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#### Abstract

Photoelectric, photographic and CCD photometry, as well as spectroscopic observations and proper motion data for stars in the fields of the short-period galactic Cepheids V1726 Cygni and SU Cygni are presented and analyzed. The existence of a sparsely populated cluster associated with V1726 Cyg has been confirmed, and a new, loose stellar group has been found in the vicinity of SU Cyg.

The newly obtained distance modulus for $\mathbf{C} 2128+488$ (Anon. Platais), the cluster associated with V1726 Cyg, is $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.98 \pm 0.02$, corresponding to a distance of $1568 \pm 13 \mathrm{pc}$. The spatial coincidence and the close match of the radial velocity, proper motion and age of V1726 Cyg with those of the cluster indicate a high probability of cluster membership for the Cepheid. The space reddening of V1726 Cyg, found from two neighbouring stars, is $E_{B-V}=0.43 \pm 0.02$ and its luminosity as a cluster member is $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle=-3.42 \pm 0.07$. This value is close to that predicted from the PL relation under the assumption that V1726 Cyg is an overtone pulsator, an asumption which is strongly supported by the Fourier parameters of the Cepheid's light curve. It implies, however, an unrealistically small value for the colour term in the PLC relation; a more acceptable value for the colour term is obtained if V1726 Cyg is assumed to pulsate in the fundamental mode.

The newly found group of stars in the vicinity of SU Cyg has a distance modulus of $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.08 \pm 0.02(d=1040 \mathrm{pc})$. It contains mostly early A to late F-type stars, with a few early B-type stars whose membership is more uncertain. The nature of this group is not entirely clear; it might be associated with the nearby association Vul OB4, which is at the same distance (Turner, unpublished), or represent a very sparse cluster, probably in its final stages of dissolution.


A reddening of $E_{B-V}=0.16$ has been determined for $S U C y g$ from a nearby ( $200^{\prime \prime}$ ) star having an accurate MK spectral type. While the Cepheid is spatially coincident with the stellar group, its absolute magnitude implied from possible membership is about $0^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 5$ brighter than that predicted from the PLC relation. This fact, together with the discrepancy between the observed colour of the cluster turnoff and the one expected for a Cepheid with the period of SUCyg, indicates that the latter is merely projected by chance against the slightly more distant stellar group.

The probable discovery of a new planetary nebula, located about $3^{\prime}$ south of SU Cyg, and a possible new cluster $17^{\prime}$ west of SU Cyg, are also reported.

## Introduction

It has been more than 300 years since Edward Piggot discovered the variability of the first Cepheid, $\eta$ Aquilae. Cepheids remained ordinary variable stars until the turn of the 20th century, when Henrietta Leavitt found that the apparent magnitude of Cepheids in the Small Magellanic Cloud decreased with increasing period and discovered what we now know as the period-luminosity (PL) relation. Later, when the theory of stellar structure developed, it became clear that Cepheids, together with other pulsating stars, can provide valuable information about stellar interiors through pulsation theory. Being very luminous stars, Cepheids are invaluable in determining the extragalactic distance scale. They are easily detected in nearby galaxies and it is expected that with the Hubble Space Telescope it will be possible to observe Cepheids as far as the Virgo cluster of galaxies, thus contributing to the reliable determination of the Hubble constant $H_{0}$.

Much of the accuracy of the extragalactic distance scale depends, however, on the quality of the PL calibration. Around the late 1950s it was realized that Cepheids associated with open clusters can provide an independent and accurate calibration of the PL relation, facilitated by the development of the Johnson UBV system. Since then a number of projects have been initiated to derive reliable distances 10 galactic clusters associated with Cepheids (Schmidt 1984; Turner 1986; Caldwell and Coulson 1987; Gieren et al. 1994).

This study was suggested to the author by David Turner as a part of an on-going program to study in detail clusters associated with Cepheid variables (Turner 1986; Turner et al. 1992; Turner 1992; Turner et al. 1994). It presents and analyzes the observational data for two fields surrounding the Cepheids V1726 Cygni and SU Cygni. Both regions do not include any obvious clus, ers, although the existence of a very sparse cluster near V1726 Cyg has been suggested and subsequently rejected by the discoverer of V1726 Cyg
(Platais 1979; Platais 1986). It was not until the paper by Turner er al. (1994) that the reality of the cluster was confirmed. There is no known cluster near SU Cyg; one of the goals of this investigation is to check the suggestion (Turner, private communication) that the few relatively bright stars surrounding the Cepheid might be the more luminous members of a loose, but physically related group of stars associated with SU Cyg.

The study is separated into two parts; the first one is devoted to V1726 Cyg and the nearby cluster C2128+488 (Anon. Platais), and the second part presents the results for the field of SU Cyg.

# Part 1. V1726 Cygni and the field of C2128+488 (Anon. Platais) 

### 1.1 Introduction

The variability of V1726 Cyg = BD $+48^{\circ} 3398$ was discovered by Platais (1979) during his study of the field of the nearby open cluster M39 in Cygnus. He classified it as a Cepheid variable with low amplitude and a sinusoidal light curve and determined a period of 4.24 days. Platais also pointed to the presence of a sparse cluster of faint stars about $4^{\prime}$ to the east of the Cepheid and showed that the distance to the cluster, as determined from photographic photometry and proper motion studies, was consistent with a possible membership for V1726 Cyg. In a later study of the same cluster Platais (1986) concluded that its existence is not well established, since, in his opinion, the additional photometric and proper motion data gave little evidence that the apparent cluster is something more than a random enhancement of the local star density.

The low amplitude and the sinusoidal light curve of V1726 Cyg (Platais and Shugarov 1981, Berdnikov 1986) make it a good candidate for an overtone pulsator. Given the controversy still surrounding such stars and the fact that there are only a few other known or suspected cluster overtone pulsators (Antonello and Poretti 1986, Antonello et al. 1990a, Turner 1992; Turner, private communication), the study of the reality of the cluster and its possible association with V1726 Cyg becomes even more interesting.

The reality of the cluster was demonstrated in a recent study by Turner et al. (1994) which incorporated, among other data, star counts and radial velocity measurements for several stars in the field. The purpose of the present investigation is to derive an accurate distance modulus for the cluster and to study the question of the
membership of V1726 Cyg. Section 2 describes the observational data and reductions, Section 3 presents the analysis of the results, Section 4 is devoted to the properties of the Cepheid V1726 Cyg, and Section 5 presents the conclusions.

### 1.2 Observational Data and Reductions

### 1.2.1 Photoelectric photometry

The first photoelectric UBV observations for 31 stars in the field of $\mathbf{C} 2128+488$ have been published recently by Turner et al. (1994). These data are listed in Table 1, where the first two columns identify the stars numbered according to Turner et al. (1994) and Platais (1986), respectively, columns 3 to 5 give the photometry, column 6 gives the number of nights on which each star was observed, and the last column lists spectral types from Turner et al. (1994) and Platais $(1986,1988)$ as well as other remarks. Uncertain or very uncertain values are marked by a colon or a double colon, respectively.

These photoelectrically observed stars formed the calibration sequence necessary to tie the photographic measurements to the standard Johnson UBV system. Figure 1 shows the finder chart for the field, where the photoelectric standards are the stars numbered 1-31, and the remaining stars (101-220) are those measured photographically. This finder chart was generated by a computer using the rectangular coordinates from the catalogue of Platais (1994). For a more realistic view of the field, one may wish to look at Figure 2, which gives a reproduction of a $B$ plate on a scale about twice the original.

### 1.2.2 Photographic photometry

Ideally, one would like to have photoelectric observations for most of the stars in the field being studied. It is often impossible to achieve this, however, given the need


Figure 1a. A finder chart for the field of $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$. The photoelectric standards are numbered 1-31; stars 101-220 are those measured photographically. The crowded central region is shown in more detail in Figure $\mathbf{1 b}$.


Figure 1b. A finder chart for the central region of $\mathbf{C} 2128+488$. The photoelectric standards are numbered 1-31; stars 101-220 are those measured photographically.


Figure 2. An overview of the region of $\mathbf{C 2 1 2 8}+488$, reproduced from a $B$ plate of the field. V1726 Cyg is the brightest object on this picture; the faintest visible stars have $B \approx 20$. West is up and north is to the left.

Table 1. Photoelectrically observed stars in the field of C2128+488

| Star | Platais | V | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ | U-B | n | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| V1726 Cyg | 1821 | 9.00 | +0.90 | +0.61 | 16 | F6 Ib |
| 1 | 1921 | 11.15 | +0.30 | +0.19 | 6 | B9 III (pec., shell) |
| 2 | 2322 | 11.20 | +0.48 | -0.10 | 4 | F8 IVa |
| 3 | 2053 | 11.62 | +0.64 | +0.15 | 1 | G0: |
| 4 | 2551 | 12.00 | +0.84 | +0.64 | 1 | F0: |
| 5 | 2197 | 12.29 | +0.28 | -0.08 | 4 | B7 Vn |
| 6 | 2206 | 12.34 | +1.15 | +0.95 | 4 |  |
| 7 | 2146 | 12.58 | +1.72 | +1.89 | 1 |  |
| 8 | 1826 | 12.80 | +0.63 | +0.20 | 5 |  |
| 9 | 2274 | 12.88 | +0.45 | +0.42 | 5 | A3 V |
| 10 | 2333 | 12.98 | +0.37 | +0.28 | 4 | A0 V |
| 11 | 2227 | 12.99 | +0.86 | +0.50 | 4 |  |
| 12 | 2399 | 13.06 | +0.24 | -0.24 | 4 | B6 Vnn |
| 13 | 1927 | 13.32 | +0.58 | +0.33 | 4 | A7: V |
| 14 | 2379 | 13.35 | +0.68 | +0.21 | 4 |  |
| 15 | 2143 | 13.45 | +0.39 | +0.22 | 4 | B9.5 IVn |
| 16 | 1761 | 13.46 | +0.91 | +0.49 | 6 |  |
| 17 | 1852 | 13.49 | +0.72 | +0.32 | 5 |  |
| 18 | 2237 | 13.72 | +1.86 | $+1.84::$ | 3 |  |
| 19 | 1859 | 13.75 | +0.40 | +0.28 | 4 |  |
| 20 | 2343 | 13.78 | +1.31 | +1.00 | 3 |  |
| 21 | 2287 | 13.83 | +1.01 | +0.24 | 3 |  |
| 22 | 2233 | 13.89 | +1.78 | +1.28 | 2 | double |
| 23 | 1899 | 14.15 | +0.65 | +0.31 | 6 |  |
| 24 | 2295 | 14.17 | +0.48 | +0.40 | 3 |  |
| 25 | 2406 | 14.44 | +1.09 | +0.95 | 1 |  |
| 26 | 1874 | 14.47 | +0.58 | +0.29 | 6 |  |
| 27 | $\cdots-$. | 14.50 | +1.46 | $+1.16::$ | 3 |  |
| 28 | $\ldots--$ | 14.55 | +1.94 | $+2.12:$ | 2 |  |
| 29 | --- | 14.61 | +1.32 | +1.35 | 1 |  |
| 30 | 1783 | 14.71 | +0.45 | +0.32 | 2 |  |
| 31 | --- | 14.91 | +1.46 | +1.01 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ According to Platais (1984)
to measure a hundred or more stars, most of them rather faint. Photographic photometry, when done carefully, is capable of providing high-quality photometric data and as several authors have shown (e.g. Turner and Welch 1989, Turner et al. 1993), it is possible to obtain intrinsic accuracy of the order of $\pm 0{ }^{\mathfrak{m}} 03$ for the instrumental magnitudes of most measured stars.

The photographic plates used in this study were obtained by Barry Madore on the night of 1981 September 28/29 at the prime focus of the $3.6-\mathrm{m}$ Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope. A total of six plates, two in each of the $U, B$ and $V$ bandpasses were used. The plate information is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Plate information for the field of $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$

|  | Plate No. | Exposure Time | Emulsion | Filter |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| V A-1578 | $7.5^{\mathrm{m}}$ | IIa-D | GG495 | Cirrus |
|  | A-1579 | $5.0^{\mathrm{m}}$ | IIa-D | GG495 |
| B A-1580 | $5.0^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Ila-O | GG385 | Cirrus; Not used |
|  | A-1581 | $5.0^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Ila-O | GG385 |
| U Cirrus |  |  |  |  |
| U-1582 | $10.0^{\mathrm{m}}$ | IIa-O | UG1 | Cirrus |
|  | A-1583 | $10.0^{\mathrm{m}}$ | IIa-O | UG1 |

All plates were measured with the Saint Mary's University Astro-Mechanics A01 iris photometer. The measuring procedure followed closely that described by Turner and Welch (1989). First each plate was examined for obvious guiding errors, background non-uniformity or other flaws. None were found on all six plates. The wedge setting for the reference beam was set to zero, and neutral-density filters were used in the main beam in order to be able to sample a wider sky annulus around the star, so that the toe of the stellar image lies within the diaphragm. This is a necessary condition to obtain a linear
relation between the square of the iris reading, $\Gamma^{2}$, and the magnitude (Schaefer 1981, Tumer and Welch 1989).

An actual measurement consisted of null-meter centring the stellar image and after that taking the iris reading. This technique ensures consistent and reliable centering of the stellar image, leading to higher internal accuracy and reduced scatter around the calibration curve. Each photoelectric standard was measured twice, at the start and at the end of the measuring session, in order to minimize the influence of changing sensitivity. The values of the iris readings for a given standard star were very nearly the same, with differences around 1-2 iris units, which shows the excellent stability of the iris photometer over the measuring period.

All plates were exposed with a Racine-Pickering prism in the optical path in order to obtain secondary images for the brighter stars; these images can be used to extend the photoelectric calibrating sequence to fainter stars. Unfortunately, there was no overlap between the magnitude ranges of the primary and secondary images, and only a few secondary images could be fitted by the extrapolated relation for the primary standards. This left the exact magnitude difference between the primary and secondary images difficult to determine using only these plates. Turner et al. (1994) derived $\Delta V=4.59$, $\Delta B=4.61$ and $\Delta U=4.62$ for the field of NGC 7190 . These offsets were found to give very consistent results for the secondary images in the V1726 Cyg field and are the values used in this study.

The calibration curves for the six plates are shown in Figures 3, 4 and 5. The data for the primary and secondary images are shown by filled and open circles, respectively. With the measuring technique described above, one would expect a linear relation between $I^{2}$ and magnitude. In this case, however, it turned out that the linear relation was between $I^{4}$ and magnitude (similar to that found by Turner et al. 1986 for the S Vul field):


Figure 3. Calibration relations for the $V$ plates. (a) First $V$ plate, (b) second $V$ plate.



Figure 4. Calibration relations for the $B$ plates. (a) First $B$ plate, (b) second $B$ plate.



