety of REE-rich hydrothermal minerals hosted in the Wentworth granites and the paragenetic sequence based on textural relationships allows an interpretation of the evolution of the hydrothermal fluids Such evidence include REE-rich epidote overgrowths (Figs 4-4) and 4-7a and b), patches of fersmite surrounding a corroded grain of samarskite (Figs 4-12c and d), hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) forming along the rim of allanite-(Ce) (Fig 4-17), and grains of aeschynite-Y engulfing grains of titanite which itself alters to titania mineral (Fig 4-14)

The presence of late-magmatic hingganite-(Y) indicates that the magma was HREE-enriched during the late magmatic stages, so that these residual elements would also enrich the hydrothermal fluids. Therefore, Y, Nb and HREEs were the first to achieve saturation in the fluids, forming samarskite-(Y) early in the paragenesis of secondary minerals, leaving, thus, the fluids with the more incompatible LREEs, complexed with sulfur. This preferential complexing lead to the precipitation of a sequence of LREE-minerals after the formation of the Y-HREE-minerals. Fersmite resulted from the alteration of samarskite-(Y) from these LREE fluids, whereas the hydrothermal alteration of magmatic allanite-(Ce) resulted in the formation of REE-rich hydrothermal epidote A regional hydrothermal event occurred at the end of Visean (at 320-315 Ma), forty million years after the pluton emplacement. This event resulted in circulation of carbon and sulfur-rich fluids (Wright, 1975). Such a composition of fluids could also promote REE (Rolland et al., 2003) and Ti mobility (Parnell, 2004). It is possible at that point that presence of carbonate ions in the fluids lead to the formation of the youngest mineral in the secondary mineral paragenesis, fluorine-bearing hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) after allanite-(Ce). However, the La/Nd ratios suggest low concentrations of carbon (Rolland et al., 2003) and therefore the formation of this mineral is not necessarily related to this hydrothermal event but could be related to an earlier one, related to the granite emplacement. This late event, however, is the only known event that could be related to Ti-mobility and therefore it is taken to be related to the breakdown of titanite to titania mineral and the formation of aeschynite-(Y)

It is, therefore, clear that the mineralogy and geochemistry of the Wentworth granites is the result of a complex magmatic history, and reflects several stages in both the generation of the parent magma and the evolution and emplacement of the granites The evolution of the Cobequid Shear Zone was a major factor that controlled the geochemical character of the Wentworth pluton a) providing the main pathway for alkaline-mantle-derived melts from the lower crust through the Rockland Brook Fault which was the major fault during Late Paleozoic and b) providing the necessary conditions for the development and circulation of hydrothermal fluids, in the area, for over a period of tens of millions of years

### **CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS**

The first question in this study was whether the early and late granites of the Wentworth Pluton have the same source and what was that source. To address this question, all granites have been derived from the same source which has relatively homogeneous isotopic characteristics. This source was juvenile Neoproterozoic trondhjemites, present in the lower crust as fractionation products of underplated gabbro, similar to those observed in supra-subduction ophiolitic sequences. Melting of these rocks produced the parent granitic melt with limited contamination by more evolved Neoproterozoic upper crustal rocks. Melting of this source was triggered by heat provided during the upwelling of the asthenosphere, combined with thinning of the crust, related to the synchronous extension of the Magdalen Basin in the Late Paleozoic. This study introduces a new petrogenetic model for A-type granites which involves partial melting of a lower crust related to a pre-existing subduction system.

The later gabbroic intrusion caused anatexis of the early granites and released fluorine due to amphibole breakdown and sulfur by oxidation of pyrite Both elements escaped in the hydrothermal system, and thus, the late granitic magma was depleted in these elements Part of the granitic magma assimilated minor amounts of gabbro, resulting in a distinct group of granites with high Mg, Ti and Cr

The observed REE enrichment is genetically related to the Wentworth granites The parent magma was saturated in REEs as a result of its initial enrichment in F from the lower crust The presence of fluorine in the parent magma kept Zr in solution for prolonged periods and along with it, rare metals like Y, Th and Nb The crystallization of chevkinite-(Ce), in the late granites, is a result of the heat provided by the intrusion of the later gabbro rather than a change in alkalinity of the granitic magma