Figure 5. Calibration relations for the $U$ plates. (a) First $U$ plate, (b) second $U$ plate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v=a_{1}+b_{1} l^{4}, \\
& b=a_{2}+b_{2} I^{4}, \\
& u=a_{3}+b_{3} I^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v, b$ and $u$ are the instrumental visual, blue and ultraviolet magnitudes, $I$ is the iris reading, and the coefficients $a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots$ were determined by a least squares fit. As can be seen from the figures with the calibration curves, such a linear relation extends over six magnitudes. The straight line in each figure represents the best-fitting line for the given plate. It is plotted approximately to the magnitude limit beyond which the linear relation is no longer valid. Although it is possible in principle to tit shorter line segments to the faint secondary images, it is clear that because of the lower quality of those measurements not much new information will be gained. For various reasons, most often due to duplicity, a few photoelectric standards were not included in the calibrations, and several others were rejected because of large residuals from the fitting line. Figure 6 shows plots of the residuals versus the appropriate magnitude for all six plates. From this plot, and also from Figures 3-5, it is evident that the calibration relations for the $B$ plates are very tight, even for the faint secondary images, whereas the $V$ and $U$ plates exhibit larger scatter. Therefore, in later reductions the $b$ instrumental magnitudes for the program stars, as derived from the relations in Figure 4, were averaged and taken as a basis for judging the quality of the $B-V$ and $U-B$ colours.

Since each plate was measured twice, there were two calibration relations for each plate and correspondingly, four sets of instrumental magnitudes in each bandpass. This allowed us to estimate the quality of the calibrations from the dispersion around the mean magnitude for each star. The results from the $V$ plates exhibited a larger scatter than the $B$ and $U$ plates, and there was a considerable difference between the instrumental magnitudes from the two $V$ plates. Having only two plates, it was difficult to decide which one causes the peculiar results. The appearance of the colour equations, as well as the careful visual


Figure 6. Residuals from the calibration lines for the $V, B$ and $U$ plates. The upper half of each panel shows the residuals for the first plate and the lower half for the second plate in the corresponding bandpass.
inspection of the plates indicated that the second $V$ plate (No. A-1579) should be excluded from the analysis. The reasons for the anomalous data from this plate are not entirely clear, and might include improper fixing (there were noticeable traces of the plate backing), plate-filter mismatch (Turner, private communication), temporary change in the cirrus uniformity, or some other factors. Whatever the true explanation, there is no evidence that the rejection of this plate diminished the overall quality of the photographic photometry.

The transformation to the Johnson UBV system was done using equations which included only the colour terms. This is permissible because the area of the studied tield is small, and in their simplest form the equations can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V-v=c_{1}+d_{1}(b-v)  \tag{1}\\
& B-V=c_{2}+d_{2}(b-v)  \tag{2}\\
& U-B=c_{3}+d_{3}(u-b) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v, b$ and $u$ denote the instrumental magnitudes in the corresponding bandpasses, and $V, B$ and $U$ denote the standard Johnson magnitudes. The coefficients $c_{1}, d_{1}, \ldots$ can be determined by means of least squares fits. As mentioned earlier, the two sets of $b$ instrumental magnitudes derived from the two $B$ plates were in excellent agreement and were averaged to yield a single $b$ magnitude for the given star. This magnitude can be combined with either of the two sets of $v$ or $u$ magnitudes to produce two sets of $b-v$ indices and two sets of $u-b$ indices, as well as two sets of differences $V-v$, all of which can be used in the colour equations. These six relations are plotted in Figures 7-9, where panels (a) show the data for the first plate, and panels (b) for the second plate as explained above. The best linear least squares fits to the transformation equations (1) - (3) are shown by straight lines and tabulated in Table 3. Open circles mark points that were



Figure 7. Plot of $V-v$ versus $b-v$ for the $(a)$ first and $(b)$ second $V$ plates.


Figure 8. Plot of $B-V$ versus $b-v$ for the ( $a$ ) first and $(b)$ second $V$ plates.



Figure 9. Plot of $U-B$ versus $u-b$ for the (a) first and ( $b$ ) second $U$ plates.
rejected because of their large deviation from the fit. As can be seen in Figure 7b, the $(V-v)$ vs. $(b-v)$ plot for the second $V$ plate has a rather anomalous appearance and was one of the reasons for rejecting this plate. The $(B-V)$ vs. $(b-v)$ relations (Figure 8) are quite tight, with the second one having somewhat large dispersion, due to the fact that the instrumental colour index $b-v$ was formed using the $v$ magnitudes from the second $V$ plate. The slopes of the $U-B$ relations are very close to unity, indicating a good match to the Johnson $U B V$ system for the $B$ and $U$ plates. That is not the case for the $B-V$ relations, however, most likely because the $V$ plates do not provide a good match to the Johnson system.

Table 3. Coefficients for the transformation equations (1) - (3)

| Equation | Plate | $c_{\mathrm{i}} \pm 1 \sigma$ | $d_{\mathrm{i}} \pm 1 \sigma$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | 1 | $-0.067 \pm 0.012$ | $+0.138 \pm 0.011$ |  |
|  | 2 | $-0.080 \pm 0.022$ | $+0.102 \pm 0.020$ | Not used |
| (2) | 1 | $+0.103 \pm 0.018$ | $+0.818 \pm 0.015$ |  |
|  | 2 | $+0.121 \pm 0.021$ | $+0.846 \pm 0.019$ | Not used |
| (3) | 1 | $-0.003 \pm 0.026$ | $+1.030 \pm 0.032$ |  |
|  | 2 | $+0.014 \pm 0.032$ | $+1.032 \pm 0.040$ |  |

With all coefficients for the colour equations known, it is straightforward to calculate the photographic UBV magnitudes for the program stars. The data are listed in Table 4 and the star numbers correspond to those in the finder chart (Figure 1). Many of our program stars have been observed photographically by Platais (1986, 1994). However, Turner et al. (1994) have found magnitude-dependent trends in Platais' UBV photometry, in addition to small systematic offsets from the Johnson system. This limits the usefulness of his data somewhat and only the magnitudes and colours derived in the

Table 4. UBV photographic photometry for the program stars in $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$

| Star | Platais | V | B-Y | U-B | Star | Platais | V | B-V | U-B | Star | Platais | V | B-V | U-B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | 1410 | 13.92 | 0.75 | 0.40 | 141 | $\cdots$ | 14.66 | 1.33 | 0.68 | 181 | 2356 | 14.14 | 0.88 | 0.37 |
| 102 | 1456 | 12.00 | 1.95 | 2.25 | 142 | 2027 | 15.24 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 182 | 2357 | 14.73 | 0.55 | 0.28 |
| 103 | 1460 | 14.56 | 1.01 | 0.54 | 143 | ... | 15.71 | 0.54 | -0.13 | 183 | 2361 | 14.49 | 0.53 | 0.35 |
| 104 | 1521 | 14.88 | 0.60 | $-0.01$ | 144 | 2068 | 13.61 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 184 | --- | 15.77 | 0.86 | -0.02 |
| 105 | 1534 | 14.53 | 0.95 | 0.32 | 145 | 2069 | 12.82 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 185 | $\cdots$ | 14.97 | 0.90 | 0.19 |
| 106 | 1543 | 12.15 | 1.55 | 1.78 | 146 | 2079 | 14.52 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 186 | --- | 15.71 | 0.96 | 0.02 |
| 107 | ... | 14.92 | 0.75 | 0.42 | 147 | --- | 14.99 | 1.25 | 0.64 | 187 | 2385 | 14.26 | 0.87 | 0.27 |
| 108 | 1565 | 13.60 | 0.76 | 0.45 | 148 | 2095 | 14.97 | 0.73 | 0.29 | 188 | 2389 | 14.78 | 0.58 | 0.29 |
| 109 | 1567 | 13.03 | 0.50 | 0.05 | 149 | -- | 15.24 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 189 | 2390 | 14.31 | 0.80 | 0.17 |
| 110 | 1580 | 13.49 | 0.58 | 0.49 | 150 | 2101 | 14.52 | 0.55 | 0.37 | 190 | 2398 | 14.72 | 0.84 | 0.32 |
| 111 | 1600 | 12.54 | 0.35 | -0,03 | 151 | ... | 15.44 | 0.93 | 0.08 | 191 | 2402 | 12.43 | 1.95 | 2.17 |
| 112 | 1621 | 14.59 | 0.72 | 0.53 | 152 | $\cdots$ | 15.70 | 1.03 | 0.03 | 192 | 2404 | 14.58 | 0.62 | 0.39 |
| 113 | 1639 | 14.72 | 0.63 | 0.36 | 153 | 2129 | 14.62 | 0.54 | 0.40 | 193 | .-- | 15.68 | 0.86 | 0.18 |
| 114 | 1640 | 15.00 | 0.67 | 0.36 | 154 | 2135 | 15.12 | 0.78 | 0.12 | 194 | 2418 | 14.89 | 0.72 | 0.39 |
| 115 | 1704 | 14.64 | 0.75 | 0.56 | 155 | 2136 | 14.07 | 0.85 | 0.29 | 195 | 2423 | 14.74 | 0.83 | 0.17 |
| 116 | 1706 | 14.63 | 0.67 | 0.36 | 156 | 2139 | 15.23 | 0.80 | -0.29 | 196 | ..- | 14.22 | 0.79 | 0.30 |
| 117 | 1713 | 12.77 | 0.48 | -0.12 | 157 | 2150 | 12.63 | 0.59 | 0.38 | 197 | 2448 | 14.92 | 0.63 | 0.33 |
| 118 | 1723 | 13.20 | 0.74 | 0.32 | 158 | 2153 | 15.27 | 0.77 | 0.20 | 198 | 2449 | 14.71 | 0.63 | 0.24 |
| 119 | --- | 15.57 | 0.79 | 0.05 | 159 | 2155 | 11.82 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 199 | -.- | 16.01 | 0.82 | 0.05 |
| 120 | 1757 | 14.57 | 0.64 | 0.48 | 160 | 2169 | 14.48 | 0.92 | 0.10 | 200 | 2466 | 12.13 | 1.47 | 1.78 |
| 121 | 1771 | 14.86 | 0.91 | 0.32 | 161 | 2170 | 14.93 | 0.79 | 0.26 | 201 | 2478 | 15.03 | 0.84 | 0.14 |
| 122 | .-. | 14.85 | 1.31 | 0.46 | 162 | 2174 | 14.75 | 0.82 | 0.06 | 202 | -.. | 15.88 | 0.86 | 0.00 |
| 123 | 1808 | 14.83 | 0.71 | 0.56 | 163 | 2180 | 14.22 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 203 | 2500 | 13.65 | 0.41 | 0.28 |
| 124 | ... | 15.67 | 0.87 | 0.05 | 164 | 2181 | 13.25 | 0.88 | 0.31 | 204 | --- | 15.45 | 0.77 | 0,35 |
| 125 | $\cdots$ | 15.86 | 0.94 | 0.04 | 165 | 2192 | 15.06 | 0.63 | 0.35 | 205 | 2538 | 14.74 | 0.87 | 0.07 |
| 126 | --- | 15.46 | 1.11 | 0.17 | 166 | 2194 | 13.14 | 0.75 | 0.21 | 206 | 2566 | 14.26 | 0.72 | -0.18 |
| 127 | ... | 15.63 | 0.90 | 0.10 | 167 | 2199 | 15.11 | 0.74 | 0.24 | 207 | 2577 | 13.71 | 1.52 | 1.52 |
| 128 | 1882 | 15.17 | 0.77 | 0.25 | 168 | 2217 | 13.10 | 0.62 | 0.40 | 208 | 2579 | 14.24 | 0.54 | 0.42 |
| 129 | ... | 15.69 | 0.94 | 0.08 | 169 | 2224 | 14.77 | 0.72 | 0.36 | 209 | 2601 | 14.48 | 0.66 | 0.28 |
| 130 | -- | 15.60 | 1.02 | 0.09 | 170 | -. | 15.56 | 0.97 | 0.10 | 210 | 2609 | 15.17 | 0.74 | 0.38 |
| 131 | 1912 | 13.82 | 0.65 | 0.36 | 171 | 2246 | 15.28 | 0.92 | $-0.10$ | 211 | 2656 | 15.32 | 0.70 | 0.29 |
| 132 | ... | 15.57 | 0.91 | 0.13 | 172 | 2247 | 12.41 | 1.45 | 1.65 | 212 | 2660 | 12.87 | 0.31 | $-0.16$ |
| 133 | 1943 | 15.15 | 0.67 | 0.36 | 173 | --- | 16.10 | 0.90 | -0.05 | 213 | 2689 | 13.20 | 0.51 | 0.54 |
| 134 | 1967 | 14.92 | 0.75 | 0.42 | 174 | 2251 | 14.27 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 214 | 2699 | 14.13 | 0.79 | 0.28 |
| 135 | 1969 | 14.34 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 175 | 2261 | 13.35 | 0.52 | 0.39 | 215 | 2729 | 14.02 | 0.72 | 0.33 |
| 136 | 1974 | 14.29 | 0.59 | 0.37 | 176 | 2267 | 13.60 | 0.80 | 0.25 | 216 | 2778 | 14.45 | 0.97 | 0.28 |
| 137 | 1978 | 13.62 | 0.77 | 0.49 | 177 | 2292 | 14.80 | 0.80 | 0.12 | 217 | 2789 | 14.14 | 0.99 | 0.43 |
| 138 | 1989 | 14.21 | 0.57 | 0.34 | 178 | 2315 | 14.62 | 0.57 | 0.38 | 218 | 2792 | 12.27 | 0.64 | 0.04 |
| 139 | 2003 | 14.92 | 0.61 | 0.35 | 179 | 2316 | 13.98 | 0.94 | 0.35 | 219 | 2867 | 14.52 | 1.18 | 0.25 |
| 140 | $\cdots$ | 16.00 | 0.79 | 0.05 | 180 | 2354 | 14.80 | 1.01 | 0.34 | 220 | 2871 | 14.84 | 0.95 | 0.27 |

present study are used. For reference purposes we also give in Table 4 the star numbers from the Platais' $(1986,1994)$ catalogue of the field of M39.

The numbar of stars measured initially was greater than those listed in Table 4. Some of them, however, did not have reliable measurements in all bandpasses, such as many faint red stars which were on or below the plate limit in $B$ and $U$, or others which turned out to be close doubles. In order to get the best results, the final analysis was restricted to stars brighter than $\sim 16$ th magnitude in $V$ and $\sim 17$ th magnitude in $B$ and $U$, and within $\sim 8^{\prime}$ of the cluster centre, which are the stars given in Table 4.

The formal uncertainties in the magnitudes and colours were estimated from measurements for the standard stars: $\pm 0.03$ in $V, \pm 0.03$ in $B-V$ and $\pm 0.06$ in $U-B$, with somewhat larger uncertainties for stars fainter than $\sim 15$ th magnitude. From these errors, as well as from the general appearance of the calibration curves and colour relations, we can conclude that the overall quality of the photographic photometry is very good and is comparable to the high-quality data obtained in a similar study of the field of WZ Sgr (Turner et al. 1993).

### 1.2.3 ¿iadial velocity data

Spectroscopic observations for V1726 Cyg and seven other stars in the field of C2128+488 have been published by Turner et al. (1994). Spectral classifications provide independent information on the luminosity of cluster stars, and radial velocity data are very useful for assigning membership probabilities. Table 5, adopted from Turner et al. (1994), lists the heliocentric radial velocities for all eight stars. We discuss the radial velocity observations for V1726 Cyg in more detail later in Section 4.

Table 5. Radial velocities for $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$ stars

| Star | $V_{R}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| V1726 Cyg | $-15 . \mathrm{i}$ |
| 1 | -15.1 |
| 5 | -15.4 |
| 9 | $-30.1^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 10 | -16.1 |
| 12 | $+5.4^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 13 | +38.9 a |
| 15 | $+14.5^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Cluster mean $=-15.4 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Rejected from the mean as a likely non-member

### 1.3 Analysis

### 1.3.1 Interstellar Reddening

It is well known that in the optical region interstellar extinction depends on wavelength approximately as $\lambda^{-1}$ (e.g. Krelowski and Papaj 1993) and therefore the term "reddening" is often used as a synonym for absorption. The quality of the derived cluster parameters depends very much on how carefully interstellar extinction has been taken into account. Reddening is often measured by the colour excess

$$
E_{B-V}=A_{B}-A_{V}=(B-V)-(B-V)_{0}
$$

where $A_{V}$ and $A_{B}$ denote the total absorption in the $V$ and $B$ bandpasses, respectively. The quantities ( $B-V$ ) and ( $B-V)_{0}$ are respectively the observed (reddened) and intrinsic (dereddened) values for the colour index $B-V$.

A very important characteristic of the extinction in a given region of the sky is the reddening line for that region, expressed by the relation

$$
E_{U-B} / E_{B-V}=X+Y E_{B-V},
$$

where $E_{U-B}$ is defined in the same way as $E_{B-V}, X$ is the slope of the reddening line and $Y$ is the so-called curvature term. In an extensive study FitzGerald (1970) found $X=0.70$ and $Y=0.05$ for the entire sky except Cygnus, where $X$ was found to be 0.75 . However, Turner (1989) showed that the slope terms differ significantly from one sky region to another and questioned the use of a mean galactic reddening relation to deredden individual stars. He found values for X ranging from 0.62 to 0.80 , but very similar curvature terms, with a mean $\langle Y\rangle=0.02$. Therefore, it is important to use an individual reddening relation appropriate for the region being studied and not rely on "mean" values.

The slope of the reddening line for the field of $\mathbf{C} 2128+488$ was determined by Turner et al. (1994) from seven spectroscopically observed B and A-type stars (see Table 1). They feund $E_{U-B} / E_{B-V}=0.81 \pm 0.06$, the same as that ohtained by Turner (1976) for early-type stars in nearby fields containing the clusters NGC 7062 and NGC 7067. Thus, we adopted a reddening slope of $E_{U-B} / E_{B-V}=0.81$ for the purpose of dereddening the program stars and assumed a zero curvature term, which is justified by the small range of colour excesses found for this region (see Figure 12).

The colour-colour diagram for the field of $\mathbf{C 2 1 2 8 + 4 8 8}$ is shown in Figure 10, where photoelectrically observed stars (Table 1) are marked by filled circles and stars having only photographic photometry (Table 4) are zarked by open circles. The solid curve is the intrinsic relation for main-sequence $s t$, ; of solar metallicity (Turner, private communication). There seem to be no unreddened carly-type stars, and several B stars define the average reddening of $E_{B-V} \approx 0.39$ for cluster members. One can also notice many A and F-type stars reddened roughly by the same amount, as well as a few


Figure 10. Colour-colour diagram for the field of $\mathbf{C 2 1 2 8 + 4 8 8}$. Filled circles: photoelectrically observed stars; open circles: stars with photographic photometry. The intrinsic relation for main-sequence stars is shown by a solid line; the doted line shows the same relation reddened by $E_{\beta-v}=0.39$. The reddening line appropriate for this field is shown by a dashed line.
unreddened late-type giants in the lower right part of the diagram. A rather curious feature in this plot is the group of about 25 stars above the reddened F6 bump at $(B-V, U-B) \approx(0.9,0.1)$. Most of these stars have $V \geq 15$, and as one can see from Figure 3, this is the faint limit of the calibration defined by the primary images. Thus, one possible explanation is a systematic error caused either by the use of secondary images, or by uncertain photoelectric photometry of the faint standards. There is no evidence for this in the calibration relations, however, so these stars are probably heavily reddened B stars, or (rather unlikely) reddened metal-poor $F$ dwarfs.

The dereddening for all program stars (with the exception of those haviris spectral classifications) was done by a computer program written by the author. It calculates all possible intersections of the reddening line for a given star with the intrinsic relation and gives as output the resulting colour excesses, intrinsic colours and magnitudes. For the purpose of calculating the intersections, the intrinsic relation is assumed to be a sequence of short straight lines connecting the individual pairs $\left((B-V)_{0},(U-B)_{0}\right)$.

The multiple dereddening solutions were resolved taking into account the position of the star in the field and, eventually, the resulting colour-magnitude diagram. The few stars which fall below the A2 reddening line (shown by a dashed line in Figure 10) were dereddened to the A2 kink. Their positions on the colour-culour diagram are not necessarily caused by photometric errors - another possibility is rapid rotation which is expected to widen the intrinsic colour relation for dwarfs around spectral type A2 (Turner, private communication).

A reddening map of the field of $\mathbf{C 2 1 2 8 + 4 8 8}$ is presented in Figure 11. It was generated using all stars with known colour excess. The reddening values shown on the map were corrected to an equivalent reddening of a BO star using the expression


Figure 11. A reddening map for the field of C2128+488 based on the colour excesses for all reddened stars. V1726 Cyg is circled.

$$
E_{B-V}(\mathrm{~B} 0)=\frac{E_{B-V}(\text { observed })}{0.976-0.074(B-V)_{0}}
$$

which is based on Buser's (1978) study (Turner, private communication). The reddening pattern in this area is somewhat patchy, with a belt of lower reddening between two higher-reddening regions. The large-scale distribution of absorbing matter implied from Figure 11 is in remarkable agreement with that seen in Figure 2 and in the Palomar Observatory Sky Survey. It is worth mentioning that interpolation of small samples of very irregularly spaced data (such as ours) is not very robust and that the details of this map might change somewhat should new data become available. However, the general features of the map, in particular the area of lower reddening in the middle and the area of high reddening to the west and south of the Cepheid, seem rather well established.

Since cluster members are at a common distance, any differences between their apparent distance moduli must be due to interstellar extinction. The amount of visual extinction is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{V}=R \times E_{B-V}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the ratio of total to selective absorption. Therefore, in the presence of interstellar extinction

$$
V-M_{V}=\left(V_{0}-M_{V}\right)+R \times E_{B-V},
$$

where $\left(V_{0}-M_{V}\right)=$ const. Clearly, on a $\left(V-M_{V}\right)$ versus $E_{B-V}$ plot (called a variableextinction diagram), unevolved cluster stars should lie on a straight line with a slope equal to $R_{V}$ and $Y$-intercept equal to the true distance modulus $V_{0}-M_{V}$.

The variable-extinction diagram for the field of C2128+488 is shown in Figure 12. With the exception of the spectroscopically observed stars (open diamonds), the values for $M_{V}$ were obtained from the intrinsic color indices $(B-V)_{0}$ using the zero-age main


Figure 12. Variable-extinction diagram for $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$ stars with luminosities obtained from ZAMS fitting (filled circles) and spectral types (open diamonds). The nearly unreddened cluster M39 is shown by a circled cross. A fit to the lower envelope of likely ZAMS cluster stars is shown by a straight line with slope $R=3.1$.
sequence (ZAMS) from Turner (1979). There is a well defined lower envelope which we assume represents the cluster main sequence. Two of the spectroscopically observed stars (Nos. 1 and 5) are very close to the envelope, and a third such star (No. 10) seems to be slightly evolved away from the main sequence. The radial velocities for those thrie stars (Table 4) also indicate that they are probable cluster members.

The lower envelope consists of 19 stars having a range of colour excess abnut 0.2. Using these stars, and a combination of parametric and non-parametric fitting techniques, Turner et al. (1994) derived a value for $R=A_{V} / E_{B-V}=3.07 \pm 0.27$. Therefore, we adopted a value of $R=3.1$ and used Equation (4) to calculate the extinction corrections.

Anon. Platais is seen at the outskirts of M39 (NGC 7092), which at a distance 265 pc is only slightly reddened; McNamara and Sanders (1977) derived $E_{B-V}=0.02$, similar to Johnson (1953) who found no reddening at all. As can be seen from Figure 12 where M39 is marked by a crossed circle, the farthest unreddened star has a distance modulus of $V-M_{V} \approx 8.1$ ( 415 pc ). The closest reddened stars are at $V-M_{V} \approx 8.9$ and they are reddened roughly by the same amount as cluster stars ( $E_{B-V} \approx 0.25$ to 0.4 ). We can conclude, therefore, that the dust clouds responsible for the extinction across $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$ are at a distance of about 415 pc or a little further.

### 1.3.2 Cluster membership, distance and age

Data for the potential cluster members, selected by their positions on the variableextinction and colour-magnitude diagrams, are listed in Table 6 and the colour-magnitude diagram is presented in Figure 13. These objects include the 19 lower envelope stars from Figure 12 , the three spectroscopically observed stars with radial velocities matching that of V1726 Cyg (see Table 4), star No. 111 at the cluster turn-off and V1726 Cyg itself. We consider all these stars to be highly probable cluster members and they are marked by filled circles in Figure 13. The remaining 18 stars in Table 6 (open circles) are within

Table 6. Reduced data for probable $\mathbf{C} 2128+488$ members

| Star | $E_{B-V}$ | $(B-V)_{0}$ | $V_{0}$ | Star | $E_{B-V}$ | $(B-V)_{0}$ | $V_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V1726 Cyga | $0.46{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.46 | 7.56 | $150{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.37 | 0.19 | 13.36 |
| $1^{\text {a }}$ | 0.37 | -0.06 | 10.01 | $153{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.39 | 0.16 | 13.40 |
| $5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.41 | -0.12 | 11.03 | $163{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.37 | 0.12 | 13.06 |
| $10^{\text {a }}$ | 0.40 | -0.02 | 11.74 | 1659 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 13.86 |
| 19 a | 0.44 | -0.03 | 12.39 | 167 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 14.06 |
| $24^{\text {a }}$ | 0.37 | 0.12 | 13.01 | 169 | 0.43 | 0.31 | 13.44 |
| 26 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 13.50 | $174{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.26 | 0.20 | 13.46 |
| $111^{\text {a }}$ | 0.48 | -0.12 | 11.06 | $178{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.39 | 0.20 | 13.43 |
| $114^{\text {a }}$ | 0.41 | 0.28 | 13.73 | $182^{\text {a }}$ | 0.29 | 0.27 | 13.82 |
| 115 | 0.60 | 0.17 | 12.77 | $183{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.34 | 0.20 | 13.43 |
| 120 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 13.03 | $188{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.31 | 0.28 | 13.81 |
| 123 | 0.59 | 0.14 | 13.00 | 192 | 0.41 | 0.23 | 13.32 |
| 128 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 14.05 | 193 | 0.35 | 0.53 | 14.59 |
| $133{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.41 | 0.28 | 13.88 | 194 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 13.49 |
| 134 | 0.49 | 0.28 | 13.39 | 197a | 0.37 | 0.28 | 13.78 |
| 136 | 0.39 | 0.22 | 13.09 | 198 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 13.80 |
| 138 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 13.08 | $203{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.45 | -0.03 | 12.25 |
| 139 a | 0.38 | 0.25 | 13.75 | $204{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.44 | 0.35 | 14.08 |
| $144^{\text {a }}$ | 0.29 | 0.06 | 12.72 | 208 | 0.41 | 0.14 | 12.96 |
| 146 | 0.43 | 0.22 | 13.19 | 210 | 0.45 | 0.31 | 13.77 |
| 148 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 13.79 | $211^{\text {a }}$ | 0.35 | 0.35 | 14.18 |

[^1]$\sim 0^{\mathrm{m}} .75$ of the cluster main sequence and possibly represent unresolved binaries. The position of star No. 10 suggests that it also might be a multiple system. The short line


Figure 13. Reddening-free colour-magnitude diagram for highly probable members (filled circles) and other potential members (open circles) of $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$. The solid line represents the ZAMS for $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.98$.
passing through the position of V1726 Cyg indicates the range of change of the Cepheid's magnitude and colour.

Three approaches for determining the distance modulus of C2128+488 were used. They all make use of the sample of 19 lower envelope stars considered to be on the ZAMS. The classical sliding fit technique produced a distance modulus of $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.96$ with an uncertainty below $\pm 0.05$. The second method consisted of fitting a straight line to the sample of 19 main-sequence stars on the variable-extinction diagram. As mentioned earlier, the Y -intercept of this line is equal to the cluster distance modulus. An attractive feature of this approach is that the formal error is obtained directly from the fit, but the method is not applicable to clusters with little or no differential reddening. In our case, the range in colour excess is only $\sim 0^{m} \cdot 2$, which translates into larger uncertainty for the Y -intercept: an unweighted least squares fit yielded $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.97 \pm 0.12$.

The third method was an attempt to quantify the sliding fit technique in the following way: The ZAMS relation that we use is tabulated in intervals of $0^{\mathrm{m}} 01$ in intrinsic colour, which means that given the value of $(B-V)_{0}$ for any star in Table 6, we can find directly the corresponding value of $M_{V}$ for that star by just looking it up in the ZAMS table. Then, for a given trial distance modulus, it is straightforward to find the difference between the observed and predicted intrinsic visual magnitudes and calculate some statistic based on those differences. A reasonable range of trial distance moduli is easily found from a rough sliding fit. In our case, we applied this technique for trial values of ( $V_{0}-M_{V}$ ) between 10.8 and 11.2, and chose as a best value for the distance modulus the one that minimized the dispersion about the ZAMS relation. The result is $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.977 \pm 0.017$, which is in very good agreement with the values from the previous two methods and moreover, in excellent agreement with Turner et al. (1994)
who obtained $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.98 \pm 0.02$. Thus, we adopted for the distance modulus of $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$ the value $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.98 \pm 0.02$ or $1568 \pm 13 \mathrm{pc}$.

Except for the few spectroscopically observed stars, the membership decisions for the program stars were based on photometric criteria alone. In order to obtain more conclusive results, we turned to the proper motion studies of Platais (1994) which include data for most of our cluster stars. His sample contains a mixture of high-quality and lower-quality proper motions, with measuring errors of $\sigma=0.00018 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ and $\sigma=0 \% 0035 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, respectively. In Figure 14 we have plotted on the same scale separate vector-point diagrams for the two types of data; only the possible members are shown. The circles have radii of $\sqrt{2} \sigma, 2 \sigma$ and $2 \sqrt{2} \sigma$; they divide the data into four classes which can be used to estimate the membership probability (Ebbighausen 1942). At the distance to $\mathbf{C 2 1 2 8 + 4 8 8}$, one would expect an approximately Gaussian distribution for the positions on the vector-point diagram since the stellar motions will be small compared to the measuring errors; this appears to be the case for our data taking into account the small sample sizes. There are few stars in both the high-quality and lower-quality samples that fall into Ebbighausen's classes 3 and 4, i.e. their cluster membership is doubtful. Clearly, the available proper motion data are not very useful for separating cluster members from field stars, therefore those stars were not rejected. At least, the vectur-point diagrams demonstrate that there are no obvious foreground objects among the stars considered to be likely cluster members.

The reality of the cluster and the membership of V1726 Cyg become more convincing when we consider the radial velocity observations for the Cepheid and stars Nos. 1, 5 and 10 (see Table 4). Their mean radial velocity has a standard deviation of only $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ which is rather unlikely to happen by chance. This fact, combined with the natural position of all four stars on the colour-magnitude diagram, supports the hypothesis that they are a physically related group of stars.