The release of F and S to the hydrothermal system during the anatexis of the early granites played an important role in introducing the REEs and rare metals in the hydrothermal system. Fluorine and sulfur mobilized, by preferential complexing, the HREEs and LREEs, respectively Yttrium, along with the middle and heavy REE were the first metals to precipitate from these fluids, in the form of samarskite-(Y), causing a relative LREE enrichment in the fluid that was influenced by the presence of sulfur LREEs then precipitated, forming post-magmatic REE-rich epidote, fersmite and most probably hydroxylbastnasite-(Ce) Carbonate and sulfide-rich fluids were involved in a late regional phase of hydrothermal circulation at 320-315 Ma and may have been responsible for Ti and REE enrichment aeschynite-(Y) and titanite alteration to titania mineral could be related to this event. The key factor in creating this unique, mainly hydrothermal, REE-enriched system was the magmatically-triggered anatectic processes

However, it is still unclear whether the distinct alkalinity of the Wentworth Pluton is a result of the proposed petrogenetic model or if this applies to other felsic intrusions in the shear zone Furthermore, additional work is needed on the extent of REE and rare metal enrichment to other coeval granitic plutons in the Cobequid Shear Zone Further work on the geochemistry and mineralogy of the other Late Paleozoic plutons in the region would be a significant step to better understand the regional magmatic system by testing the hypotheses applied for the Wentworth Pluton This could provide great improvement on both the existing petrogenetic models on A-type granites and on the understanding of the origin of REE magmatic enrichment

121

# **CHAPTER 8: REFERENCES**

- Abraham, A P G, Spooner E T C, 1995 Late Achaean regional deformation and structural controls on gold-quartz vein mineralization in the northwestern Slave Province, N W T, Canada Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 32, 1132-1154
- Aoki, K., Ishiwaka, K., Kanisawa, S , 1981 Fluorine geochemistry of basaltic rocks from continental and oceanic regions and petrogenetic application. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 76, 53-59
- Armbruster, T, Bonazzi P, Akasaka, M, Bermanec, V, Chopin, C, Gieré, R, Heuss-Assbichler, S, Liebscher, A, Menchetti ,S, Pan, Y, Pasero, M, 2006
   Recommended nomenclature of epidote-group minerals European Journal of Mineralogy 28, 551-567
- Aurisicchio, C, De Vito, C, Ferrini, V, Orlandi, P, 2001 Nb-Ta oxide minerals from miarolitic pegmatites of the Baveno pink granite, NW Italy Mineralogical Magazine 65, 509-522
- Bhargava, S K., Garg, A, Subasinghe, N D, 2009 In situ high-temperature phase transformation studies of pyrite Fuel 88, 988-993
- Bonev, N, Stampfli, G, 2009 Gabbro, plagiogranite and associated dykes in the suprasubduction zone Evros ophiolites, NE Greece Geological Magazine 146, 72-91
- Calder, J H, 1998 The Carboniferous evolution of Nova Scotia Geological Society of London, Special Publication 143, 261-302

- Clark, J R , Williams-Jones, A E , 2004 Rutile as a potential indicator mineral for metamorphosed metallic ore deposits Diversification of Mineral Exploration of Quebec, final report, project SC2
- Clarke, D B, MacDonald, M A, Tate, M C, 1997 Late Devonian mafic-felsic magmatism in the Meguma Zone, Nova Scotia, in the nature of magmatism in the Appalachian orogen, Geological Society of America Memoir 191, 107-127
- Creaser, R A, Prince, R C, Wormald, R J, 1991 A-type granites revisited assessment of a residual source model Geology 19, 163-166
- Collins,,W J, Beams, S D, White, A J R, Chappell, B W, 1982 Nature and origin of A-type granites with particular reference to Southeastern Australia Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 80, 189–200
- Dall'Agnol, R, Scaillet, B, Pichavant, M, 1999 An experimental study of a lower Proterozoic A-type granite from the eastern Amazonian Craton, Brazil Journal of Petrology 40, 1673-1698
- Dessureau, G, Piper, D J W, Pe-Piper, G, 2000 Geochemical evolution of earliest Carboniferous continental tholeitic basalts along a crustal-scale shear zone southwestern Maritimes Basin, eastern Canada Lithos 50, 27-50
- Doig, R, Murphy, J B, Pe-Piper, G, Piper D J W, 1996 U-Pb geochronology of the late Paleozoic plutons, Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia, Canada Evidence for late Devonian emplacement adjacent to the Meguma-Avalon terrane boundary in the Canadian Appalachians Geological Journal 31, 179-188