Figure 14. Vector point diagrams for the proper motions of hignly probable members (filled circles) and other potential cluster members (open circles). Separate diagrams are plotted for the high-quality $(a)$ and lower-quality $(b)$ proper motions, and the circles have radii of $\sqrt{2} \sigma, 2 \sigma$ and $2 \sqrt{2} \sigma$. The centroid of each distribution is marked by a cross, and V1726 Cyg is circled.

The main-sequence turnoff of $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$ is defined by the two bluest stars (Nos. 5 and 111) at $(B-V)_{0}=-0.12$ (spectral type B7), which agrees well with the period-turnoff colour relation for other cluster Cepheids (Turner, private communication). We estimated the age of the cluster to be $1.5 \times 10^{8}$ yr assuming solar metallicity and using Maeder and Mermilliod's (1981) models. Turner et al. (1994) obtained a similar age ( $1.9 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{yr}$ ) using models from Maeder and Meynet (1988). While these estimates may not be very conclusive, they are in good agreement with the age of V1726 Cyg expected from the period-age relation.

### 1.4 V1726 Cyg

### 1.4.1 Light and radial velocity variations

The variability of V1726 Cyg was discovered by Platais (1979) who, using only photographic observations collected over a period of three years, classified it as a lowamplitude Cepheid variable and determined a period of 4.24 days. Recognizing the importance of V1726 Cyg as a possible cluster Cepheid, several investigators have carried out further photoelectric observations: Platais and Shugarov (1981), Berdnikov (1986, 1992) and Turner et al. (1994). In order to study and establish the properties of V1726 Cyg as well as possible, we collected ail its published photoelectric observations. They were adjusted to the system of Turner et al. (1994) by shifting each observer's data set (phase, magnitude/colour) with respect to the latter system until the dispersion of the combined sample was minimized. However, this procedure requires a good knowledge of the period of the variable. Since the offsets were small, an approximate period was found using Berdnikov's $V$ data, and after every adjustment a new period determination was done until there was no need for further adjustments. This approach assumes that the offsets are colour-independent to which we found no evidence to the contrary. Table 7
summarizes the final offsets (Turner minus other) of the other observers from the system of Turner et al. (1994). It should be mentioned that the number of $U-B$ observations is rather small and besides, these data seem to suffer from larger errors than observations in the other bandpasses. Therefore, the values of the $U-B$ offsets given in Table 7 are poorly determined.

Table 7. Magnitude and colour offsets between Turner et al. (1994) and the remaining observers

| Observer | $V$ | $B-V$ | $U-B$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Platais \& Shugarov | +0.022 | -0.020 | .-- |
| Berdnikov | -0.019 | -0.009 | $+0.10::$ |
| Forbes | -0.009 | 0.000 | $-0.02::$ |

Period determinations were done using the analysis of variance (AoV) technique (Shwarzenberg-Czemy 1989). It is a very fast and computationally simple method, has the advantage that its probability distribution is known for any number of observations and is especially powerful for small sample sizes. Although similar to the phase dispersion minimization (PDM) method (Stellingwerf 1978), the author's experience has shown that the AoV technique produces narrower lines and much less power is transferred to the harmonics, resulting in cleaner periodograms and rejection of spurious signals. Combining all available photoelectric observations in the manner described in the previous paragraph, we obtained the following ephemeris for V1726 Cyg:

$$
\begin{align*}
H J D_{\max }=2444020.5131 & +4.2370383 E .  \tag{5}\\
& \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0000003
\end{align*}
$$

In this equation, the first term on the right-hand side is the initial epoch $\mathrm{JD}_{0}$, the second term is the period of pulsation and $E$ is the number of pulsation cycles since the initial epoch.

The $V$ light curve of V1726 Cyg is presented in Figure 15a, where observations from different observers are shown by different symbols as indicated, and phases were calculated using the ephemeris (5) and the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\operatorname{frac}\left[\left(\mathrm{JD}_{\mathrm{obs}}-\mathrm{JD}_{0}\right) / P\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the phase and $\mathrm{JD}_{\mathrm{obs}}$ is the heliocentric Julian day of the observation.
The light curve is of small amplitude ( $\Delta V=0^{m} \cdot 2$ ) and nearly symmetrical sinusoidal shape - the duration of the rising part of the light curve (the parameter $M-m$ ) is very close to half of a cycle: $M-m=0.484$. The $B-V$ colour curve is shown in Figure 15b, where the same symbols have been used as in Figure 15a. The $U-B$ colour curve is not plotted since it was nearly impossible to combine observations from different observers and to arrive at a meaningful curve. For example, Berdnikov's mean $U-B$ colours from the two epochs (1983 and 1991) differ by $\sim 0{ }^{m} .15$ ! It is very unfortunate that the $U-B$ colour curve is so poorly defined because Cepheid $U-B$ colours can be useful for the detection of close companions (Madore 1977, Fernie 1979). For example, the $U-B$ colours of Turner et al. (1994) imply a rather small $U-B$ amplitude for V1726 Cyg ( $\sim 0 \mathrm{~m} .03$ ), which might be an indication for the presence of a hot companion.

As mentioned before, a small amplitude and a sinusoidal light curve are often attributes of overtone pulsators. Since this study is primarily concerned with the luminosity of the Cepheid, the question of the pulsational mode of V1726 Cyg is a very important and, as it turns out, a rather difficult one. In the last 15 years many studies


Figure 15. The $V$ light curve ( $a$ ) and the $B-V$ colour curve (b) of V1726 Cyg. Different symbois mark the data from different observers as indicated.
(e.g. Simon and Lee 1981; Antonello and Poretti 1986; Andreasen 1988; Simon 1988; Artonello et al. 1990a,b; to name a few) have shown that Fourier decomposition parameters of Cepheid light curves can provide valuable information on the pulsational properties of Cepheids. What concerns us more, however, is that Fourier parameters seem to be a reliable tool for pulsational mode discrimination in short-period Cepheids (Antonello and Poretti 1986; Antonello et al. 1990a,b, Poretti 1994) when accurate light curves with good phase coverage and a sufficient number of observations are available.

The Fourier decomposition consisted of fitting to the light curve, expressed in terms of the phase $f$ (Equation 6) and magnitude $m(f)$, the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(f)=m_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[a_{k} \sin (2 \pi k f)+b_{k} \cos (2 \pi k f)\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the chosen order of decomposition, and the ( $2 N+1$ ) unknown coefficients ( $m_{0}, a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}, b_{N}$ ) have to be determined from the fit. With the Fourier coefficients known, we can define for each term of order $k$ its Fourier amplitude, $H_{k}$, and Fourier phase, $\phi_{k}$, according to the relation:

$$
a_{k} \sin (2 \pi k f)+b_{k} \cos (2 \pi k f) \equiv H_{k} \cos \left(2 \pi k f+\phi_{k}\right)
$$

or its equivalent

$$
H_{k}^{2} \equiv a_{k}^{2}+b_{k}^{2} ; \quad \phi_{k} \equiv \arctan \left(-a_{k} / b_{k}\right) .
$$

The actual quantities used in the analysis are the amplitude ratios, $\boldsymbol{R}_{k l}$, and phase differences, $\phi_{k 1}$, defined by:

$$
R_{k 1} \equiv H_{k} / H_{1} ; \quad \phi_{k 1} \equiv \phi_{k}-k \phi_{1}(+2 \pi n) .
$$

The Fourier parameters for V1726 Cyg (amplitude ratios $R_{21}$ and $R_{31}$, and phase differences $\phi_{21}$ and $\phi_{31}$ ) as derived from the $V$ and $B$ light curves, are listed in Table 8 together with their formal errors. Comparison with the same quantities for overtone pulsators (Antonello et al. 1990a; Poretti 1994) shows that our values are similar to those of other $s$-Cepheids, or more precisely, of the $\mathbf{C}-b$ subclass of $s$-Cepheids (see also Antonello et al. 1990b).

Table 8. Fourier decomposition parameters for V1726 Cyg

| $V$ data set | $B$ data set |
| :--- | :--- |
| $A_{V}=0.187$ | $A_{B}=0.273$ |
| $\phi_{21}=3.81 \pm 0.33$ | $\phi_{21}=3.44 \pm 0.45$ |
| $\phi_{31}=6.72 \pm 0.50$ | $\phi_{31}=6.67 \pm 0.70$ |
| $R_{21}=0.06 \pm 0.02$ | $R_{21}=0.04 \pm 0.02$ |
| $R_{31}=0.04 \pm 0.02$ | $R_{31}=0.03 \pm 0.02$ |

Figure 16 reproduces the diagrams $\phi_{21}-P, \phi_{31}-P$ and $R_{21}-P$ from Poretti (1994) (his Figures 1-3) and the position of V1726 Cyg is plotted on each diagram. In the figures, crosses mark $\mathbf{C}-a$, or fundamental mode Cepheids, and dots designate $\mathbf{C}-b$, or first overtone pulsators. The position of V1726 Cyg is marked by a large triangle. It is clear from the plots that there is a very good chance for V1726 Cyg being an overtone pulsator. The problem is, however, that the light curve of V1726 Cyg has an almost perfectly sinusoidal shape and as a consequence, third order decomposition is not very meaningful, and even the second order amplitude ratios have large uncertainties. In this respect V1726 Cyg is similar to DT Cyg and V1334 Cyg (Poretti 1994). For these Cepheids, it is difficult to establish accurate second and third order terms, and, as a result, their classification as $\mathrm{C}-a$ or $\mathrm{C}-b$ variables is rather uncertain. In the case of V1726 Cyg,


Figure 16. Phase differences $\phi_{21}$ and $\phi_{31}$, and amplitude ratio $R_{21}$ versus period for galactic Cepheids with $P<7$ days. Crosses indicate $C-a$ stars (i.e. classical Cepheids), and filled circles mark $C-b$ stars (overtone pulsators). V1726 Cyg is marked by a large triangle. (Reproduced from Poretti 1994).
the $\phi_{21}$ value places it on the boundary between $\mathrm{C}-a$ and $\mathrm{C}-b$ pulsators (see Figure 16a), the value for $\phi_{31}$ matches very well the C - $b$ domain (Figure 16b, but see the remark about third order fits above) and the position of V1726 $₫ y g$ on the $R_{21}$-period plot (Figure 16c) also suggests a C-b classification. To summarize, Fourier decomposition parameters for V1726 Cyg indicate that it is an overtone pulsator (or a C-b Cepheid in the terminology of Antonello et al. 1990b), but this conclusion must be made with a caution because the sinusoidal light curve of V1726 Cyg does not allow precise mode discrimination using the data currently available. New, high accuracy photometric observations are needed to establish firmly the pulsational mode of V1726 Cyg.

The radial velocity curve of V1726 Cyg is presented in Figure 17. It combines observations from Metzger et al. (1991) and Turner et al. (1994) phased to the same ephemeris as the photometric data, and the plot shows that the two sets of radial velocities are in excellent agreement. Typically for Cepheids, the radial velocity curve is a mirror image of the light curve, but there is a phase offset of about 0.1 between the two. The systemic radia! velocity of the Cepheid was found from a low-order Fourier decomposition of the radial velocity curve (it is just the term $m_{0}$ in Equation 7, if we substitute magnitudes with radial velocities). We obtained $\gamma=-15.1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, in excellent agreement with the study by Metzger et al. (1992) who found $\gamma=-15.32 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for V1726 Cyg. In principle, the radial velocity curve can be used to obtain Fourier parameters for the Cepheid in the same way as photometric data. We did not attempt this, however, because of the small size of the sample and the large scatter in the data.

In the following Table 9 we summarize the parameters of the light, colour and radial velocity variations of V1726 Cyg, derived by means of the Fourier decomposition technique. Note that the notations $(B-V)_{\max },(B-V)_{\min }$, etc. refer to the colour index at maximum (minimuin) light, not to the maximum (minimum) value of the index. Also, angle brackets ( ) designate intensity mean values of the corresponding photometric


Figure 17. The radial velocity curve of V1726 Cyg. Triangles indicate data from Metzger et al. (1991) and the observations of Turner et al. (1994) are marked by squares. The uncertainties in the radial velocities are indicated by vertical lines.
quantities. We again note that the values for the $U-B$ colour curve and correspondingly, the $U$ light curve, are of lower accuracy compared to the other quantities.

Table 9. Summary of light and radial velocity variations of V1726 Cyg

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
V_{\max }=8.892 & (B-V)_{\max }=0.84 & (U-B)_{\max }=0.57: \\
V_{\min }=9.079 & (B-V)_{\min }=0.93 & (U-B)_{\min }=0.62: \\
M-m=0.484 & M-m \quad 0.50 & \\
B_{\max }=9.734 & U_{\max }=10.30: & \\
B_{\min }=10.007 & U_{\min }=10.62: & \\
\langle V\rangle=8.988 \pm 0.001 & \langle B\rangle=9.873 \pm 0.002 & \langle U\rangle=10.45 \pm 0.02: \\
& \langle B\rangle-\langle V\rangle=0.885 & \langle U\rangle-\langle B\rangle=0.58:
\end{array}
$$

$\left\langle V_{R}\right\rangle=-15.1 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, varying between -18.7 and $-11.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

### 1.4.2 Reddening, intrinsic colours and luminosity

As one can see in the reddening map (Figure 11), V1726 Cyg lies in a region of the cluster where the reddening seems to vary considerably - the individual colour excesses for stars around the Cepheid span a range of almost 0.3 in $E_{B-V}$. Fortunately, V1726 Cyg is closely bracketed by two stars (19 and 30), which have colour excesses of $E_{B-y}(B 0)=0.44$ and $E_{B-y}(B 0)=0.49$, respectively. Assuming that on such a small scale ( $\sim 1^{\prime}$ ) interstellar extinction changes smoothly, simple interpolation yields $E_{B-V}(\mathrm{~B} 0)=0.46 \pm 0.02$ as a value appropriate for the location of V1726 Cyg. Nearly the same result ( $E_{B-V}(B 0)=0.47$ ) is obtained using isoreddening contours similar to those drawn on the reddening map. Adopting $E_{B-V}(\mathrm{~B} 0)=0.46$ and converting to a colour excess appropriate for a star with the observed colours of the Cepheid, we obtain a
reddening of $E_{B-V}=0.43 \pm 0.02$ for V1726 Cyg. Given a mean $B-V$ colour index of 0.89 (Table 9), the intrinsic colour of V1726 Cyg is $(\langle B\rangle-\langle V\rangle)_{0}=0.46 \pm 0.02$.

An independent estimate for the reddening of V1726 Cyg can be obtained from its spectral type. Turner et al. (1994) have considered several sources of intrinsic colours of supergiants and found that the average value appropriate for an $\mathbf{F 6} \mathbf{l b}$ supergiant is $(\langle B\rangle-\langle V\rangle)_{0}=0.46 \pm 0.02$, which is identical to the one found from the Cepheid's space reddening.

In the previous section it was found that V1726 Cyg is a highly probable member of $\mathbf{C 2 1 2 8 + 4 8 8}$, as judged from the Cepheid's position, radial velocity and proper motion. Assuming that this is indeed so, we find that the corresponding absolute magnitude of V1726 Cyg is $M_{V}=-3.42 \pm 0.07$. An important question then is, how does this value compare with the one predicted from the Cepheid period-luminosity (PL) relation? We must consider the fact that there is a strong possibility for V1726 Cyg being an overtone pulsator and compare both possible luminosities. The ratio of fundamental and first overtone periods can be estimated from the period ratios of known double-mode Cepheids (Szabados 1988). If the observed period of 4.237038 is the first overtone, the predicted ratio is $P_{1} / P_{0}=0.6864$, where $P_{0}$ is the fundamental period which enters the PL relation. Using Turner's (1992) PL relation, we obtain $M_{V}=-2.99 \pm 0.07$ if V1726 Cyg pulsates in the fundamental mode, and $M_{V}=-3.47 \pm 0.07$ if it pulsates in the first overtone. Clearly, the latter value is much closer to the luminosity found for V1726 Cyg from assumed cluster membership. Thus, a best agreement between the available observational data and the luminosity of the Cepheid as a cluster member is achieved when we assume that V1726 Cyg is an overtone pulsator, besides the other evidence for overtone pulsation presented in Section 4.1.

An important piece of information not considered so far in these arguments is the intrinsic colour of V17,6 Cyg. As Turner et al. (1994) have pointed out, with
$(\langle B\rangle-\langle V\rangle)_{0}=0.46$, V1726 Cyg is bluer than other Cepheids of similar period whether it is pulsating in the fundamental mode ( $P_{0}=4.23704$ ) or in the first overtone ( $P_{0}=6.17284$ ). Such a colour might be caused by the presence of a hot companion, which is not unusual for Cepheids. However, Turner et al. (1994) conclude that V1726 Cyg is most probably a single star, taking into account the appearance of its spectrum and the identical reddening obtained from its spectral type and from photometry.

They also investigated the influence of the blue colour of V1726 Cyg on the colour term $\alpha$ in the period-luminosity-colour (PLC) relation

$$
M_{V}=A \log P+\alpha((B\rangle-(V\rangle)_{0}+C
$$

and found that when V1726 Cyg is included in the sample as a fundamental mode pulsator, the colour term is $\alpha=2.1 \pm 0.2$, whereas $\alpha=0.5 \pm 0.2$ is obtained if V1726 Cyg is considered to be a first overtone pulsator. The latter value is clearly at odds with current results for galactic and Magellanic Clouds Cepheids (see Turner et al. 1994). Moreover, in their numerical simulations of how the PLC relation is empirically determined, Brodie and Madore (1980) showed that a small value for $\alpha$ is rather unlikely given the present accuracy of photometry and reddening determinations. Another point in support of fundamental mode pulsation is the period-turnoff colour relation derived from other cluster Cepheids (Turner, private communication), which is matched very well by V1726 Cyg if $P_{0}=4.23704$, but requires a bluer cluster turnoff if $P_{0}=6 \mathrm{~d} 17284$. Thus, although the light curve parameters and the blue colour of V1726 Cyg favour its classification as an overtone pulsator, we have arrived at an even stronger argument that it pulsates in the fundamental mode. It is clear that with the current data we cannot resolve this problem without knowing independently either the Cepheid's pulsation mode or its distance, and that further, high-accuracy observations are needed to settle the question about the pulsation mode of V1726 Cyg.

### 1.5 Summary and conclusions

We have presented and analyzed photoelectric and photographic photometry, as well as radial velocity observations, for the Cepheid V1726 Cyg and other stars in the field of the galactic cluster $\mathbf{C} 2128+488$ (Anon. Platais). The picture of $\mathrm{C} 2128+488$ emerging from this study is of a sparse, medium-age $\left(\sim 2 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{yr}\right)$ cluster at a distance of 1570 pc , with only about 20 to 40 probable members found here. The spatial coincidence and the close match of the radial velocity, proper motion and age of V1726 Cyg with those of cluster stars present solid arguments for cluster membership.

The Cepheid itself has a low-amplitude ( $\Delta V \approx 0^{m} \cdot 2$ ), nearly symmetrical light curve commonly seen in overtone pulsators. The Fourier decomposition parameters also strongly suggest V1726 Cyg is an overtone pulsator, despite the uncertainties associated with higher-order decomposition of nearly sinusoidal light curves. The luminosity of the Cepheid inferred from its cluster membership is very close to that predicted from the period-luminosity relation if we assume pulsation in the first overtone. This assumption, however, leads to an unrealistically small value for the colour term $\beta$ in the period-luminosity-colour relation. On the other hand, the colour term obtained assuming fundamental mode pulsation is quite reasonable, but the corresponding luminosity places the Cepheid about 0 에 15 closer than the cluster.

Undoubtedly, more detailed study of the cluster and V1726 Cyg is needed to resolve the problems outlined above. Especially important is to obtain high-precision ( $\sim 0$ m 005 or better) photometry for the Cepheid for two reasons: (i) Hopefully it will allow the derivation of reliable F . . ser parameters and eventually, the establishment of the pulsation mode of the Cepheid; (ii) Presently, the $U-B$ colour curve of V1726 Cyg is very poorly determined. The $U-B$ amplitude is useful for the detection of close companions and it seems that the presence of a blue companion of V1726 Cyg can alleviate some of the problems with the color term in the PLC relation.

## Part 2. The field of SU Cygni

### 2.1 Introduction

SU Cyg $=B D+28^{\circ} 3460$ is a short-period, bright Cepheid located on the boundary between Cygnus and Vulpecula, about $2^{\circ} .5$ from the galactic equator. It is known to be a member of a multiple system (Madore 1977), and most of the recent studies of SU Cyg have focused on the properties of its two companions with the eventual goal of a better determination of the Cepheid's characteristics (Böhm-Vitense 1985; Böhm-Vitense and Proffitt 1985; Evans 1988; Evans and Bolton 1990).

Around 1978 Nancy Evans noted that there is a loose cluster visible around SU Cyg and proposed a more detailed investigation of that region (Turner, private communication). The only photometric study of the field around SU Cyg was done shortly before that by Feltz and McNamara (1976) as a part of their program to derive colour excesses for classical Cepheids. They obtained UBV and $u v b y \beta$ photometry for four early-type stars within $1^{\circ}$ of SU Cyg and derived colours, reddenings and distances for those stars. Clearly, any study of the reality of the suspected sparse grouping and its relation to the Cepheid requires much more data, and in this second part of the thesis we report the results of a detailed photometric investigation of the field of SU Cygni.

There are several clusters and stellar groups not very far from SU Cyg, but it is unclear whether any of them can be reasonably associated with the Cepheid. For example, a sparse nearby cluster and distant OB associations (Vul OB1, Vul OB2 and Vul OB4) are located about $2^{\circ}$ southeast of SU Cyg in the field of the two long-period Cepheids $S$ Vul and SV Vul (Turner 1980; Turner et al. 1986). The open clusters NGC 6834 and Czemik 41 are also a few degrees away, but their size and brightness exclude possible association with SU Cyg. Therefore, this study deals only with the immediate vicinity of

SU Cyg ( $\sim 30^{\prime}$ in diameter), where the existence of a possible cluster has beell suggested by Nancy Evans.

In this part, section 2 describes the observational data and reductions, section 3 presents the analysis of the results and section 4 presents the conclusions. Since SU Cyg has been studied extensively, many of its properties (light and colour curves, period, radial velocity, etc.) are assumed to be well known. More information on observed and inferred parameters of SU Cyg can be found in the following sources: Schaltenbrand and Tammann (1971), Fernie (1979) and the references therein, and Feltz and McNamara (1980) for photometric data; Böhm-Vitense (1985), Evans (1988), Evans and Bolton (1990), and the references there for radial velocity data and studies of other properties of the Cepheid and its companions.

### 2.2 Observational Data and Reductions

### 2.2.1 Photoelectric photometry

The main source of photoelectric photometry for stars in the SU Cyg field are the observations of Turner (unpublished), who obtained UBV photometry for ten stars within a few arc minutes of the Cepheid with the \#4 16 "telescope at Kitt Peak National Observatory. On the finder chart of the field (Figure 18) these are the stars numbered 1 to 10. Four additional stars have been observed by Feitz and McNamara (1976), and one of those stars (marked FM3) is also shown on the finder chart. Thus, the available photoelectric data consist of 14 stars whose UBV observations and other relevant information are listed in Table 10. The first two columns identify the stars by their numbers in Figure 18 and BD or SAO designations, the next three columns list the UBV photometry, column 6 gives the number of nights on which each star was observed, and the last column lists spectral types and other information.


Figure 18. A finder chart for the field of SU Cyg. The photoelectric standards are numbered 1-10 and stars 101-201 are those measured photographically. FM3 is Feltz and McNamara's (1976) star 3.

Table 10. Photoelectric UBV photometry for stars in the filed of SU Cyg

| Star | BD/SAO | V | B-V | U-B | $n$ | Remarks |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| SU Cyg | +283460 | 6.884 | 0.590 | 0.258 | - | F2-G0 I-II a ; triple |
| 1 |  | 10.918 | 0.221 | 0.127 | 5 | A2 V b |
| 2 |  | 10.897 | 0.552 | 0.106 | 5 |  |
| 3 |  | 9.964 | 1.302 | 1.349 | 4 |  |
| 4 |  | 11.787 | 0.668 | 0.260 | 4 |  |
| 5 |  | 12.660 | 0.270 | 0.246 | 4 |  |
| 6 |  | 13.705 | 1.412 | 1.290 | 2 |  |
| 7 |  | 12.910 | 0.916 | 0.572 | 2 |  |
| 8 |  | 13.045 | 0.532 | 0.302 | 2 |  |
| 9 |  | 13.511 | 1.556 | 1.563 | 2 |  |
| 10 |  | 13.460 | 1.604 | 1.468 | 2 |  |
| FM 3 | +283457 | 9.210 | 0.089 | -0.434 | 4 | B3 |
| FM 4 | +283469 | 9.550 | 0.056 | -0.123 | 4 | B5 |
| FM 7 | 87604 | 10.290 | 0.025 | -0.184 | 4 | A0 |
| FM 8 | +293734 | 9.240 | -0.002 | -0.452 | 4 | B8 |

a Spectral type from Kholopov et al. (1985)
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Spectral type from Turner (private communication)

Only the ten stars observed by Turner were used to tie the photographic photometry to the Johnson UBV system. Of the four stars observed by Feltz and McNamara, three (FM4, FM7 and FM8) are too far from SU Cyg, and the last one (FM3) turned out to be too bright and not measurable on the iris photometer. Thus, none of them could be used for calibration purposes.

Besides the UBV photometry, Feltz and McNamara (1976) obtained uvby $\beta$ observations which can be used to find the colour excesses and absolute magnitudes of their four stars. Analyzing Feltz and McNamara's photometry, Turner et al. (1987) found colour-dependent errors in their data and noted that Schmidt's (1975) Stromgren photometry seems to be free of such errors. Therefore, we adjusted Feltz and

McNamara's (1976) observations to the system of Schmidt (1975) using the three stars they have in common. Table $11 a$ gives the original and corrected $\beta, c_{1}$ and $c_{0}$ indices; here $c_{0}$ designates the reddening-free value of $c_{1}$ and it was found using the expression $c_{0}=c_{1}-0.25 E_{b-y}$, as quoted by Feltz and McNamara (1976).

Table 11a. Strömgren photometry for stars in the field of SU Cyg

| Star | $\beta$ | $\beta$ (corr.) | $c_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ (corr.) | $c_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FM 3 | 2.654 | 2.675 | 0.502 | 0.509 | 0.464 |
| FM 4 | 2.826 | 2.823 | 1.004 | 1.047 | 1.024 |
| FM 7 | 2.848 | 2.841 | 0.889 | 0.924 | 0.903 |
| FM 8 | 2.679 | 2.697 | 0.556 | 0.567 | 0.538 |

With the values of $\beta$ and $c_{0}$ known, we used Crawford's (1978) calibrations to derive the absolute magnitudes as follows. First, the uncorrected absolute magnitudes were obtained from the $M_{V}$ vs. $\beta$ calibration. After that, using the $c_{0}$ vs. $\beta_{z \mathrm{AMs}}$ relation, we calculated $\beta_{\text {ZAMS }}$, i.e. the value of $\beta$ which a ZAMS star would have for the given $c_{0}$. Then the absolute magnitudes, corrected for evolutionary or other luminosity effects, can be found from the difference $\Delta \beta=\beta_{\mathrm{ZAMS}}-\beta$ by means of the relation $\Delta M_{V}=10 \Delta \beta$, as given by Crawford (1978). The results from the calculations are listed in the following Table $11 b$, where $M_{V}^{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the final, corrected absolute visual magnitude:

Table 11b. Absolute magnitudes from Strömgren photometry

| Star | $\beta$ | $M_{V}(\beta)$ | $\beta_{\text {ZAMS }}$ | $\Delta M_{V}$ | $M_{V}^{\mathcal{C}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FM 3 | 2.675 | -1.25 | 2.734 | 0.59 | -1.84 |
| FM 4 | 2.823 | +0.85 | 2.915 | 0.92 | -0.07 |
| FM 7 | 2.841 | +0.98 | 2.871 | 0.30 | +0.68 |
| FM 8 | 2.697 | -0.71 | 2.751 | 0.54 | -1.25 |

### 2.2.2 CCD photometry

Two fields north and south of SU Cyg were imaged by Welch (unpublished) on the night of 1985 June $25 / 26$ with the Lowell Observatory $31^{\prime \prime}$ telescope. Each field was observed in the $B$ and $V$ bandpasses. Unfortunately, the limitations of the technology of that time did not allow imaging in the $U$ bandpass. A finder chart for the two fields is shown in Figure 19, which is a mosaic combining the north and south images; SU Cyg is the brightest object at the centre. The combined image has dimensions $521 \times 584$ pixels, which at a scale of $0^{\prime \prime} 838$ per pixel corresponds approximately to $7.3 \times 8^{\prime} .2$. Two additional images (one each in $B$ and $V$ ) of a standard field near M92 were also taken. The exposure information for the CCD images is summarized in Table 12.

Table 12. Exposure information for the CCD observations

| Image No. |  | Exposure Time | Filter | Siderial Time | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $V$ A179 | $15^{\mathrm{m}}$ | GG495 | $17^{\mathrm{h}} 51^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Standard Field |  |
| A181 | $4^{\mathrm{m}}$ | GG495 | $19^{\mathrm{h}} 22^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Northern Field |  |
| A183 |  | $4^{\mathrm{m}}$ | GG495 | $19^{\mathrm{h}} 41^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Southern Field |
| B A180 | $30^{\mathrm{m}}$ | GG385 | $18^{\mathrm{h}} 16^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Stundard Field |  |
|  | A182 | $8^{\mathrm{m}}$ | GG385 | $19^{\mathrm{h}} 30^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Northern Field |
|  | A184 | $8^{\mathrm{m}}$ | GG385 | $19^{\mathrm{h}} 49^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Southern Field |

The preliminary image reductions (dark subtraction, flat fielding, removal of cosmic ray hits, etc.) were done by Gary Welch. Further image reductions and the photometry were performed by the author using the VISTA package and the DAOPHOT stellar photometry routines (Stetson 1987). The purpose of using the CCD images was twofold: firstly, to obtain photometry for the faint stars in the immediate vicinity of


Figure 19. A finder chart for the CCD images of the two fields north and south of SU Cyg. The Cepheid is the brightest object at the centre; the newly discovered planetary nebula is marked PN.