- Donohoe, H V, Wallace, P I, 1982 Geological map of the Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia Scale 1 50000 Nova Scotia Department of Mines and Energy
- Douce, A E, 1997 Generation of metaluminous A-type granites by low-pressure melting of calc-alkaline granitoids Geology 25, 743-746
- Dunning, G R, Barr, S M, Giles, P S, McGregor, D C, Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D J W,
  2002 Chronology of Devonian to early Carboniferous rifting and igneous activity in southern Magdalen Basin based on U-Pb (zircon) dating
  Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 39, 1219-1237
- Drake, M J, Weill, D F, 1972 New rare earth elements standards for electron microprobe analysis Chemical Geology 10, 179-181
- Eby, G N, 1992 Chemical subdivision of the A-type granitoids petrogenetic and tectonic implications Geology 20, 641-644
- Ercit, T S, 2005 Identification and alteration trends of granitic-pegmatite-hosted (Y,REE,U,Th)-(Nb,Ta,Ti) oxide minerals a statistical approach Canadian Mineralogist 43, 1291-1303
- Ewing, R C , 1975 The crystal chemistry of complex niobium and tantalum oxides IV The metamict state discussion American Mineralogist 60, 728-733
- Forster, H J, 2000 Cerite-(Ce) and thorian synchysite-(Ce) from the Niederbobritzsch granite, Erzgebirge, Germany Implications for the differential mobility of the LREE and Th during alteration Canadian Mineralogist 38, 67-79
- Frost, C D, Frost, B R, 2010 On ferroan (A-type) granitoids their compositional variability and modes of origin Journal of Petrology 52, 39-53

- Frost, B R, Barnes, C G, Collins, W J, Argulus, R J, Ellis, D J, Frost, C D, 2001 A geochemical classification for granitic rocks Journal of Petrology 42, 2033-2048
- Gower, D P, 1988 Geology and genesis of uranium mineralization in subaerial felsic volcanic rocks of the Byers Brook Formation and the comagmatic Hart Lake granite, Wentworth area, Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia MSc Thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland
- Hibbard, J, Waldron, J W F, 2009 Truncation and translation of Appalachian promontories mid-Paleozoic strike-slip tectonics and basin initiation Geology 37, 487–490
- Holtstam, D, Andersson, UB, 2007 The REE minerals of the Bastnas-type deposits, south-central Sweden Canadian Mineralogist 45, 1073-1114
- Irvine, T N, Baragar, W R A, 1971 A guide to the chemical classification of the common rocks Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 8, 523–548
- Jiang, N, 2006 Hydrothermal alteration of chevkinite-(Ce) in the Shuiquangou syenitic intrusion, northern China Chemical Geology 227, 100-112
- Jung, S, Mezger, K, Hoernes, S, 1998 Petrology and geochemistry of syn- to postcollisional metaluminous A-type granites—a major and trace element and Nd–Sr–Pb–O-isotope study from the Proterozoic Damara Belt, Namibia Lithos 45, 147-175
- Keppler, H , 1993 Influence of fluorine on the enrichment of high field strength trace elements in granitic rocks Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 114, 479-488

- Krauskopf, K.B., Bird D K., 2003 Introduction to geochemistry, third edition Published by McGraw-Hill Science Engineering
- Koukouvelas, I, Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D J W, 1996 Pluton emplacement by wall-rock thrusting, hanging wall translation and extensional collapse latest Devonian plutons of the Cobequid Fault Zone, Nova Scotia, Canada. Geological Magazine 133,285-298
- Koukouvelas, I, Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D JW, 2002 The role of dextral transpressional faulting in the evolution of an early Carboniferous mafic-felsic plutonic and volcanic complex Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia, Canada Tectonophysics 348, 219-246
- Koukouvelas, I, Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D J W, 2006 The relationship between length and width of plutons, within the crystal-scale Cobequid Shear Zone, northern Appalachians, Canada. Earth Sciences 95, 963-976
- Kuiper, K F, Deino, A, Hilgen, F J, Krijgsman, W, Renne, P R, Wijbrans, J R, 2008 Synchronizing rock clocks of earth history Science 320, 500-504
- Landenberger, B, Collins, WJ, 1996 Derivation of A-type granites from a dehydrated charnockitic lower crust evidence from the Chaelundi Complex, Eastern Australia Journal of Petrology 37, 145-170
- Liferovich, R P, Mitchell, R H, 2005 Composition and paragenesis of Na-, Nb- and Zrbearing titanite from Khibina, Russia and crystal-structure data from synthetic analogues Canadian Mineralogist 43, 795-812
- Loiselle, M C, Wones, D, 1979 Characteristics and origin of anorogenic granites Geological Society of America, Abstracts with Programs 11, 468