SU Cyg; and secondly, to check how well the CCD photometry matches the photoelectric photometry for the common stars in the field.

For the CCD photometry, all stars with sufficient number of counts were chosen. In practice these were the stars found by the DAOPHOT routine FIND when a $4 \sigma$ detection threshold was set. Many of the faintest stars were rejected on the later stages of the reduction, since they had considerably larger photometric errors than the average for the other stars. The reasons for the large errors might include adjacent bad rows, uneven background, undetected close companions, or just low signal-to-noise ratio.

The field of SU Cyg is moderately crowded, so simple aperture photometry was not applicable except for a few isolated stars. The instrumental magnitudes of the program stars were derived using a procedure based on the recommendations in Stetson (1987). Several bright, isolated stars were chosen and used to obtain the point-spread function (PSF) for each image. With the PSF available, we divided the program stars into as many groups as necessary (usually two or three), and for each group subtracted all stars not members of that group. The result was an image containing well separated single stars and ideal for aperture photometry. Figure 20 shows an image "cleaned" using the method described above. The images of the standard field were processed in the same way.

After performing aperture photometry for all program stars on the "clean" images, we used the DAOGROW routine (Stetson 1990) to fit the growth curves and obtain the instrumental magnitudes. The transformation to the Johnson UBV system was done by means of colour equations similar to equations (1) to (3) in Part 1. The only difference was the inclusion of extinction corrections, which were calculated using extinction coefficients from Welch (private communication). The equations can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V-v_{0}=c_{1}+d_{1}(b-v)_{0}  \tag{8}\\
& B-V=c_{2}+d_{2}(b-v)_{0} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 20. An example of a "cieaned CCD image, where all close neighbours of the program stars have been removed using the procedure described in the text.
where $v_{0}$ and $(b-v)_{0}$ are the instrumental visual magnitude and $b-v$ colour index, respectively, corrected for extinction, and $V$ and $B-V$ denote the corresponding Johnson magnitude and colour index.

The coefficients $c_{1}, d_{1}, \ldots$ were determined by means of least squares fits, using two sets of standard magnitudes and colours: Turner's UBV data (Table 10) and V,B-V data from Lindsey Davis, KPNO (Welch, private communication). The second data set contains magnitudes and colours for 23 stars south of the globular cluster M92, but we used only the 17 brightest standards. The two standard sets do not have common stars, so it was impossible to compare them directly. We estimated the consistency between the two sets by transforming the CCD instrumental magnitudes of Turner's standards to the Johnson UBV system using Davis' standards, and comparing the results with the original Turner's photoelectric magnitudes. The agreement was very good, and the residuals were comparable with the uncertainties in Turner's data. The residuals showed a trend with $B-V$, but only for $B-V>1.4$, which is outside of the colour range of interest in the present study. Therefore, we used both sets of standard stars without any adjustments to obtain the coefficients in equations (8) and (9).

Figure 21 shows plots of the data used in the least squares fits; the struight lines represent the best-fit solutions of the transformation equations. Davis' observations are marked by filled circles, Turner's data are shown by open circles, and the few points which were rejected due the their large deviations from the fit are shown by plus signs.

The coefficients of the colour equations are given in Table 13. It is clear that the slope of the first line [the coefficient $d_{1}$ in equation (8)] is practically zero, therefore for the first colour equation we adopted simply $V=v+1.766$. The CCD $B V$ magnitudes and colours, obtained by means of equations (8) and (9), are given in Table 14; the stars are identified according to the CCD finder chart in Figure 19.


Figure 21. Transformation relations for the CCD magnitudes and colours. (a) plot of $V-v$ versus $b-v$, ( $b$ ) plot of $B-V$ versus $b-v$. The observations by Davis are shown by filled circles, Turner's data are marked by open circles and the rejected points are shown by plus sugns.

Table 13. Cocfficients for the ransformation equations (8) and (9)

| Equation | $c_{i} \pm 1 \sigma$ | $d_{i} \pm 1 \sigma$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(8)$ | $+1.768 \pm 0.019$ | $-0.002 \pm 0.017$ | Adopted $c_{1}=+1.766, d_{1}=0$ |
| $(9)$ | $-0.516 \pm 0.016$ | $+1.139 \pm 0.015$ |  |

Table 14. CCD BV photometry for faint stars in the vicinity of SU Cyg

| Star | V | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ | Star | V | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ | Star | V | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 | 14.632 | +0.737 | 319 | 15.235 | +0.715 | 337 | 14.553 | $+(0.640$ |
| 302 | 15.180 | +1.187 | 320 | 14.713 | +1.403 | 338 | 15.074 | +0.975 |
| 303 | 14.852 | +1.303 | 321 | 15.117 | +2.116 | 339 | 14.458 | +0.638 |
| 304 | 15.047 | +0.900 | 322 | 14.564 | +0.666 | 340 | 15.080 | +0.864 |
| 305 | 14.835 | +0.805 | 323 | 14.928 | +1.446 | 341 | 14.665 | +1.468 |
| 306 | 14.604 | +1.813 | 324 | 14.372 | +0.633 | 342 | 14.572 | +1.628 |
| 307 | 14.936 | +0.774 | 325 | 14.657 | +2.102 | 343 | 13.872 | +1.795 |
| 308 | 14.803 | +2.074 | 326 | 14.628 | +1.211 | 344 | 15.212 | +1.045 |
| 309 | 14.263 | +0.729 | 327 | 14.884 | +1.469 | 345 | 14.162 | +0.935 |
| 310 | 14.927 | +2.130 | 328 | 14.982 | +1.602 | 346 | 14.580 | +0.546 |
| 311 | 14.766 | +1.587 | 329 | 15.224 | +0.763 | 347 | 15.140 | +0.727 |
| 312 | 13.208 | +0.296 | 330 | 14.374 | +1.237 | 348 | 15.002 | +0.759 |
| 313 | 14.268 | +0.615 | 331 | 15.194 | +0.685 | 349 | 15.169 | +1.483 |
| 314 | 14.695 | +0.709 | 332 | 14.865 | +1.200 | 350 | 14.462 | +2.252 |
| 315 | 15.085 | +0.923 | 333 | 14.732 | +0.538 | 351 | 15.001 | +1.076 |
| 316 | 14.511 | +0.552 | 334 | 14.647 | +0.659 | 352 | 15.142 | +1.383 |
| 317 | 14.417 | +0.569 | 335 | 14.475 | +0.693 |  |  |  |
| 318 | 14.868 | +0.662 | 336 | 14.475 | +0.716 |  |  |  |

In the course of study of the CCD images the author has discovered what seems to be a new planetary nebula, located about $20^{\prime \prime}$ southwest of star 8 . It is labeled PN on the CCD finder chart (Figure 19); Figure 22 shows magnified and enhanced $V$ and $B$ images of the planetary nebula. Its equatorial coordinates for the epoch 2000.0, measured with


Figure 22. Visual (top) and blue (bottom) images of the newly discovered planetary nebula (at the centre) near SU Cyg. The bright star to the upper left of the nebula is star 8 .
respect to SU Cyg are: $\alpha=19^{\mathrm{h}} 44^{\mathrm{m}} 52^{\mathrm{s}}, \delta=+29^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$. The planetary nebula has un elliptical siape, with a major axis of $\sim 14^{\prime \prime}$ and a minor axis of $\sim 12^{\prime \prime}$; the brighter northwest edge might be caused by a faint star. Integrated magnitudes of $V=16.0$ and $B=16.5$ were measured using VISTA, but the planetary nebula is barely above the sky background so these magnitudes should be regarded as estimates only. The object is not visible on the paper copies of she POSS nor on the David Dunlap Observatory glass copies (Turner, private communication). While the elliptical shape, obvious image structure and different appearance in the $V$ and $B$ bandpasses almost exclude the possibility that this object is an artifact, an additional deep image is needed in order to confirm its existence.

### 2.2.3 Photographic photometry

The photographic plates used in this study were obtained by John Takala on the nights of 1987 August 19/20 and 20/21, and by David Turner on the night of 1991 August 67 , with the $48^{\prime \prime}$ telescope of the University of Western Ontario. A total of 12 plates were taken: three in $V$, four in $B$ and five in $U$. The exposure information for the plates is summarized in Table 15 on the next page.

All plates were measured using the Saint Mary's University iris photumeter and the same techniques as those described in Part 1 of the thesis; the only difference was the absence of secondary images. The initial examination of the plates revealed that one of them was off-centred and covered only a small part of the field, and another one had the guider prism in the field of view and so both plates were rejected. Thus, two $V$, three $B$ and five $U$ plates were measured altogether.

As opposed to the calibration relations for the field of V 1726 Cyg , all of which were linear for $I^{4} \mathrm{vs}$. magnitude, the appropriate relations for the SU Cyg field were either

Table 15. Plate information for the field of SU Cyg

|  | Plate No. | Exposure Time | Emulsion | Filter | Observer | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v$ | 1738 | $15^{\mathrm{m}}$ | 103a-D | GG495 | Takala | Off-centred; not used |
|  | 1744 | 30 m |  |  | Takala |  |
|  | 1781 | 60 m |  |  | Turner |  |
| B | 1736 | 10 m | 103a-O | GG385 | Takala |  |
|  | 1740 | 20 m |  |  | Takala | Guider in field; not used |
|  | 1743 | 25 m |  |  | Takala |  |
|  | 1782 | 47 m |  |  | Turner |  |
| $U$ | 1737 | 30 m | 103a-O | UG2 | Takala |  |
|  | 1742 | 60 m |  |  | Takala |  |
|  | 1753 | 60 m |  |  | Takala |  |
|  | 1754 | 60 m |  |  | Takala |  |
|  | 1783 | 98 m |  |  | Turner |  |

$I$ vs. magnitude, or $I^{2}$ vs. magnitude, where $I$ is the iris reading. Examples of calibrations for two plates in each bandpass are shown in Figures 23, 24 and 25. Open circles depict points that were not included in the final calibrations. Most often star \#1 was rejected, perhaps because its iris data were influenced by the scattered light from the Cepheid. As a whole, the photographic data are somewhat noisier compared with the calibrations for the V1726 Cyg field, most likely because different types of emulsion have been used - the scatter in the iris readings is dominated by emulsion granularity (Turner and Welch 1989), which is larger for 103a-O/103a-D emulsions.

With several plates available in each bandpass, it was possible to estimate the quality of the calibrations from the scatter around the mean instrumental magnitudes. For every star measured in a given bandpass, we calculated the differences between the magnitudes from the individual plates and the average instrumental magnitude for that


Figure 23. Two examples of calibration relations for the $V$ plates. (a) second $V$ plate, (b) third $V$ plate.



Figure 24. Two examples of calibration relations for the $B$ plates. (a) first $B$ plate, (b) third B plate.


Figure 25. Two examples of calibration relations for the $U$ plates. (a) third $U$ plate, (b) fifth $U$ plate.
bandpass. The results are summarized in Table 16 , where $\overline{\Delta v}, \overline{\Delta b}$ and $\overline{\Delta u}$ designate the mean of those differences.

Table 16. Plate-to-plate magnitude differences

| Plate \# | $\overline{\Delta v}$ | $\overline{\Delta b}$ | $\overline{\Delta u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -- | 0.084 | 0.042 |
| 2 | 0.033 | $\cdots$ | 0.076 |
| 3 | 0.034 | 0.051 | 0.060 |
| 4 |  | 0.052 | 0.077 |
| 5 |  |  | 0.045 |

It is clear that the data from the first $B$ plate suffer from larger uncertainties than the remaining two plates, and that plate was excluded from further analysis. Its rejection brought down the average dispersion for the $B$ plates from 0.040 to $0^{m} .031$, which is comparable to the mean dispersions for $V$ and $U$ plates: $0^{m} .020$ and $0^{\mathfrak{m}} .034$, respectively.

The transformation to the Johnson UBV system was performed in exactly the same way as in part 1 , i.e. using equations which included only the colour terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V-v=c_{3}+d_{3}(b-v)  \tag{10}\\
& B-V=c_{4}+d_{4}(b-v)  \tag{11}\\
& U-B=c_{5}+d_{5}(u-b) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v, b$ and $u$ denote the instrumental magnitudes in the corresponding bandpasses, and $V, B$ and $U$ denote the standard Johnson magnitudes. In Figure 26, we have plotted the data used in the transformation equations, as well as the best least squares fits which are shown by straight lines. The coefficients $c_{3}, d_{3}, \ldots$ of the colour equations are tabulated in Table 17.




Figure 26. Transformation relations for the photographic plates. Top: plot of $V-v$ versus $b-v$; bottom left: plot of $B-V$ versus $b-v$; bottom right: plot of $U-B$ versus $u-b$.

Table 17. Coefficients for the transformation equations (10)-(12)

| Equation | $c_{\mathrm{i}} \pm 1 \sigma$ | $d_{\mathrm{i}} \pm 1 \sigma$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $(10)$ | $-0.004 \pm 0.031$ | $+0.003 \pm 0.029$ | Adopted $c_{3}=0, d_{3}=0$ |
| $(11)$ | $+0.160 \pm 0.041$ | $+0.872 \pm 0.039$ |  |
| $(11)$ | $-0.064 \pm 0.038$ | $+1.062 \pm 0.039$ |  |

The photographic UBV magnitudes, calculated by means of the transformation equations (10) to (12), are listed in Table 18, where the star numbers correspond to those in Figure 18. For several stars there are no $V$ and $B-V$ data because of close companions which did not allow iris measurements on the $V$ plates. For a few of those stars, marked by an asterisk in Table 18, we managed to obtain the missing $V$ and $B-V$ data from CCD photometry. Star 125, which looked normal on the photographic plates, turned out to be a close double on the CCD images; its companion is star 301 in Table 14. The UBV data quoted in Table 18 are the combined values for star 125. Subtracting the contribution from the companion, we obtain true values of $V_{125}=12.13$ and $(B-V)_{125}=1.15$.

Another object deserving special notice is star 163. We found that the positions of this star on the photographic plates and on the POSS photographs differ by $\sim 15^{\prime \prime}$, implying very large proper motion. A search through several proper motion catalogues revealed that star 163 is included in the NLTT catalogue (Luyten 1979, 1980) as LP 337-0060, and in the earlier LTT catalogue (Luyten 1961) as LTT 15763. It has a proper motion of 0.321 per annum, which implies that this is a nearby, probably unreddened star.

### 2.2.4 Radial velocity data

The only star in the SU Cyg field with radial velocity observations (except the Cepheid itself) is star 1 , which has been observed by Turner (private communication). All his observations are listed in Table 19 below. Clearly, the spread in the radial velocity

Table 18. Photographic UBV photometry for the field of SU Cyg

| Star | V | B-V | U-B | Star | V | B-V | U-B | Star | $V$ | B-V | U-B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | 12.76 | 1.06 | 1.08 | 135* | 13.87 | 1.48 | 1.19 | 169 | 13.84 | 0.60 | 0.28 |
| 102 | 13.56 | 0.43 | 0.22 | 136 | 14.14 | 0.75 | 0.52 | 170 | 13.46 | 1.89 | 1.49 |
| 103 | 13.66 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 137 | 12.60 | 0.36 | 0.18 | 171 | 12.00 | 1.65 | 1.96 |
| 104 | 11.84 | 1.54 | 2.08 | 138 | 13.04 | 1.19 | 1.11 | 172 | 14.01 | 0.69 | 0.37 |
| 105 | 12.09 | 0.61 | 0.07 | 139 | 13.34 | 1.56 | 1.51 | 173 | 11.45 | 0.96 | 0.40 |
| 106 | 13.75 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 140 | 10.07 | 1.82 | 2.10 | 174 | 11.98 | 1.87 | 2.38 |
| 107 | 13.46 | 0.45 | 0.34 | 141 | 13.69 | 1.27 | 1.23 | 175 | 11.92 | 0.58 | -0.09 |
| 108 | 11.36 | 1.11 | 0.63 | 142 | 14.04 | 1.31 | 1.21 | 176 | 11.90 | 1.24 | 1.00 |
| 109 | 11.36 | 1.21 | 0.96 | 143 | 13.43 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 177 | 13.41 | 0.48 | 0.29 |
| 110 | 11.27 | 1.76 | 2.34 | 144 | 13.50 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 178 | 13.05 | 0.51 | 0.37 |
| 111 | 13.74 | 1.61 | 1.35 | 145 | ..- | -- | 1.58 | 179 | 13.68 | 0.58 | 0.20 |
| 112 | ... | .. | 0.95 | 146 | 11.87 | 0.72 | 0.04 | 180 | 14.16 | 1.59 | 0.98 |
| 113 | 14.04 | 1.56 | 0.78 | 147 | 11.47 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 181 | 13.07 | 0.34 | 0.23 |
| 114 | 12.99 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 148 | 12.04 | 1.93 | 2.22 | 182 | 11.93 | 0.60 | -0.06 |
| 115 | 13.33 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 149 | 13.56 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 183 | 11.11 | 0.71 | 0.27 |
| 116 | 13.37 | 1.29 | 1.21 | 150 | 12.64 | 1.89 | 2.07 | 184 | 13.58 | 2.63 | 0.80 |
| 117 | ..- | .- | 1.77 | 151 | 13.03 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 185 | 12.59 | 1.84 | 2.20 |
| 118 | 13.20 | 1.34 | 1.10 | 152* | 13.52 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 186 | 12.73 | 0.70 | 0.29 |
| 119 | 13.97 | 1.87 | 1.19 | 153 | ... | .. | 0.43 | 187 | 12.50 | 0.65 | 0.03 |
| 123 | 12.93 | 1.60 | 2.00 | 154 | --- | -- | 0.21 | 188 | 12.10 | 1.26 | 0.98 |
| 121 | 13.25 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 155 | 13.09 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 189 | 13.52 | 0.49 | 0.56 |
| 122 | 12.00 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 156 | ... | -. | 1.26 | 190 | 11.24 | 0.41 | 0.11 |
| 123 | 12.80 | 0.69 | 0.08 | 157 | 13.60 | 0.96 | 0.67 | 191 | 12.09 | 1.54 | 1.70 |
| 124 | 13.85 | 0.52 | 0.25 | 158 | 11.22 | 0.64 | 0.10 | 192 | 13.05 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| $125{ }^{\dagger}$ | 12.03 | 1.11 | 0.89 | 159 | 12.99 | 0.79 | 0.33 | 193 | 13.09 | 1.29 | 1.54 |
| 126* | 13.82 | 0.55 | 0.62 | 160 | 11.12 | 1.57 | 1.88 | 194 | 12.23 | 1.77 | 1.90 |
| 127 | 12.02 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 161 | 13.57 | 0.53 | 0.74 | 195 | 12.81 | 1.35 | 1.42 |
| 128 | 13.07 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 162 | 12.12 | 2.00 | 2.28 | 196 | 13.54 | 0.32 | 0.41 |
| 129* | 13.93 | 0.60 | 0.47 | 163 | 10.50 | 0.83 | 0.56 | 197 | 13.76 | 0.58 | 0.37 |
| 130 | 13.25 | 1.31 | 1.19 | 164 | 12.68 | 0.52 | 0.25 | 198 | 13.51 | 1.60 | 1.54 |
| 131 | 12.06 | 1.33 | 1.19 | 165 | 13.67 | 1.71 | 1.43 | 199 | 14.11 | 0.66 | 0.49 |
| 132 | 13.71 | 1.77 | 1.48 | 166 | 14.08 | 1.38 | 1.17 | 200 | 11.98 | 1.64 | 2.00 |
| 133 | 12.69 | 0.82 | 0.31 | 167 | 13.36 | 0.77 | 0.23 | 201 | 10.49: | 0.17: | 0.14: |
| 134 | 13.25 | 1.10 | 1.09 | 168 | 14.13 | 1.95 | 1.07 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\dagger}$ Has a close companion. Corrected photometry: $V=12.13, B-V=1.15$

* $V$ and $B-V$ data from CCD photometry
data far exceeds the quoted observational errors and this is most certainly a binary star. Its mean radial velocity of $\left\langle V_{R}\right\rangle=-23.6 \pm 7.1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is practically equal to the systemic radial velocity of $\left\langle V_{R}\right\rangle=-2!.5 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for SU Cyg (Evans 1988). Without knowledge of some properties of the second star in the system, it is difficult to say whether this is a mere coincidence or the two systems are physically related. According to Turner (private communication), there is no trace of the companion in the spectrum of star 1, which implies a magnitude difference $\Delta V \gtrsim 2$. That would make the intrinsic distance modulus of star 1 considerably smaller than the one expected for the Cepheid from the PLC relation.

Table 19. Radial velocity data for $s^{\prime+a r} 1$

| JD | $V_{R}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2445906.909 | $-5.2 \pm . .5$ |
| 5908.762 | $-19.3 \pm 4.1$ |
| 5909.781 | $-43.0 \pm 3.8$ |
| 5910.882 | $-47.1 \pm 2.9$ |
| 5911.777 | $+12.5 \pm 5.2$ |
| 6327.770 | $-43.5 \pm 5.0$ |
| 6328.689 | $-9.5 \pm 3.8$ |
| 6330.740 | $-14.5 \pm 5.3$ |
| 6331.689 | $-42.8 \pm 3.3$ |

We tried to derive an orbital period for star 1 using the data in Table 19, and obtained two possible values of 1.2 and 5.4 days. The small number of observations precludes more certain period determination and the question of the orbital period remains open.

### 2.3 Analysis

### 2.3.1 Interstellar Reddening

With the exception of star 1, there are no other stars with accurate MK spectral classifications around SU Cyg, so independent determination of the reldening slope for this field is not possible. Therefore, we adopted the same reddening relation, $E_{U-B} / E_{B-V}=0.75$, as for the nearby $\left(\sim 2^{\circ}\right)$ field of the Cepheid $S$ Vul (Turner 1980), and used it to deredden the program stars.

The colour-colour diagram for the field of SU Cyg is presented in Figure 27. Filled circles mark the stars observed photoelectrically, and stars having only photographic photometry are shown by open circles. The solid line is the intrinsic colour relation for solar-metallicity stars (Turner, private communication). The region of spectral types A2F6 is well populated, but no concentration similar to the one present on the colour-colour diagram for the field of V1726 Cyg is obseived here. The unusual position of the few stars lying far below the A 2 reddening line is caused by the presence of close companions. The other few stars below the line but close to it were dereddened to the A2 bump of the intrinsic relation. As can be seen at the lower right part of the plot, almost half of our sample consists of late-type stars which are quite common in the galactic plane but are of marginal interest to the present study.

Figure 28 shows a reddening map for the field of SUCyg, generated using the colour excesses (adjusted to the equivalent for a B0-type star) for all reddened stars having $B-V<1$. The map shows that there is a significant spatial variation of the extinction in this field, with values of $E_{B-V}$ between $0^{\text {m. }} 15$ and $0^{\text {mit. }}$. The Cepheid lies on the boundary between a low-extinction area to the west of the centre and a high-extinction region running from north-east to south-west. The latter seems to correspond to an apparent dust obscuration visible on the POSS photographs.


Figure 27. Colour-colour diagram for the field of SU Cyg. Filled circles: photoelectrically observed stars; open circles: stars with photographic photometry. The intrinsic relation for main-sequence stars is shown by a solid line; the dotted line shows the same relation reddened by $\varepsilon_{B-V}=0.22$. The reddening line approprinte for this field is shown by a dashed line.


Figure 28. A reddening map for the field of SU Cyg based on the colour cxcesses for all reddened stars. SU Cyg is circled.

The reddening map was very useful in deriving extinction corrections for the stars having only BV CCD photometry (Table 14). Their colour excesses were estimated from a plot of isoreddening contours drawn every 0.01 , and the resulting mean values indicate that most of those stars are reddened F and G dwarfs. Table 20 presents reddenings and other relevant data for the combined sample of stars with photoelectric (numbers 1-FM8), photographic (102-201) and CCD (301-351) photometry. The values of $M_{V}$ were obtained using the zero-age main sequence from Turner (1979).

Table 20. Reduced data for stars in the field of SU Cyg

| Star | $E_{B-V}(B-V)_{0} V-M_{V}$ | Star | $E_{B-V}(B-V)_{0} V-M_{V}$ | Star | $E_{B-V}(B-V)_{0} V-M_{V}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 9.08 | 155 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 11.25 | 314 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 11.43 |
| 2 | 0.16 | 0.40 | 7.38 | 157 | 0.06 | 0.90 | 7.16 | 315 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 9.68 |
| 4 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 8.65 | 158 | 0.17 | 0.48 | 7.13 | 316 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 11.92 |
| 5 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 10.82 | 159 | 0.06 | 0.73 | 7.48 | 317 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 10.82 |
| 7 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 6.71 | 163 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 4.47 | 318 | 0.42 | 0.24 | 12.23 |
| 8 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 10.50 | 164 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 9.95 | 319 | 0.16 | 0.55 | 10.70 |
| FM3 | 0.27 | -0.18 | 10.87 | 167 | 0.17 | 0.61 | 8.48 | 322 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 10.60 |
| FM4 | 0.12 | -0.07 | 8.85 | 169 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 10.97 | 324 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 10.34 |
| FM7 | 0.11 | -0.08 | 9.45 | 172 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 11.28 | 326 | 0.34 | 0.87 | 8.37 |
| FM8 | 0.16 | -0.16 | 10.38 | 177 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 10.98 | 329 | 0.22 | 0.54 | 10.75 |
| 102 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 10.97 | 178 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 10.78 | 331 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 11.67 |
| 105 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 8.00 | 179 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 10.54 | 332 | 0.33 | 0.87 | 8.60 |
| 106 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 10.72 | 181 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 10.76 | 333 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 11.54 |
| 107 | 0.33 | 0.13 | 11.28 | 183 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 7.85 | 334 | 0.18 | 0.48 | 10.56 |
| 114 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 11.15 | 186 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 9.59 | 335 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 10.39 |
| 115 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 10.64 | 187 | 0.18 | 0.48 | 8.41 | 336 | 0.18 | 0.54 | 10.01 |
| 121 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 11.41 | 190 | 0.50 | -0.08 | 10.39 | 337 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 10.73 |
| 122 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 10.16 | 192 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 11.21 | 338 | 0.17 | 0.81 | 9.20 |
| 123 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 8.71 | 197 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 11.28 | 339 | 0.16 | 0.48 | 10.37 |
| 124 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 11.12 | 201 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 8.88 | 340 | 0.23 | 0.63 | 10.09 |
| 127 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 9.15 | 301 | 0.20 | 0.54 | 10.16 | 344 | 0.30 | 0.74 | 9.65 |
| 128 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 10.89 | 302 | 0.20 | 0.99 | 8.26 | 345 | 0.26 | 0.68 | 8.96 |
| 133 | 0.14 | 0.69 | 7.38 | 304 | 0.21 | 0.69 | 9.74 | 346 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 11.94 |
| 137 | 0.42 | -0.05 | 11.45 | 309 | 0.26 | 0.55 | 10.37 | 347 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 11.75 |
| 143 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 10.88 | 307 | 0.21 | 0.56 | 10.35 | 348 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 11.48 |
| 147 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 9.63 | 309 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 10.30 | 351 | 0.35 | 0.73 | 9.49 |
| 149 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 10.83 | 312 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 11.22 |  |  |  |  |
| 151 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 11.19 | 313 | 0.20 | 0.42 | 10.59 |  |  |  |  |

The variable-extinction diagram for the field of SU Cyg is presented in Figure 20, where all stars in Table 20 have been plotted. Photoelectrically observed stars are shown by triangles, filled circles mark stars with photographic photometry, and data from CCD photometry are depicted by open circles. The four open diamonds represent the stars observed by Feltz and McNamara (1976); their apparent distance moduli are based on HB luminosities (see Table 11b). The only unreddened object in the diagram is star 163, which we consider to be unreddened based on its large proper motion and position on the colour-colour diagram. Star 163 has a distance modulus of $V_{0}-M_{V}=4.47$ (78 pc), beyond which there are no obvious unreddened stars. The closest reddened stars are at $V_{0}-M_{V}=6.5(200 \mathrm{pc})$, so the dust clouds responsible for their extinction are somewhere between 80 and 200 pc . There is a distinct break at $V_{0}-M_{V}=7.4(300 \mathrm{pc})$, where the field foreground reddening suddenly increases from $E_{B-V} \approx 0.06$ to an average value of $E_{B-V} \approx 0.15$. We can conclude that there is a relatively nearby ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{pc}$ ) dust cioud producing reddening of $E_{B-V} \approx 0.06$, and a second cloud at a distance of $\sim 300 \mathrm{pc}$ which raises the foreground extinction to $E_{B-V} \approx 0.15$. The foreground reddening remains at this value up to $V_{0}-M_{V} \approx 11(1600 \mathrm{pc})$.

In the variable-extinction diagram one can see a poorly populated but distinct lower envelope which indicates the presence of a sparse group of stars at $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.0$. We identified 16 envelope stars as probable members of that stellar group and used them to obtain an estimate of $R=A_{V} / E_{B-V}$, the ratio of total to selective absorption. An unweighted least squares fit (shown by a straight line) yielded $R=3.13 \pm 0.24$, very close to the value $R=3.0$ found for nearby regions in previous studies (see Turner 1980). The latter value was adopted as appropriate for this field, mainly because our value of $R$ was derived from predominantly photographic photometry.

An interesting sequence of six to eight faint baciground stars is clearly visible below the group lower envelope. All of them are within a $10^{\prime}$ area around SUCyg and in


Figure 29. Variable-extinction diagram for stars in the SU Cyg field with luminosities obtained from ZAMS fitting (circles and triangles) and $\mathrm{H} \beta$ photometry (open diamonds). Triangles and filled circles represent stars with photoelectric and photographic photometry, respectively. Data from CCD photometry are shown by open circles. The relations appropriate for the two groups at 1040 and 1540 pc are shown by straight lines with a slope of $R=3.13$.
the author's opinion, these stars represent another, more distant group of late B and Atype stars. The reddening relation for that second group is shown by a dashed line in Figure 29. The corresponding distance modulus is $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.94$ ( 1540 pc ).