- Macdonald, R, Belkin, H E, 2002 Compositional variation in minerals of the chevkinite-group Mineralogical Magazine 66, 1075-1098
- Macdonald, R, Marshall, A S, Dawson, J B, Hinton, R W, Hill P G, 2002 Chevkinite-group minerals from salic volcanic rocks of the East African Rift Mineralogical Magazine 66, 287–299
- MacHattie, T G, 2009 Magmatism, alteration and polymetallic mineralization in late
   Devonian to early Carboniferous felsic volcanic and plutonic rocks of the
   eastern Cobequid Highlands Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources,
   Mineral Resources Branch, Report of Activities, 65-75
- Maniar, P D, Piccoli, P M, 1989 Tectonic discrimination of granitoids Geological Society of America Bulletin 101, 635-643
- Marillier, F, Verhoef, J, 1989 Crustal thickness under the Gulf of St Lawrence, northern Appalachians, from gravity and deep seismic data Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 26, 1517-1532
- Marillier, F, Reid, I, 1990 Crustal underplating beneath the Carboniferous Magdalen
  Basin (Eastern Canada) evidence from seismic reflection and refraction, in
  Pinet, B, Bois, C (Eds), The Potential of Deep Seismic Profiling for
  Hydrocarbon Exploration Éditions Technip, Paris, pp 209-218
- Meintzer, R E, Mitchell, R S, 1988 The epigene alteration of allanite Canadian Mineralogist 26, 945-955
- Miller, B V, Nance, R D, Murphy, J B, 1995 Kinematics of the Rockland Brook fault, Nova Scotia implications for the interaction of the Meguma and Avalon terranes, Journal of Geodynamics 19, 253-270

- Mırza, T A, Sabah, A I, 2007 Origin of plagiogramites in the Mawat ophiolite complex, Kurdistan region, NE Iraq Journal of Kırkuk University-Scientific Studies 2, no 1
- Murphy, J B 2002 Geochemistry of the Neoproterozoic metasedimentary Gamble Brook Formation, Avalon Terrane, Nova Scotia evidence for a rifted-arc environment along the west Gondwanan margin of Rodinia Journal of Geology 110, 407–419
- Murphy, J B, Nance, R D, 2002 Nd-Sm isotopic systematics as tectonic tracers an example from West Avalonia, Canadian Appalachians Earth Science Reviews 59, 77–100
- Murphy, J B, Waldron, J W F, Kontak, D J, Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D J W, 2011 Minas Fault Zone Late Paleozoic history of an intra-continental orogenic transform fault in the Canadian Appalachians Journal of Structural Geology 33, 312-328
- Nance, R D, Murphy, J B, Keppie, J D, 2002 A Cordilleran model for the evolution of Avalonia Tectonophysics 352, 11-31
- Nilsen, O, Bjørn, S, Roberts, D, Corfu, F, 2003 U-Pb geochronology and geochemistry of trondhjemites and a norite pluton from the SW Trondheim Region, central Caledonides Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse Bulletin 441, 5-16
- Oliveira, D C, Dall'Agnol, R, Mesquita Barros, C E, Oliveira, M A, 2009 Geology, geochemistry and magmatic evolution of the Paleoproterozoic, anorogenic

oxidized A-type Redenção granite of the Jamon suite, eastern Amazonian Craton, Brazil Canadian Mineralogist 97, 1441-1468