### 2.3.2 Membership and distance to the group

With the value of $R$ fixed, we calculated the extinction corrections for all potential members of the group selected by their positions on the variable-extinction and colourmagnitude diagrams. The data are listed in Table 21 and the colour-magnitude diagram for the group is shown in Figure 30. Besides the 16 lower envelope stars, we have included in Table 21 two of Feltz and McNamara's (1976) stars, as well as a few other stars within $\sim 0^{\text {m }} 5$ of the main sequence, which we consider to be probable members.

Table 21. Data for probable group members

| Star | $(B-V)_{0}$ | $V_{0}$ |  | Star | $(B-V)_{0}$ | $V_{0}$ |  | Star | $(B-V)_{0}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.06 | 12.00 |  | $V_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| FM3 $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | -0.18 | 8.39 | $161^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.06 | 11.92 | $313^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.42 | 13.67 |  |
| FM8 $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | -0.16 | 8.75 | 172 | 0.29 | 12.84 | 319 | 0.55 | 14.76 |  |
| $106^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.32 | 13.08 | $177^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.19 | 12.67 | $322^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.46 | 13.93 |  |
| 122 | 0.06 | 11.52 | 179 | 0.34 | 12.92 | 324 | 0.47 | 13.89 |  |
| $128^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.13 | 12.22 | $181^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.16 | 12.49 | 329 a | 0.54 | 14.56 |  |
| $137^{\mathrm{a}}$ | -0.05 | 11.35 | 190 | -0.08 | 9.73 | 335 | 0.48 | 14.11 |  |
| $143^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.22 | 12.70 | $197^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.20 | 12.57 | $337^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.44 | 13.85 |  |
| $149^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.26 | 12.78 | 307 | 0.56 | 14.31 | 339 | 0.48 | 13.98 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Highly probable group member


Figure 30. Reddening-free colour-magnitude diagram for highly probable members (filled circles) and other potential members (open circles) of the group at 1040 pc. The positions of Feltz and McNamara's (1976) stars 3 and 8 are shown by open diamonds. The solid line represents the ZAMS for $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.08$.

The distance to the group was found by means of the modified sliding-fit technique (the third method discussed in section 3.2, Part 1). We applied this method to the 16 members of the group considered to be on the ZAMS and obtained a distance modulus of $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.08 \pm 0.02$, corresponding to a distance of $1038 \pm 10 \mathrm{pc}$.

The scarcely populated upper main sequence of the group makes the colour of the turnoff point somewhat uncertain. The position of Feltz and McNamara's stars 3 and 8 depends very little on whether their colour excesses are derived from the original UBV photometry or through conversion of Strimgren indices. Under the assumption that these two stars are members of the group, the turnoff point is at $(B-V)_{0}=-0.18$ (spectral type B4). If they are not associated with the group, its turnoff point will be defined by the single star at $(B-V)_{0}=-0.08$ (spectral type B8). Consulting the PPM catalogue (Riser and Bastian 1991), we found that $\mathrm{BD}+28^{\circ} 3467$, the bright star at the left edge of Figure 1 (about $5^{\prime}$ east of star 200), is a B8 star which has not been observed so far and might be another potential group member. From its rather crude magnitude and spectral type we estimated that it will lie about $1{ }^{\mathrm{m}} 5$ above star 190 , at $(B-V)_{0}=-0.08$.

The nature of the stellar group at 1040 pc is not entirely clear. Unlike "normal" open clusters, its members are distributed randomly, without any apparent concentration. The distance modulus of this new group, $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.08$, is in remarkable agreement with that found by Turner (unpublished) for the nearby $\mathrm{VulOB4}$ association: $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.07 \pm 0.04$. It seems possible, therefore, that the group in Figure 30 consists of remote, mostly faint members of Vul OB4. They are not as heavily reddened as the Vul OB4 stars observed by Tumer, but the large colour excesses of the latter can be explained by the vast complexes of dust south of SU Cyg, which are well visible on the POSS photographs; the region of SU Cyg, in contrast, is relatively dust-free. The age of the Vul OB4 is estimated by Turner to be $1-2 \times 10^{7}$ years, which is comparable to the age of $\sim 4 \times 10^{7}$ years for the group as determined from the turnoff colour. If the group of
stars at $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.08$ is indeed a part of Vul OB4, it will mean that the association extends far further north than considered before.

Another possibility for the group of stars in Figure 30 is that it might be a part of a larger formation similar to the stellar "sheet" observed by Eggen (1980) in Vela, and that Vul OB4 is also a part of the same formation. In any case, it seems quite plausible to suggest that the newly found group of stars at 1040 pc is related somehow to Vul OB4, although we do not exclude the possibility that the group is a "real" cluster in its final stages of dissolution,

Clearly, there is a need for additional data, especially radial velocities and MK spectral types, in order to resolve the membership question for the upper-main-sequence stars. The use of CCD photometry allowed us to detect lower-main-sequence stars about $0^{\mathrm{m}} .2$ redder and $\sim 1^{\mathrm{m}} .5$ fainter than in the V1726 Cyg study, so the lower main sequence is well defined. As a more distant goal, it is desirable to obtain photometry for the region south of SU Cyg, between the northern boundary of the Vul OB4 association and the field of the Cepheid. Such a study would be useful for determining the true extent of Vul OB4 and the nature of the anonymous stellar group around SU Cyg.

### 2.3.3 SU Cygni

In the previous two sections we have presented arguments that SU Cyg is surrounded by a sparse, poorly populated, but relatively young stellar group. Although the group is not recognizable against the dense Milky Way background, its presence is evident on the variable-extinction and colour-magnitude diagrams of the field. The next question that must be answered is whether SU Cyg is physically associated with that group, or we just see the Cepheid projected against it.

The observed photometric properties of SU Cyg were determined using the UBV data compilations kindly provided by Leonid Berdnikov. The observations from different sources were in excellent agreement and no corrections were necessary to bring them to a common system. Figure 31 shows the $V$ light curve and the $B-V$ colour curve of the Cepheid as derived from the combined data. The phases were calculated using the newly determined period of $P=3.8455466$. The parameters of the light and colour variations of SU Cyg, obtained by means of Fourier decomposition, are summarized in Table 22.

Table 22. Summary of the light and colour variations of SU Cyg

\[

\]

The colour excess of SU Cyg can be found from the reddening of its closest neighbour, star 1. The latter has an MK spectral classification of A2 V (Turner, private communication), and its colour excess is $E_{B-V}(B 0)=0.17$. Since star 1 is only about $20^{\prime \prime}$ to the west of the Cepheid, we can assume that SU Cyg has the same colour excess of $E_{B-V}(\mathrm{~B} 0)=0.17$, corresponding to a reddening of $E_{B-V}=0.16$ for a star with the observed colours of the Cepheid. Referring to the colour indices in Table 22, we find that the intrinsic colour of the Cepheid at maximum, minimum and mean light is $(B-V)_{0 \text { max }}=0.24,(B-V)_{0 \text { min }}=0.55$, and $(\langle B\rangle-\langle V\rangle)_{0}=0.41$, respectively.


Figure 31. The $V$ light curve (top) and and the $B-V$ colour curve (bottom) of SU Cyg. The phases correspond to the newly determined period shown in the top panel.

These values must be corrected for the influence of the two compunions, SU Cyg B (B7.5 V) and SU Cyg C. The exact magnitude difference between SU Cyg and the companions is unknown. The spectral type of SU Cyg C is also unknown, although Evans and Bolton (1990) estimate that it is not earlier than A0 V. The same authors use a colour difference of $\Delta(B-V)=+0.04$ between the Cepheid and SU Cyg B, which implies a magnitude difference of $\Delta V=3.08$. We should note, however, that the derivation of a magnitude difference from the colour difference for small values of $\Delta(B-V)$ is very sensitive to small changes in $\Delta(B-V)$ and therefore not very reliable - a change of 0.01 in $\Delta(B-V)$ for $\Delta(B-V) \approx 0.05$ leads to a change of $\sim 0.20$ in $\Delta V$.

If we adopt Evans and Bolton's (1990) correction of $\Delta(B-V)=0.04$, the true intrinsic colour of $S U$ Cyg is $(\langle B\rangle-\langle V\rangle)_{0}=0.45$ for a mean spectral type of F6 I-II, in good agreement with the value of $(B-V)_{0}=0.46$ expected from various calibrations of the colours of FG supergiants (e.g. Fernie 1963, Johnson 1966, Kron 1978). Moreover, the implied value of the magnitude difference, $\Delta V=3.08$, also agrees with the estimates of other researchers ( $\Delta V=2.97$, Böhm-Vitense and Proffitt 1985; $\Delta V=3.1$, Fernie 1979). Therefore we adopted values of $(\langle B\rangle-\langle V\rangle)_{0}=0.45$ and $\Delta V=3.08$ as appropriate for the Cepheid and SU Cyg B. Depending on the properties of SU Cyg C, the intrinsic colour may be a few hundredths of a magnitude redder, since even a faint early-type companion will affect Cepheid's colours (see Turner 1985); the magnitude difference, on the other hand, is unlikely to change when the light from the second companion is accounted for.

For a magnitude difference of $\Delta V=3.08$, the intrinsic apparent magnitude of the Cepheid is $\langle V\rangle_{0}=6.45$. If SU Cyg is a member of the group, its implied absolute visual magnitude is $M_{V}=-3.63$, whereas the absolute magnitude expected from the period-luminosity-colour (PLC) relation is $M_{V}=\mathbf{- 3 . 0 6}$. The latter value was found by adding a colour correction of $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 8 9}$ to the absolute magnitude obtained from Turner's (1992) period-luminosity relation; a colour term of $\beta=2.1$ (Turner et al. 1994), and an offset
from the centre of the instability strip of $\Delta(B-V)_{0}=-0.09$ (Turner, private communication) were used to find the colour correction.

Thus, SU Cyg seems to be too bright to be a member of the group of stars at $V_{0}-M_{V}=10.08$. There is almost half a magnitude difference between the distance modulus implied by a group membership and the one inferred from the PLC relation. It is hardly possible to reconcile the two distancts by assuming other magnitude (or colour) differences, since any decrease in $\dot{\Delta V}$ in order to make the group absolute magnitude smaller will be cancelled out by the diminishing colour term. Similar reasoning can also be applied to supposed reddening errors.

The colour of the main-sequence turnoff also indicates that SU Cyg is probably not associated with the group. Turner's period-turnoff color relation (unpublished) predicts a turnoff point of $(B-V)_{0}=-0.11$ for a Cepheid with the period of SU Cyg, whereas in section 3.2 we found a turnoff colour of $(B-V)_{0}=-0.18$. There is some possibility for a later turnoff, however, depending on whether FM3 and FM8 are members of the group.

Turner (private communication) has made the interesting suggestion that SUCyg might be an overtone pulsator, with the objective to bridge the gap between the implied luminosity from group membership and the PLC luminosity. If $P=3.8455466$ is the first overtone period, the corresponding fundamental mode period is $P_{0}=5.5540247$, and the resulting PLC luminosity is $M_{V}=\mathbf{- 3 . 6 5}$. While this is in excellent agreement with the predicted "cluster" luminosity, the Fourier parameters of the light curve of SU Cyg (Table 22) would seem to rule out overtone pulsation. We must conclude, therefore, that the available data do not support the membership of SU Cygni in the anonymous group found in this study.

### 2.3.4 A possible new cluster in the vicinity of SU Cyg

In the course of examination of the POSS photographs of the region surrounding SU Cyg, the author has found what seems to be a new sparse cluster about $17^{\prime}$ to the west of SU Cyg. Figure 32, which shows the cluster (in the centre) and the surrounding area, is an enlargement from a blue POSS photograph. The bright star at the bottom is SU Cyg, and the other bright star above the centre is V1276 Cyg, a low-amplitude $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ Scuti star. The reality of the cluster has yet to be confirmed, but an indication that this is an actual cluster is the appearance of the group on very low dispersion objective-prism photographs taken by Turner (private communication) many years ago. With the exception of the brightest star southwest of the cluster centre, all relatively bright objects appear to be early-type stars. That particular star looks like a K star, which does not exclude its membership in the cluster. We have planned further investigation of this area in order to confirm or reject the existence of the cluster.

### 2.4 Summary and conclusions

We have presented and analyzed photoelectric, photographic and CCD photometry for 163 stars in the field of the Cepheid SU Cyg, with the purpose of searching for a cluster associated with the Cepheid. We have detected the existence of a very sparse, relatively young ( $4 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{yr}$ ) group, dominated by faint stars, at a distance of 1040 pc $\left(V_{0}-M_{V}=10.08\right)$. There is a possibility that this group is related to the Vul OB4 association which is located a few degrees south of SU Cyg. The use of CCD photometry enabled us to reach faint stars on the main sequence, and compared with the cluster near V1726 Cyg, our photometry goes about 1 m 5 fainter.

One of the important results of this study is the new colour excess for SU Cyg. Previous determinations were based on mean values from field stars (Feltz and McNamara


Figure 32. The field of the proposed new cluster, which is clearly visible near the centre. SU Cyg is the bright star at the bottom. The other bright star in the upper part of the field is $\mathrm{BD}+28^{\circ} 3447=\mathrm{V} 1276$ Cyg, a low-amplitude $\delta$ Scuti star. The field is approximately $30^{\circ} \times 35^{\prime}$. West is up and north is to the left.
1976) or an assumed interstellar reddening law (Dean, Warren and Cousins 1978). Given the patchiness of the extinction in this area and that colour excesses vary by $0^{\mathfrak{m}} 35$ within $20^{\prime}$ from the Cepheid, such reddenings are not very reliable. Our result comes from a star that has an accurate MK spectral type and is only $20^{\prime \prime}$ from the Cepheid, so the new colour excess is expected to be very close to the true one.

Unfortunately, the currently available data suggest that SU Cyg is not a member of the newly found group. While the difference between the distance modulus predicted from the PLC relation and that expected from group membership is admittedly not very large ( $\sim 0^{m} .5$ ), it is significant given the accuracy of both the group distance modulus and the Cepheid's parameters. Turner (private communication) has suggested overtone pulsation as a way to reconcile the PLC and group luminosities. For an overtone pulsator with the period of the Cepheid, the two luminosities are in excellent agreement; however, there is a very slim chance for SU Cyg pulsating in the first overtone given the current interpretation of the Fourier light curve parameters. We conclude therefore that SU Cyg is not associated with the group, but is a foreground star projected against it.

In the course of the present study the author has discovered a new faint planetary nebula about $3^{\prime}$ south of SU Cyg, and possibly a new sparse cluster about $17^{\prime}$ to the west of the Cepheid. While the first object is almost certainly real, the existence of the cluster has yet to be confirmed.

## Conclusions

At present, Cepheids that are established members of well-studied open clusters or associations are indispensable for the calibration of the PLC relation. Increasing the number of such Cepheids is the primary reason for carrying out studies such as those presented in this thesis. Regrettably, as far as the currently available data implies, neither V1726 Cyg nor SU Cyg seem to be usable as PLC calibrators. Yet the controversial results for the field of C2128+488 and V1726 Cyg, as well as the unknown nature of the stellar group found in the field of SU Cyg clearly call for further investigation of both fields. In the author's opinion, future studies of the region of SU Cyg should be concentrated on determining the extent of the sparse group of stars at 1040 pc found in this study and examining its relation to the Vul OB4 association. In the case of V1726 Cyg, the establishment of its pulsational mode and, as a more distant goal, the detection of the rate and direction of evolution across the instability strip seem to be the most important objectives.
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