- Orris, G, Grauch R I, 2002 Rare earth element mines, deposits, and occurrences U S Geological Survey, Open-file report 02-189
- Parnell, J , 2004 Titanium mobilization by hydrocarbon fluids related to sill intrusion in a sedimentary sequence, Scotland Ore Geology Reviews 24, 155-167
- Pearce, J A., Harris, N W, Tindle, A G, 1984 Trace element discrimination diagrams for the tectonic interpretation of granitic rocks Journal of Petrology 25, 956-983
- Pe-Piper, G, 1988 Calcic amphiboles of mafic rocks of the Jeffers Brook plutonic complex, Nova Scotia, Canada American Mineralogist 73, 993-1006
- Pe-Piper, G, 1998 The Devonian- Carboniferous Wentworth plutonic complex (Folly Lake and Hart Lake-Byers Lake plutons) of the central Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia Geological Survey of Canada, open file report 3373
- Pe-Piper, G, 2007 Relationship of amphibole composition to host-rock geochemistry the A-type gabbro-granite Wentworth pluton, Cobequid Shear Zone, eastern Canada European Journal of Mineralogy 19, 29-38
- Pe-Piper, G, Murphy, J B 1989 Petrology of the Late Proterozoic Folly River Formation, Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia a continental rift within a volcanic arc environment Atlantic Geology 25, 143–151
- Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D J W, 1989 The upper Hadrynian Jeffers Group, Cobequid Highlands, Avalon zone of Nova Scotia A back-arc volcanic complex Geological Society of America Bulletin 101, 364-376

- Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D J W, 1998 Geochemical evolution of Devonian-Carboniferous igneous rocks of the Magdalen basin, Eastern Canada Pb and Nd isotope evidence for mantle and lower crustal sources Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 35, 201-221
- Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D J W, 2002 A synopsis of the geology of the Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia. Atlantic Geology 38,145-160
- Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D J W 2005 Bedrock Geological Map of the Wentworth Area (parts of NTS sheets 11E/05, 11E/06, 11E/11 and 11E/12), Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia, scale 1 50 000 Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources, Mineral Resources Branch, open file map, OFM ME 2005-116
- Pe-Piper, G, Piper, D J W, Clerk, S B, 1991 Persistent mafic igneous activity in an Atype granite pluton, Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 28, 1058-1072
- Pe-Piper, G, Zeeman, M, Piper, D J W, 1996 Magmatic relationships between the mafic and felsic phases of the Folly Lake pluton, Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia, in Current Research 1996-D, Geological Survey of Canada, 27-33
- Pe-Piper, G, Reynolds, P H, Nearing, J, Piper, D J W, 2004 Early Carboniferous deformation and mineralization in the Cobequid Shear Zone, Nova Scotia an <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar geochronology study Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 41, 1425-1436
- Piper, D J W, Pe-Piper, G, Loncarevic, B D, 1993 Devonian-Carboniferous igneous intrusions, and their deformation, Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia. Atlantic Geology 29, 219-232

- Piper, D J W, Dessureau, G, Pe-Piper, G, 1999 Occurrence of early Carboniferous high-Zr rhyolites, Cobequid Highlands, Nova Scotia Temperature effect of a contemporaneous mafic magma. Canadian Mineralogist 37, 619-634
- Rabbia, O M, Hernández, L B, French, D H, King, R W, Ayers J C, 2009 The El Teniente porphyry Cu-Mo deposit from a hydrothermal rutile perspective Mineralium Deposita 44, 849-866
- Ridolfi, F, Renzulli, A, MacDonald, R, Upton, B G J, 2006 Peralkaline syenite autoliths from Kilombe volcano, Kenya Rift Valley evidence for subvolcanic interaction with carbonatitic fluids Lithos 91, 373-392
- Rolland, Y, Cox S, Boullier, A, Pennacchioni, G, Mancktelow, N, 2003 Rare earth and trace element mobility in mid-crustal shear zones insights from the Mont Blanc Massif (Western Alps) Earth and Planetary Science Letters 214, 203-219
- Rollinson, H R, 1993 Using geochemical data evaluation, presentation, interpretation Longman, UK [Co-published by J Wiley & Sons Inc in the USA]
- Rubatto, D, Muntener, O, Barnhoorn, A, Gregory, C, 2008 Dissolution-reprecipitation of zircon at low-temperature, high-pressure conditions (Lanzo Massif, Italy) American Mineralogist 93, 1519-1529
- Rubin, J N, Henry, C D, Price, J G, 1989 Hydrothermal zircons and zircons overgrowths, Sierra Blanca Peaks, Texas American Mineralogist 74, 865-869
- Saveleva, V B, Karmanov, N S, 2008 REE minerals of alkaline metasomatic rocks in the Main Sayan Fault Geology of Ore Deposits 50, 681-696

- Scaillet, B, Macdonald, R, 2001 Phase relations of peralkaline silicic magmas and petrogenetic implications Journal of Petrology 42, 825-845
- Schmitt, A K., Trumbull, R B, Dulski, P, Emmermann, R, 2002 Zr-Nb-REE mineralization in peralkaline granites from the Amis Complex, Brandberg (Namibia) Evidence for magmatic pre-enrichment from melt inclusions Economic Geology 97, 399-413
- Schonenberger, J , Marks, M , Wagner, T , Markl, G , 2006 Fluid-rock interaction in autoliths of agpaitic nepheline syenites in the Ilímaussaq intrusion, South Greenland Lithos 91, 331-351
- Seifert, W, Rhede, D, Forster, H -J, Thomas, R, 2009 Accessory minerals as fingerprints for the thermal history and geochronology of the Caledonian Rumburk granite Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie Abhandlungen 186, 215-233
- Škoda, R, Novák, M, 2007 Y, REE, Nb, Ta, T1-oxide (AB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) minerals from REL-REE euxenite-subtype pegmatites of the Třebíč Pluton, Czech Republic, substitutions and fractionation trends Lithos 95, 43-57
- Tilley, D B, Eggleton, R A, 2005 Titanite low-temperature alteration and Ti mobility Clays and Clay Minerals 53, 100-107
- Tollo, R P, Aleinikoff, J N, Bartholomew, M J, Rankin, D W, 1996 Neoproterozoic Atype granitoids of the central and southern Appalachians intraplate magmatism associated with episodic rifting of the Rodinian supercontinent Precambrian Research 128, 3-38

- Tomaschek, F, Kennedy, A K., Villa, I M, Lagos, M, Ballhaus, C, 2003 Zircons from Syros, Cyclades, Greece-Recrystallization and mobilization of zircon during high-pressure metamorphism. Journal of Petrology 44, 1977-2003
- Troll, V R, Sachs, P M, Schmincke, H U, Sumita, M, 2003 The REE-Ti mineral chevkinite in comenditic magmas from Gran Canaria, Spain a SYXRFprobe study Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 145, 730–741
- Uher, P, Ondrejka, M, Konečný, P, 2009 Magmatic and post-magmatic YREE-Th phosphate, silicate and Nb-Ta-Y-REE oxide minerals in A-type metagranite an example from the Turčok massif, western Carpathians, Slovakia Mineralogical Magazine 73, 1009-1025
- Vazquez, J A, Reid, M R, 2004 Probing the accumulation history of the voluminous Toba magma Science 305, 991-994
- Vlach, S R F, Gualda, G A R, 2007 Allanite and chevkinite in A-type granites and syenites of the Graciosa Province, southern Brazil Lithos 97, 98-121
- Wang, R C , Wang, D Z , Zhao, G T , Lu, J J , Chen ,X M , Xu S J , 2001 Accessory mineral record of magma-fluid interaction in the Laoshan I- and A-type granitic complex, eastern China Physics and Chemistry of the Earth 26, 835-849
- Whalen, J B, Currie, K. L, Chappell, B W, 1987 A-type granites geochemical characteristics, discrimination and petrogenesis Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 95, 407-419
- Whalen, J B, Jenner, J A, Longstaffe, F J, Robert, F, Gariépy, C, 1996 Geochemical and isotopic (O, Nd, Pb and Sr) constraints on A-type granite petrogenesis

based on the Topsails igneous suite, Newfoundland Appalachians Journal of Petrology 97, 1463-1489

- Whitney, D L, Evans B W, 2010 Abbreviations for names of rock-forming minerals American Mineralogist 95, 185-187
- Wood, S A, Ricketts, A, 2000 Allanite-(Ce) from the Eocene Casto granite, Idaho Response to hydrothermal alteration The Canadian Mineralogist 38, 81-100
- Wood, B J, Fraser, D G, 1976 Elementary thermodynamics for geologists Oxford University Press, London, 303p
- Wright, J D, 1975 Iron deposits of Nova Scotia Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources, Economic Geology Series 75 (1), 154
- Wu, F, Sun, D, Li, H, Jahn, B, Wilde, S, 2002 A-type granites in northeastern China age and geochemical constraints on their petrogenesis Chemical Geology 187, 143-173
- Zachariah, J K, Balakrishnan, S, Rajamani, V, 1997 Significance of Sm-Nd isotopr sustematics in crustal genesis A case of Archean metabasalts of the eastern Dharwar Craton Procambrian Indian Academic Sciences, 106, 361-367